Queue Layouts of Two-Dimensional Posets

Sergey Pupyrev

spupyrev@gmail.com

Abstract. The queue number of a poset is the queue number of its cover graph when the vertex order is a linear extension of the poset. Heath and Pemmaraju conjectured that every poset of width w has queue number at most w. The conjecture has been confirmed for posets of width w = 2and for planar posets with 0 and 1. In contrast, the conjecture has been refused by a family of general (non-planar) posets of width w > 2. In this paper, we study queue layouts of two-dimensional posets. First, we construct a two-dimensional poset of width w > 2 with queue number 2(w-1), thereby disproving the conjecture for two-dimensional posets. Second, we show an upper bound of w(w + 1)/2 on the queue number of such posets, thus improving the previously best-known bound of $(w-1)^2 + 1$ for every w > 3.

Keywords: poset \cdot queue number \cdot width \cdot dimension \cdot linear extension

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple, undirected, finite graph with vertex set V and edge set E, and let σ be a total order of V. For a pair of distinct vertices u and v, we write $u <_{\sigma} v$ (or simply u < v), if u precedes v in σ . We also write $[v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k]$ to denote that v_i precedes v_{i+1} for all $1 \leq i < k$; such a subsequence of σ is called a *pattern*. Two edges $(u, v) \in E$ and $(a, b) \in E$ nest if $u <_{\sigma} a <_{\sigma} b <_{\sigma} v$. A k-queue layout of G is a total order of V and a partition of E into subsets E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k , called queues, such that no two edges in the same set E_i nest. The queue number of G, qn(G), is the minimum k such that G admits a k-queue layout. Equivalently, the queue number is the minimum k such that there exists an order σ containing no (k + 1)-rainbow, that is, a set of edges $\{(u_i, v_i); i = 1, 2, \ldots, k + 1\}$ forming pattern $[u_1, \ldots, u_{k+1}, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_1]$ in σ .

Queue layouts can be studied for partially ordered sets (or simply posets). A poset over a finite set of elements X is a transitive and asymmetric binary relation < on X. The main idea is that given a poset, one should lay it out respecting the relation. Two elements a, b of a poset, P = (X, <), are called *comparable* if a < b or b < a, and *incomparable*, denoted by $a \parallel b$, otherwise. Posets are visualized by their diagrams: Elements are placed as points in the plane and whenever a < b in the poset and there is no element c with a < c < b, there is a curve from a to b going upwards (that is y-monotone); see Fig. 1a. Such relations, denoted by $a \prec b$, are known as *cover relations*; they are essential in the sense that they are not implied by transitivity. The directed graph implicitly

2 S. Pupyrev

defined by such a diagram is the cover graph G_P of the poset P. Given a poset P, a linear extension L of P is a total order on the elements of P such that $a <_L b$, whenever $a <_P b$. Finally, the queue number of a poset P, denoted by qn(P), is the smallest k such that there exists a linear extension L of P for which the resulting layout of G_P contains no (k + 1)-rainbow; see Fig. 1c.

Queue layouts of posets were first studied by Heath and Pemmaraju [5], who provided bounds on the queue number of posets in terms of their width, that is, the maximum number of pairwise incomparable elements. In particular, they observed that the size of a rainbow in a queue layout of a poset of width wcannot exceed w^2 , and therefore, $qn(P) \leq w^2$ for every poset P. Furthermore, Heath and Pemmaraju conjectured that $qn(P) \leq w$ for a width-w poset P. The study of the conjecture received a notable attention in the recent years. Knauer, Micek, and Ueckerdt [6] confirmed the conjecture for posets of width w = 2 and for planar posets with 0 and 1. Later Alam et al. [1] constructed a poset of width $w \geq 3$ whose queue number is w + 1, thus refuting the conjecture for general non-planar posets. In the same paper Alam et al. improved the upper bound by showing that $qn(P) \leq (w-1)^2 + 1$ for all posets P of width w. Finally, Felsner, Ueckerdt, and Wille [4] strengthened the lower bound by presenting a poset of width w > 3 with $qn(P) \geq w^2/8$.

In this short paper we refine our knowledge on queue layouts of posets by improving the known upper and lower bounds of the queue number of twodimensional posets. Recall that the dimension of poset P is the least positive integer d for which there are d linear extensions (realizers) L_1, \ldots, L_d of P so that a < b in P if and only if a < b in L_i for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Two-dimensional posets are described by realizers L_1 and L_2 and often represented by dominance drawings in which the coordinates of the elements are their positions in L_1 and L_2 ; see Fig. 1b. We emphasize that the existing lower bound constructions [1,4] are not two-dimensional. Thus, Felsner et al. [4] asked whether the conjecture of Heath and Penmaraju holds for posets with dimension 2. Our first result answers the question negatively.

Theorem 1. There exists a two-dimensional poset P of width w > 1 with $qn(P) \ge 2(w-1)$.

Observe that our construction and the proof of Theorem 1 for w = 3 is arguably much simpler than the one of Alam et al. [1], which is based on a tedious case analysis. Thus, it can be interesting on its own right.

Next we study the upper bound on the queue number of two-dimensional posets. Our result is the following theorem, which is an improvement over the known $(w-1)^2 + 1$ bound of Alam et al. [1] for every w > 3.

Theorem 2. Let P be a two-dimensional poset with realizers L_1, L_2 . Then there is a layout of P in at most w(w+1)/2 queues using either L_1 or L_2 as the vertex order.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1 and in Section 2 we prove Theorem 2. Section 4 concludes the paper with interesting open questions.



Fig. 1: A two-dimensional poset of width 3, its dominance drawing, and a 2-queue layout

2 An Upper Bound

Consider a two-dimensional poset P = (X, <) of width $w \ge 1$ with realizers L_1 and L_2 . In this section we study queue layouts of P using vertex orders L_1 or L_2 , which we call *realizer-based*. It is well-known that the elements of P can be partitioned into w chains, that is, subsets of pairwise comparable elements. We fix such a partition and treat it as a function $\mathcal{C} : X \to \{1, \ldots, w\}$ such that if $\mathcal{C}(u) = \mathcal{C}(v)$ and $u \ne v$, then either u < v or v < u.

We start with a property of a linear extension of a poset, whose proof follows directly from the absence of transitive edges in G_P . Recall that \prec indicates cover relations of P, that is, edges of G_P .

Proposition 1 A linear extension of a poset P with chain partition C does not contain pattern $[b_1, b_2, b_3]$, where $C(b_1) = C(b_2) = C(b_3)$ and $b_1 \prec b_3$.

The next observation, whose proof is immediate, provides a crucial property of realizer-based linear extensions of two-dimensional posets. In fact, a poset, P, admits a linear extension with such a property if and only if P has dimension 2; see for example [3] where such linear extensions are called *non-separating*.

Proposition 2 Consider a two-dimensional poset P with realizers L_1, L_2 and chain partition C. Let $[a_1, b, a_2]$ be a pattern in L_1 (or L_2) with $C(a_1) = C(a_2)$. Then either $a_1 < b$ or $b < a_2$.

The next useful property in the section holds for realizer-based linear extensions of two-dimensional posets.

Proposition 3 Consider a two-dimensional poset P with realizers L_1, L_2 and chain partition C. Then L_1 (or L_2) does not contain pattern $[a_1, b_2, a, a_2, b_1]$, where $C(a_1) = C(a_2) = C(a)$, $C(b_1) = C(b_2)$, and $a_1 \prec b_1$, $b_2 \prec a_2$.

Proof. For the sake of contradiction, assume that $[a_1, b_2, a, a_2, b_1]$ is in L_1 , with $\mathcal{C}(a_1) = \mathcal{C}(a_2) = \mathcal{C}(a), \ \mathcal{C}(b_1) = \mathcal{C}(b_2)$, and $a_1 \prec b_1, b_2 \prec a_2$. Notice that $a_1 \parallel b_2$,

4 S. Pupyrev

as otherwise we have $a_1 < b_2 < b_1$ and the edge (a_1, b_1) is transitive. Hence by Proposition 2 applied to $[a_1, b_2, a]$, $b_2 < a$. Therefore, it holds that $b_2 < a < a_2$, which contradicts to non-transitivity of edge (b_2, a_2) .

Now we ready to prove the main result of the section.

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that poset P is partitioned into w chains, and consider a maximal rainbow, denoted T, induced by the order L_1 . We need to prove that $|T| \leq w(w+1)/2$.

First observe that the rainbow, T, does not contain two distinct edges (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) with $\mathcal{C}(a_1) = \mathcal{C}(a_2)$ and $\mathcal{C}(b_1) = \mathcal{C}(b_2)$. Otherwise, the former edge nests the latter one and we have $a_1 < a_2 \prec b_2 < b_1$, which violates nontransitivity of (a_1, b_1) . Therefore, we already have $|T| \leq w^2$. (This is the argument of Heath and Pemmaraju for their original upper bound in [5])

Next we show two more configurations that are absent in T:

- (i) For every pair of distinct chains, the rainbow does not contain edges (a₁, b₁), (b₂, a₂), and (a₃, a₄) with C(a₁) = C(a₂) = C(a₃) = C(a₄) and C(b₁) = C(b₂). For a contradiction, assume the rainbow contains the three edges. By Proposition 1, edge (a₃, a₄) cannot cover elements a₁ or a₂. Thus, L₁ contains pattern [a₁, b₂, a₃, a₄, a₂, b₁] or [b₂, a₁, a₃, a₄, b₁, a₂]. Both patterns violate Proposition 3.
- (ii) For every triple of distinct chains, the rainbow does not contain edges (a_1, b_1) , (b_2, a_2) , (a_3, c_3) , and (c_4, a_4) with $\mathcal{C}(a_1) = \mathcal{C}(a_2) = \mathcal{C}(a_3) = \mathcal{C}(a_4)$, $\mathcal{C}(b_1) = \mathcal{C}(b_2)$, and $\mathcal{C}(c_3) = \mathcal{C}(c_4)$.

For a contradiction, assume T contains the four edges. Consider the innermost edge in the rainbow; without loss of generality, assume the edge is (a_1, b_1) . Vertex a_1 is covered by two edges, (a_3, c_3) and (c_4, a_4) , forming the pattern of Proposition 3; a contradiction.

Now observe that T may contain at most w uni-colored edges (that is, (u, v) such that $\mathcal{C}(u) = \mathcal{C}(v)$) and at most w(w-1) bi-colored edges (that is, (u, v) such that $\mathcal{C}(u) \neq \mathcal{C}(v)$).

On the one hand, if T contains exactly w uni-colored edges and |T| > w(w + 1)/2, then it must contain at least one pair of bi-colored edges (a_1, b_1) , (b_2, a_2) with $\mathcal{C}(a_1) = \mathcal{C}(a_2)$, $\mathcal{C}(b_1) = \mathcal{C}(b_2)$. Together with the uni-colored edge from chain $\mathcal{C}(a_1)$, the triple forms the forbidden configuration (i).

On the other hand, if T contains at most w - 1 uni-colored edges and |T| > w(w+1)/2, then T contains two pairs of bi-colored edges, as in configuration (*ii*); a contradiction.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Notice that the bound of Theorem 2 is worst-case optimal, as we show next.

Lemma 1. There exists a two-dimensional poset of width $w \ge 1$, denoted R_w , with realizers L_1, L_2 such that its layout with vertex order L_1 contains a (w(w + 1)/2)-rainbow.

5



Fig. 2: A 2-dimensional poset of width $w \ge 1$, R_w , with a realizer-based order containing a (w(w+1)/2)-rainbow, which is comprised of w thick edges that nest all edges of R_{w-1}

Proof. The poset R_w is built recursively. For w = 1, the poset consists of two comparable elements. For w > 1, we assume that R_{w-1} is constructed and described by realizers L_1^{w-1} and L_2^{w-1} . The poset R_w is constructed from R_{w-1} by adding 2w elements. Assume $|L_1^{w-1}| = n$ and the elements of R_{w-1} are indexed by $w + 1, \ldots, w + n$. We set L_1^w to the identity permutation and use

$$L_2^w = L_2^{w-1} \cup (1, n+2w, 2, n+2w-1, \dots, w, n+w+1),$$

where \cup denotes the concatenation of the two orders. Fig. 2 illustrates the construction. It is easy to verify that the width of the new poset is exactly w. Observe that in the layout of R_w with order L_1^w , edges $(1, n + 2w), \ldots, (w, n + w + 1)$ form a w-rainbow and nest all edges of R_{w-1} . Therefore, the layout contains a (w(w+1)/2)-rainbow, as claimed.

We remark that Lemma 1 provides a poset whose queue layout with one of its realizers contains a (w(w + 1)/2)-rainbow. It is straightforward to extend the construction (by concatenating R_w with its dual) so that both realizerbased vertex orders yield a rainbow of that size. However, the queue number of the poset (and the proposed extension) is at most w, which is achieved with a different, non-realizer-based, vertex order. Thus, a more delicate construction is needed to force a larger rainbow in every linear extension of a poset.

3 A Lower Bound

In this section we provide a new counter-example to the conjecture of Heath and Pemmaraju [5] by describing a two-dimensional poset of width $w \ge 3$ whose queue number exceeds w. The poset, denoted P_w , is constructed recursively. The base case, P_2 , is a four-element poset with $L_1 = (1, 2, 3, 4)$ and $L_2 = (2, 1, 4, 3)$; see Fig. 3b. The step of the construction is illustrated in Fig. 3c. Poset P_w



Fig. 3: A counter-example to the conjecture of Heath and Pemmaraju [5]: A twodimensional poset, P_w , of width $w \ge 3$ with queue number exceeding w

consists of a copy of P_{w-1} , a copy of the poset R_{w-1} utilized in Lemma 1, the duals of the two posets, and a chain of additional elements. Recall that the *dual* of a poset, P, is the poset, \overline{P} , on the same set of elements such that x < y in P if and only if y < x in \overline{P} for every pair of the elements x and y.

We now formally describe the construction. Denote by $L_1(P), L_2(P)$ the two realizers of a two-dimensional poset P. Let \cup denote the concatenation of two sequences, and let $(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \uplus (y_1, y_2, \ldots)$ denote the *interleaving* of two equal-length sequences, that is, $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \ldots)$. Assume that R_{w-1} contains r elements. Then we set

/

$$\begin{split} L_1(P_w) &= (x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}) \cup b \cup s \cup y_1 \cup (L_1(R_{w-1}) \uplus (y_2, \dots, y_{r+1})) \cup \\ L_1(P_{w-1}) \cup a \cup L_1(\overline{P_{w-1}}) \cup L_1(\overline{R_{w-1}}) \cup t, \text{ and} \\ L_2(P_w) &= s \cup L_2(R_{w-1}) \cup L_2(P_{w-1}) \cup a \cup L_2(\overline{P_{w-1}}) \cup \\ & ((x_1, \dots, x_r) \uplus L_2(\overline{R_{w-1}})) \cup x_{r+1} \cup t \cup b \cup (y_1, \dots, y_{r+1}). \end{split}$$

We refer to Fig. 3 for the illustration of the construction and to Fig. 5 for the instance of P_3 . Now we prove that the constructed poset has queue number at least 2w - 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. It is easy to verify that the constructed poset, P_w , is twodimensional and has width exactly w. Furthermore, the poset is dual to itself, that is, $P_w = \overline{P_w}$ with a and b being the fixed points. Thus, we may assume that in the linear extension corresponding to the optimal queue layout of the poset, element a precedes b and we have $s < \cdots < a < b < y_1 < \cdots < y_{r+1}$. Next



Fig. 4: A linear extension of poset P_w for the proof of Theorem 1 in which a < b

we consider the queue layout induced by the elements s, R_{w-1}, P_{w-1}, a , and y_1, \ldots, y_{r+1} ; see Fig. 4.

We prove the theorem by induction. For w = 2, the claim holds trivially. For w > 2, we assume that $qn(P_{w-1}) \ge 2(w-2)$ and distinguish two cases depending on the size of the maximum rainbow, T, formed by edges (s, y_1) , $(v_1, y_2), \ldots, (v_r, y_{r+1})$, where $v_i, 1 \le i \le r$ are elements of R_{w-1} :

- if $|T| \ge 2$, then $qn(P_w) \ge qn(P_{w-1}) + |T| \ge 2(w-1)$, as all edges of P_{w-1} are nested by edges of T;
- if |T| = 1, then the elements of R_{w-1} must appear in the order induced by $L_1(R_{w-1})$, since otherwise at least two of the edges of T nest. By Lemma 1, the edges of R_{w-1} form a (w(w-1)/2)-rainbow. The rainbow is covered by edge (s, y_1) , which yields $qn(P_w) \ge (w(w-1)/2) + 1 \ge 2(w-1)$ for $w \ge 3$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4 Conclusions

We disproved the conjecture of Heath and Pemmaraju for two-dimensional posets and answered a question posed by Felsner et al. [4]. A number of intriguing problems in the area remain unsolved.

- Is it possible to get a subquadratic upper bound on the queue number of two-dimensional posets of width w? A poset of Felsner et al. [4] that requires $w^2/8$ queues in every linear extension is not two-dimensional, which leaves a hope for an asymptotically stronger result than the one given by Theorem 2.
- What is the queue number of two-dimensional posets of width 3? By Theorem 1 and the result of Alam et al. [1], the value is either 4 or 5.
- Queue layouts of graphs are closely related to so-called *track layouts*, which are connected with the existence of low-volume three-dimensional graph drawings [2,7]. In particular, every *t*-track (undirected) graph has a (t-1)-queue layout, and every *q*-queue (undirected) graph has track number at most $4q \cdot 4q^{(2q-1)(4q-1)}$. We think it is interesting to study the relationship between the two concepts for directed graphs and posets.

Acknowledgments. We thank Jawaherul Alam, Michalis Bekos, Martin Gronemann, and Michael Kaufmann for fruitful initial discussions of the problem.

8 S. Pupyrev

References

- Alam, J.M., Bekos, M.A., Gronemann, M., Kaufmann, M., Pupyrev, S.: Lazy queue layouts of posets. In: Auber, D., Valtr, P. (eds.) Graph Drawing and Network Visualization. pp. 55–68. Springer International Publishing (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68766-3_5
- Dujmović, V., Pór, A., Wood, D.R.: Track layouts of graphs. Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science 6(2), 497–522 (2004). https://doi.org/10.46298/dmtcs.315
- Dushnik, B., Miller, E.W.: Partially ordered sets. American Journal of Mathematics 63(3), 600–610 (1941). https://doi.org/10.2307/2371374
- Felsner, S., Ueckerdt, T., Wille, K.: On the queue-number of partial orders. In: Purchase, H.C., Rutter, I. (eds.) Graph Drawing and Network Visualization. pp. 231–241. Springer International Publishing (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92931-2_17
- 5. Heath, L.S., Pemmaraju, S.V.: Stack and queue layouts of posets. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics **10**(4), 599–625 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895480193252380
- Knauer, K., Micek, P., Ueckerdt, T.: The queue-number of posets of bounded width or height. In: Biedl, T., Kerren, A. (eds.) Graph Drawing and Network Visualization. pp. 200–212. Springer International Publishing (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-04414-5_14
- 7. Pupyrev, S.: Improved bounds for track numbers of planar graphs. Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications **24**(3), 323–341 (2020). https://doi.org/10.7155/jgaa.00536

Additional Illustrations



Fig. 5: A two-dimensional poset with 38 elements and width 3. The queue number of the poset is exactly 4; the lower bound is shown in Theorem 2, and the upper bound is verified computationally via an open source SAT-based solver available at http://be.cs.arizona.edu