

HIGH-ORDERED SPECTRAL CHARACTERIZATION OF UNICYCLIC GRAPHS

YI-ZHENG FAN*, HONG-XIA YANG, AND JIAN ZHENG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we will apply the tensor and its traces to investigate the spectral characterization of unicyclic graphs. Let G be a graph and G^m be the m -th power (hypergraph) of G . The spectrum of G is referring to its adjacency matrix, and the spectrum of G^m is referring to its adjacency tensor. The graph G is called determined by high-ordered spectra (DHS for short) if, whenever H is a graph such that H^m is cospectral with G^m for all m , then H is isomorphic to G . In this paper we first give formulas for the traces of the power of unicyclic graphs, and then provide some high-ordered cospectral invariants of unicyclic graphs. We prove that a class of unicyclic graphs with cospectral mates is DHS, and give two examples of infinitely many pairs of cospectral unicyclic graphs but with different high-ordered spectra.

1. INTRODUCTION

The graph isomorphism problem is one of few standard problems in computational complexity theory belonging to NP. In 1956, Günthard and Primas [24] raised the question of determining the graphs by the spectrum. In 1957, Collatz and Sinogowitz [9] presented a pair of non-isomorphic cospectral trees. In 1973, Schwenk [35] proved that almost every tree has a cospectral mate by constructing a non-isomorphic cospectral tree for each tree of sufficiently large order. In 1982, Godsil and McKay [23] invented a powerful method called GM-switching, which can produce lots of pairs of cospectral graphs. A graph G is said to be *determined by the spectrum* (DS for short) if, whenever H is a graph cospectral with G , then H must be isomorphic to G . All the known DS graphs have very special structures, and the techniques involved in proving them to be DS cannot be applied to general graphs; see [11, 12].

Since a graph cannot be determined by its spectrum in general, we need more information to recognize a graph. Note that two graphs are isomorphic if and only if their complements are isomorphic. Wang and Xu [39, 40] and Wang [38] applied the spectra of a graph and also its complement to investigate whether the graph is determined by its generalized spectrum. A similar idea appears in a recent work by Chen, Sun and Bu [6], who applied the spectra of the powers of a graph to characterize whether the graph is determined by high-ordered spectra.

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph with vertex set $V = V(G)$ and edge set $E = E(G)$. For an integer $m \geq 2$, the m -th power of G , denoted by $G^m := (V^m, E^m)$, is defined to be the m -uniform hypergraph with vertex set $V^m = V \cup \{i_{e,1}, \dots, i_{e,m-2} : e \in E\}$ and edge set $E^m = \{e \cup \{i_{e,1}, \dots, i_{e,m-2}\} : e \in E\}$, where $i_{e,1}, \dots, i_{e,m-2}$ are new vertices inserted to each edge $e \in E$. Note if $m = 2$, $G^m = G$ and this case is trivial. Observe that two graphs are isomorphic if and only if their m -th powers are isomorphic for each integer $m \geq 2$. Chen, Sun and Bu [6] introduced the following notions on the spectrum of power hypergraphs. They called the spectrum of G^m the m -ordered spectrum of G , and two graphs m -ordered cospectral if they have the same m -ordered spectra. A graph G is called *determined by high-ordered*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C65, 15A69, ; Secondary 13P15, 14M99.

Key words and phrases. Unicyclic graph; graph isomorphism; cospectral graphs; Hypergraph; adjacency tensor; trace.

*The corresponding author. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11871073).

spectra (DHS for short) if, whenever H is a graph that are m -ordered cospectral with G for all $m \geq 2$, then H must be isomorphic to G .

Surely, a graph that is DS must be DHS, but the converse does not hold. For example, van Dam and Haemers [12] showed that not all Smith's graphs are DS. However, all Smith's graphs are DHS, proved by Chen, Sun and Bu [6]. They also showed that every tree and its cospectral mate in Schwenk's construction have different high-ordered spectra [6].

The traces or spectral moments play an important role in DS problems. Let G be a graph and let $\mathcal{A}(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of G . The d -th *trace* (or d -th *spectral moment*) of G , denoted by $\text{Tr}_d(G)$, is defined to be the trace of $\mathcal{A}(G)^d$, which is the sum of the d -th powers of all eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}(G)$, and is also equal to the number of closed walks of length d in G . It is known that two graphs G and H are cospectral if and only if $\text{Tr}_d(G) = \text{Tr}_d(H)$ for all d (or for $d = 1, 2, \dots, |V(G)|$). We should note that here the spectrum of a uniform hypergraph is defined as the spectrum of the adjacency tensor of the hypergraph. By the traces generalized from matrices to tensors due to Morozov and Shakirov [28], we still have the above equalities for two cospectral uniform hypergraphs. A key problem is how to interpret the structural information from the traces of hypergraphs.

In this paper, we will extend the work of Chen, Sun and Bu [6] from trees to unicyclic graphs, and characterize the unicyclic graph that are DHS. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some preliminary knowledge about the spectra and traces of hypergraphs. In Section 3 we give formulas for the traces of the power of unicyclic graphs by means of the sub-structure of the graph. In the last section, we provide some high-ordered cospectral invariants for general graphs especially for unicyclic graphs, and prove that a class of unicyclic graphs with copectral mates is DHS. We give two examples of infinitely many pairs of cospectral unicyclic graphs but with different high-ordered spectra. Our work implies that high-ordered spectra of graphs can recognize more structural information than the usual spectra.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Tensors and hypergraphs. Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ be a complex tensor of order m and dimension n . Given a vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\mathcal{T}x^{m-1} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, which is defined as follows:

$$(\mathcal{T}x^{m-1})_i = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in [n]} t_{i i_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}, i \in [n].$$

Let $\mathcal{I} = (i_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ be the *identity tensor* of order m and dimension n , that is, $i_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = 1$ if $i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_m \in [n]$ and $i_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = 0$ otherwise. In 2005 Lim [27] and Qi [34] introduced the eigenvalues of tensors independently as follows.

Definition 2.1 ([27, 34]). Let \mathcal{T} be an m -th order n -dimensional tensor. For some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, if the polynomial system $(\lambda \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{T})x^{m-1} = 0$, or equivalently $\mathcal{T}x^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]}$, has a solution $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then λ is called an *eigenvalue* of \mathcal{T} and x is an *eigenvector* of \mathcal{T} associated with λ , where $x^{[m-1]} := (x_1^{m-1}, x_2^{m-1}, \dots, x_n^{m-1})$.

A *hypergraph* $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ consists of a vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ denoted by $V(\mathcal{H})$ and an edge set $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ denoted by $E(\mathcal{H})$, where $e_i \subseteq V$ for $i \in [k]$. If $|e_i| = m$ for each $i \in [k]$ and $m \geq 2$, then \mathcal{H} is called an m -*uniform* hypergraph. The *degree* $d_v(\mathcal{H})$ of a vertex v in \mathcal{H} is the number of edges of \mathcal{H} containing the vertex v . A vertex v of \mathcal{H} is called a *cored vertex* if it has degree one. A *walk* W in \mathcal{H} is a sequence of alternate vertices and edges: $v_0 e_1 v_1 e_2 \cdots e_l v_l$, where $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$ and $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \subseteq e_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$. If $v_0 = v_l$, then W is called a *circuit*, and is called a *cycle* if no vertices or edges are repeated except $v_0 = v_l$. The hypergraph \mathcal{H} is said to be *connected* if every two vertices are connected

by a walk. The hypergraph \mathcal{H} is called *simple* if there exists no $i \neq j$ such that $e_i \subseteq e_j$, and is called *nontrivial* if it contains more than one vertex. Throughout of this paper, *all hypergraphs are considered nontrivial, connected, simple and m -uniform unless stated somewhere.*

In 2012 Cooper and Dutle [8] introduced the adjacency tensor of a uniform hypergraph, and applied the eigenvalues of the tensor to characterize the structural property of the hypergraph.

Definition 2.2 ([8]). Let \mathcal{H} be an m -uniform hypergraph on n vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n . The *adjacency tensor* of \mathcal{H} is defined as $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$, an m -th order n -dimensional tensor, where

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(m-1)!}, & \text{if } \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_m}\} \in E(H); \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The *spectrum* and *eigenvalues* of \mathcal{H} are referring to those of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$. If $m = 2$, then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ is exactly the adjacency matrix of the graph \mathcal{H} . Since the Perron-Frobenius theorem of nonnegative matrices was generalized to nonnegative tensors [4, 20, 41, 42, 43], the spectral hypergraph theory develops rapidly on many topics, such as the spectral radius [2, 17, 21, 26, 30, 31, 33], the eigenvariety [13, 18, 14], the spectral symmetry [15, 16, 36, 45], the eigenvalues of hypertrees [44].

Let G^m be the m -th power of a graph G . Zhou et al. [45] showed that all eigenvalues of G^m can be obtained from the eigenvalues of G . Cardoso et al. [3] gave a more detailed statement as follows.

Lemma 2.3 ([3]). *Let G^m be the m -th power of a graph G .*

- (1) *If $m = 3$, λ is an eigenvalue of G^3 if and only if there is an induced subgraph of G with eigenvalue β such that $\beta^2 = \lambda^3$.*
- (2) *If $m \geq 4$, λ is an eigenvalue of G^m if and only if there is a subgraph of G with eigenvalue β such that $\beta^2 = \lambda^m$.*

From Lemma 2.3, we find that G^m contains more spectral information than G as its eigenvalues are closely related to all (induced) subgraphs of G (including G itself). So, it is natural that a graph has more probability to be DHS than to be DS.

2.2. Traces. We will introduce some knowledge about the traces of hypergraphs. Let \mathcal{H} be an m -uniform hypergraph on n vertices. The d -th trace of \mathcal{H} , denoted by $\text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{H})$, is referring to the d -th trace of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$. Morozov and Shakirov [28] introduced the traces of polynomial maps f given by homogeneous polynomials of arbitrary degrees. As a tensor $\mathcal{T} = (t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})$ of order m and dimension n naturally induces polynomial maps, the d -th trace $\text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{T})$ of \mathcal{T} is expressed as follow:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{T}) = (m-1)^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{d_1 + \dots + d_n = d, \\ d_i \in \mathbb{N}, i \in [n]}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(d_i(m-1))!} \left(\sum_{y_i \in [n]^{m-1}} t_{i y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i y_i}} \right)^{d_i} \text{Tr}(A^{d(m-1)}),$$

where $t_{i y_i} = t_{i i_2 \dots i_m}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i y_i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i i_2}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{i i_m}}$ if $y_i = (i_2, \dots, i_m)$.

Cooper and Dultle [8] gave an expression for the co-degree coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ of \mathcal{H} in terms of traces of \mathcal{H} . Shao, Qi and Hu [36] gave a graph interpretation for the d -th trace of a general tensor \mathcal{T} of order m and dimension n , and proved that

$$\text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^d,$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ are all eigenvalues of \mathcal{T} , and $N = n(m-1)^{n-1}$. So two tensors \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 both of order m and dimension n are cospectral if and only if

$$\text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{T}_1) = \text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{T}_2)$$

for all d or $d = 1, 2, \dots, n(m-1)^{n-1}$. Clark and Cooper [7] expressed the trace as a weighted sum over a family of Veblen hypergraphs. Chen, Bu and Zhou [5] gave a formula for the spectral moments (equivalently, the traces) of a hypertree in terms of the number of sub-hypertrees.

Given an ordering of the vertices of \mathcal{H} , let

$$\mathcal{F}_d(\mathcal{H}) := \{(e_1(v_1), \dots, e_d(v_d)) : e_i \in E(\mathcal{H}), v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_d\},$$

be the set of d -tuples of ordered rooted edges, where $e_i(v_i)$ is an edge e_i with root $v_i \in e_i$ for $i \in [d]$. Define a rooted directed star $S_{e_i}(v_i) = (e_i, \{(v_i, u) : u \in e_i \setminus \{v_i\}\})$ for each $i \in [d]$, and multi-directed graph $R(F) = \bigcup_{i=1}^d S_{e_i}(v_i)$ associated with $F \in \mathcal{F}_d(\mathcal{H})$. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_d^e(\mathcal{H}) := \{F \in \mathcal{F}_d(\mathcal{H}) : R(F) \text{ is Eulerian}\}.$$

For an $F \in \mathcal{F}_d^e(\mathcal{H})$, denote $V(F) := V(R(F))$, $r_v(F)$ the number of edges in F with v as the root, and $d_v^+(F) = (m-1)r_v(F)$ (namely, the outdegree of v in $R(F)$). Denote by $\tau(F) := \tau_u(R(F))$ the number of arborescences of $R(F)$ with root u (namely, a directed u -rooted spanning tree such that all vertices except u has a directed path from itself to u), which is equal to the principal minor the Laplacian matrix $L(R(F))$ of $R(F)$ by deleting the row and column indexed by u ([37, 1]). As $R(F)$ is Eulerian, $\tau_u(R(F))$ is independent of the choice of the root u so that the root u is omitted. Fan et al. [19] give an expression of the d -th trace of \mathcal{H} as follows.

Lemma 2.4 ([19]). *For an m -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} on n vertices,*

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{H}) = d(m-1)^n \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_d^e(\mathcal{H})} \frac{\tau(F)}{\prod_{v \in V(F)} d_v^+(F)}.$$

For each $F \in \mathcal{F}_d^e(\mathcal{H})$, we get a multi-hypergraph induced by the edges in F by omitting the roots, denoted by \mathcal{V}_F , is an m -uniform and m -valent multi-hypergraph called *Veblen hypergraph*. On the other side, given a Veblen hypergraph H , a *rooting* of H is an ordering $F = (e_1(v_1), \dots, e_t(v_t))$ of all edges of H , where v_i is the root of e_i for $i \in [t]$, and $v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_t$ under the given order of the vertices of H . If $R(F)$ is Eulerian, then F is called an *Euler rooting* of H ; in this case, H is called *Euler rooted* with each edge rooted as in F by omitting the order. Denote by $\mathcal{R}(H)$ the set of Euler rooting of H .

Denote by $\mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{H})$ the set of Veblen hypergraphs with d edges associated with \mathcal{H} as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{H}) = \cup_{G \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})} \{\mathcal{V}_F : F \in \mathcal{F}_d^e(\mathcal{H}), \underline{\mathcal{V}}_F = G\},$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the set of representatives of the isomorphic classes of connected sub-hypergraphs of \mathcal{H} , and \underline{H} the underlying hypergraph of a multi-hypergraph H obtained by removing duplicate edges of H . For each $H \in \mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{H})$, denote

$$C_H = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{R}(H)} \frac{\tau(F)}{\prod_{v \in V(F)} d_v^+(F)},$$

and $N_{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{H})$ the number of sub-hypergraphs of \mathcal{H} that is isomorphic to \underline{H} . By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{H}) = d(m-1)^n \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{H})} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{R}(H)} \frac{\tau(F)}{\prod_{v \in V(F)} d_v^+(F)} \right) N_{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{H}).$$

So we get another expression of $\text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{H})$.

Corollary 2.5. *For an m -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} on n vertices,*

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{H}) = d(m-1)^n \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{H})} C_H N_{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{H}).$$

If we use $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_d(\mathcal{H})$ denote the set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of the hypergraphs in $\mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{H})$, then we have the following expression of $\text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{H})$, which was proved by Clark and Cooper [7].

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{H}) = d(m-1)^n \sum_{H \in \overline{\mathcal{V}}_d(\mathcal{H})} C_H N_{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{H}) \frac{|\text{Aut}(\underline{H})|}{|\text{Aut}(H)|} := d(m-1)^n \sum_{H \in \overline{\mathcal{V}}_d(\mathcal{H})} C_H (\#H \subseteq \mathcal{H}),$$

where

$$(\#H \subseteq \mathcal{H}) = N_{\mathcal{H}}(\underline{H}) \frac{|\text{Aut}(\underline{H})|}{|\text{Aut}(H)|},$$

and $\text{Aut}(G)$ denotes the automorphism group of a hypergraph G .

2.3. Traces of hypertrees. A *hypertree* is a connected and acyclic hypergraph. Let \mathcal{T} be an m -uniform hypertree. Then we have $|V(\mathcal{T})| = (m-1)|E(\mathcal{T})| + 1$. We need the following lemma to characterize the Veblen hypergraphs $H \in \mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{T})$.

Lemma 2.6 ([19]). *Let H be an m -uniform Veblen multi-hypergraph whose underlying hypergraph \underline{H} is a hypertree. Then H is uniquely Euler rooted such that all vertices of each edge occur as roots of the edge in a same number of times, and hence every edge of H repeats in a multiple of m times.*

By Lemma 2.6, for $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $m \mid d$. So, in this subsection we always assume that d is a positive multiple of m when discussing $\text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{T})$; otherwise, $\text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ by Corollary 2.5. For each $H \in \mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{T})$, let $\underline{H} = \hat{\mathcal{T}}$, a sub-hypertree of \mathcal{T} . Then H can be expressed as a weighted hypertree $\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega)$, where

$$\omega : E(\hat{\mathcal{T}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

such that the multiplicity of an edge $e \in E(H)$ is $m\omega(e)$, and $\omega(\hat{\mathcal{T}}) := \sum_{e \in E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \omega(e) = d/m$, implying that $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ contains at most d/m edges. So we have

$$\mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{T}) = \cup_{\hat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T})} \{\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega) : \omega(\hat{\mathcal{T}}) = d/m\}.$$

For each $F \in \mathcal{R}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega))$, by a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(F) &= \prod_{e \in E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \omega(e)^{m-1} m^{m-2} = m^{(m-2)|E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})|} \left(\prod_{e \in E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \omega(e) \right)^{m-1}, \\ \prod_{v \in V(F)} d_v^+(F) &= \prod_{v \in V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} (m-1)d_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega)) = (m-1)^{|V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})|} \prod_{v \in V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} d_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega)), \end{aligned}$$

where $d_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega)) = \sum_{e: v \in e} \omega(e)$, the weighted degree of the vertex v in $\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega)$.

By Lemma 2.6, for each $F \in \mathcal{R}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega))$, every vertex $v \in V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})$ occurs as root in $d_v(\mathcal{T}(\omega))$ times of $d_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}})$ distinct edges e with multiplicity $\omega(e)$ respectively. So $\mathcal{R}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega))$ has $\prod_{v \in V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \frac{d_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega))!}{r_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega))}$ Euler rootings due to the ordering of the same roots in different edges, where $r_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega)) = \prod_{e: v \in e} \omega(e)!$. So, for a given $H = \hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega) \in \mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{T})$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_H &= \prod_{v \in V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \frac{d_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega))!}{r_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega))} \cdot \frac{\tau(F)}{\prod_{v \in V(F)} d_v^+(F)} \\ (2.5) \quad &= (m-1)^{-|V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})|} m^{(m-2)|E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})|} \left(\prod_{e \in E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \omega(e) \right)^{m-1} \prod_{v \in V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \frac{(d_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega)) - 1)!}{r_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$c_{d,m}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}) = \sum_{\omega: \omega(\hat{\mathcal{T}})=d/m} \left(\prod_{e \in E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \omega(e) \right)^{m-1} \prod_{v \in V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \frac{(d_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega)) - 1)!}{r_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega))}.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{T}_{\leq t}^m$ (respectively, \mathbf{T}_t^m) the set of m -uniform hypertrees with at most t edges (respectively, exactly t edges) up to isomorphism. Then by Corollary 2.5, for $m \mid d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{T}) &= d(m-1)^{|V(\mathcal{T})|} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(\mathcal{T})} C_H N_{\mathcal{T}}(H) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega): \hat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbf{T}_{\leq d/m}^m, \omega(\hat{\mathcal{T}})=d/m} d(m-1)^{|V(\mathcal{T})|-|V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})|} m^{(m-2)|E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})|} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{e \in E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \omega(e) \right)^{m-1} \prod_{v \in V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \frac{(d_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega)) - 1)!}{r_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega))} \cdot N_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbf{T}_{\leq d/m}^m} d(m-1)^{|V(\mathcal{T})|-|V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})|} m^{(m-2)|E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})|} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{\omega: \omega(\hat{\mathcal{T}})=d/m} \left(\prod_{e \in E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \omega(e) \right)^{m-1} \prod_{v \in V(\hat{\mathcal{T}})} \frac{(d_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega)) - 1)!}{r_v(\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega))} \cdot N_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbf{T}_{\leq d/m}^m} d(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(\mathcal{T})|-|E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})|)} m^{(m-2)|E(\hat{\mathcal{T}})|} c_{d,m}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}) N_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{d/m} d(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(\mathcal{T})|-k)} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbf{T}_k^m} c_{d,m}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}) N_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Now suppose that $\mathcal{T} = T^m$, the m -th power of a tree T . Then $H = \hat{\mathcal{T}}(\omega) = \hat{T}^m(\omega)$ for some sub-tree \hat{T} of T . For each edge $e = \{u, v\} \in E(T)$, it corresponds to an edge $e^m := \{u, v, e_{i1}, \dots, e_{i,m-2}\} \in E(T^m)$. The weighted hypertree $\hat{T}^m(\omega)$ induces a weighted tree $\hat{T}(\omega)$ with weight $\omega : E(\hat{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\omega(e) = \omega(e^m)$ and $\omega(\hat{T}) := \sum_{e \in E(\hat{T})} \omega(e) = d/m$. We have

$$\prod_{v \in V(\hat{T}^m)} \frac{(d_v(\hat{T}^m(\omega)) - 1)!}{r_v(\hat{T}^m(\omega))} = \prod_{v \in V(\hat{T})} \frac{(d_v(\hat{T}(\omega)) - 1)!}{r_v(\hat{T}(\omega))} \prod_{e \in E(\hat{T})} \left(\frac{(\omega(e) - 1)!}{\omega(e)!} \right)^{m-2}.$$

Hence

$$c_{d,m}(\hat{T}^m) = \sum_{\omega: \omega(\hat{T})=d/m} \prod_{e \in E(\hat{T})} \omega(e) \prod_{v \in V(\hat{T})} \frac{(d_v(\hat{T}(\omega)) - 1)!}{r_v(\hat{T}(\omega))} =: \tilde{c}_{d/m}(\hat{T}). \tag{2.7}$$

So, for $m \mid d$,

$$\text{Tr}_d(T^m) = \sum_{k=1}^{d/m} d(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(T)|-k)} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{d/m}(\hat{T}) N_T(\hat{T}). \tag{2.8}$$

We note that Eq. (2.6) and Eq. (2.8) with a slightly modification were proved by Chen, Bu and Zhou [5]. Here we show them in a different way also for the convenience in the following discussion.

3. TRACES OF POWER OF UNICYCLIC GRAPHS

In this section, we will give a decomposition formula for the traces of the power of unicyclic graphs. Denote by C_n a cycle on n vertices (as a graph). Let U^m be the m -th power of a unicyclic graph U which contains a cycle C_n , where $m \geq 3$. The following lemma is important for our discussion.

Lemma 3.1 ([19]). *Let H be an m -uniform Veblen multi-hypergraph, and let e be an edge of H which contains a cored vertex. If H has an Euler rooting, then e repeats $k \cdot m$ times for some positive integer k , and all cored vertices in e occur as a root of e in k times.*

Let $H \in \mathcal{V}_d(U^m)$. As $m \geq 3$, each edges of H contains $m - 2$ cored vertices. By Lemma 3.1, each edge of H occurs in a multiple of m times. So, for $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\mathcal{V}_d(U^m) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $m \mid d$. We will assume $m \mid d$ in this section.

3.1. Traces of power of cycles. We first consider a special case, namely, $U = C_n$, where C_n has vertices v_1, \dots, v_n and edges $e_i = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ for $i \in [n]$, $v_{n+1} = v_1$. We label the edges of C_n^m as $e_i^m = \{v_i, v_{i+1}, e_{i1}, \dots, e_{i,m-2}\}$ for $i \in [n]$. Let

$$\mathcal{V}_d(C_n^m; [C_n^m]) = \{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(C_n^m) : \underline{H} = C_n^m\}.$$

Let $H \in \mathcal{V}_d(C_n^m; [C_n^m])$. By Lemma 3.1, H is a weighted cycle $C_n^m(\omega)$ with $\omega : E(C_n^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that e_i^m repeats $m\omega(e_i^m)$ times for $i \in [n]$, where $\omega(C_n^m) := \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(e_i^m) = d/m$. So

$$\mathcal{V}_d(C_n^m; [C_n^m]) = \{C_n^m(\omega) : \omega(C_n^m) = d/m\}.$$

Let $H = C_n^m(\omega)$ and $F \in \mathcal{R}(C_n^m(\omega))$. It is known that each cored vertex of e_i^m occurs as a root of e_i^m in $\omega(e_i^m)$ times for $i \in [n]$ by Lemma 3.1. Let t_{ii} (respectively, $t_{i,i+1}$) be the times of v_i (respectively, v_{i+1}) as a root of e_i^m for $i \in [n]$, where the subscripts are taken modulo n . As e_i^m repeats $m\omega(e_i^m)$ times and each cored vertex of e_i^m occurs as a root of e_i^m in $\omega(e_i^m)$ times, we have

$$(3.1) \quad t_{ii} + t_{i,i+1} = 2\omega(e_i^m).$$

As $R(F)$ is Eulerian,

$$(t_{i-1,i} + t_{ii})(m-1) = m\omega(e_{i-1}^m) - t_{i-1,i} + m\omega(e_i^m) - t_{ii},$$

which implies that

$$(3.2) \quad t_{i-1,i} + t_{i,i} = \omega(e_{i-1}^m) + \omega(e_i^m).$$

Suppose that $i_0 \in [n]$ such that $\omega(e_{i_0}^m) = \min_{i \in [n]} \omega(e_i^m) =: \omega_{\min}$. By Eqs. (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$t_{ii} = \omega(e_i^m) - \omega(e_{i_0}^m) + t_{i_0 i_0}, \quad t_{i,i+1} = \omega(e_i^m) + \omega(e_{i_0}^m) - t_{i_0 i_0}, \quad t_{i_0 i_0} \in [0, 2\omega(e_{i_0}^m)],$$

or equivalently

$$t_{ii} = \omega(e_i^m) - \omega_{\min} + x, \quad t_{i,i+1} = \omega(e_i^m) + \omega_{\min} - x, \quad x \in [0, 2\omega_{\min}].$$

So

$$\mathcal{R}(C_n^m(\omega)) = \cup_{x=0}^{2\omega_{\min}} \mathcal{R}(C_n^m(\omega); x),$$

where $\mathcal{R}(C_n^m(\omega); x)$ consists of those rootings $F \in \mathcal{R}(C_n^m(\omega))$ such that each cored vertex of e_i^m acts as a root of e_i^m in $\omega(e_i^m)$ times, and for $i \in [n]$, v_i (respectively, v_{i+1}) acts as a root of e_i^m in $t_{ii} = \omega(e_i^m) - \omega_{\min} + x$ (respectively, $t_{i,i+1} = \omega(e_i^m) + \omega_{\min} - x$) times.

For each $F \in \mathcal{R}(C_n^m(\omega); x)$, we now calculate $\tau(F)$. Note that $\tau(F)$ is the principal minor of $L(R(F))$ by deleting the row and column both indexed by a specified vertex v . Here we take $v = v_1$ and write

the $L(R(F))$ as follows, where $t_i := (t_{i-1,i} + t_{ii})(m-1)$, $\omega_i := \omega(e_i^m)$ for $i \in [n]$, $\mathbf{1}$ is an all-one's column vector of size $m-2$, $K = mI - J$ of size $m-2$, and I is an identity matrix and J is an all-one's matrix.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} t_1 & -t_{11} & 0 & \cdots & -t_{n1} \\ \hline -t_{12} & t_2 & -t_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -t_{n-2,n-1} & t_{n-1} & -t_{n-1,n-1} \\ -t_{nn} & \cdots & 0 & -t_{n-1,n} & t_n \end{array} \right. & \left. \begin{array}{c|ccccc} -t_{11}\mathbf{1}^\top & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -t_{n1}\mathbf{1}^\top \\ \hline -t_{12}\mathbf{1}^\top & -t_{22}\mathbf{1}^\top & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -t_{n-2,n-1}\mathbf{1}^\top & -t_{n-1,n-1}\mathbf{1}^\top & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -t_{n-1,n}\mathbf{1}^\top & -t_{nn}\mathbf{1}^\top \end{array} \right] \\
 & \left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} -\omega_1\mathbf{1} & -\omega_1\mathbf{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -\omega_2\mathbf{1} & -\omega_2\mathbf{1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & -\omega_{n-1}\mathbf{1} & -\omega_{n-1}\mathbf{1} \\ -\omega_n\mathbf{1} & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -\omega_n\mathbf{1} \end{array} \right. & \left. \begin{array}{c|ccccc} \omega_1 K & O & O & \cdots & O \\ \hline O & \omega_2 K & O & \cdots & O \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ O & \cdots & O & \omega_{n-1} K & O \\ O & \cdots & O & O & \omega_n K \end{array} \right] \\
 & := \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & * & * \\ * & A & B \\ * & C & D \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\tau(F) = \det \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \det D \det(A - BD^{-1}C).$$

We easily get

$$\det D = 2^n m^{n(m-3)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \omega_i \right)^{m-2}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{1}^\top K = 2\mathbf{1}^\top$ so that $\mathbf{1}^\top K^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}^\top$. We have

$$BD^{-1}C = \frac{m-2}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{t_2}{m-1} & t_{22} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ t_{23} & \frac{t_3}{m-1} & t_{33} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & t_{n-2,n-1} & \frac{t_{n-1}}{m-1} & t_{n-1,n-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{n-1,n} & \frac{t_n}{m-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence

$$A - BD^{-1}C = \frac{m}{2} \begin{bmatrix} t_{12} + t_{22} & -t_{22} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -t_{23} & t_{23} + t_{33} & -t_{33} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -t_{n-2,n-1} & t_{n-2,n-1} + t_{n-1,n-1} & -t_{n-1,n-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -t_{n-1,n} & t_{n-1,n} + t_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We get

$$\det(A - BD^{-1}C) = \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=2}^n t_{ii} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \prod_{i=1}^l t_{i,i+1} \prod_{i=l+2}^n t_{ii} + \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i,i+1} \right) = \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^l t_{i,i+1} \prod_{i=l+2}^n t_{ii},$$

and therefore

$$\tau(F) = 2m^{n(m-2)-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \omega_i \right)^{m-2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^l t_{i,i+1} \prod_{i=l+2}^n t_{ii}.$$

By the diagonal entries of $L(R(F))$, we also get

$$\prod_{v \in V(F)} d_v^+(F) = \prod_{i=1}^n t_i \prod_{i=1}^n (\omega_i(m-1))^{m-2} = (m-1)^{n(m-1)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \omega_i \right)^{m-2} \prod_{i=1}^n (t_{i-1,i} + t_{ii}).$$

Note $\mathcal{R}(C_n^m(\omega); x)$ contains exactly $\prod_{i=1}^n \binom{t_{i-1,i} + t_{ii}}{t_{ii}}$ rootings F , all corresponding the same values of $\tau(F)$ and $\prod_{v \in V(F)} d_v^+(F)$. So we get C_H for $H = C_n^m(\omega)$ as follows:

(3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} C_{C_n^m(\omega)} &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{R}(C_n^m(\omega))} \frac{\tau(F)}{\prod_{v \in V(F)} d_v^+(F)} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{2\omega_{\min}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{R}(C_n^m(\omega); x)} \frac{\tau(F)}{\prod_{v \in V(F)} d_v^+(F)} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{2\omega_{\min}} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{t_{i-1,i} + t_{ii}}{t_{ii}} \cdot \frac{2m^{n(m-2)-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^l t_{i,i+1} \prod_{i=l+2}^n t_{ii}}{(m-1)^{n(m-1)} \prod_{i=1}^n (t_{i-1,i} + t_{ii})} \\ &= \frac{2m^{n(m-2)-1}}{(m-1)^{n(m-1)} \prod_{i=1}^n (\omega_{i-1} + \omega_i)} \sum_{x=0}^{2\omega_{\min}} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\omega_{i-1} + \omega_i}{\omega_i - \omega_{\min} + x} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^l (\omega_i + \omega_{\min} - x) \prod_{i=l+2}^n (\omega_i - \omega_{\min} + x). \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$f_{C_n}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\omega_{i-1} + \omega_i)} \sum_{x=0}^{2\omega_{\min}} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\omega_{i-1} + \omega_i}{\omega_i - \omega_{\min} + x} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^l (\omega_i + \omega_{\min} - x) \prod_{i=l+2}^n (\omega_i - \omega_{\min} + x)$$

and

$$\text{Tr}_d(C_n^m; [C_n^m]) = d(m-1)^{|V(C_n^m)|} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(C_n^m; [C_n^m])} C_H N_{C_n^m}(\underline{H}).$$

Lemma 3.2. For $m \mid d$,

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Tr}_d(C_n^m; [C_n^m]) = \sum_{\omega: \omega(C_n^m) = d/m} 2dm^{n(m-2)-1} f_{C_n}(\omega).$$

If $d/m = n$, then

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Tr}_{nm}(C_n^m; [C_n^m]) = 2n(n+1)m^{n(m-2)}.$$

Proof. By the previous discussion, each $H \in \mathcal{V}_d(C_n^m; [C_n^m])$ is a weighted cycle $C_n^m(\omega)$, and $\underline{H} = C_n^m$, implying that $N_{C_n^m}(\underline{H}) = 1$. By definition and Eq. (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_d(C_n^m; [C_n^m]) &= d(m-1)^{n(m-1)} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(C_n^m; [C_n^m])} C_H N_{C_n^m}(\underline{H}) \\ &= \sum_{\omega: \omega(C_n^m) = d/m} d(m-1)^{n(m-1)} C_{C_n^m(\omega)} \\ &= \sum_{\omega: \omega(C_n^m) = d/m} d(m-1)^{n(m-1)} \frac{2m^{n(m-2)-1}}{(m-1)^{n(m-1)}} f_{C_n}(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{\omega: \omega(C_n^m) = d/m} 2dm^{n(m-2)-1} f_{C_n}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

If $d/m = n$, then $\omega_i = 1$ for $i \in [n]$, $f_{C_n}(\omega) = n+1$, and therefore

$$\text{Tr}_{nm}(C_n^m; [C_n^m]) = 2n(n+1)m^{n(m-2)}.$$

□

3.2. Trace of power of unicyclic graphs. Let U^m be the m -th power of a unicyclic graph U which contains a cycle C_n . The labeling of the vertices and edges of C_n^m is same as in Section 3.1. We have a decomposition:

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{V}_d(U^m) = \mathcal{V}_d(U^m; [\hat{C}_n^m]) \cup \mathcal{V}_d(U^m; [C_n^m]),$$

where $\mathcal{V}_d(U^m; [\hat{C}_n^m])$ (respectively, $\mathcal{V}_d(U^m; [C_n^m])$) denotes the subset of $\mathcal{V}_d(U^m)$ which consists of Veblen hypergraphs that contain not all edges of C_n^m (respectively, contain all edges of C_n^m). By Lemma 3.1, either of three sets in (3.6) is nonempty if and only if $m \mid d$. So we assume $m \mid d$ in the following discussion.

For each $H \in \mathcal{V}_d(U^m; [\hat{C}_n^m])$, \underline{H} is a hypertree, and by Lemma 2.6, H is uniquely rooted and $H = \hat{T}^m(\omega)$ for some tree \hat{T} contained in U with $\omega(\hat{T}) = d/m$. So by Corollary 2.5, Eqs. (2.5) and (2.7),

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_d(U^m; [\hat{C}_n^m]) &:= d(m-1)^{|V(U^m)|} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(U^m; [\hat{C}_n^m])} C_H N_{U^m}(\underline{H}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{d/m} d(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-k)-1} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{d/m}(\hat{T}) N_U(\hat{T}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that U is obtained from C_n by attaching rooted trees T_1, \dots, T_n with their roots identified with v_1, \dots, v_n of the cycle respectively, where some trees T_i may be trivial containing only one vertex (namely v_i). Here we stress that each T_i is a rooted tree with root v_i for $i \in [n]$. Note an isomorphism between two rooted trees is one preserving the roots. If \hat{T} is a rooted tree with root v , then $N_{T_i}(\hat{T})$ denotes the number of subgraphs of T_i with root v_i that is isomorphic to \hat{T} mapping v_i to v .

For each $H \in \mathcal{V}_d(U^m; [C_n^m])$, $H|_{T_i^m}$ (if nonempty) for $i \in [n]$ and $H|_{C_n^m}$ are all Veblen hypergraphs. By Lemma 2.6, $H|_{T_i^m} = \hat{T}_i^m(\omega^i)$ for some subtree \hat{T}_i of T_i containing the vertex v_i such that each edge e of \hat{T}_i^m repeat $m\omega^i(e)$ times in $H|_{T_i^m}$. Similarly, by Lemma 3.1, $H|_{C_n^m} = C_n^m(\omega^0)$ such that each edge e of C_n^m repeat $m\omega^0(e)$ times in $H|_{C_n^m}$. Surely, the number of edges of $H|_{T_i^m}$ for $i \in [n]$ and the number of edges of $H|_{C_n^m}$ are multiples of m . We also note $\mathcal{V}_d(U^m; [C_n^m]) \neq \emptyset$ only if $d/m \geq n$, with equality only if $\mathcal{V}_d(U^m; [C_n^m]) = \{C_n^m(\omega^0)\}$ with $\omega^0(e) = 1$ for each edge $e \in E(C_n^m)$.

By the discussion before, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{V}_d(U^m; [C_n^m]) = \bigcup_{\substack{d_0 + \dots + d_n = d, d_0/m \geq n \\ m \mid d_i, i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}}} \bigcup_{0 \leq s_i \leq d_i/m} \mathcal{V}_{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n}^{s_1, \dots, s_n}(U^m),$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n}^{s_1, \dots, s_n}(U^m)$ is the set of Veblen hypergraphs contains $d_0 > 0$ edges of C_n^m , and d_i edges of T_i^m among of which ms_i edges contains the vertex v_i for $i \in [n]$. Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{V}_{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n}^{s_1, \dots, s_n}(U^m) = \bigcup \{C_n^m(\omega^0) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \hat{T}_i^m(\omega^i) : C_n^m(\omega^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{d_0}(C_n^m; [C_n^m]), \hat{T}_i^m(\omega^i) \in \mathcal{V}_{d_i; s_i}(T_i^m; [v_i]), i \in [n]\},$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{d_i; s_i}(T_i^m; [v_i]) = \{\hat{T}_i^m(\omega^i) \in \mathcal{V}_{d_i}(T_i^m) : d_{v_i}(\hat{T}_i^m(\omega^i)) = s_i, \hat{T}_i \subseteq T_i\}$, and \hat{T}_i is a rooted tree with root v_i . Note that in the above decomposition, some d_i 's are zeros, and $d_i = 0$ if and only if $s_i = 0$.

Denote $N = (m-1)|E(U)|$ the number of vertices of U^m , $N_i = (m-1)|E(T_i)| + 1$ the number of vertices of T_i^m for $i \in [n]$, and $N_0 = (m-1)n$ the number of vertices of C_n^m . Then $N = N_0 + \sum_{i \in [n]} N_i - n$. Let $H = C_n^m(\omega^0) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I(s)} \hat{T}_i^m(\omega^i) \in \mathcal{V}_{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n}^{s_1, \dots, s_n}(U^m)$, where $I(s) = \{i \in [n] : s_i > 0\}$. For each $F \in \mathcal{R}(H)$, $F_0 := F|_{C_n^m(\omega^0)}$ is an Euler rooting of $C_n^m(\omega^0)$, and $d_{v_i}^+(F_0) = (\omega^0(e_{i-1}^m) + \omega^0(e_i^m))(m-1) =: t_i(m-1)$ for $i \in [n]$; $F_i := F|_{\hat{T}_i^m(\omega^i)}$ is also an Euler rooting of $\hat{T}_i^m(\omega^i)$, and $d_{v_i}^+(F_i) = s_i(m-1)$ for $i \in I(s)$. We have $\tau(F) = \tau(F_0) \prod_{i \in I(s)} \tau(F_i)$ and $N_{U^m}(\underline{H}) = \prod_{i \in I(s)} N_{T_i}(\hat{T}_i)$. Denote

$$\text{Tr}_{d_i; s_i}(T_i^m; [v_i]) = d(m-1)^{N_i} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_{d_i; s_i}(T_i^m; [v_i])} C_H N_{T_i^m}(\underline{H}), i \in I(s).$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

(3.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n}^{s_1, \dots, s_n}(U^m) &:= d(m-1)^N \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n}^{s_1, \dots, s_n}(U^m)} C_H N_{U^m}(\underline{H}) \\
&= d(m-1)^N \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n}^{s_1, \dots, s_n}(U^m)} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{R}(H)} \frac{\tau(F)}{\prod_{v \in V(F)} d_v^+(F)} \right) N_{U^m}(\underline{H}) \\
&= d(m-1)^N \sum_{\substack{C_n^m(\omega^0) \in \mathcal{V}_{d_0}(C_n^m; [C_n^m]), \\ \hat{T}_i^m(\omega^i) \in \mathcal{V}_{d_i; s_i}(T_i^m; [v_i]), i \in I(s)}} \prod_{i \in I(s)} \binom{s_i + t_i}{s_i} \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{F \in \mathcal{R}(\bigcup_{i \in I(s)} \hat{T}_i^m(\omega^i))} \prod_{i \in I(s)} \frac{s_i t_i (m-1)}{s_i + t_i} \frac{\tau(F_i)}{\prod_{v \in V(F_i)} d_v^+(F_i)} N_{T_i}(\hat{T}_i) \cdot \sum_{F_0 \in \mathcal{R}(C_n^m(\omega_0))} \frac{\tau(F_0)}{\prod_{v \in V(F_0)} d_v^+(F_0)} \\
&= \frac{d(m-1)^{N-N_0-\sum_{i \in I(s)}(N_i-1)}}{d_0} \prod_{i \in I(s)} \frac{s_i}{d_i} \sum_{T_i^m(\omega^i) \in \mathcal{V}_{d_i; t_i}(T_i^m; [v_i])} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{R}(\hat{T}_i^m(\omega^i))} \frac{d_i(m-1)^{N_i} \tau(F_i)}{\prod_{v \in V(F_i)} d_v^+(F_i)} N_{T_i}(\hat{T}_i) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{C_n^m(\omega_0) \in \mathcal{V}_{d_0}(C_n^m; [C_n^m])} \prod_{i \in I(s)} \frac{t_i}{s_i + t_i} \binom{s_i + t_i}{t_i} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{R}(C_n^m(\omega_0))} \frac{d_0(m-1)^{N_0} \tau(F_0)}{\prod_{v \in V(F_0)} d_v^+(F_0)} \\
&= \frac{d(m-1)^{N-N_0-\sum_{i \in I(s)}(N_i-1)}}{d_0} \prod_{i \in I(s)} \frac{s_i}{d_i} \text{Tr}_{d_i; s_i}(T_i^m; [v_i]) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\omega^0: \omega^0(C_n^m) = d_0/m} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\omega_{i-1}^0 + \omega_i^0 + s_i - 1}{s_i} \cdot 2d_0 m^{n(m-2)-1} f_{C_n}(\omega_0).
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar discussion as in Section 2.3, we have a formula for $\text{Tr}_{d; s}(T^m; [v])$. Denote by $T(k; [v])$ the set of isomorphic classes of subtrees of T with root v and k edges, and for each $\hat{T} \in T(k; [v])$ denote $\tilde{c}_{\ell; s}(\hat{T})$ as follows:

$$\tilde{c}_{\ell; s}(\hat{T}) = \sum_{\omega: \omega(\hat{T}) = \ell, d_v(\hat{T}(\omega)) = s} \prod_{e \in E(\hat{T})} \omega(e) \prod_{u \in V(\hat{T})} \frac{(d_u(\hat{T}(\omega)) - 1)!}{r_u(\hat{T}(\omega))}.$$

We have

$$(3.10) \quad \text{Tr}_{d; s}(T^m; [v]) = \sum_{k=1}^{d/m} d(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(T)|-k)} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in T(k; [v])} \tilde{c}_{d/m; s}(\hat{T}) N_T(\hat{T}).$$

So

$$(3.11) \quad \prod_{i \in I} \text{Tr}_{d_i; s_i}(T_i^m; [v_i]) = \prod_{i \in I} d_i \sum_{k_i \in [d_i/m], i \in I} (m-1)^{(m-1) \sum_{i \in I} (|E(T_i)| - k_i)} m^{(m-2) \sum_{i \in I} k_i} \\
\cdot \prod_{\hat{T}_i \in T_i(k_i; [v_i]), i \in I} \tilde{c}_{d_i/m; s_i}(\hat{T}_i) N_{T_i}(\hat{T}_i).$$

We now give a formula for the traces of the power of unicyclic graphs.

Theorem 3.3. *Let U be a unicyclic graph which is obtained from a cycle C_n by attaching rooted trees T_1, \dots, T_n with their roots identified with the vertices v_1, \dots, v_n of the cycle respectively. For each d with*

$m \mid d$,

(3.12)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_d(U^m) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d/m} d(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-k)-1} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{d/m}(\hat{T}) N_U(\hat{T}) \\
&\quad + 2m^{n(m-2)-1} \sum_{\substack{d_0+\dots+d_n=d, d_0/m \geq n \\ m \mid d_i, i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\}}} \sum_{0 \leq s_i \leq d_i, i \in [n]} d(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-n-\sum_{i \in I(s)} |E(T_i)|)} \prod_{i \in I(s)} s_i \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{k_i \in [d_i/m], i \in I(s)} (m-1)^{(m-1)\sum_{i \in I} (|E(T_i)|-k_i)} m^{(m-2)\sum_{i \in I(s)} k_i} \prod_{\hat{T}_i \in T_i(k_i; [v_i]), i \in I(s)} \tilde{c}_{d_i/m; s_i}(\hat{T}_i) N_{T_i}(\hat{T}_i) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\omega^0: \omega^0(C_n^m)=d_0/m} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\omega_{i-1}^0 + \omega_i^0 + s_i - 1}{s_i} f_{C_n}(\omega_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the decomposition (3.8), Eq. (3.9) and Eq. (3.11), we have

(3.13)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_d(U^m; [C_n^m]) &:= d(m-1)^{|V(U^m)|} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(U^m; [C_n^m])} C_H N_{U^m}(\underline{H}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{d_0+\dots+d_n=d, d_0/m \geq n \\ m \mid d_i, i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\}}} \sum_{0 \leq s_i \leq d_i, i \in [n]} \text{Tr}_{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n}^{s_1, \dots, s_n}(U^m) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{d_0+\dots+d_n=d, d_0/m \geq n \\ m \mid d_i, i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\}}} \sum_{0 \leq s_i \leq d_i, i \in [n]} \frac{d(m-1)^{N-N_0-\sum_{i \in I(s)} (N_i-1)}}{d_0} \prod_{i \in I(s)} \frac{s_i}{d_i} \text{Tr}_{d_i; s_i}(T_i^m; [v_i]) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\omega^0: \omega^0(C_n^m)=d_0/m} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\omega_{i-1}^0 + \omega_i^0 + s_i - 1}{s_i} 2d_0 m^{n(m-2)-1} f_{C_n}(\omega_0) \\
&= 2m^{n(m-2)-1} \sum_{\substack{d_0+\dots+d_n=d, d_0/m \geq n \\ m \mid d_i, i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\}}} \sum_{0 \leq s_i \leq d_i, i \in [n]} d(m-1)^{N-N_0-\sum_{i \in I(s)} (N_i-1)} \prod_{i \in I(s)} s_i \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{k_i \in [d_i/m], i \in I(s)} (m-1)^{(m-1)\sum_{i \in I} (|E(T_i)|-k_i)} m^{(m-2)\sum_{i \in I(s)} k_i} \prod_{\hat{T}_i \in T_i(k_i; [v_i]), i \in I(s)} \tilde{c}_{d_i/m; s_i}(\hat{T}_i) N_{T_i}(\hat{T}_i) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\omega^0: \omega^0(C_n^m)=d_0/m} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\omega_{i-1}^0 + \omega_i^0 + s_i - 1}{s_i} f_{C_n}(\omega_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where $N = (m-1)|E(U)|$, $N_0 = (m-1)n$ and $N_i = (m-1)|E(T_i)| + 1$ are respectively the number of vertices of U^m , C_n^m and T_i^m for $i \in [n]$.

By the decomposition (3.6), we have

$$\text{Tr}_d(U^m) = \text{Tr}_d(U^m; [\hat{C}_n^m]) + \text{Tr}_d(U^m; [C_n^m])$$

and get the desired result by combining Eq. (3.7) and Eq. (3.13). \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let U be the unicyclic graph as defined in Theorem 3.3. If $d/m = n$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_d(U^m) &= \sum_{k=1}^n n(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-k)-1} m^{k(m-2)+1} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_n(\hat{T}) N_U(\hat{T}) \\
&\quad + 2n(n+1)(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-n)} m^{n(m-2)}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}$$

If $d/m < n$, then

$$(3.15) \quad \text{Tr}_d(U^m) = \sum_{k=1}^{d/m} d(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-k)-1} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{d/m}(\hat{T}) N_U(\hat{T}).$$

Proof. If $d/m = n$, then $d_0 = d = mn$, $d_i = s_i = 0$ for $i \in [n]$, and $I(s) = \emptyset$ in Eq. (3.12). Also in this case, $\omega_i^0 = 1$ for $i \in [n]$, and $f_{C_n}(\omega^0) = n + 1$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{nm}(U^m) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d/m} d(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-k)-1} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{d/m}(\hat{T}) N_U(\hat{T}) \\ &\quad + 2d(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-n)} m^{n(m-2)-1} (n+1) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n n(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-k)-1} m^{k(m-2)+1} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_n(\hat{T}) N_U(\hat{T}) \\ &\quad + 2n(n+1)(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-n)} m^{n(m-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $d/m < n$, then the second summand in (3.12) does not appear. The result follows. \square

Recall the *girth* of a graph G , denoted by $g(G)$, is the minimum length of the cycles of G . If G contains no cycles, then we define $g(G) = +\infty$. At the end of this section, we will consider some special traces of a general graph with girth g .

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and p edges. Then for each $m \geq 3$ and each d with $m \mid d$ and $d/m < g(G)$,*

$$(3.16) \quad \text{Tr}_d(G^m) = \sum_{k=1}^{d/m} d(m-1)^{n-1-p+(m-1)(p-k)} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{d/m}(\hat{T}) N_G(\hat{T}).$$

Proof. Observe that G^m has $N := n + p(m-2)$ vertices. As $m \geq 3$, each edge of G^m contains a cored vertex. By Lemma 3.1, each edge of $H \in \mathcal{V}_d(G^m)$ repeats in a multiple of m times, which implying that d is multiple of m if $\mathcal{V}_d(G^m) \neq \emptyset$. Also H is a weighted graph, namely $H = \underline{H}(\omega)$ such that each edge e of \underline{H} repeats $m\omega(e)$ times in H , where $\omega : E(\underline{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$. So, $\omega(\underline{H}) := \sum_{e \in E(\underline{H})} \omega(e) = d/m$, which implies that \underline{H} has at most d/m edges.

Now assume that $m \mid d$ and $d/m < g(G)$. Then \underline{H} contains no cycles, and hence $\underline{H} = \hat{T}^m$ for some tree $\hat{T} \subseteq G$. So

$$\mathcal{V}_d(G^m) = \{\hat{T}^m(\omega) : \hat{T} \subseteq G, \omega(\hat{T}^m) = d/m\}.$$

By Corollary 2.5, Eq. (2.7) and a similar discussion as in Eq. (2.6) by replacing \mathcal{T} with G^m , $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ with \hat{T}^m ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_d(G^m) &= d(m-1)^N \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(G^m)} C_H N_{G^m}(\underline{H}) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{T}^m \in \mathbf{T}_{\leq d/m}^m} d(m-1)^{N-|V(\hat{T}^m)|} m^{(m-2)|E(\hat{T}^m)|} c_{d,m}(\hat{T}^m) N_{G^m}(\hat{T}^m) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{d/m} d(m-1)^{n-1-p+(m-1)(p-k)} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{d/m}(\hat{T}) N_G(\hat{T}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

We note that if G is a tree T or unicyclic graph U , then Eq. (3.16) becomes Eq. (2.8) or Eq. (3.15).

4. SPECTRAL CHARACTERIZATION OF UNICYCLIC GRAPHS

In this section, we give some high-ordered cospectral invariants of graphs, in particular for unicyclic graphs. As applications, we prove that a class of unicyclic graphs which are not DS but DHS. We give two examples of infinitely many pairs of cospectral unicyclic graphs with different high-ordered spectra. Denote by P_n a path on n vertices (as a graph).

4.1. General graphs. It is known that if G_1 is cospectral with G_2 , then they have the same number of vertices and edges, respectively, namely

$$N_{G_1}(P_1) = N_{G_2}(P_1), N_{G_1}(P_2) = N_{G_2}(P_2).$$

We will prove that $N_G(P_3)$ is a high-ordered cospectral invariant of G .

Lemma 4.1. *If G_1 is high-ordered cospectral with G_2 , then*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_\ell(\hat{T}) N_{G_1}(\hat{T}) = \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_\ell(\hat{T}) N_{G_2}(\hat{T})$$

for all ℓ, k with $1 \leq k \leq \ell < \min\{g(G_1), g(G_2)\}$.

Proof. As $\text{Tr}_d(G_1^m) = \text{Tr}_d(G_2^m)$, by Theorem 3.5, for $m \mid d$ and $d/m < \min\{g(G_1), g(G_2)\}$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d/m} (m-1)^{n-1-p+(m-1)(p-k)} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{d/m}(\hat{T}) (N_{G_1}(\hat{T}) - N_{G_2}(\hat{T})) = 0,$$

where n, p are respectively the number of vertices and edges of G_1 or G_2 . Let $d/m = \ell$, $g(m, k) = (m-1)^{n-1-p+(m-1)(p-k)} m^{k(m-2)}$, and $y(k) = \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_\ell(\hat{T}) (N_{G_1}(\hat{T}) - N_{G_2}(\hat{T}))$. Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} g(m, k) y(k) = 0.$$

As $g(m, k) = g(m, k-1) \frac{m^{m-2}}{(m-1)^{m-1}} =: g(m, k-1) \alpha(m)$ for $k > 1$, $g(m, k) = g(m, 1) \alpha(m)^{k-1}$. Now taking m as ℓ different integers m_1, \dots, m_ℓ that are greater than 2, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha(m_1) & \alpha(m_1)^2 & \cdots & \alpha(m_1)^{\ell-1} \\ 1 & \alpha(m_2) & \alpha(m_2)^2 & \cdots & \alpha(m_2)^{\ell-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha(m_\ell) & \alpha(m_\ell)^2 & \cdots & \alpha(m_\ell)^{\ell-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y(1) \\ y(2) \\ \vdots \\ y(\ell) \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

As the matrix in Eq. (4.2) is nonsingular, we have $y(k) = 0$ for $k \in [\ell]$. \square

Remark 4.2. In Lemma 4.1, suppose \mathbf{T}_k has exactly t_k trees $\hat{T}_1, \dots, \hat{T}_{t_k}$. If we can take ℓ as t_k different integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{t_k}$ such that $\min\{\ell_i : i \in [t_k]\} \geq k$ and $\max\{\ell_i : i \in [t_k]\} < \min\{g(G_1), g(G_2)\}$, then by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{c}_{\ell_1}(\hat{T}_1) & \tilde{c}_{\ell_1}(\hat{T}_2) & \cdots & \tilde{c}_{\ell_1}(\hat{T}_{t_k}) \\ \tilde{c}_{\ell_2}(\hat{T}_1) & \tilde{c}_{\ell_2}(\hat{T}_2) & \cdots & \tilde{c}_{\ell_2}(\hat{T}_{t_k}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{c}_{\ell_{t_k}}(\hat{T}_1) & \tilde{c}_{\ell_{t_k}}(\hat{T}_2) & \cdots & \tilde{c}_{\ell_{t_k}}(\hat{T}_{t_k}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z(1) \\ z(2) \\ \vdots \\ z(t_k) \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

where $z(i) = N_{G_1}(\hat{T}_i) - N_{G_2}(\hat{T}_i)$ for $i \in [t_k]$. If the matrix in (4.3), denoted by \tilde{C} , is nonsingular, then the equation in (4.3) has only zero solution, which implies that for all $\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k$,

$$N_{G_1}(\hat{T}) = N_{G_2}(\hat{T}).$$

Obviously, $\mathbf{T}_2 = \{P_3\}$. By definition, $\tilde{c}_2(P_3) > 0$. As any graph has girth greater than 2, if G_1 is high-order cospectral with G_2 , then

$$(4.4) \quad N_{G_1}(P_3) = N_{G_2}(P_3).$$

Chen, Sun and Bu [6] defined a parameter $c_{2\ell}(\hat{T})$ and a matrix $C = (c_{2\ell_i}(\hat{T}_j))$ of size t_k similar to \tilde{C} . By definition, we find that

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{c}_\ell(\hat{T}) = \frac{c_{2\ell}(\hat{T})}{2^\ell},$$

which implies that

$$\tilde{C} = \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{\ell_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^{\ell_{t_k}}} \right\} C.$$

So \tilde{C} and C have the same singularity.

Corollary 4.3. *If G_1 is high-ordered cospectral with G_2 , then*

$$N_{G_1}(P_3) = N_{G_2}(P_3).$$

Corollary 4.4. *If G_1 is high-ordered cospectral with G_2 , then*

$$N_{G_1}(P_k) = N_{G_2}(P_k), k \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

and

$$N_{G_1}(C_3) = N_{G_2}(C_3), N_{G_1}(C_4) = N_{G_2}(C_4).$$

Proof. It is known for a graph G , $\text{Tr}_3(G) = 6N_G(C_3)$ and $\text{Tr}_4(G) = 2N_G(P_2) + 4N_G(P_3) + 8N_G(C_4)$ ([10]). The result follows by Corollary 4.3 and the above equalities. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs with girth greater than or equal to g . If G_1 is high-order cospectral with G_2 , then*

- (1) *if $g = 5$, then $N_{G_1}(\hat{T}) = N_{G_2}(\hat{T})$ for all trees \hat{T} with at most 3 edges.*
- (2) *if $g = 7$, then $N_{G_1}(\hat{T}) = N_{G_2}(\hat{T})$ for all trees \hat{T} with at most 4 edges.*
- (3) *if $g = 11$, then $N_{G_1}(\hat{T}) = N_{G_2}(\hat{T})$ for all trees \hat{T} with at most 5 edges.*

Proof. By Tables 1 and 2 in [6] and Remark 4.2, \mathbf{T}_3 has 2 trees, and the matrix in (4.3) is nonsingular by taking $\ell \in \{3, 4\}$. Similarly, \mathbf{T}_4 has 3 trees, and the matrix in (4.3) is nonsingular by taking $\ell \in \{4, 5, 6\}$; \mathbf{T}_5 has 6 trees, and the matrix in (4.3) is nonsingular by taking $\ell \in \{5, 6, \dots, 10\}$. The result follows by Remark 4.2. \square

4.2. Unicyclic graphs. We first prove that the girth is a high-ordered cospectral invariant of unicyclic graphs.

Lemma 4.6. *Let U_1 (respectively, U_2) be a unicyclic graph containing a cycle C_{n_1} (respectively, C_{n_2}). If U_1 is high-order cospectral with U_2 , then $n_1 = n_2$.*

Proof. Since U_1 is high-order cospectral with U_2 , we have $\text{Tr}_d(U_1^m) = \text{Tr}_d(U_2^m)$ for all d and $m \geq 3$. Suppose that $n_1 < n_2$. Now taking $d = n_1 m$, by Corollary 3.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} n_1 (m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-k)-1} m^{k(m-2)+1} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{n_1}(\hat{T}) (N_{U_2}(\hat{T}) - N_{U_1}(\hat{T})) \\ &= 2n_1(n_1+1)(m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-n_1)} m^{n_1(m-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By a simple calculation, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_1} (m-1)^{(m-1)(n_1-k)-1} m^{(k-n_1)(m-2)+1} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{n_1}(\hat{T})(N_{U_2}(\hat{T}) - N_{U_1}(\hat{T})) = 2(n_1 + 1).$$

Let $h(m, k) = (m-1)^{(m-1)(n_1-k)-1} m^{(k-n_1)(m-2)+1}$, and $y(k) = \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{n_1}(\hat{T})(N_{U_2}(\hat{T}) - N_{U_1}(\hat{T}))$. Then $h(m, k) = h(m, 1)\alpha(m)^{k-1}$, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_1} h(m, 1)\alpha(m)^{k-1}y(k) = 2(n_1 + 1),$$

where $\alpha(m) = \frac{m^{m-2}}{(m-1)^{m-1}}$. Taking m as n_1 different integers m_1, \dots, m_{n_1} that are greater than 2, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{bmatrix} h(m_1, 1) & h(m_1, 1)\alpha(m_1) & \cdots & h(m_1, 1)\alpha(m_1)^{n_1-1} \\ h(m_2, 1) & h(m_2, 1)\alpha(m_2) & \cdots & h(m_2, 1)\alpha(m_2)^{n_1-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ h(m_{n_1}, 1) & h(m_{n_1}, 1)\alpha(m_{n_1}) & \cdots & h(m_{n_1}, 1)\alpha(m_{n_1})^{n_1-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y(1) \\ y(2) \\ \vdots \\ y(n_1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2(n_1 + 1) \\ 2(n_1 + 1) \\ \vdots \\ 2(n_1 + 1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easily found the matrix $H := (h(m_i, 1)\alpha(m_i)^{j-1})$ in Eq. (4.6) is nonsingular, and by Cramer's rule

$$(4.7) \quad y(1) = (\det H)^{-1} \det M,$$

where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2(n_1 + 1) & h(m_1, 1)\alpha(m_1) & \cdots & h(m_1, 1)\alpha(m_1)^{n_1-1} \\ 2(n_1 + 1) & h(m_2, 1)\alpha(m_2) & \cdots & h(m_2, 1)\alpha(m_2)^{n_1-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 2(n_1 + 1) & h(m_{n_1}, 1)\alpha(m_{n_1}) & \cdots & h(m_{n_1}, 1)\alpha(m_{n_1})^{n_1-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

which is obtained from H by replacing the first column by $(2(n_1 + 1), \dots, 2(n_1 + 1))^\top$.

We will prove that there exists distinct integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n_1} such that $\det M \neq 0$. First given any $n_1 - 1$ distinct integers $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n_1-1}$, the matrix $M(n_1, 1)$ is nonsingular so that the equation

$$(2(n_1 + 1), \dots, 2(n_1 + 1))^\top = M(n_1, 1)x$$

has a unique solution $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1-1})^\top$, where $M(n_1, 1)$ is obtained from M by deleting the last row and the first column. Let ℓ be the smallest index such that $x_\ell \neq 0$. Then $\ell \leq n_1 - 2$; otherwise we have

$$(2(n_1 + 1), \dots, 2(n_1 + 1))^\top = (h(m_1, 1)\alpha(m_1)^{n_1-1}, \dots, h(m_{n_1-1}, 1)\alpha(m_{n_1-1})^{n_1-1})^\top \cdot x_{n_1-1},$$

a contradiction. Now consider the following equation in the variable m :

$$(4.8) \quad 2(n_1 + 1) = h(m, 1)\alpha(m)x_1 + \cdots + h(m, 1)\alpha(m)^{n_1-1}x_{n_1-1}.$$

Let

$$f(m) = h(m, 1)\alpha(m)x_1 + \cdots + h(m, 1)\alpha(m)^{n_1-1}x_{n_1-1} = h(m, 2)x_1 + \cdots + h(m, n_1)x_{n_1-1}.$$

Note that $h(m, k) \sim e^{-(n_1-k)}(m-1)^{n_1-k}$ when $m \rightarrow +\infty$. As $x_\ell \neq 0$ for some smallest $\ell \leq n_1 - 2$,

$$f(m) \sim x_\ell h(m, \ell + 1) \sim x_\ell e^{-(n_1-\ell-1)}(m-1)^{n_1-\ell-1}.$$

When $m \rightarrow +\infty$, then $|f(m)| \rightarrow +\infty$. So, there exists a positive integer $m = m_0$ such that Eq. (4.8) does not hold. Surely, m_0 is not equal to any of m_1, \dots, m_{n_1-1} by the definition of x . Taking $m_{n_1} = m_0$, $\det M \neq 0$ as the first column can not be a linear combination of other columns.

By Eq. (4.7), we get $y(1) \neq 0$. However, by the definition of $y(k)$, $y(1) = 0$ as $N_{U_2}(\hat{T}) = N_{U_1}(\hat{T})$ when $\hat{T} = P_2$. So we get the desired result, namely $n_1 = n_2$. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let U_1 (respectively, U_2) be a unicyclic graph containing a cycle C_n . If U_1 is high-order cospectral with U_2 , then*

$$\sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_\ell(\hat{T}) N_{U_1}(\hat{T}) = \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_\ell(\hat{T}) N_{U_2}(\hat{T})$$

for all ℓ, k with $1 \leq k \leq \ell \leq n$.

Proof. As $\text{Tr}_d(U_1^m) = \text{Tr}_d(U_2^m)$, by Corollary 3.4, for $m \mid d$ and $d/m \leq n$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d/m} (m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-k)-1} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_{d/m}(\hat{T}) (N_{U_2}(\hat{T}) - N_{U_1}(\hat{T})) = 0.$$

Let $d/m = \ell$, $g(m, k) = (m-1)^{(m-1)(|E(U)|-k)-1} m^{k(m-2)}$, and $y(k) = \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_\ell(\hat{T}) (N_{U_2}(\hat{T}) - N_{U_1}(\hat{T}))$. Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} g(m, k) y(k) = 0.$$

By a similar discussion as in Lemma 4.1, we get the desired result. \square

Remark 4.8. In Lemma 4.7, suppose \mathbf{T}_k has exactly t_k trees $\hat{T}_1, \dots, \hat{T}_{t_k}$. If we can take ℓ as t_k different integers $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{t_k}$ such that $\min\{\ell_i : i \in [t_k]\} \geq k$ and $\max\{\ell_i : i \in [t_k]\} \leq n$, then by Lemma 4.7, we have the matrix equation in Eq. (4.3): $\tilde{C}z = 0$. If the matrix \tilde{C} is nonsingular, then z has only zero solution, which implies that for all $\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k$,

$$N_{U_1}(\hat{T}) = N_{U_2}(\hat{T}).$$

Corollary 4.9. *Let U_1 and U_2 be unicyclic graphs both with girth g . If U_1 is high-order cospectral with U_2 , then*

- (1) if $g \geq 4$, then $N_{U_1}(\hat{T}) = N_{U_2}(\hat{T})$ for all trees \hat{T} with at most 3 edges.
- (2) if $g \geq 6$, then $N_{U_1}(\hat{T}) = N_{U_2}(\hat{T})$ for all trees \hat{T} with at most 4 edges.
- (3) if $g \geq 10$, then $N_{U_1}(\hat{T}) = N_{U_2}(\hat{T})$ for all trees \hat{T} with at most 5 edges.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Corollary 4.5 by noting that the number ℓ in Lemma 4.7 is allowed to equal the girth g . We omit the details. \square




FIGURE 4.1. The trees with at most 5 edges

We further investigate the high-order cospectral pairs of unicyclic graphs with girth at most 4.

Corollary 4.10. *Let U_1 and U_2 be high-order cospectral unicyclic graphs with girth g .*

- (1) If $g = 3$, then

$$N_{U_1}(P_4) + 2N_{U_1}(S_4) = N_{U_2}(P_4) + 2N_{U_2}(S_4).$$

(2) If $g = 4$, then

$$N_{U_1}(P_5) + 2N_{U_1}(Q_5) + 6N_{U_1}(S_5) = N_{U_2}(P_5) + 2N_{U_2}(Q_5) + 6N_{U_2}(S_5).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, we have

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_g} \tilde{c}_g(\hat{T}) N_{U_1}(\hat{T}) = \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_g} \tilde{c}_g(\hat{T}) N_{U_2}(\hat{T}).$$

If $g = 3$, $\mathbf{T}_3 = \{P_4, S_4\}$ and $\tilde{c}_3(P_4) = 1$, $\tilde{c}_3(S_4) = 2$; and if $g = 4$, $\mathbf{T}_4 = \{P_5, Q_5, S_5\}$ and $\tilde{c}_4(P_5) = 1$, $\tilde{c}_4(Q_5) = 2$ and $\tilde{c}_4(S_5) = 6$, where the graphs S_4, Q_5, S_5 are listed in Fig. 4.1. The result follows by substituting the above values into Eq. (4.9). \square

4.3. A class of DHS unicyclic graphs. By Lemma 4.6, the girth is a high-ordered cospectral invariant of unicyclic graphs. However, it does not hold for the usual cospectral invariant of unicyclic graphs. Let $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ denotes the unicyclic graph on n vertices which is obtained from a cycle C_q by attaching two paths P_{n_1+1} and P_{n_2+1} at the same vertex of the cycle C_q , and $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$. Liu et al. [32] proved the following cospectral mates: $H(12; 6, 1, 5)$ and $H(12; 8, 2, 2)$, $H(n; 2a+6, a, a+2)$ and $\Lambda(a, a, 2a+2)$, $H(n; 2b, b, b)$ and $\Theta(b-2, 2b-3, b-1)$, where $\Lambda(a, a, 2a+2)$ is a unicyclic graph with girth 6 and a being positive even number, and $\Theta(b-2, 2b-3, b-1)$ is a bicyclic graph with b being even number greater than 2; see Theorem 3.4, Lemma 5.8 and Lemma 5.11 in [32]. We now further investigate the high-ordered spectral property of $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$.

Theorem 4.11. *The unicyclic graph $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ is DHS when $q \geq 5$.*

Proof. Let $H := H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$. Suppose that G is a graph high-ordered cospectral with H , which has n_1 vertices of degree 1, n_2 vertices of degree 2 and n_3 vertices of degree greater than or equal to 3. By the relation $N_H(P_i) = N_G(P_i)$ for $i \in [3]$, and noting that $N_H(P_3) = n+3$, we have

$$(4.10) \quad n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = n, n_1 + 2n_2 + \sum_{v: d_v \geq 3} d_v = 2n, n_2 + \sum_{v: d_v \geq 3} \binom{d_v}{2} = n+3.$$

By the 3rd and 2nd equalities,

$$n+3 \geq n_2 + \sum_{v: d_v \geq 3} d_v = 2n - n_1 - n_2,$$

which implies that $n_3 \leq 3$. The result will be arrived by the following discussion.

Case 1: $n_3 = 3$. Then for each v with $d_v \geq 3$, $d_v = 3$. So we get $n_1 = 3, n_2 = n-6, n_3 = 3$. By Corollary 4.4, $N_H(C_k) = N_G(C_k)$ for $k = 3, 4$. As H contains no C_3 or C_4 , the girth of G is at least 5. Observe that $N_G(S_4) = 3$ and $N_H(S_4) = 4$. However, by Corollary 4.5, $N_H(S_4) = N_G(S_4)$; a contradiction. So this case cannot happen.

Case 2: $n_3 = 2$. Then G contains exactly two vertices, say u, v , both with degree greater than or equal to 3. By the 1st and 2nd equalities in Eq. (4.10), we have $d_u + d_v = n+2-n_2$. If both d_u and d_v are greater than or equal to 4, then by the 3rd equality in Eq. (4.10),

$$n+3 \geq n_2 + \frac{3}{2}(d_u + d_v) = n_2 + \frac{3}{2}(n+2-n_2) = \frac{3}{2}n - \frac{1}{2}n_2 + 3,$$

which implies that $n \leq n_2$; a contradiction. So there exists a vertex among u, v , say u with $d_u = 3$. Also by Eq. (4.10),

$$d_v = n - n_2 - 1, \binom{d_v}{2} = n - n_2.$$

If letting $x = n - n_2$, by the above equalities, we have

$$x^2 - 5x + 2 = 0,$$

which implies that x is an irrational number; a contradiction. So this case also cannot happen.

Case 3: $n_3 = 1$. Then G have only one vertex say u with degree greater than or equal to 3. By Eq. (4.10), we have

$$d_v = n - n_2 + 1, \binom{d_v}{2} = n - n_2 + 3.$$

By a simple computation, we have $n_1 = 2, n_2 = n - 3, n_3 = 1$ and $d_v = 4$.

Let G_0 be a component of G containing the vertex v . Then G_0 must contain a cycle; otherwise G_0 is a tree with at least 4 vertices of degree 1; a contradiction to $n_1 = 2$. As G_0 contains exactly one vertex greater than 2, namely the vertex v with degree 4, G_0 is of form $H(n; q', n'_1, n'_2)$.

We assert that G has no other components other than G_0 . Otherwise, let G_1 be another component of G . Then G_1 is a cycle with the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1(G_1) = 2$ as it only contains vertices with degree 2. We also note $\lambda_1(G_0) > 2$ as G_0 contains the cycle C_q as a proper subgraph. So the second eigenvalue $\lambda_2(G)$ of G holds that

$$\lambda_2(G) \geq \min\{\lambda_1(G_0), \lambda_1(G_1)\} \geq 2.$$

Let \tilde{v} be the vertex of H with degree 4. Note that $H - \tilde{v}$ consists of paths, and the largest eigenvalue of a path is less than 2. By the interlacing theorem,

$$\lambda_2(H) \leq \lambda_1(H - \tilde{v}) < 2,$$

which yields a contradiction as $\lambda_2(G) = \lambda_2(H)$. So G is of form $H(n; q', n'_1, n'_2)$. By Theorem 3.4 of [32], except for $H(12; 6, 1, 5)$ and $H(12; 8, 2, 2)$, no two non-isomorphic graphs of form $H(n'; q', n'_1, n'_2)$ are cospectral. As two high-ordered cospectral unicyclic graphs has the same girth by Lemma 4.6, G is isomorphic to $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$.

Case 4: $n_3 = 0$. Then G is a union of paths and/or cycles. This is impossible as $\lambda_1(G) \leq 2 < \lambda_1(H)$. \square

4.4. Cospectral unicyclic graph mates with different high-ordered spectra. There are two methods to construct cospectral graphs. The first is to use coalescence introduced by Schwenk [35] (or see [11]). Let G be a graph with root u and H be a graph with root v . The *coalescence* of G and H is obtained by identifying the root u of G with the root v of H , denoted by $G(u) \odot H(v)$.


Lemma 4.12. [35, 11] *Let G be a graph with root u and H be a graph with root v . If G is cospectral with H and $G - u$ is cospectral with $H - v$, then for any graph Γ with root w , $G(u) \odot \Gamma(w)$ is cospectral with $H(v) \odot \Gamma(w)$.*

The second method is to use rooted product introduced by Godsil and McKay [22] (or see [29]). Let G be a graph and let Γ be a rooted graph. The *root product* $G(\Gamma)$ is the graph obtained by identifying each vertex of G with the root of a copy of Γ .

Lemma 4.13 ([29]). *If G is cospectral with a graph H , then for any rooted graph Γ , $G(\Gamma)$ is cospectral with $H(\Gamma)$.*

We now construct infinitely many pairs of cospectral unicyclic graphs with different high-order spectrum. Let T be the tree in Fig. 4.2 (the graph with solid edges) with two specified vertices u and v . It was shown that $T - u$ and $T - v$ have the same spectrum [35]. Let U be any unicyclic graph with root w . Denote by $T(u) \odot U(w)$ (respectively, $T(v) \odot U(w)$) the graph obtained by adding an edge between u and w (respectively, between v and w); see Fig. 4.2. By Lemma 4.12, $T(u) \odot U(w)$ is cospectral with $T(v) \odot U(w)$. We now prove that $T(u) \odot U(w)$ is not high-ordered cospectral with $T(v) \odot U(w)$.

Corollary 4.14. *$T(u) \odot U(w)$ is not high-ordered cospectral with $T(v) \odot U(w)$.*

FIGURE 4.2. The graphs $T(u) \oplus U(w)$ and $T(v) \oplus U(w)$

Proof. Let $G_{uw} := T(u) \oplus U(w)$ and $G_{vw} := T(v) \oplus U(w)$, and let C_n be the cycle contained in U . We will compare $\text{Tr}_d(G_{uw}^m)$ and $\text{Tr}_d(G_{vw}^m)$ with $d = 5m$.

Let $H \in \mathcal{V}_d(G_{uw}^m, [C_n^m])$. By Lemma 3.1, H is a weighted hypergraph $\underline{H}(\omega)$ which contains C_n^m such that each edge e of \underline{H} repeats in $m\omega(e)$ times. So $\omega(\underline{H}) = 5m/5 = 5$, which implies that \underline{H} has at most 5 edges. As C_n^m has at least 3 edges, \underline{H} has at most 2 edges outside U^m . Let \tilde{G}_{uw} be the subgraph of G_{uw} induced by the vertices of U , u and its neighbors. Then \underline{H} is contained in \tilde{G}_{uw}^m . So $\mathcal{V}_d(G_{uw}^m, [C_n^m]) = \mathcal{V}_d(\tilde{G}_{uw}^m, [C_n^m])$. Similarly, if letting \tilde{G}_{vw} be the subgraph of G_{vw} induced by the vertices of U , v and its neighbors, then for each $H \in \mathcal{V}_d(G_{vw}^m, [C_n^m])$, \underline{H} is contained in \tilde{G}_{vw}^m , and hence $\mathcal{V}_d(G_{vw}^m, [C_n^m]) = \mathcal{V}_d(\tilde{G}_{vw}^m, [C_n^m])$. Note that \tilde{G}_{uw} is isomorphic to \tilde{G}_{vw} . So $\mathcal{V}_d(G_{uw}^m, [C_n^m])$ is equal to $\mathcal{V}_d(G_{vw}^m, [C_n^m])$ under isomorphism.

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_d(G_{uw}^m; [C_n^m]) &= d(m-1)^{|V(G_{uw}^m)|} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(G_{uw}^m, [C_n^m])} C_H N_{G_{uw}^m}(\underline{H}) \\
&= d(m-1)^{|V(G_{uw}^m)|} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(\tilde{G}_{uw}^m, [C_n^m])} C_H N_{\tilde{G}_{uw}^m}(\underline{H}) \\
&= d(m-1)^{|V(G_{vw}^m)|} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(\tilde{G}_{vw}^m, [C_n^m])} C_H N_{\tilde{G}_{vw}^m}(\underline{H}) \\
&= d(m-1)^{|V(G_{vw}^m)|} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{V}_d(G_{vw}^m, [C_n^m])} C_H N_{G_{vw}^m}(\underline{H}) \\
&= \text{Tr}_d(G_{vw}^m; [C_n^m]).
\end{aligned}$$

By Eq. (3.6) and Eq. (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_d(G_{uw}^m) - \text{Tr}_d(G_{vw}^m) &= \text{Tr}_d(G_{uw}^m; [\hat{C}_n^m]) - \text{Tr}_d(G_{vw}^m; [\hat{C}_n^m]) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^5 d(m-1)^{(m-1)(p-k)-1} m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_5(\hat{T})(N_{G_{uw}}(\hat{T}) - N_{G_{vw}}(\hat{T})),
\end{aligned}$$

where p denotes the number of edges of G_{uw} or G_{vw} . We find that for each tree $\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_{\leq 5} \setminus \{P_5, Q_5, P_6, Q_6, H_6\}$, $N_{G_{uw}}(\hat{T}) = N_{G_{vw}}(\hat{T})$, where Q_5, Q_6, H_6 are listed in Fig. 4.1. So

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_d(G_{uw}^m) - \text{Tr}_d(G_{vw}^m) &= dg(m, 4) [\tilde{c}_5(P_5)(N_{G_{uw}}(P_5) - N_{G_{vw}}(P_5)) + \tilde{c}_5(Q_5)(N_{G_{uw}}(Q_5) - N_{G_{vw}}(Q_5))] \\
&\quad + dg(m, 5) [\tilde{c}_5(P_6)(N_{G_{uw}}(P_6) - N_{G_{vw}}(P_6)) + \tilde{c}_5(Q_6)(N_{G_{uw}}(Q_6) - N_{G_{vw}}(Q_6)) \\
&\quad + \tilde{c}_5(H_6)(N_{G_{uw}}(H_6) - N_{G_{vw}}(H_6))],
\end{aligned}$$

where $g(m, k) = (m-1)^{(m-1)(M-k)-1}m^{k(m-2)}$. By a direct computation or referring Tables 1 and 2 in [6] with the relation (4.5), we have

$$\tilde{c}_5(P_5) = 6, \tilde{c}_5(Q_5) = 14, \tilde{c}_5(P_6) = 1, \tilde{c}_5(Q_6) = 2, \tilde{c}_5(H_6) = 4.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{G_{uw}}(P_5) - N_{G_{vw}}(P_5) &= -2, N_{G_{uw}}(Q_5) - N_{G_{vw}}(Q_5) = 1, \\ N_{G_{uw}}(P_6) - N_{G_{vw}}(P_6) &= -2d_v, N_{G_{uw}}(Q_6) - N_{G_{vw}}(Q_6) = d_v - 3, N_{G_{uw}}(H_6) - N_{G_{vw}}(H_6) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\text{Tr}_d(G_{uw}^m) - \text{Tr}_d(G_{vw}^m) = 2dg(m, 4) - 2dg(m, 5) = 2d(m-1)^{(m-1)(M-5)-1}m^{4(m-2)}((m-1)^{m-1} - m^{m-2}).$$

Clearly, there exists $m = m_0$ (e.g. $m_0 = 3$) such that $\text{Tr}_d(G_{uw}^m) - \text{Tr}_d(G_{vw}^m) \neq 0$, which implies that $G_{uw}^{m_0}$ is not cospectral with $G_{vw}^{m_0}$. The result follows. \square

Finally we note that the smallest cospectral pair of graphs are $G_1 := K_{1,4}$ (also S_5 in Fig. 4.1) and $G_2 := C_4 + K_1$. Consider $\text{Tr}_d(G_1^m)$ and $\text{Tr}_d(G_2^m)$ with $d/m = 2$. By Eq. (2.8),

$$\text{Tr}_d(G_1^m) = \sum_{k=1}^2 d(m-1)^{(m-1)(4-k)}m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_2(\hat{T})N_{G_1}(\hat{T}).$$

Noting that $V(G_2^m) = V(C_4^m) \cup V(K_1)$, by Eq. (3.15) we have

$$\text{Tr}_d(G_2^m) = \sum_{k=1}^2 d(m-1)^{(m-1)(4-k)}m^{k(m-2)} \sum_{\hat{T} \in \mathbf{T}_k} \tilde{c}_2(\hat{T})N_{G_2}(\hat{T}).$$

As $N_{G_1}(P_2) = N_{G_2}(P_2) = 4$ and $N_{G_1}(P_3) = 6 \neq N_{G_2}(P_3) = 4$, we have $\text{Tr}_d(G_1^m) \neq \text{Tr}_d(G_2^m)$. So $K_{1,4}$ and $C_4 + K_1$ are not high-order cospectral pair.

We consider the rooted products $G_1(P_n)$ and $G_2(P_n)$, where P_n has one of its pendent vertices as the root and $n \geq 2$. By Lemma 4.13, $G_1(P_n)$ and $G_2(P_n)$ are cospectral. As $N_{G_1(P_n)}(P_3) - N_{G_2(P_n)}(P_3) = 2 \neq 0$, by a similar discussion we have $\text{Tr}_d((G_1(P_n))^m) \neq \text{Tr}_d((G_2(P_n))^m)$ for $d/m = 2$. So $G_1(P_n)$ and $G_2(P_n)$ are also not high-order cospectral pair.

Corollary 4.15. *Let $G_1 := K_{1,4}$ and $G_2 := C_4 + K_1$, and let P_n be a path on n vertices with one of its pendent vertices as root. Then $G_1(P_n)$ and $G_2(P_n)$ are not high-order cospectral pair.*

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we investigate the spectral characterization of unicyclic graphs by the high-ordered spectra of unicyclic graphs. It is seen that the high-ordered spectra can recognize more structural information than the usual spectra, which implies that high-ordered spectra may have potential value on the graph isomorphism problem. We also find there are two questions for further study on high-ordered spectral characterization of graphs.

(1) Recall that two graphs G_1 and G_2 are high-ordered cospectral if the spectrum of G_1^m is the same as that of G_2^m for all $m \geq 2$. In fact, from the discussion in Section 4 (e.g. Lemmas 4.1 and 4.6), we only need finitely many m 's such that G_1 and G_2 are m -ordered cospectral. So, could we give an upper bound for the m on the definition of high-ordered cospectral? If it does, we will save times on comparing the high-ordered spectra of two graphs.

In addition, it is known that G_1^m is cospectral with G_2^m if and only if $\text{Tr}_d(G_1^m) = \text{Tr}_d(G_2^m)$ for all d or $d = 1, 2, \dots, n(m-1)^{n-1}$, where n is the number of vertices of G_1^m or G_2^m . If $m \geq 3$, then $\text{Tr}_d(G^m) \neq 0$

only if $m \mid d$. As seen in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.7, Corollaries 4.5, 4.9, 4.10, 4.14 and 4.15, we care more about d/m than d . This also can be found from the definition $\tilde{c}_{d/m}(\hat{T})$ in Eq. (2.7).

(2) It is harder to compute the spectra or the characteristic polynomials of uniform hypergraphs than graphs, as it is closely related the computation of resultants. Though Cardoso et al. [3] gave a method to get the eigenvalues of the power G^m from the eigenvalues of G (see Lemma 2.3), we still do not know the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of G^m . So, how to compute the spectra of the power hypergraphs is a key question. Fortunately, we can use traces instead of spectra to recognize high-ordered cospectral graphs, and can compute the traces of hypergraphs with simple structure by Corollary 2.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. van Aardenne-Ehrenfest, N. G. de Bruijn, Circuits and trees in oriented linear graphs, In: I. Gessel, G. C. Rota (Eds), *Classic Papers in Combinatorics*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 1987, pp. 149-163.
- [2] S. Bai, L. Lu, A bound on the spectral radius of hypergraphs with e edges, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 549(2018), 203-218.
- [3] K. Cardoso, C. Hoppen, V. Trevisan, The spectrum of a class of uniform hypergraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 590 (2020), 243-257.
- [4] K. C. Chang, K. Pearson, T. Zhang, Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors, *Comm. Math. Sci.*, 6 (2008), 507-520.
- [5] L. Chen, C. Bu, J. Zhou, Spectral moments of hypertrees and their applications, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 2021, DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2021.1953431.
- [6] L. Chen, L. Sun, C. Bu, High-ordered spectral characterizations of graphs, available at arXiv: 2111.03877.
- [7] G. Clark, J. Cooper, A Harary-Sachs theorem for hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 149 (2021), 1-15.
- [8] J. Cooper, A. Dutle, Spectra of uniform hypergraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 436 (2012), 3268-3292.
- [9] L. Collatz, U. Sinogowitz Spektren endlicher Grafen, *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.*, 21 (1957), 63-77.
- [10] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, Spectra of unicyclic graphs, *Graphs Combin.*, 3(1)1987, 7-23.
- [11] E. R. van Dam, W. H. Haemers Which graphs are determined by their spectrum? *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 373 (2003), 241-272.
- [12] E. R. van Dam, W. H. Haemers Developments on spectral characterizations of graphs *Discrete Math.*, 309 (2009), 576-586.
- [13] Y.-Z. Fan, Y.-H. Bao, T. Huang, Eigenvariety of nonnegative symmetric weakly irreducible tensors associated with spectral radius and its application to hypergraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 564 (2019), 72-94.
- [14] Y.-Z. Fan, T. Huang, Y.-H. Bao, The dimension of eigenvariety of nonnegative tensors associated with spectral radius, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 150 (2022), 2287-2299.
- [15] Y.-Z. Fan, T. Huang, Y.-H. Bao, C. L. Zhuan-Sun, Y.-P. Li, The spectral symmetry of weakly irreducible nonnegative tensors and connected hypergraphs. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 372(3) (2019), 2213-2233.
- [16] Y.-Z. Fan, M. Li, Y. Wang, The cyclic index of adjacency tensor of generalized power hypergraphs, *Discrete Math.*, 344 (2021), 112329.
- [17] Y.-Z. Fan, Y.-Y. Tan, X.-X. Peng, A.-H. Liu, Maximizing spectral radii of uniform hypergraphs with few edges, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, 36(4)(2016), 845-856.
- [18] Y.-Z. Fan, M.-Y. Tian, M. Li, Fan, The stabilizing index and cyclic index of the coalescence and Cartesian product of uniform hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 185 (2022), 105537.
- [19] Y.-Z. Fan, Y. Yang, C.-M. She, J. Zheng, Y.-M. Song, H.-X. Yang, The trace and Estrada index of uniform hypergraphs with cut vertices, available at arXiv: 2205.15502.
- [20] S. Friedland, S. Gaubert, L. Han, Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative multilinear forms and extensions, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438 (2013), 738-749.
- [21] G. Gao, A. Chang, Y. Hou, Spectral radius on linear r -graphs without expanded K_{r+1} , *SIAM J. Discrete. Math.*, 36(2)(2022), 1000-1011.
- [22] C. D. Godsil, B. D. McKay, A new graph product and its spectrum, *Bull., Austral. Math. Soc.*, 18 (1978), 21-28.
- [23] C.D. Godsil, B.D. McKay Constructing cospectral graphs, *Aequationes Math.*, 25 (1982), 257-268.
- [24] Hs. H. Günthard, H. Primas, Zusammenhang von Graphentheorie und MO-Theorie von Molekeln mit Systemen konjugierter Bindungen, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 39 (1956), 1645-1653.
- [25] S. Hu, L. Qi, J. Shao, Cored hypergraphs, power hypergraphs and their Laplacian eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439(2013), 2980-2998.

- [26] P. Keevash, J. Lenz, D. Mubayi, Spectral extremal problems for hypergraphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 28(4)(2014), 1838-1854.
- [27] L.-H. Lim, Singular values and eigenvalues of tensors: a variational approach, in *Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing*, 2005 1st IEEE International Workshop, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 2005, pp. 129-132.
- [28] A. Morozov, Sh. Shakirov, Analogue of the identity $\text{Log Det} = \text{Trace Log}$ for resultants, *J. Geom. Phys.*, 61(3) (2011), 708-726.
- [29] M. Lepović, Some results on starlike trees and sunlike graphs, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, 11(2003), 109-123.
- [30] H. Li, J. Shao, L. Qi, The extremal spectral radii of k -uniform supertrees, *J. Combin. Optim.*, 32(2016), 741-764.
- [31] L. Liu, L. Kang, E. Shan, On the irregularity of uniform hypergraphs, *European J. Combin.*, 71(2018), 22-32.
- [32] X. Liu, S. Wang, Y. Zhang, X. Yong, On the spectral characterization of some unicyclic graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 311(2011), 2317-2336.
- [33] L. Lu, S. Man, Connected hypergraphs with small spectral radius. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 509 (2016), 206-227.
- [34] L. Qi, Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 40 (2005), 1302-1324.
- [35] A. J. Schwenk Almost all trees are cospectral, In: F. Harary (Ed.), *New Directions in the Theory of Graphs*, Academic Press, New York, 1973, pp. 275-307.
- [36] J.-Y. Shao, L. Qi, S. Hu, Some new trace formulas of tensors with applications in spectral hypergraph theory, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 63 (2015), 971-992.
- [37] W. T. Tutte, C. A. B. Smith, On unicursal paths in a network of degree 4, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 48(4) (1941), 233-237.
- [38] W. Wang, A simple arithmetic criterion for graphs being determined by their generalized spectra, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 122 (2017), 438-451.
- [39] W. Wang, C. X. Xu A sufficient condition for a family of graphs being determined by their generalized spectra, *European J. Combin.*, 27 (2006), 826-840.
- [40] W. Wang, C. X. Xu An excluding algorithm for testing whether a family of graphs are determined by their generalized spectra, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 418 (2006), 62-74.
- [41] Y. Yang, Q. Yang, Further results for Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors, *SIAM J Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 31 (5) (2010), 2517-2530.
- [42] Y. Yang, Q. Yang, Further results for Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors II, *SIAM J Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 32 (4) (2011), 1236-1250.
- [43] Y. Yang, Q. Yang, On some properties of nonnegative weakly irreducible tensors, arXiv: 1111.0713v2.
- [44] W. Zhang, L. Kang, E. Shan, Y. Bai, The spectra of uniform hypertrees. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 533(2017), 84-94.
- [45] J. Zhou, L. Sun, W. Wang, C. Bu, Some spectral properties of uniform hypergraphs, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 21 (2014), #P4.24.

CENTER FOR PURE MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ANHUI UNIVERSITY, HEFEI 230601, P. R. CHINA

Email address: fanyz@ahu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ANHUI UNIVERSITY, HEFEI 230601, P. R. CHINA

Email address: yanghx@stu.ahu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ANHUI UNIVERSITY, HEFEI 230601, P. R. CHINA

Email address: zhengj@stu.ahu.edu.cn