

Very special special functions: Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete variable and their physical applications

David J. Siminovitch*

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

The University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta T1K 3M4

(Dated: August 29, 2022)

Abstract

Over nearly six decades, the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete real variable have found applications in spin physics, spin tomography, in the development of operator expansions, and in defining tensor operator equivalents. The properties of these polynomials are discussed in detail, and then examples are provided to illustrate the diversity of their applications in magnetic resonance. These examples include the use of the Chebyshev polynomial operators as an orthonormal basis to expand rotation operators, projection operators, and the Stratonovich-Weyl operator. The duality of the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete real variable as Clebsch-Gordan coupling coefficients is noted and exploited, and it is shown that the Chebyshev polynomial operators can be recoupled as a rank-zero composite tensor defined by the product of a spin and spatial tensor. These application examples demonstrate that the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete real variable are a unique nexus for spin physics, special functions, angular momentum (re)coupling, and irreducible representations of the rotation group.

I. Introduction

A. The Majorana Formula

Abragam introduces quantum mechanics in his nuclear magnetic resonance text “The Principles of Nuclear Magnetism”¹ with two fundamental equations, the Schrödinger equation, and the spin transition probability formula first derived by Majorana².

Majorana’s formula² provides the answer to the simplest and most fundamental question one can ask of a spin system: what is the probability for finding a spin in the state with magnetic projection number m' at time t knowing that it was previously in the state m at time $t = 0$? More precisely, consider a spin in a uniform, static magnetic field $\mathbf{H}_0 = H_0 \hat{\mathbf{k}}$, whose direction can be taken to be the axis of quantization. Under the application of a perpendicular alternating radiofrequency field $\mathbf{H}_1 = H_1 \cos \psi t \hat{\mathbf{i}}$ in the laboratory frame which causes transitions, Majorana’s formula², as quoted by Abragam¹, gives the probability in a spin- j system of a transition from a state of magnetic quantum number m at time $t = 0$ to one of magnetic quantum number m' at time t :

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = (j - m)!(j + m)!(j - m')!(j + m')! \left(\cos \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)^{4j} \times \left[\sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \frac{(-1)^r \left(\tan \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)^{2\lambda - m + m'}}{\lambda!(\lambda - m + m')!(j - m' - \lambda)!(j + m - \lambda)!} \right]^2 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } \sin \frac{1}{2}\alpha = \sin \Theta \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \quad (2)$$

$$\psi = |\gamma \mathbf{H}_e| t \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_e = \left[H_0 + \frac{\omega}{\gamma} \right] \hat{\mathbf{k}} + H_1 \hat{\mathbf{i}} \quad (4)$$

In a frame rotating with angular frequency ω , the direction of the effective field \mathbf{H}_e is defined by the polar angle Θ , and $|\gamma \mathbf{H}_e|$ is the Larmor precession frequency of the spin magnetic moment around the effective field \mathbf{H}_e . Recognizing that Majorana’s elegant

derivation² of the spin transition probability relied on the theory of the irreducible representations of the group of rotations, Abragam¹ simply stated the formula of Eq.(1), and did not discuss its derivation. We follow suit, since fortunately, there are a number of excellent textbook discussions^{3–8} of the Majorana formula of Eq.(1) which fill in the details of the derivation, and also provide some history.

B. The Meckler formula

An alternative derivation of the Majorana formula² was given by Bloch and Rabi⁹. Despite an improvement in the symmetry of the formula in the indices m and m' , their version⁹ was much the same as that of the original². Then in 1958, Meckler¹⁰ published a remarkably simple version of the Majorana formula², relying on a novel projection operator method. Meckler^{10,11} took a very unorthodox approach to calculating the transition probability, using projection operators expanded in a Chebyshev polynomial operator basis $f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ (see Table I) never previously used in magnetic resonance or in any other physical application. The final result of Meckler's calculation¹⁰ took the following very concise form

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \left| \langle \hat{\mathbf{b}}, m' | \hat{\mathbf{a}}, m \rangle \right|^2 \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m') P_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \quad (6)$$

$$\text{where } \hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} = \cos \beta(t) \quad (7)$$

Meckler's formula^{10,11} provides the answer to a slightly different version of the query answered by the Majorana formula²: given a spin initially quantized along a unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ with component m , what is the probability that it is quantized along a unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ with component m' at a later time t ? Meckler's formula^{10,11} makes use of just two special functions, the well-known Legendre polynomials $P_{\lambda}(\cos \beta(t))$, and the lesser-known

Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) = \langle jm | f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) | jm \rangle$ of a discrete variable^{12–15}, the diagonal matrix elements of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$. These latter operators and their matrix elements $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ are the subject of this article. To avoid any confusion from the outset, the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ of a discrete variable^{12–15} should not be confused with the more commonly known and used Chebyshev polynomials of the first ($T_n(x)$) and second ($U_n(x)$) kind^{16–18}.

Why should the Meckler version^{10,11} of the Majorana formula² be of interest? In answering this question, we should note the following:

(1) The conciseness of the Meckler version^{10,11} of the Majorana formula² is evident upon a comparison of Eqs.(1) and (6). The Meckler formula^{10,11} takes the form of

Fourier-Legendre series, which as we shall see in Section **4.3.1**, can in certain cases be summed to yield simple, closed-form expressions for “spin-flip” transition probabilities such as $P_{j,-j}^{(j)}(t)$ or $P_{j-1,-(j-1)}^{(j)}(t)$.

(2) The Meckler version^{10,11} of the Majorana formula² does what Majorana’s original version² does not: Meckler’s version^{10,11} isolates the dependence on the magnetic projection numbers of the initial and final states in a Chebyshev polynomial product term $[f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) f_\lambda^{(j)}(m')]$, leaving the time-dependence isolated in a Legendre polynomial term $P_\lambda(\cos \beta(t))$. In this respect, it is reminiscent of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient expansion of the rotation matrices $\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ which isolates the dependence on the indices m and m' from the time-dependent terms $\psi(t)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}(t)$ ^{19,20}.

(3) In his reduction of the Majorana problem² to the calculation of the joint probability of quantization along two different axes, Meckler^{10,11} was the first to exploit Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete variable^{12–15} in a physics application. As this article describes,

other physics applications of these special functions would follow over the next six decades, including applications in spin physics, spin tomography, and in the development of operator expansions and equivalents. During this six decade period, it would appear that the Chebyshev orthonormal basis operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, first introduced by Meckler¹¹ in 1959, were independently rediscovered twice thereafter, by Corio²¹ in 1975, and then by Filippov and Man'ko²³ in 2010.

C. Very special special functions

Special functions²⁴ such as Legendre polynomials, Bessel functions, Chebyshev polynomials and Hermite polynomials are essential tools in mathematical physics^{16,17,25,26}. As Michiel Hazewinkel has noted¹⁵, “Special functions are, well, special.” Are some special functions more special than others? In this article we describe some physical applications of some very special, special functions, the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete real variable^{12–15}. These special functions are a member of the family of classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable known as the Hahn polynomials.^{12–15}

Why are the Hahn polynomials, and the Chebyshev polynomials in particular, so very special? At least from the point of view of physical applications, it is hard to find any other special function that has been more obscure. Contributing to this obscurity is the fact that Hahn polynomials have never been discussed in any of the texts commonly used in undergraduate mathematical physics courses. Is this obscurity deserved, and might we expect this obscurity to change? Whereas no mention of the Hahn polynomials can be found in the classic handbook on mathematical functions by Abramowitz and Stegun²⁷, the Bateman project carried out by Erdélyi and colleagues¹² and the recently published

successor to Abramowitz and Stegun²⁷, the NIST Handbook by Olver and colleagues¹⁸, both contain excellent summaries of the properties of classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable^{12–15}. Just in the last decade, in a substantial body of work, Filippov and Man'ko and coworkers^{23,28–31} have shown the promise of Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete variable for spin tomographic applications, and this article can be used as an introduction to these applications.

From the point of view of physics applications, the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete real variable^{12–15} possess some striking properties, and to make that point, the sceptical and curious reader might wish to answer the following questions:

1. In a spin- j system, the spin transition probability $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$ can be written as a Fourier-Legendre series whose expansion coefficients can be expressed in terms of one (and only one) special function. What is that special function?
2. Projection operators, including the coherent state projector, can be written in terms of one (and only one) special function. What is that special function?
3. Ignoring a phase-factor, the Clebsch-Gordan coupling coefficients $C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0}$ of angular momentum theory^{19,32} are identical to what special function?
4. In a spin- j system, what special function operator $g^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ provides a unique orthonormal Hermitian expansion basis for the angle-axis $(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ parametrized rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$?
5. The trace of the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$ defines the character $\chi^{(j)}(\psi) = \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right]$ ¹⁹ of irreducible representations of the rotation group. The trace of the product of this rotation operator and a special function operator is proportional to the generalized characters $\chi_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\psi)$ ¹⁹ of the rotation group. What is that special function operator?

6. What special function $g^{(j)}(J_z)$ of the operator variable J_z for a spin- j system is identical to the projection-zero spin polarization operators¹⁹ $\hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)}$?

7. What special function operator $g^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ for a spin- j system can be recoupled as a rank-zero irreducible composite tensor defined by the direct product of two rank- λ tensors, one the spin tensor $\mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$, and the other, the spatial Racah tensor $\mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$?

8. What special function operator $g^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ for a spin- j system has matrix elements expanded in spherical harmonics, with Clebsch-Gordan coefficients as the expansion coefficients?

9. The density operator $\hat{\rho}$ for a spin- j system can be tomographically reconstructed^{23,28–31,33,34} using one (and only one) special function operator $h^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$.

What is that special function operator $h^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$?

10. For a spin- j system, the spin polarization operators¹⁹ $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ may be viewed as an integral transformation of a unique special function polynomial operator $g^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ from the continuous variables (θ, ϕ) (which define $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\theta, \phi)$) to the discrete variables λ, μ which define the spin polarization operators¹⁹ $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$. What is that special function operator $g^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$?

The surprising answer to all these questions is the same, the special functions known as the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete real variable^{12–15}.

D. Article Organization

This article is organized as follows: we begin in Section 2 with a brief summary of the properties of the Chebyshev polynomials $f_L^{(j)}(m)$ of a discrete real variable m ($m = -j, -j + 1, \dots, j - 1, j$), and of the Chebyshev orthonormal basis operators

$f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. Note that the eigenvalues of $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ are discrete real variables, and that because of “space quantization”³⁵, the projection $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = J_n$ can therefore only take on $(2j + 1)$ possible values. Sections **3** through **6** discuss several examples of physical applications of Chebyshev polynomials, beginning in Section **3** with an introduction to projection operators and their use in the calculation of transition probabilities. Section **4** is devoted to a discussion of Meckler’s formula^{10,11} for the calculation of spin transition probabilities. Section **5** illustrates how the Chebyshev polynomial operators provide a Hermitian orthonormal basis for expanding the rotation and Stratonovich-Weyl operators^{33,36–38}, and for tomographic reconstruction of the density operator^{23,28–31,33,34}. Section **6** shows that the Chebyshev polynomial operators can be recoupled as the product of spin and spatial tensors. Section **7** discusses how the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$ can be used to develop operator equivalents for any irreducible tensor operator. Concluding remarks in Section **8** highlight some of the unique features of Chebyshev polynomials and their applications in magnetic resonance.

II. Chebyshev Polynomial Properties

This section is devoted to a brief summary of the most important properties of the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete variable^{12–15}. More detailed discussions can be found in specialized monographs^{12–15,18} and in the original literature^{10,11,21,28,39–41}. We begin in Section **2.1** with a discussion of the properties of the Chebyshev polynomial scalars $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) = \langle jm | f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) | jm \rangle$, followed in Section **2.2** with a discussion of the properties of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. As matters of notation are concerned, throughout this article we make exclusive use of the notation adopted by Filippov and

Man'ko and co-workers^{23,28-31} for the Chebyshev polynomial scalars $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ and the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. Table II compares this notation with that originally used by Meckler^{10,11}, who was the first to introduce Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete variable in physics applications, and that used by Corio^{21,39}.

Aside from the Racah polynomials¹⁴, which are equivalent to the Racah angular momentum coupling coefficients¹⁹ or the Wigner $6j$ -symbols¹⁹, the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ are distinguished as the only special functions which are equivalent to an angular momentum coupling coefficient. The Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ are, to within a phase-factor, equivalent^{10,11,41} to Clebsch-Gordan coupling coefficients¹⁹ $C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0}$

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) = (-1)^{j-m} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0} \quad (8)$$

This equivalence is neither obvious nor anticipated. The first statement of this striking and surprising equivalence between a special function, the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete variable $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ ¹²⁻¹⁵, and an angular momentum coupling coefficient, the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient $C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0}$, appears to have been made by Meckler¹⁰ in 1958, which he followed a year later with a proof¹¹. Quite independently, in 1958, Gelfand et al.^{42,43} noticed an analogy between Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and the Jacobi polynomial special functions. In retrospect, this analogy is not a surprise given the relationships established since between the Hahn and Jacobi polynomial special functions⁴⁴, and the fact the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete real variable are a special case of the Hahn polynomials¹²⁻¹⁵. As a result of the observations made by Meckler^{10,11} and Gelfand et al.^{42,43}, and later work on the connections between Racah polynomials and Wigner $6j$ -symbols by Askey and Wilson^{45,46}, in effect, Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and Wigner $6j$ -symbols could be recognized in the theory of special functions as discrete analogs of Jacobi polynomials.

Meckler's recognition¹⁰ and elegant proof of the Chebyshev polynomial duality¹¹ was essentially forgotten until Normand and Raynal⁴¹ rediscovered and proved the same equivalence 25 years later, unaware of Meckler's pioneering work^{10,11}.

A. Chebyshev Polynomial Scalars: $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$

1. Chebyshev Polynomials defined as Special Function Solutions of a Difference Equation

The differential equation of the form

$$\sigma(x)y'' + \tau(x)y' + \lambda y = 0 \quad (9)$$

where $\sigma(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 2, $\tau(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 1, and λ is a constant is called a hypergeometric type differential equation, whose solutions are called hypergeometric functions. If $y_m(x)$ and $y_n(x)$ are eigensolutions of this equation, with eigenvalues λ_m and λ_n , respectively, then orthogonality of these solutions on the interval (a, b) with respect to a weight function $w(x)$ can be defined as⁴⁷

$$\int_a^b y_m(x) y_n(x) w(x) dx = 0 \quad (n \neq m) \quad (10)$$

The role of the differentiation operator d/dx in the case of classical orthogonal polynomials is played by Δ (the forward-difference operator) and by ∇ (the backward-difference operator) in the case of the classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable. These operators are defined as¹⁸

$$\Delta[f(x)] = f(x+1) - f(x) \quad (11)$$

$$\nabla[f(x)] = f(x) - f(x-1) \quad (12)$$

The difference equation which approximates Eq.(9) on the uniform lattice is⁴⁷

$$\Delta[\sigma(x)w(x)\nabla y] + \lambda w(x)y = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \Delta[\sigma(x)w(x)] = \tau(x)w(x) \quad (14)$$

Orthogonality on the uniform lattice is defined as⁴⁷

$$\sum_{x_i=a}^{b-1} y_m(x_i) y_n(x_i) w(x_i) = 0 \quad (15)$$

Hahn polynomials $h_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, N)$, along with Meixner, Krawtchouk, and Charlier polynomials, belong to the classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable^{12–15,18}, or more aptly, to polynomials orthogonal on a discrete set of points¹³. A figure illustrating the relationships between these polynomials in the Askey scheme⁴⁸ can be found in Olver et al.¹⁸. The Hahn polynomials $h_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, N)$ are polynomial solutions of the difference equation (13) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha, \beta &> -1 \\ \sigma(x) &= x(N + \alpha - x) \\ w(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(N + \alpha - x) \Gamma(\beta + 1 + x)}{\Gamma(x + 1) \Gamma(N - x)} \\ (a, b) &= (0, N) \\ \tau(x) &= (\beta + 1)(N - 1) - (\alpha + \beta + 2)x \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

A special case of the Hahn polynomials are the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete

variable $t_n(x, N) \equiv h_n^{(0,0)}(x, N)^{12-15,18}$, defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \beta = 0 \\
\sigma(x) &= x(N - x) \\
w(x) &= 1 \\
(a, b) &= (0, N) \\
\tau(x) &= N - 1 - 2x
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

In this article, we shall discuss a normalized version of the Chebyshev polynomials $t_n(x, N)$ defined in terms of L, j and $m \in [-j, j]$ as

$$f_L^{(j)}(m) = F(L, j) t_L(j + m, 2j + 1) \tag{18}$$

where $n = L$

$$\begin{aligned}
x = j + m &\equiv \langle jm | j\mathbb{1} + J_z | jm \rangle \quad (m \in [-j, j], \text{ so } x \in [0, N - 1]) \\
N &= 2j + 1
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The normalization function $F(L, j)$ and the Bateman project definition¹² of the Chebyshev polynomials $t_L(j + m, 2j + 1)$ which we use in Eq.(18) to define the normalized version of the Chebyshev polynomials $f_L^{(j)}(m)$ which are the subject of this article, are defined in Table III.

2. Parity Properties

In common with the Legendre polynomials $P_L(x)$, the parity of the Chebyshev polynomials $f_L^{(j)}(m)$ is determined by their degree L :

$$f_L^{(j)}(-m) = (-1)^L f_L^{(j)}(m) \tag{20}$$

Symmetry properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients¹⁹ lead to a simple proof of this parity relation:

$$f_L^{(j)}(-m) = (-1)^{-m-j} \boxed{C_{j-mjm}^{L0}} \quad (21)$$

$$= (-1)^{-m-j} (-1)^{2j} \boxed{(-1)^{-L}} C_{jmj-m}^{L0} \quad (22)$$

$$= (-1)^L \boxed{(-1)^{j-m}} C_{jmj-m}^{L0} \quad (23)$$

$$= (-1)^L (-1)^{m-j} C_{jmj-m}^{L0} \quad (24)$$

$$= (-1)^L f_L^{(j)}(m) \quad (25)$$

The Clebsch-Gordan coefficient in the “boxed” term of Eq.(21) has been rewritten in Eq.(22) using the following symmetry property¹⁹

$$C_{a\alpha b\beta}^{c\gamma} = (-1)^{a+b-c} C_{b\beta a\alpha}^{c\gamma} \quad (26)$$

Exploiting the fact that both L and $j - m$ are integers, the “boxed” terms of Eqs.(22) and (23) have been rewritten as

$$(-1)^{-L} = (-1)^L \quad (27)$$

$$(-1)^{j-m} = (-1)^{m-j} \quad (28)$$

3. Generating the Chebyshev Polynomials $f_L^{(j)}(m)$

In order to generate the Chebyshev polynomials, there are four possible approaches, which we now summarize.

a. Bateman’s definition

Eq.(18) of Section **2.1.1** defines the Chebyshev polynomials $f_L^{(j)}(m)$ in terms of Bateman’s Chebyshev polynomials¹² $t_L(j + m, 2j + 1)$. These polynomials can be evaluated using the forward-difference operator definition given in Table III.

b. Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

The equivalence of Eq.(8) offers the opportunity to prove properties of the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ using well-known properties of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients¹⁹, an opportunity we will frequently take advantage of. On the other hand, it also provides a very direct method of generating the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ using representations of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients $C_{a\alpha b\beta}^{c\gamma}$ in the form of algebraic sums¹⁹. As an example, the following Clebsch-Gordan coefficient representation due to Wigner^{19,49}

$$C_{a\alpha b\beta}^{c\gamma} = \delta_{\gamma, \alpha+\beta} \Delta(abc) \left[\frac{(c+\gamma)!(c-\gamma)!(2c+1)}{(a+\alpha)!(a-\alpha)!(b+\beta)!(b-\beta)!} \right]^{1/2} \\ \times \sum_z \frac{(-1)^{b+\beta+z} (c+b+\alpha-z)!(a-\alpha+z)!}{z!(c-a+b-z)!(c+\gamma-z)!(a-b-\gamma+z)!} \quad (29)$$

$$\text{where } \Delta(abc) = \left[\frac{(a+b-c)!(a-b+c)!(-a+b+c)!}{(a+b+c+1)!} \right]^{1/2} \quad (30)$$

can be used to generate the Chebyshev polynomial $f_2^{(1)}(m)$. In this case, the fixed parameters in Eq.(29) take the values

$$a = b = 1 \quad (31)$$

$$c = 2 \quad (32)$$

$$\alpha = -\beta = m \quad (33)$$

$$\gamma = 0 \quad (34)$$

$$\Delta(abc) = 1/\sqrt{30} \quad (35)$$

and since the summation index z in Eq.(29) assumes integer values for which all the factorial arguments are non-negative, z can only assume the values 0, 1, and 2. Using the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient representation of Eq.(29) for C_{1m1-m}^{20} , we easily find the

following algebraic sum for $f_2^{(1)}(m)$

$$f_2^{(1)}(m) = \frac{(-1)^{2(1-m)}}{2\sqrt{6}} \left[\frac{(m+3)!(1-m)! - 4(m+2)!(2-m)! + (m+1)!(3-m)!}{(m+1)!(1-m)!} \right] \quad (36)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [3m^2 - 2] \quad (37)$$

in agreement with the polynomial form given in Table I.

c. Recursion relation

The right column of Table III states the Chebyshev polynomial recursion relation which can be used to generate these polynomials. It also compares the Chebyshev polynomial recursion relation^{10,11,21,28,39} with the equivalent Clebsch-Gordan coefficient recursion relation¹⁹.

d. Legendre polynomial operators

As described in Appendix A, the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ can be calculated from the diagonal matrix elements of the Legendre polynomial operators $\bar{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ ⁵⁰ or $P_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$ ⁵¹ according to the following relations:

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \left[[\mathbf{J}^2]^l \right]^{-1/2} \langle jm | \bar{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) | jm \rangle \quad (38)$$

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \langle jm | P_\lambda(\mathbf{J}) | jm \rangle \quad (39)$$

B. Chebyshev Polynomial Operators: $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$

In 1975, Corio²¹ presented a method for expanding an arbitrary function of a component of angular momentum $g(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ in terms of the orthonormal Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. By replacing m with $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, Corio²¹ remarked that the recursion relation for the Chebyshev polynomial scalars $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ (see Table III), together with an initial operator $f_0^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, could be used to compile a table of the Chebyshev polynomial operators

$f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. I have generated all the elements of Table I using this procedure, starting with

$$f_0^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \quad (40)$$

All of the operator elements $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ in Table I agree with the equivalent operator elements $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ determined by Filippov and Man'ko^{23,28}.

Table IV compares the traces, matrix elements, and Hermitian conjugates of the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ with those of the spin polarization operator expansion²⁸ of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$. In the next three sections, we briefly discuss the traces, matrix elements and Hermitian conjugates of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. Table IV also compares a spin polarization operator $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ expansion of the density operator $\hat{\rho}$ with a Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ expansion. The latter expansion, an example of tomographic reconstruction of the density operator $\hat{\rho}$ ^{23,28–31,33,34}, will be discussed in Section 5.3.

1. Traces

In this section, we state and prove the following traces for the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$:

$$\text{Tr} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = 1 \quad (41)$$

$$\text{Tr} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') = P_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (42)$$

The trace result in Eq.(41), the statement of orthonormality³⁹ for the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, can be verified as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] \\ &= \text{Tr} \left[\sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \boxed{\sum_{\mu'=-\lambda'}^{\lambda'} C_{\lambda'\mu'}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda'\mu'}^{(j)}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$= \text{Tr} \left[\sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \boxed{\sum_{\mu'=-\lambda'}^{\lambda'} C_{\lambda'\mu'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \left[\hat{T}_{\lambda'\mu'}^{(j)} \right]^\dagger} \right] \quad (44)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \sum_{\mu'=-\lambda'}^{\lambda'} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) C_{\lambda'\mu'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \text{Tr} \left[\boxed{\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \left[\hat{T}_{\lambda'\mu'}^{(j)} \right]^\dagger} \right] \quad (45)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \boxed{\sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} |C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})|^2 = 1} \quad (46)$$

The “boxed” term of Eq.(43) has been replaced with the “boxed” term of Eq.(44) using the following properties^{19,32} of the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ and the Racah spherical harmonics $C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$:

$$\left[\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \right]^\dagger = (-1)^\mu \hat{T}_{\lambda-\mu}^{(j)} \quad (47)$$

$$C_{\lambda-\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = (-1)^\mu C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \quad (48)$$

The double summation of Eq.(45) has been reduced to a single summation in Eq.(46) using the following normalization identity for the spin polarization operators¹⁹

$$\text{Tr} \left[\left[\hat{T}_{\lambda'\mu'}^{(j)} \right]^\dagger \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \right] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{\mu\mu'} \quad (49)$$

The final simplification, the “boxed” term of Eq.(46), is just the sum rule^{19,32} for the Racah spherical harmonics.

In verifying the trace result of Eq.(42), nothing would change in the calculation of

$\text{Tr} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right]$ except that the final simplification made above in Eq.(46) would

now require use of the spherical harmonics addition theorem^{19,32} since now $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \neq \hat{\mathbf{n}}'$:

$$\text{Tr} \left[f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (50)$$

$$= P_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (51)$$

Meckler¹¹ provided the first proof of this most important trace relation for the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. As we shall see in Section 4.1.1, Meckler then took advantage of this relation in his projection operator approach^{10,11} to calculate the spin transition probability $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$. In Sections 5.2 and 5.3, we show how this trace relation can be used to evaluate traces that define delta functions which involve integrations of operators and spin tomograms on the sphere \mathbf{S}^2 .

2. Matrix Elements and Orthogonality Relations

a. Operator Expansions

In order to calculate matrix elements of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ and $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z)$, we exploit relations between these operators and the spin polarization operators¹⁹ $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$. As we discuss in Section 6.1.2, the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ and $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z)$ can be expressed in terms of the spin polarization operators¹⁹ $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ and the Racah spherical harmonics $C_{\lambda\mu}(\theta, \phi)$ as follows²⁸

$$f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\theta, \phi) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \equiv \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (52)$$

$$f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \equiv f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z) = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \boxed{C_{\lambda\mu}^*(0, 0)} \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (53)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \boxed{\delta_{\mu 0}} \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (54)$$

$$= \hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} \quad (55)$$

In Eq.(52), $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \equiv (\theta, \phi)$ denotes a quantization axis defined by polar angles (θ, ϕ) with respect to the $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ -axis. The spherical harmonic in the “boxed” term of Eq.(53) has been replaced by the Kronecker delta function in the “boxed” term of Eq.(54) using the properties of the spherical harmonics¹⁹.

Eq.(55) shows that the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$ and the projection-zero spin polarization operators¹⁹ $\hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)}$ are equivalent, a fundamental result which we shall take advantage of in subsequent sections. Although it has been proved in many ways^{11,28,31,39–41}, it was Meckler¹¹ who first recognized that $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$ was proportional to the projection-zero spin polarization operators¹⁹ $\hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)}$.

Inverting Eq.(52) to express the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ in terms of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ is easily achieved using the orthogonality relations³² for the Racah spherical harmonics

$$(2L+1) \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi C_{LM}^*(\theta, \phi) C_{L'M'}(\theta, \phi) = \delta_{LL'} \delta_{MM'} 4\pi \quad (56)$$

with the result that

$$\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} = \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (57)$$

$$\text{where } d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \equiv d\Omega = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi \quad (58)$$

This relation may be viewed as an integral transformation of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ from the continuous variables (θ, ϕ) (which define $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\theta, \phi)$) to the discrete variables λ, μ which define the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$.

Inverting Eq.(52) to express the Racah spherical harmonics $C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ in terms of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ is easily achieved using the trace relation for

the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ given in Table IV, with the result that

$$C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \text{Tr} \left[\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] \quad (59)$$

$$= \text{Tr} \left[\boxed{\frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}}'} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] \quad (60)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \text{Tr} \left[f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (61)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \boxed{\frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} P_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}')} C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (62)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \delta_C^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}') C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (63)$$

$$\text{where } \delta_C^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}') = \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} P_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (64)$$

The trace relation of Eq.(59) is the inversion result defining the Racah spherical harmonics

$C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ in terms of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. The spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ of Eq.(59) have been replaced by the “boxed” term of Eq.(60) using Eq.(57).

The trace in Eq.(61) has been replaced by the “boxed” term in Eq.(62) using the

Chebyshev polynomial operator trace relation of Eq.(51). In this way, Eqs.(60) to (63)

which follow the inversion result of Eq.(59) lead to the definition in Eq.(64) of the

reproducing kernel $\delta_C^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}')$, which for the spherical harmonics $C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ acts as a delta

function with respect to integration over \mathbf{S}^2 as shown in Eq.(63). In Section 5.2.2, we

revisit reproducing kernels in the context of the Stratonovich-Weyl operators^{36–38} $\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$,

and the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$.

b. Matrix Elements

In order to calculate matrix elements, we often follow convention by employing

simultaneous eigenkets $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle$ of both \mathbf{J}^2 and $J_z \equiv (\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}})$

$$\begin{aligned} J_z |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle &= m |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \\ \mathbf{J}^2 |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle &= j(j+1) |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Following Sakurai's⁵² notation for labeling these eigenkets $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle$, we explicitly indicate the quantization direction $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, and include the J_z operator eigenvalue m , which for a spin- j system, ranges between $-j$ and $+j$. For an arbitrary quantization axis $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$, the generalized version of Eq.(65) for simultaneous eigenkets $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, m\rangle$ of both \mathbf{J}^2 and $(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \equiv J_n$ would be

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\hat{\mathbf{n}}, m\rangle &= m |\hat{\mathbf{n}}, m\rangle \\ \mathbf{J}^2 |\hat{\mathbf{n}}, m\rangle &= j(j+1) |\hat{\mathbf{n}}, m\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

In most contexts, $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, and on these occasions, we will use the shorthand notation $|jm\rangle \equiv |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle$ to denote simultaneous eigenkets of \mathbf{J}^2 and J_z when calculating matrix elements.

From the expressions for $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ and $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$ in Eqs.(52) and (55), respectively, and the matrix elements of the spin polarization operators in the spherical basis representation¹⁹

$$\langle jm | \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} | jm' \rangle = C_{jmj-m'}^{\lambda\mu} (-1)^{j-m'} \quad (67)$$

the corresponding matrix elements are easily evaluated as

$$\langle jm | f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) | jm' \rangle = C_{\lambda\mu'}^{\star}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) C_{jmj-m'}^{\lambda\mu'} (-1)^{j-m'} = C_{\lambda(m-m')}^{\star}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) C_{jmj-m'}^{\lambda(m-m')} (-1)^{j-m'} \quad (68)$$

$$\langle jm | f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) | jm \rangle \equiv \langle jm | \hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} | jm \rangle = C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0} (-1)^{j-m} = f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) \quad (69)$$

An example of how the relation in Eq.(69) can be exploited is the evaluation of the Chebyshev polynomial $f_L^{(j)}(j)$:

$$f_L^{(j)}(j) = (-1)^{j-j} C_{jjj-j}^{L0} \quad (70)$$

$$= \left[\frac{(2L+1) [(2j)!]^2}{(2j+L+1)! (2j-L)!} \right]^{1/2} \quad (71)$$

The Clebsch-Gordan coefficient C_{jjj-j}^{L0} in Eq.(70) was evaluated using the relation¹⁹

$$C_{aab\beta}^{c\gamma} = \delta_{\gamma-\beta,a} \left[\frac{(2c+1)(2a)!(-a+b+c)!(b-\beta)!(c+\gamma)!}{(a+b+c+1)!(a-b+c)!(a+b-c)!(b+\beta)!(c-\gamma)!} \right]^{1/2} \quad (72)$$

c. Orthogonality Relations

The relation of Eq.(69) defines the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete variable $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ ^{11,21,28,39}. For these polynomials, the definition of orthogonality on the uniform lattice given in Eq.(15) takes the form^{11,21,28,39}

$$\sum_{m=-j}^j f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(m) = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \quad (73)$$

an identity first stated by Meckler¹¹. Bearing in mind the fact that the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ are, to within a phase-factor, equivalent to Clebsch-Gordan coupling coefficients as shown in Eq.(69), it is not surprising to find that the Chebyshev polynomial orthogonality relations given in Eq.(73) are equivalent to the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient unitarity relation¹⁹

$$\sum_{m=-j}^j C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda' 0} = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \quad (74)$$

3. Hermiticity

Not only are Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ orthonormal, but they are also Hermitian²¹. Taking advantage of the direct product expression for $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ discussed in

Section 6.1.2, it is easy to demonstrate that $\left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})\right]^\dagger = f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$:

$$\left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})\right]^\dagger = \left[\sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}\right]^\dagger \quad (75)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \boxed{(-1)^\mu \hat{T}_{\lambda-\mu}^{(j)}} \quad (76)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu'=\lambda}^{-\lambda} C_{\lambda-\mu'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \boxed{(-1)^{-\mu'}} \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu'}^{(j)} \quad (77)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu'=\lambda}^{-\lambda} \boxed{(-1)^{\mu'} C_{\lambda-\mu'}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})} \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu'}^{(j)} \quad (78)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu'=\lambda}^{-\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu'}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu'}^{(j)} \quad (79)$$

$$= f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (80)$$

Using the properties of the spin polarization operators¹⁹, the “boxed” term in Eq.(76) replaces the Hermitian conjugate $\left[\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}\right]^\dagger$ in Eq.(75). A change in the dummy summation index from $\mu \rightarrow \mu'$ has been used to rewrite Eq.(76) as Eq.(77). Using the properties of the spherical harmonics^{19,32}, the “boxed” term of Eq.(78) has been replaced by the “boxed” term in Eq.(79). Taking advantage of the fact that μ (or μ') are integral, the “boxed” term of Eq.(77) can be replaced by $(-1)^{\mu'}$ in Eq.(78).

Alternatively, and more directly, given any polynomial $g(x)$, the polynomial operator $g(\hat{A})$ is Hermitian if \hat{A} is Hermitian, so $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ is Hermitian since $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ is Hermitian.

III. Projection operators and the calculation of transition probabilities

Fundamental to much of the discussion in this and in subsequent sections are the actions of the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = e^{-i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$ as a change of basis operator or as a similarity transformation. These two actions are defined in the next section using the angle-axis $(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ parameterization^{53–55}, of which we make frequent but not exclusive use in

this and in subsequent sections.

A. Actions of $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = e^{-i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$

1. Rotation operator

The angle-axis representation of the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = e^{-i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$ is parametrized by $(\psi; \Theta, \Phi)$, where (Θ, Φ) are the polar angles of the rotation axis $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$, and ψ is the rotation angle. The action of this unitary rotation operator on a given eigenket $|jm\rangle$ is given by^{6,53}

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |jm\rangle = e^{-i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} |jm\rangle = \sum_{m'=-j}^j \mathcal{D}_{m'm}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |jm'\rangle \quad (81)$$

where the elements of the matrix $\mathcal{D}_{m'm}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ are defined as⁶

$$\mathcal{D}_{m'm}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \langle jm' | e^{-i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} | jm \rangle \quad (82)$$

2. Similarity transforms

The components of the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$, which are irreducible tensor operators of rank j , transform under the similarity action of $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ just as the eigenket $|jm\rangle$ does under the action of $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ ⁶. Therefore the similarity transformation of the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ corresponding to the unitary change of basis given by Eq.(81) is^{6,53}

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R) \right]^\dagger = e^{-i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} = \sum_{\nu=-j}^j \mathcal{D}_{\nu\mu}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\nu}^{(j)} \quad (83)$$

B. Unitary transforms of J_z and of related polynomial operators $g(J_z)$

Since the spherical components $\tau_{1\mu}$ of the angular momentum \mathbf{J} define a rank-1 irreducible spherical tensor \mathcal{T}_1 , then for a rotation $R \equiv R(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp)$ by an angle θ about an axis

$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp = (-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0)$ defined by polar angles $(\Theta, \Phi) = (\frac{\pi}{2}, \phi + \frac{\pi}{2})$, the unitary transform of $J_z \equiv \tau_{10}$ using an angle-axis parametrization can be written as^{53,54}

$$J'_z = \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R) J_z \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R) \right]^\dagger \quad (84)$$

$$= \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) J_z \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \right]^\dagger \quad (85)$$

$$= e^{-i\theta(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{J})} \tau_{10} e^{i\theta(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{J})} \quad (86)$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=-1}^1 \mathcal{D}_{\nu 0}^{(1)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \tau_{1\nu} \quad (87)$$

Most quantum mechanics and angular momentum textbooks use the conventional Euler angle parametrization of the rotation $R \equiv R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ to define irreducible tensor operators by the unitary transformation of Eq.(84). But in this case, an angle-axis parametrization of the rotation $R \equiv R(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp)$ offers the most direct path to evaluating J'_z using Eq.(87). The required rotation matrix elements $\mathcal{D}_{\nu 0}^{(1)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp)$ are tabulated in Varshalovich et al.¹⁹, and have the following values (when $\cos \Theta = 0$ and $\sin \Theta = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{-10}^{(1)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta e^{i(\phi + \frac{\pi}{2})} \\ \mathcal{D}_{00}^{(1)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) &= \cos \theta \\ \mathcal{D}_{10}^{(1)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta e^{-i(\phi + \frac{\pi}{2})} \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

while the spherical components $\tau_{1\mu}$ required are given by³²

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{1-1} &= \frac{(J_x - iJ_y)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \tau_{10} &= J_z \\ \tau_{1+1} &= -\frac{(J_x + iJ_y)}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

Using Eqs.(88) and (89), the unitary transform of Eq.(87) is then evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned}
J'_z &= \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) J_z \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \right]^\dagger \\
&= \sum_{\nu=-1}^1 \mathcal{D}_{\nu 0}^{(1)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \tau_{1\nu} \\
&= (\cos \phi \sin \theta) J_x + (\sin \phi \sin \theta) J_y + (\cos \theta) J_z \\
&= (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = (\cos \phi \sin \theta, \sin \phi \sin \theta, \cos \theta)$ is a unit vector defined by polar angles (θ, ϕ) . The unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp = (-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0)$ defines a rotation axis perpendicular to the plane defined by $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$, so that a rotation about this axis by the angle θ will transform the $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ vector into the $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ vector, just as the similarity transform of Eq.(91) transforms $(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \equiv J_z$ into $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$.

Since

$$(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) J_z \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \right]^\dagger \tag{92}$$

then for *any* polynomial function $g \equiv g(J_z)$,

$$g[(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})] = \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) g(J_z) \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \right]^\dagger \tag{93}$$

and in particular, the Chebyshev polynomial operator basis functions $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ are given by²⁸

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \right]^\dagger \tag{94}$$

$$= \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \right]^\dagger \tag{95}$$

The results of Eqs.(92) and (94) are summarized in Table V. Whereas Eq.(94) is just a particular example of the relation of Eq.(93) in the case of Chebyshev polynomials, Eq.(95) is a significant new relation because it exploits the following equivalence^{11,28,31,39-41}

between the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$ and the projection-zero spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)}$:

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) \equiv \hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} \quad (96)$$

In Section **6.2**, we will take advantage of this equivalence, and the similarity transformation of the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ as defined in Section **3.1.2**, to express the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ as a direct product of spin and spatial tensors. The simplest way to verify the equivalence of Eq.(96) is to use the definition of Fano's state-multipole operators^{56,57}, alias polarization operators¹⁹ or spherical coherence vectors⁵⁸

$$\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \sum_{m,m'} C_{jm\lambda\mu}^{jm'} |jm'\rangle \langle jm| \quad (97)$$

$$= \sum_{m,m'} (-1)^{j-m} C_{jm'j-m}^{\lambda\mu} |jm'\rangle \langle jm| \quad (98)$$

From this definition, we then obtain

$$\hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} = \sum_{m=-j}^j \boxed{(-1)^{j-m} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0}} |jm\rangle \langle jm| \quad (99)$$

$$= \sum_{m=-j}^j f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) = f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) \quad (100)$$

where $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) = |jm\rangle \langle jm|$ is an example of a projection operator which we discuss in the next section. Taking advantage of the duality of the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$, which double as the Clebsch-Gordan angular momentum coupling coefficients (3j-symbols³²) according to

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) = (-1)^{j-m} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0} \quad (101)$$

the “boxed” term of Eq.(99) has been replaced with the Chebyshev polynomial $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ in Eq.(100), which is just a statement of Sylvester's formula^{59,60}.

C. Projection Operators

1. Chebyshev polynomial operator expansions for projection operators from Sylvester's formula

Merzbacher⁵⁹ has discussed the use of matrix methods in quantum mechanics, with a particular emphasis on the use of Sylvester's formula⁶⁰ of Eq.(100), and the associated projection operator matrices of Eq.(100).

In general, $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, m\rangle$ are eigenstates of $(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \equiv J_n$ with eigenvalue m , and in particular, $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \equiv |jm\rangle$ are eigenstates of $(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \equiv J_z$ with eigenvalue m . Then since

$$|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, m\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{D}} |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \quad (102)$$

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{n}}, m| = \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m| \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger \quad (103)$$

$$\text{where } \hat{\mathcal{D}} \equiv \hat{\mathcal{D}}(R) = \hat{\mathcal{D}}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) = e^{-i\theta(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{J})} \quad (104)$$

the unitary transformation of projection operators can be expressed as

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} \left[\Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \right] \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger = \hat{\mathcal{D}} [|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m|] \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger \equiv \hat{\mathcal{D}} |jm\rangle \langle jm| \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger = |\hat{\mathbf{n}}, m\rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}}, m| = \Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \quad (105)$$

Suppose we consider the unitary transformation of Sylvester's formula⁶⁰ for the Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$:

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) = \sum_{m=-j}^j f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) \Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \quad (106)$$

Using Eq.(92), the transform of the left-hand side of Eq.(106) is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) \right] \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger = f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathcal{D}} J_z \hat{\mathcal{D}}^\dagger) \quad (107)$$

$$= f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (108)$$

whereas using Eq.(105), the transform of the right-hand side of Eq.(106) is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}} \left[\sum_{m=-j}^j f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \right] \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} = \sum_{m=-j}^j f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) \hat{\mathcal{D}} \left[\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \right] \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{\dagger} \quad (109)$$

$$= \sum_{m=-j}^j f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \quad (110)$$

In this manner, we obtain as expected the equivalent of Eq.(106), namely Sylvester's formula^{59,60} for the Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$:

$$f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \sum_{m=-j}^j f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \quad (111)$$

By exploiting the Chebyshev polynomial orthogonality relation of Eq.(73), both Eqs.(106) and (111) can be inverted to develop the following Chebyshev polynomial operator expansions for the projection operators:

$$\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z) \equiv \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) \hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} \quad (112)$$

$$\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \equiv \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) C_{\lambda \mu}^{\star}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda \mu}^{(j)} \quad (113)$$

The novelty (and utility) of these expansions lies in the fact that all the projectors can be expressed in terms of only one special function, namely the Chebyshev polynomials, where the scalars $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m)$ are the expansion coefficients and the operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z)$ or $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ are the expansion basis. For completeness, in Eqs.(112) and (113) we also provide the equivalent spin polarization operator expansions.

It is easy to verify that the $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ operators of Eq.(113) are actually projection operators, using the following identity for Clebsch-Gordan coefficients¹⁹

$$\sum_{\alpha=-a}^a (-1)^{a-\alpha} C_{a\alpha a-\alpha}^{c0} = \sqrt{2a+1} \delta_{c0} \quad (114)$$

This relation follows from the orthogonality relation for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients¹⁹

$$\sum_{m_1 m_2} C_{j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2}^{jm} C_{j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2}^{j'm'} = \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'} \quad (115)$$

A particular case of the orthogonality relation of Eq.(115) is the following relation

$$\sum_m C_{jmj-m}^{00} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0} = \delta_{\lambda 0} \quad (116)$$

Since the first Clebsch-Gordan coefficient in Eq.(116) is an example of the following special case^{19,32}

$$C_{a\alpha b\beta}^{00} = (-1)^{a-\alpha} \frac{\delta_{ab} \delta_{\alpha-\beta}}{\sqrt{2a+1}} \quad (117)$$

it can be evaluated as

$$C_{jmj-m}^{00} = \frac{(-1)^{j-m}}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \quad (118)$$

Substitution of this value in Eq.(116) leads to the sum identity of Eq.(114). Then, taking advantage again of the Chebyshev polynomial duality, the following sum over all Chebyshev polynomials can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=-j}^j f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) &= \sum_{m=-j}^j (-1)^{j-m} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0} \\ &= \sqrt{2j+1} \delta_{\lambda 0} \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

If $\Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ are indeed projection operators, then their sum should be the unit operator, which is easily verified using properties of the Chebyshev polynomials as follows:

$$\sum_{m=-j}^j \Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{m=-j}^j \left[\sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] \quad (120)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \boxed{\sum_{m=-j}^j f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m)} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (121)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \boxed{\sqrt{2j+1} \delta_{\lambda 0}} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (122)$$

$$= \sqrt{2j+1} f_0^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \sqrt{2j+1} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \right] = \mathbb{1} \quad (123)$$

The “boxed” term in Eq.(121) has been replaced by the “boxed” term in Eq.(122) using the result of Eq.(119).

2. Coherent state projectors

In the case of the highest magnetic projection number $m = j$, Eq.(113) yields a novel, compact expression for the coherent state projector $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j|$:

$$|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j| = \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(j, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(j) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (124)$$

The novelty of this expression resides in an operator expansion whose expansion coefficients and expansion operator basis are based exclusively on Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete variable. Spin coherent states can be viewed as a particular state of a spin system that most closely resembles a classical spin⁶¹. In this way, the spin eigenstate with maximal z -angular momentum is $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, j\rangle$ is associated with a classical system whose angular momentum points in the $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ direction⁶². By the same token, the spin state $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle$, associated with a classical system whose angular momentum points in the $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \equiv (\theta, \phi)$ direction, can be obtained by rotating $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, j\rangle$ by an angle θ about the y -axis followed by an angle ϕ about the z -axis^{61,62}:

$$|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle = e^{-i\phi J_z} e^{-i\theta J_y} |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, j\rangle \quad (125)$$

Exploiting the Chebyshev polynomial operator expansion of the coherent state projector in Eq.(124) leads to a very simple proof of the completeness or closure relation for the spin coherent states $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle$

$$\frac{2j+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j| d\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{2j+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j| d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (126)$$

$$= \frac{2j+1}{4\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi |\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j| \quad (127)$$

$$= \mathbb{1} \quad (128)$$

$$\text{where } d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \equiv d\Omega = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi \quad (129)$$

The relation of Eq.(128) also provides a resolution of the identity operator within the spin- j Hilbert space⁶¹.

Because

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\theta, \phi) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (130)$$

the corresponding solid angle integral relation is given by

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^2} f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \left[\int C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\theta, \phi) d\Omega \right] \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (131)$$

Then, making use of the Chebyshev polynomial operator expansion of the coherent state projector given in Eq.(124), we can obtain the closure relation of Eq.(128) as follows

$$\frac{2j+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}}, j| d\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{2j+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \Pi^{(j)}(j, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (132)$$

$$= \frac{2j+1}{4\pi} \sum_{L=0}^{2j} f_L^{(j)}(j) \boxed{\int_{\mathbf{S}^2} f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}}} \quad (133)$$

$$= \frac{2j+1}{4\pi} f_0^{(j)}(j) \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} f_0^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (134)$$

$$= 1 \quad (135)$$

In the first step of this derivation, the summation over L in Eq.(133) can be restricted to $L = 0$ because the integrals of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ over all solid angles in the “boxed” term are given by

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^2} f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{M=-L}^L \boxed{\int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi C_{LM}^*(\theta, \phi)} \hat{T}_{LM}^{(j)} \quad (136)$$

Then using the orthogonality relations³² for the Racah spherical harmonics in Eq.(56), and the fact that³²

$$C_{00}(\theta, \phi) = 1 \quad (137)$$

the integral of the Racah spherical harmonics function $C_{LM}^*(\theta, \phi)$ over all solid angles in

the “boxed” term of Eq.(136) is easily evaluated as

$$\int C_{LM}^*(\theta, \phi) d\Omega = \int C_{LM}^*(\theta, \phi) C_{00}(\theta, \phi) d\Omega = 4\pi \delta_{L0} \quad (138)$$

leading to the simplification of the “boxed” term in Eq.(133))

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^2} f_L^{(j)}(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} f_0^{(j)}(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (139)$$

In the second step, Eq.(134) can be simplified using the following properties of the Chebyshev polynomials $f_0^{(j)}(j)$ and Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_0^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$

$$f_0^{(j)}(j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \quad (140)$$

$$f_0^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \quad (141)$$

Using Eq.(124), the representation of the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ in Eq.(57) as the following decomposition on the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$

$$\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} = \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (142)$$

may easily be reexpressed as the following decomposition^{33,80} on the coherent state projectors $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j|$

$$\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} = \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}} [C_{jj\lambda 0}^{jj}]^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} Y_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j| d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (143)$$

$$= \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} [f_\lambda^{(j)}(j)]^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j| d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (144)$$

In Eq.(144), we have rewritten the decomposition^{33,80} of Eq.(143) by using Eq.(70) to replace the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient $C_{jj\lambda 0}^{jj}$ in Eq.(143) with the Chebyshev polynomial $f_\lambda^{(j)}(j)$ in Eq.(144).

D. Using Projection Operators to Calculate Transition Probabilities

A change of basis is well covered in quantum mechanics texts^{35,63,64}, but rarely so in the context of projection operators, and so in this subsection, we begin by providing a brief summary of the quantum mechanics background behind a trace relation used by Meckler^{10,11} to calculate the spin transition probability of Eq.(5):

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \left| \langle \hat{\mathbf{b}}, m' | \hat{\mathbf{a}}, m \rangle \right|^2 = \text{Tr} \left[\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{a}}) \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \right] \quad (145)$$

Using projection operators $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{a}})$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{b}})$, this expression gives the transition probability $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$ in a spin- j system that a spin, initially quantized along $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ with component m , will later be quantized along $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ with component m' .

Before we specialize to the case of spin- j systems, whose projection operators are defined by quantization axes, suppose we consider the more general case of a Hermitian operator \mathbf{X} whose eigenvalues are labeled by the index i . Making use of Sylvester's formula^{59,60}, this operator can be expressed in terms of projection operators $\mathbf{\Pi}(i)$ and the eigenvalues x_i of \mathbf{X} as the spectral decomposition of \mathbf{X} ⁶⁴

$$\mathbf{X} = \sum_i x_i \mathbf{\Pi}(i) \quad (146)$$

$$\sum_i \mathbf{\Pi}(i) = \mathbb{1} \quad (147)$$

Then, multiplying Eq.(146) by $\mathbf{\Pi}(m)$, we find

$$\mathbf{\Pi}(m) \mathbf{X} = \sum_i x_i \boxed{\mathbf{\Pi}(m) \mathbf{\Pi}(i)} \quad (148)$$

$$= \sum_i x_i \mathbf{\Pi}(m) \delta_{mi} \quad (149)$$

$$= x_m \mathbf{\Pi}(m) \quad (150)$$

The “boxed” term of Eq.(148) has been simplified using the idempotency of projection

operators⁶⁴:

$$\mathbf{\Pi}(m) \mathbf{\Pi}(i) = 0 \quad (\text{if } m \neq i) \quad (151)$$

$$= [\mathbf{\Pi}(m)]^2 = \mathbf{\Pi}(m) \quad (\text{if } m = i) \quad (152)$$

Taking the trace of both sides of Eq.(150), we obtain

$$\text{Tr} [\mathbf{\Pi}(m) \mathbf{X}] = x_m \boxed{\text{Tr} [\mathbf{\Pi}(m)]} = x_m \quad (153)$$

In a representation in which $\mathbf{\Pi}(m)$ is diagonal, the only non-zero diagonal element is $[\mathbf{\Pi}(m)]_{mm} = 1$, and since the trace is representation-invariant, the “boxed” term of Eq.(153) has been simplified using the fact that $\text{Tr} [\mathbf{\Pi}(m)] = 1$.

In order to specify the basis states we have been using in more detail, let $|\hat{\mathbf{a}}, m\rangle$ refer to basis ket states for a spin- j system quantized along $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ with component m , where $m = -j, -j+1, \dots, +j$. In this notation, Eq.(153) for example, is reexpressed as

$$\text{Tr} [\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{a}}) \mathbf{X}] = x_m \equiv \langle \hat{\mathbf{a}}, m | \mathbf{X} | \hat{\mathbf{a}}, m \rangle \quad (154)$$

while the projection operator $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{a}})$ is given by

$$\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{a}}) = |\hat{\mathbf{a}}, m\rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{a}}, m| \quad (155)$$

$$= \prod_{\substack{r=-j \\ r \neq m}}^j \left\{ \frac{r\mathbf{I} - (\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}{m - r} \right\} \quad (156)$$

That the form for $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{a}})$ given in Eq.(156) is a projector can be verified by considering the following identity^{59,64} for an operator \mathbf{A} with distinct eigenvalues a_i and corresponding eigenkets $|A_k\rangle$

$$\mathbf{P}_j |A_k\rangle = \prod_{i \neq j} \left\{ \frac{a_i \mathbb{1} - \mathbf{A}}{a_i - a_j} \right\} |A_k\rangle = \delta_{jk} |A_k\rangle \quad (157)$$

$$\text{where } \mathbf{A} |A_k\rangle = a_k |A_k\rangle \quad (158)$$

The explicit expression given in Eq.(156) for the projection operators can be used for the spectral decomposition of Eq.(146) if the operator \mathbf{X} has distinct eigenvalues. If operator \mathbf{X} , introduced in Eq.(146), should represent the projector for a state quantized along $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, with component m'

$$\mathbf{X} = |\hat{\mathbf{b}}, m'\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{b}}, m'| \equiv \Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \quad (159)$$

then using the result of Eq.(154), we find that

$$\text{Tr} [\Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{a}}) \Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{b}})] = \langle\hat{\mathbf{a}}, m|\hat{\mathbf{b}}, m'\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{b}}, m'|\hat{\mathbf{a}}, m\rangle \quad (160)$$

$$= \left| \langle\hat{\mathbf{b}}, m'|\hat{\mathbf{a}}, m\rangle \right|^2 \quad (161)$$

which is just the transition probability that a spin, initially quantized along $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ with component m , will later be quantized along $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ with component m' . In the next section, this expression will be used to calculate spin transition probabilities following the elegant method originally described by Meckler.^{10,11}

IV. Meckler's Formula for Transition Probabilities

A. Meckler's formula

Meckler¹¹ cleverly eschewed the canonical form^{59,65,66} of the projection operator matrices given in Eq.(156) in favor of an expansion (see Eq.(113)) in Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ and Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$

$$\Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (162)$$

In so doing, Meckler¹¹ avoided what would necessarily have been a very challenging exercise in calculating expectation values for trace calculations. Just how challenging these trace calculations might have been can be gauged by Balasubramanian's calculation⁶⁵ of the

“spin-flip” transition probability $P_{j,-j}^{(j)}(t)$ using Sylvester’s formula. Only in this special case, when the initial and final state magnetic quantum numbers differed by the maximum value of $2j$, could the matrix elements of the canonical projection operators introduced by Sylvester’s formula^{59,60} be evaluated, and then summed to yield a closed-form expression⁶⁵. Meckler’s unorthodox approach to calculating the spin transition probability^{10,11} relied on the use of projection operators expanded in a Chebyshev polynomial operator basis $f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ as described in Section **3.2.1**. The foundation of Meckler’s calculation¹⁰ is an expression which gives the transition probability that a spin, initially quantized along $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ with component m , will later be quantized along $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ with component m' . This probability was expressed in Eq.(161) as a trace of projection operators as follows¹⁰

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \left| \langle \hat{\mathbf{b}}, m' | \hat{\mathbf{a}}, m \rangle \right|^2 = \text{Tr} \left[\Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{a}}) \Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \right] \quad (163)$$

As we shall see, Meckler’s choice of operator basis^{10,11} was pivotal since these Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ are endowed with properties (see Eq.(51) for example) that render the trace calculation in Eq.(163) trivial. In this section, we shall devote the first two subsections to discussing two proofs of Meckler’s formula¹⁰, the first of which is due to Meckler^{10,11}, and the second of which is due to Schwinger⁵¹. A discussion of the relationship between these proofs in the third subsection will lead to a novel trace relation for $\left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(R) \right|^2$.

1. First proof: using projection operators expanded in terms of the $f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ operators

Given a spin initially quantized along a unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ with component m , the probability that it is quantized along a unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ with component m' at a later time t was

calculated by Meckler^{10,11} to be

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \text{Tr} \left[\boxed{\Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{a}})} \boxed{\Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{b}})} \right] \quad (164)$$

$$= \text{Tr} \left[\boxed{\sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} \boxed{\sum_{\lambda'=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(m') f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} \right] \quad (165)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(m') \text{Tr} \left[f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] \quad (166)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(m') \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} P_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \quad (167)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m') P_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \quad (168)$$

The ingenuity of Meckler's projection operator approach^{10,11} to calculating the spin transition probability $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$ is evident in this calculation. By exploiting the properties of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ (see Eq.(51)), Meckler was able to circumvent the trace calculation in Eq.(164). Even more ingenious was Meckler's choice¹⁰ for the quantization axis $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, which up to now we have left unspecified. Meckler chose¹⁰ to define $\hat{\mathbf{b}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{b}}(t)$ as a moving instantaneous axis along which the precessing spin vector maintains its quantization. Table VI compares the relative orientations of Meckler's instantaneous axis $\hat{\mathbf{b}}(t)$ ¹⁰ in the middle column with the corresponding relative orientations of a precessing magnetic moment $\hat{\mathbf{m}}(t)$ according to Abragam¹ in the right column, and it is evident that $Z = \cos \alpha$, where α , the angle between the initial orientation of the magnetic moment $\hat{\mathbf{m}}(0)$ along the magnetic field $H_0 \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and its orientation at a later time t^1 , is also just the angle between the uniform field $H_0 \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and Meckler's instantaneous axis $\hat{\mathbf{b}}(t)$ ¹⁰. By tethering his instantaneous axis to the precessing spin vector, Meckler¹⁰ was able to compare the results of his spin transition probability calculation with that of Majorana's^{2,3}. For the remainder of this article we shall use $\beta \equiv \beta(t)$ (and not α) to denote

the relative orientation of this instantaneous axis with respect to the magnetic field.

2. *Second proof (by Schwinger): using the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of the direct product*

In 1959, in response to Meckler's 1958 paper¹⁰ on the Majorana formula², Schwinger submitted a brief note to The Physical Review which contained an alternative proof of Meckler's version¹⁰ of the Majorana formula². According to Schwinger⁵¹, that note was rejected, but it appeared eighteen years later in full as an Appendix in an article by Schwinger⁵¹. Because Schwinger⁵¹ only provided the outlines of his proof, using notation that is by now quite outdated, in this section, we provide a detailed discussion of Schwinger's proof using modern notation. Schwinger⁵¹ also relied exclusively on an Euler angle (α, β, γ) parametrization of rotation matrices, a restriction which is of course not necessary. We demonstrate that by using Schwinger's approach⁵¹ with both an angle-axis $(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ and Euler angle (α, β, γ) parametrization to derive Meckler's version¹⁰ of the Majorana formula².

Expressed in terms of the rotation matrices, the spin transition probability is given by¹

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right|^2 \quad (169)$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \left[\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right]^* \quad (170)$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \mathcal{D}_{m'm}^{(j)}(-\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \quad (171)$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) (-1)^{m'-m} \mathcal{D}_{-m-m'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \quad (172)$$

Well-known properties¹⁹ of the $\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ matrices have been used to rewrite the complex conjugated matrix element in the “boxed” term of Eq.(170) in Eqs.(171) and (172).

The Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of the Kronecker (or direct) product “ \otimes ” is expressed

as the reducible sum “ \oplus ”^{32,63}

$$\mathcal{D}^{(j_1)} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{(j_2)} = \sum_{j=|j_1-j_2|}^{j_1+j_2} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{(j)} \quad (173)$$

In terms of a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient series, and an angle-axis $R \equiv R(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$

parametrization of the $\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(J)}(R)$ matrices, this decomposition takes the explicit form¹⁹

$$\mathcal{D}_{M_1 N_1}^{(J_1)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \mathcal{D}_{M_2 N_2}^{(J_2)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{J=|J_1-J_2|}^{J_1+J_2} \sum_{MN} C_{J_1 M_1 J_2 M_2}^{JM} \mathcal{D}_{MN}^{(J)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) C_{J_1 N_1 J_2 N_2}^{JN} \quad (174)$$

We can now use the Clebsch-Gordan series of Eq.(174) to reexpress the relation of

Eq.(172) as Meckler’s formula^{10,11} for the transition probability:

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right|^2 \quad (175)$$

$$= (-1)^{m'-m} \boxed{\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \mathcal{D}_{-m-m'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})} \quad (176)$$

$$= (-1)^{m'-j+j-m} \boxed{\sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0} C_{jm'j-m'}^{\lambda 0} \mathcal{D}_{00}^{(\lambda)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})} \quad (177)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \boxed{(-1)^{j-m} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0}} \boxed{(-1)^{j-m'} C_{jm'j-m'}^{\lambda 0}} \mathcal{D}_{00}^{(\lambda)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \quad (178)$$

The phase factor in Eq.(176) has been rewritten in Eqs.(177) and (178) as

$$(-1)^{m'-m} = (-1)^{m'-j+j-m} = (-1)^{j-m} (-1)^{j-m'} \quad (179)$$

since $(-1)^{m-j} = (-1)^{j-m}$ for all values of j (integral and half-integral). The “boxed”

$\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$ -matrix product term in the same equation has been rewritten as the “boxed” term in

Eq.(177) using the Clebsch-Gordan series of Eq.(174). Each of the “boxed” terms in

Eq.(178) is a Chebyshev polynomial ($f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m)$ or $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m')$ as defined in Eq.(8)), and as

shown in Appendix B, the rotation matrix element $\mathcal{D}_{00}^{\lambda}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ can be written as an λ -th

order Legendre polynomial¹⁹:

$$\mathcal{D}_{00}^{(\lambda)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = d_{00}^{(\lambda)}(\xi) \equiv P_{\lambda}(\cos \xi) = P_{\lambda}(\cos \beta) \quad (180)$$

The transition probability of Eq.(178) can finally then be rewritten as Meckler's formula¹¹, a Fourier-Legendre series, whose expansion coefficients are products of Chebyshev polynomials:

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right|^2 = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m') P_{\lambda}(\cos \beta) \quad (181)$$

In the case of an Euler angle $R \equiv R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ parametrization of the $\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(R)$ matrices, the Clebsch-Gordan series of Eq.(173) takes the same explicit form¹⁹ as that of Eq.(174)

$$\mathcal{D}_{M_1 N_1}^{(J_1)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mathcal{D}_{M_2 N_2}^{(J_2)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{J=|J_1-J_2|}^{J_1+J_2} \sum_{MN} C_{J_1 M_1 J_2 M_2}^{JM} \mathcal{D}_{MN}^{(J)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) C_{J_1 N_1 J_2 N_2}^{JN} \quad (182)$$

A slight modification of the same argument can be used to arrive at the same result for the transition probability $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$ when the $\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(R)$ matrices are parametrized by Euler angles $R \equiv R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. In this case, the transition probability is given by^{1,51}

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right|^2 \quad (183)$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \boxed{\left[\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right]^*} \quad (184)$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \boxed{(-1)^{m-m'} \mathcal{D}_{-m-m'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \quad (185)$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = e^{-im\alpha} d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta) e^{-im'\gamma} \quad (186)$$

As above, the corresponding well-known properties¹⁹ of the $\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ matrices have been used to rewrite the complex conjugated matrix element in the “boxed” term of Eq.(184) in Eq.(185). As Schwinger noted⁵¹, the net effect of the time-dependent radiofrequency field is to rotate the angular momentum vector of the magnetic moment through a definite angle, the Euler angle β . This is the same angle that Meckler used¹⁰ to keep track of the angle between the uniform static field and his instantaneous axis $\hat{\mathbf{b}}(t)$.

We can now use the Clebsch-Gordan series of Eq.(182) to reexpress the relation of

Eq.(185) as Meckler's formula^{10,11} for the transition probability:

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right|^2 \quad (187)$$

$$= (-1)^{m-m'} \boxed{\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mathcal{D}_{-m-m'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \quad (188)$$

$$= (-1)^{m-j+j-m'} \boxed{\sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0} C_{jm'j-m'}^{\lambda 0} \mathcal{D}_{00}^{(\lambda)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \quad (189)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \boxed{(-1)^{j-m} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0}} \boxed{(-1)^{j-m'} C_{jm'j-m'}^{\lambda 0}} \mathcal{D}_{00}^{(\lambda)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (190)$$

The phase factor in Eq.(188) has been successively rewritten in Eqs.(189) and (190) as

$$(-1)^{m-m'} = (-1)^{m-j+j-m'} = (-1)^{j-m} (-1)^{j-m'} \quad (191)$$

since $(-1)^{m-j} = (-1)^{j-m}$ for all values of j (integral and half-integral). The “boxed” term of Eq.(188) has been reexpressed in Eq.(189) using the Clebsch-Gordan series of Eq.(182).

Each of the “boxed” terms in Eq.(190) is a Chebyshev polynomial ($f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m)$ or $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m')$ as defined in Eq.(8)), and the rotation matrix element $\mathcal{D}_{00}^{(\lambda)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ can be written as an λ -th order Legendre polynomial¹⁹:

$$\mathcal{D}_{00}^{(\lambda)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = d_{00}^{(\lambda)}(\beta) \equiv P_{\lambda}(\cos \beta) \quad (192)$$

The transition probability of Eq.(190) can finally then be rewritten as Meckler's formula^{10,11}, a Fourier-Legendre series whose expansion coefficients are products of Chebyshev polynomials:

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right|^2 = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m') P_{\lambda}(\cos \beta) \quad (193)$$

As Schwinger⁶⁷ first noted (without proof), for a system initially prepared in a state with magnetic quantum number m , the sum of the transition probabilities over all possible final states labelled by m' should be unity:

$$\sum_{m'=-j}^j P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = 1 \quad (194)$$

Proving this relation could not be simpler with the use of the Majorana² formula in the version that Meckler^{10,11} first derived. By summing Eq.(193) over all final states, we find

$$\sum_{m'=-j}^j P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \sum_{m'=-j}^j \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m') P_{\lambda}(\cos \beta) \quad (195)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) \boxed{\sum_{m'=-j}^j f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m')} P_{\lambda}(\cos \beta) \quad (196)$$

In order to handle the sum over Chebyshev polynomials in the “boxed” term of Eq.(196), we exploit the Chebyshev polynomial orthogonality relation of Eq.(73):

$$\sum_{m=-j}^j f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(m) = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \quad (197)$$

If $\lambda' = 0$, then we have the following special case of this relation:

$$\sum_{m=-j}^j f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) \boxed{f_0^{(j)}(m)} = \delta_{\lambda 0} \quad (198)$$

$$\sum_{m=-j}^j f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) = \sqrt{2j+1} \delta_{\lambda 0} \quad (199)$$

The “boxed” term of Eq.(198) has been evaluated using the relation^{28,39}

$$f_0^{(j)}(m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \quad (200)$$

The identity of Eq.(199) not only evaluates the sum in the “boxed” term of Eq.(196), but it shows that in the sum over λ in Eq.(196), only the $\lambda = 0$ term contributes. Finally then, the sum over all final states give the following expected result for the total probability:

$$\sum_{m'=-j}^j P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \sqrt{2j+1} f_0^{(j)}(m) P_0(\cos \beta) \quad (201)$$

$$= 1 \quad (202)$$

using the fact that $P_0(\cos \beta) = 1$.

In the context of the Meckler formula^{10,11}, there are alternatives to the use of Chebyshev polynomials $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) = \langle jm | f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z) | jm \rangle$, and in fact Schwinger⁵¹ did not choose to

express the Clebsch-Gordon coefficients in Eqs.(178) or (190) in terms of Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$, but rather in terms of matrix elements of Legendre polynomial operators $P_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$ ⁵¹. These operators are discussed in Appendix A.

B. How are the two proofs related?

Both methods for calculating $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$ have a foundation in angular momentum theory, either angular momentum algebra in Meckler's case¹¹, or angular momenta composition in Schwinger's case⁵¹. No matter which method is used, either Meckler's original approach using projection operators^{10,11}, or that adopted by Schwinger⁵¹, the final result for the Majorana spin transition probability² is of course the same

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(R) \right|^2 = \text{Tr} \left[\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{a}}) \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \right] = \text{Tr} \left[\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{z}}') \right] \quad (203)$$

In this result for $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$, $R \equiv R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ or $R \equiv R(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ or any other parametrization⁵⁵ of R for that matter, since the first equality of Eq.(203) does not depend on this parametrization as shown in Section 4.1.2. On the other hand, as shown in Section 4.1.1, each trace is also a valid expression for the transition probability $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$. But how can that be, since Schwinger's approach⁵¹ discussed in Section 4.1.2 only uses $\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(R)$ matrix elements in the basis set $|jm\rangle \equiv |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle$ (corresponding to a $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ quantization axis), whereas Meckler's approach^{10,11} discussed in Section 4.1.1 uses two distinct basis sets $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle$ and $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}', m'\rangle$ (corresponding to two distinct quantization axes, $\hat{\mathbf{a}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{z}}'$, respectively) to define the projection operators $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}})$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{z}}')$?

There is nothing physical about the quantization axes, since they are just a way of labeling states, and certainly the final result for the transition probability cannot depend on the choice of quantization axes for the initial and final states. In order to demonstrate this

independence, a careful consideration of basis set transformations is required. Following Brink and Satchler³², we note that if a set of axes (x', y', z') is obtained by a rotation R from a set (x, y, z) , then the eigenstates $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}', n\rangle$ of $(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}') \equiv J_{z'}$ are determined by rotating the corresponding eigenstates $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, n\rangle$ of J_z along with the axes. In this way, the state $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, n\rangle$ is transformed by the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R)$ as follows

$$|\hat{\mathbf{z}}', n\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R) |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, n\rangle \quad (204)$$

$$= \boxed{\sum_{m=-j}^j |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m|} \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R) |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, n\rangle \quad (205)$$

$$= \sum_{m=-j}^j |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \mathcal{D}_{mn}^{(j)}(R) \quad (206)$$

The “boxed” term of Eq.(205) is a representation of the identity operator $\mathbb{1}$, using the completeness relation for the eigenstates $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle$:

$$\mathbb{1} = \sum_{m=-j}^j |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m| \quad (207)$$

The states $\langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, n|$ conjugate to those rotated in Eq.(204) are transformed by the adjoint (transpose conjugate) rotation operator $[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R)]^\dagger$ as follows³²

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}', n| = \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, n| [\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R)]^\dagger = \sum_{m=-j}^j [\mathcal{D}_{mn}^{(j)}(R)]^* \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m| \quad (208)$$

$$\text{where } [\mathcal{D}_{mn}^{(j)}(R)]^* = \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m| \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R) |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, n\rangle^* = \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, n| [\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R)]^\dagger |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \quad (209)$$

Then, referring to Eq.(203)

$$\left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(R) \right|^2 = \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(R) [\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(R)]^* \quad (210)$$

$$= \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m| \boxed{\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R) |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m'\rangle} \boxed{\langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m'| [\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R)]^\dagger} |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \quad (211)$$

$$= \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m| \boxed{|\hat{\mathbf{z}}', m'\rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}', m'|} |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \quad (212)$$

$$= \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m| \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{z}}') |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \quad (213)$$

$$= \text{Tr} \left[\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{z}}') \right] \quad (214)$$

We recognize the “boxed” terms of Eq.(211) as the state transformation equations of Eqs.(204) and (208), and identify the “boxed” term of Eq.(212) as the projection operator $\Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{z}}')$:

$$\Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{z}}') = |\hat{\mathbf{z}}', m'\rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}', m'| \quad (215)$$

Finally, Eq.(214) was obtained from Eq.(213) by using the following form of Eq.(154) expressed in the notation of Eqs.(210 - 214):

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m | \mathbf{X} | \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m \rangle = \text{Tr} \left[\Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{X} \right] \quad (216)$$

$$\text{where } \mathbf{X} = \Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{z}}') \quad (217)$$

We conclude by stating the result in Eq.(214) in more general terms. For a spin- j system, whose magnetic quantum numbers are chosen from the set $\left\{ m \right\}_{-j}^j$, let us consider two quantization axes, defined by unit vectors $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}'$, where the quantization axis $\hat{\mathbf{z}}'$ is obtained from $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ by a rotation R . Associated with these axes are projection operators $\Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}})$ and $\Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{z}}')$, each of which is also a function of a magnetic quantum number (m or m'). Acting on an arbitrary superposition of multiplet states $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, n\rangle$, $\Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) = |\hat{\mathbf{z}}, m\rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}, m|$ singles out the component with the projection $(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}) = m$, whereas $\Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{z}}') = |\hat{\mathbf{z}}', m'\rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{z}}', m'|$, acting on an arbitrary superposition of multiplet states $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}', n\rangle$, singles out the component with the projection $(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}') = m'^{66}$. Then the modulus squared of the Wigner rotation matrix element $\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(R)$ is given by the trace of the product of these projection operators as follows:

$$\boxed{\left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(R) \right|^2 = \text{Tr} \left[\Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{z}}') \right] = P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)} \quad (218)$$

As we noted at the outset, and as we emphasize once again, this result for the transition probability $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$ does not depend upon the parametrization of R . What it does very

much depend upon is the relative orientation of the quantization axes axes $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}'$ as shown in Section 4.1.

C. Applications of the Meckler formula and related expressions

Although Schwinger⁵¹ took notice of Meckler’s formula^{10,11}, and Biedenharn and Louck mention it in their discussion of the Majorana formula², Meckler’s formula¹⁰ for the Majorana² spin transition probability has remained relatively obscure. In this section, some practical applications of the Meckler formula¹⁰ are discussed. These applications include the calculation of the “spin-flip” probability $P_{j,-j}^{(j)}(t)$, and the elucidation of some properties of the Wigner rotation matrix elements. We also demonstrate the rediscovery of the Meckler formula in a recent solution for the multi-level Landau-Zener transition probability $P_{mm'}^{\text{LZ}}(t)$ by Fai et al.⁶⁸.

1. Spin-flip transition probabilities expressed as a Fourier-Legendre series

Meckler’s formula¹⁰ provides a straightforward answer to the calculation of the “spin-flip” probability $P_{j,-j}^{(j)}(t)$, the probability for a radiofrequency-induced transition in a spin- j system between the state $|j, j\rangle$ with the highest magnetic projection number, and the state $|j, -j\rangle$ with the lowest magnetic projection number. Using Meckler’s formula¹⁰ for $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$

given in Eq.(193),

$$P_{j,-j}^{(j)}(t) = \sum_{L=0}^{2j} \boxed{f_L^{(j)}(j) f_L^{(j)}(-j)} P_L(\cos \beta) \quad (219)$$

$$= \sum_{L=0}^{2j} c(j, L) P_L(\cos \beta) \quad (220)$$

$$= [(2j)!]^2 \sum_{L=0}^{2j} \frac{(-1)^L (2L+1)}{(2j-L)!(2j+L+1)!} P_L(\cos \beta) \quad (221)$$

$$= \left[\frac{1 - \cos \beta}{2} \right]^{2j} = [\sin(\beta/2)]^{4j} \quad (222)$$

$$= (\sin \Theta)^{4j} (\sin \psi/2)^{4j} \quad (223)$$

$$= \left[\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_e} \right]^{4j} \sin^{4j} \{\omega_e t/2\} \quad (224)$$

$$\text{where } \omega_e \equiv [\omega_1^2 + (\omega_0 - \omega)^2]^{1/2} \quad (225)$$

In Eq.(225), ω_e is the effective radiofrequency field strength, defined in terms of the applied radiofrequency field $\omega_1 = \gamma H_1$ and the resonance offset $\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega$ where $\omega_0 = \gamma H_0$ is the Larmor frequency. The results given in Eqs.(223) and (224) agree with analogous expressions obtained by Balasubramanian⁶⁵ using Sylvester's formula^{59,60}, and by Siemens et al.²⁰ using the Chebyshev polynomial operator expansion of the rotation operator as given in Eq.(273) below (see Section **5.1**). Each step leading to the expressions for the spin-flip probability given in Eqs.(223) and (224) is now justified. The first Chebyshev polynomial $f_L^{(j)}(j)$ in the “boxed” term of Eq.(219) can be evaluated using Eq.(71). After the second Chebyshev polynomial $f_L^{(j)}(-j)$ in the “boxed” term of Eq.(219) is evaluated using the parity relation of Eq.(20) (see Section **2.1.3**), the coefficients $c(j, L) = f_L^{(j)}(j) f_L^{(j)}(-j)$ in the Fourier-Legendre series expansion of the spin-flip transition probability $P_{j,-j}^{(j)}(t)$ in Eq.(220) are easily obtained. This expansion can be summed to yield the very simple and closed-form expression of Eq.(222), as described in Appendix C.

Using the same approach, Meckler's formula¹⁰ also provides a straightforward answer to the calculation of the “spin-flip” probability $P_{j-1, -(j-1)}^{(j)}(t)$, the probability in a spin- j system for a radiofrequency-induced transition between the state $|j, j-1\rangle$ with the next to highest magnetic projection number, and the state $|j, -(j-1)\rangle$ with the next to lowest magnetic projection number. Using Meckler's formula¹⁰ for $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$ given in Eq.(193),

$$P_{j-1, -(j-1)}^{(j)}(t) \quad (226)$$

$$= \sum_{L=0}^{2j} \boxed{f_L^{(j)}(j-1) f_L^{(j)}(-(j-1))} P_L(\cos \beta) \quad (227)$$

$$= \sum_{L=0}^{2j} c'(j, L) P_L(\cos \beta) \quad (228)$$

$$= \sum_{L=0}^{2j} \frac{(-1)^L [L(L+1) - 2j]^2 (2L+1) [(2j-1)!]^2}{(2j-L)!(2j+L+1)!} P_L(\cos \beta) \quad (229)$$

$$= \left[\frac{1 - \cos \beta}{2} \right]^{2(j-1)} [2j \cos^2(\beta/2) - 1]^2 = [\sin(\beta/2)]^{4(j-1)} [2j \cos^2(\beta/2) - 1]^2 \quad (230)$$

$$= (\sin \Theta)^{4(j-1)} (\sin \psi/2)^{4(j-1)} [2j \cos^2 \Theta \sin^2 \psi/2 - 1]^2 \quad (231)$$

$$= \left[\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_e} \right]^{4(j-1)} \sin^{4(j-1)} \{\omega_e t/2\} \left[2j \frac{(\omega_0 - \omega)^2}{\omega_e^2} \sin^2 \{\omega_e t/2\} - 1 \right]^2 \quad (232)$$

The first Chebyshev polynomial $f_L^{(j-1)}(j)$ in the “boxed” term of Eq.(227) can be evaluated using the relation of Eq.(69) between the Chebyshev polynomials $f_L^{(j)}(m)$ and the Clebsch-Gordan coupling coefficients C_{jmj-m}^{L0} , from which we obtain

$$f_L^{(j)}(j-1) = (-1)^{j-(j-1)} C_{j(j-1)j-(j-1)}^{L0} \quad (233)$$

$$= -[L(L+1) - 2j] \left[\frac{(2L+1) [(2j-1)!]^2}{(2j+L+1)! (2j-L)!} \right]^{1/2} \quad (234)$$

The Clebsch-Gordan coefficient $C_{j(j-1)j-(j-1)}^{L0}$ in Eq.(233) was evaluated using the relation¹⁹

$$C_{aa-1b\beta}^{c\gamma} = \delta_{\gamma-\beta, a-1} \{(c-\gamma)(c+\gamma+1) - (b+\beta)(b-\beta+1)\} \quad (235)$$

$$\times \left[\frac{(2c+1)(2a-1)!(-a+b+c)!(b-\beta)!(c+\gamma)!}{(a+b+c+1)!(a-b+c)!(a+b-c)!(b+\beta)!(c-\gamma)!} \right]^{1/2} \quad (236)$$

After the second Chebyshev polynomial $f_L^{(j)}(-(j-1))$ in the “boxed” term of Eq.(227) is evaluated the parity relation of Eq.(20) (see Section **2.1.3**), the coefficients $\mathcal{C}'(j, L) = f_L^{(j)}(j) f_L^{(j)}(-j)$ in the Fourier-Legendre series expansion of the spin-flip transition probability $P_{j-1, -(j-1)}^{(j)}(t)$ in Eq.(229) are easily obtained, and used to write the explicit form of this expansion in Eq.(230).

2. Multi-level Landau-Zener transition probability

Recently, Tchouobiap et al.⁶⁸ have solved the multi-level Landau-Zener problem to obtain the following exact analytical expression for the transition probability $P_{mm'}^{\text{LZ}}(t)$ between two Zeeman levels of an arbitrary spin S :

$$P_{mm'}^{\text{LZ}}(t) = \sum_{L=0}^{2S} \sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{2S+1}} \left[\hat{T}_{L0}^{(S)} \right]_{mm} C_{Sm'L0}^{Sm'} {}_2F_1[-L, L+1, 1; 1-p(t)] \quad (237)$$

$$\text{where } \left[\hat{T}_{L0}^{(S)} \right]_{mm} \equiv \langle Sm | \hat{T}_{L0}^{(S)} | Sm \rangle \quad (238)$$

$$\hat{T}_{LM}^{(S)} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{2S+1}} \sum_{m, m'} C_{SmLM}^{Sm'} |Sm'\rangle \langle Sm| \quad (239)$$

At first sight, the transition probability expression in Eq.(237) seems to be quite unrelated in form to the Meckler formula¹⁰, especially with the appearance of the hypergeometric function¹⁸ ${}_2F_1[-L, L+1, 1; 1-p(t)] \equiv {}_2F_1[a, b, c; d]$, matrix elements of the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{L0}^{(S)}$, and Clebsch-Gordan coefficients $C_{Sm'L0}^{Sm'}$. However, it is easy to show that the expression of Eq.(237) for $P_{mm'}^{\text{LZ}}(t)$ is actually identical to Meckler's formula¹⁰. First, using well-documented¹⁹ symmetry properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, and definitions of the hypergeometric functions^{17,18}, we can rewrite the summands in Eq.(237) in terms of either Chebyshev polynomials $f_L^{(S)}(m)$ or Legendre

polynomials $P_L(x)$ as follows:

$$\left[\hat{T}_{L0}^{(S)} \right]_{mm} = \langle Sm | f_L^{(S)}(J_z) | Sm \rangle = f_L^{(S)}(m) \quad (240)$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{2S+1}} C_{Sm'L0}^{Sm'} \equiv (-1)^{m'-S} C_{Sm'S-m'}^{L0} = f_L^{(S)}(m') \quad (241)$$

$${}_2F_1[-L, L+1, 1; 1-p(t)] \equiv P_L[2p(t)-1] \quad (242)$$

In Eq.(242), $P_L(x)$ is an L -th order Legendre polynomial, and the two-level Landau-Zener transition probability⁶⁸ $p(t)$ is given by

$$p(t) \equiv P_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(t) \quad (243)$$

With the results of Eqs.(240) to (242) in hand, the multi-level Landau-Zener transition probability formula derived by Tchouobiap et al.⁶⁸ can now be written in condensed form as

$$P_{mm'}^{LZ}(t) = \sum_{L=0}^{2S} \boxed{f_L^{(S)}(m)} \boxed{f_L^{(S)}(m')} P_L[2p(t)-1] \quad (244)$$

However, given that the two-level, spin-1/2 transition probability from Meckler's formula¹⁰ is

$$P_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \beta) \equiv p(t) \quad (245)$$

so that

$$\cos \beta = 2p(t) - 1 \quad (246)$$

Meckler's formula¹⁰ for the multi-level transition probability can be expressed as

$$P_{mm'}(t) = \sum_{L=0}^{2S} \boxed{f_L^{(S)}(m)} \boxed{f_L^{(S)}(m')} P_L[2p(t)-1] \quad (247)$$

which is identical in form to the multi-level Landau-Zener transition probability formula derived by Tchouobiap et al.⁶⁸.

3. Squared Wigner rotation matrix elements

Varshalovich et al.¹⁹ tabulate (without proof) the following expression for the squares of the $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$ matrix elements when $\beta = \pi/2$:

$$\left[\mathcal{D}_{MM'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma) \right]^2 = e^{-i2M\alpha - i2M'\gamma} (-1)^{M-M'} \sum_{L=0,2,4,\dots} (-1)^{L/2} \frac{(L-1)!!}{L!!} C_{JM J-M}^{L0} C_{JM' J-M'}^{L0} \quad (248)$$

Proving this expression is trivial using the Majorana formula expressions we have just discussed in Section 4.1. To begin, note that for any complex number $z \equiv |z| e^{i\zeta}$,

$$z^2 = |z|^2 e^{2i\zeta} \quad (249)$$

so that in particular,

$$\left[\mathcal{D}_{MM'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right]^2 = \left| \mathcal{D}_{MM'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right|^2 e^{-i2(M\alpha + M'\gamma)} \quad (250)$$

where the phase angle of Eq.(249) $\zeta = -(M\alpha + M'\gamma)$. To verify the expression for

$\left[\mathcal{D}_{MM'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma) \right]^2$ given in Eq.(248), it remains to determine $\left| \mathcal{D}_{MM'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma) \right|^2$, and this can easily be done from the Majorana expansion of Eq.(193) evaluated when $\beta = \frac{\pi}{2}$:

$$\left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma) \right|^2 = \sum_{L=0}^{2j} \boxed{f_L^{(j)}(m)} \boxed{f_L^{(j)}(m')} P_L(\cos \frac{\pi}{2}) \quad (251)$$

$$= \sum_{L=0}^{2j} \boxed{(-1)^{j-m} C_{jm j-m}^{L0}} \boxed{(-1)^{j-m'} C_{jm' j-m'}^{L0}} P_L(0) \quad (252)$$

$$= (-1)^{m-m'} \sum_{L=0,2,4,\dots} (-1)^{L/2} \frac{(L-1)!!}{L!!} C_{jm j-m}^{L0} C_{jm' j-m'}^{L0} \quad (253)$$

The parity of the Legendre polynomials $P_L(\cos \beta)$ is even or odd, depending on whether L is even or odd. Those polynomials with odd parity will vanish at the origin, and therefore the only non-vanishing values of the Legendre polynomials $P_L(\cos \beta)$ evaluated at the origin (when $\cos \beta \equiv \cos(\pi/2) = 0$) are given by¹⁷

$$P_{2n}(0) = (-1)^n \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} \quad (254)$$

This identity restricts the sum in Eq.(253) to even values of $L = 2n$, and has also been used to evaluate $P_L(0)$ in Eq.(252). The “boxed” Chebyshev polynomial terms in Eq.(251) have been replaced by their Clebsch-Gordan coefficient equivalents in Eq.(252). After substitution of the expression for $\left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma) \right|^2$ given in Eq.(253) in Eq.(250), we obtain the relation of Eq.(248).

4. Squared reduced Wigner rotation matrix elements

a. Using Meckler’s formula to expand $\left[d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta) \right]^2$ in a Fourier-Legendre series.

In the Condon and Shortley phase convention⁶⁹, the reduced rotation matrices $d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta)$ are real, so that there is no distinction between the modulus squared of these matrices and their square:

$$\left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \right|^2 = \left| d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta) \right|^2 \equiv \left[d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta) \right]^2 \quad (255)$$

Therefore, Meckler’s expression^{10,11} for the Majorana transition probability² given in Eq.(193) can be rewritten as a Fourier-Legendre series for the squared reduced rotation matrix elements

$$\left[d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta) \right]^2 = \sum_{L=0}^{2j} \boxed{f_L^{(j)}(m)} \boxed{f_L^{(j)}(m')} P_L(\cos \beta) \quad (256)$$

$$= (-1)^{m-m'} \sum_{L=0}^{2j} \boxed{C_{jmj-m}^{L0}} \boxed{C_{jm'j-m'}^{L0}} P_L(\cos \beta) \quad (257)$$

Just as we simplified the expansion of $\left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \frac{\pi}{2}, \gamma) \right|^2$ in Eq.(251), the “boxed” Chebyshev polynomial terms in Eq.(256) have been replaced by their Clebsch-Gordan coefficient equivalents in Eq.(257). A version of this latter relation, adapted to accomodate inversion symmetry of coordinate frame rotations (so that $L = 0, 2, 4, \dots$), proved indispensable in a theoretical analysis⁷⁰ of NMR spin-lattice relaxation of ^2H and ^{14}N nuclei in lipid bilayers and membrane systems.

b. Inverting Meckler's formula

If we were to view Eq.(256) as a system of equations for the unknowns $P_L(\cos \beta)$, then we could use standard matrix techniques to solve for these unknowns. However, a more direct approach is possible using the properties of the basis functions $f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. The trace relation of Eq.(51)

$$\text{Tr} \left[f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{L'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] = \delta_{LL'} P_L(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (258)$$

can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{LL'} P_L(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \\ &= \text{Tr} \left[\boxed{f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} \boxed{f_{L'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J})} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (259)$$

$$= \text{Tr} \left[\boxed{\sum_{m=-j}^j f_L^{(j)}(m) \Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})} \boxed{\sum_{m'=-j}^j f_{L'}^{(j)}(m') \Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{n}}')} \right] \quad (260)$$

$$= \sum_{m, m'=-j}^j f_L^{(j)}(m) f_{L'}^{(j)}(m') \text{Tr} \left[\Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \Pi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \right] \quad (261)$$

$$= \sum_{m, m'=-j}^j f_L^{(j)}(m) f_{L'}^{(j)}(m') \left[d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta) \right]^2 \quad (262)$$

Each of the “boxed” basis functions $f_L^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ in Eq.(259) has been replaced in Eq.(260) by the corresponding Sylvester's formula^{59,60} expansions from Section **3.2.1**, while the trace of the projection operator product in Eq.(261) has been reduced to the square of reduced matrix elements $\left[d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta) \right]^2$ using Eqs.(203) and (255). Taking advantage of the delta function on the left-hand side of Eq.(259), we arrive at the final result for the unknowns $P_L(\cos \beta)$:

$$P_L(\cos \beta) = \sum_{m, m'=-j}^j f_L^{(j)}(m) f_L^{(j)}(m') \left[d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta) \right]^2 \quad (263)$$

This inverse of Meckler's¹⁰ Majorana formula for the spin transition probability is an intriguing expression, because the Legendre polynomial $P_L(\cos \beta)$ is clearly j -independent,

whereas every expansion term is j -dependent. Once L is fixed, the elements of any reduced matrix $d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta)$ (arbitrary j) suffice to calculate the expansion, with expansion coefficients given by the product of appropriate j -dependent Chebyshev polynomials $f_L^{(j)}(m)$. The summation of Eq.(263), as well as other closely related summations⁷¹ involving $\left[d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta)\right]^2$ and $P_L(\cos \beta)$, may be derived using the Clebsch-Gordan series discussed in Section 4.1.2 and the orthogonality condition of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients¹⁹.

Beyond the well-known symmetry properties of the reduced Wigner rotation matrix elements $d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta)$ ¹⁹, the relation of Eq.(263) puts an additional constraint on the values of the $(2j+1) \times (2j+1)$ matrix $d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta)$. When $L=0$, the simplest version of this constraint is

$$P_0(\cos \beta) \equiv 1 = \sum_{m, m'=-j}^j f_0^{(j)}(m) f_0^{(j)}(m') \left[d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta)\right]^2 \quad (264)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2j+1} \sum_{m, m'=-j}^j \left[d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta)\right]^2 \quad (265)$$

$$\text{since}^{28,39} \quad f_0^{(j)}(m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \quad (266)$$

From the constraint of Eq.(265), it follows that for any reduced matrix $d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta)$, the sum of all of its squared elements is $2j+1$:

$$\sum_{m, m'=-j}^j \left[d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta)\right]^2 = 2j+1 \quad (267)$$

But this relation is actually just a consequence of the unitarity of the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(R)$. A special case of the unitarity sum of the rotation matrices $\mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the following orthogonality sum of the (real) reduced rotation matrix elements⁷

$$\sum_{m''=-j}^j d_{m'm''}^{(j)}(\beta) d_{mm''}^{(j)}(\beta) = \delta_{m'm} \quad (268)$$

Setting $m' = m$ in Eq.(268) leads to the relation

$$\sum_{m''=-j}^j d_{m'm''}^{(j)}(\beta) d_{m'm''}^{(j)}(\beta) \equiv \sum_{m''=-j}^j \left[d_{m'm''}^{(j)}(\beta) \right]^2 = 1 \quad (269)$$

which when summed over both sides, leads again to the identity of Eq.(267)

$$\sum_{m', m''=-j}^j \left[d_{m'm''}^{(j)}(\beta) \right]^2 = 2j + 1 \quad (270)$$

V. Operator Expansions

A. Rotation Operator

Developing polynomial operator expressions in the variable $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ for the rotation operator

$\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$ is a challenging problem. Over the last five decades, it has been solved

by a variety of ingenious methods^{21,72-79}, but the solution presented by Corio^{21,22}

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \equiv e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \text{Tr} \left[f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (271)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} a_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\psi) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (272)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} i^{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\psi) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (273)$$

is unique because the basis operator polynomials $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ used by Corio^{21,22} define an orthonormal set²¹

$$\text{Tr} \left[f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \quad (274)$$

and because these polynomials first introduced in a physics application by Meckler¹¹ are the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. The general form of Corio's Chebyshev polynomial operator expansion²¹ given in Eq.(272) I have modified in Eq.(273) in order to introduce the generalized characters $\chi_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\psi)$ ¹⁹. The canonical differential relation¹⁹ which

defines the generalized characters $\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi)$ in terms of the Gegenbauer polynomials^{16,24}

$C_{2j}^1(c)$ is

$$\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) = \sqrt{2j+1} \sqrt{\frac{(2j-\lambda)!}{(2j+\lambda+1)!}} s^\lambda \left(\frac{d}{dc}\right)^\lambda \chi^{(j)}(\psi) \quad (275)$$

$$\text{where } \chi^{(j)}(\psi) = C_{2j}^1(c) \quad (276)$$

$$s = \sin(\psi/2) \quad (277)$$

$$c = \cos(\psi/2) \quad (278)$$

Well-documented properties of the Gegenbauer polynomials^{16,24} can then be used to derive

the following Gegenbauer polynomial definition¹⁹ of the generalized characters $\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi)$

which we will use in Section **6.1.1**:

$$\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) = (2\lambda)!! \sqrt{2j+1} \sqrt{\frac{(2j-\lambda)!}{(2j+\lambda+1)!}} s^\lambda C_{2j-\lambda}^{\lambda+1}(c) \quad (279)$$

It is instructive to compare the differential relation of Eq.(275) with the trace relation

obtained from Eq.(271) that defines the generalized characters $\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi)$ in terms of the

Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ and the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$

$$\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) = i^{3\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2\lambda+1}} \text{Tr} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] \quad (280)$$

Neither definition is more fundamental, but it goes without saying that calculating traces

is far simpler than calculating derivatives.

The important role that the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ play in

representations of the rotation group is evident in the expansion coefficients as defined by

Corio²¹

$$a_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) = \boxed{\text{Tr} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] = i^\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi)} \quad (281)$$

which are proportional to the generalized characters $\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi)$ ¹⁹ of the rotation group. The

first equality of Eq.(281) is due to Corio²¹, but the “boxed” relation of Eq.(281) is new,

and, as we illustrate with an example in Appendix D, can be used as another definition of the generalized characters $\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi)$. Several other definitions of $\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi)$ are tabulated in Varshalovich et al.¹⁹. Putting $\lambda = 0$ in this “boxed” relation, we recover the character $\chi^{(j)}(\psi)$ of the irreducible representation $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$

$$\text{Tr} \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] = \chi^{(j)}(\psi) \quad (282)$$

using the facts that^{19,21}

$$f_0^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \quad (283)$$

$$\chi_0^{(j)}(\psi) \equiv \chi^{(j)}(\psi) \quad (284)$$

By taking the trace of the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ expanded in the Chebyshev polynomial operator basis $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, the character $\chi^{(j)}(\psi)$ of irreducible representations of the rotation group can be obtained. Using Corio’s expansion²¹ of the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$, this trace can be evaluated as

$$\text{Tr} \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} i^\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) \boxed{\text{Tr} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right]} \quad (285)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} i^\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) \boxed{\sqrt{2j+1} \delta_{\lambda 0}} \quad (286)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \chi_0^{(j)}(\psi) \sqrt{2j+1} \quad (287)$$

$$= \chi^{(j)}(\psi) \quad (288)$$

$$\text{where}^{19} \quad \chi_0^{(j)}(\psi) \equiv \chi^{(j)}(\psi) \quad (289)$$

The “boxed” trace term in Eq.(285) has been replaced by the “boxed” term of Eq.(286) by

calculating the trace in a representation where $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ is diagonal. Then we find

$$\text{Tr} \left[f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] = \sum_m \langle m | f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z) | m \rangle \quad (290)$$

$$= \sum_m f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) \quad (291)$$

$$= \sqrt{2j+1} \delta_{\lambda 0} \quad (\text{using Eq.(119)}) \quad (292)$$

The Chebyshev polynomial expansions of the projection operators (see Eqs.(112) and (113) of Section **3.3.1**) are particularly effective when they are used with Sylvester's formula^{59,60}. We illustrate this effectiveness with a simple alternative derivation of the Chebyshev polynomial operator expansion of the rotation operator²¹ in the version given in Eq.(273). We begin by exploiting Sylvester's formula^{59,60} to write the exponential matrix operator as a sum of projection operators in Eq.(293), and then use the Chebyshev polynomial expansion (see Eq.(113) of Section **3.3.1**) of the projection operator $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$

$$e^{-i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} = \sum_{m=-j}^j e^{-im\psi} \boxed{\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})} \quad (293)$$

$$= \sum_{m=-j}^j e^{-im\psi} \boxed{\sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} \quad (294)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \boxed{\sum_{m=-j}^j e^{-im\psi} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m)} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (295)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \boxed{i^{-\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\psi)} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (296)$$

The projection operator $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ in the “boxed” term of Eq.(293) has been replaced by its Chebyshev polynomial expansion in the “boxed” term of Eq.(294). The sum in the “boxed” term of Eq.(295) has been reexpressed as the “boxed” term of Eq.(296) by converting a trigonometric series identity¹⁹ for the generalized characters of the rotation

group $\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi)$ into a Chebyshev polynomial series as follows:

$$\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) = i^\lambda \sum_{m=-j}^j e^{-im\psi} \boxed{C_{jm\lambda 0}^{jm}} \quad (297)$$

$$= i^\lambda \sum_{m=-j}^j e^{-im\psi} \boxed{\sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2\lambda+1}} (-1)^{j-m} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0}} \quad (298)$$

$$= i^\lambda \sum_{m=-j}^j e^{-im\psi} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2\lambda+1}} f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) \quad (299)$$

Well-documented¹⁹ symmetry properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients have been used to reexpress the “boxed” term in Eq.(297) as the “boxed” term in Eq.(298). The Clebsch-Gordan coefficient and phase factor in the “boxed” term of Eq.(298) have been replaced by their Chebyshev polynomial equivalent $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ in Eq.(299). Aside from the use of Sylvester’s formula^{59,60}, which is not particularly unique or novel, the novelty of this derivation is that it exploits some of the most felicitous properties of the Chebyshev polynomials, namely the Chebyshev polynomial operator expansion of the projection operator, and the duality of the Chebyshev polynomials as Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. Remarkably, it is clear in retrospect that in 1967, Albert⁷⁴ had anticipated Corio’s²¹ Chebyshev polynomial operator expansion of the rotation operator (see Eqs.(272 and (273)). In modern notation, Albert’s expansion of $\exp[i\psi J_z]$ as a finite sum of irreducible tensor components $\hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)}$ can be expressed as

$$e^{i\psi J_z} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} i^\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) \boxed{\hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)}} \quad (300)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} i^\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) \boxed{f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)} \quad (301)$$

The “boxed” irreducible tensor operator term in Eq.(300) has been reexpressed as the “boxed” Chebyshev polynomial operator term in Eq.(301) using the operator equivalence of Eq.(96). By considering the unitary transform of both sides of Eq.(301), and using the

results of similarity transforms summarized in Table V, we then obtain Corio's²¹

Chebyshev polynomial operator expansion of the rotation operator

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) e^{i\psi J_z} \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \right]^{\dagger} \quad (302)$$

$$= \exp \left\{ i\psi \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) J_z \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \right]^{\dagger} \right\} \quad (303)$$

$$= e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} \quad (304)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} i^{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\psi) \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \right]^{\dagger} \right\} \quad (305)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} i^{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\psi) \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z) \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \right]^{\dagger} \right\} \quad (306)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} i^{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\psi) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (307)$$

It is astonishing that Corio's^{21,22} Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ expansion of the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ in Eq.(273) is hardly known at all, due in no small part to the fact that this expansion is not mentioned or discussed in any angular momentum or quantum mechanics textbook. And yet this expansion emphasizes the fact the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ play a unique role in irreducible representations of the rotation group. In Section 5.3, we will exploit this expansion to derive a tomographic reconstruction relation for the density operator $\hat{\rho}$ using the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$.

B. Stratonovich-Weyl Operator

In the phase-space approach to spin, the conventional quantum mechanical operators are replaced by functions on the classical phase-space of the unit sphere \mathbf{S}^2 . Central to this correspondence between Hilbert space operators and functions on the phase-space is the Stratonovich-Weyl operator^{36,37}, also known as the Wigner-Stratonovich-Agarwal

operator^{33,38,80}. Beginning with the conventional representation^{33,36,38}, this operator (kernel) $\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ can be expanded in terms of the Chebyshev polynomial operator basis $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ as follows

$$\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2j+1}} \left[\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \right]^\dagger Y_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \quad (308)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2j+1}} \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} Y_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \quad (309)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \left[\mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J}) \right] \quad (310)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] \quad (311)$$

where the “boxed” term of Eq.(308) has been reexpressed using the following relation¹⁹ for the adjoint of the polarization operators

$$\left[\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \right]^\dagger = (-1)^\mu \hat{T}_{\lambda-\mu}^{(j)} \quad (312)$$

To arrive at the result of Eq.(311), we have reexpressed the canonical version of the Stratonovich-Weyl operator^{33,36,38} in terms of a direct product of spin and spatial tensors in Eq.(310). The “boxed” tensor direct product term in Eq.(310) has been reexpressed as the “boxed” Chebyshev polynomial operator term in Eq.(311) using a recoupling relation which we derive in Section **6.1**.

1. $\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ Operator Trace

Using the Chebyshev polynomial operator expansion of Eq.(311), the Stratonovich-Weyl operator $\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ ^{36,37} trace is easily evaluated using the properties of the Chebyshev polynomials. We find

$$\text{Tr} [\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \text{Tr} [f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})] \quad (313)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \text{Tr} [f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z)] \quad (314)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \sum_m \langle jm | f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z) | jm \rangle \quad (315)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \boxed{\sum_m f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m)} \quad (316)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \sqrt{2j+1} \delta_{\lambda 0} \quad (317)$$

$$= 1 \quad (318)$$

The sum over the Chebyshev polynomials in the “boxed” term of Eq.(316) was evaluated using Eq.(119) of Section **3.2.1**.

2. The Reproducing Kernel for the $\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ Operators

Among the most important properties of the Stratonovich-Weyl operator kernel is the traciality condition^{36,37}

$$\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \frac{(2j+1)}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \text{Tr} [\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}')] \Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (319)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} K^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (320)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \delta_{\Delta}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (321)$$

$$\text{where } K^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}') = \frac{(2j+1)}{4\pi} \text{Tr} [\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}')] \quad (322)$$

$$d\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi \quad (323)$$

Eqs.(320) and (321) show that for a certain subset of $(2j+1)^2$ functions on the sphere $\mathbf{S}^{236,37}$, $K^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \equiv \delta_{\Delta}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}')$ is the reproducing kernel^{36,37}, acting as a delta function with respect to integration over \mathbf{S}^2 . Armed with the Chebyshev polynomial operator expansion of Eq.(311), this delta function can be simply evaluated using the Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ trace identity discussed in Section **2.2.1** as follows:

$$\delta_{\Delta}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}') = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{\lambda'=0}^{2j} \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \sqrt{2\lambda'+1} \text{Tr} \left[f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] \quad (324)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{\lambda'=0}^{2j} \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \sqrt{2\lambda'+1} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} P_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (325)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \boxed{\frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} P_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}')} \quad (326)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \boxed{\sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) Y_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}')} \quad (327)$$

The “boxed” term of Eq.(326) has been reexpressed as the “boxed” term of Eq.(327) using the spherical harmonics addition theorem⁶³:

$$P_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') = \frac{4\pi}{2\lambda+1} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) Y_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (328)$$

Certainly there are other methods for evaluating $\delta_{\Delta}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}')$ ^{36,37}, but this method using the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ is among the most direct. Note that the closure relation⁶³ for the spherical harmonics $\{Y_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})\}$ could be obtained from Eq.(327) in the limit that $j \rightarrow \infty$ to give

$$\delta(\hat{\mathbf{n}} - \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \equiv \frac{\delta(\theta - \theta') \delta(\phi - \phi')}{\sin \theta} \quad (329)$$

$$(330)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) Y_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (331)$$

Substituting the expression for the reproducing kernel $\delta_{\Delta}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}')$ from Eq.(326) in

Eq.(321), and using the Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ expansion of the

Stratonovich-Weyl operator given in Eq.(311), we easily obtain the reproducing kernel

$$\delta_f^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}')$$

$$\delta_f^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}') = \frac{2\lambda + 1}{4\pi} P_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (332)$$

which for the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, acts as a delta function with respect to integration over \mathbf{S}^2 :

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \delta_f^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}') f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (333)$$

$$= \frac{2\lambda + 1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} P_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (334)$$

$$= \frac{2\lambda + 1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \text{Tr} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (335)$$

The reproducing kernel in Eq.(334) has been rewritten as a trace in Eq.(335) to elicit the analogy with the traciality condition^{36,37} of Eq.(319) for the Stratonovich-Weyl operators.

If in Eq.(334), we put $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}' = \hat{\mathbf{n}}$, so that $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}' \equiv \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \cos \theta$ then what at first sight is certainly not a familiar relation becomes

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \equiv f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) = \frac{2\lambda + 1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} P_\lambda(\cos \theta) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (336)$$

But since $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) \equiv \hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)}$, and $P_\lambda(\cos \theta) \equiv C_{\lambda 0}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$, Eq.(336) can be reexpressed as

$$\hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} = \frac{2\lambda + 1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} C_{\lambda 0}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (337)$$

which for the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda \mu}^{(j)}$ is a particular version ($\mu = 0$) of a decomposition on the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ (see Eq.(57) in Section **2.2.2**).

In the next section, we will use this reproducing kernel $\delta_f^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}')$ to derive a tomographic reconstruction formula for the density operator from the conventional statistical tensor expansion of the density operator.

C. Tomographic Reconstruction of the Density Operator

As an alternative to the phase-space approach to spin, the tomographic map of spin states onto a probability distribution^{23,28–31,33} represents another approach to mapping spin operators onto functions. In the first two parts of this section, specific examples of these distributions are considered, and in each case, these mappings are shown to lead to a novel tomographic reconstruction formula for the density operator $\hat{\rho}$ expressed exclusively in terms of Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. In the concluding part, without considering a specific probability distribution, this tomographic reconstruction formula is recovered using more fundamental approaches.

1. Spin Tomogram Distributions

One example of tomographic mapping is the approach developed by Man'ko and colleagues^{23,28–31}, who have made very effective use of the following Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ expansions of the dequantizer (alias projection) operators $\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ and quantizer operators $\mathbf{\Xi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \\ \mathbf{\Xi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} (2\lambda + 1) f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})\end{aligned}\tag{338}$$

The spin tomogram $w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ of a state determined by the density operator $\hat{\rho}$ is^{23,28–31}

$$w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right]\tag{339}$$

whereas the inverse mapping of the spin tomogram $w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ onto the density operator $\hat{\rho}$ was expressed through the quantizer operator $\mathbf{\Xi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ as the tomographic

reconstruction^{23,28–31}

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{m'=-j}^j \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} w^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \Xi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{n}}') d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (340)$$

$$\text{where } d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \equiv d\Omega = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$$

Upon substituting this integral representation for the density operator in Eq.(339), we obtain

$$w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] \quad (341)$$

$$= \sum_{m'=-j}^j \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \text{Tr} \left[\Xi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] w^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{n}}') d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (342)$$

This implies that for the set of tomograms $w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ on the sphere \mathbf{S}^2 , the trace in Eq.(342) must act like a delta function with respect to integration over \mathbf{S}^2 . This delta function can easily be evaluated using the Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ trace identity discussed in Section 2.2.1 as follows:

$$\delta_w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}; m', \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (343)$$

$$= \delta_{m,m'} \text{Tr} \left[\Xi^{(j)}(m', \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \Pi^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] \quad (344)$$

$$= \delta_{m,m'} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{\lambda'=0}^{2j} (2\lambda' + 1) f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(m') \text{Tr} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] \quad (345)$$

$$= \delta_{m,m'} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} (2\lambda + 1) f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) f_\lambda^{(j)}(m') P_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (346)$$

As with the evaluation of $\delta_\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}')$ in Section 5.2, evaluating $\delta_w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}; m', \hat{\mathbf{n}}')$ is straightforward using the the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$.

A much simpler expression for the tomographic reconstruction of the density operator can be obtained from Eq.(340) just by replacing the quantizer and dequantizer operators with their corresponding Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ expansions given in Eq.(338). By means of these replacements, the density operator tomographic

reconstruction formula can be expressed exclusively in terms of Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{m'=-j}^j \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] \mathbf{\Xi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (347)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'=0}^{2j} (2\lambda + 1) \boxed{\sum_{m'=-j}^j f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(m) f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (348)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \frac{(2\lambda + 1)}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (349)$$

The “boxed” term in Eq.(348) has been simplified using the Chebyshev polynomial orthogonality relation of Eq.(73), which collapses the double sum in Eq.(348) to a single sum in Eq.(349).

2. Other Distributions

Other examples of probability distributions are Husimi’s⁸¹ $Q(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ function³³ and the Stratonovich-Weyl distribution $W(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ ³³, defined as

$$Q(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}}, j | \hat{\rho} | \hat{\mathbf{n}}, j \rangle = \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(j, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] \quad (350)$$

$$W(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] \quad (351)$$

For a spin state of well-defined angular momentum, Husimi’s⁸¹ $Q(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ function³³ is defined as the average value of the density matrix in the coherent state $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle$, while the Stratonovich-Weyl distribution $W(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ is defined in terms of the Stratonovich-Weyl operator^{36,37} $\Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ of Section 5.2 by the trace of Eq.(351). Table VII compares these probability distributions and their tomographic reconstruction relations with those of the spin tomogram distributions $w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ ^{23,28–31}. All the distributions and tomographic reconstruction relations in this table are expressed only in terms of Chebyshev polynomials

$f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ or Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, so that substitution of each distribution in the corresponding tomographic reconstruction relation immediately yields the tomographic reconstruction relation of Eq.(349). All the distributions $D(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) [\equiv w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}), Q(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ or $W(\hat{\mathbf{n}})]$ of Table VI are normalized³³ so that

$$\frac{2j+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} D(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} = 1 \quad (352)$$

Just as measurements of the spin tomograms $w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ enable the reconstruction of the density operator $\hat{\rho}$ via the tomographic reconstruction relation of Eq.(340)^{23,28-31}, so do the corresponding tomographic reconstruction relations for $\hat{\rho}$ in Table VII also permit the reconstruction of all the density matrix elements simply by measuring the corresponding $Q(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ or $W(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ function distributions³³.

3. More Fundamental Perspectives

In the previous two sections, specific examples of tomographic reconstruction formulae for the density operator were considered. Regardless of the probability distribution under consideration, the tomographic map of spin states lead to a novel tomographic reconstruction formula expressed exclusively in terms of Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. From a more fundamental perspective, can this formula be derived without considering a specific probability distribution? Two approaches are now considered which demonstrate that such a derivation is possible.

a. Statistical tensor expansion of the density operator

In this approach, we begin with a consideration of the statistical tensor expansion of the density operator given in Table IV

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \left[\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \right]^\dagger \right] \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (353)$$

Viewing the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ in Eq.(353) as the following integral transformation of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ (see Eq.(57) in Section 2.2.2)

$$\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} = \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \int C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (354)$$

the density operator can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho} &= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \left[\frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \right]^{\dagger} \right] \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \quad (355) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \int \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \left[\sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') \right] f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (356)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] \left[\int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} P_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}}' \right] d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (357)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (358)$$

The “boxed” term of Eq.(356) has been simplified using the spherical harmonics addition theorem⁶³ of Eq.(328), and the “boxed” term of Eq.(357) has been simplified using Eq.(334), recognizing $[(2\lambda+1)/4\pi] P_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}')$ as the reproducing kernel for the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$.

b. Group theory operator identity

In this alternative approach, we demonstrate that the Chebyshev polynomial operator tomographic reconstruction formula of Eqs.(349) and (358) is a consequence of much deeper and more general result in the form of a single operator identity based on group theory³⁴. Using group theory, D’Ariano et al.³⁴ have derived the following fundamental tomographic reconstruction formula for the density operator

$$\hat{\rho} = \int \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} \mathcal{R}(g)] \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(g) dg \quad (359)$$

valid for an irreducible unitary representation $\mathcal{R}(g)$ on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of the physical system. The appropriate operators of such a unitary irreducible representation in the case of a single spin physical system are³⁴

$$\mathcal{R}(g) \equiv \mathcal{R}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \psi) = e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} \quad (360)$$

In this parametrization, the invariant measure is given by³⁴

$$dg(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \psi) = \frac{(2j+1)}{4\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} \sin \theta d\theta d\phi d\psi \quad (361)$$

so that the density operator according to Eq.(359) can be written³⁴

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{(2j+1)}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\psi \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}] e^{-i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (362)$$

D'Ariano et al.³⁴ have also described an experimental apparatus designed to reconstruct the density operator according to Eq.(362).

Taking advantage of Corio's^{21,22} Chebyshev polynomial operator expansion of the operator $e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$ given in Eq.(273) of Section 5.1, and using the orthogonality relation¹⁹ for the generalized character functions $\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi)$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\psi \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} \chi_{\lambda_1}^{(j_1)}(\psi) \chi_{\lambda_2}^{(j_2)}(\psi) = \pi \delta_{j_1 j_2} \delta_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \quad (363)$$

which define the expansion coefficients, the spin tomographic reconstruction formula of Eq.(359) derived by D'Ariano et al. from group theory³⁴ can be expressed exclusively in terms of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \frac{(2\lambda+1)}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})] d\Omega \quad (364)$$

This is the tomographic reconstruction formula for the density operator obtained in Sections 5.3.1 and 5.3.2 from specific examples of tomographic reconstruction formulae

using different spin tomography probability distributions. Recovering this formula from the group theoretical operator identity derived by D'Ariano et al.³⁴ indicates that for the angle-axis $(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ parameterization of the $SU(2)$ group, the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ have a unique role to play in tomographic reconstruction of the density operator.

VI. The recoupling of spin and spatial tensors via $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$

From a comparison of two different operator expansions^{21,78} for both the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$ in Section 6.1.1, and for the coherent state projector $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j| = \Pi^{(j)}(j, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ in Section 6.1.2, we derive a novel recoupling expression for the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. In Section 6.2, we discuss a distinctly different approach²⁸ to deriving the same recoupling expression. We conclude with specific examples of this recoupling expression in the case of first- and second-rank tensors in Section 6.3.

A. Operator expansion comparisons

1. Rotation operator expansions

Using the orthonormal basis functions $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, Corio²¹ derived the following expansion of the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$

$$e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} a_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (365)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} A(\lambda, j) i^\lambda s^\lambda C_{2j-\lambda}^{\lambda+1}(c) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (366)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} i^\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (367)$$

$$\text{where } A(\lambda, j) = (2\lambda)!! \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \sqrt{\frac{(2j-\lambda)!}{(2j+\lambda+1)!}} \quad (368)$$

$$s = \sin(\psi/2) \quad (369)$$

$$c = \cos(\psi/2) \quad (370)$$

$$\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) = \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2\lambda+1}} A(\lambda, j) s^\lambda C_{2j-\lambda}^{\lambda+1}(c) \quad (371)$$

In Eq.(367), we have introduced the generalized character functions¹⁹ $\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi)$ to reexpress the operator expansion in Eq.(366) that Corio²¹ first presented. These generalized character functions are defined in terms of the Gegenbauer polynomials^{16,24} $C_{2j-\lambda}^{\lambda+1}(c)$ as

$$\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) = (2\lambda)!! \sqrt{2j+1} \sqrt{\frac{(2j-\lambda)!}{(2j+\lambda+1)!}} s^\lambda C_{2j-\lambda}^{\lambda+1}(c) \quad (372)$$

Using the direct product of spin and spatial tensors expressed in terms of the spherical harmonics³² $Y_{\lambda\mu}(\theta, \phi)$ and the spin polarization tensor operators¹⁹ $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ as

$$\mathbf{Y}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J}) \equiv \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} (-1)^\mu Y_{\lambda-\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (373)$$

Happer⁷⁸ derived an alternative rotation operator expression. Making use of the generalized character functions¹⁹, Varshalovich et al.^{19,79} subsequently reexpressed

Happer's⁷⁸ partial-wave expansion of the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$ as

$$e^{i\psi(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} b_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\psi) \mathbf{Y}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (374)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} B(\lambda, j) i^{\lambda} s^{\lambda} C_{2j-\lambda}^{\lambda+1}(c) \mathbf{Y}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (375)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} i^{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \chi_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\psi) \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (376)$$

$$\text{where } B(\lambda, j) = \sqrt{4\pi} (2\lambda)!! \sqrt{\frac{(2j-\lambda)!}{(2j+\lambda+1)!}} \quad (377)$$

$$C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2\lambda+1}} Y_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \quad (378)$$

Comparing Eq.(366) with Eq.(375), we see that

$$A(\lambda, j) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = B(\lambda, j) \mathbf{Y}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (379)$$

so that

$$f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{B(\lambda, j)}{A(\lambda, j)} \mathbf{Y}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (380)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2\lambda+1}} \mathbf{Y}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (381)$$

$$= \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (382)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} (-1)^{\mu} C_{\lambda-\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (383)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (384)$$

$$= (-1)^{\lambda} \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \left\{ \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right\}_0^0 \quad (385)$$

where in Eq.(385), we have introduced the rank-zero composite product tensor (see Appendix E) defined in terms of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients as

$$\left\{ \mathbf{R}^{(k)} \otimes \mathbf{S}^{(k)} \right\}_0^0 = \sum_{\substack{q, q' \\ q+q'=0}} \mathbf{R}_q^{(k)} \mathbf{S}_{q'}^{(k)} C_{kqkq'}^{00} \quad (386)$$

In his expansion of the rotation operator using the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, Corio²¹ did not express these basis operators as the direct product in Eq.(382) of rank- λ spatial and spin tensors. On the other hand, Happer⁷⁸ did not identify or recognize this direct product $\mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$ in his expansion of the rotation operator as the Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. The essential conclusion of this section, embodied in Eq.(382), is that the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ and the direct product $\mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$ of rank- λ spatial and spin tensors are identical, and this identity leads to the recoupling expression of Eq.(385). In Section **6.2**, our discussion is centred around an alternative approach taken by Filippov²⁸ which leads to the same recoupling expression.

2. Coherent state projection operator expansions

The coherent state projector $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j|$ has the following Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ expansion (see Eq.(124) of Section **3.3.2**):

$$|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j| = \Pi^{(j)}(j, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_\lambda^{(j)}(j) f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (387)$$

In the notation of this article, Ducloy⁶² had already obtained the following operator expansion for the coherent state projector

$$|\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j\rangle\langle\hat{\mathbf{n}}, j| = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \frac{(2j)!}{\sqrt{(2j+\lambda+1)!(2j-\lambda)!}} Y_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (388)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \boxed{\frac{(2j)! \sqrt{2\lambda+1}}{\sqrt{(2j+\lambda+1)!(2j-\lambda)!}}} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (389)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_\lambda^{(j)}(j) \boxed{\sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}} \quad (390)$$

The original expansion obtained by Ducloy⁶² in Eq.(388) has been rewritten in Eqs.(389) and (390) in order to facilitate a comparison with Eq.(387). After using Eq.(71) to replace

the “boxed” coefficient term in Eq.(389) with the Chebyshev polynomial $f_\lambda^{(j)}(j)$ in Eq.(390), it is clear from a comparison of the operator expansions in Eq.(387) and Eq.(390) that the Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ can be expressed as the following direct product or recoupling expressions

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (391)$$

$$= \mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J}) \quad (392)$$

$$= (-1)^\lambda \sqrt{2\lambda+1} \left\{ \mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J}) \otimes \mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right\}_0^0 \quad (393)$$

in agreement with Eqs.(384) and (385) in Section 6.1.1.

B. Exploiting the transformation properties of the Chebyshev polynomial operators

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$$

Independently established by many workers^{11,28,31,39–41} over the last 50 years, the relation of Eq.(55)

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) = \hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} \quad (394)$$

is an important and useful operator equivalent. In this section, we show how it can provide another independent proof of the operator equivalence between the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, and $\mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J}) = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$, the direct product of rank- λ spatial and spin tensors, namely the (renormalized) Racah spherical harmonics³² $C_{\lambda\mu}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ and the spin polarization tensor operators¹⁹ $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$, respectively.

As irreducible tensor operators, the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\nu}^{(j)}$ transform as¹⁹

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \hat{T}_{\lambda\nu}^{(j)} \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \right]^\dagger = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}^{(\lambda)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \quad (395)$$

and in particular, the basis functions of Eq.(95) can be rewritten as²⁸

$$f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z) \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \right]^{\dagger} \quad (396)$$

$$= \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \right]^{\dagger} \quad (397)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \mathcal{D}_{\mu 0}^{(\lambda)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \hat{T}_{\lambda \mu}^{(j)} \quad (398)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda \mu}^{\star}(\theta, \phi) \hat{T}_{\lambda \mu}^{(j)} \quad (399)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} (-1)^{\mu} C_{\lambda -\mu}(\theta, \phi) \hat{T}_{\lambda \mu}^{(j)} \quad (400)$$

$$= \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (401)$$

$$= (-1)^{\lambda} \sqrt{2\lambda + 1} \left\{ \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right\}_0^0 \quad (402)$$

where as shown in Appendix B, the rotation matrix elements $\mathcal{D}_{\mu 0}^{(\lambda)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \equiv C_{\lambda \mu}^{\star}(\theta, \phi)$ ³² of Eq.(398) are the Racah spherical harmonics of Eq.(378). Eqs.(397) and (398), originally derived by Filippov²⁸ using a Euler angle parametrization, have been reexpressed using an angle-axis parametrization. In Eqs.(399 - 402), we demonstrate that Filippov's²⁸ transformation equation for the tensor operators in Eq.(398) can then be expressed as a recoupling of spin and spatial tensors. The final expressions for the basis functions $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ in Eqs.(402) and (385) demonstrate that a Chebyshev polynomial of degree λ in the variable $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ can be recoupled as a rank-zero irreducible composite tensor defined by the product of two rank- λ tensors, one the spin tensor $\mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J})$, and the other, the spatial Racah tensor $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$:

$$f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) = (-1)^{\lambda} \sqrt{2\lambda + 1} \left\{ \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right\}_0^0 \quad (403)$$

Alternatively, by determining the Euler angles (α, β, γ) of the rotation

$R \equiv R(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \equiv R(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ discussed in Section **3.3.1**, an Euler angle parametrization of

the rotation operator $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(J)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ can be used to verify Eq.(401). If

$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp} = (-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0)$, then the angle-axis parameters are $(\psi; \Theta, \Phi) = \left(\theta; \frac{\pi}{2}, \phi + \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.

Since the Euler angles (α, β, γ) can be expressed in terms of the angle-axis parameters

$(\psi; \Theta, \Phi)$ using the following relations¹⁹

$$\begin{aligned}\sin \frac{\beta}{2} &= \sin \Theta \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \\ \tan \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} &= \cos \Theta \tan \frac{\psi}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} &= \Phi - \frac{\pi}{2}\end{aligned}\tag{404}$$

the Euler angles corresponding to the rotation operator $R(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) = e^{-i\theta(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{J})}$ are therefore

$(\phi, \theta, -\phi)$, determined as a solution of the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}\sin \frac{\beta}{2} &= \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \rightarrow \boxed{\beta = \theta} \\ \tan \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} &= 0 \rightarrow \boxed{\alpha + \gamma = 0} \\ \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} &= \phi \rightarrow \boxed{\alpha - \gamma = 2\phi}\end{aligned}\tag{405}$$

Since irreducible spherical tensors \hat{T}_{LM} transform as

$$\left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(J)}(\phi, \theta, -\phi)\right]^{\dagger} \hat{T}_{LM} \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(J)}(\phi, \theta, -\phi) = \sum_m \mathcal{D}_{mM}^{(L)}(\phi, \theta, -\phi) \hat{T}_{LM}\tag{406}$$

then in particular

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) &= \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\phi, \theta, -\phi) \hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\phi, \theta, -\phi) \right]^{\dagger} \\
&= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \mathcal{D}_{\mu 0}^{(\lambda)}(\phi, \theta, -\phi) \hat{T}_{\lambda \mu}^{(j)} \\
&= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda \mu}^*(\theta, \phi) \hat{T}_{\lambda \mu}^{(j)} \quad (\text{using}^{32} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mu 0}^{(\lambda)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = C_{\lambda \mu}^*(\beta, \alpha)) \\
&= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} (-1)^{\mu} C_{\lambda -\mu}(\theta, \phi) \hat{T}_{\lambda \mu}^{(j)} \\
&= \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \\
&= (-1)^{\lambda} \sqrt{2\lambda + 1} \left\{ \mathbf{T}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{J}) \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right\}_0^0
\end{aligned} \tag{407}$$

where $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ is the renormalized Racah spherical harmonics (tensor)³².

C. Specific recoupling examples: first- and second-rank tensors

In order to elicit the recoupling feature of the relation in Eq.(407), we consider the more familiar and commonly encountered cases of first-rank ($\lambda = 1$) and second-rank ($\lambda = 2$) spherical tensors.

1. First-rank tensors

To illustrate our approach, we begin with the trivial case of first-rank spherical tensors.

The spin polarization operators $\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{J})$ in Eq.(401) can be replaced with their spherical operator equivalents $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathbf{J}$ using the following relation^{19,82}

$$\mathbf{T}_1(\mathbf{J}) = a_1(j) \mathcal{T}_1 = a_1(j) \mathbf{J} \tag{408}$$

$$\text{where} \quad a_1(j) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{j(j+1)(2j+1)}} \tag{409}$$

Component-wise, the relation of Eq.(408) can be expressed as the following proportionality between the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{1M}^{(j)}$ and the spherical components of the spin operator J_M as

$$\hat{T}_{1M}^{(j)} = a_1(j) J_M \quad (M = \pm 1, 0) \quad (410)$$

The Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_1^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ can be replaced with $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ using the following relation³⁹

$$f_1^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = a_1(j) (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (411)$$

After these replacements, in this special case of first-rank spherical tensors, Eq.(403) can then be written as

$$(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{C}_1(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (412)$$

$$\text{where}^{32} \quad \mathbf{C}_1(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \quad (413)$$

2. Second-rank tensors

While the result of Eq.(412) is self-evident, the case of second-rank tensors is much more revealing. The spin polarization operators $\mathbf{T}_2(\mathbf{J})$ in Eq.(401) can be replaced with their spherical operator equivalents $\mathcal{T}_2 = \sqrt{6} \{\mathbf{J} \otimes \mathbf{J}\}^2$ using the following relation⁸²

$$\mathbf{T}_2(\mathbf{J}) = a_2(j) \mathcal{T}_2 = a_2(j) \sqrt{6} \{\mathbf{J} \otimes \mathbf{J}\}^2 \quad (414)$$

$$\text{where} \quad a_2(j) = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{j(j+1)(2j+3)(2j-1)(2j+1)}} \quad (415)$$

Component-wise, the relation of Eq.(414) can be expressed as the following proportionalities between the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{2M}^{(j)}$ and the spherical

components of the spin operator $\{\mathbf{J} \circledast \mathbf{J}\}_M^2$ as

$$\hat{T}_{20}^{(j)} = \sqrt{6} \{\mathbf{J} \circledast \mathbf{J}\}_0^2 \quad (416)$$

$$\hat{T}_{2\pm 1}^{(j)} = \sqrt{6} \{\mathbf{J} \circledast \mathbf{J}\}_{\pm 1}^2 \quad (417)$$

$$\hat{T}_{2\pm 2}^{(j)} = \sqrt{6} \{\mathbf{J} \circledast \mathbf{J}\}_{\pm 2}^2 \quad (418)$$

The Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_2^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ can be replaced with $[3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^2 - (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J})]$

using the following relation³⁹

$$f_2^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = a_2(j) [3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^2 - (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J})] \quad (419)$$

$$\text{where } (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \kappa \mathbf{1} = j(j+1) \mathbf{1} \quad (420)$$

After these replacements, in this special case of second-rank spherical tensors, Eq.(403) can be written as

$$[3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^2 - (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J})] = \sqrt{6} \{\mathbf{J} \circledast \mathbf{J}\}^2 \cdot \mathbf{C}_2(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = 3\sqrt{5} \left\{ \{\mathbf{J} \circledast \mathbf{J}\}^2 \circledast \{\hat{\mathbf{n}} \circledast \hat{\mathbf{n}}\}^2 \right\}_0^0 \quad (421)$$

$$\text{where}^{32} \quad \mathbf{C}_2(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \{\hat{\mathbf{n}} \circledast \hat{\mathbf{n}}\}^2 \quad (422)$$

This recoupling of two irreducible second-rank spherical tensors in Eq.(421) is exactly analogous to the recoupling of the magnetic dipolar interaction¹ or the tensor force³²

$$[3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_1)(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_2) - (\mathbf{J}_1 \cdot \mathbf{J}_2)] = 3\sqrt{5} \left\{ \{\mathbf{J}_1 \circledast \mathbf{J}_2\}^2 \circledast \{\hat{\mathbf{n}} \circledast \hat{\mathbf{n}}\}^2 \right\}_0^0 \quad (423)$$

Normally, the recoupling relationships of Eqs.(421) or (423) can only be established by a laborious expansion of both sides of these equations, or by using the formalism of angular momentum theory³² to recouple the four rank-1 tensors on the right-hand side of these equations via a $9j$ -symbol as outlined in Appendix E. Instead, the recoupling relation of Eq.(421) arises very simply and directly just from the definition of the Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_2^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, examples of which are provided in Table I for $j = 1/2, 1$ and $3/2$.

3. Matrix elements of the truncated homonuclear dipolar Hamiltonian

From the recoupling expression in Eq.(399) applied to $f_2^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, the general matrix elements are

$$\langle jm | f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) | jm' \rangle = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\theta, \phi) \langle jm | \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} | jm' \rangle \quad (424)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^*(\theta, \phi) \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} C_{jm'\lambda\mu}^{jm} \quad (425)$$

$$= C_{\lambda(m-m')}^*(\theta, \phi) C_{jmj-m'}^{\lambda(m-m')} (-1)^{j-m'} \quad (426)$$

The diagonal matrix elements ($m = m'$) for $f_2^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ are therefore

$$\langle jm | f_2^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) | jm \rangle = C_{20}^*(\theta, \phi) C_{j mj-m}^{20} (-1)^{j-m} \quad (427)$$

$$= P_2(\cos \theta) f_2^{(j)}(m) \quad (428)$$

$$= P_2(\cos \theta) \frac{3m^2 - 2}{\sqrt{6}} \quad (j = 1) \quad (429)$$

But then using Eqs.(415) and (419),

$$f_2^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = a_2(j) [3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^2 - (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J})] \quad (430)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^2 - (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J})] \quad (j = 1) \quad (431)$$

we find that the diagonal matrix elements of $[3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^2 - (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J})]$ for $j = 1$ are given by

$$\langle m | [3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^2 - (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J})] | m \rangle = P_2(\cos \theta) [3m^2 - 2] \quad (432)$$

The homonuclear dipolar Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_D^{\text{intra}1,83}$, which describes the through-space interaction between the magnetic moments of two adjacent protons separated by a distance r is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_D^{\text{intra}} = \frac{\mu_0 \gamma^2 \hbar}{4\pi r^3} [3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_1)(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_2) - (\mathbf{J}_1 \cdot \mathbf{J}_2)] \quad (433)$$

$$\text{where } \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (434)$$

This interaction Hamiltonian can also be expressed in terms of the total spin operator⁸³

$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2$ for each pair of protons as

$$\mathcal{H}_D^{\text{intra}} = \frac{\mu_0 \gamma^2 \hbar}{4\pi r^3} [3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^2 - (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J})] \quad (435)$$

High-field approximation of this Hamiltonian leaves only the truncated part¹

$$[\mathcal{H}_D^{\text{intra}}]' = \frac{\mu_0 \gamma^2 \hbar}{4\pi r^3} P_2(\cos \theta) [3J_z^2 - (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J})] \quad (436)$$

where θ is the angle between the internuclear vector \mathbf{r} and the magnetic field \mathbf{B}_0 . The form of $[\mathcal{H}_D^{\text{intra}}]'$ is identical to that of spin-1 systems, provided the “quadrupole frequency” ν_Q is redefined as⁸³

$$\nu_Q = \frac{3\hbar\gamma^2}{4\pi r^3} \quad (437)$$

If a basis of the total angular momentum eigenstates of $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2$ is used, it is evident from Eq.(432) that the diagonal elements of the truncated Hamiltonian $[\mathcal{H}_D^{\text{intra}}]'$ of Eq.(436) match the diagonal elements of the dipolar Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_D^{\text{intra}}$ of Eq.(433). The latter elements are just what we expect from the secular approximation¹, which retains only that part of the dipolar Hamiltonian $[\mathcal{H}_D^{\text{intra}}]'$ which commutes with the Zeeman Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_Z

$$[[\mathcal{H}_D^{\text{intra}}]', \mathcal{H}_Z] = 0 \quad (438)$$

VII. Operator Equivalents

Finding suitable operator equivalents for irreducible tensor operators in terms of the familiar angular momentum operators $J_z, J_{\pm} = J_x \pm iJ_y$, and $(\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = J^2 \equiv J(J+1)$ has been a long-standing challenge in fields such as magnetic resonance. As a result, there is an extensive literature over more than seven decades devoted to this problem in NMR,

EPR and ENDOR. A reasonably comprehensive list of the salient literature references on operator equivalents can be found in a recent publication by Ryabov⁸⁴. In their studies, Ryabov⁸⁴ himself, as well as Ambler et al.⁸², Ohlsen⁸⁵, and Biedenharn and Louck⁶, for example, have tabulated a significant number of these operator equivalents. Few however are those studies that focus on the operator equivalences between the spin polarization operators¹⁹ $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ and the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z)$. Work by Meckler¹¹, Corio³⁹, Marinelli et al.⁴⁰ and by Normand and Raynal⁴¹ constitutes the essential core of the research that has elucidated the role of Chebyshev polynomials in developing operator equivalents, and in this section, we provide a brief summary of this work.

In considering the history of how Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z)$ were used to develop operator equivalents, two developments stand out. First, the operator equivalents for projection-zero tensor operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)}$ were identified as the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z)$ ^{11,39–41}. Second, an intriguing relationship between irreducible (spherical) tensor operators of arbitrary projection $T_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ and successive partial derivatives of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z)$ ⁴⁰ was discovered⁴⁰. In this section, we begin in Section **7.1** by revisiting a Chebyshev polynomial operator equivalent for projection-zero tensor operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)}$ that we had used in Section **3.1**. Then, in Section **7.2**, we use Table VIII to illustrate that Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z)$ have been used to develop operator equivalents for any irreducible tensor operator $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$.

A. Operator equivalent matrix elements

As we illustrated in Section 3.1, among the most useful operator equivalences involving the Chebyshev polynomial operators is

$$\hat{T}_{L0}^{(j)} \equiv f_L^{(j)}(J_z) \quad (439)$$

Meckler's¹¹ recognition of this equivalence between the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_L^{(j)}(J_z)$ and the projection-zero spin polarization operators¹⁹ $\hat{T}_{L0}^{(j)}$ anticipated subsequent work by several investigators^{28,31,39–41}, who independently established this operator equivalence, and who provided specific and extensive tables illustrating this operator equivalence^{39–41}.

Ambler et al.⁸² tabulated operator equivalents for the irreducible tensor operators $T_q^{(k)}(J)$ (equivalent to the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{kq}^{(J)}$ in our notation), as did Corio³⁹ for his orthonormal operator basis $U_r^{(n)}(J)$, whose relation to the irreducible tensor operators $T_q^{(k)}(J)$ was determined by these relations³⁹

$$\begin{aligned} n + r &= k \\ r &= q \end{aligned} \quad (440)$$

In addition to showing how to construct operator equivalents for his orthonormal basis $U_r^{(n)}(J)$, Corio³⁹, unaware of Meckler's earlier work¹¹, also independently identified the $U_0^{(k)}(J) \equiv \hat{T}_{k0}^{(J)}$ operators, the tensors of rank k and projection 0, as the Chebyshev polynomial operator equivalents $f_k^{(J)}(J_z)$. Although a few others^{40,41} have also independently made this identification of the $\hat{T}_{k0}^{(J)}$ operators with the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_k^{(J)}(J_z)$, neither they nor others^{6,84,85} who have developed operator equivalents for $\hat{T}_{k0}^{(J)}$ tensors have cited the original work by Meckler¹¹ and Corio³⁹.

B. A comparison of operator equivalents

In Table VIII, we compare specific examples of tensor operator equivalents developed by Corio³⁹ (top row) with those developed by Marinelli et al.⁴⁰ (bottom row). The examples have been chosen to demonstrate that all the equivalents have the same form (to within j -dependent normalization constants). The first column compares operator equivalents for the irreducible tensor operators $\hat{T}_{60}^{(j)}$, which both Corio³⁹ and Marinelli et al.⁴⁰ recognized as equivalent to the Chebyshev polynomial operator $f_6^{(j)}(J_z)$. The second column compares operator equivalents for the irreducible tensor operators $\hat{T}_{41}^{(j)}$. Although both equivalents have the same form, Marinelli et al.⁴⁰ went one step further than Corio³⁹ did to show that any irreducible (spherical) tensor operator $T_L^{(k)}(S)$ of rank L and projection $k > 0$ could be represented by suitable linear combinations of successive partial derivatives (with respect to S_z) of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_L^{(S)}(S_z)$ as follows

$$T_L^{(k)}(S) \sim (S_+)^{|k|} \sum_{n=|k|}^L A_{Ln}^k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial S_z} \right)^n \left[f_L^{(S)}(S_z) \right] \quad (441)$$

A table of the required coefficients A_{Ln}^k was provided by Marinelli et al.⁴⁰. As an example of this linear combination of successive partial derivatives, the table entry in Table VIII for Marinelli's⁴⁰ operator equivalent of $T_4^{(1)}(S)$ we have calculated as follows

$$\sum_{n=1}^4 A_{4n}^1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial S_z} \right)^n \left[f_4^{(S)}(S_z) \right] \quad (442)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1!} [14 S_z^3 - (6K - 5) S_z] + \frac{1}{2!} [42 S_z^2 - (6K - 5)] + \frac{1}{3!} [84 S_z] + \frac{1}{4!} [84] \mathbb{1} \quad (443)$$

$$= 14 S_z^3 + 21 S_z^2 + (19 - 6K) S_z + 3(2 - K) \mathbb{1} \quad (444)$$

where $f_4^{(S)}(S_z) \sim 35 S_z^4 - 5 S_z^2 (6K - 5) + 3K(K - 2)$. Each summand in Eq.(443) is the product of a partial-derivative of $f_4^{(S)}(S_z)$ (in square brackets), and coefficients A_{4n}^1 tabulated in Marinelli et al.⁴⁰.

In conclusion, the examples discussed in Table VIII illustrate the fact that Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_L^{(S)}(S_z)$ can be used to develop an operator equivalent for any irreducible tensor operator.

VIII. Conclusion

The Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ first introduced in a physics application by Meckler^{10,11}, are special functions²⁴, a particular case of one member of the family of classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable known as the Hahn polynomials^{12–15,18}. Beginning with a close examination of the Meckler formula^{10,11} for spin transition probabilities, we have described how Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete variable can be applied in physics. Applications of these very special special functions include spin physics, spin tomography, and the development of operator expansions and operator equivalents.

Beyond their role as special functions, the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ double as the Clebsch-Gordan coupling coefficients ($3j$ -symbols³²) $C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0}$ of angular momentum theory:

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(m) = (-1)^{j-m} C_{jmj-m}^{\lambda 0} \quad (445)$$

We have in this article often taken advantage of this duality of the Chebyshev polynomials to prove identities from their Clebsch-Gordan coupling coefficient homologs. The Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$ are identical to the projection-zero spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)}$

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) \equiv \hat{T}_{\lambda 0}^{(j)} \quad (446)$$

a relationship first recognized by Meckler¹¹. The similarity transform of this equivalence defines the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ as the direct product of two rank- λ

tensors, one the spin tensor $\mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$, and the other, the spatial Racah tensor $\mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ ³²:

$$f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J}) \quad (447)$$

Whether we consider the Chebyshev polynomial scalars $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$, or the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$ and $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, the Chebyshev polynomials are distinguished as special functions by their very close connection to angular momentum theory and spin physics. As we have described in Section 4, a vivid reminder of this connection is the Meckler formula^{10,11} for the spin transition probability $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$, whose calculation relies on angular momentum theory^{11,51}. As we have described in Section 5, additional reminders of this connection are the Chebyshev polynomial operator expansions of projection operators, the rotation operator, the Stratonovich-Weyl operator, and the tomographic reconstruction of the density operator.

IX. Appendix A

A. Legendre polynomial operators

In this section, two versions of Legendre polynomial operators are discussed, one version due to Zemach⁵⁰, and the other due to Schwinger⁵¹. The Legendre polynomial operators $\overline{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ defined by Zemach⁵⁰ are closely related to the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. On the other hand, the matrix elements of the Legendre polynomial operators $P_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$ defined by Schwinger⁵¹ are closely related to the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$.

1. Legendre polynomial operators $\overline{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$

From the addition theorem for spherical harmonics, it is well-known that the direct product of rank- λ spherical harmonics tensors can be expressed in scalar form as the following Legendre polynomial of order λ :

$$\mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}') = P_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}') \quad (448)$$

Not so well-known is how the direct product of rank- λ spatial and spin tensors $\mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$ could be expressed. Certainly the direct product of rank- λ spatial and spin tensors $\mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$ could not be a scalar function of $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, but an operator function of $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. Could it be expressed as a Legendre polynomial operator? In developing tensor representations for application to angular-momentum problems in elementary-particle reactions, Zemach⁵⁰ was the first to develop such an expression. In the notation of this

article, this direct product takes the following form

$$\mathbf{C}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{T}_\lambda(\mathbf{J}) = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda + 1}{(2j + 1) [\mathbf{J}^2]^l}} \bar{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (449)$$

$$\text{where} \quad [\mathbf{J}^2]^l = \prod_{n=0}^l [\mathbf{J}^2 - \tfrac{1}{4}(n^2 - 1)] \quad (450)$$

Just as the addition theorem of Eq.(448) can be taken as the definition⁵⁰ of the Legendre polynomials $P_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}')$, the direct product expression of Eq.(449) can be used to define⁵⁰ the Legendre polynomial operators $\bar{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$. Comparing this expression with the corresponding direct product relations of Eq.(382) or (401) also defines the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ in terms of the Legendre polynomial operators $\bar{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$:

$$\bar{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \sqrt{\frac{(2j + 1) [\mathbf{J}^2]^l}{2\lambda + 1}} f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (451)$$

The recursion relation for the Legendre polynomials $P_\lambda(x)$ can be compared with its counterpart for the Legendre polynomial operators $\bar{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ ⁵⁰:

$$(2\lambda + 1) x P_\lambda(x) = (\lambda + 1) P_{\lambda+1}(x) + \lambda P_{\lambda-1}(x) \quad (452)$$

$$(2\lambda + 1)(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \bar{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = (\lambda + 1) \bar{P}_{\lambda+1}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) + \lambda [\mathbf{J}^2 - \tfrac{1}{4}(\lambda^2 - 1)] \bar{P}_{\lambda-1}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (453)$$

The recursion relation of Eq.(453) was used to generate the Legendre polynomial operators $\bar{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ ⁵⁰ tabulated in Table IX. Inspection of this table confirms Zemach's observation⁵⁰ that although the functional forms of the Legendre polynomial operators $\bar{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ agree with those of the Legendre polynomials $P_\lambda(\cos \theta)$ for $\lambda = 0, 1, 2$, the non-commutivity of components of \mathbf{J} makes a difference for $\lambda \geq 3$. As we shall see in the next section, the same issue arises for the same reasons when comparing the Legendre polynomial operators $P_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$ introduced by Schwinger⁵¹ with the Legendre polynomials $P_\lambda(\cos \theta)$.

2. Legendre polynomial operators $P_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$

In Section 4.1.2, we discussed how Schwinger⁵¹ calculated the spin transition probability $P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t)$. Using Schwinger's notation⁵¹, the final outcome of his calculation of the spin transition probability took the following form

$$P_{mm'}^{(j)}(t) = \left| \mathcal{D}_{mm'}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right|^2 = \frac{1}{(2j+1)} \sum_{l=0}^{2j} (2l+1) P_l(j, m) P_l(j, m') P_l(\cos \beta) \quad (454)$$

in which the $P_l(j, m)$ functions were defined by Schwinger⁵¹ as the matrix elements of the Legendre polynomial operators $P_l(\mathbf{J})$:

$$P_l(j, m) = \langle jm | P_l(\mathbf{J}) | jm \rangle \quad (455)$$

Schwinger⁵¹ introduced the Legendre polynomial operators $P_l(\mathbf{J})$ by expressing them in terms of the solid harmonic functions of the angular momentum vector \mathbf{J} :

$$P_l(\mathbf{J}) = \left[\frac{2l+1}{4\pi} [\mathbf{J}^2]^l \right]^{-1/2} \mathcal{Y}_{l0}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (456)$$

$$\text{where}^{98} \quad [\mathbf{J}^2]^l = \prod_{n=0}^{l-1} \left[\mathbf{J}^2 - \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \right] \quad (457)$$

The $\mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{J})$ are operator analogues⁸⁶ of the solid harmonics $r^l Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ ¹⁹, which include an extra factor r^l over the surface harmonics $Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$. They are in fact irreducible tensor operators, proportional to the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{lm}^{(j)}$ according to the following relation

$$\mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{J}) = \left[\frac{2j+1}{4\pi} [\mathbf{J}^2]^l \right]^{1/2} \hat{T}_{lm}^{(j)} \quad (458)$$

It is evident from this proportionality that $Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{J})$ transform identically under rotations. They satisfy the following trace relation⁸⁶

$$\text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{J}) \mathcal{Y}_{l'm'}^\dagger(\mathbf{J}) \right] = \frac{2j+1}{4\pi} (a_{jl})^2 \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \quad (459)$$

$$\text{where} \quad (a_{jl})^2 = \prod_{n=1}^l \left[\left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} n^2 \right] \equiv [\mathbf{J}^2]^l \quad (460)$$

The solid harmonic operator functions $\mathcal{Y}_{l0}(\mathbf{J})$ in Eq.(456) were defined⁵¹ by the generating function^{51,87–89}

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^l l!} \left[\frac{2l+1}{4\pi} \right]^{1/2} [-z_+^2(J_x + iJ_y) + z_-^2(J_x - iJ_y) + 2z_+ z_- J_z]^l \\ &= \sum_{m=-l}^l \frac{z_+^{l+m} z_-^{l-m}}{[(l+m)!(l-m)!]^{1/2}} \mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{J}) \end{aligned} \quad (461)$$

After evaluating $\mathcal{Y}_{l0}(\mathbf{J})$ with this generating function, Eq.(456) was used to tabulate examples of the Legendre polynomial operators $P_l(\mathbf{J})$ in Table IX. Recognizing that the $\mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{J})$ are operator analogues of the solid harmonics $r^l Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ ⁸⁶, we should expect to see a difference in the functional forms of $P_l(\mathbf{J}) \sim \mathcal{Y}_{l0}(\mathbf{J})$ and $P_l(\cos \theta) \sim Y_{l0}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ because the order of factors in the operator case is significant. Indeed, as this table demonstrates, for $\lambda \geq 3$, we can expect such a difference taking into account the angular momentum commutation relations

$$[J_i, J_j] = J_k \quad (i, j, k = x, y, z) \quad (462)$$

Using the relation of Eq.(458), and Eq.(456), the matrix element of Eq.(455) which defines the $P_l(j, m)$ functions which appear in the transition probability formula of Eq.(454) can be related to the Chebyshev polynomials of a discrete variable $f_l^{(j)}(m)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} P_l(j, m) &= \langle jm | P_l(\mathbf{J}) | jm \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2l+1}} \langle jm | \hat{T}_{l0}^{(j)} | jm \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2l+1}} \langle jm | f_l^{(j)}(J_z) | jm \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2l+1}} f_l^{(j)}(m) \end{aligned} \quad (463)$$

The Legendre polynomial operators⁵¹ $P_l(\mathbf{J})$ and the Legendre polynomial operators⁵⁰ $\overline{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ described in the previous section are related to the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$ according to

$$P_\lambda(\mathbf{J}) = \left[[\mathbf{J}^2]^l \right]^{-1/2} \overline{P}_\lambda(J_z) = \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2\lambda+1}} f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z) \quad (464)$$

This relation shows that the Chebyshev polynomials $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ can be calculated from the diagonal matrix elements of the Legendre polynomial operators $\overline{P}_\lambda(J_z)$ or $P_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$.

Although Schwinger⁵¹ did not cite a reference for the generating function of Eq.(461), Schwinger himself had derived this generating function. His derivation was included in a 1952 technical report⁸⁷, later published in a book collection of reprints and original papers⁸⁸, and as a book⁸⁹ with the same title as the technical report. Additional discussions of this generating function can be found in books by Schwinger et al.⁹⁰ and by Garg⁹¹.

As we shall demonstrate, Schwinger's generating function^{51,87-89} is equivalent to the Herglotz generating function^{86,92,93} for the solid harmonic operators $\mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{J})$

$$e^{\zeta \hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{J}} = \sum_{lm} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{\zeta^l \lambda^m}{\sqrt{(l+m)!(l-m)!}} \mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (465)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}} - \frac{\lambda}{2}(\hat{\mathbf{x}} + i\hat{\mathbf{y}}) + \frac{1}{2\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{x}} - i\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \quad (466)$$

Introducing the definitions

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A} &= J_z - \frac{\lambda}{2}(J_x + iJ_y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda}(J_x - iJ_y) \equiv (\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \\ \lambda &= z_+/z_- \end{aligned} \quad (467)$$

and after a slight rearrangement, Schwinger's generating function^{51,87,89} of Eq.(461) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\hat{A}^l}{l!} = \sum_{m=-l}^l \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{\lambda^m}{[(l+m)!(l-m)!]^{1/2}} \mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (468)$$

After multiplying both sides of Eq.(468) by ζ^l , and summing both sides over l , we obtain the Herglotz generating function^{86,92,93} of Eq.(466):

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\zeta \hat{A}]^l}{l!} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\zeta \hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^l}{l!} \equiv e^{\zeta \hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{J}} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} \frac{\zeta^l \lambda^m}{[(l+m)!(l-m)!]^{1/2}} \mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{J}) \quad (469)$$

Appendix A of the first English edition of Courant and Hilbert^{92,93} published in 1953 cited Herglotz for his formula, but none of the pre-war German editions had this appendix. This meant that the generating function^{51,87,89} documented by Schwinger in a technical report⁸⁷ a year earlier in 1952 anticipated the Herglotz generating function^{86,92,93}, and so there is some justification for renaming this generating function the Schwinger-Herglotz generating function.

B. Unit tensor (Wigner) operators

In their comments on Schwinger's interpretation⁵¹ of the Majorana formula², Biedenharn and Louck⁶ observe that $P_l(j, m)$ denotes the matrix element of the unit tensor operator (alias Wigner operators⁶)

$$P_l(j, m) \equiv \langle jm | \begin{pmatrix} l & & \\ 2l & 0 & \\ l & & \end{pmatrix} | jm \rangle \quad (470)$$

The Wigner operators can be defined by their action on the angular momentum basis $|jm\rangle$ ⁶, and in particular, the shift action is defined by⁶

$$\begin{pmatrix} J + \Delta & & \\ 2J & & 0 \\ J + M & & \end{pmatrix} |jm\rangle = C_{jMjM}^{j+\Delta, m+M} |j + \Delta, m + M\rangle \quad (471)$$

and so in particular

$$\begin{pmatrix} l & & \\ 2l & 0 & \\ l & & \end{pmatrix} |jm\rangle = C_{jml0}^{jm} |jm\rangle \quad (472)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2l+1}} \boxed{(-1)^{j-m} C_{jmj-m}^{l0}} |jm\rangle \quad (473)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2l+1}} f_l^{(j)}(m) |jm\rangle \quad (474)$$

The “boxed” term in Eq.(473) defines the Chebyshev polynomial $f_l^{(j)}(m)$ in Eq.(474).

Then, using the result of Eq.(474), the unit tensor matrix element of Eq.(470) is evaluated as

$$P_l(j, m) \equiv \langle jm | \begin{matrix} l \\ 2l & 0 \\ l \end{matrix} \rangle |jm\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2l+1}} f_l^{(j)}(m) \quad (475)$$

in agreement with the matrix element $P_l(j, m) = \langle jm | P_l(\mathbf{J}) |jm\rangle$ of Schwinger's⁵¹ Legendre polynomial operator $P_l(\mathbf{J})$ in Eq.(463).

X. Appendix B

As noted by Varshalovich et al.¹⁹, an alternative explicit form of

$\mathcal{D}_{MM'}^J(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \equiv \mathcal{D}_{MM'}^J(\psi; \Theta, \Phi)$ can be obtained directly from $\mathcal{D}_{MM'}^J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ by changing variables $(\psi; \Theta, \Phi) \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with the aid of the following relations (and the corresponding inverse relations):

$$\begin{aligned}\sin \frac{\beta}{2} &= \sin \Theta \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \\ \tan \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} &= \cos \Theta \tan \frac{\psi}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} &= \Phi - \frac{\pi}{2}\end{aligned}\tag{476}$$

The result of this variable change is the following¹⁹

$$\mathcal{D}_{MM'}^J(\psi; \Theta, \Phi) = i^{M-M'} e^{-i(M-M')\Phi} \left(\frac{1 - i \tan \frac{\psi}{2} \cos \Theta}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\psi}{2} \cos^2 \Theta}} \right)^{M+M'} d_{MM'}^J(\xi) \tag{477}$$

$$\text{where} \quad \sin \frac{\xi}{2} = \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \sin \Theta \tag{478}$$

The expression for $\mathcal{D}_{MM'}^J(\psi; \Theta, \Phi)$ given in Eq.(477) will now be used to evaluate $\mathcal{D}_{MM'}^J(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ in two cases described in the next sections.

A. Case I: $\mathcal{D}_{00}^L(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = d_{00}^L(\xi) \equiv P_L(\cos \xi) = P_L(\cos \beta)$

Since $M = M' = 0$, from Eq.(477) we find

$$\mathcal{D}_{00}^L(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = d_{00}^L(\xi) \equiv P_L(\cos \xi) \tag{479}$$

$$\text{where}^{32} \quad d_{00}^L(\xi) = P_L(\cos \xi) \tag{480}$$

Using Eq.(478), the argument of the Legendre polynomial $P_L(\cos \xi)$ in Eq.(479) can therefore be rewritten as

$$\cos \xi \equiv 1 - 2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} = \boxed{1 - 2 \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} \sin^2 \theta = \cos \beta} \tag{481}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{D}_{00}^L(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = P_L(\cos \beta) \quad (482)$$

The “boxed” equivalence of Eq.(481) follows from this relation¹

$$\cos \beta = 1 - 2 \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} \sin^2 \theta \quad (483)$$

where $\psi = |\gamma \mathbf{H}_e|t$, and θ is the angle between the direction of the effective field \mathbf{H}_e and the static applied field \mathbf{H}_0 .

B. Case II: $\mathcal{D}_{\mu 0}^\lambda(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \equiv \mathcal{D}_{\mu 0}^\lambda(\theta; \frac{\pi}{2}, \phi + \frac{\pi}{2}) \equiv C_{\lambda\mu}^\star(\theta, \phi)$

Since $\Theta = \pi/2$, $\sin \Theta = 1$, $\cos \Theta = 0$, and $\xi = \theta$, and so using the expression of Eq.(477),

we find

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu 0}^\lambda(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_\perp) \equiv \mathcal{D}_{\mu 0}^\lambda(\theta; \frac{\pi}{2}, \phi + \frac{\pi}{2}) \quad (484)$$

$$= i^\mu e^{-i\mu\phi} e^{-i\mu\frac{\pi}{2}} \boxed{d_{\mu 0}^\lambda(\theta)} \quad (485)$$

$$= e^{-i\mu\phi} \boxed{(-1)^\mu \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda - \mu)!}{(\lambda + \mu)!}} P_\lambda^\mu(\theta)} \quad (486)$$

$$= C_{\lambda\mu}^\star(\theta, \phi) \quad (487)$$

The reduced matrix element in the “boxed” term of Eq.(485) has been replaced by its equivalent³² in the “boxed” term of Eq.(486).

XI. Appendix C

A. A closed-form expression for the Fourier-Legendre series expansion of the spin transition probability $\mathbf{P}_{j,-j}^{(j)}(t)$

Consider the following Fourier-Legendre series expansion (identity **5.10.1(17)** in Prudnikov et al.⁹⁴)

$$(a-1) \left[\frac{1-x}{2} \right]^{(a-2)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) \frac{(2-a)_k}{(a)_k} P_k(x) \quad (|x| < 1; \ a > 5/4) \quad (488)$$

where the Pochhammer symbol $(a)_n$ is defined in terms of the Gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ as follows⁹⁴

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} \quad (489)$$

If we let $a = n + 2$ in the identity of Eq.(488), where $n \geq 0$ is an integer, then we obtain

$$\left[\frac{1-x}{2} \right]^n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) \frac{(-n)_k}{(n+2)_k} P_k(x) \quad (490)$$

Then using the following Pochhammer symbol expressions⁹⁴

$$(-n)_k = (-1)^k \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \quad (491)$$

$$(n+2)_k = \frac{\Gamma(n+2+k)}{\Gamma(n+2)} = \frac{(n+1+k)!}{(n+1)!} \quad (492)$$

we see that the Fourier-Legendre series expansion of Eq.(490) terminates when $k = n$, and that this expansion now simplifies to read

$$\left[\frac{1-x}{2} \right]^n = [n!]^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k (2k+1)}{(n-k)!(n+1+k)!} P_k(x) \quad (493)$$

Mathematica^{®95} can be also used to verify this expansion. For example, by using the following input command to implement the summation of Eq.(493) with $n = 101$

```
In [1] = Simplify[2^(101) Sum[(-1)^L (2 L + 1)(101!)^2
LegendreP[L, x]/((101 - L)!(101 + L + 1)!), {L, 0, 101}]]
```

the expected output is obtained as

```
Out [2] = -(-1 + x)^101
```

An amusing consequence of Eq.(493) is the following Fourier-Legendre series expansion of

$[a + b]^n$:

$$[a + b]^n = [2a]^n [n!]^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(2k+1)}{(n-k)!(n+1+k)!} P_k(b/a) \quad (494)$$

XII. Appendix D

A. Using $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ to evaluate the generalized characters $\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi)$

Using the “boxed” trace relation of Eq.(281), the generalized character functions can be written as

$$\chi_\lambda^{(j)}(\psi) = i^{3\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2\lambda+1}} \text{Tr} \left[f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] \quad (495)$$

Then, putting $\lambda = 1$ and $J = 1/2$, the generalized character function $\chi_1^{1/2}(\psi)$ can be evaluated as

$$\chi_1^{(1/2)}(\psi) = -i \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \text{Tr} \left[f_1^{(1/2)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(1/2)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right] \quad (496)$$

$$= -i \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \text{Tr} \left[\sqrt{2} J_z \left(\mathbb{1} \cos \frac{\psi}{2} + 2i J_z \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \right) \right] \quad (497)$$

$$= \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \text{Tr} [J_z^2] \quad (498)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \quad (499)$$

a result which agrees with $\chi_1^{(1/2)}(\psi)$ tabulated in Varshalovich et al.¹⁹. Because the trace is invariant with respect to a change in basis, the trace of Eq.(497) was evaluated in a representation in which $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ is diagonal. In addition, the following identities have been used to arrive at the final result in Eq.(499):

$$f_1^{(1/2)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \sqrt{2} J_z \quad (\text{see Table II}) \quad (500)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(1/2)}(\psi, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \mathbb{1} \cos \frac{\psi}{2} + 2i J_z \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \quad (\text{Reference}^{19}) \quad (501)$$

$$\text{Tr} [J_z^2] = \sum_{m=-1/2}^{1/2} m^2 = \frac{1}{2} \quad (502)$$

$$\text{Tr} [J_z] = 0 \quad (503)$$

XIII. Appendix E

The composite irreducible product tensor \mathbf{X}_Q^K of rank K is defined by the following combination of irreducible tensors \mathbf{T}_q^k and $\mathbf{U}_{q'}^{k'}$ of rank k and k' , respectively:

$$\mathbf{X}_Q^K = \left\{ \mathbf{T}_q^k \otimes \mathbf{U}_{q'}^{k'} \right\}_Q^K = \sum_{\substack{q, q' \\ q+q'=Q}} \mathbf{T}_q^k \mathbf{U}_{q'}^{k'} C_{kqk'q'}^{KQ} \quad (504)$$

where $C_{kqk'q'}^{KQ}$ is a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient which vanishes unless $q + q' = Q$. In general,

$$\left\{ \mathbf{R}^{(k)} \otimes \mathbf{S}^{(k)} \right\}_0^0 = \sum_{\substack{q, q' \\ q+q'=0}} \mathbf{R}_q^{(k)} \mathbf{S}_{q'}^{(k)} C_{kqkq'}^{00} \quad (505)$$

But since the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient is evaluated as³²

$$C_{kqkq'}^{00} = \frac{(-1)^{k-q}}{\sqrt{2k+1}} \delta_{q, -q'} \quad (506)$$

the double sum of Eq.(505) reduces to a single sum

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \mathbf{R}^{(k)} \otimes \mathbf{S}^{(k)} \right\}_0^0 &= \frac{(-1)^k}{\sqrt{2k+1}} \sum_q (-1)^{-q} \mathbf{R}_q^{(k)} \mathbf{S}_{-q}^{(k)} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^k}{\sqrt{2k+1}} \mathbf{R}^{(k)} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{(k)} \end{aligned} \quad (507)$$

where $\mathbf{R}^{(k)} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{(k)} = \sum_q (-1)^{-q} \mathbf{R}_q^{(k)} \mathbf{S}_{-q}^{(k)}$ defines the scalar product of two tensors.

A more general result for the recoupling of four arbitrary commuting tensors can be

defined in terms of a $9j$ -symbol³² as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{q_1}^{k_1} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{q_2}^{k_2} \right\}_{q_{12}}^{k_{12}} \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{U}_{q_3}^{k_3} \otimes \mathbf{V}_{q_4}^{k_4} \right\}_{q_{34}}^{k_{34}} \right\}_Q^K \\ &= \sum_{k_{13}, k_{24}} \Pi_{k_{13} k_{24} k_{12} k_{34}} \left\{ \begin{matrix} k_1 & k_2 & k_{12} \\ k_3 & k_4 & k_{34} \\ k_{13} & k_{24} & K \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{S}_{q_1}^{k_1} \otimes \mathbf{U}_{q_3}^{k_3} \right\}_{q_{13}}^{k_{13}} \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{T}_{q_2}^{k_2} \otimes \mathbf{V}_{q_4}^{k_4} \right\}_{q_{24}}^{k_{24}} \right\}_Q^K \end{aligned} \quad (508)$$

where as a matter of notation, in this equation, and all that follow, we find it convenient to define¹⁹:

$$\Pi_{abc\dots d} = \sqrt{(2a+1)(2b+1)(2c+1)\dots(2d+1)} \quad (509)$$

In Eq.(508), set $K = Q = 0$, from which it follows that $k_{12} = k_{34}$ and $k_{13} = k_{24}$. In addition, set $k_{12} = k_{34} = 0$, and consider the particular case where we recouple four irreducible tensors of rank 1, so that $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = 1$. In particular, we suppose

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{S}^{k_1} &\equiv \mathbf{I}_1 \\ \mathbf{U}^{k_3} &\equiv \mathbf{I}_2 \\ \mathbf{T}^{k_2} = \mathbf{V}^{k_4} &\equiv \mathbf{r}\end{aligned}\tag{510}$$

Then, from Eq.(508), we have

$$\left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}^0 \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{I}_2 \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}^0 \right\}_0^0 = \sum_{k_{13}, k_{24}} \Pi_{k_{13} k_{24}} \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ k_{13} & k_{24} & 0 \end{Bmatrix} \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \right\}^{k_{13}} \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}^{k_{24}} \right\}_0^0 \tag{511}$$

Now since k_{13} and k_{24} satisfy the triangle inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}|k_1 - k_3| &\leq k_{13} \leq |k_1 + k_3| \\ |k_2 - k_4| &\leq k_{24} \leq |k_2 + k_4|\end{aligned}\tag{512}$$

and $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = 1$, k_{13} and k_{24} are summed from 0 to 2. Note however that when $k_{24} = 1$, $\{\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}\}^1$ vanishes since $\{\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}\}^1 \propto (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}) = 0$. Therefore, in the sum of Eq.(511), only two terms contribute:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}^0 \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{I}_2 \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}^0 \right\}_0^0 \\ &= \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{Bmatrix} \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \right\}^0 \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}^0 \right\}_0^0 + 5 \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{Bmatrix} \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \right\}^2 \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}^2 \right\}_0^0\end{aligned}\tag{513}$$

The $9j$ -symbols in Eq.(513) can be evaluated by expressing them in terms of $6j$ -symbols as

follows¹⁹

$$\begin{Bmatrix} a & b & e \\ c & d & e \\ f & f & 0 \end{Bmatrix} = \frac{(-1)^{b+c+e+f}}{\sqrt{(2e+1)(2f+1)}} \begin{Bmatrix} a & b & e \\ d & c & f \end{Bmatrix} \quad (514)$$

and in turn, all the $6j$ -symbols required can then be evaluated using tables⁹⁶. Replacing all the recoupling coefficients in Eq.(513) then yields the following relation

$$\left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}^0 \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{I}_2 \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}^0 \right\}_0^0 = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \right\}^0 \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}^0 \right\}_0^0 + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \right\}^2 \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}^2 \right\}_0^0 \quad (515)$$

Then, after expressing the rank zero recoupled tensors in Eq.(513) as scalar products using the general relation

$$\left\{ \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b} \right\}_0^0 = -\frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}}{\sqrt{3}} \quad (516)$$

and using the following relations³²

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r} \right\}_q^2 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \mathbf{r}^2 \mathbf{C}_q^2 \\ \text{where } \mathbf{C}_q^2 &= \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} \mathbf{Y}_q^2 \end{aligned} \quad (517)$$

the following recoupling expression for the classical dipolar Hamiltonian is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} W_{12} &= a \left[\mathbf{I}_1 \cdot \mathbf{I}_2 - 3 \frac{(\mathbf{I}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r})(\mathbf{I}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r})}{r^2} \right] \\ &= -\sqrt{6}a \left\{ \mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \right\}^2 \cdot \mathbf{C}_q^2 \\ &= -\sqrt{6}a \left\{ \mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \right\}^2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} \mathbf{Y}_q^2 \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{24\pi}{5}} \sum_q (-1)^q \left\{ \mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \right\}_q^2 \mathbf{Y}_{-q}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (518)$$

Table I

Title: Chebyshev polynomial basis operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ ($\lambda \leq 2j$; $\kappa \equiv j(j+1)$)

Caption: As described in Section **2.2**, all elements were generated following the procedure described by Corio²¹.

λ	$j = 1/2$	$j = 1$	$j = 3/2$
0	$f_0^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{1}$	$f_0^{(1)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \mathbf{1}$	$f_0^{(\frac{3}{2})}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} \mathbf{1}$
1	$f_1^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \sqrt{2} [(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})]$	$f_1^{(1)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})]$	$f_1^{(\frac{3}{2})}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} [(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})]$
2	—	$f_2^{(1)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^2 - \kappa \mathbf{1}]$	$f_2^{(\frac{3}{2})}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{1}{6} [3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^2 - \kappa \mathbf{1}]$
3	—	—	$f_3^{(\frac{3}{2})}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{5}} [5(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^3 - (3\kappa - 1)(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})]$

Table II

Title: A comparison of Chebyshev polynomial scalars $f_\lambda^{(j)}(m)$ and operators $f_\lambda^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$.

Caption: First column compares the definitions of the Chebyshev polynomial scalars adopted by various authors, while the second column compares the definitions of the Chebyshev polynomial operators adopted by the same authors. Note that Corio^{21,39} suppressed the explicit dependence on the spin angular momentum j in his definitions of both the Chebyshev polynomial scalars $Z_n(x)$ and operators $U_n(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$, while Meckler¹¹ suppressed the explicit dependence on the spin angular momentum S in his definition of the Chebyshev polynomial operators $A^{(n)}/g_n$, where $g_n = [n!]^2 \sqrt{2S+1+n} \left[2^n (2n-1)!! \sqrt{(2n+1)(2S-n)!} \right]^{-1}$. Using Filippov's notation²⁸ for the Chebyshev polynomial operators, Meckler's operators¹¹ can be written as $A^{(n)}/g_n \equiv f_n^{(S)}(\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{S})$. Just as the $(2j+1)$ Chebyshev polynomial scalars $p_n(S, -j), \dots, p_n(S, j)$ defined by Meckler¹¹ are the diagonal matrix elements of $A^{(n)}/g_n$ in a representation where $(\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{S})$ is diagonal, the $(2j+1)$ Chebyshev polynomial scalars $Z_n(0), \dots, Z_n(2j)$ defined by Corio^{21,39} are the diagonal matrix elements of $U_n(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ in a representation where $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ is diagonal.

Scalars	Operators	Authors
$f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m)$	$f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$	Filippov ²⁸
$p_n(S, m)$ $(n \equiv \lambda; S \equiv j)$	$\frac{A^{(n)}}{g_n}$ $(n \equiv \lambda)$	Meckler ^{10,11}
$Z_n(x)$ $(n \equiv \lambda; x \equiv j + m)$	$U_n(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ $(n \equiv \lambda)$	Corio ^{21,39}

Table III

Title: Chebyshev polynomial definitions and recursion relations.

Caption: The top box in the first column gives Filippov's definition²⁸ of the Chebyshev polynomials $f_L^{(j)}(m)$ in terms of Bateman's¹² Chebyshev polynomials $t_L(j+m, 2j+1)$, which are defined in the bottom box of the first column using finite differences⁹⁷. Two versions of the recursion relations are given in the second column. The form of the first version is that given by Filippov²⁸ for the Chebyshev polynomials $f_L^{(j)}(m)$, although equivalent forms were derived previously by Meckler^{10,11} and by Corio^{21,39}. The second version of the recursion relation is that given by Varshalovich et al.¹⁹ for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients C_{jmj-m}^{L0} , which are identical to the Chebyshev polynomials $f_L^{(j)}(m)$ to within a phase-factor. The bottom boxes also define functions $F(L, j)$ and $G(a, b)$ used for the matrix elements (top box, first column) and recursion relations (top box, second column), respectively.

<p>Matrix Element Definition via Bateman's¹²</p> <p>Chebyshev Polynomials $t_L(j+m, 2j+1)$</p>	<p>Recursion Relations</p>
$f_L^{(j)}(m) = \langle jm f_L^{(j)}(J_z) jm \rangle$ $= F(L, j) t_L(j+m, 2j+1)$ $= (-1)^{j-m} C_{jmj-m}^{L0}$	$G(L+1, j) f_{L+1}^{(j)}(m) - 2m f_L^{(j)}(m) + G(L, j) f_{L-1}^{(j)}(m) = 0$ $G(L+1, j) C_{jmj-m}^{L+10} - 2m C_{jmj-m}^{L0} + G(L, j) C_{jmj-m}^{L-10} = 0$
<p>(1) $F(L, j) = \left[\frac{(2L+1)(2j-L)!}{(2j+L+1)!} \right]^{1/2}$</p> <p>(2) $t_L(j+m, 2j+1) = L! \Delta^L [H_L^j(m)]$</p> <p>where $H_L^j(m) = \left[\binom{j+m}{L} \binom{m-j-1}{L} \right]$</p> $\Delta h(m) = h(m+1) - h(m)$ $\Delta^{k+1} h(m) = \Delta[\Delta^k h(m)]$	$G(a, b) = \left[\frac{a^2((2b+1)^2 - a^2)}{4a^2 - 1} \right]^{1/2}$

Table IV

Title: A comparison of expansions, traces, matrix elements, Hermitian conjugates and density operators $\hat{\rho}$ for the spin polarization operators $\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ and the Chebyshev polynomial operators $f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$.

Operator	Spin Polarization	Chebyshev Polynomial
\hat{O}	$\hat{O} = \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$	$\hat{O} = f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) = \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^{\star}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$
Expansions	$\hat{A} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} A_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$ $A_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} = \text{Tr} \left[\left[\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \right]^{\dagger} \hat{A} \right]$	$\hat{B} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} B_{\lambda}^{(j)} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ $B_{\lambda}^{(j)} = \text{Tr} \left[f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \hat{B} \right]$
Traces	$\text{Tr} \left[\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \left[\hat{T}_{\lambda'\mu'}^{(j)} \right]^{\dagger} \right] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{\mu\mu'}$	$\text{Tr} \left[f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) f_{\lambda'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$
$\langle m \hat{O} m' \rangle$	$C_{jmj-m'}^{\lambda(m-m')} (-1)^{j-m'}$	$C_{\lambda(m-m')}^{\star}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) C_{jmj-m'}^{\lambda(m-m')} (-1)^{j-m'}$
Hermitian Conjugate	$\left[\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \right]^{\dagger} = (-1)^{\mu} \hat{T}_{\lambda-\mu}^{(j)}$	$\left[f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right]^{\dagger} = f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$
$\hat{\rho}$	$\sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{\mu=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \left[\hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)} \right]^{\dagger} \right] \hat{T}_{\lambda\mu}^{(j)}$	$\sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \frac{(2\lambda+1)}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right] d\hat{\mathbf{n}}$

Table V

Title: Similarity Transforms

Caption: Similarity transforms of J_z and $f_\lambda^{(j)}(J_z)$.

Operator	Similarity Transform
\hat{O}	$\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \hat{O} \left[\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(j)}(\theta, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\perp}) \right]^{\dagger}$
J_z	$(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$
$f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(J_z)$	$f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$

Table VI

Title: A comparison of the relative orientations of Meckler's instantaneous axis $\hat{\mathbf{b}}(t)^{10}$ and Abragam's magnetic moment $\hat{\mathbf{m}}(t)^1$.

	Meckler ¹⁰	Abraham ¹
$t = 0$	$\hat{\mathbf{b}}(0) \parallel \hat{\mathbf{a}}$	$\hat{\mathbf{m}}(0) \parallel H_0 \hat{\mathbf{z}}$
$t > 0$	$\hat{\mathbf{b}}(t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}} = 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{u^2}(1 - \cos ut)$ $\equiv Z$	$\hat{\mathbf{m}}(t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{m}}(0) = 1 - \frac{\omega_1^2}{a^2}(1 - \cos at)$ $\equiv \cos \alpha$
Nutation frequency	$u = \sqrt{\lambda^2 + (\omega - \omega_0)^2}$	$a = \sqrt{\omega_1^2 + (\omega - \omega_0)^2}$
Excitation radiofrequency	λ	ω_1

Table VII

Title: Probability distributions and tomographic reconstruction relations.

Caption: Probability distributions defined in the left-hand column are used to define the corresponding tomographic reconstructions of the density matrix $\hat{\rho}$ in the right-hand column. The function $F_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ used in the definitions of the probability distributions is defined at the bottom of the left-hand column, and the function $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ used in the definitions of the tomographic reconstruction relations is defined at the bottom of the right-hand column.

Probability Distributions	Tomographic Reconstruction Relations
$w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \, \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right]$ $= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) \, F_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$	$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sum_{m=-j}^j f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(m) \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} w^{(j)}(m, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \, d\hat{\mathbf{n}}$
$Q(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \, \mathbf{\Pi}^{(j)}(j, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right]$ $= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(j) \, F_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$	$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \left[f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(j) \right]^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} Q(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \, d\hat{\mathbf{n}}$
$W(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \, \Delta^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \right]$ $= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda+1}{2j+1}} \, F_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$	$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{2j} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2\lambda+1}} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} W(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \, d\hat{\mathbf{n}}$
$F_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \, f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J}) \right]$	$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \left(\frac{2\lambda+1}{4\pi} \right) f_{\lambda}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$

Table VIII

Title: A comparison of tensor operator equivalents expressed in terms of Chebyshev polynomials.

Caption: First row is work done by Corio³⁹, and second row is work done by Marinelli et al.⁴⁰.

$\hat{T}_{60}^{(j)} \equiv f_6^{(j)}(J_z)$	$\hat{T}_{41}^{(j)}$
$U_0^{(6)}(J) \sim \left\{ 231J_z^6 - 105(3\kappa - 7)J_z^4 \right. \\ + 21(5\kappa^2 - 25\kappa + 14)J_z^2 \\ \left. - 5\kappa(\kappa^2 - 8\kappa + 12)\mathbb{1} \right\}$ <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: 10px auto;"> $\kappa \equiv J(J+1)$ </div>	$U_1^{(3)}(J) \sim J_+ \left\{ 14J_z^3 + 21J_z^2 + (19 - 6\kappa)J_z \right. \\ \left. + 3(2 - \kappa)\mathbb{1} \right\}$ <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: 10px auto;"> $\kappa \equiv J(J+1)$ </div>
$T_6^{(0)}(S) \sim \left\{ 231S_z^6 - 105(3K - 7)S_z^4 \right. \\ + 21(5K^2 - 25K + 14)S_z^2 \\ \left. - 5K(K^2 - 8K + 12)\mathbb{1} \right\}$ <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: 10px auto;"> $K \equiv S(S+1)$ </div>	$T_4^{(1)}(S) \sim S_+ \left\{ 14S_z^3 + 21S_z^2 + (19 - 6K)S_z \right. \\ \left. + 3(2 - K)\mathbb{1} \right\}$ $\sim S_+ \sum_{n=1}^4 A_{4n}^1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial S_z} \right)^n \left[f_4^{(S)}(S_z) \right]$ <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: 10px auto;"> $K \equiv S(S+1)$ </div>

Table IX

Title: Legendre polynomial operators.

Caption: A comparison of the Legendre polynomial operators defined by Schwinger⁵¹ ($P_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$ in the second column) and by Zemach⁵⁰ ($\overline{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$ in the third column) with the Legendre polynomials ($P_\lambda(\cos \theta)$ in the fourth column). ($\kappa \equiv \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J} = j(j+1)$)

λ	$P_\lambda(\mathbf{J})$	$\overline{P}_\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$	$P_\lambda(\cos \theta)$
0	$\mathbb{1}$	$\mathbb{1}$	1
1	$[\kappa^2]^{-1/2} J_z$	$(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})$	$\cos \theta$
2	$[\kappa^2(\kappa^2 - \frac{3}{4})]^{-1/2} \frac{1}{2}[3J_z^2 - \kappa \mathbb{1}]$	$\frac{1}{2}[3(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^2 - \kappa \mathbb{1}]$	$\frac{1}{2}(3 \cos^2 \theta - 1)$
3	$[\kappa^2(\kappa^2 - \frac{3}{4})(\kappa^2 - 2)]^{-1/2} \frac{1}{2}[5J_z^3 - (3\kappa - 1)J_z]$	$\frac{1}{2}[5(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})^3 - (3\kappa - 1)(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{J})]$	$\frac{1}{2}(5 \cos^3 \theta - \cos \theta)$

* siminovitch@uleth.ca

- ¹ A. Abragam, *Principles of Nuclear Magnetism* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1983).
- ² E. Majorana, “Atomi orientati in campo magnetico variabile,” *Il Nuovo Cimento* **9**, 43-50 (1932).
- ³ N. F. Ramsey, *Molecular Beams* (Oxford University Press, London, 1956).
- ⁴ P. L. Corio, *Structure of High-Resolution NMR Spectra* (Academic Press, New York, 1967).
- ⁵ R. Gilmore, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Some of Their Applications* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974).
- ⁶ L. C. Biedenharn and J. D. Louck, *Angular Momentum in Quantum Physics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1981).
- ⁷ W. J. Thompson, *Angular Momentum* (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004).
- ⁸ S. Esposito, *The Physics of Ettore Majorana* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015).
- ⁹ F. Bloch and I. I. Rabi, “Atoms in variable magnetic fields,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **17**, 237-244 (1945).
- ¹⁰ A. Meckler, “Majorana formula,” *Phys. Rev.* **111**, 1447-1449 (1958).
- ¹¹ A. Meckler, “On the algebra of angular momentum,” *Nuovo Cimento Suppl.* **12**, 1-40 (1959).
- ¹² A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and G. F. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. II* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953), p. 221-227.
- ¹³ A. F. Nikiforov, S. K. Suslov, and V. B. Uvarov, “Classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable in the theory of group representations,” in *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, Vol 1*, edited by M. A. Markov (Harwood Academic, New York, 1985), p ???.
- ¹⁴ A. F. Nikiforov and V. B. Uvarov, *Special Functions of Mathematical Physics* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988).
- ¹⁵ N. J. Vilenkin and A. U. Klimyk, *Representation of Lie Groups and Special Functions, Vol. 1* (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1991).
- ¹⁶ N. M. Temme, *Special Functions: An Introduction to the Classical Functions of Mathematical Physics* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1996).
- ¹⁷ G. B. Arfken, H. J. Weber, and F. E. Harris, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists, 7th ed.* (Academic Press, New York, 2013).

- ¹⁸ F. W. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010).
- ¹⁹ D. A. Varshalovich, A. N. Moskalev, and V. K. Khersonskii, *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).
- ²⁰ M. Siemens, J. Hancock, and D. J. Siminovitch, “Beyond Euler angles: exploiting the angle-axis parametrization in a multipole expansion of the rotation operator,” *Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson.* **31**, 35-54 (2007).
- ²¹ P. L. Corio, “Orthonormal expansions of angular momentum functions,” *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **29**, 661-664 (1975).
- ²² In Corio²¹, the term $(2i \sin \Phi)^n$ in Eq.(3.2) should be corrected to read $(2i \sin(\frac{1}{2}\Phi))^n$
- ²³ S. N. Filippov and V. I. Man’ko, “Inverse spin- s portrait and representation of qudit states by single probability vectors,” *J. Russ. Laser Res.* **31**, 32-54 (2010).
- ²⁴ G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, *Special Functions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- ²⁵ E. Butkov, *Mathematical Physics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1968).
- ²⁶ K. F. Riley, M. P. Hobson, and S. J. Bence, *Mathematical Methods for Physics and Engineering, 3rd ed.* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016).
- ²⁷ M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, 1970).
- ²⁸ S. N. Filippov, “Quantum states and dynamics of spin systems and electromagnetic fields in the tomographic-probability representation,” Ph.D, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 2012.
- ²⁹ O. Castaños, R. López-Peña, M. A. Man’ko, and V. I. Man’ko, “Kernel of star-product for spin tomograms,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36**, 4677-4688 (2003).
- ³⁰ S. N. Filippov and V. I. Man’ko, “Purity of spin states in terms of tomograms,” *J. Russ. Laser Res.* **34**, 14-21 (2013).
- ³¹ S. N. Filippov and V. I. Man’ko, “Spin tomography and star-product kernel for qubits and qutrits,” *J. Russ. Laser Research.* **30**, 129-145 (2009).
- ³² D. M. Brink and G. R. Satchler, *Angular Momentum (Second Edition)* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1971).
- ³³ A. B. Klimov and S. M. Chumakov, *A Group-Theoretical Approach to Quantum Optics*

- (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009).
- ³⁴ G.M. D'Ariano, L. Maccone, and M. Painsi, "Spin tomography", J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **5**, 77-84 (2003).
 - ³⁵ A. P. French and E. F. Taylor, *An Introduction to Quantum Physics* (Norton & Company, New York, 1978).
 - ³⁶ J. C. Várilly and J. M. Gracia-Bondía, "The Moyal representation for spin," Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **190**, 107-147 (1989).
 - ³⁷ S. Heiss and S. Weigert, "Discrete Moyal-type representations for a spin," Phys. Rev. A **63**, 012105 (2001).
 - ³⁸ A. B. Klimov and S. M. Chumakov, "Quasi-probability distributions for the simplest dynamical groups," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **17**, 2315-2318 (2000).
 - ³⁹ P. L. Corio, "Construction of orthonormal angular-momentum operators," J. Math. Phys. **9**, 1067-1071 (1968).
 - ⁴⁰ F. Marinelli, G. Pouzard, and L. Werbelow, "Simplified closed form expressions for irreducible tensor operators," Phys. Lett. **98A**, 151-155 (1983).
 - ⁴¹ J-M. Normand and J. Raynal, "Relations between Cartesian and spherical components of irreducible Cartesian tensors," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **15**, 1437-1461 (1982).
 - ⁴² I. M. Gelfand, R. A. Minlos, and Z. Y. Shapiro, *Representations of the Rotation Group and the Lorentz Group* (Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1958).
 - ⁴³ I. M. Gelfand, R. A. Minlos, and Z. Y. Shapiro, *Representations of the Rotation Group and the Lorentz Group* (Macmillan, New York, 1963).
 - ⁴⁴ T. H. Koornwinder, "Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for $SU(2)$ and Hahn polynomials," Nieuw Arch. Wisk. **29**, 140-155 (1981).
 - ⁴⁵ R. Askey and J. A. Wilson, "A set of orthogonal polynomials that generalize the Racah coefficients or $6j$ -symbols," SIAM J. Math. Anal. **10**, 1008-1016 (1979).
 - ⁴⁶ J. A. Wilson, "Some hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials," SIAM J. Math. Anal. **11**, 690-701 (1980).
 - ⁴⁷ D. A. Leites and A. N. Sergeev, "Orthogonal polynomials of discrete variable and Lie algebras of complex size matrices," Theor. Math. Phys. **123**, 582-608 (2000).
 - ⁴⁸ R. Askey and J. Wilson, "Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials," Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **54**, 1-55 (1985).

- ⁴⁹ E. P. Wigner, *Group Theory* (Academic Press, New York, 1959).
- ⁵⁰ C. Zemach, "Use of angular-momentum tensors," *Phys. Rev.* **140**, B97-B108 (1965).
- ⁵¹ J. Schwinger, "The Majorana formula," *Trans. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **38**, 170-184 (1977).
- ⁵² J.J. Sakurai, *Modern Quantum Mechanics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1994).
- ⁵³ J. D. Louck, "Angular Momentum Theory," in *Springer Handbook of Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, edited by G. W. F. Drake (Springer, Würzburg, 2006), pp. ?????.
- ⁵⁴ D. J. Siminovitch, T. S. Untidt, and N. C. Nielsen, "Exact effective Hamiltonian theory. II: Expansion of matrix functions and entangled unitary exponential operators," *J. Chem. Phys.* **120**, 51-67 (2004).
- ⁵⁵ D. J. Siminovitch, "Rotations in NMR: Part I. Euler-Rodrigues parameters and quaternions," *Concepts Magn. Reson.* **9**, 149-171 (1997).
- ⁵⁶ U. Fano, "Geometrical characterization of nuclear states and the theory of angular correlations," *Phys. Rev.* **90**, 577-579 (1953).
- ⁵⁷ K. Blum, *Density Matrix Theory and Applications* (Plenum Press, New York, 1981).
- ⁵⁸ J. Oreg and S. Goshen, "Spherical modes in N -level systems," *Phys. Rev. A* **29**, 3205-3207 (1984).
- ⁵⁹ E. Merzbacher, "Matrix methods in quantum mechanics," *Am. J. Phys.* **36**, 814-821 (1968).
- ⁶⁰ R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991).
- ⁶¹ Y. L. Loh and M. Kim, "Visualizing spin states using the spin coherent state representation," *Am. J. Phys.* **83**, 30-35 (2015).
- ⁶² M. Ducloy, "Application du formalisme des états cohérents de moment angulaire à quelques problèmes de physique atomique," *J. de Physique* **36**, 927-941 (1975).
- ⁶³ K. Gottfried, *Quantum Mechanics: Volume I* (W.A. Benjamin, Reading, 1974).
- ⁶⁴ E. Merzbacher, *Quantum Mechanics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1970).
- ⁶⁵ S. Balasubramanian, "Sylvester's formula and the spin problem," *Am. J. Phys.* **40**, 918-919 (1972).
- ⁶⁶ V. Zelevinsky, *Quantum Physics. Volume 1: From Basics to Symmetries and Perturbations* (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2011).
- ⁶⁷ J. Schwinger, "On nonadiabatic processes in inhomogeneous fields," *Phys. Rev.* **51**, 648-651 (1937).

- ⁶⁸ S. E. Mkam Tchouobiap, M. B. Kenmore, and L. C. Fai, “The finite time multi-level SU(2) Landau-Zener problems: exact analytical results,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48**, 1-16 (2015).
- ⁶⁹ E. U. Condon and G. H. Shortley, *Theory of Atomic Spectra* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1935).
- ⁷⁰ M. F. Brown, “Theory of spin-lattice relaxation in lipid bilayers and biological membranes. ^2H and ^{14}N quadrupolar relaxation,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **77**, 1576-1599 (1982).
- ⁷¹ S-T. Lai, P. Palting, Y-N. Chiu, and H. J. Silverstone, “On the summations involving Wigner rotation matrix elements,” *J. Math. Chem.* **24**, 123-132 (1998).
- ⁷² R. van Wageningen, “Explicit polynomial expressions for finite rotation operators,” *Nucl. Phys.* **60**, 250-263 (1964).
- ⁷³ T. A. Weber and S. A. Williams, “Spin-matrix polynomials and the rotation operator for arbitrary spin,” *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 1980-1983 (1965).
- ⁷⁴ R. H. Albert, “Still another way to calculate representations of the three-dimensional pure rotation group,” *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **63**, 273-275 (1967).
- ⁷⁵ A. J. Torruella, “Representations of the three-dimensional rotation group by the direct method,” *J. Math. Phys.* **16**, 1637-1642 (1975).
- ⁷⁶ D. Kusnezov, “Exact matrix expansions for group elements of SU(n),” *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 898-906 (1995).
- ⁷⁷ T. L. Curtright, D. B. Fairlie, and C. K. Zachos, “A compact formula for rotations as spin matrix polynomials,” *SIGMA* **10**, 084 (2014).
- ⁷⁸ W. Happer, “A partial-wave expansion of the rotation operator,” *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **48**, 579-591 (1968).
- ⁷⁹ D. A. Varshalovich, A. N. Moskalev, and V. K. Khersonskii, “Demonstration of rotation group using the (ψ, \hat{n}) parameters,” *Izv. akad. nauk. SSSR ser. Fiz.* **38**, 1711-1726 (1974).
- ⁸⁰ G. S. Agarwal, “Relation between atomic coherent-state representation, state multipoles, and generalized phase-space distributions,” *Phys. Rev.* **24**, 2889-2896 (1981).
- ⁸¹ K. Husimi, “Some formal properties of the density matrix,” *Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **22**, 264-314 (1940).
- ⁸² E. Ambler, J. C. Eisenstein, and J. F. Schooley, “Traces of products of angular momentum matrices,” *J. Math. Phys.* **3**, 118-130 (1962).
- ⁸³ M. Keller, “Spin-1 behavior of systems of dipolar coupled pairs of spin-1/2 nuclei,” *Adv.*

- Magn. Reson. **12**, 183-246 (1988).
- ⁸⁴ I. D. Ryabov, “On the generation of operator equivalents and the calculation of their matrix elements,” J. Magn. Reson. **140**, 141-145 (1999).
- ⁸⁵ G. G. Ohlsen, “Polarization transfer and spin correlation experiments in nuclear physics,” Rep. Progr. Phys. **35**, 717-801 (1972).
- ⁸⁶ F. Li, C. Braun and A. Garg, “The Weyl-Wigner-Moyal formalism for spin,” Europhys. Lett. **102**, ????? (2013).
- ⁸⁷ J. Schwinger, “On angular momentum,” United States Atomic Energy Commission Technical Report NYO-3071 (1952).
- ⁸⁸ J. Schwinger, “On angular momentum,” in *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, edited by L. C. Biedenharn and H. van Dam (Academic Press New York, 1965).
- ⁸⁹ J. Schwinger, *On Angular Momentum* (Dover, New York, 2015).
- ⁹⁰ J. Schwinger, L. L. DeRaad Jr., K. A. Milton, and W. Tsai, *Classical Electrodynamics* (Perseus Books, Cambridge, 1989).
- ⁹¹ A. Garg, *Classical Electromagnetism in a Nutshell* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2012).
- ⁹² R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics, Volume I* (Interscience, New York, 1953).
- ⁹³ This generating function formula can be found on p. 542 of Appendix A in Courant and Hilbert.⁹² Courant and Hilbert⁹² acknowledge W. Magnus for the appendix, which according to them “is based on unpublished notes by G. Herglotz.”
- ⁹⁴ A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov, O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series. Volume 2. Special Functions* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1986).
- ⁹⁵ Wolfram Research, Mathematica, (www.wolfram.com), 2004.
- ⁹⁶ M. Rotenberg, R. Bivins, N. Metropolis, and J. K. Wooten, Jr., *The 3-j and 6-j Symbols* (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1959).
- ⁹⁷ Given the equivalence between Chebyshev polynomials and Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, the finite difference expression for the Chebyshev polynomials in this table implies that Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are also related to finite differences.¹⁹
- ⁹⁸ In the notation of this article, $\mathbf{J}^2 = \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J} \equiv \kappa = j(j+1)$.