arXiv:2208.12589v1 [math.CO] 26 Aug 2022

Improved bounds for covering hypergraphs

Anand Babu Sundar Vishwanathan

Department of Computer Science & Engineering,
IIT Bombay

Abstract

The Graham-Pollak theorem states that at least $n-1$ bicliques are required to partition the edge set of the complete graph on n vertices. In this paper, we provide improvements for the generalizations of coverings of graphs and hypergraphs for some specific multiplicities. We study an extension of Katona Szemerédi theorem to r-uniform hypergraphs. We also discuss the r-partite covering number and matching number and how large the r-partite partition number would be in terms of r-partite covering number for r-uniform hypergraphs.

1 Introduction

An r-uniform hypergraph H (also referred to as an r-graph) is said to be r-partite if its vertex set $V(H)$ can be partitioned into sets $V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_r$, so that every edge in the edge set $E(H)$ of H intersects V_i in one vertex. An r-partite cover of an r-uniform hypergraph $H(V,E)$ is a collection of complete r-partite r-graphs such that each edge in the edge set E is contained in some complete r-partite r-graphs. An r-partite partition of an r-uniform hypergraph $H(V,E)$ is a pair-wise disjoint collection of complete r-partite r-graphs such that each edge in the edge set E is present in some complete r-partite r-graph. The minimum size of the collection of complete r-partite r-graphs that partitions the edge set of an r-uniform hypergraph H is represented by $f_r(H)$. The complete r-uniform hypergraph with n vertices has an edge set consisting of all r-sized subsets of $[n]$. For a complete r-uniform hypergraph on n vertices, the minimum size of the collection of complete r-partite r-graphs that partitions their edge set is denoted by $f_r(n)$.

The problem of determining $f_r(n)$ for $r > 2$ was proposed by Aharoni and Linial [1]. For $r = 2$, $f_2(n)$ is the minimum number of biclique subgraphs required to partition the edge set of the complete graph on n vertices. Graham and Pollak([17, 18] see also [3] and [16]) proved that at least $n-1$ bicliques are required to partition the edge set of the complete graph K_n. Since the edges of the complete graph K_n can be partitioned into $n-1$ disjoint bicliques, this shows that $f_2(n) = n-1$. The original proof by Graham and Pollak uses Sylvester's law of inertia [18]. Other proofs of the same

were found by Tverberg [35], Peck [31] and Vishwanathan [36] using linear algebraic methods. A combinatorial proof was given by Vishwanathan [37]. The generalisations of Graham Pollak theorem were provided by Alon [1] and showed that $f_3(n) = n-2$ and $f_r(n) = \theta(n^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor})$. Cioabă, Kündgen and Verstraëte [6] and later Cioabă and Tait [7] provided improvements in the lower order terms of $f_r(n)$. Later Leader, Milićević and Tan [27] provided improved bounds on $f_r(n)$. Further improvements on $f_r(n)$ are provided in [28] [4].

Alon [2] provided a one to one correspondence between p-neighborly family of standard boxes in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the bipartite covering of a complete graph of cardinality d such that each edge in the edge set of the complete graph is contained in at least one biclique and at most p bicliques. Alon also provided bounds for the minimum number of bicliques required to cover the edges of a complete graph such that the edges are covered at least once and at most p times. Multicovering the edge set in complete r-uniform hypergraphs is discussed in [5].

The order of a hypergraph $H(V,E)$ is the number of vertices present in the hypergraph H. For an r-uniform hypergraph $H(V,E)$, the minimum of the sum of the orders of the complete r-partite r-graphs in a collection over all the collections of complete r-partite r-graphs that cover the edge set E is denoted by $b_r(H)$. A classical theorem relating the biclique partition and the sum of the orders of the biclique cover is due to Katona and Szemerédi theorem [26]. The Katona-Szemerédi theorem states that the minimum of the sum of the orders of a collection of bicliques that cover the edge set of a complete graph on n vertices is $n \log n$. The generalisation of the theorem for graphs with chromatic number χ was provided by Mubayi and Vishwanathan [29].

In this paper, we describe some generalisations of Graham-Pollak theorem and an extension of the Katona Szemerédi theorem. In section 2, we provide improved bounds for the minimum number of bicliques required to cover the edges of a complete graph such that the number of times the edges get covered is contained in some specific list of positive integers. Similar results on the minimum number of complete 3-partite 3-graphs required to cover the edge set of a complete 3-uniform hypergraph such that the number of occurrences of the edges belongs to specific list are also provided.

In section 3, we provide an extension of Katona Szemerédi theorem to r-uniform hypergraphs, by providing a lower bound for the sum of the orders of the collection of complete r-partite r-graphs that cover the edge set of an r-uniform hypergraph in terms of the chromatic number of the r-uniform hypergraph.

In section 4, we provide lower bounds for complete r-partite covering number in terms of the matching number in r-uniform hypergraphs.

In section 5, we discuss how large the complete r-partite partition number can be in terms of the complete r-partite covering number for r-uniform hypergraphs.

2 Multicovering graphs

Let $L = \{l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_i\}$ where $l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_i$ are positive integers. An *L-biclique covering* of graph G is a collection of bicliques such that every edge of G is contained in l_i of the bicliques for $l_i \in L$. The minimum number of bicliques in an L-biclique covering is termed the L-biclique covering number and is denoted by $bp_L(G)$. This definition can be extended to hypergraphs in a natural way. Here, the definition is specified for complete r-uniform hypergraphs for specified lists only. Let $[p] = 1, 2, \cdots, p$. An r-partite p-multicover of a complete r-uniform hypergraph K_n^r is a collection of complete r-partite r-graphs such that every hyperedge of K_n^r is contained in t of the r-partite r-graphs for some $t \in [p]$. The minimum size of such a covering is called the r-partite p-multicovering number and is denoted by $f_r(n,p)$. Note that $bp_L(K_n)$ where the list $L = \{1, 2, \cdots, p\}$ is same as $f_2(n,p)$.

The problem of bipartite p-multicovering of the complete graph K_n on n vertices was first studied by Alon [2]. Alon proved that $(1+o(1))\left(\frac{p!}{2^p}\right)^{1/p} n^{1/p} \leq f_2(n,p) \leq (1+o(1))pn^{1/p}$. Though the bounds are asymptotically tight there is still a constant gap between the bounds. Huang and Sudakov [22] improved the lower bound for $f_2(n,p)$ to $(1+o(1))\left(\frac{p!}{2^{p-1}}\right)^{1/p} n^{1/p} \leq f_2(n,p)$. Cioabă and Tait [7] provided a lower bound for $bp_L(G)$ for any list L and graph G. They also provided constructive L-partite covering of K_n for some specified lists, like $L = \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{2,4,\cdots,2i\}$. Despite being asymptotically tight, not many lists are known for which the values are exactly known. It is part of folklore that for $L = \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor \log n \rfloor\}$, $bp_L(K_n)$ is $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$. Radhakrishnan, Sen and Vishwanathan [34] gives another list for which the $bp_L(K_n)$ is exactly known. They show that $bp_L(K_n) = \frac{n}{2}$ for infinitely many values of even n when L is the list of odd numbers less than n. They also give some similar results for $bp_L(K_n)$ for list of numbers congruent $1 (mod p)$ where p is prime. For $L = \{\lambda\}$ for a constant λ, De Caen, Gregory, and Pritikin conjectured that $bp_L(K_n) = n-1$, for large n. It is known to be true for $\lambda \leq 18$. Bounds on r-partite p-multicovering of complete r-uniform hypergraphs are provided in [5].

2.1 Constructive upper bound for $bp_{\{2,3\}}(K_n)$

In this subsection, we give an improved upper bound for $bp_{\{2,3\}}(K_n)$. This improves on the previous bound of $3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{n}$ by Cioabă and Tait [7].

For $L = \{2,3\}$ order the n vertices into a hexagonal grid with m vertices on each side as in figure 1. A hexagonal grid has three sides that are pairwise non-parallel. The rows parallel to each such side of the grid is denoted by A_i, B_i and C_i for $1 \leq i \leq 2m$ respectively. Consider the following collection of complete bipartite graphs with parts A_i and $\cup_{j=i+1}^{2m} A_j$, the collection of complete bipartite graphs with parts B_i and $\cup_{j=i+1}^{2m} B_j$ and the collection of complete bipartite graphs with parts C_i and $\cup_{j=i+1}^{2m} C_j$. This forms




Figure 1: Hexagonal grid

a $\{2,3\}$ covering of K_n since the edge whose vertices both lie in some row which is parallel to the sides of the hexagonal grid are covered exactly twice and the rest of the vertices are covered thrice.

Total number of vertices in a hexagonal grid is given by

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 2(m) + 2(m+1) + \cdots + 2(m+m-2) + (m+m-1) \\ &= 2[m(m-1) + (m-2)(m-1)/2] + (m+m-1) \\ &= 2m^2 - 2m + m^2 - 3m + 2 + 2m - 1 \\ &= 3m^2 - 3m + 1 \end{aligned}$$

So for $n = 3(m^2 - m + 1)$, $bp_{\{2,3\}}(K_n) \leq 6m - 3 < 2\sqrt{3}\sqrt{n}$.

2.2 Constructive Upper bound for $f_3(n,4)$

In this subsection, we give a constructive bound for $f_3(n,4)$. This bound is better than the general bound described in [5].

For $L = \{1,2,3,4\}$ order the n vertices into a square grid with m rows and m columns as in figure 2. The rows are denoted by R_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and the columns are denoted by C_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$. The *diagonal rows* are the lines that are parallel to the main diagonal of the square grid. The *counter diagonal rows* are the lines that are parallel to the counter diagonal. The diagonal rows are denoted by M_i and counter diagonal rows are denoted by N_i for $1 \leq i \leq 2m-1$. Few of all the different types of rows in the square grid are depicted in figure (3.2). Consider the following collection of complete 3-partite 3-graphs.




Figure 2: Square grid

1. Complete 3-partite 3-graphs with parts R_i, $\cup_{j=i+1}^{m} R_j$ and $\cup_{k=1}^{i-1} R_k$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$.
2. Complete 3-partite 3-graphs with parts C_i, $\cup_{j=i+1}^{m} C_j$ and $\cup_{k=1}^{i-1} C_k$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$.
3. Complete 3-partite 3-graphs with parts M_i, $\cup_{j=i+1}^{m} M_j$ and $\cup_{k=1}^{i-1} M_k$ for $2 \leq i \leq 2m-2$.
4. Complete 3-partite 3-graphs with parts N_i, $\cup_{j=i+1}^{m} N_j$ and $\cup_{k=1}^{i-1} N_k$ for $2 \leq i \leq 2m-2$.

Observe that for any three vertices in the square grid there is always a row or a column or a diagonal row or a counter diagonal row that passes through one of these vertices such that the other two vertices are on either side of it. The number of occurrences of an edge in the collection of 3-partite 3-graphs depends upon the number of such lines that pass through one of the vertices such that the other two vertices lie on either side of it.

There are $n = m^2$ vertices in the square grid. The number of complete 3-partite 3-graphs is given by

$$m-2+m-2+2m-3+2m-3 = 6m-10.$$

So for $n = m^2$, $f_3(n,4) \leq 6m-10 \leq 6\sqrt{n}$. This shows that the general upper bound in [5] is not tight.

3 Cover Order and the Chromatic Number

In this section we provide the extension of the generalization of the Katona Szemerédi Theorem by Mubayi and Vishwanathan [29] to r-uniform hypergraphs.

A hypergraph vertex coloring is assigns s colors to the vertices of the hypergraph. Such a coloring of a hypergraph H is said to be a proper coloring if every edge in the edge set of the hypergraph H contains at least two distinct colors. A hypergraph H is said to be k-colorable if there exists a proper coloring of the hypergraph H using at most k distinct colors. The chromatic number of a hypergraph H, denoted by $\chi(H)$ is the smallest k for which the hypergraph H is k-colorable.

The minimum number of bipartite graphs required to cover the edge set of any graph H with chromatic number $\chi(H)$ is $\lceil \log \chi(H) \rceil$ [20]. This result is part of folklore. One of the classical theorems that study an associated problem is the Katona-Szemerédi theorem [26]. Katona-Szemerédi theorem [26] states that the minimum of the sum of the orders of a collection of bipartite graphs that cover the edge set of a complete graph on n vertices is $n \log n$. Hansel [19] provides an alternate proof for Katona-Szemerédi theorem. Mubayi and Vishwanathan [29] provides a generalization of the theorem by showing that the sum of the orders of any collection of complete bipartite graphs that cover the edge set of G is at least $k \log k - k \log \log k - k \log \log \log k$ for any graph G with chromatic number k. For extending this theorem to r-uniform hypergraphs we require Jensen's inequality. Jensen's inequality states that

Lemma 1. *[23] Let f be a convex function of one real variable. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in R$ and let $c_1, \ldots, c_n \geq 0$ satisfy $c_1 + \cdots + c_n = 1$. Then $f(c_1 x_1 + \cdots + c_n x_n) \leq c_1 f(x_1) + \cdots + c_n f(x_n)$.*

Lemma 2. *Let H be an r-uniform hypergraph with n vertices with independence number α. Then the sum of the orders of any collection of complete r-partite r-graphs that cover the edge set of H, $b_r(H) \geq (r-1)n \log(\frac{n}{\alpha})$.*

Proof. Consider a collection of complete r-partite r-graphs that cover the edge set of the r-uniform hypergraph. Let vertex i be present in a_i complete r-partite r-graphs in the collection. Uniformly at random pick one part each from every complete r-partite r-graph and remove all the vertices present in the part. The probability that a vertex i is not removed from any of the complete r-parite r-graphs is $(\frac{r-1}{r})^{a_i}$. Hence the expected size of the resulting subset of vertices is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \frac{1}{r})^{a_i}$. Note that this is an independent set. Since the independence number is α, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1 - \frac{1}{r}\right]^{a_i} \leq \alpha \tag{1}$$

$F(x) = (1 - \frac{1}{r})^x$ is a convex function for fixed r, since $F'(x) = -(1 + \frac{1}{r-1})^{-x} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{r-1})$ and $F''(x) = (1 + \frac{1}{r-1})^{-x} \ln^2(1 + \frac{1}{r-1})) > 0$. Applying Jensen's Inequality with $c_1 =$

$c_2 = \cdots = c_n = \frac{1}{n}$ and since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = b_r(H)$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{[1+\frac{1}{r-1}]^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i}{n}}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n \cdot [1+\frac{1}{r-1}]^{a_i}} \\
\frac{n}{[1+\frac{1}{r-1}]^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i}{n}}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{[1+\frac{1}{r-1}]^{a_i}} \qquad (2) \\
n\left[1-\frac{1}{r}\right]^{\frac{b_r(H)}{n}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{[1+\frac{1}{r-1}]^{a_i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1-\frac{1}{r}\right]^{a_i}
\end{aligned}$$

From equations (1) and (2) we have

$$n\left[1-\frac{1}{r}\right]^{\frac{b_r(H)}{n}} \leq \alpha$$

Taking log and using $(1+\frac{1}{x})^x \leq e$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log n &\leq \log \alpha + \frac{b_r(H)}{n} \log(\frac{r}{r-1}) \\
n\log n - n\log \alpha &\leq b_r(H) \log(1+\frac{1}{r-1}) \\
n\log n - n\log \alpha &\leq b_r(H) \cdot \frac{1}{r-1} \\
b_r(H) &\geq (r-1)n\log(\frac{n}{\alpha})
\end{aligned}$$

□

Equivalently,

$$\alpha \geq \frac{n}{2^{\frac{b_r(H)}{(r-1)n}}}$$

Theorem 1. *Let $H = (V,E)$ be an r-uniform hypergraph on n vertices with chromatic number k, where k is sufficiently large. The sum of the orders of any collection of complete r-partite r-graphs that cover the edge set of H is at least $(r-1)^2 k \log k(1-o(1))$.*

Proof. Let the chromatic number of H, $\chi(H) = k$. For $n \geq (r-1)k\log k$, we are done by Lemma 2. Hence we may assume that n is less than $(r-1)k\log k$. Let $H = H_0$. Starting with H_0, repeatedly remove independent sets of size given by Lemma (2), as long as the number of vertices is at least $(r-1)k$. Let H_i denote the i-th graph in the sequence and let H_t denote the last graph in the sequence. Let $|V(H_i)| = n_i$ and $(1-\frac{1}{\beta})$ denote the maximum rate at which n_i fall, over all i. That is, $\beta = max_i[2^{\frac{b_r(H_i)}{(r-1)n_i}}]$. Let this maximum be achieved for $i = p$. From the definition, we see that $n_{i+1} = n_i - \frac{n_i}{2^{\frac{b_r(H_i)}{(r-1)n_i}}} =$

$n_i\left[1-\frac{1}{2^{\frac{b_r(H_i)}{(r-1)n_i}}}\right]$. Hence $n_t \leq n(1-\frac{1}{\beta})^t < ne^{-\frac{t}{\beta}}$. Using the fact that $n_t \geq (r-1)k$ and $n < (r-1)k\log k$, we obtain $(r-1)k < ne^{-\frac{t}{\beta}}$ that is $t < \beta\log[\frac{n}{(r-1)k}] < \beta\log\log k$.

We consider two cases. First suppose that t is at least $\frac{k}{\log k}$. Then, from the above two inequalities we have $\frac{k}{\log k} \leq 2^{\frac{b_r(H_p)}{(r-1)n_p}}\log\log k$. Taking logs we get

$$\log k - \log\log k \leq \frac{b_r(H_p)}{(r-1)n_p} + \log\log\log k$$

$$b_r(H_p) \geq (r-1)n_p\left[\log k - \log\log k - \log\log\log k\right]$$

Using the facts that $n_p > (r-1)k$ we have,

$$b_r(H_p) \geq (r-1)^2 k[\log k - \log\log k - \log\log\log k]$$

We now consider the case that t is less than $\frac{k}{\log k}$. Let H' be the hypergraph obtained after removing an independent set from H_t as mentioned in Lemma (2). By definition of t, we have $|V(H')| < (r-1)k$. Also $\chi(H') \geq k - \frac{k}{\log k}$.

Consider an optimal coloring of the hypergraph H'. Order the vertices such that the vertices in color class i precede the vertices in color class $(i+1)$. Let C denote a greedy coloring of the vertices of H' considered in this order. Note that C has at most one color class with strictly less than $(r-1)$ vertices since we color H' greedily.

Let p be the number of vertices present in the set of $(r-1)$ sized color class and q be the rest of the elements. Counting the number of elements in H' we have,

$$p + q < (r-1)k$$

Counting the number of color classes we have,

$$1 + \frac{p}{r-1} + \frac{q}{r} \geq \chi(H') \geq k - \frac{k}{\log k}$$

From the above two inequalities we have,

$$1 + \frac{p}{r-1} + \frac{(r-1)k-p}{r} \geq k - \frac{k}{\log k}$$

$$1 + \frac{p}{r(r-1)} + (1-\frac{1}{r})k \geq k - \frac{k}{\log k}$$

$$1 + \frac{p}{r(r-1)} \geq \frac{k}{r} - \frac{k}{\log k}$$

$$r(r-1) + p \geq (r-1)k - \frac{r(r-1)k}{\log k}$$

$$p \geq (r-1)k[1 - \frac{r}{\log k}] - r(r-1)$$

Consider the sub-hypergraph H'' spanned by the vertices of the $(r-1)$ sized color classes in H'. Note that the color classes are ordered. Let $a_{i,j}$ be the i-th vertex in j-th $(r-1)$ sized color class. Note that each vertex $a_{i,j}$ forms an edge with the k-th $(r-1)$ sized color class for all k where $k < j$ since coloring C is a greedy coloring. Note that H'' has $\frac{p}{r-1}$ color classes in the optimal coloring. Suppose H'' has an independent set of size at least $2(r-1)$, then color that independent set with one color and color the rest of the vertices with strictly less than $\frac{p}{r-1}-2$ colors contradicting the assumption that C is an optimal coloring. Therefore the size of the independent set in H'' is at most $2(r-1)$. Applying lemma 2 for H'', we have

$$b_r(H'') \geq (r-1)p\log\left(\frac{p}{2(r-1)}\right)$$

Since $p \geq (r-1)k[1-\frac{r}{\log k}]-r(r-1)$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
p &\geq (r-1)k - r(r-1)\frac{k}{\log k} - r(r-1) \\
&\geq (r-1)k - r(r-1)\left[\frac{k}{\log k}+1\right] \\
&\geq (r-1)k - \frac{2r(r-1)k}{\log k}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_r(H'') &\geq (r-1)p\log\left[\frac{p}{2(r-1)}\right] \\
&\geq (r-1)\left[(r-1)k - \frac{2r(r-1)k}{\log k}\right]\log\left[\frac{k}{2}-\frac{rk}{\log k}\right] \\
&\geq \left[(r-1)^2k - \frac{2r(r-1)^2k}{\log k}\right]\log\left[\frac{k}{2}-\frac{rk}{\log k}\right] \\
&\geq (r-1)^2k\log\left[\frac{k}{2}-\frac{rk}{\log k}\right] - \frac{2r(r-1)^2k}{\log k}\log\left[\frac{k}{2}-\frac{rk}{\log k}\right] \\
&\geq (r-1)^2k\log\left[\frac{k}{2}-\frac{rk}{\log k}\right] - \frac{2r(r-1)^2k}{\log k}\log\left[\frac{k}{2}\right] \\
&\geq (r-1)^2k\log\left[k\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{r}{\log k}\right)\right] - 2r(r-1)^2k \\
&\geq (r-1)^2k\left(\log k + \log\left(\frac{\log k - 2r}{2\log k}\right)\right) - 2r(r-1)^2k \\
&\geq (r-1)^2k\left(\log k - \log\log k - 1\right) - 2r(r-1)^2k
\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Covering number and Matching number

The covering number of an r-uniform hypergraph H is the minimum number of complete r-partite r-graphs required to cover all the edges of the hypergraph H at least once. The covering number of r-uniform hypergraph H is denoted by $bc_r(H)$. A matching in a hypergraph H is a subset of edges of the edge set of the hypergraph H such that every two edges in the subset are disjoint. A matching of m vertex disjoint edges of a hypergraph H is called an m-matching. The matching number of a hypergraph H, denoted by $\nu(H)$ is the number of edges of a maximum matching in the hypergraph H.

In this section we give results that relate the matching number and covering number of hypergraphs. This generalizes the results of Jukna and Kulikov [25]. For this we require Holder's inequality. Holder's inequality states that

Lemma 3. *[21] Let $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n$ be positive real numbers and $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i \leq (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$.*

Lemma 4. *For every non-empty hypergraph $H = (V, E)$, $bc_r(H) \geq \frac{\nu(H)^{1+\frac{1}{r-1}}}{|E|^{\frac{1}{r-1}}}$, where $\nu(H)$ is the matching number of H.*

Proof. Let $M \subseteq E$, be a matching with m vertex-disjoint edges. Let $E = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \cdots R_t$ be a covering of the edges of H by $t = bc_r(H)$ complete r-partite r-graphs of H. Consider the function $f : M \to \{1, 2, \cdots, t\}$ by $f(e) = min\{i | e \in R_i\}$, and let $M_i = \{e \in M | f(e) = i\}$. So M_i contains those edges of the matching M covered by the ith complete r-partite r-graph for the first time. Let $F_i \subseteq R_i$ be the complete r-partite r-graph spanned by the vertices of matching M_i. so each F_i is a complete r-partite r-graph such that each part contains r_i vertices, where $r_i = |M_i|$ is the number of edges in the ith matching M_i. Since the complete r-partite r-graphs are vertex disjoint we have,$r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_t = |M| = m$ and $r_1^r + r_2^r + \cdots + r_t^r = |F|$. By the Holder's inequality we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{t} r_k &\leq (\sum_{k=1}^{t} r_k^r)^{\frac{1}{r}} \cdot (\sum_{k=1}^{t} 1)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \\
m &\leq |F|^{\frac{1}{r}} \cdot t^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \\
t &\geq (\frac{m}{|F|^{\frac{1}{r}}})^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \\
t &\geq \frac{m^{1+\frac{1}{r-1}}}{|F|^{\frac{1}{r-1}}} \\
&\geq \frac{m^{1+\frac{1}{r-1}}}{|E|^{\frac{1}{r-1}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

For the complete r-partite r-graph $H = (V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_r, E)$ with unequal parts that is $V_1 < V_2 \leq \cdots \leq V_r$ or $V_1 = \cdots = V_i < \cdots \leq V_r$ the above bound can be improved. Suppose the subgraph spanned by the vertices of M_1 be $(U_1' \cup U_2' \cup \cdots \cup U_r', E')$ and that of M_2 be $(U_1'' \cup U_2'' \cup \cdots \cup U_r'', E'')$. Two matchings M_1, M_2 are independent if $E' \cap E'' = \phi$.

Lemma 5. *If a complete r-partite r-graph $H = (V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_r, E)$ contains k pairwise independent m-matchings, then $bc_r(H) \geq \frac{k^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \cdot m^{1+\frac{1}{r-1}}}{|E|^{\frac{1}{r-1}}}$.*

Proof. Suppose $M_1, \cdots, M_k \subseteq E$ are independent m-matchings. Consider the k subgraphs $H_1, \cdots H_k$ of H induced by their vertex sets. Since the matchings are independent the induced subgraphs are edge disjoint. Applying Lemma 2, for each of the subgraphs we get $bc_r(H_i) \cdot |E_i|^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \geq m^{1+\frac{1}{r-1}}$, where E_i is the set of all edges of H_i. Since each H_i is an induced subgraph, its covering number is at most that of H. Summing over all i we get $bc_r(H) \cdot |E|^{\frac{1}{r-1}} k^{1-\frac{1}{r-1}} \geq bc_r(H)(|E_1|^{\frac{1}{r-1}} + \cdots + |E_k|^{\frac{1}{r-1}}) \geq k \cdot m^{1+\frac{1}{r-1}}$. □

5 Relation between covering number and partition number in r-uniform hypergraphs

The bi-clique covering number and bi-clique partition number have been studied extensively in [29] [25]. The Graham-Pollak theorem states that the bi-clique partition number of a complete graph on n vertices is $n-1$. It is folklore that the bi-clique covering number of a complete graph, $bc(K_n)$ is $\lceil \log n \rceil$. Since any bi-clique partition of a graph serves as a bi-clique cover of the graph, $bc(G) \leq f_r(G)$. For K_n, the bi-clique partition number is at least $2^{bc(K_n)-1} - 1$. So, it is natural to ask how large the bi-clique partition number would be compared to the bi-clique cover number. In fact, Pinto [33] proves that $f_2(G) \leq \frac{3^{bc(G)}-1}{2}$ and also provides an example of a graph with the bi-clique partition number $\frac{3^{bc(G)}-1}{2}$.

In this section, we generalise the result of Pinto, by providing bounds for the complete r-partite r-graph partition number in terms of the complete r-partite r-graph covering number for r-uniform hypergraphs. For this, we extend the definitions of the subcube intersection graph representation to hypergraphs.

We begin with a technical lemma.

Lemma 6. *The solution for the recurrence relation $n_r = r \cdot n_{r-1} + 1$ with base condition $n_1 = 1$ is $n_r = e \cdot \Gamma(r+1,1) - \Gamma(r+1)$, where $\Gamma(s,x) = \int_x^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$ is the upper incomplete gamma function and $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt$ is the gamma function.*

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction. For the base case $n_2 = 2 \cdot n_1 + 1$. Using the fact that $n_1 = 1$ we have $n_2 = 3$.

By definition of the upper incomplete gamma function, we have

$$\Gamma(3,1) = \int_1^{\infty} t^2 e^{-t} dt$$

Integrating $t^2 e^{-t} dt$ without the bounds, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -t^2 e^{-t} - \int -2 \cdot t e^{-t} dt \quad \text{(Integrating by parts)} \\
&= -t^2 e^{-t} - \Big[-2\big(-t e^{-t} - \int -e^{-t} dt \big) \Big] \quad \text{(Integrating by parts)} \\
&= -t^2 e^{-t} - \Big[-2\big(-t e^{-t} - e^{-t} \big) \Big] \\
&= \Big[-(t^2 - t - 2) e^{-t} + c \Big]
\end{aligned}$$

Reapplying the bounds, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(3,1) &= \Big[-(t^2 - t - 2) e^{-t} + c \Big]_1^{\infty} \\
&= \frac{5}{e}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\Gamma(3,1) = \frac{5}{e}$ and the identity $\Gamma(z) = (z-1)!$ for positive integer z we have $n_2 = e \cdot \Gamma(3,1) - \Gamma(3) = 3$.

Assume the recurrence holds for $k < r$, we prove that the recurrence holds for $k = r$.

$$\begin{aligned}
n_r &= r \cdot n_{r-1} + 1 \\
&= r\Big[e \cdot \Gamma(r,1) - \Gamma(r) \Big] + 1 \\
&= r \cdot e \cdot \Gamma(r,1) - r \cdot \Gamma(r) + 1 \text{ (Since } n_{r-1} = e \cdot \Gamma(r,1) - \Gamma(r)\text{).} \\
&= r \cdot e \cdot \left[\frac{\Gamma(r+1,1)}{r} - \frac{1}{r \cdot e} \right] - r \cdot \Gamma(r) + 1, \text{ (Using the identity } \Gamma(s+1,x) = s \cdot \Gamma(s,x) + x^s e^{-x}\text{)} \\
&= e \cdot \Gamma(r+1,1) - 1 - r \cdot \Gamma(r) + 1 \\
&= e \cdot \Gamma(r+1,1) - \Gamma(r+1).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Consider a graph G with $bc_r(G) = m$. Our aim is to produce an efficient partition of the edges of G. In order to do this we first define an r-uniform hypergraph C_m^r and show how to efficiently partition its set. We then use this to show how to efficiently partition the hypergraph having G as an induced hypergraph.

For a fixed m and r, we will consider an m-tuple where each coordinate takes values from the set $\{0,1,\cdots,r-1.*\}$. Fixed coordinates are those coordinates that take values from $\{0,1,\cdots,r-1\}$ and free coordinates are those coordinates that take the value $*$. Note that we will use these m-tuple to represent the vertices of a hypergraph.

Consider an r-uniform hypergraph, G^r_m with the vertex set $\{0,1,\cdots,r-1,*\}^m$ and edge set consisting of all subsets of r vertices such that there is at least one coordinate of the representation of vertices where it all differs and are fixed. Consider a complete r-partite r-graph H with vertex classes $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots$ and α_r. It is represented by $H(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_r)$. For H a subhypergraph of G define for $x\in\{0,1,\cdots,r-1,*\}$, the following subsets of $\{0,1,\cdots,r-1,*\}^{m+1}$:

$$x\alpha_i=\{(x,v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_m)|(v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_m)\in\alpha_i\}.$$

For a fixed complete r-partite r-graph $H(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_r)$ with vertex set subset of $\{0,1,\cdots,r-1,*\}^m$, define the r-uniform hypergraphs A^r_{m+1}, B^r_{m+1} and C^r_{m+1} respectively as follows. Let $A^r_{m+1}(H)$ be the complete r-partite r-graph with the vertex set consisting of all vertices represented by $x\alpha_i$ for $x\in\{0,1,\cdots,r-1,*\}$ and $1\leq i\leq r$ such the i-th part consists of all vertices represented by $x\alpha_i$ for $x\in\{0,1,\cdots,r-1,*\}$ for $1\leq i\leq r$. Let $B^r_{m+1}(H)$ be the r-uniform hypergraph with the vertex set consisting of all vertices represented by $x\alpha_i$ for $x\in\{0,1,\cdots,r-1,*\}$ and $1\leq i\leq r$ and edge set containing all edges of r vertices $(x_1,v_{1,1},v_{1,2},\cdots,v_{1,m})$, $(x_2,v_{2,1},v_{2,2},\cdots,v_{2,m})$, $\cdots$, $(x_r,v_{r,1},v_{r,2},\cdots,v_{r,m})$ for $(v_{i,1},v_{i,2},\cdots,v_{i,m})\in\alpha_i$ and $x_j\in\{0,1,\cdots,r-1\}$ such that $|\{x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_r\}|=r$. Let $C^r_{m+1}(H)$ be the r-uniform hypergraph with the vertex set consisting of all vertices represented by $x\alpha_i$ for $x\in\{0,1,\cdots,r-1,*\}$ and $1\leq i\leq r$ and the edge set $A^r_{m+1}(H)\setminus B^r_{m+1}(H)$.

Claim 1. *For any fixed complete r-partite r-graph $H(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_r)$ with vertex classes $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots$ and α_r with vertex set subset of $\{0,1,\cdots,r-1,*\}^m$, the edge set of the corresponding r-uniform hypergraph C^r_{m+1} can be partitioned into a collection of $\lfloor(e-1)r!\rfloor$ complete r-partite r-graphs.*

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on r. We maintain a lexicographic order of $0<1<2<\cdots<r-1<*$. Let n_i represent the number of complete i-partite i-graphs that partitions the edge set of C^i_{m+1} with $x_j\alpha_1$ as their first part for $x_i\in\{0,1,\cdots,i-1,*\}$.

For the base case consider any biclique (α_1,α_2) with vertex classes α_1 and α_2. Consider the corresponding 2-uniform hypergraph C^2_{m+1}. The following collection of three bicliques partitions the edge set of C^2_{m+1} with $x_j\alpha_1$ as their first part for $x_j\in\{0,1,*\}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0\alpha_1 & 0\alpha_2 \\ & *\alpha_2 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} 1\alpha_1 & 1\alpha_2 \\ & *\alpha_2 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} *\alpha_1 & 0\alpha_2 \\ & 1\alpha_2 \\ & *\alpha_2 \end{array}$$

Assuming there is a collection of n_{r-1} complete $(r-1)$-partite $(r-1)$-graphs that partitions the edge set of C_{m+1}^{r-1} with $x_j\alpha_1$ as their first part for $x_j \in \{0,1,2,\cdots,r-2,*\}$, we construct the collection of $n_r = r\cdot n_{r-1}+1$ complete r-partite r-graphs that partitions the edge set of C_{m+1}^{r} with $x_j\alpha_1$ as their first part for $x_j \in \{0,1,2,\cdots,r-1,*\}$ using the collection of n_{r-1} complete $(r-1)$-partite $(r-1)$-graphs.

In order to obtain the the collection of complete r-partite r-graphs that partition the edge set C_{m+1}^{r} containing $0\alpha_1$, we relabel the vertex classes and the set of values taken by x_j for the complete $(r-1)$-partite $(r-1)$-graphs that partition C_{m+1}^{r-1} . The vertex classes of each of these complete $(r-1)$-partite $(r-1)$-graph are relabelled to $(\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\cdots,\alpha_r)$ and the values taken by x_j to $\{1,2,\cdots,r-1,*\}$. After relabelling, for those complete $(r-1)$-partite $(r-1)$-graphs with $x_j\alpha_2$ as the first vertex class for $1 \le x_j \le r-1$ add $0\alpha_i$ to $(i-1)$-th vertex class for $3 \le i \le r$ and finally add $0\alpha_1$ as the new first part. For those complete $(r-1)$-partite $(r-1)$-graphs with $*\alpha_2$ as the first vertex class add $0\alpha_i$ to i-th vertex class for $2 \le i \le r$ and finally add $0\alpha_1$ as the new first part.

In a similar way the collection of complete r-partite r-graphs that partition the edge set C_{m+1}^{r} containing $x_i\alpha_1$ can be obtained for $1 \le x_i \le r-1$. In order to obtain the the collection of complete r-partite r-graphs that partition the edge set C_{m+1}^{r} containing $*\alpha_1$, add $*\alpha_1$ as the first part and $x_j\alpha_i$ for $x_j \in \{0,1,\cdots,r-1,*\}$ and $2 \le i \le r$. Note that the collection of complete r-partite r-graphs thus constructed partitions the edge set of C_{m+1}^{r} and the number of complete r-partite r-graphs in the collection is $n_r = r\cdot n_{r-1}+1$. By lemma 6, the recurrence solves to $n_r = e\cdot\Gamma(r+1,1)-\Gamma(r+1)$. Using the identity $\Gamma(r+1,1) = \frac{\lfloor er!\rfloor}{e}$, the recurrence simplifies to $n_r = \lfloor e\cdot r!\rfloor - r! = \lfloor (e-1)r!\rfloor$. □

The collection of ten complete 3-partite 3-graphs produced by the inductive construction described above that partitions the edge set of C_{m+1}^{3} with $x_j\alpha_1$ as their first part for $x_j \in \{0,1,2,*\}$ is as follows.

$0\alpha_1$	$0\alpha_2$	$0\alpha_3$
	$*\alpha_2$	$1\alpha_3$
		$2\alpha_3$
		$*\alpha_3$

$0\alpha_1$	$1\alpha_2$	$0\alpha_3$
		$1\alpha_3$
		$*\alpha_3$

$0\alpha_1$	$2\alpha_2$	$0\alpha_3$
		$2\alpha_3$
		$*\alpha_3$

$1\alpha_1$	$0\alpha_2$	$0\alpha_3$
		$1\alpha_3$
		$*\alpha_3$

$1\alpha_1$	$1\alpha_2$	$0\alpha_3$
	$*\alpha_2$	$1\alpha_3$
		$2\alpha_3$
		$*\alpha_3$

$1\alpha_1$	$2\alpha_2$	$1\alpha_3$
		$2\alpha_3$
		$*\alpha_3$

$2\alpha_1$ $0\alpha_2$ $0\alpha_3$
$2\alpha_3$
$*\alpha_3$

$2\alpha_1$ $1\alpha_2$ $1\alpha_3$
$2\alpha_3$
$*\alpha_3$

$2\alpha_1$ $2\alpha_2$ $0\alpha_3$
$*\alpha_2$ $1\alpha_3$
$2\alpha_3$
$*\alpha_3$

$*\alpha_1$ $0\alpha_2$ $0\alpha_3$
$1\alpha_2$ $1\alpha_3$
$2\alpha_2$ $2\alpha_3$
$*\alpha_2$ $*\alpha_3$

Theorem 2. *If $bc_r(G) = m$, then $f_r(G) \leq \frac{1}{(\lfloor (e-1)r! \rfloor - 1)}(\lfloor (e-1)r! \rfloor^m - 1)$.*

Proof. Fix an m-cover of G. Let this m-cover be $D_1, D_2, \cdots, D_m$. For each complete r-partite r-graph D_i, label the vertex classes as class $0, 1, 2, \cdots, r-1$. Each vertex of G can now be represented as an element of $\{0, 1, 2, \cdots, r-1, *\}^m$, based on the membership of a vertex in the m-cover. For $v \in V(G)$, define $\tilde{v} \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, r-1, *\}^m$ by

$$\tilde{v_i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \text{ is in class 0 of } D_i, \\ 1 & \text{if } v \text{ is in class 1 of } D_i, \\ 2 & \text{if } v \text{ is in class 2 of } D_i, \\ \cdots & \cdots, \\ \cdots & \cdots, \\ r-1 & \text{if } v \text{ is in class } r-1 \text{ of } D_i, \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $\tilde{u} = \tilde{v}$ then u and v have the same neighbours. Therefore, if $u \neq v$, we can replace G with $G - u$ since $f_r(G) = f_r(G - u)$. Therefore we can assume that all vertices have different representations. Note that complete r-partite r-graph partition number of an r-uniform hypergraph is at least that of its induced subhypergraphs. Consider the r-uniform hypergraph with vertices $V(G) = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, r-1, *\}^m$. There is an edge containing r vertices iff there is some i-th coordinate of the representation of r vertices, say $\tilde{u}^1, \tilde{u}^2, \cdots, \tilde{u}^r$ such that $\{u_i^1, u_i^2, \cdots, u_i^r\} = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, r-1\}$. We represent this graph as G_m^r. Since the complete r-partite r-graph partition number of a hypergraph is at least that of the complete r-partite r-graph partition number of the induced subhypergraphs, we have $f_r(G_m^r) \geq f_r(G)$.

Let π_m^r be an optimal partition of G_m^r. So, π_m^r is $bc_r(G)$-cover of G since G is an induced subhypergraph of G_m^r. Let W be the complete r-partite r-graph with part i consisting of all vertices subset of $\{0, 1, \cdots, r-1\}^{m+1}$ represented by $(i, w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_m)$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$.

Add W to π^r_{m+1}. For every $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_r) \in \pi^r_m$, add the $\lfloor (e-1)r! \rfloor$ complete r-partite r-graphs that partition the edge set of the corresponding C^r_{m+1} to π^r_{m+1}.

Claim 2. *π^r_{m+1} is a partition of G^r_{m+1} and contains $\lfloor (e-1)r! \rfloor \cdot f_r(G^r_m) + 1$ complete r-partite r-graphs.*

Proof. Let $u^i = (u^i_1, u^i_2, \cdots, u^i_{m+1})$, for $i = 1$ to r, be the r vertices that are not joined by an edge of G^r_{m+1}. Therefore, there is no j such that $\{u^1_j, u^2_j, \cdots, u^r_j\} = \{0, 1, \cdots, r-1\}$. So $u^1_j u^2_j \cdots u^r_j$ is not an edge of W.

Define $\bar{u}^i = (\bar{u}^i_2, \bar{u}^i_3, \cdots, \bar{u}^i_{m+1})$ with the first coordinates removed from u^i for $i = 1$ to r. So $\bar{u}^1 \bar{u}^2 \cdots \bar{u}^r$ is not an edge of G^r_m. So $\bar{u}^1 \bar{u}^2 \cdots \bar{u}^r$ is not an edge in any of the complete r-partite r-graphs of π^r_m. So by construction $u^1 u^2 \cdots u^r$ is not in any complete r-partite r-graph of π^r_{m+1}.

Let $u^1_j u^2_j \cdots u^r_j$ be an edge of G^r_{m+1}. If $u^1_j u^2_j \cdots u^r_j$ is also an edge of W, it is contained in no other complete r-partite r-graphs of π^r_{m+1} because the edges covered by other complete r-partite r-graphs does not differ in their first coordinate. If $u^1_j u^2_j \cdots u^r_j$ is not an edge of W, then remove the first coordinate from each of the vertices $u^1, u^2, \cdots, u^r$ to form $\bar{u}^1, \bar{u}^2, \cdots, \bar{u}^r$ of G^r_m. Since π^r_m is a partition of G^r_m, $u^1, u^2, \cdots, u^r$ lies in exactly one complete r-partite r-graph $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_r) \in \pi^r_m$. Therefore by the construction, the edge $u^1_j u^2_j \cdots u^r_j$ must lie in exactly one of the corresponding complete r-partite r-graphs in π^r_{m+1}. □

As $f_r(G^r_1) = 1$ and $f_r(G^r_{m+1}) \leq \lfloor (e-1)r! \rfloor \cdot f_r(G^r_m) + 1$, solving the recurrence we have $f_r(G^r_m) \leq \frac{1}{(\lfloor (e-1)r! \rfloor - 1)} (\lfloor (e-1)r! \rfloor^m - 1)$. Since $f_r(G) \leq f_r(G^r_m)$ as established earlier we have $f_r(G) \leq \frac{1}{(\lfloor (e-1)r! \rfloor - 1)} (\lfloor (e-1)r! \rfloor^m - 1)$. □

Let r be even. Consider an r-uniform hypergraph H. Define the adjacency matrix of H, denoted by A_H as an $\binom{n}{r/2} \times \binom{n}{r/2}$ matrix, with rows and columns indexed by $r/2$ sized subsets of $[n]$, as follows:

$$A_H(e_1, e_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & e_1 \cup e_2 = E(H) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose the edges of the r-uniform hypergraph on n vertices are covered by d complete r-partite r-graphs, $U_i \equiv (U^1_i, U^2_i, \cdots, U^r_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Here U^j_i are the parts of the complete r-partite r-graph. The edges of the hypergraph U_i are obtained by taking one vertex from each part.

For each i, $1 \leq i \leq d$ and each $L \in \binom{[r]}{r/2}$, define a matrix $M(U_i, L)$ whose rows and columns are indexed by $\frac{r}{2}$ sized subsets as follows:

For $e_1, e_2 \in \binom{[n]}{\frac{r}{2}}$,

$$M(e_1,e_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e_1 \in \bigodot_{l\in L} U_i^l \text{ and } e_2 \in \bigodot_{l\in[r]-L} U_i^l \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\bigodot_{l\in L} U_i^l = \{e \in \binom{[n]}{\frac{r}{2}} : |e \cap U_i^l| = 1, \text{for } l \in L\}$.

The adjacency matrix of the complete r-partite r-graph U_i denoted by $N(U_i)$ is equal to $\sum_L M(U_i,L)$. Let $P = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{L:1\in L} M(U_i,L)$ and $Q = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{L:1\notin L} M(U_i,L)$. Here, $P = Q^T$. Since the d complete r-partite r-graphs partition the edge set of the r-uniform hypergraph, we have the adjacency matrix of the r-uniform hypergraph, $A = \sum_{i=1}^{d} N(U_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_L M(U_i,L) = P+Q$. Therefore, by subadditivity of ranks we have $\text{rank}(A) \leq d \cdot \binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}} \cdot \text{rank}(M(U_i,L))$. Since $\text{rank}(M(U_i,L))$ is 1 for fixed L, we have $\text{rank}(A) \leq d \cdot \binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}}$.

Consider r-uniform hypergraph G_m^r defined earlier. Let AD_m^r be the adjacency matrix of G_m^r, for even r.

Lemma 7. *[24] The k-disjointness matrix $D = D(n,k)$ has full rank over $\mathbb{F}_2$.*

Proof. Refer Theorem 13.10 (Page 187). □

Lemma 8. *The rank of the adjacency matrix AD_m^r of the r-uniform hypergraph G_m^r is at least $[\binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}} + 1]^m - 1$, for even $r \geq 4$.*

Proof. Consider the adjacency matrix AD_m^r of G_m^r, for even $r \geq 4$. The rows and columns of AD_m^r are indexed by $\frac{r}{2}$ sized subsets of the vertices of G_m^r. Recall that the vertex set of G_m^r is $\{0,1,\cdots,r-1,*\}^m$. So, AD_m^r is an $\binom{n}{r/2} \times \binom{n}{r/2}$ matrix where $n = (r+1)^m$. Suppose $u^i = (u_1^i, u_2^i, \cdots, u_m^i)$, for $i = 1$ to r represent any r vertices of G_m^r, then by definition of G_m^r for $e_1 = \{u^1, u^2, \cdots, u^{r/2}\}$ and $e_2 = \{u^{\frac{r}{2}+1}, u^{\frac{r}{2}+2}, \cdots, u^r\}$, we have

$$AD_m^r(e_1,e_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \exists j \text{ such that } \{u_j^1, u_j^2, \cdots, u_j^r\} = \{0,1,\cdots,r-1\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We prove the theorem by induction on m. Let the set S be $\{0,1,\cdots,r-1\}$. We defne the following lexicographic order: $0 < 1 < \cdots < r-1 < *$. For the base case i.e. $m = 1$, consider the submatrix N_1^r of AD_1^r, formed by selecting the rows and columns indexed by $\frac{r}{2}$ sized set $\{u^1, u^2, \cdots, u^{\frac{r}{2}}\}$ such that $\{u_1^1, u_1^2, \cdots, u_1^{\frac{r}{2}}\} \in \binom{S}{\frac{r}{2}}$ and $u_1^1 < u_1^2 < \cdots < u_1^{\frac{r}{2}}$ and also by selecting as the final row and final column, the row and column indexed by the ordered tuple $\{u_1^1, u_1^2, \cdots, u_1^{\frac{r}{2}}\} = \{0,1,\cdots,\frac{r}{2}-2,*\}$ respectively. By lemma 7, the rank of this submatrix is $\binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}}$. Let $\tilde{N}_1^r$ be the submatrix of AD_1^r, formed by replacing the final row of N_1^r with only 1's. By lemma 7, the rank of this submatrix is $\binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}} + 1$.

Now consider the submatrix N_m^r of AD_m^r, formed by selecting the rows and columns indexed by $\frac{r}{2}$ sized set $\{u^1, u^2, \cdots, u^{\frac{r}{2}}\}$ such that $\forall j$ either $\{u_j^1, u_j^2, \cdots, u_j^{\frac{r}{2}}\} \in \binom{S}{\frac{r}{2}}$ or the ordered tuple $\{u_j^1, u_j^2, \cdots, u_j^{\frac{r}{2}}\} = \{0, 1, \cdots, \frac{r}{2}-2, *\}$ and $u_1^1 < u_1^2 < \cdots < u_1^{\frac{r}{2}}$. The matrix entries with fixed values for the rows indexed by $\{u_1^1, u_1^2, \cdots, u_1^{\frac{r}{2}}\}$ and the columns indexed by $\{u_1^{\frac{r}{2}+1}, u_1^{\frac{r}{2}+2}, \cdots, u_1^r\}$ are grouped together into blocks. So, in block matrix notation,

$$N_m^r = \begin{cases} 1 & ,\text{if } \{u_1^1, u_1^2, \cdots, u_1^r\} = \{0, 1, \cdots, r-1\} \\ N_{m-1}^r & ,\text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that 1 and N_{m-1}^r are blocks. By simple row operations on N_m^r, we get the following matrix.

$$= \begin{cases} 1 - N_{m-1}^r & ,\text{if } \{u_1^1, u_1^2, \cdots, u_1^r\} = \{0, 1, \cdots, r-1\} \\ N_{m-1}^r & ,\text{if } \{u_1^1, u_1^2, \cdots, u_1^{\frac{r}{2}}\} = \{0, 1, \cdots, \frac{r}{2}-2, *\} \\ 0 & ,\text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define $\tilde{N}_m^r$ as the matrix formed by replacing the last row of the above matrix by a row of 1's.

$$\tilde{N}_m^r = \begin{cases} 1 - N_{m-1}^r & ,\text{if } \{u_1^1, u_1^2, \cdots, u_1^r\} = \{0, 1, \cdots, r-1\} \\ \tilde{N}_{m-1}^r & ,\text{if } \{u_1^1, u_1^2, \cdots, u_1^{\frac{r}{2}}\} = \{0, 1, \cdots, \frac{r}{2}-2, *\} \\ 0 & ,\text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By inductive hypothesis, we have span $(\tilde{N}_{m-1}^r) =$ span $(1 - N_{m-1}^r) = [\binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}} + 1]^{m-1}$. So, the rank $(\tilde{N}_m^r) = \binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}}\left[\text{span}(1 - \tilde{N}_{m-1}^r)\right] + \text{span}(\tilde{N}_{m-1}^r) = [\binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}} + 1]^m$. Since N_m^r is a submatrix of AD_m^r we have $\text{rank}(A_m^r) \geq \text{rank}(N_m^r) = [\binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}} + 1]^m - 1$. □

Theorem 3. *There is an r-uniform hypergraph G with* $bc(G) = m$ *and* $f_r(G) \geq \frac{[\binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}}+1]^m - 1}{\binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}}}$ *for even* $r \geq 4$ *and* $f_r(G) \geq \frac{\left[\binom{r-1}{\frac{r-1}{2}}+1\right]^m - 1}{\binom{r-1}{\frac{r-1}{2}}}$ *for odd* $r \geq 3$.

Proof. Suppose $U_1, U_2, \cdots, U_d$ be a collection of complete r-partite r-graphs that form a partition of the r-uniform hypergraoh G_m^r. Then as established earlier, we have $\text{rank}(AD_m^r) \leq d \cdot \binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}}$. So, by applying lemma 8, we have $f_r(G_m^r) \geq \frac{\text{rank}(AD_m^r)}{\binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}}} \geq \frac{[\binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}}+1]^m - 1}{\binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}}}$.

For odd r Since $f_r(G_m^r) \geq f_{r-1}(G_m^{r-1})$, we have $f_r(G_m^r) \geq \frac{\left[\binom{r-1}{\frac{r-1}{2}}+1\right]^m - 1}{\binom{r-1}{\frac{r-1}{2}}}$. □

References

[1] N. Alon. Decomposition of the complete r-graph into complete r-partite r-graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 2(1):95–100, 1986.

[2] N. Alon. Neighborly families of boxes and bipartite coverings. In *The Mathematics of Paul Erdös II*, pages 27–31. Springer, 1997.

[3] L. Babai and P. Frankl. *Linear algebra methods in combinatorics: with applications to geometry and computer science.* University of Chicago, 1992.

[4] A. Babu and S. Vishwanathan. Bounds for the Graham-Pollak theorem for hypergraphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 342(11):3177–3181, 2019.

[5] A. Babu and S. Vishwanathan. Multicovering hypergraphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 344(6):112386, 2021.

[6] S.M. Cioabă, A. Kündgen, and J. Verstraëte. On decompositions of complete hypergraphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 116(7):1232–1234, 2009.

[7] S.M. Cioabă and M. Tait. Variations on a theme of Graham and Pollak. *Discrete Mathematics*, 313(5):665–676, 2013.

[8] L. Csirmaz, P. Ligeti, and G. Tardos. Erdős-Pyber theorem for hypergraphs and secret sharing. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 31(5):1335–1346, 2015.

[9] D. de Caen, D.A. Gregory, and D. Pritikin. Minimum biclique partitions of the complete graph and related designs, in "graphs, matrices and designs"(R. Rees, Ed.), 1993.

[10] R. Diestel. Graph theory 3*rd* edition. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 173, 2005.

[11] J. Dong and Y. Liu. On the decomposition of graphs into complete bipartite graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 23(3):255–262, 2007.

[12] P. Erdös and L. Pyber. Covering a graph by complete bipartite graphs. *Discrete mathematics*, 170(1-3):249–251, 1997.

[13] P. Erdös, A. Renyi, and V.T Sós. Sos v. t. on a problem of graph theory. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar*, 1(1):2, 1966.

[14] P.C. Fishburn and P. L. Hammer. Bipartite dimensions and bipartite degrees of graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 160(1-3):127–148, 1996.

[15] C. Godsil and G.F. Royle. *Algebraic graph theory*, volume 207. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

[16] R.L. Graham and L. Lovász. Distance matrix polynomials of trees. *Advances in Mathematics*, 29(1):60–88, 1978.

[17] R.L. Graham and H.O. Pollak. On the addressing problem for loop switching. *Bell Labs Technical Journal*, 50(8):2495–2519, 1971.

[18] R.L. Graham and H.O. Pollak. On embedding graphs in squashed cubes. In *Graph theory and applications*, pages 99–110. Springer, 1972.

[19] G. Hansel. Nombre minimal de contacts de fermeture nécessaires pour réaliser une fonction booléenne symétrique de n variables. *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires Des Seances De L Academie Des Sciences*, 258(25):6037, 1964.

[20] F. Harary, D. Hsu, and Z. Miller. The biparticity of a graph. *Journal of graph theory*, 1(2):131–133, 1977.

[21] O. Holder. Uber einen mittelwertssatz. *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Gottingen Math.-Phys. Kl.*, 1889.

[22] H. Huang and B. Sudakov. A counterexample to the Alon-Saks-Seymour conjecture and related problems. *Combinatorica*, 32(2):205–219, 2012.

[23] J. L. W. V. Jensen. Sur les fonctions convexes et les inégalités entre les valeurs moyennes. *Acta mathematica*, 30(1):175–193, 1906.

[24] S. Jukna. *Extremal combinatorics: with applications in computer science*, volume 571. Springer, 2011.

[25] S. Jukna and A. S. Kulikov. On covering graphs by complete bipartite subgraphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 309(10):3399–3403, 2009.

[26] G. Katona and E. Szemerédi. On a problem of graph theory. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 2:23–28, 1967.

[27] I. Leader, L. Milićević, and T.S. Tan. Decomposing the complete r-graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 154(Supplement C):21 – 31, 2018.

[28] I. Leader and T.S. Tan. Improved bounds for the Graham-Pollak Problem for Hypergraphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 25(1):1–4, 2018.

[29] D. Mubayi and S. Vishwanathan. Bipartite coverings and the chromatic number. *the electronic journal of combinatorics*, 16(1):N34, 2009.

[30] J. Orlin. Contentment in graph theory: covering graphs with cliques. In *Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings)*, volume 80, pages 406–424. Elsevier, 1977.

[31] G.W. Peck. A new proof of a theorem of Graham and Pollak. *Discrete Mathematics*, 49(3):327–328, 1984.

[32] J. R. Pierce. Network for block switching of data. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 51(6):1133–1145, 1972.

[33] T. Pinto. Biclique covers and partitions. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 21(1):P1–19, 2014.

[34] J. Radhakrishnan, P. Sen, and S. Vishwanathan. Depth-3 arithmetic circuits for $S^2_n(x)$ and Extensions of the Graham-Pollak theorem. In *International Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 176–187. Springer, 2000.

[35] H. Tverberg. On the decomposition of K_n into complete bipartite graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 6(4):493–494, 1982.

[36] S. Vishwanathan. A polynomial space proof of the Graham–Pollak theorem. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 115(4):674–676, 2008.

[37] S. Vishwanathan. A counting proof of the Graham–Pollak theorem. *Discrete Mathematics*, 313(6):765–766, 2013.

[38] P. M. Winkler. Proof of the squashed cube conjecture. *Combinatorica*, 3(1):135–139, 1983.