

APPROACHING QUANTUM QUEER SUPERGROUPS USING FINITE DIMENSIONAL SUPERALGEBRAS

PRELIMINARY VERSION

JIE DU, HAIXIA GU, ZHENHUA LI AND JINKUI WAN

ABSTRACT. The idea of using a sequence of finite dimensional algebras to approach a quantum linear group (i.e., a quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n) was first introduced by Beilinson–Lusztig–MacPherson [BLM]. In their work, the algebras are convolution algebras of some finite partial flag varieties whose certain structure constants relative to the orbital basis satisfy a stabilization property. This property leads to the definition of an infinite dimensional idempotent algebra. Finally, taking a limit process yields a new realization for the quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n . Since then, this work has been modified [DF2] and generalized to quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n (see [GV, L] for the geometric approach and [DDF, DF] for the algebraic approach and a new realization) and quantum super $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}$ [DG], and, more recently, to convolution algebras arising from type B/C geometry and i -quantum groups U^j and U^i ; see [BKLW, DWu1, DWu2]. This paper extends the algebraic approach to the quantum queer supergroup $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ via finite dimensional queer q -Schur superalgebras.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries in the Hecke-Clifford superalgebra	4
3. Queer q -Schur superalgebras and quantum queer supergroups	10
4. Multiplication formulas in $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$: the even cases	13
5. Multiplication formulas in $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$: the odd cases	17
6. Preliminaries for building the defining relations in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$	24
7. Superalgebra homomorphisms from $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$	31
8. Two triangularly related bases for $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$	39
9. A new realization of $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$	47
Appendix A. Proofs of Propositions 6.5(2), 6.8, 6.9	51
References	55

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the introduction of quantum groups as quantized enveloping algebras of semisimple Lie algebras in late eighties of last century, their realizations and related applications have achieved outstanding progress. First, C. M. Ringel developed a realization for the positive part of such a quantum group, using Ringel–Hall algebras associated with the representation category of quivers. Then G. Lusztig’s geometric approach to quantum groups and canonical bases advanced the theory to a new level. On the other hand, almost at the same time, Beilinson, Lusztig and MacPherson (see [BLM]) initiated a new method of using a sequence of geometrically defined convolution algebras associated with some (finite) partial flag varieties (i.e., q -Schur algebras) to approach quantum linear groups. This approach results in a new realization for the entire quantum group $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ via its regular representation defined explicitly through some multiplication formulas of generators on a basis for $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. The convolution

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 17A70, 20G42, 20C08.

Key words and phrases. quantum queer supergroup, Hecke-Clifford superalgebra, quantum queer Schur superalgebra, realization.

algebra approach was soon generalized to quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n in [GV, L], which motivated an algebraic approach to their new realizations developed in [DDF, DF].

Recently, motivated from the work by Bao and Wang [BW], in which i -quantum groups (or more precisely, quantum symmetric pairs) play a key role to give a reformulation of Kazhdan-Lusztig theory that provides a perfect solution to the problem of character formulas of \mathfrak{osp} Lie superalgebras, this geometric approach has also been generalized to convolution algebras arising from type B/C geometry, modified i -quantum groups, and their canonical bases. Building on [BKLW] and the new Schur–Weyl duality in [BW], new realizations for the i -quantum groups U^j and U^r are obtained in [DWu1, DWu2].

Though the geometric (or convolution algebra) approach seems not suitable for the realization problem for quantum supergroups, the idea of using finite dimensional superalgebras to approach quantum supergroups continues to shed lights on the development of the algebraic approach. The quantum supergroups we are interested in are quantized enveloping algebra of the Lie superalgebras arising from two types of finite dimensional simple associative superalgebras over the complex number field. These Lie superalgebras are called the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}$ and the queer Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{q}_n .

Using the theory of q -Schur superalgebras associated to Hecke algebras developed in [DR], the first two authors discovered a BLM type new realization for quantum linear supergroup $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$; see [DG]. This algebraic method has further been refined in [DGZ] to a three-step approach:

- (1) developing some commutation formulas in Hecke algebras,
- (2) deriving some short (element) multiplication formulas, which gives the regular representation of q -Schur superalgebras, and some long (element) multiplication formulas, on which the Drinfeld–Jimbo type defining relations are built, and finally,
- (3) using a triangular relation between a monomial basis and the BLM type long element basis to establish a new realization for $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$ through its regular representation arising from the long multiplication formulas.

Note that the convolution algebra approach combines (1) and (2), obtaining the short multiplication formulas via convolution products. Note also that the work [DG], especially the partial integral Schur–Weyl duality, played a key role in the investigation [DLZ] of polynomial super representation theory of $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$ in positive characteristic, which generalises the classical theory developed in [BKu].

The representaiton theory of the algebraic Lie supergroups of type Q and their associated Lie superalgebras, called the queer Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{q}_n here, has been studied extensively at both the ordinary and modular levels; see [BK1, BK2] and the references therein. The queer Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{q}_n , on the one hand, behaves in many aspects as the Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_n or the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}$. But, on the other hand, it differs drastically from the basic classical Lie superalgebras in terms of the Cartan subalgebra, which is not purely even, and the invariant bilinear form, which does not exists for \mathfrak{q}_n . Thus, we do have a beautiful analog of the Schur–Weyl duality discovered by Sergeev [Ser], often referred as Sergeev duality, and the notion of queer Schur superalgebra can be introduced. On the other hand, an unusual highest weight theory needs to be developed in [BK1, BK2] by Brundan and Kleshchev in order to solve the classification problem of finite dimensional irreducible polynomial supermodules. They also determine the irreducible projective representations of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_r .

At the quantum level, Olshanski [Ol] constructed a quantum deformation $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ and established a quantum analog of the Sergeev duality in the generic case. Then, in [GJKK, GJKKK], highest weight modules and crystal bases in the quantum characteristic 0 case are investigated. Also, a quantum analog of Schur superalgebras, called queer q -Schur superalgebra (or quantum queer Schur superalgebra), was obtained by the first and last authors (see [DW1] and independently in [BGJKW] using walled Brauer–Clifford superalgebras), and presentations for both queer Schur and q -Schur superalgebras were given therein. Later, an integral theory for the queer q -Schur superalegebra was developed in

[DW2]. These developments indicate that a BLM type realization for $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ using queer q -Schur superalgebras should exist and the polynomial representation theory in positive quantum characteristic (i.e., in the root of unity case), as a quantum analog of [BK1, BK2], would also be developed. Naturally, one expects that the three-step approach above should be generalized from $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$ to $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$.

This project was initiated almost ten years ago. The main obstacles occurred in deriving short multiplication formulas for odd generators. After some unsuccessful early attempts, we decided to tackle the classical (i.e., $v = 1$) case first. In [GLL], a new realization of the enveloping superalgebra $U(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ is obtained and the three-step approach was carried out. In particular, key commutation formulas in Sergeev algebras emerge and both short and long multiplication formulas are derived in queer Schur superalgebras $\mathcal{Q}(n, r)$ with structure constants independent of $r \geq 0$. This work provides a road map for the realization in the quantum case.

However, unlike the classical case in [GLL], we still could not write down explicitly all multiplication formulas involving odd generators because of the complexity caused by the Clifford part. This shows a drastic difference from the cases of \mathfrak{gl}_n and $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}$, as well as the classical case. To fill this gap, we introduce the SDP condition (see Definition 2.6) and derive some multiplication formulas under the SDP condition.¹ Fortunately, by an inductive approach (cf. Remark 3.6), this is enough to realize the generators and relations for the quantum queer supergroup $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ in queer q -Schur superalgebras. In this way, we successfully completed Step (1) by discovering some key commutation formulas in Hecke–Clifford algebras required in Step (2). Note that the combinatorics developed in [DGZ] and [DW1, DW2] played decisive roles. Finally, by using the PBW basis theorem established in [DW1], we obtain a realization of the supergroup $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$, completing Step (3).

It is worthwhile to point out that the first author together with Y. Lin and Z. Zhou has also given in [DLZ] a realization of $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ via v -differential operators. This approach aims at constructing directly the regular module of $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$. It is not clear how this new construction relates to the Schur–Weyl–Olshanski duality.

We expect in forthcoming papers to apply the new realization via queer q -Schur algebras to the study of the integral Schur–Weyl–Olshanski duality and to develop the modular representation theory of quantum queer supergroups at roots of unity, generalizing the work [BK1, BK2] to the quantum case.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we deduce some useful commutation formulas in the Hecke–Clifford superalgebra and introduce the important SDP condition as certain commutation formulas between certain elements in the Clifford subalgebra and elements associated with shortest double coset representatives in the Hecke subalgebra. We also verify the condition for two cases. In Section 3, we first follow [DW2, DW1] to introduce queer q -Schur superalgebra $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$. We then introduce a superalgebra $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$ as a twisted version of $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ and as the homomorphic image of the quantum queer supergroup $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ as well. In the next two sections, we derive the multiplication formulas of the defining basis by certain homogeneous generators for the superalgebra $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$, where the even case is done in Section 4, while the odd case is done in Section 5. Similar to BLM’s original method, we introduce in Section 6 some long elements which span $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$ uniformly on r and derive their multiplication formulas by the images of the generators of $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$. Note that the “structure constants” in these multiplication formulas are independent of r . We then check all the defining relations of $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ in Section 7. In this way, we obtain superalgebra homomorphisms from $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$, which induce a homomorphism ξ_n from $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ to the direct product $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ (Theorem 7.4). Finally, in Section 8, we determine the image $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$ of the homomorphism ξ_n and prove that ξ_n is injective. Thus, the new superalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$ is a new realization of $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ (Theorem 9.3).

¹These formulas are raw formulas which are not normalized. See Remark 5.7(2).

Notation 1.1. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and indeterminates y, z , set

$$[[m]]_y = 1 + y + \cdots + y^{m-1} = \frac{y^m - 1}{y - 1}, \quad [[m]]_{y,z} = [[m]]_y - [[m]]_z. \quad (1.1.1)$$

For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \leq j$, let $[i, j] = \{i, i+1, \dots, j\}$. Throughout this paper, R is a commutative ring of characteristic not equal to 2, \mathbb{Q} is the field of rational numbers, n and r are integers and $n \geq 2, r \geq 1$. Let q, v be indeterminates, $q = v^2$.

2. PRELIMINARIES IN THE HECKE-CLIFFORD SUPERALGEBRA

We shall establish a number of relations and commutation formulas in $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$ which will be useful to compute the multiplication formulas in the queer q -Schur superalgebra (i.e., the quantum queer Schur superalgebra).

The Clifford superalgebra \mathcal{C}_r is an associative superalgebra over R defined by odd generators c_1, \dots, c_r and relations: for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$,

$$c_i^2 = -1, \quad c_i c_j = -c_j c_i \quad (i \neq j). \quad (2.0.1)$$

Let \mathfrak{S}_r be the symmetric group on r letters, with generators $s_i = (i, i+1)$ for all $1 \leq i < r$. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}$ associated with \mathfrak{S}_r and $q \in R^\times$ is an algebra over R generated by $T_i = T_{s_i}$ for all $1 \leq i < r$, subject to the relations: for $1 \leq i, j \leq r-1$,

$$(T_i - q)(T_i + 1) = 0, \quad T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad (|i - j| > 1), \quad T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1} \quad (i \neq r-1). \quad (2.0.2)$$

The *Hecke-Clifford superalgebra* $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$ is a superalgebra over R generated by even generators T_1, \dots, T_{r-1} and odd generators c_1, \dots, c_r , subject to the relations (2.0.1)-(2.0.2) and the extra relations: for $1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq r$,

$$T_i c_j = c_j T_i \quad (j \neq i, i+1), \quad T_i c_i = c_{i+1} T_i, \quad T_i c_{i+1} = c_i T_i - (q-1)(c_i - c_{i+1}). \quad (2.0.3)$$

The following relations can be deduced directly from (2.0.1)-(2.0.3) and will be frequently used in the sequel: for any $1 \leq k \leq r-1$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda(n, r)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad qT_k^{-1} &= T_k - (q-1), & (2) \quad x_\alpha T_k^{-1} &= q^{-1} x_\alpha \quad \text{if } s_k \in \mathfrak{S}_\alpha, \\ (3) \quad T_k c_{k+1} &= q c_k T_k^{-1} + (q-1) c_{k+1}, & (4) \quad c_k T_k &= q T_k^{-1} c_{k+1} + (q-1) c_k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.0.4)$$

For $w \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r$, let

$$T_w = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_k}, \quad c^\alpha = c_1^{\alpha_1} c_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots c_r^{\alpha_r}.$$

Here $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ is any reduced expression of w . Then, by [DW1, Lemma 2.2], both $\{T_w c^\alpha \mid w \in \mathfrak{S}_r, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r\}$ and $\{c^\alpha T_w \mid w \in \mathfrak{S}_r, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r\}$ form R -bases for $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$.

Define the set of compositions of r in n parts:

$$\Lambda(n, r) = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid |\lambda| := \sum_i \lambda_i = r\}. \quad (2.0.5)$$

Associated with $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Lambda(n, r)$, define its *partial sum sequence* $\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_n = r$, where

$$\tilde{\lambda}_i = \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_k \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \quad \text{and set } \tilde{\lambda}_0 = 0. \quad (2.0.6)$$

Denote by \mathfrak{S}_λ the standard Young subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_r corresponding to λ , and let

$$x_\lambda = x_{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda} = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda} T_w. \quad (2.0.7)$$

It is known that for any T_i satisfying $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$, we have

$$T_i x_\lambda = x_\lambda T_i = q x_\lambda. \quad (2.0.8)$$

Denote by $M_n(\mathbb{N})$ the set of $n \times n$ -matrices with entries being non-negative integers. For any $A = (a_{i,j}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$, let

$$\nu_A := (a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{n,1}, a_{1,2}, \dots, a_{n,2}, \dots, a_{1,n}, \dots, a_{n,n}) = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{n^2}). \quad (2.0.9)$$

If $\nu_k = a_{i,j}$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, then we write the partial sum $\tilde{\nu}_k$ as

$$\tilde{\nu}_k = \tilde{a}_{i,j} := \sum_{p=1}^{j-1} \sum_{u=1}^n a_{u,p} + \sum_{u=1}^i a_{u,j}. \quad (2.0.10)$$

For convenience of later use (see (2.5.3) and Definition 2.6), we also set

$$\tilde{a}_{0,1} = 0 \text{ and } \tilde{a}_{0,j} = \tilde{a}_{n,j-1} \ (j \geq 2). \quad (2.0.11)$$

For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r)$, let \mathcal{D}_λ be the shortest representatives of right cosets of \mathfrak{S}_λ in \mathfrak{S} , let $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}$ denote the shortest representatives of the \mathfrak{S}_λ - \mathfrak{S}_μ double cosets of \mathfrak{S}_r .

Let $M_n(\mathbb{N})_r = \{A = (a_{i,j}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}) \mid r = |A|\}$, where $|A| := \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}$. According to [DDPW, Section 4.2], there is a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} j : M_n(\mathbb{N})_r &\rightarrow \{(\lambda, d, \mu) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r), d \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}\} \\ A &\mapsto (\text{ro}(A), d_A, \text{co}(A)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.0.12)$$

Here, for $A = (a_{i,j})$, if $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$ and $\mu = \text{co}(A)$, then $\lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}$ and $\mu_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,j}$. Call $\text{ro}(A)$ (resp., $\text{co}(A)$) the *row sum* (resp., *column sum*) vector of A . Moreover, the shortest double coset representative d_A has a reduced expression as follows according to [DGZ, Lemma 3.2]:

$$d_A = (w_{2,1}w_{3,1} \cdots w_{n,1})(w_{2,2}w_{3,2} \cdots w_{n,2}) \cdots (w_{2,n-1}w_{3,n-1} \cdots w_{n,n-1}), \quad (2.0.13)$$

where $w_{i,j}$ is defined by

$$w_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a_{i,j} = 0, \text{ or } a_{i,j} > 0 \text{ and } \sigma_{i-1,j} = \tilde{a}_{i-1,j}, \\ (s_{\sigma_{i-1,j}} s_{\sigma_{i-1,j}-1} \cdots s_{\tilde{a}_{i-1,j}+1}) \cdots (s_{\sigma_{i-1,j}+a_{i,j}-1} s_{\sigma_{i-1,j}+a_{i,j}-2} \cdots s_{\tilde{a}_{i,j}}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.0.14)$$

Here, for $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$ and $\mu = \text{co}(A)$,

$$\sigma_{i,j} := \sum_{p=1}^{j-1} \sum_{u=1}^n a_{u,p} + \sum_{u \leq i, p \geq j} a_{u,p} = \tilde{\mu}_{j-1} + \sum_{u \leq i, p \geq j} a_{u,p} = \tilde{\lambda}_i + \sum_{u > i, p < j} a_{u,p}. \quad (2.0.15)$$

Observe from (2.0.10) that the following useful fact holds when computing d_A :

$$\sigma_{i,j} - \tilde{a}_{i,j} = \sum_{u \leq i, p \geq j+1} a_{u,p}. \quad (2.0.16)$$

Remark 2.1. By definition, $d_A = 1 \iff \sigma_{i-1,j} - \tilde{a}_{i-1,j} = 0$ for all $a_{i,j} > 0$ with $2 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \iff \sum_{u \leq i-1, p \geq j+1} a_{u,p} = 0$ for all $a_{i,j} > 0$ with $2 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$ and $1 \leq h \leq n-1, 1 \leq k \leq n$, denote

$$A_{h,k}^+ = A + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k} \in M_n(\mathbb{N}), \quad A_{h,k}^- = A - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k} \in M_n(\mathbb{N}), \quad (2.1.1)$$

where $E_{i,j}$ denotes the matrix with entry 1 at (i, j) and 0 at other entries.

Given $A = (a_{i,j}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$, define the partial row sums:

$$\begin{aligned} \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k &= \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k(A), \text{ where } \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^1 = 0, \quad \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k = \sum_{u=1}^{k-1} a_{h,u} \ (2 \leq k \leq n), \quad \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^{n+1} = \text{ro}(A)_h; \\ \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k &= \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k(A), \text{ where } \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^0 = \text{ro}(A)_h, \quad \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k = \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_{h,j} \ (1 \leq k < n), \quad \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^n = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.2)$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $A = (a_{i,j}) = M_n(\mathbb{N})$, $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$. Keep the above notations.

(1) For each $1 \leq h \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $a_{h+1,k} > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j=\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1}-1}^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1}-1} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+2}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+j}} T_{d_A} = T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} \left(\sum_{j=\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1}}^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1}-1} T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k+1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k+p_j}} \right).$$

(2) For each $1 \leq h \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $a_{h,k} > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^{k-1}-1}^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^{k-1}-1} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-j}} T_{d_A} = T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} T_{d_{A_{i,k}^-}} \left(\sum_{j=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k}^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^{k-1}-1} T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k-1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k-q_j}} \right).$$

Here $p_j = j - \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k$ and $q_j = j - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k$. (Note that, in case $j = 0$, we set $T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+j}} = 1$ and $T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-j}} = 1$).

Proof. We only prove (1), and the proof of (2) is analogous. For each $j \in [\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k, \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1} - 1]$ and $p_j = j - \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k$, according to [DGZ, Proposition 3.6(1)], we have

$$s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+2}} \cdots s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+j}} d_A = s_{\tilde{\lambda}_h} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}} \cdots s_{\tilde{\lambda}_h - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} d_{A_{h,k}^+} s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k+1}} \cdots s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k+p_j}}.$$

Length additivity of both sides gives

$$T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+2}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+j}} T_{d_A} = T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k+1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k+p_j}}.$$

Hence, (1) follows. \square

For any $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r-1$, define the following elements in $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{(i,j)}^\triangleleft &= 1 + T_i + T_i T_{i+1} + \cdots + T_i T_{i+1} \cdots T_j, & T_{(i,i-1)}^\triangleleft &= 1; \\ T_{(j,i)}^\triangleright &= 1 + T_j + T_j T_{j-1} + \cdots + T_j T_{j-1} \cdots T_i, & T_{(i-1,i)}^\triangleright &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.1)$$

The notation $T_{(i,j)}^\triangleleft$ will be frequently used later on in this paper.

Lemma 2.3. For $h \in [1, n-1]$, $k \in [1, n]$, $A = (a_{i,j}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$ and $\mu = \text{co}(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad T_{(\tilde{a}_{h,k+1}, \tilde{a}_{h,k} + a_{h+1,k} - 1)}^\triangleleft \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma &= T_{(\tilde{a}_{h,k}, \tilde{a}_{h,k} - a_{h,k} + 1)}^\triangleright \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_{A_{h,k}^+}} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma, \text{ if } a_{h+1,k} \geq 1; \\ (2) \quad T_{(\tilde{a}_{h,k}-1, \tilde{a}_{h,k} - a_{h,k} + 1)}^\triangleright \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma &= T_{(\tilde{a}_{h,k}, \tilde{a}_{h,k} + a_{h+1,k} - 1)}^\triangleleft \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_{A_{h,k}^-}} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma, \text{ if } a_{h,k} \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [GLL, Proposition 3.4], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 + s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k+1}} + s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k+1}} s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k+2}} \cdots + s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k+1}} \cdots s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k} + a_{h+1,k} - 1}) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} \sigma \\ &= (1 + s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}} + s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}} s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}-1} + \cdots + s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}} \cdots s_{\tilde{a}_{h,k} - a_{h,k} + 1}) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_{A_{h,k}^+}} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

As the expressions of the summands are all reduced, then (1) is correct. Equation (2) could be proved by replacing A with $A_{h,k}^-$. \square

Lemma 2.4. For $\alpha \in \Lambda(n, r)$, $1 \leq u < r$, and $j \geq 0$, assume $s_{u+1}, \dots, s_{u+j} \in \mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ if $j \geq 1$.

(1) If $s_u \in \mathfrak{S}_\alpha$, then $x_\alpha T_{(u,u+j)}^\triangleleft = x_\alpha T_{(u+j,u)}^\triangleright = \llbracket j+2 \rrbracket_q x_\alpha$.

(2) The following holds:

$$x_\alpha T_u^{-1} T_{u+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{u+j}^{-1} = q^{-1-j} x_\alpha T_u T_{u+1} \cdots T_{u+j} - q^{-j-1} (q-1) x_\alpha T_{(u,u+j-1)}^\triangleleft.$$

Proof. Assertion (1) is clear by (2.0.8), while assertion (2) can be proved by induction on j , noting (2.0.4)(1). \square

Following [DW2, Section 4], we introduce the following elements in $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$: for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{q,i,j} &= q^{j-i}c_i + \cdots + qc_{j-1} + c_j \quad \text{for } i \leq j, & c_{q,i,j} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i > j; \\ c'_{q,i,j} &= c_i + qc_{i+1} + \cdots + q^{j-i}c_j \quad \text{for } i \leq j, & c'_{q,i,j} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i > j;. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4.1)$$

Lemma 2.5. *For $\alpha \in \Lambda(n, r)$, $1 \leq u < r$, and $j \geq 0$, assume $s_{u+1}, \dots, s_{u+j} \in \mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ if $j \geq 1$.*

(1) *For $j \geq 0$, we have in $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$*

$$x_\alpha c_u T_u T_{u+1} \cdots T_{u+j} = (q-1)q^j x_\alpha \sum_{k=0}^j T^{-1}(u, k) c_{u+k} + q^{j+1} x_\alpha T^{-1}(u, j+1) c_{u+j+1},$$

$$\text{where } T^{-1}(u, k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0; \\ T_u^{-1} T_{u+1}^{-1} T_{u+2}^{-1} \cdots T_{u+k-1}^{-1}, & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

(2) *Further, we have*

$$x_\alpha c_u T_{(u,u+j)}^\triangleleft = q^{j+1} x_\alpha (c_u + T_u^{-1} c_{u+1} + T_u^{-1} T_{u+1}^{-1} c_{u+2} + \cdots + T_u^{-1} T_{u+1}^{-1} T_{u+2}^{-1} \cdots T_{u+j}^{-1} c_{u+j+1}).$$

Specially, if $s_u \in \mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ in the same time, then $x_\alpha c_u T_{(u,u+j)}^\triangleleft = x_\alpha c_{q,u,u+j+1}$.

(3) *If $s_u, s_{u-1}, \dots, s_{u-j} \in \mathfrak{S}_\alpha$, then $x_\alpha c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-j)}^\triangleright = x_\alpha c_{q,u-j,u+1}$.*

(4) *For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$, $(c_{q,i,j})^2 = -\llbracket j-i+1 \rrbracket_{q^2}$.*

Proof. We first prove (1). Repeatedly applying (2.0.4)(4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha c_u T_u T_{u+1} \cdots T_{u+j} &= x_\alpha (q T_u^{-1} c_{u+1} + (q-1) c_u) T_{u+1} \cdots T_{u+j} \\ &= q x_\alpha T_u^{-1} c_{u+1} T_{u+1} \cdots T_{u+j} + (q-1) q^j x_\alpha c_u \\ &= q x_\alpha T_u^{-1} (q T_{u+1}^{-1} c_{u+2} + (q-1) c_{u+1}) T_{u+2} \cdots T_{u+j} + (q-1) q^j x_\alpha c_u \\ &= q^2 x_\alpha T_u^{-1} T_{u+1}^{-1} c_{u+2} T_{u+2} \cdots T_{u+j} + (q-1) q^j x_\alpha (c_u + T_u^{-1} c_{u+1}) \\ &= \cdots \\ &= q^{j+1} x_\alpha T_u^{-1} T_{u+1}^{-1} T_{u+2}^{-1} \cdots T_{u+j}^{-1} c_{u+j+1} \\ &\quad + (q-1) q^j x_\alpha (c_u + T_u^{-1} c_{u+1} + T_u^{-1} T_{u+1}^{-1} c_{u+2} + \cdots + T_u^{-1} T_{u+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{u+j-1}^{-1} c_{u+j}), \end{aligned}$$

proving (1). Now, by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha c_u T_{(u,u+j)}^\triangleleft &= x_\alpha c_u + \sum_{i=0}^j x_\alpha c_u T_u \cdots T_{u+i} \\ &= x_\alpha c_u + \sum_{i=0}^j ((q-1) q^i x_\alpha \sum_{k=0}^i T^{-1}(u, k) c_{u+k} + q^{i+1} x_\alpha T^{-1}(u, i+1) c_{u+i+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^j (q^k + \sum_{i=k}^j (q-1) q^i) x_\alpha T^{-1}(u, k) c_{u+k} + q^{j+1} x_\alpha T^{-1}(u, j+1) c_{u+j+1} \\ &= q^{j+1} x_\alpha (c_u + T_u^{-1} c_{u+1} + T_u^{-1} T_{u+1}^{-1} c_{u+2} + \cdots + T_u^{-1} T_{u+1}^{-1} T_{u+2}^{-1} \cdots T_{u+j}^{-1} c_{u+j+1}), \end{aligned}$$

since the telescoping sum $q^k + \sum_{i=k}^j (q-1) q^i = q^{j+1}$. Hence (2) holds.

Thirdly, $s_{u-i} \in \mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ implies $x_\alpha T_{u-i} = q x_\alpha$ for $0 \leq i \leq j$. Thus, by (2.0.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-j)}^\triangleright &= x_\alpha c_{u+1} (1 + T_u + T_u T_{u-1} + \cdots + T_u T_{u-1} \cdots T_{u-j}) \\ &= x_\alpha (c_{u+1} + T_u c_u + T_u T_{u-1} c_{u-1} + \cdots + T_u T_{u-1} \cdots T_{u-j} c_{u-j}) \\ &= x_\alpha (c_{u+1} + q c_u + \cdots + q^{j+1} c_{u-j}) = x_\alpha c_{q,u-j,u+1}, \end{aligned}$$

proving (3).

Finally, (4) is straightforward by an induction. \square

Let $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$. We recall the following matrices introduced in [DW2]:

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2) &= \{A = (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}}) \mid A^{\bar{0}} \in M_n(\mathbb{N}), A^{\bar{1}} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)\}, \\ M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r &= \{A = (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2) \mid A^{\bar{0}} + A^{\bar{1}} \in M_n(\mathbb{N})_r\}. \end{aligned}$$

We use O to denote the zero matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{N})$ or $M_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and set $O = (O|O) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$. For any $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}|a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$ with $A^{\bar{0}} = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}})$, $A^{\bar{1}} = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}})$, let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,j} &:= a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} + a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}, \quad \widehat{A} := (a_{i,j}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}), \quad |A| := |\widehat{A}|, \\ \overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_h^k(A) &= \overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_h^k(\widehat{A}), \quad \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}_h^k(A) = \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}_h^k(\widehat{A}), \quad A_{h,k}^+ := \widehat{A}_{h,k}^+, \quad A_{h,k}^- := \widehat{A}_{h,k}^- \end{aligned} \quad (2.5.1)$$

for all admissible i, j, h, k . Applying the notations introduced in Lemma 2.2, we set

$$\text{ro}(A) := \text{ro}(\widehat{A}), \quad \text{co}(A) := \text{co}(\widehat{A}), \quad \nu_A := \nu_{\widehat{A}}, \quad d_A := d_{\widehat{A}}, \quad p(A) \equiv |A^{\bar{1}}| \pmod{2}. \quad (2.5.2)$$

Here the definition of the parity function p will be justified in (3.0.4) below.

Recall from (2.0.12) the shortest double coset representative d_A . This element has a reduced expression given in (2.0.13). As a permutation, d_A is given in [Du, Page 424] (or [DDPW, Exercise 8.2]). More precisely, for $A = (a_{i,j}) \in M(n, r)$ with $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$, the description of the pseudo-matrix associated with A in loc. cit. can be easily interpreted in terms of the notations here as follow:

$$d_A(\tilde{a}_{h-1,k} + p) = \tilde{\lambda}_{h-1} + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_h^k + p, \quad (2.5.3)$$

for all $h, k \in [1, n]$ with $a_{h,k} > 0$ and $p \in [1, a_{h,k}]$.

In other words, d_A is the permutation obtained by concatenating the permutations

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{a}_{i-1,j} + 1 & \tilde{a}_{i-1,j} + 2 & \cdots & \tilde{a}_{i,j} \\ \lambda_{i-1} + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_i^j + 1 & \lambda_{i-1} + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_i^j + 2 & \cdots & \lambda_{i-1} + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_i^j + a_{i,j} \end{array} \right)$$

for all $a_{i,j} > 0$ via the ordering from top to bottom of column 1, then top to bottom of column 2, and so on.

Thus, if $\mathfrak{H}_r^c = \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c|_{q=1}$ denotes the Sergeev algebra, regarded as the “semi-direct (or smash) product” $\mathcal{C}_r \rtimes R\mathfrak{S}_r$, then $c_i w = w c_{w^{-1}(i)}$ in \mathfrak{H}_r^c and, for $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_h^k = \overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_h^k(\widehat{A})$ and $\lambda = \text{ro}(\widehat{A})$,

$$c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1} + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_h^k + p} d_A = d_A c_{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k} + p}$$

for all $1 \leq h, k \leq n$ with $a_{h,k} > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq a_{h,k}$. However, if we replace d_A by T_{d_A} , this commutation formula may not hold in the Hecke-Clifford superalgebra $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$. So we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.6. For $A = (a_{i,j}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$, or $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}|a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$ with $a_{h,k} = a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} + a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}$, let $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$, $r = |A|$ and $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_h^k = \overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_h^k(A)$, if $a_{h,k} > 0$ and

$$c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1} + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{F}}_h^k + p} T_{d_A} = T_{d_A} c_{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k} + p} \quad (\text{in } \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c)$$

for each $p \in [1, a_{h,k}]$, then A is said to satisfy the *semi-direct product (SDP) condition* at (h, k) . If A satisfies the SDP condition at (h, k) for every $k \in [1, n]$ with $a_{h,k} \geq 1$, then A is said to satisfy the SDP condition on the h -th row.

There are two “extreme” cases where the SDP condition is satisfied.

Lemma 2.7. Let $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}|a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$. If $d_A = 1$, then, for any $h, k \in [1, n]$ satisfying $a_{h,k} > 0$, A satisfies the SDP condition at (h, k) .

Proof. Suppose $d_A = 1$. Then by (2.0.13) and (2.0.16) we have the following observation which will be helpful later in the proof: for any $i \in [2, n]$, $j \in [1, n-1]$ satisfying $a_{i,j} > 0$, Remark 2.1 implies $\sigma_{i-1,j} - \tilde{a}_{i-1,j} = \sum_{u \leq i-1, x \geq j+1} a_{u,x} = 0$, and hence $a_{u,x} = 0$ for any $u \in [1, i-1]$, $x \in [j+1, n]$. Conversely, for any $u \in [1, n-1]$, $x \in [2, n]$ satisfying $a_{u,x} > 0$, we have $a_{i,j} = 0$

for all $i \in [u+1, n]$, $j \in [1, x-1]$, otherwise one can obtain $w_{i,j} \neq 1$ and hence $d_A \neq 1$, which contradicts to the assumption $d_A = 1$.

Set $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$. Fix $h, k \in [1, n]$ with $a_{h,k} > 0$ and let $p \in [0, a_{h,k} - 1]$. Then a direct calculation using (2.0.6), (2.1.2) and (2.0.10) shows

$$\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1} + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + p + 1 \right) - \left(\tilde{a}_{h-1,k} + p + 1 \right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq h-1 \\ k+1 \leq j \leq n}} a_{i,j} - \sum_{\substack{h+1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq k-1}} a_{i,j}.$$

Therefore by Definition 2.6 it suffices to prove

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq h-1 \\ k+1 \leq j \leq n}} a_{i,j} - \sum_{\substack{h+1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq k-1}} a_{i,j} = 0. \quad (2.7.1)$$

To show this holds, we need to consider the following five cases:

- (1) If $h = k = 1$ or $h = k = n$, we have $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq h-1 \\ k+1 \leq j \leq n}} a_{i,j} = \sum_{\substack{h+1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq k-1}} a_{i,j} = 0$.
- (2) If $h, k \in [2, n-1]$, then by the above observation $a_{h,k} > 0$ implies $a_{i,j} = 0$ for any $i \in [1, h-1]$ and $j \in [k+1, n]$, $a_{i,j} = 0$ for all $i \in [h+1, n]$ and $j \in [1, k-1]$, hence (2.7.1) holds.
- (3) If $h = 1$ and $k \in [2, n]$, by (2.0.13) and (2.0.16) we have $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq h-1 \\ k+1 \leq j \leq n}} a_{i,j} = 0$. Meanwhile by the above observation $a_{1,k} > 0$ implies $a_{i,j} = 0$ for all $i \in [2, n]$, $j \in [1, k-1]$, hence $\sum_{\substack{h+1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq k-1}} a_{i,j} = \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq k-1}} a_{i,j} = 0$. Then (2.7.1) is proved for $h = 1$ and $k \in [1, n]$.
- (4) If $h \in [2, n]$ and $k = 1$, or $h = n$ and $k \in [2, n-1]$, by (2.0.13) and (2.0.16) we have $\sum_{\substack{h+1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq k-1}} a_{i,j} = 0$. On the other hand, by the above observation $a_{h,k} > 0$ implies $a_{i,j} = 0$ for any $i \in [1, h-1]$, $j \in [k+1, n]$ and $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq h-1 \\ k+1 \leq j \leq n}} a_{i,j} = 0$. Then we conclude (2.7.1) holds in this case.
- (5) If $h \in [2, n-1]$ and $k = n$, by (2.0.13) and (2.0.16) we have $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq h-1 \\ k+1 \leq j \leq n}} a_{i,j} = 0$. On the other hand, by the above observation $a_{h,k} > 0$ implies $a_{i,j} = 0$ for all $i \in [h+1, n]$, $j \in [1, n-1]$, hence (2.7.1) holds.

□

Example 2.8. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ for some $r > 0$. If $A = (a_{i,j}) = \text{diag}(\lambda)$, or $\text{diag}(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} uE_{i,i+1}$, or $\text{diag}(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} uE_{i+1,i}$, with all $u \in \mathbb{N}$, then $d_A = 1$, and A satisfies the SDP condition at (h, k) for any h, k satisfying $a_{h,k} > 0$,

Lemma 2.9. Every $A = (a_{i,j}^0 | a_{i,j}^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)_r$ satisfies the SDP condition on the n -th row.

Proof. Suppose $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $1 \leq p < a_{n,k}$. By (2.0.15) we observe that $\tilde{\lambda}_{n-1} + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_n^k + p + 1 > \sigma_{i-1,j} + a_{i,j}$ for any $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, or $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $j = k$. Therefore by (2.0.14) we obtain that $c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{n-1} + \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_n^k + p + 1}$ commutes with $T_{w_{ij}}$ for each pair of i, j satisfying $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, or $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $j = k$. Meanwhile direct calculation show via (2.0.3) that the following holds

$$c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{n-1} + \sum_{u=1}^{k-1} a_{n,u} + p + 1} T_{w_{n,k}} = T_{w_{n,k}} c_{\tilde{a}_{n-1,k} + p + 1}.$$

Furthermore, observe that

$$\tilde{a}_{n-1,k} + p + 1 < \tilde{a}_{i-1,j} + 1$$

for all $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $k+1 \leq j \leq n-1$, which implies that $c_{\tilde{a}_{n-1,k} + p + 1}$ commutes with $T_{w_{i,j}}$ for each pair of i, j satisfying $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $k+1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Putting together the lemma is proved by (2.0.13). □

Remark 2.10. The SDP condition is the key to derive some multiplication formulas in Section 5. It would be interesting to know which matrices satisfying the SDP condition. More examples of such matrices are given in Lemma 8.3 and Corollary 8.4.

3. QUEER q -SCHUR SUPERALEBRAS AND QUANTUM QUEER SUPERGROUPS

Given R -free supermodule² V, W , let $\text{Hom}_R(V, W)$ be the set of all R -linear maps from V to W . We make $\text{Hom}_R(V, W)$ into an R -free supermodule by declaring $\text{Hom}_R(V, W)_{\bar{i}}$ to be the set of homogeneous map of parity $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, that is, the linear maps $\theta : V \rightarrow W$ with $\theta(V_{\bar{j}}) \subset W_{\bar{i}+\bar{j}}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Note it is typical in a superalgebra to write the expressions which only make sense for homogeneous elements, and the expected meaning for arbitrary elements is obtained by extending linearly from the homogeneous case.

For any homogeneous element $h \in \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$, let $p(h)$ be its parity, more precisely, $p(h) = 0$ if h is even, or $p(h) = 1$ if h is odd. Following [DW2], the *queer q -Schur superalgebra* over R is defined to be

$$\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c \right), \quad \mathcal{Q}_q(n, r) = \mathcal{Q}_{v^2}(n, r; \mathcal{Z}). \quad (3.0.1)$$

Here we view $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$ as a right $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$ -module and homomorphisms are $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$ -module homomorphisms, i.e., every R -linear $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ satisfies $\phi(mh) = \phi(m)h$ for all $m \in M$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$.

We now describe a standard basis for $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with $m \geq 1$, recall (2.4.1) and the following elements introduced in [DW2, Section 4]

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda^\alpha &= (c_{q,1,\tilde{\lambda}_1})^{\alpha_1} (c_{q,\tilde{\lambda}_1+1,\tilde{\lambda}_2})^{\alpha_2} \cdots (c_{q,\tilde{\lambda}_{m-1}+1,\tilde{\lambda}_m})^{\alpha_m}, \\ (c_\lambda^\alpha)' &= (c'_{q,1,\tilde{\lambda}_1})^{\alpha_1} (c'_{q,\tilde{\lambda}_1+1,\tilde{\lambda}_2})^{\alpha_2} \cdots (c'_{q,\tilde{\lambda}_{m-1}+1,\tilde{\lambda}_m})^{\alpha_m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.0.2)$$

Here we use the convention $0^0 = 1$. By [DW2, Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.3], we have, for all $\alpha \leq \lambda$ (meaning $\alpha_i \leq \lambda_i$ for any i),

$$x_\lambda c_\lambda^\alpha = (c_\lambda^\alpha)' x_\lambda. \quad (3.0.3)$$

For $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$, recall the notations in (2.5.1) and (2.5.2), and recall the partition $\nu = \nu_A = (a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{n,1}, \dots, a_{1,n}, \dots, a_{n,n})$ defined in (2.0.9). Let

$$c_A = c_\nu^\alpha, \quad c'_A = (c_\nu^\alpha)', \quad T_A = x_{\text{ro}(A)} T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(A)}} T_\sigma, \quad (3.0.4)$$

where $\alpha = \nu_{A^{\bar{1}}} := (a_{1,1}^{\bar{1}}, \dots, a_{n,1}^{\bar{1}}, \dots, a_{1,n}^{\bar{1}}, \dots, a_{n,n}^{\bar{1}})$. Note $p(T_A) = p(A)$.

By [DW2, Proposition 5.2], for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r)$, the intersection $x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c \cap \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c x_\mu$ is a free R -modules with basis $\{T_A \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r, \lambda = \text{ro}(A), \mu = \text{co}(A)\}$. Thus, we have the following.

Proposition 3.1 ([DW2, Theorem 5.3]). *The queer q -Schur superalgebra $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ is a free R -module with basis $\{\phi_A \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r\}$, where ϕ_A is defined by*

$$\phi_A : \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c, \quad x_\mu h \longmapsto \delta_{\mu, \text{co}(A)} T_A h, \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda(n, r), h \in \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c,$$

and ϕ_A has parity $p(A)$.

We have, for $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$,

$$\phi_B \phi_A \neq 0 \implies \text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(A). \quad (3.1.1)$$

For the convenience of later use, we now replace “module homomorphisms” by “supermodule homomorphisms” in the definition of queer q -Schur superalgebras to introduce a twisted version of $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$.

Following [CW, Definition 1.1]), we define, for the right $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$ -modules M, N , $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c}^s(M, N)$ to be the R -supermodule generated by all homogeneous R -linear maps $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ satisfying

$$\Phi(mh) = (-1)^{p(\Phi)p(h)} \Phi(m)h \quad (3.1.2)$$

²By an R -free supermodule, we mean an R -free module with a basis consisting of homogeneous elements.

for all homogeneous $m \in M$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$. In particular, for $M = N = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$, let $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c}^s(M) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c}^s(M, M)$. One checks easily that, if $\Phi \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c}^s(M)_{\bar{i}}$ and $\Psi \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c}^s(M)_{\bar{j}}$, then $\Psi\Phi \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c}^s(M)_{\bar{i}+\bar{j}}$. Thus, we obtain a new (associative) superalgebra

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c}^s \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c \right) = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n,r)} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c}^s(x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c, x_\mu \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c). \quad (3.1.3)$$

This is called the *twisted queer q-Schur superalgebra*.

In the next two results, we will see that this twisted version is linearly isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ and if in addition $\sqrt{-1} \in R$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ as R -superalgebras.

Proposition 3.2. *The twisted queer q-Schur superalgebra $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$ is R -free with basis $\{\Phi_A \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r\}$, where Φ_A is defined by*

$$\Phi_A : \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda(n,r)} x_\mu \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda(n,r)} x_\mu \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c, \quad x_\mu h \longmapsto (-1)^{p(A) \cdot p(h)} \delta_{\mu, \text{co}(A)} T_A \cdot h, \quad (3.2.1)$$

Moreover, for any $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$ with $\text{co}(A) = \text{ro}(B)$, if $\phi_B \phi_A = \sum_M \gamma_{B,A}^M \phi_M$ ($\gamma_{B,A}^M \in R$), then

$$\Phi_B \Phi_A = (-1)^{p(A) \cdot p(B)} \sum_M \gamma_{B,A}^M \Phi_M. \quad (3.2.2)$$

Proof. The freeness follows easily from the linear isomorphism

$$(\)^\dagger : \mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R) \quad (3.2.3)$$

as defined in [CW, (1.1)] or, more precisely, for a homogeneous $\phi \in \mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ of parity $p(\phi)$ and $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$, $\phi^\dagger : M \rightarrow M$ is defined by

$$\phi^\dagger(m) = (-1)^{p(\phi) \cdot p(m)} \phi(m) \quad (3.2.4)$$

for homogeneous $m \in M$. It is straightforward to check $\phi^\dagger \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$ and $\dagger\dagger = 1$. The basis assertion is clear by setting $\Phi_A = \phi_A^\dagger$ for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$.

Finally, if we write $T_A = x_{\text{ro}(A)} h'$, where $h' = T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(A)}} T_\sigma$ (see (3.0.4)), then h' is homogeneous with parity $p(h') = p(A)$ and the structure constants $\gamma_{B,A}^M$ appearing in $\phi_B \phi_A = \sum_M \gamma_{B,A}^M \phi_M$ are determined by writing $T_B h' \in x_{\text{ro}(B)} \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c \cap \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c x_{\text{co}(A)}$ as a linear combination of T_M 's. In other words,

$$z(B, A) := \phi_B \phi_A(x_{\text{co}(A)}) = T_B h' = \sum_{M \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r} \gamma_{B,A}^M T_M, \quad (3.2.5)$$

and for each M , we have $p(M) = p(A) + p(B)$.

Thus, for any homogeneous element $h \in \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$ and $\mu \in \Lambda(n, r)$, by (3.2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_B \Phi_A(x_\mu h) &= \delta_{\mu, \text{co}(A)} (-1)^{p(A) \cdot p(h)} (-1)^{p(B) \cdot (p(A) + p(h))} \phi_B(T_A h) \\ &= \delta_{\mu, \text{co}(A)} (-1)^{p(A) \cdot p(h)} (-1)^{p(B) \cdot (p(A) + p(h))} \sum_M \gamma_{B,A}^M T_M \cdot h \\ &= \delta_{\mu, \text{co}(A)} (-1)^{p(B) \cdot p(A)} \sum_M (-1)^{p(M) \cdot p(h)} \gamma_{B,A}^M \phi_M(x_\mu) \cdot h \\ &= \delta_{\mu, \text{co}(A)} (-1)^{p(A) \cdot p(B)} \sum_M \gamma_{B,A}^M \Phi_M(x_\mu h), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Corollary 3.3. *If $\sqrt{-1} \in R$, then the R -linear isomorphism $(\)^\dagger$ in (3.2.3) induces an isomorphism of R -superalgebras*

$$\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R), \quad \phi_A \longmapsto (\sqrt{-1})^{p(A)} \Phi_A, \quad \forall A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r.$$

Proof. Clearly, \mathfrak{f} is an R -linear isomorphism. It remains to check $\mathfrak{f}(\phi_A \phi_B) = \mathfrak{f}(\phi_A)\mathfrak{f}(\phi_B)$ for all $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$. By writing $\phi_B \phi_A = \sum_M \gamma_{B,A}^M \phi_M$, as in Lemma 3.2, this can be easily proved by the following facts:

(a) $(\sqrt{-1})^{p(A)}(\sqrt{-1})^{p(B)}(-1)^{p(A)p(B)} = (\sqrt{-1})^{p(\phi_A \phi_B)}$;

(b) If $\phi_B \phi_A = \sum_M \gamma_{B,A}^M \phi_M$, as in Lemma 3.2, then $p(\phi_B \phi_A) = p(\phi_M)$ for each M . \square

Remark 3.4. We will use these twisted queer q -Schur superalgebras $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$ to construct the quantum queer supergroup $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ in Section 8. If the queer q -Schur superalgebras $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ were used, then we would require the quantum queer supergroup $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ be defined over $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}](v)$.

We end this section with a display of generators and relations for queer q -Schur superalgebras via the quantum queer supergroup; see [DW1, Theorem 9.2].

In [Ol, Section 4], Olshanski introduced a quantum deformation $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ of the universal enveloping algebra of the queer Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{q}_n using a modification of the Reshetikhin-Takhtajan-Faddeev method. The following definition of the quantum queer supergroup $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ is taken from [DW1, Proposition 5.2]. Let $\{\epsilon_i \mid i \in [1, n]\}$ be the standard basis for \mathbb{Z}^n and define the “dot product” $\epsilon_i \cdot \epsilon_j = \delta_{i,j}$, linearly extended to \mathbb{Z}^n .

Definition 3.5. The quantum queer supergroup $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ is the (Hopf) superalgebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ generated by even generators K_i, K_i^{-1}, E_j, F_j , and odd generators $K_{\bar{i}}, E_{\bar{j}}, F_{\bar{j}}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{QQ1}) \quad & K_i K_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1} K_i = 1, \quad K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \quad K_i K_{\bar{j}} = K_{\bar{j}} K_i, \\
& K_{\bar{i}} K_{\bar{j}} + K_{\bar{j}} K_{\bar{i}} = 2\delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i^2 - K_i^{-2}}{v^2 - v^{-2}}; \\
(\text{QQ2}) \quad & K_i E_j = v^{\epsilon_i \cdot \alpha_j} E_j K_i, \quad K_i E_{\bar{j}} = v^{\epsilon_i \cdot \alpha_j} E_{\bar{j}} K_i, \\
& K_i F_j = v^{-(\epsilon_i \cdot \alpha_j)} F_j K_i, \quad K_i F_{\bar{j}} = v^{-(\epsilon_i \cdot \alpha_j)} F_{\bar{j}} K_i; \quad (\text{here } \alpha_j = \epsilon_j - \epsilon_{j+1}) \\
(\text{QQ3}) \quad & K_{\bar{i}} E_i - v E_i K_{\bar{i}} = E_i K_{\bar{i}}^{-1}, \quad v K_{\bar{i}} E_{i-1} - E_{i-1} K_{\bar{i}} = -K_{\bar{i}}^{-1} E_{i-1}, \\
& K_{\bar{i}} F_i - v F_i K_{\bar{i}} = -F_{\bar{i}} K_i, \quad v K_{\bar{i}} F_{i-1} - F_{i-1} K_{\bar{i}} = K_{\bar{i}} F_{i-1}, \\
& K_{\bar{i}} E_{\bar{i}} + v E_{\bar{i}} K_{\bar{i}} = E_{\bar{i}} K_{\bar{i}}^{-1}, \quad v K_{\bar{i}} E_{i-1} + E_{i-1} K_{\bar{i}} = K_{\bar{i}}^{-1} E_{i-1}, \\
& K_{\bar{i}} F_{\bar{i}} + v F_{\bar{i}} K_{\bar{i}} = F_{\bar{i}} K_i, \quad v K_{\bar{i}} F_{i-1} + F_{i-1} K_{\bar{i}} = K_{\bar{i}} F_{i-1}, \\
& K_{\bar{i}} E_j - E_j K_{\bar{i}} = K_{\bar{i}} F_j - F_j K_{\bar{i}} = K_{\bar{i}} E_{\bar{j}} + E_{\bar{j}} K_{\bar{i}} = K_{\bar{i}} F_{\bar{j}} + F_{\bar{j}} K_{\bar{i}} = 0, \quad \text{for } j \neq i, i-1; \\
(\text{QQ4}) \quad & E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i K_{i+1}^{-1} - K_i^{-1} K_{i+1}}{v - v^{-1}}, \\
& E_{\bar{i}} F_{\bar{j}} + F_{\bar{j}} E_{\bar{i}} = \delta_{i,j} \left(\frac{K_i K_{i+1} - K_i^{-1} K_{i+1}^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}} + (v - v^{-1}) K_{\bar{i}} K_{\bar{i}+1} \right), \\
& E_i F_{\bar{j}} - F_{\bar{j}} E_i = \delta_{i,j} (K_{i+1}^{-1} K_{\bar{i}} - K_{\bar{i}+1} K_i^{-1}), \quad E_{\bar{i}} F_j - F_j E_{\bar{i}} = \delta_{i,j} (K_{i+1} K_{\bar{i}} - K_{\bar{i}+1} K_i); \\
(\text{QQ5}) \quad & E_i^2 = -\frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}} E_i^2, \quad F_i^2 = \frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}} F_i^2, \\
& E_i E_{\bar{j}} - E_{\bar{j}} E_i = F_i F_{\bar{j}} - F_{\bar{j}} F_i = 0, \quad \text{for } |i - j| \neq 1, \\
& E_i E_j - E_j E_i = F_i F_j - F_j F_i = E_{\bar{i}} E_{\bar{j}} + E_{\bar{j}} E_{\bar{i}} = F_{\bar{i}} F_{\bar{j}} + F_{\bar{j}} F_{\bar{i}} = 0 \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1, \\
& E_i E_{i+1} - v E_{i+1} E_i = E_{\bar{i}} E_{\bar{i}+1} + v E_{\bar{i}+1} E_{\bar{i}}, \quad E_i E_{i+1} - v E_{i+1} E_i = E_{\bar{i}} E_{i+1} - v E_{i+1} E_{\bar{i}}, \\
& F_i F_{i+1} - v F_{i+1} F_i = -(F_{\bar{i}} F_{\bar{i}+1} + v F_{\bar{i}+1} F_{\bar{i}}), \quad F_i F_{i+1} - v F_{i+1} F_i = F_{\bar{i}} F_{i+1} - v F_{i+1} F_{\bar{i}}; \\
(\text{QQ6}) \quad & E_i^2 E_j - (v + v^{-1}) E_i E_j E_i + E_j E_i^2 = 0, \quad F_i^2 F_j - (v + v^{-1}) F_i F_j F_i + F_j F_i^2 = 0, \\
& E_i^2 E_{\bar{j}} - (v + v^{-1}) E_i E_{\bar{j}} E_i + E_{\bar{j}} E_i^2 = 0, \quad F_i^2 F_{\bar{j}} - (v + v^{-1}) F_i F_{\bar{j}} F_i + F_{\bar{j}} F_i^2 = 0, \\
& \text{where } |i - j| = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.6. Observe that the relations in (QQ3) imply

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\bar{j}} &= -vK_{j+1}K_{j+1}^{-1}E_j + v^{-1}E_jK_{j+1}K_{j+1}^{-1}, \\ F_{\bar{j}} &= vK_{j+1}^{-1}K_{j+1}F_j - v^{-1}F_jK_{j+1}^{-1}K_{j+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and the third relation in (QQ4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\bar{j}} &= E_jF_{\bar{j}}K_{j+1} - F_{\bar{j}}E_jK_{j+1} + K_j^{-1}K_{j+1}^{-1}K_{j+1} \\ &= E_jK_{j+1}^{-1}F_j - v^{-1}E_jF_jK_{j+1}^{-1} - vK_{j+1}^{-1}F_jE_j + F_jK_{j+1}^{-1}E_j + K_j^{-1}K_{j+1}^{-1}K_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by induction on j in descending order from $(n-1)$ to 1 , one can obtain all other odd generators in $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$. In other words, $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ can be generated by $\{E_j, F_j, K_i^{\pm 1}, K_{\bar{n}} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n-1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Moreover, as seen in [GJKKK] (cf., [DLZ]), one may use a subset of the relations (QQ1)–(QQ6) as the defining relations. We will see more details later in Section 8.

Following [Ol], there is a Schur-Weyl type duality between $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$ in the case $R = \mathbb{Q}(v)$ on the tensor space $V(n|n)^{\otimes r}$ with $V(n|n)$ being the superspace of both even and odd dimension n . Meanwhile by [DW1], the tensor space $V(n|n)^{\otimes r}$ can be identified with $\bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda(n,r)} x_\mu \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$ as $\mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$ -supermdoules. Olshanski proved that there exists an action of $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ on $V(n|n)^{\otimes r}$ satisfying (3.1.2) (which can be shown by a direct calculation even though it wasn't written down clearly in [Ol]) and hence there exists a surjective homomorphism $\eta_r : U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$.³ Then by [DW1, Theorem 9.2], we have the following presentation for $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r) := \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$ where $R = \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and $q = v^2$.

Proposition 3.7. *There is a superalgebra epimorphism $\eta_r : U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ such that $\ker(\eta_r)$ is generated by the elements*

$$K_1 \cdots K_n - v^r, \quad (K_i - 1)(K_i - v) \cdots (K_i - v^{r-1}), \quad K_{\bar{i}}(K_i - 1)(K_i - v) \cdots (K_i - v^{r-1}),$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Remark 3.8. The superalgebra $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ introduced in [DW2] by taking advantage of the tensor space is related to $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ in the way given in Corollary 3.3, so it is reasonable to identify them when considering representation theory over a field extension of the complex field as did in [DW2, Proposition 6.4, Page 337].

4. MULTIPLICATION FORMULAS IN $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ AND $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$: THE EVEN CASES

We compute, for any $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$, the structure constants that appear in $\phi_X \phi_A$, where X is one of the following six matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the even cases: } & (\lambda | O), \quad (\lambda + E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1} | O), \quad (\lambda - E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h} | O); \\ \text{the odd cases: } & (\lambda - E_{h,h} | E_{h,h}), \quad (\lambda - E_{h+1,h+1} | E_{h,h+1}), \quad (\lambda - E_{h,h} | E_{h+1,h}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.0.1}$$

Here $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq h \leq n-1$, and we regard any $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ as a diagonal matrix $\lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in $M_n(\mathbb{N})$ and write $\lambda + M := \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) + M$. In this section, we deal with the even case.

Convention 4.1. The terms ϕ_M appearing in $\phi_X \phi_A$ have the form $M = (A^{\bar{0}} \pm E | A^{\bar{1}} \pm E')$ for some $E \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$, $E' \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. If $M \notin M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$ (i.e., M has a negative entry or $A^{\bar{1}} \pm E'$ has an entry > 1), then we set ϕ_M to be 0. We make a similar convention for the elements T_M in (3.0.4) that defines ϕ_M .

The following notations will be used in the proofs of the multiplication formulas in this and next sections.

³It is worthwhile to clarify that the superalgebra defined in [DW1, (9.4)] is actually the twisted version $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ here even though the same term ‘‘quantum queer Schur superalgebra’’ was used therein.

Notation 4.2 (for the proofs in Sections 4 and 5). Let $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$. For fixed $1 \leq h, k \leq n$, we decompose the elements c_A, c'_A defined (3.0.4) as a product of three segments:

$$c_A = \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \cdot c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k} \cdot \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k}, \quad c'_A = (\overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k})' \cdot (c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k})' \cdot (\overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k})' \quad (4.2.1)$$

where $c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k} := c_{q,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1,\tilde{a}_{h,k}}^{a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}} \cdot c_{q,\tilde{a}_{h,k}+1,\tilde{a}_{h+1,k}}^{a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}}$, and $\overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k}$ (resp., $\overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k}$) are the segment of the product before (resp., after) $c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k}$. The decomposition for c'_A is similar.

Recall the notations in (2.5.1) and (2.5.2), and let $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k = \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k(A)$, $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k = \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k(A)$. Then $\text{ro}(A_{h,k}^+) = \lambda + \epsilon_h - \epsilon_{h+1} =: \lambda_h^+$, $\text{co}(A_{h,k}^-) = \lambda - \epsilon_h + \epsilon_{h+1} =: \lambda_h^-$, and $\text{co}(A_{h,k}^+) = \text{co}(A_{h,k}^-) = \text{co}(A)$. Let $\nu = \nu_A = (\cdots, a_{h,k}, a_{h+1,k}, \cdots)$ be as in (2.0.9) and let

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^+ &= \nu_{A_{h,k}^+} = (\cdots, a_{h,k} + 1, a_{h+1,k} - 1, \cdots), & \delta^+ &= (\cdots, a_{h,k}, 1, a_{h+1,k} - 1, \cdots), \\ \nu^- &= \nu_{A_{h,k}^-} = (\cdots, a_{h,k} - 1, a_{h+1,k} + 1, \cdots), & \delta^- &= (\cdots, a_{h,k} - 1, 1, a_{h+1,k}, \cdots). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.2)$$

Here the omitted components are identical. Clearly, $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta^\pm} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\nu^\pm}$. If \mathcal{D}_\pm denote the sets of the shortest representatives of the left cosets of $\mathfrak{S}_{\delta^\pm}$ in \mathfrak{S}_{ν^\pm} , then, putting $u = \tilde{a}_{h,k}$, $s = a_{h,k}$ and $t = a_{h+1,k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\nu^+} &= x_{\mathcal{D}^+} x_{\delta^+} = x_{\delta^+} T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright}, & x_{\nu^-} &= x_{\mathcal{D}^-} x_{\delta^-} = x_{\delta^-} T_{(u+1, u+t-1)}^{\triangleleft} = x_{\delta^-} T_{(u-1, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.3)$$

Let $\nu^+ = \nu_{A_{h,k}^+}$ and $\nu^- = \nu_{A_{h,k}^-}$ be defined as in (2.0.9) by using the transposes $'A_{h,k}^+$, $'A_{h,k}^-$ of $A_{h,k}^+$, $A_{h,k}^-$, respectively. Then $\mathfrak{S}_{\nu^\pm} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_h^\pm}$ and we have (cf. [DW2, Corollary 3.5])

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} &= x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\nu^+}, & \text{where } x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} &:= \sum_{u' \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+}^{-1} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_h^+}} T_{u'}; \\ x_{\lambda_h^-} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^-}} &= x_{\lambda_h^- \setminus \nu^-} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^-}} x_{\nu^-}, & \text{where } x_{\lambda_h^- \setminus \nu^-} &:= \sum_{u' \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^-}^{-1} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_h^-}} T_{u'}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.4)$$

We are now ready to derive families of multiplication formulas for $\phi_X \phi_A$ in the queer q -Schur superalgebra $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ (and, hence, in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$ by Proposition 3.2). We first assume $X = (\lambda | O)$, $(\lambda + E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1} | O)$ or $(\lambda - E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h} | O)$. Recall the notation in (1.1.1).

Proposition 4.3. *Let $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$ with $a_{i,j} = a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} + a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}$, $h \in [1, n-1]$ and $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$. Then the following multiplication holds in $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \phi_{(\lambda | O)} \phi_A = \delta_{\lambda, \text{ro}(A)} \phi_A, & \phi_A \phi_{(\mu | O)} &= \delta_{\mu, \text{co}(A)} \phi_A. \\ (2) \quad & \phi_{(\lambda + E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1} | O)} \phi_A \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} [a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1]_q \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}})} + q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k - 1} [a_{h,k} + 1]_{q^2} \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})} \right\}. \\ (3) \quad & \phi_{(\lambda - E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h} | O)} \phi_A \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} [a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1]_q \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}})} + q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + a_{h,k} - 1} \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + a_{h,k} - 2} [a_{h+1,k} + 1]_{q^2} \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Formula (1) is a special case of (3.1.1), noting that $\phi_{(\lambda | O)}$ is the identity map on $x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$.

We now prove (2). Let $X = X^+ = (\lambda + E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1} | O)$. Then $\text{ro}(X) = \lambda + \alpha_h = \lambda_h^+$, $d_X = 1$, $T_{d_X} = 1$, $c_X = 1$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\nu_X} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\lambda = \{1, s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}}, s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+2}}, \cdots, s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+2}} \cdots s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1-1}}\}$.

Then, for $\mu = \text{co}(A)$, (3.2.5) with $B = X$ becomes

$$z := x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}-1)}^{\triangleleft} T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ a_{h+1,k} > 0}} z_k,$$

where, for each $k \in [1, n]$ with $a_{h+1,k} > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+2}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+k}} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+k+1}}^{\triangleleft} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+k+1}^k, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+k+1}^k + a_{h+1,k} - 1)} T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= \sum_{j=\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k}^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1}-1} x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+2}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+j}} \cdot T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3.1)$$

Assume now $t = a_{h+1,k} > 0$. For $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k \leq j \leq \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1} - 1$, let $p_j = j - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k$. Then, with $u = \tilde{a}_{h,k}$, $s = a_{h,k}$, $t = a_{h+1,k}$, and $\nu = \nu_A$, (4.3.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= \sum_{j=\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k}^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1}-1} x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-j}} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-j+1}}^{\triangleleft} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}+1} \cdots T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}+p_j} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2}) \\ &= q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} T_{(u+1, u+t-1)}^{\triangleleft} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \quad (\text{since } s_{\tilde{\lambda}_h}, s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}}, \dots, s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-j+1}} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_h^+}) \\ &= q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\mathcal{D}_+} x_{\delta^+} T_{(u+1, u+t-1)}^{\triangleleft} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \quad (\text{by (4.2.4) and (4.2.3)}) \\ &= q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\mathcal{D}_+} (c_A)' x_\nu \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \quad (\text{by } x_{\delta^+} T_{(u+1, u+t-1)}^{\triangleleft} = x_\nu \text{ in (4.2.3) and (3.0.3)}). \end{aligned}$$

By writing $x_\nu = x_{\delta^+} T_{(u+1, u+t-1)}^{\triangleleft}$ again and applying Lemma 2.3(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\mathcal{D}_+} (c_A)' x_{\delta^+} T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\mathcal{D}_+} (\overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k})' (c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k})' (\overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k})' x_{\delta^+} T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= (-1)^{(a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}) \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\mathcal{D}_+} (c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k})' x_{\delta^+} T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3.2)$$

Here, for the last equality, we used the following relations:

- (a) $(\overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k})' (c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k})' (\overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k})' = (-1)^{(a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}) \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} (c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k})' (\overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k})' (\overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k})'$ (noting that $\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}$ is the partial sum at the $(h-1, k)$ position associated with $\nu_{A^{\bar{1}}}$);
- (b) $(\overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k})' (\overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k})' = (c_{\delta^+}^\alpha)'$ for some $\alpha \leq \delta^+$ with $\alpha_i = 0$, $i \in [\tilde{a}_{h-1,k} + 1, \tilde{a}_{h+1,k}]$, and hence $(\overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k})' (\overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k})' x_{\delta^+} = x_{\delta^+} (\overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k})' (\overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k})'$ by (3.0.3);
- (c) $(\overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k})' (\overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k})'$ commutes with $T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright}$ since $T_i c_j = c_j T_i$ for all $j \neq i, i+1$.

To complete the computation of z_k , we need to consider the following four cases.

Case 1. $a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} = 0$, $a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} = 0$. In this case, $(c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k})' = 1$, $c_A = \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} = c_{A_{h,k}^+}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\nu^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \quad (\text{by } x_{\mathcal{D}_+} x_{\delta^+} = x_{\nu^+}) \\ &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k} [s+1]_q x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4(1)}) \\ &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k} [a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1]_q T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} = 0$, $a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} = 1$. Then $(c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k})' = c'_{q,u+1,u+t}$ and

$$z_k = (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} \cdot x_{\mathcal{D}_+} (c_{q,u+1,u+t})' x_{\delta^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \cdot \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

Direct calculation using (4.2.3), (3.0.3), and (2.4.1) shows

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\mathcal{D}_+} (c_{q,u+1,u+t})' x_{\delta^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} &= x_{\mathcal{D}_+} (q(c_{q,u+2,u+t})' + c_{u+1}) x_{\delta^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\ &= q x_{\mathcal{D}_+} x_{\delta^+} c_{q,u+2,u+t} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} + x_{\mathcal{D}_+} x_{\delta^+} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\ &= q [s+1]_q x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u+2,u+t} + x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} \quad (\text{by Lemmas 2.4(1) and 2.5(3)}). \end{aligned}$$

Recall from (2.4.1) that $(c_{q,u+2,u+t})' = 0 = c_{q,u+2,u+t}$ if $a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} = 0$ (i.e., $t = 1$). Hence, by noting $\overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q,u+2,u+t} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} = c_{\nu^+}^\alpha$ with $\alpha = \nu_{A^{\bar{1}}}$ and $\overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} = c_{\nu^+}^\beta$ with $\beta = \nu_{A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k+1} [s+1]_q x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q,u+2,u+t} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &\quad + q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k+1} [a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1]_q T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}})} + q^{\vec{r}_h^k} T_{(A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the sign in z_k is cancelled after swapping $c_{q,u+2,u+t} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k}$. Note that, by Convention 4.1, $T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}})} = 0$ if $a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} = 0$.

Case 3. $a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} = 1$, $a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} = 0$. Then we have $c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k} = c_{q,u-s+1,u}$ and

$$z_k = (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} \cdot x_{\mathcal{D}_+} (c_{q,u-s+1,u})' x_{\delta^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \cdot \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

Again using (4.2.3), (3.0.3), (2.4.1), Lemma 2.4(1) and Lemma 2.5(3), one can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} q x_{\mathcal{D}_+} (c_{q,u-s+1,u})' x_{\delta^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} &= x_{\mathcal{D}_+} x_{\delta^+} \cdot q c_{q,u-s+1,u} \cdot T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\ &= x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} - x_{\nu^+} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\ &= (c_{q,u-s+1,u+1})' x_{\nu^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} - x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} \\ &= ([s+1]_q - 1) x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k-1} ([s+1]_q - 1) x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k} [a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1]_q T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 4. $a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} = 1$, $a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} = 1$. Then $(c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k})' = c'_{q,u-s+1,u} c'_{q,u+1,u+t}$ and

$$z_k = q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} \cdot x_{\mathcal{D}_+} c'_{q,u-s+1,u} c'_{q,u+1,u+t} x_{\delta^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \cdot \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

Using (4.2.3), (3.0.3), (2.4.1), Lemma 2.4(1) and Lemma 2.5(3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 qx_{\mathcal{D}_+} c'_{q,u-s+1,u} c'_{q,u+1,u+t} x_{\delta^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} &= -x_{\mathcal{D}_+} c'_{q,u+1,u+t} (q c'_{q,u-s+1,u}) x_{\delta^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &= -x_{\mathcal{D}_+} x_{\delta^+} (q(c_{q,u+2,u+t}) + c_{u+1})(c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} - c_{u+1}) T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &= -qx_{\nu^+} c_{q,u+2,u+t} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} + qx_{\nu^+} c_{q,u+2,u+t} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &\quad - x_{\nu^+} c_{u+1} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} + x_{\nu^+} c_{u+1} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &= -q(c_{q,u+2,u+t})'(c_{q,u-s+1,u+1})' x_{\nu^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} + q(c_{q,u+2,u+t})' x_{\nu^+} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &\quad + x_{\nu^+} (c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} c_{u+1} + 2) T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} - x_{\nu^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &= -q[s+1]_q x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u+2,u+t} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} + qx_{\nu^+} c_{q,u+2,u+t} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} \\
 &\quad + (c_{q,u-s+1,u+1})' x_{\nu^+} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} + [s+1]_q x_{\nu^+} \\
 &= q([s+1]_q - 1) x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} c_{q,u+2,u+t} + ([s+1]_q - [s+1]_{q^2}) x_{\nu^+} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.5(3)(4)}),
 \end{aligned}$$

then, noting $q([s+1]_q - 1) = q^2[s]_q$, we have, in this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_k &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k + 1} [s]_q x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} c_{q,u+2,u+t} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\mu}} T_{\sigma} \\
 &\quad + q^{\vec{r}_h^k - 1} ([s+1]_q - [s+1]_{q^2}) x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\mu}} T_{\sigma} \\
 &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k + 1} [a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1]_q T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}})} + q^{\vec{r}_h^k - 1} [a_{h,k} + 1]_{q,q^2} T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now combine the four cases above into a single expression. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 z'_k &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} [a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1]_q T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}})} + q^{\vec{r}_h^k} T_{(A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})} \\
 &\quad + q^{\vec{r}_h^k - 1} [a_{h,k} + 1]_{q,q^2} T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the notational Convention 4.1, $z'_k = 0$ if $a_{h+1,k} = 0$. A case-by-case argument shows that $z'_k = z_k$ if $a_{h+1,k} > 0$. This proves (2).

Finally, the proof of (3) is symmetric. Observe that, for $X = X^- = (\lambda - E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h} | O)$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{\nu_X} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda} = \{1, s_{\tilde{\lambda}_h-1}, s_{\tilde{\lambda}_h-1} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_h-2}, \dots, s_{\tilde{\lambda}_h-1} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_h-2} \dots s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}+1}\}$. Via replacing the (+) notations by the (-) notations in various places in the proof of (2), we can obtain a formula for z_k similar to (4.3.2). Then an explicit computation for z_k can also be divided into four cases and hence (3) follows easily. We leave the details to the reader. \square

5. MULTIPLICATION FORMULAS IN $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$ AND $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; R)$: THE ODD CASES

In this section, we shall derive the multiplication formulas for $\phi_X \phi_A$ with $X = (\lambda - E_{h,h} | E_{h,h})$, $(\lambda - E_{h+1,h+1} | E_{h,h+1})$ or $(\lambda - E_{h,h} | E_{h+1,h})$ under certain conditions. Recall Notation 4.2 and the SDP condition in Definition 2.6.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)_r$, $h \in [1, n]$, and $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$. Assume A satisfies the SDP condition on the h -th row. Then we have in $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$*

$$\phi_{(\lambda - E_{h,h} | E_{h,h})} \phi_A = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} \left\{ \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k})} - [a_{h,k}]_{q^2} \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $X = (\lambda - E_{h,h} | E_{h,h})$. Clearly $\text{ro}(X) = \lambda$, $d_X = 1$, $\mathcal{D}_{\nu_X} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\lambda = \{1\}$, and $c_X = c_{q, \tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_h}$. Then, for $B = X$ and $\mu = \text{co}(A)$, (3.2.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} z &:= \phi_X \phi_A(x_\mu) = x_\lambda c_{q, \tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_h} T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= x_\lambda (q^{\lambda_h-1} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}+1} + q^{\lambda_h-2} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}+2} + \cdots + q c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}} + c_{\tilde{\lambda}_h}) T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ a_{h,k} > 0}} \sum_{j=\mathbf{r}_h^k+1}^{\mathbf{r}_h^{k+1}} x_\lambda q^{\lambda_h-j} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}+j} T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

For each $j \in [\mathbf{r}_h^k+1, \mathbf{r}_h^{k+1}]$, let $p_j = j - \mathbf{r}_h^k - 1$, then $0 \leq p_j < a_{h,k}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ a_{h,k} > 0}} \sum_{j=\mathbf{r}_h^k+1}^{\mathbf{r}_h^{k+1}} x_\lambda q^{\lambda_h-j} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}+\mathbf{r}_h^k+p_j+1} T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ a_{h,k} > 0}} \sum_{j=\mathbf{r}_h^k+1}^{\mathbf{r}_h^{k+1}} x_\lambda q^{\lambda_h-j} T_{d_A} c_{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+p_j+1} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \quad (\text{by the SDP condition}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ a_{h,k} > 0}} \sum_{j=\mathbf{r}_h^k+1}^{\mathbf{r}_h^{k+1}} x_\lambda T_{d_A} q^{\lambda_h-j} c_{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+j-\mathbf{r}_h^k} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ a_{h,k} > 0}} x_\lambda T_{d_A} (q^{\lambda_h-\mathbf{r}_h^k-1} c_{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1} + \cdots + q^{\lambda_h-\mathbf{r}_h^{k+1}} c_{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}}) c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, a_{h,k} > 0} z_k, \end{aligned}$$

where for each $1 \leq k \leq n$ with $a_{h,k} > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= x_\lambda T_{d_A} (q^{\lambda_h-\mathbf{r}_h^k-1} c_{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1} + \cdots + q^{\lambda_h-\mathbf{r}_h^{k+1}} c_{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}}) c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= q^{\lambda_h-\mathbf{r}_h^{k+1}} x_\lambda T_{d_A} c_{q, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}}^{\bar{a}_{h,k}} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

due to the decomposition $c_A = \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}}^{\bar{a}_{h,k}} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h,k}$ similar to (4.2.1).

Case 1. $\bar{a}_{h,k} = 0$. In this case, we have $c_{q, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}}^{\bar{a}_{h,k}} = 1$ and have

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= q^{\lambda_h-\mathbf{r}_h^{k+1}} x_\lambda T_{d_A} c_{q, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}} q^{\lambda_h-\mathbf{r}_h^{k+1}} x_\lambda T_{d_A} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1, \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}} q^{\lambda_h-\mathbf{r}_h^{k+1}} T_{(A^{\bar{0}}-E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}}+E_{h,k})}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} = 1$. In this case, we have $c_{q,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}}^{a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}} = c_{q,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}}$ and $(c_{q,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}})^2 = -\llbracket a_{h,k} \rrbracket_{q^2}$, by Lemma 2.5(4). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= q^{\lambda_h - \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^{k+1}} x_\lambda T_{d_A} c_{q,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\lambda_h - \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^{k+1}} x_\lambda T_{d_A} (c_{q,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+1,\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}+a_{h,k}})^2 \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}+1} q^{\lambda_h - \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^{k+1}} \llbracket a_{h,k} \rrbracket_{q^2} x_\lambda T_{d_A} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}+1} q^{\lambda_h - \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^{k+1}} \llbracket a_{h,k} \rrbracket_{q^2} T_{(A^{\bar{0}}+E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}}-E_{h,k})}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Convention 4.1, a case-by-case argument proves the formula. \square

Remark 5.2. By Lemma 2.9, if $h = n$, then the hypothesis that “ A satisfies the SDP condition on the n -th row” may be dropped.

For the remaining odd cases, the proof is analogous to the even cases in Section 4.

Proposition 5.3. Let $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)_r$, $h \in [1, n-1]$ and $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$. Assume, for each $k \in [1, n]$ with $a_{h+1,k} \geq 1$, $A_{h,k}^+$ satisfies the SDP condition at (h, k) . Then we have in $\mathcal{Q}_q(n, r; R)$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{(\lambda - E_{h+1,h+1} | E_{h,h+1})} \phi_A &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k})} \right. \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}+1-a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k})} \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k - 1 + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2,q} \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is quite similar to Proposition 4.3(2). More precisely, let $X = (\lambda - E_{h+1,h+1} | E_{h,h+1})$, then we have $\text{ro}(X) = \lambda_h^+$, $c_X = c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}}$. Similar to Proposition 4.3(2), with $B = X$ the equation (3.2.5) becomes

$$z = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ a_{h+1,k} > 0}} z_k, \quad \text{where } z_k = \sum_{j=\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k}^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1}-1} x_{\lambda_h^+} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+2}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+j}} T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

For each $k \in [1, n]$ with $a_{h+1,k} > 0$, using the notations in the proof of Proposition 4.3(2), Lemma 2.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= \sum_{j=\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k}^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1}-1} x_{\lambda_h^+} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} (T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + 1} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}+1} \cdots T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}+p_j}) c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= \sum_{j=\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k}^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1}-1} x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h-1}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + 1} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_h - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + 1} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}+1} \cdots T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}+p_j} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= \sum_{j=\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k}^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1}-1} q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_h - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + 1} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}+1} \cdots T_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}+p_j} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption that $A_{h,k}^+$ satisfies the SDP condition at (h, k) , we obtain

$$z_k = q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} c_{u+1} T_{(u+1, u+t-1)}^{\triangleleft} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

Then, similar to the even case, by applying (4.2.4), (4.2.3), Lemma 2.3, and (3.0.3), we obtain

$$z_k = (-1)^{(a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}) \tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\mathcal{D}_+} c_{u+1} (c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k})' x_\delta T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

Similar to the even situation, we need to consider four cases.

Case 1. $a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} = 0$, $a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} = 0$. In this case we have $c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k} = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\mathcal{D}_+} c_{u+1} x_\delta T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\nu^+} c_{u+1} T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\nu^+} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q, u-s+1, u+1} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} T_{(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k})}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} = 0$, $a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} = 1$. Then $c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k} = c_{q, u+1, u+t}$ and

$$z_k = (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\mathcal{D}_+} c_{u+1} (c_{q, u+1, u+t})' x_\delta T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

Meanwhile by (2.4.1), (4.2.3), Lemma 2.5(2) and Lemma 2.5(3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{\mathcal{D}_+} c_{u+1} (c_{q, u+1, u+t})' x_\delta T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\ &= q x_{\mathcal{D}_+} c_{u+1} (c_{q, u+2, u+t})' x_\delta T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} + x_{\mathcal{D}_+} c_{u+1} c_{u+1} x_\delta T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\ &= q x_{\nu^+} c_{u+1} c_{q, u+2, u+t} T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} - x_{\nu^+} T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\ &= q x_{\nu^+} c_{q, u-s+1, u+1} c_{q, u+2, u+t} - \llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_q x_{\nu^+}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k + 1} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\nu^+} c_{q, u-s+1, u+1} c_{q, u+2, u+t} \cdot \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &\quad - (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} \llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_q x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\nu^+} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k + 1} T_{(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k})} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k})}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} = 1$, $a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} = 0$. It follows $c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k} = c_{q, u-s+1, u}$ and

$$z_k = (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\mathcal{D}_+} c_{u+1} (c_{q, u-s+1, u})' x_\delta T_{(u, u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

Using (2.4.1), (4.2.3), Lemma 2.5(2) and Lemma 2.5(3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & qx_{\mathcal{D}_+} c_{u+1} (c_{q,u-s+1,u})' x_{\delta} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &= -x_{\mathcal{D}_+} x_{\delta} q c_{q,u-s+1,u} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &= -x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} + x_{\nu^+} c_{u+1} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &= -(c_{q,u-s+1,u+1})' x_{\nu^+} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} - x_{\nu^+} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &= -x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} - \llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_q x_{\nu^+} \\
 &= (\llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_{q^2} - \llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_q) x_{\nu^+},
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$z_k = (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k - 1} (\llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2} - \llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_q) T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})}.$$

Case 4. $a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} = 1$, $a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} = 1$. Then $c_{A;h,k}^{h+1,k} = c_{q,u-s+1,u} c_{q,u+1,u+t}$ and

$$z_k = q^{\vec{r}_h^k} x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\mathcal{D}_+} c_{u+1} (c_{q,u-s+1,u} c_{q,u+1,u+t})' x_{\delta} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\mu}} T_{\sigma}.$$

With (2.4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & qc_{u+1} (c_{q,u-s+1,u} c_{q,u+1,u+t})' x_{\delta} \\
 &= -c_{u+1} (c_{q,u+1,u+t})' x_{\delta} q c_{q,u-s+1,u} \\
 &= -c_{u+1} q (c_{q,u+2,u+t})' x_{\delta} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} + c_{u+1} q (c_{q,u+2,u+t})' x_{\delta} c_{u+1} \\
 &\quad - c_{u+1} c_{u+1} x_{\delta} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} + c_{u+1} c_{u+1} x_{\delta} c_{u+1} \\
 &= q x_{\delta} c_{q,u+2,u+t} c_{u+1} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} + q x_{\delta} c_{q,u+2,u+t} + x_{\delta} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} - x_{\delta} c_{u+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

With the fact $c_{u+1} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} = -c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} c_{u+1} - 2$ and (4.2.3), Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & qx_{\mathcal{D}_+} c_{u+1} (c_{q,u-s+1,u} c_{q,u+1,u+t})' x_{\delta} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &= qx_{\nu^+} c_{q,u+2,u+t} (-c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} c_{u+1} - 2) T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} + qx_{\nu^+} c_{q,u+2,u+t} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &\quad + x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} - x_{\nu^+} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &= -qx_{\nu^+} c_{q,u+2,u+t} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} - qx_{\nu^+} c_{q,u+2,u+t} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &\quad + x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} - x_{\nu^+} c_{u+1} T_{(u,u-s+1)}^{\triangleright} \\
 &= -q (c_{q,u+2,u+t})' (c_{q,u-s+1,u+1})' x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} - q (c_{q,u+2,u+t})' \llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_q x_{\nu^+} \\
 &\quad + (c_{q,u-s+1,u+1})' \llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_q x_{\nu^+} - x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} \\
 &= q (\llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_{q^2} - \llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_q) x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u+2,u+t} + (\llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_q - 1) x_{\nu^+} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_k &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} (\llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_{q^2} - \llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_q) x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\nu^+} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q,u+2,u+t} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\mu}} T_{\sigma} \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k - 1} (\llbracket s+1 \rrbracket_q - 1) x_{\lambda_h^+ \setminus \nu^+} T_{d_{A_{h,k}^+}} x_{\nu^+} \overleftarrow{c}_A^{h,k} c_{q,u-s+1,u+1} \overrightarrow{c}_A^{h+1,k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu^+} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\mu}} T_{\sigma} \\
 &= (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} (\llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2} - \llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_q) T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})} \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q T_{(A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, Convention 4.1 and a case-by-case argument proves the result. \square

Proposition 5.4. *Let $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}|a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$, $h \in [1, n-1]$ and $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$. Suppose A satisfies the SDP condition on the h -th row, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{(\lambda-E_{h,h}|E_{h+1,h})} \phi_A &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}-E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}}+E_{h+1,k})} \right. \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}+1} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k-1} \llbracket a_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2,q} \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}-E_{h,k}+2E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}}-E_{h+1,k})} \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}+1} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k+a_{h,k}-1} \llbracket a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q \phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}}-E_{h,k})} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Similar to the even situation, the proof is symmetric to Proposition 5.3. As the SDP condition looks different from the one in Proposition 5.3, we shall give an explanation on computing z_k . The equation (3.2.5) with $B = (\lambda - E_{h,h}|E_{h+1,h})$ leads to

$$z = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ a_{h,k} > 0}} z_k, \quad \text{where } z_k = \sum_{j=\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k}^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^{k-1}-1} x_{\lambda_h^-} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h-1} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h-j} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_h-j}^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

For pair k and j , denote $q_j = j - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k$, the assumption of the SDP condition on (h, k) implies

$$c_{\tilde{\lambda}_h-j}^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} T_{d_A} = T_{d_A} c_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}-q_j}^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k}$$

and then

$$z_k = \sum_{j=\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k}^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^{k-1}-1} x_{\lambda_h^-} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h-1} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_h-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k+q_j} T_{d_A} c_{\tilde{a}_{h,k}-q_j}^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

The rest of the proof is symmetric to Proposition 5.3 and we omit the details. \square

Some special cases. In the rest of this section, we shall establish several special multiplication formulas. Recall the notation in Remark 4.2.

Proposition 5.5. *For any given $\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)$, $h \in [1, n-1]$, the following formulas hold*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \phi(\mu|E_{h,h+1}) \phi(\mu+E_{h+1,h}|O) = \phi(\mu-E_{h+1,h+1}+E_{h+1,h}|E_{h,h+1}) + q^{\mu_{h+1}} \phi(\mu|E_{h,h}) \\ & \quad - (q-1) \llbracket \mu_h + 1 \rrbracket_q \phi(\mu-E_{h+1,h+1}+E_{h,h}|E_{h+1,h+1}), \\ (2) \quad & \phi(\mu|E_{h,h+1}) \phi(\mu|E_{h+1,h}) = -\llbracket \mu_h + 1 \rrbracket_q q^{\mu_{h+1}} \phi(\mu+E_{h,h}|O) - \phi(\mu-E_{h+1,h+1}|E_{h,h+1}+E_{h+1,h}) \\ & \quad + (q-1) \phi(\mu-E_{h+1,h+1}|E_{h,h}+E_{h+1,h+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}|a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) = (\mu+E_{h+1,h}|O)$ or $A = (a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}|a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) = (\mu|E_{h+1,h})$. Then we have $d_A = 1$, $c_A = 1$ or $c_A = c_{\tilde{\mu}_{h+1}}$, and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma = T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h, \tilde{\mu}_h - (\mu_h - 1))}^{\triangleright}.$$

Let $X = (\mu|E_{h,h+1})$, $\xi = \text{ro}(X)$. It is clear $c_X = c_{\tilde{\mu}_{h+1}}$, and with $B = X$ the equation (3.2.5) becomes

$$z = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k, \quad \text{with } z_k = \sum_{j=\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k}^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1}-1} x_\xi c_{\tilde{\mu}_{h+1}} T_{\tilde{\mu}_{h+1}} T_{\tilde{\mu}_{h+2}} \cdots T_{\tilde{\mu}_{h+j}} T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

Set $C = \mu - E_{h+1,h+1} + E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h}$. Direct calculation shows $d_C = s_{\tilde{\mu}_{h+1}}$, and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_C} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma = T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+2, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^{\triangleleft} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h, \tilde{\mu}_h - (\mu_h - 1))}^{\triangleright} = T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+2, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^{\triangleleft} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

When $k \neq h, h+1$, we have $a_{h+1,k} = 0$ and $z_k = 0$, hence

$$z = z_h + z_{h+1}.$$

Meanwhile $a_{h+1,h} = 1$ and hence

$$z_h = x_\xi c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} c_A T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h, \tilde{\mu}_h - (\mu_h - 1))}^\triangleright = \begin{cases} T_{(\mu|E_{h,h})}, & \text{if } A = (\mu + E_{h+1,h}|O), \\ -\llbracket \mu_h + 1 \rrbracket_q T_{(\mu + E_{h,h}|O)}, & \text{if } A = (\mu|E_{h+1,h}). \end{cases}$$

When $k = h + 1$, $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k = 1$, $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{k+1} = \overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + a_{h+1,h+1} = \mu_{h+1} + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z_{h+1} &= x_\xi (T_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+2} + (q-1)(c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} - c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+2})) T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+2, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= x_\xi T_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+2} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+2, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &\quad + x_\xi (q-1) c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+2, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &\quad - x_\xi (q-1) c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+2} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+2, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that when $A = (\mu + E_{h+1,h}|O)$, we have $c_A = 1$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} z_{h+1} &= T_{(\mu - E_{h+1,h+1} + E_{h+1,h}|E_{h,h+1})} + (q-1) \llbracket \mu_{h+1} \rrbracket_q T_{(\mu|E_{h,h})} \\ &\quad - (q-1) \llbracket \mu_h + 1 \rrbracket_q T_{(\mu - E_{h+1,h+1} + E_{h,h}|E_{h+1,h+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

When $A = (\mu|E_{h+1,h})$, we have $c_A = c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} z_{h+1} &= -T_{(\mu - E_{h+1,h+1}|E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h})} + (q-1) T_{(\mu - E_{h+1,h+1}|E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h+1})} \\ &\quad - (q-1) \llbracket \mu_{h+1} \rrbracket_q \llbracket \mu_h + 1 \rrbracket_q T_{(\mu + E_{h,h}|O)}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting z_h and z_{h+1} together, the proposition is proved. \square

Proposition 5.6. *For any given $h \in [1, n-1]$, $\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)$, the following formulas hold*

- (1) $\phi(\mu|E_{h+1,h}) \phi(\mu + E_{h,h+1}|O) = \phi(\mu - E_{h,h} + E_{h,h+1}|E_{h+1,h}) + \phi(\mu|E_{h+1,h+1}),$
- (2) $\phi(\mu|E_{h+1,h}) \phi(\mu|E_{h,h+1}) = \phi(\mu - E_{h,h}|E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h}) - \llbracket \mu_{h+1} + 1 \rrbracket_q \phi(\mu + E_{h+1,h+1}|O).$

Proof. Let $A = (\mu + E_{h,h+1}|O)$ or $A = (\mu|E_{h,h+1})$ and $X = (\mu|E_{h+1,h})$, $\xi = \text{ro}(X)$. Then $d_A = 1$ and $c_X = c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} z &= x_\xi c_X T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h, \tilde{\mu}_h - 1)}^\triangleright T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma \\ &= x_\xi c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} (T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h, \tilde{\mu}_h - 1)}^\triangleright - 1) c_A T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft + x_\xi c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} c_A T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft \\ &= x_\xi c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} T_{\tilde{\mu}_h} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h-1, \tilde{\mu}_h-1)}^\triangleright c_A T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft + x_\xi c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} c_A T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft \\ &= x_\xi T_{\tilde{\mu}_h} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h} c_A T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h-1, \tilde{\mu}_h-1)}^\triangleright T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft + x_\xi c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} c_A T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft. \end{aligned}$$

When $A = (\mu + E_{h,h+1}|O)$, we have $c_A = 1$. By Lemma 2.5(3), we have $x_\xi c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft = c_{q, \tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z &= x_\xi T_{\tilde{\mu}_h} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h-1, \tilde{\mu}_h-1)}^\triangleright T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft + x_\xi c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft \\ &= x_\xi T_{\tilde{\mu}_h} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h-1, \tilde{\mu}_h-1)}^\triangleright T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft + x_\xi c_{q, \tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+1} \\ &= T_{(\mu - E_{h,h} + E_{h,h+1}|E_{h+1,h})} + T_{(\mu|E_{h+1,h+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

If $A = (\mu|E_{h,h+1})$, we have $c_A = c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1}$. Let $C = (\mu - E_{h,h}|E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h})$, direct calculation shows $d_C = s_{\tilde{\mu}_h}$, and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_C} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma = T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h-1, \tilde{\mu}_h-1)}^\triangleright \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma.$$

This means

$$\begin{aligned}
z &= x_\xi T_{\tilde{\mu}_h} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h-1, \tilde{\mu}_h-1+1)}^\triangleright T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft + x_\xi c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft \\
&= x_\xi T_{\tilde{\mu}_h} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h-1, \tilde{\mu}_h-1+1)}^\triangleright T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft - x_\xi T_{(\tilde{\mu}_h+1, \tilde{\mu}_h+\mu_{h+1})}^\triangleleft \\
&= x_\xi T_{d_C} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h} c_{\tilde{\mu}_h+1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_C} \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_\sigma - \llbracket \mu_{h+1} + 1 \rrbracket_q x_\xi \\
&= T_{(\mu-E_{h,h}|E_{h,h+1}+E_{h+1,h})} - \llbracket \mu_{h+1} + 1 \rrbracket_q T_{(\mu+E_{h+1,h+1}|O)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the proposition is proved. \square

Remarks 5.7. (1) Using Proposition 3.2, one may write down the multiplication formulas for $\Phi_X \Phi_A$, where X is one of the six matrices given in (4.0.1), (together with the required SDP conditions) in the twisted queer q -Schur superalgebra $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$.

(2) The multiplication formulas in Propositions 4.3, 5.1, 5.3 and 5.4 are the “raw” formulas which are the counterpart of [BLM, Lemma 3.2] or [DG, Lemma 3.1]. By normalising the ϕ -basis, a normalised version of these formulas using symmetric Gaussian polynomials in [BLM, Lemma 3.4 and §4.6] or [DG, Proposition 4.4 and 4.5] are used in the construction of the BLM type realizations. However, an inspection on the coefficients of these multiplication formulas in Sections 4 and 5 shows that, for the candidate basis $\{[A] \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r\}$, where $[A] := v^{-l(w_A^+) + l(w_{0,ro(\hat{A})})} \Phi_A$, normalized versions of these formulas are unlikely to be derived,⁴ since the coefficients involve not just the entries of \hat{A} . Fortunately, we are able to present a new BLM type realization for $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ by simply using these raw multiplication formulas. This requires certain adjustments on generators; see footnotes 5 and 6 below.

6. PRELIMINARIES FOR BUILDING THE DEFINING RELATIONS IN $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$

In this section, we shall introduce a family of elements in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ and derive multiplication formulas among them using the basic formulas established in Sections 4 and 5. These formulas serve as an important step to build the defining relations (QQ1)–(QQ6) for $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$. This eventually allows us to find a new construction for the quantum queer supergroup $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$.

Recall that v, q are indeterminates. For $R = \mathbb{Q}(v)$, and $q = v^2$, let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r) = \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_q(n, r; \mathbb{Q}(v)).$$

Recall the notations defined in (2.5.1). Set $M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm = \{A = (a_{i,j}^\bar{0} | a_{i,j}^\bar{1}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2) \mid a_{i,i}^\bar{0} = 0, \text{ for all } i\}$. For any $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$, set $|\mathbf{j}| = \sum_k j_k$ and $\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j} \cdot \lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k j_k$.

Similar to [BLM], for given $r, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and arbitrary $A = (A^\bar{0} | A^\bar{1}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we define the following elements⁵ in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$:

$$A(\mathbf{j}, r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|\mathbf{j}|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \Phi_{(A^\bar{0} + \lambda | A^\bar{1})}, & \text{if } |\mathbf{j}| \leq r; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (6.0.1)$$

By (6.0.1), the following holds for $B = (B^\bar{0} | B^\bar{1}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $h \in [1, n]$:

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|\mathbf{j}|+1) \\ \lambda_h \geq 1}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \Phi_{(B^\bar{0} + \lambda - E_{h,h} | B^\bar{1})} = v^{j_h} (B^\bar{0} | B^\bar{1})(\mathbf{j}, r). \quad (6.0.2)$$

⁴Here w_A^+ is the longest elements of the double coset associated with \hat{A} (see [DDPW, Lemma 13.10] for a geometric interpretation of $-w_A^+ + w_{0,ro(\hat{A})}$).

⁵Unlike the case for \mathfrak{gl}_n or $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}$, the basis used in the definition below is not normalized. This eventually requires to modify the definition for the generators in (7.1.1).

Lemma 6.1. Assume $B = (B^{\bar{0}}|B^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $h \in [1, n]$, the following holds in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|B|-2)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} (\llbracket \lambda_h + 2 \rrbracket_{q^2} - \llbracket \lambda_h + 2 \rrbracket_q) \Phi_{(B^{\bar{0}} + \lambda + 2E_{h,h}|B^{\bar{1}})} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(q^2 - 1)v^{2j_h}} \{ (B^{\bar{0}}|B^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_h, r) - (q+1)(B^{\bar{0}}|B^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) + q(B^{\bar{0}}|B^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \}. \\
 (2) \quad & \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|B|-1)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \llbracket \lambda_h + 1 \rrbracket_q \Phi_{(B^{\bar{0}} + \lambda + E_{h,h}|B^{\bar{1}})} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(q-1)v^{j_h}} ((B^{\bar{0}}|B^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) - (B^{\bar{0}}|B^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r)). \\
 (3) \quad & \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|B|-1)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \llbracket \lambda_h + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2} \Phi_{(B^{\bar{0}} + \lambda + E_{h,h}|B^{\bar{1}})} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(q^2 - 1)v^{j_h}} \{ (B^{\bar{0}}|B^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_h, r) - (B^{\bar{0}}|B^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Equation (1) can be proved by direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|B|-2)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} (\llbracket \lambda_h + 2 \rrbracket_{q^2} - \llbracket \lambda_h + 2 \rrbracket_q) \Phi_{(B^{\bar{0}} + \lambda + 2E_{h,h}|B^{\bar{1}})} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-|B|) \\ \mu_h \geq 2}} v^{(\mu - 2\epsilon_h) \cdot \mathbf{j}} \left(\frac{q^{2\mu_h} - 1}{q^2 - 1} - \frac{q^{\mu_h} - 1}{q - 1} \right) \Phi_{(B^{\bar{0}} + \mu|B^{\bar{1}})} \\
 &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-|B|)} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}} \cdot \frac{q^{2\mu_h} - q^{\mu_h+1} + q - q^{\mu_h}}{(q^2 - 1)v^{2j_h}} \cdot \Phi_{(B^{\bar{0}} + \mu|B^{\bar{1}})} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(q^2 - 1)v^{2j_h}} \{ (B^{\bar{0}}|B^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_h, r) - (q+1)(B^{\bar{0}}|B^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) + q(B^{\bar{0}}|B^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \},
 \end{aligned}$$

where for the first equality we set $\mu = \lambda + 2\epsilon_h$ and the second equality is due to the fact $q^{2\mu_h} - q^{\mu_h+1} + q - q^{\mu_h} = 0$ either $\mu_h = 0$ or 1. Equations (2) and (3) can be proved similarly and we leave details to the reader. \square

We now derive the multiplication formulas for these “long elements” $A(\mathbf{j}, r)$. We first look at the even case.

Proposition 6.2. Let $h \in [1, n]$ and $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. For $O := (O|O)$, $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, the following holds in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ for all $r \geq |A|$:

- (1) $O(\mathbf{k}, r) \cdot A(\mathbf{j}, r) = v^{\sum_{h=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^n a_{h,u} k_h} A(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{j}, r)$;
- (2) $A(\mathbf{j}, r) \cdot O(\mathbf{k}, r) = v^{\sum_{h=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^n a_{u,h} k_h} A(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{j}, r)$.

In particular, $O(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot A(\mathbf{j}, r) = A(\mathbf{j}, r) \cdot O(\mathbf{0}, r) = A(\mathbf{j}, r)$.

Proof. A direct calculation using (6.0.1) and Proposition 4.3(1) gives rise to

$$O(\mathbf{k}, r) \cdot A(\mathbf{j}, r) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{(\text{ro}(A+\lambda)) \cdot \mathbf{k}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda|A^{\bar{1}})} = v^{\sum_{h=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^n a_{h,u} k_h} A(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{j}, r).$$

This proves (1). Similarly, Equation (2) holds. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 6.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.3. Let $h \in [1, n]$. For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the following multiplication formulas hold in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ for all $r \geq |A|$

- (1) $O(\pm\epsilon_h, r) \cdot A(\mathbf{j}, r) = v^{\pm \sum_{u=1}^n a_{h,u}} A(\mathbf{j} \pm \epsilon_h, r)$,
- (2) $A(\mathbf{j}, r) \cdot O(\pm\epsilon_h, r) = v^{\pm \sum_{u=1}^n a_{u,h}} A(\mathbf{j} \pm \epsilon_h, r)$.

Convention 6.4. Like Cnnvention 4.1, we use the convention $A(\mathbf{j}, r) = 0$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ below for any $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ if $A \notin M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$ (or $|A| > r$).

Recall the notation $\llbracket m \rrbracket_{y,z} = \llbracket m \rrbracket_y - \llbracket m \rrbracket_z$ in (1.1.1).

Proposition 6.5. *Let $h \in [1, n-1]$. For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, the following multiplication formulas hold in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ for all $r \geq |A|$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & (E_{h,h+1}|O)(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&= \sum_{k < h} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + 2a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
&\quad + \frac{v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^h + 2a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{1}} - j_h}}{v^2 - 1} \left\{ (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) - (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \right\} \\
&\quad + v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^{h+1} + 2a_{h+1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + j_{h+1}} \llbracket a_{h,h+1}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,h+1}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + 2a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k < h} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k \geq h} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k < h} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k - 2} \llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2, v^4} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
&\quad + \frac{v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^h - 2j_h - 2}}{v^4 - 1} \left\{ (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_h, r) - (v^2 + 1) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \cdot (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) + v^2 (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \left. \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k > h} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k - 2} \llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2, v^4} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j}, r); \\
(2) \quad & (E_{h+1,h}|O)(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&= \sum_{k < h} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} \llbracket a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h + j_h} \llbracket a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h+1,h}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + \frac{v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1} - j_{h+1}}}{v^2 - 1} \left\{ (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) - (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} \llbracket a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k < h} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + 2a_{h,k} - 2} (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h} (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
&\quad + v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1} + 2a_{h,h+1} - 2} (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + 2a_{h,k} - 2} (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \\
&\quad - \sum_{k < h} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + 2a_{h,k} - 4} \llbracket a_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^4, v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j}, r)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -v^{2\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h-2} \llbracket a_{h+1,h} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^4, v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h+1,h} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
 & - \frac{v^{2\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1}+2a_{h,h+1}-2j_{h+1}-4}}{v^4-1} \left\{ (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1}) (\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \right. \\
 & \quad - (v^2 + 1) (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \\
 & \quad \left. + v^2 (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1}) (\mathbf{j}, r) \right\} \\
 & - \sum_{k>h+1} v^{2\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k+2a_{h,k}-4} \llbracket a_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^4, v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Observe that for any $\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)$, $(-1)^{p(\mu+E_{h,h+1}|O) \cdot p(A)} = (-1)^{p(\mu+E_{h+1,h}|O) \cdot p(A)} = 1$. We only prove (1); the proof of (2) is similar.

By Proposition 3.2, (6.0.1) and Proposition 4.3(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (E_{h,h+1}|O)(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
 & = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \Phi_{(\text{ro}(A+\lambda) - E_{h+1,h+1} + E_{h,h+1}|O)} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda | A^{\bar{1}})} = \mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_2 + \mathcal{Y}_3,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{Y}_1 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \sum_{k=1}^n q^{\sum_{u=k+1}^n (A+\lambda)_{h,u} + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket (A+\lambda)_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}})}, \\
 \mathcal{Y}_2 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \sum_{k=1}^n q^{\sum_{u=k+1}^n (A+\lambda)_{h,u}} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k})}, \\
 \mathcal{Y}_3 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \sum_{k=1}^n q^{\sum_{u=k+1}^n (A+\lambda)_{h,u}-1} \\
 & \quad \cdot \llbracket (A+\lambda)_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q, q^2} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda + 2E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{u=k+1}^n (A+\lambda)_{h,u} = \begin{cases} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + \lambda_h, & \text{if } k < h, \\ \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k, & \text{if } k \geq h. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{Y}_1 &= \sum_{k<h} q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} q^{\lambda_h} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}})} \\
 & \quad + q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^h + a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{1}}} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \llbracket \lambda_h + 1 \rrbracket_q \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda - E_{h+1,h} + E_{h,h} | A^{\bar{1}})} \\
 & \quad + q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^{h+1} + a_{h+1,h+1}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket a_{h,h+1}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda - E_{h+1,h+1} + E_{h,h+1} | A^{\bar{1}})} \\
 & \quad + \sum_{k>h+1} q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}})} \\
 & = \sum_{k<h} q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
 & \quad + \frac{q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^h + a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{1}}}}{(q-1)v^{j_h}} ((A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h} | A^{\bar{1}}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) - (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h} | A^{\bar{1}}) (\mathbf{j}, r)) \\
 & \quad + q^{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}_h^{h+1} + a_{h+1,h+1}^{\bar{1}}} v^{j_{h+1}} \llbracket a_{h,h+1}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,h+1} | A^{\bar{1}}) (\mathbf{j}, r)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{k>h+1} q^{\vec{r}_h^k + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r),$$

where the last equality is due to (6.0.1), equation (6.0.2) and Lemma 6.1(2). Similarly, by (6.0.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_2 &= \sum_{\substack{k < h \\ \lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} q^{\lambda_h + \vec{r}_h^k} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k})} + \sum_{\substack{k \geq h \\ \lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k})} \\ &= \sum_{k < h} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) + \sum_{k \geq h} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k} + E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j}, r). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (6.0.1) and Lemma 6.1(1), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_3 &= \sum_{k < h} q^{\vec{r}_h^k - 1} \llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q, q^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\ &\quad + \frac{q^{\vec{r}_h^h - 1}}{(q^2 - 1)v^{2j_h}} \cdot \{ (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_h, r) \\ &\quad - (q + 1)(A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\ &\quad + q(A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k > h} q^{\vec{r}_h^k - 1} \llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q, q^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j}, r). \end{aligned}$$

Putting the computations for \mathcal{Y}_1 , \mathcal{Y}_2 , \mathcal{Y}_3 together and replacing q with v^2 , we proved part (1) of the proposition. \square

For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)$, set $(A + \lambda) = (A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda | A^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Proposition 6.6. *Let $h \in [1, n]$ and $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$. Assume for any $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)$, $(A + \lambda)$ satisfies the SDP condition on the h -th row. Then the following multiplication formula holds in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ for all $r \geq |A|$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{O} | E_{h,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\ &= \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{r}_h^k} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{r}_h^h + j_h} (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k > h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{r}_h^k} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A) + 1} v^{2\vec{r}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k} \rrbracket_{v^4} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + p(A) + 1} \frac{v^{2\vec{r}_h^h - j_h}}{v^4 - 1} \left\{ (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_h, r) - (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k > h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A) + 1} v^{2\vec{r}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k} \rrbracket_{v^4} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j}, r). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Observe that for any $\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)$, $(-1)^{p(\mu|E_{h,h}) \cdot p(A)} = (-1)^{p(A)}$. By (6.0.1), Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 5.1, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\mathcal{O}|E_{h,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1) \\ \lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|) \\ \text{co}(\mu+E_{h,h})=\text{ro}(A+\lambda)}} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{0}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \cdot \Phi_{(\mu|E_{h,h})} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda|A^{\bar{1}})} \\
 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} (-1)^{p(A)} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\text{ro}(A+\lambda)_h - \sum_{u=1}^k (A+\lambda)_{h,u}} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda-E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}}+E_{h,k})} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}+1} q^{\text{ro}(A+\lambda)_h - \sum_{u=1}^k (A+\lambda)_{h,u}} \llbracket (A+\lambda)_{h,k} \rrbracket_{q^2} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda+E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}}-E_{h,k})} \right\} \\
 &= (-1)^{p(A)} (\mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{Y}_1 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} \sum_{k=1}^n v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\text{ro}(A+\lambda)_h - \sum_{u=1}^k (A+\lambda)_{h,u}} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda-E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}}+E_{h,k})} \\
 &= \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot (\mathbf{j}+2\epsilon_h)} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda-E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}}+E_{h,k})} \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^h} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda-E_{h,h}|A^{\bar{1}}+E_{h,h})} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k > h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda-E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}}+E_{h,k})} \\
 &= \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\sum_{u=h+1}^n a_{h,u}} v^{j_h} (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \quad (\text{by (6.0.2)}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k > h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j}, r),
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.6.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{Y}_2 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} \sum_{k=1}^n v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}+1} q^{\text{ro}(A+\lambda)_h - \sum_{u=1}^k (A+\lambda)_{h,u}} \llbracket (A+\lambda)_{h,k} \rrbracket_{q^2} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda+E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}}-E_{h,k})} \\
 &= \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}+1} q^{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k} \rrbracket_{q^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}}+1} q^{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^h} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \llbracket a_{h,h}^{\bar{1}} + \lambda_h \rrbracket_{q^2} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda+E_{h,h}|A^{\bar{1}}-E_{h,h})} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k > h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}+1} q^{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k} \rrbracket_{q^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
 &= \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}+1} q^{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k} \rrbracket_{q^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}}+1} \frac{q^{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^h}}{(q^2 - 1)v^{j_h}} \{ (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_h, r) - (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k > h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}+1} q^{\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k} \rrbracket_{q^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j}, r),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality for computing \mathcal{Y}_2 is due to the fact that $\Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}}+\lambda+E_{h,h}|A^{\bar{1}}-E_{h,h})}$ appears only in the case $a_{h,h}^{\bar{1}} = 1$ and Lemma 6.1 (3). Putting \mathcal{Y}_1 and \mathcal{Y}_2 together, and replacing q by v^2 , the proposition is proved. \square

By Lemma 2.9 and Remark 5.2, we may drop the SDP condition for $h = n$.

Corollary 6.7. *For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, the multiplication formula in Proposition 6.6 holds for $h = n$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ for all $r \geq |A|$.*

Considering the SDP condition and (6.0.1), and applying Proposition 5.3, we have the following Proposition 6.8 and Proposition 6.9. Their detailed proof are given in Appendix A.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $h \in [1, n-1]$ and $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$. Assume for each $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)$ with $(A + \lambda)_{h+1,k}^+ \geq 1$, $(A + \lambda)_{h,k}^+$ satisfies SDP condition at (h, k) , then the following multiplication formula holds in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ for all $r \geq |A|$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{O}|E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&= \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + 2a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^h + 2a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{1}}} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h}|A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^{h+1} + 2a_{h+1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + j_{h+1}} (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + 2a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1 - a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + 1 - a_{h,h}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} \frac{v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^h - j_h}}{v^2 - 1} ((A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) - (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j}, r)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k > h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1 - a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + 2a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} - 2} \llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^4, v^2} \\
&\quad \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} + 2E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} \frac{v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^h + 2a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{1}} - 2j_h - 2}}{v^4 - 1} \left\{ (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_h, r) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (v^2 + 1)(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + v^2(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \right\} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^{h+1} + 2a_{h+1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + j_{h+1} - 2} \llbracket a_{h,h+1} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^4, v^2} \\
&\quad \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,h+1}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\vec{\mathbf{r}}_h^k + 2a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} - 2} \llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^4, v^2} \\
&\quad \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} + 2E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j}, r),
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.9. *Let $h \in [1, n-1]$ and $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$. Assume for any $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)$, $(A + \lambda)$ satisfies the SDP condition on the h -th row, then the following multiplication formulas*

hold in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ for all $r \geq |A|$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (O|E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
 &= \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,h}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h + j_h} (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1}} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1}|A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)} v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k}|A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)+1} v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k - 2} \llbracket a_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^4, v^2} \\
 &\quad \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} + 2E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,h}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)+1} v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h + j_h - 2} \llbracket a_{h+1,h} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^4, v^2} \\
 &\quad \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h+1,h}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)+1} \frac{v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1} - 2j_{h+1} - 2}}{v^4 - 1} \left\{ (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (v^2 + 1)(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + v^2(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j}, r) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)+1} v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k - 2} \llbracket a_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^4, v^2} \\
 &\quad \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} + 2E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)+1} v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + 2a_{h,k} - 2} \llbracket a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)+1} v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h} \llbracket a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h+1,h}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)+1} \frac{v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1} + 2a_{h,h+1} - j_{h+1} - 2}}{v^2 - 1} \left\{ (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{j}, r) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + p(A)+1} v^{2\check{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + 2a_{h,k} - 2} \llbracket a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r).
 \end{aligned}$$

7. SUPERALGEBRA HOMOMORPHISMS FROM $\mathcal{U}_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ TO $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$

Recall that v, q are indeterminate and $v^2 = q$. By Proposition 6.2, $O(\mathbf{0}, r)$ is the identity element in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$. Define the superalgebra

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n) = \prod_{r \geq 0} \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r).$$

The elements $(f_r)_{r \geq 0}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n)$ are written as formal sum $\sum_{r \geq 0} f_r$ which is homogenous of degree \bar{i} if every f_r is homogenous of degree \bar{i} . In particular, for any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $A(\mathbf{j}) := \sum_{r \geq 0} A(\mathbf{j}, r) \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n)$ and form the vector space

$$\mathfrak{A}_v(n) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \{A(\mathbf{j}) \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n). \quad (7.0.1)$$

Remark 7.1. By definition of the product in the algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_v(n)$, since the coefficients of the multiplication formulas in $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_v(n, r)$ given in Proposition 6.2, 6.5, 6.6, 6.8, and 6.9 are independent of r for all $r \geq |A|$ (and $A(\mathbf{j}, r) = 0$ if $r < |A|$), it follows that removing the r in every involved $A(\mathbf{j}, r)$ yields multiplication formulas in $\mathfrak{Q}_v(n)$.

We introduce the following elements⁶ in $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} G_i^{\pm 1} &= (O|O)(\pm \epsilon_i), & X_j &= (E_{j,j+1}|O)(-\epsilon_j), & Y_j &= (E_{j+1,j}|O)(-\epsilon_{j+1}), \\ G_{\bar{i}} &= (O|E_{i,i})(-\epsilon_i), & X_{\bar{j}} &= (O|E_{j,j+1})(-\epsilon_j), & Y_{\bar{j}} &= (O|E_{j+1,j})(-\epsilon_{j+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.1.1)$$

with $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Observe that by Corollary 6.3 and Remark 7.1, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have

$$G_i^{\pm 1} A(\mathbf{j}) = v^{\pm \sum_{u=1}^n a_{i,u}} A(\mathbf{j} \pm \epsilon_i), \quad A(\mathbf{j}) G_i^{\pm 1} = v^{\pm \sum_{u=1}^n a_{u,i}} A(\mathbf{j} \pm \epsilon_i). \quad (7.1.2)$$

Moreover for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_j &= v G_j^{-1} (E_{j,j+1}|O)(\mathbf{0}) = (E_{j,j+1}|O)(\mathbf{0}) G_j^{-1}, \\ X_{\bar{j}} &= v G_j^{-1} (O|E_{j,j+1})(\mathbf{0}) = (O|E_{j,j+1})(\mathbf{0}) G_j^{-1}, \\ Y_j &= v G_{j+1}^{-1} (E_{j+1,j}|O)(\mathbf{0}) = (E_{j+1,j}|O)(\mathbf{0}) G_{j+1}^{-1}, \\ Y_{\bar{j}} &= v G_{j+1}^{-1} (O|E_{j+1,j})(\mathbf{0}) = (O|E_{j+1,j})(\mathbf{0}) G_{j+1}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.1.3)$$

Because some of the matrices appearing in the computation of the multiplication $X_{\bar{h}} Y_{\bar{h}}, X_{\bar{h}} Y_h, Y_{\bar{h}} X_{\bar{h}}, Y_{\bar{h}} X_h$ do not satisfy the SDP condition, the formulas in Section 6 are not applicable. We shall compute them separately in Lemma 7.2. Similarly, since some of the matrices involved in computing the multiplications $X_{\bar{h}} X_{\bar{h+1}}, X_{\bar{h+1}} X_{\bar{h}}, Y_{\bar{h}} Y_{\bar{h+1}}, Y_{\bar{h+1}} Y_{\bar{h}}$ do not satisfy the SDP condition, we shall compute them in Lemma 7.3.

Lemma 7.2. *For any integer h with $1 \leq h \leq n-1$, the following equations hold in $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_v(n)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad X_{\bar{h}} Y_{\bar{h}} + Y_{\bar{h}} X_{\bar{h}} &= \frac{G_h G_{h+1} - G_h^{-1} G_{h+1}^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}} + (v - v^{-1}) G_{\bar{h}} G_{\bar{h+1}}, \\ (2) \quad X_h Y_{\bar{h}} - Y_{\bar{h}} X_h &= G_{\bar{h}} G_{h+1}^{-1} - G_h^{-1} G_{\bar{h+1}}, \\ (3) \quad X_{\bar{h}} Y_h - Y_h X_{\bar{h}} &= G_{h+1} G_{\bar{h}} - G_{\bar{h+1}} G_h. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) By Proposition 3.2, 5.6 (2), (6.0.1) and Lemma 6.1 (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (O|E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (O|E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{0}, r) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r) \\ \lambda \in \Lambda(n, r) \\ \text{co}(\mu|E_{h+1,h}) = \text{ro}(\lambda|E_{h,h+1})}} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{0}} \Phi_{(\mu|E_{h+1,h})} \cdot v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{0}} \Phi_{(\lambda|E_{h,h+1})} \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)} (-\Phi_{(\mu - E_{h,h}|E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h})} + \llbracket \mu_{h+1} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} \Phi_{(\mu + E_{h+1,h+1}|O)}) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1) \\ \mu_h > 0}} \Phi_{(\mu - E_{h,h}|E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h})} + \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)} \llbracket \mu_{h+1} + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} \Phi_{(\mu + E_{h+1,h+1}|O)} \\ &= -(O|E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) + \frac{1}{v^2 - 1} O(2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) - \frac{1}{v^2 - 1} O(\mathbf{0}, r) \end{aligned}$$

⁶These elements play the role as generators for $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$; see Theorems 7.4 and 9.3 below. (7.1.2) shows that they differ by a factor from those elements used in [BLM, Lemma 5.4(b)] or [DG, Theorem 8.1]. See Remark 5.7(2).

Similarly, by Proposition 5.5 (2), (6.0.1) and Lemma 6.1 (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (O|E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (O|E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1) \\ \lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-1) \\ \text{co}(\mu|E_{h,h+1}) = \text{ro}(\lambda|E_{h+1,h})}} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{0}} \Phi_{(\mu|E_{h,h+1})} \cdot v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{0}} \Phi_{(\lambda|E_{h+1,h})} \\
 &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)} \llbracket \mu_h + 1 \rrbracket_{v^2} q^{\mu_{h+1}} \Phi_{(\mu + E_{h,h} | O)} + \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r)} \Phi_{(\lambda - 2E_{h+1,h+1} | E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h})} \\
 &- \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)} (q - 1) \Phi_{(\lambda - 2E_{h+1,h+1} | E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h+1})} \\
 &= \frac{1}{v^2 - 1} O(2\epsilon_h + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) - \frac{1}{v^2 - 1} O(2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) + (O|E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) \\
 &- (v^2 - 1)(O|E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{0}, r)
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting together, one can obtain by (7.1.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_{\bar{h}} Y_{\bar{h}} + Y_{\bar{h}} X_{\bar{h}} &= v G_h^{-1} (O|E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{0})(O|E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}) G_{h+1}^{-1} + v G_{h+1}^{-1} (O|E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0})(O|E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{0}) G_h^{-1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{v - v^{-1}} (O(\epsilon_h + \epsilon_{h+1}) - O(-\epsilon_h - \epsilon_{h+1})) \\
 &- v^{-1} (q - 1) (O|E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h+1})(-\epsilon_h - \epsilon_{h+1}) \\
 &= \frac{G_h G_{h+1} - G_h^{-1} G_{h+1}^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}} + (v - v^{-1}) G_{\bar{h}} G_{\bar{h}+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) By Proposition 5.6 (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (O|E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (E_{h,h+1}|O)(\mathbf{0}, r) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1) \\ \lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-1) \\ \text{co}(\mu|E_{h+1,h}) = \text{ro}(\lambda|E_{h,h+1}|O)}} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{0}} \Phi_{(\mu|E_{h+1,h})} \cdot v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{0}} \Phi_{(\lambda + E_{h,h+1} | O)} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1) \\ \mu_h > 0}} \Phi_{(\mu - E_{h,h} + E_{h,h+1} | E_{h+1,h})} + \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)} \Phi_{(\mu|E_{h+1,h+1})} \\
 &= (E_{h,h+1}|E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) + (O|E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{0}, r).
 \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile by Proposition 6.5, we have

$$(E_{h,h+1}|O)(\mathbf{0}, r)(O|E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) = (E_{h,h+1}|E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) + (O|E_{h,h})(\mathbf{0}, r).$$

As a consequence, by (7.1.3) one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_h Y_{\bar{h}} &= v^{-1} (E_{h,h+1}|E_{h+1,h})(-\epsilon_h - \epsilon_{h+1}) + (O|E_{h,h})(-\epsilon_h - \epsilon_{h+1}), \\
 Y_{\bar{h}} X_h &= v^{-1} (E_{h,h+1}|E_{h+1,h})(-\epsilon_h - \epsilon_{h+1}) + (O|E_{h+1,h+1})(-\epsilon_h - \epsilon_{h+1}), \\
 X_h Y_{\bar{h}} - Y_{\bar{h}} X_h &= (O|E_{h,h})(-\epsilon_h - \epsilon_{h+1}) - (O|E_{h+1,h+1})(-\epsilon_h - \epsilon_{h+1}) \\
 &= G_{\bar{h}} G_{h+1}^{-1} - G_h^{-1} G_{\bar{h}+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Proposition 5.5 (1), (6.0.1), Proposition 6.5, Lemma 6.1 (2) as well as (7.1.3), one can show the third part (3) and we leave the details to the reader. \square

Lemma 7.3. *For any integer h with $1 \leq h \leq n - 1$, the following equations hold in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n)$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & X_h X_{h+1} - v X_{h+1} X_h = X_{\bar{h}} X_{\bar{h}+1} + v X_{\bar{h}+1} X_{\bar{h}}, \\
 (2) \quad & Y_h Y_{h+1} - v Y_{h+1} Y_h = -(Y_{\bar{h}} Y_{\bar{h}+1} + v Y_{\bar{h}+1} Y_{\bar{h}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) Referring to (7.1.3), it suffices to prove the equation

$$X_h X_{h+1}(x_\lambda g) - v X_{h+1} X_h(x_\lambda g) = X_{\bar{h}} X_{\bar{h}+1}(x_\lambda g) + v X_{\bar{h}+1} X_{\bar{h}}(x_\lambda g)$$

holds for any $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$, and homogeneous $g \in \mathcal{H}_{r,R}^c$. As

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\bar{h}} X_{\bar{h}+1}(x_\lambda g) &= (O|E_{h,h+1})(-\epsilon_h, r) \cdot (O|E_{h+1,h+2})(-\epsilon_{h+1}, r)(x_\lambda g) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda(n, r-1), \beta \in \Lambda(n, r-1) \\ \text{co}(\alpha|E_{h,h+1}) = \text{ro}(\beta|E_{h+1,h+2})}} v^{-\alpha_h - \beta_{h+1}} \Phi_{(\alpha|E_{h,h+1})} \cdot \Phi_{(\beta|E_{h+1,h+2})}(x_\lambda g) \\ &= (-1)^{p(g)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda(n, r-1), \beta \in \Lambda(n, r-1) \\ \alpha + \epsilon_{h+1} = \beta + \epsilon_{h+1} \\ \beta + \epsilon_{h+2} = \lambda}} v^{-\alpha_h - \beta_{h+1}} \Phi_{(\alpha|E_{h,h+1})}(T_{(\beta|E_{h+1,h+2})} \cdot g) \\ &= (-1)^{p(g)} v^{-\lambda_h - \lambda_{h+1}} \Phi_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+1}|E_{h,h+1})}(T_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+1}|E_{h+1,h+2})} \cdot g) \\ &= (-1)^{p(g)} v^{-\alpha_h - \lambda_{h+1}} \Phi_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2}|E_{h,h+1})}(x_{\text{ro}(A)} T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(A)}} T_\sigma \cdot g) \\ &= -v^{-\lambda_h - \lambda_{h+1}} x_{\text{ro}(B)} T_{d_B} c_B \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_B} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(B)}} T_\sigma T_{d_A} c_A \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(A)}} T_\sigma \cdot g, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = (\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2}|E_{h+1,h+2})$, $B = (\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2}|E_{h,h+1})$ and the last equality is due to $(-1)^{p(g)} \cdot (-1)^{p(B) \cdot (p(g) + p(A))} = -1$. It is easy to verify $d_B = d_A = 1$, $c_B = c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}}$, $c_A = c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1}$, $\text{ro}(B) = \text{ro}(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2}|E_{h,h+1}) = \lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h$, and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_A} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(A)}} T_\sigma = T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+\lambda_{h+2}-1)}^\triangleleft, \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_B} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(B)}} T_\sigma = T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h+1, \tilde{\lambda}_h+\lambda_{h+1})}^\triangleleft.$$

Hence

$$X_{\bar{h}} X_{\bar{h}+1}(x_\lambda g) = -v^{-\lambda_h - \lambda_{h+1}} x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h)} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h+1, \tilde{\lambda}_h+\lambda_{h+1})}^\triangleleft c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+\lambda_{h+2}-1)}^\triangleleft \cdot g.$$

Similarly, set $J = (\lambda + \epsilon_h - \epsilon_{h+1} - \epsilon_{h+2}|E_{h+1,h+2})$, $K = (\lambda - \epsilon_{h+1}|E_{h,h+1})$, then we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_J} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(J)}} T_\sigma = T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+\lambda_{h+2}-1)}^\triangleleft, \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_K} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(K)}} T_\sigma = T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h+1, \tilde{\lambda}_h+\lambda_{h+1}-1)}^\triangleleft,$$

and direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\bar{h}+1} X_{\bar{h}}(x_\lambda g) &= (O|E_{h+1,h+2})(-\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \cdot (O|E_{h,h+1})(-\epsilon_h, r)(x_\lambda g) \\ &= -v^{-\lambda_h - \lambda_{h+1}+1} x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h)} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_J} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(J)}} T_\sigma c_{\tilde{\lambda}_h+1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_K} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(K)}} T_\sigma \cdot g \\ &= -v^{-\lambda_h - \lambda_{h+1}+1} x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h)} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+\lambda_{h+2}-1)}^\triangleleft c_{\tilde{\lambda}_h+1} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h+1, \tilde{\lambda}_h+\lambda_{h+1}-1)}^\triangleleft \cdot g. \end{aligned}$$

Using the proof of Proposition 4.3(2), a straightforward calculation implies

$$\begin{aligned} X_h X_{h+1}(x_\lambda g) &= (E_{h,h+1}|O)(-\epsilon_h, r) \cdot (E_{h+1,h+2}|O)(-\epsilon_{h+1}, r)(x_\lambda g) \\ &= v^{-\lambda_h - \lambda_{h+1}} x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h)} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h+1, \tilde{\lambda}_h+\lambda_{h+1})}^\triangleleft T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+\lambda_{h+2}-1)}^\triangleleft \cdot g, \\ X_{h+1} X_h(x_\lambda g) &= (E_{h+1,h+2}|O)(-\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \cdot (E_{h,h+1}|O)(-\epsilon_h, r)(x_\lambda g) \\ &= v^{-\lambda_h - \lambda_{h+1}+1} x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_J} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(J)}} T_\sigma \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu_K} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\text{co}(K)}} T_\sigma \cdot g \\ &= v^{-\lambda_h - \lambda_{h+1}+1} x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h)} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+\lambda_{h+2}-1)}^\triangleleft T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h+1, \tilde{\lambda}_h+\lambda_{h+1}-1)}^\triangleleft \cdot g. \end{aligned}$$

Putting together, in order to prove $X_{\bar{h}}X_{\overline{h+1}} + vX_{\overline{h+1}}X_{\bar{h}} = X_hX_{h+1} - vX_{h+1}X_h$, it is enough to prove $\mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_2 = \mathcal{Y}_3 - \mathcal{Y}_4$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_1 &= -x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h)} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_h + \lambda_{h+1})}^{\triangleleft} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1} + \lambda_{h+2}-1)}^{\triangleleft}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_2 &= -v^2 x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h)} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1} + \lambda_{h+2}-1)}^{\triangleleft} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_h+1} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h+1, \tilde{\lambda}_h + \lambda_{h+1}-1)}^{\triangleleft}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_3 &= x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h)} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}, \tilde{\lambda}_h + \lambda_{h+1})}^{\triangleleft} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1} + \lambda_{h+2}-1)}^{\triangleleft}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_4 &= v^2 x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h)} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}+1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1} + \lambda_{h+2}-1)}^{\triangleleft} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h+1, \tilde{\lambda}_h + \lambda_{h+1}-1)}^{\triangleleft}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi = (\lambda - \epsilon_{h+2} + \epsilon_h)$, denote $u = \tilde{\lambda}_h$, $w = \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}$, $p = \tilde{\lambda}_{h+2}$ for short, then by Lemma 2.5(2) one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_1 &= -x_{\xi} c_{u+1} T_{u+1, w} c_{w+1} T_{(w+1, p-1)} \\ &= -q^{w-u} x_{\xi} (c_{u+1} + T_{u+1}^{-1} c_{u+2} + T_{u+1}^{-1} T_{u+2}^{-1} c_{u+3} + \cdots + T_{u+1}^{-1} \cdots T_w^{-1} c_{w+1}) c_{w+1} T_{(w+1, p-1)}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_2 &= -v^2 x_{\xi} c_{w+1} T_{(w+1, p-1)} c_{u+1} T_{(u+1, w-1)} \\ &= q^{w-u} x_{\xi} (c_{u+1} + T_{u+1}^{-1} c_{u+2} + T_{u+1}^{-1} T_{u+2}^{-1} c_{u+3} + \cdots + T_{u+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{w-1}^{-1} c_w) c_{w+1} T_{(w+1, p-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_2 &= -q^{w-u} x_{\xi} (c_{u+1} + T_{u+1}^{-1} c_{u+2} + T_{u+1}^{-1} T_{u+2}^{-1} c_{u+3} + \cdots + T_{u+1}^{-1} \cdots T_w^{-1} c_{w+1}) c_{w+1} T_{(w+1, p-1)} \\ &\quad + q^{w-u} x_{\xi} (c_{u+1} + T_{u+1}^{-1} c_{u+2} + T_{u+1}^{-1} T_{u+2}^{-1} c_{u+3} + \cdots + T_{u+1}^{-1} \cdots T_{w-1}^{-1} c_w) c_{w+1} T_{(w+1, p-1)} \\ &= q^{w-u} x_{\xi} T_{u+1}^{-1} T_{u+2}^{-1} T_{u+3}^{-1} \cdots T_w^{-1} T_{(w+1, p-1)} \\ &= q^{w-u} (q^{u-w} x_{\xi} T_{u+1} T_{u+2} \cdots T_w - q^{u-w} (q-1) x_{\xi} T_{(u+1, w-1)}) T_{(w+1, p-1)} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4}) \\ &= q^{w-u} q^{u-w} x_{\xi} T_{u+1} T_{u+2} \cdots T_w T_{(w+1, p-1)} - q^{w-u} q^{u-w} (q-1) x_{\xi} T_{(u+1, w-1)} T_{(w+1, p-1)} \\ &= x_{\xi} T_{u+1} T_{u+2} \cdots T_w T_{(w+1, p-1)} - (q-1) x_{\xi} T_{(u+1, w-1)} T_{(w+1, p-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_3 &= x_{\xi} T_{(u+1, w)} T_{(w+1, p-1)}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_4 &= v^2 x_{\xi} T_{(w+1, p-1)} T_{(u+1, w-1)} = q x_{\xi} T_{(u+1, w-1)} T_{(w+1, p-1)},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Y}_3 - \mathcal{Y}_4 = x_{\xi} T_{u+1} T_{u+2} \cdots T_w T_{(w+1, p-1)} - (q-1) x_{\xi} T_{(u+1, w-1)} T_{(w+1, p-1)}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_2 = \mathcal{Y}_3 - \mathcal{Y}_4$ and equation (1) is proved.

(2) Similarly, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ and homogeneous $g \in \mathcal{H}_{r, R}^c$, one can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}Y_{\overline{h+1}} Y_{\bar{h}}(x\lambda g) &= -v^{-\lambda_{h+1} - \lambda_{h+2}} x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_h + \epsilon_{h+2})} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}-1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1} - \lambda_{h+1})}^{\triangleright} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_h} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h-1, \tilde{\lambda}_h - \lambda_h+1)}^{\triangleright} \cdot g, \\ Y_h Y_{h+1}(x\lambda g) &= v^{-\lambda_{h+1} - \lambda_{h+2} + 1} x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_h + \epsilon_{h+2})} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h-1, \tilde{\lambda}_h - \lambda_h+1)}^{\triangleright} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}-1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1} - \lambda_{h+1}+1)}^{\triangleright} \cdot g, \\ Y_{h+1} Y_h(x\lambda g) &= v^{-\lambda_{h+1} - \lambda_{h+2}} x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_h + \epsilon_{h+2})} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}-1, \tilde{\lambda}_{h+1} - \lambda_{h+1})}^{\triangleright} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h-1, \tilde{\lambda}_h - \lambda_h+1)}^{\triangleright} \cdot g\end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ and homogeneous element $g \in \mathcal{H}_{r, R}^c$. Direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}-(Y_{\bar{h}} Y_{\overline{h+1}} + v Y_{\overline{h+1}} Y_{\bar{h}})(x\lambda g) &= -x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_h + \epsilon_{h+2})} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}-1} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1} - \lambda_{h+1}} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h-1, \tilde{\lambda}_h - \lambda_h+1)}^{\triangleright} \cdot g \\ (Y_h Y_{h+1} - v Y_{h+1} Y_h)(x\lambda g) &= -x_{(\lambda - \epsilon_h + \epsilon_{h+2})} T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1}-1} \cdots T_{\tilde{\lambda}_{h+1} - \lambda_{h+1}} T_{(\tilde{\lambda}_h-1, \tilde{\lambda}_h - \lambda_h+1)}^{\triangleright} \cdot g\end{aligned}$$

and then (2) is proved. \square

Theorem 7.4. *There is a superalgebra homomorphism $\xi_n : U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n)$ defined by*

$$E_j \mapsto X_j, \quad E_{\bar{j}} \mapsto X_{\bar{j}}, \quad F_j \mapsto Y_j, \quad F_{\bar{j}} \mapsto Y_{\bar{j}}, \quad K_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto G_i^{\pm 1}, \quad K_{\bar{i}} \mapsto G_{\bar{i}},$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Proof. We verify all the relations (QQ1)–(QQ6) in Definition 3.5.

Relation (QQ1). By Example 2.8, any diagonal matrix satisfies SDP condition at (h, k) for $1 \leq h, k \leq n$, and hence by Proposition 6.6 we have for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} (O|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0})(O|E_{j,j})(\mathbf{0}) &= -(O|E_{j,j} + E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}), \\ (O|E_{j,j})(\mathbf{0})(O|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}) &= (O|E_{j,j} + E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}), \\ (O|E_{k,k})(\mathbf{0})(O|E_{k,k})(\mathbf{0}) &= \frac{1}{(v^4 - 1)} \{ (O|O)(4\epsilon_k) - (O|O)(\mathbf{0}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$G_i G_{\bar{j}} + G_{\bar{j}} G_i = 0, \quad G_k^2 = \frac{G_k^2 - G_k^{-2}}{v^2 - v^{-2}}.$$

The other equations in (QQ1) can be proved by direct calculation.

Relation (QQ2). The equations can be proved directly by (7.1.2).

Relation (QQ3). By Example 2.8, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ for any $r \geq 1$, the matrices $(E_{i,i} + \lambda)$, $(E_{i,i+1} + \lambda)$, $(E_{i+1,i} + \lambda)$ satisfy the SDP condition at (i, i) , $(i, i+1)$. This means the formulas in Proposition 6.6-6.9 works here. For the first relation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\bar{i}} X_i &= v G_i^{-1} \{ (O|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0})(E_{i,i+1}|O)(\mathbf{0}) \} G_i^{-1} \\ &= v G_i^{-1} \{ v^2 (E_{i,i+1}|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}) + (O|E_{i,i+1})(\mathbf{0}) \} G_i^{-1} \quad (\text{by Proposition 6.6}) \\ &= (E_{i,i+1}|E_{i,i})(-2\epsilon_i) + (O|E_{i,i+1})(-2\epsilon_i), \\ X_i G_{\bar{i}} &= v^2 G_i^{-1} (E_{i,i+1}|O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}) G_i^{-1} \\ &= v^2 G_i^{-1} (E_{i,i+1}|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}) G_i^{-1} \quad (\text{by Proposition 6.5 (1)}) \\ &= v^{-1} (E_{i,i+1}|E_{i,i})(-2\epsilon_i), \\ X_{\bar{i}} G_i^{-1} &= (O|E_{i,i+1})(-2\epsilon_i) \quad (\text{by Corollary 6.3}) \\ &= G_{\bar{i}} X_i - v X_i G_{\bar{i}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the third one,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\bar{i}} Y_i &= v G_i^{-1} (O|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0})(E_{i+1,i}|O)(\mathbf{0}) G_{i+1}^{-1} \\ &= v G_i^{-1} \{ (O|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0})(E_{i+1,i}|O)(\mathbf{0}) \} G_{i+1}^{-1} \quad (\text{by Proposition 6.6}) \\ &= (E_{i+1,i}|E_{i,i})(-\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}), \\ Y_i G_{\bar{i}} &= v^2 G_{i+1}^{-1} (E_{i+1,i}|O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}) G_i^{-1} \\ &= v^2 G_{i+1}^{-1} \{ (E_{i+1,i}|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}) + (O|E_{i+1,i})(2\epsilon_i) \} G_i^{-1} \quad (\text{by Proposition 6.5 (2)}) \\ &= v^{-1} (E_{i+1,i}|E_{i,i})(-\epsilon_{i+1} - \epsilon_i) + (O|E_{i+1,i})(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}), \\ Y_{\bar{i}} G_i &= v (O|E_{i+1,i})(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}) \quad (\text{by Corollary 6.3}) \\ &= -G_{\bar{i}} Y_i + v Y_i G_{\bar{i}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second relation,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\bar{i}} X_{i-1} &= v G_i^{-1} (O|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0})(E_{i-1,i}|O)(\mathbf{0}) G_{i-1}^{-1} \\ &= v G_i^{-1} (E_{i-1,i}|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}) G_{i-1}^{-1} \quad (\text{by Proposition 6.6}) \\ &= (E_{i-1,i}|E_{i,i})(-\epsilon_{i-1} - \epsilon_i), \\ X_{i-1} G_{\bar{i}} &= v^2 G_{i-1}^{-1} \{ (E_{i-1,i}|O)(\mathbf{0})(O|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}) \} G_i^{-1} \\ &= v^2 G_{i-1}^{-1} \{ v^2 (E_{i-1,i}|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}) + (O|E_{i-1,i})(\mathbf{0}) \} G_i^{-1} \quad (\text{by Proposition 6.5 (1)}) \\ &= v (E_{i-1,i}|E_{i,i})(-\epsilon_{i-1} - \epsilon_i) + (O|E_{i-1,i})(-\epsilon_{i-1} - \epsilon_i), \\ -G_i^{-1} X_{\bar{i-1}} &= -(O|E_{i-1,i})(-\epsilon_{i-1} - \epsilon_i) \quad (\text{by Corollary 6.3}) \\ &= v G_{\bar{i}} X_{i-1} - X_{i-1} G_{\bar{i}}. \end{aligned}$$

The fourth relation is analogous to the second one,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{\bar{i}}Y_{i-1} &= vG_i^{-1}(O|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0})(E_{i,i-1}|O)(\mathbf{0})G_i^{-1} \\
 &= vG_i^{-1}\{(E_{i,i-1}|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0}) + (O|E_{i,i-1})(2\epsilon_{i+1})\}G_i^{-1} \quad (\text{by Proposition 6.6}) \\
 &= v^{-2}(E_{i,i-1}|+E_{i,i})(-2\epsilon_{i+1}) + (O|E_{i,i-1})(\mathbf{0}), \\
 Y_{i-1}G_{\bar{i}} &= v^2G_i^{-1}\{(E_{i,i-1}|O)(\mathbf{0})(O|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0})\}G_i^{-1} \\
 &= v^2G_i^{-1}(E_{i,i-1}|E_{i,i})(\mathbf{0})G_i^{-1} \quad (\text{by Proposition 6.5 (2)}) \\
 &= v^{-1}(E_{i,i-1}|E_{i,i})(-2\epsilon_i), \\
 G_iY_{\bar{i}-1} &= v(O|E_{i,i-1})(\mathbf{0}), \quad (\text{by (7.1.2)}) \\
 &= vG_{\bar{i}}Y_{i-1} - Y_{i-1}G_{\bar{i}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The other relations in (QQ3) are analogous to that of the first four equations, we omit the proof.

Relation (QQ4). The first relation can be verified directly using Proposition 6.5. We omit the details. The other three relations follow from Lemma 7.2.

Relation (QQ5). By Example 2.8, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$, $(2E_{i,i+1} + \lambda)_{i,i+1}^+$ satisfies the SDP condition at $(i, i+1)$, and $(2E_{i+1,i} + \lambda)$ satisfies the SDP condition on the i -th row. So the formulas in Proposition 6.8, 6.9 are applicable, then one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_{\bar{i}}^2 &= vG_i^{-1}(O|E_{i,i+1})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O|E_{i,i+1})(\mathbf{0})G_i^{-1} \\
 &= -v^{-1}(v^2 - 1)(2E_{i,i+1}|O)(-2\epsilon_i); \\
 X_i^2 &= vG_i^{-1}\{(E_{i,i+1}|O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i,i+1}|O)(\mathbf{0})\}G_i^{-1} \\
 &= v^{-1}(1 + v^2)(2E_{i,i+1}|O)(-2\epsilon_i); \\
 -\frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}}X_i^2 &= -(v^2 - 1) \cdot v^{-1}(2E_{i,i+1}|O)(-2\epsilon_i) = X_{\bar{i}}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show

$$\frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}}Y_i^2 = (v^2 - 1)v^{-1}(2E_{i+1,i}|O)(-2\epsilon_{i+1}) = Y_{\bar{i}}^2.$$

For the third relation, if $j = i$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_iX_{\bar{i}} &= vG_i^{-1}(E_{i,i+1}|E_{i,i+1})(\mathbf{0})G_i^{-1}, \\
 X_{\bar{i}}X_i &= vG_i^{-1}(E_{i,i+1}|E_{i,i+1})(\mathbf{0})G_i^{-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $X_iX_{\bar{i}} - X_{\bar{i}}X_i = 0$.

When $j > i + 1$ or $j < i - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_iX_{\bar{j}} &= vG_i^{-1}(E_{i,i+1}|O)(\mathbf{0})(O|E_{j,j+1})(\mathbf{0})G_j^{-1} \\
 &= (E_{i,i+1}|E_{j,j+1})(-\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j), \\
 X_{\bar{j}}X_i &= vG_j^{-1}(O|E_{j,j+1})(\mathbf{0})(E_{i,i+1}|O)(\mathbf{0})G_i^{-1} \\
 &= (E_{i,i+1}|E_{j,j+1})(-\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j),
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $X_iX_{\bar{j}} - X_{\bar{j}}X_i = 0$. The proofs for the fifth and seventh relations are given in Lemma 7.3, and the proof of the rest relations are omitted.

Relation (QQ6). The first two relations are similar to the nonsuper case and can be directly proved using Proposition 6.5. We omit the details. For the third relation, we only give the proof in the case $j = i - 1$, while the case $j = i + 1$ can be proved similarly. That is, we shall prove

$$X_i^2X_{\bar{i}-1} - (v + v^{-1})X_iX_{\bar{i}-1}X_i + X_{\bar{i}-1}X_i^2 = 0, \quad (7.4.1)$$

By replacing i with $i + 1$, it suffices to prove

$$X_{i+1}^2 X_{\bar{i}} - (v + v^{-1}) X_{i+1} X_{\bar{i}} X_{i+1} + X_{\bar{i}} X_{i+1}^2 = 0,$$

Direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} & X_{i+1}^2 X_{\bar{i}} - (v + v^{-1}) X_{i+1} X_{\bar{i}} X_{i+1} + X_{\bar{i}} X_{i+1}^2 \\ &= -v^{-1} X_{i+1} (X_{\bar{i}} X_{i+1} - v X_{i+1} X_{\bar{i}}) + (X_{\bar{i}} X_{i+1} - v X_{i+1} X_{\bar{i}}) X_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

As we have proved (QQ5), the following holds

$$X_i X_{\overline{i+1}} - v X_{\overline{i+1}} X_i = X_{\bar{i}} X_{i+1} - v X_{i+1} X_{\bar{i}}.$$

Putting together we have

$$\begin{aligned} & X_{i+1}^2 X_{\bar{i}} - (v + v^{-1}) X_{i+1} X_{\bar{i}} X_{i+1} + X_{\bar{i}} X_{i+1}^2 \\ &= -v^{-1} X_{i+1} X_i X_{\overline{i+1}} + X_{i+1} X_{\overline{i+1}} X_i + X_i X_{\overline{i+1}} X_{i+1} - v X_{\overline{i+1}} X_i X_{i+1} \\ &= -v^2 G_{i+1}^{-2} G_i^{-1} (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) \\ &\quad + v^4 G_{i+1}^{-2} G_i^{-1} (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \\ &\quad + v^2 G_i^{-1} G_{i+1}^{-2} (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \\ &\quad - v^4 G_{i+1}^{-2} G_i^{-1} (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 6.5(1) and Proposition 6.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) \\ &= (E_{i,i+1} + E_{i+1,i+2} | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) + (E_{i+1,i+2} | E_{i,i+2})(\mathbf{0}), \\ & (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \\ &= (E_{i,i+1} + E_{i+1,i+2} | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}), \\ & (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \\ &= (E_{i+1,i+2} + E_{i,i+1} | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) + v^2 (E_{i,i+2} | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) + (E_{i+1,i+2} | E_{i,i+2})(\mathbf{0}), \\ & (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \\ &= (E_{i+1,i+2} + E_{i,i+1} | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) + (E_{i,i+2} | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= - (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) \\ &\quad + v^2 (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \\ &\quad + (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \\ &\quad - v^2 (O | E_{i+1,i+2})(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i,i+1} | O)(\mathbf{0}) \cdot (E_{i+1,i+2} | O)(\mathbf{0}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the equation (7.4.1) is proved.

For the last relation, because for any $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ with $r > 0$, we have $d_A = 1$ when $A = \lambda + E_{i+1,i}$ or $\lambda + 2E_{i+1,i}$. By Lemma 2.7, Proposition 6.9 can be used for the conditions $j = i \pm 1$. Then the proof is analogous to the second relation. \square

In the last two sections, we determine the image of the superalgebra homomorphism in Theorem 7.4 and prove that ξ_n is injective.

We end this section with an application of the relations established above. Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G_i, G_i^{-1}, G_{\bar{i}}, X_j, X_{\bar{j}}, Y_j, Y_{\bar{j}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1\} \quad (7.4.2)$$

Corollary 7.5. *For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, and any $Z \in \mathcal{G}$, there exist $g_{B,j}(Z, A) \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, for some $B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, such that in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n)$*

$$Z \cdot A(\mathbf{0}) = \sum_{B,j} g_{B,j}(Z, A) B(j).$$

Proof. If Z is even (i.e., $Z \in \{G_i, G_i^{-1}, X_j, Y_j\}$), the assertion follows from Propositions 6.2 and 6.5. If Z is odd, prove the existence of the formula by induction. First, by Proposition 6.2 and Corollary 6.7, the assertion is true for $Z = G_{\bar{n}}$. Similar to Remark 3.6, from the relations in (QQ3) and (QQ4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad X_{\bar{i}} &= -vG_{i+1}G_{i+1}^{-1}X_i + v^{-1}X_iG_{i+1}G_{i+1}^{-1}, \\ (b) \quad Y_{\bar{i}} &= vG_{i+1}^{-1}G_{i+1}^{-1}Y_i - v^{-1}Y_iG_{i+1}^{-1}G_{i+1}^{-1}, \\ (c) \quad G_{\bar{i}} &= X_iY_{\bar{i}}G_{i+1} - Y_{\bar{i}}X_iG_{i+1} + G_i^{-1}G_{i+1}^{-1}G_{i+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.5.1}$$

Thus, the assertion is true for $Z = X_{\bar{n-1}}, Y_{\bar{n-1}}$ by (7.5.1)(a),(b), and thus for $Z = G_{\bar{n-1}}$ by (7.5.1)(c). Now, a downward induction from $n-1$ to 1 prove the assertion for all odd Z . \square

Remark 7.6. By Propositions 6.2 and 6.5 and Corollary 6.7, there are explicit formulas for the coefficients $g_{B,j}(Z, A)$ for all A and $Z \in \{G_i, G_i^{-1}, X_j, Y_j, G_{\bar{n}}\}$. For the remaining generators, the coefficients are only inductively defined. However, with the new realization given in Theorem 9.3, the explicit multiplication formulas for $Z \in \{G_i, G_i^{-1}, X_j, Y_j, G_{\bar{n}}\}$ are sufficient to define a new presentation for $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$; see Remark 3.6.

8. TWO TRIANGULARLY RELATED BASES FOR $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$

We are now ready to present a new realization for $\mathbf{U}_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ via the twisted quantum queer Schur superalgebra $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r)$ for $r \geq 0$ in the next two sections. By Theorem 7.4 and Corollary 7.5, it suffices to prove that ξ_n is an isomorphism. In this section, we determine the image of ξ_n .

The following result can be proved by a method similar to the proof of [DF2, Proposition 4.1(2)]. Recall the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -space $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$ defined in (7.0.1).

Proposition 8.1. *The set $\mathcal{L} = \{A(j) \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, j \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -basis of $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$.*

For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, let

$$\text{co}^-(A)_{\min} = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } a_{i,j} = 0 \text{ for all } i > j; \\ \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid \sum_{i=j+1}^n a_{i,j} > 0\} \in [1, n-1], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^t$, denote $\alpha < \beta$ if there exists $k \leq t$ such that $\alpha_k < \beta_k$ and $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for all $i < k$. For $A = (a_{i,j}^0 | a_{i,j}^1), B = (b_{i,j}^0 | b_{i,j}^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we say $B <_k A$ if one of the following conditions hold:

- (1) $\sum_{i=k+1}^n a_{i,k} > \sum_{i=k+1}^n b_{i,k}$, or
- (2) $\sum_{i=k+1}^n a_{i,k} = \sum_{i=k+1}^n b_{i,k}$ and $(a_{k,k}^1, a_{k+1,k}^1, \dots, a_{n,k}^1) > (b_{k,k}^1, b_{k+1,k}^1, \dots, b_{n,k}^1)$, or
- (3) $\sum_{i=k+1}^n a_{i,k} = \sum_{i=k+1}^n b_{i,k}$, $(a_{k,k}^1, a_{k+1,k}^1, \dots, a_{n,k}^1) = (b_{k,k}^1, b_{k+1,k}^1, \dots, b_{n,k}^1)$, and $(a_{k,k}, a_{k+1,k}, \dots, a_{n,k}) < (b_{k,k}, b_{k+1,k}, \dots, b_{n,k})$.

We say $A =_k B$ if $a_{i,k}^0 = b_{i,k}^0$, $a_{i,k}^1 = b_{i,k}^1$ for all $i \in [k, n]$. We say $A =^+ B$ if $a_{i,j} = b_{i,j}$ for all $i < j$, and denote $A \neq^+ B$ if there exists a pair (i, j) such that $i < j$ and $a_{i,j} \neq b_{i,j}$.

Definition 8.2. For $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$, we say $B \prec A$ if one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) $\sum_{i \leq s, j \geq t} b_{i,j} \leq \sum_{i \leq s, j \geq t} a_{i,j}$ for all $s < t$, and $A \neq^+ B$; or
- (2) $A =^+ B$, $\text{co}^-(A)_{\min} < \text{co}^-(B)_{\min}$; or
- (3) $A =^+ B$, $\text{co}^-(A)_{\min} = \text{co}^-(B)_{\min} = k < n$ and $B <_k A$; or

- (4) $A =^+ B$, $\text{co}^-(A)_{\min} = \text{co}^-(B)_{\min} = k < n$, there exists $t \in [k+1, n-1]$ such that $B <_t A$ and for all $j < t$, $A =_j B$.

Notice for any $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$A(\mathbf{j}) = g \cdot \left(\prod_{h=1}^n G_h^{j_h} \right) \cdot A(\mathbf{0}), \quad \text{where } g \in \mathbb{Q}(v), \quad (8.2.1)$$

it means we can get $A(\mathbf{j})$ from $A(\mathbf{0})$, or conversely.

Lemma 8.3. *For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$ and $k \in [1, n]$, if $a_{i,j} = 0$ for any $i > j$, or $i < j$ but $j > k$, then A satisfies the SDP condition at $(k-1, k)$ and (k, k) .*

Proof. Denote $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$. To prove the SDP condition at $(k-1, k)$, we need to prove for any p satisfying $0 \leq p \leq a_{k-1,k} - 1$,

$$c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}+a_{k-1,k-1}+p+1} T_{d_A} = T_{d_A} c_{\tilde{a}_{k-2,k}+p+1}.$$

By assumption, A can be written as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,k-1} & a_{1,k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{2,2} & \cdots & a_{2,k-1} & a_{2,k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{k-2,k-1} & a_{k-2,k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{k-1,k-1} & a_{k-1,k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{k,k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & a_{k+1,k+1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.3.1)$$

By (2.0.13) and (2.0.14), direct calculation shows $\sigma_{i-1,k} = \tilde{a}_{i-1,k}$ when $2 \leq i \leq k$, which means $w_{i,k} = 1$ when $2 \leq i \leq k$. As a consequence, we have

$$d_A = w_{2,2} \cdot (w_{2,3} w_{3,3}) \cdots (w_{2,k-1} w_{3,k-1} \cdots w_{k-1,k-1}).$$

Recall

$$\begin{aligned} w_{i,j} &= (s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{i-1}+\sum_{u>i-1,p<j} a_{u,p}} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{i-1}+\sum_{u>i-1,p<j} a_{u,p-1}} \cdots s_{\tilde{a}_{i-1,j+1}}) \\ &\quad (s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{i-1}+\sum_{u>i-1,p<j} a_{u,p}+1} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{i-1}+\sum_{u>i-1,p<j} a_{u,p}} \cdots s_{\tilde{a}_{i-1,j+2}}) \\ &\quad \cdots \\ &\quad (s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{i-1}+\sum_{u>i-1,p<j} a_{u,p}+a_{i,j}-1} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{i-1}+\sum_{u>i-1,p<j} a_{u,p}+a_{i,j}-2} \cdots s_{\tilde{a}_{i,j}}). \end{aligned}$$

When $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ and $i \leq j \leq k-1$, we have

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2} + a_{k-1,k-1} + p + 1 > \tilde{\lambda}_{i-1} + \sum_{u>i-1,p<j} a_{u,p} + a_{i,j},$$

which means in this case,

$$c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}+a_{k-1,k-1}+p+1} T_{w_{i,j}} = T_{w_{i,j}} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}+a_{k-1,k-1}+p+1}.$$

For the case $i = j = k-1$, observe that $\sum_{u>k-2,p<k-1} a_{u,p} = 0$ and moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{k-1,k-1} &= (s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}-1} \cdots s_{\tilde{a}_{k-2,k-1}+1}) \\ &\quad (s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}+1} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}} \cdots s_{\tilde{a}_{k-2,k-1}+2}) \\ &\quad \cdots \\ &\quad (s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}+a_{k-1,k-1}-1} s_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}+a_{k-1,k-1}-2} \cdots s_{\tilde{a}_{k-1,k-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

As $(\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2} + a_{k-1,k-1} + p + 1) - (\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2} + a_{k-1,k-1} - 1) = p + 2 \geq 2$, we obtain

$$c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}+a_{k-1,k-1}+p+1} T_{w_{k-1,k-1}} = T_{w_{k-1,k-1}} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}+a_{k-1,k-1}+p+1},$$

and hence

$$c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}+a_{k-1,k-1}+p+1} T_{d_A} = T_{d_A} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2}+a_{k-1,k-1}+p+1} = T_{d_A} c_{\tilde{a}_{k-2,k}+p+1}.$$

To prove the SDP condition at (k, k) , we need to prove for any p satisfying $0 \leq p \leq a_{k,k} - 1$,

$$c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-1}+p+1} T_{d_A} = T_{d_A} c_{\tilde{a}_{k-1,k}+p+1}.$$

Similar to the case for $(k-1, k)$, we have $\tilde{\lambda}_{k-2} + a_{k-1,k-1} + p + 1 > \tilde{\lambda}_{i-1} + \sum_{u>i-1, p<j} a_{u,p} + a_{i,j}$ for all i, j satisfying $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k-1$, then

$$c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-1}+p+1} T_{d_A} = T_{d_A} c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{k-1}+p+1} = T_{d_A} c_{\tilde{a}_{k-1,k}+p+1}.$$

□

Recall that $\hat{A} = A^{\bar{0}} + A^{\bar{1}}$.

Corollary 8.4. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ for any $r > |A|$. Assume there is a $k \in [2, n]$ such that $a_{i,j} = 0$ when $i > j$, or $j > k$. Then*

- (1) $(A + \lambda)_{k-1,k}^+$ satisfies the SDP condition at $(k-1, k)$,
- (2) $(A + \lambda)$ satisfies the SDP condition at (k, k) .

Proof. Clearly $\widehat{A + \lambda}_{k-1,k}^+, \widehat{A + \lambda} \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$ are of the form (8.3.1) in Lemma 8.3. Hence the corollary holds. □

Following [GLL], for $u \leq k$, we apply the convention for the orders of products:

$$\prod_{u \leq h \leq k} \mathbf{t}_h := \mathbf{t}_u \mathbf{t}_{u+1} \cdots \mathbf{t}_k, \quad \prod_{k \geq h \geq u} \mathbf{t}_h := \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{t}_{k-1} \cdots \mathbf{t}_u. \quad (8.4.1)$$

Then we set

$$\begin{aligned} U_{i,j}^A &= (X_i X_{i+1} \cdots X_{j-2} X_{j-1}^{\bar{1}})^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} X_i^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} X_{i+1}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \cdots X_{j-2}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} X_{j-1}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}}, & \text{for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \\ D_{i,i}^A &= G_{\bar{i}}^{a_{i,i}^{\bar{1}}}, & \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ L_{i,j}^A &= (X_i X_{i+1} \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_{i+1} Y_i)^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} \cdot Y_{i-1}^{\sum_{k=i}^n a_{k,j}}, & \text{for all } 1 \leq j < i \leq n. \end{aligned} \quad (8.4.2)$$

We also define

$$\mathbf{m}^A := \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \left(\prod_{n \geq i \geq j+1} L_{i,j}^A \right) \cdot \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1} \left[D_{j,j}^A \left(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} U_{i,j}^A \right) \right]. \quad (8.4.3)$$

Notice that the expression $X_i^k X_{i+1}^k \cdots X_{j-2}^k$ is set to be 1 when $j = i + 1$, and the expression $(X_i X_{i+1} \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_{i+1} Y_i)$ is set to be $G_{\bar{n}}$ when $i = n$.

Remark 8.5. Because of the SDP condition, the multiplication formulas involving $Y_{\bar{j}}$ do not work for arbitrary $A(j)$, and this means we could not handle the lower triangular part by introducing elements parallel to $U_{i,j}^A$. By (7.5.1), we have the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\overline{n-1}} &= v G_n^{-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} + \cdots, \\ Y_{\overline{i-1}} &= f_i \cdot (X_i \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_i) \cdot Y_{i-1} + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where for each $i \in [2, n]$, f_i is a monomial in $v^{\pm 1}, G_i^{\pm 1}, \dots, G_n^{\pm 1}$. The largest term with respect to the partial order ' \prec ' defined before on the right hand side appears $(X_i \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_i) Y_{i-1}$. This motives us to introduce the elements $L_{i,j}^A$ whose odd part plays the same role as $Y_{\overline{i-1}}$.

Analogous to [GLL, Lemma 6.4], by applying Proposition 6.5 and Remark 7.1 directly, we have the following:

Lemma 8.6. *Fix $h \in [1, n-1]$, $a \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $k > h + 1$. Let $M = (m_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | m_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}), P = (p_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | p_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, $P \prec M$, and we assume $m_{i,j} = 0$ when $i > j$ or $k < j \leq n$. Then in $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$*

(1) if $m_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} = m_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} = 0$, $m_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} \geq a$, we have

$$X_h^a \cdot M(\mathbf{0}) = g_A A(\mathbf{j}_A) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ B \prec A, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}} g_{B,\mathbf{b}} B(\mathbf{b}),$$

where $A = (M^{\bar{0}} + aE_{h,k} - aE_{h+1,k} | M^{\bar{1}})$, nonzero $g_A \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, finitely many $g_{B,\mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, $\mathbf{j}_A \in \mathbb{Z}^n$;

(2) if $a = 1$, $m_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} = 0$, $m_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} = 1$, $m_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} = 0$, we have

$$X_h \cdot M(\mathbf{0}) = g_A A(\mathbf{j}_A) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ B \prec A, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}} g_{B,\mathbf{b}} B(\mathbf{b}),$$

where $A = (M^{\bar{0}} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})$, nonzero $g_A \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, finitely many $g_{B,\mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, $\mathbf{j}_A \in \mathbb{Z}^n$;

(3) if $m_{h+1,k} = m_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + m_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}} \geq a$, we have

$$X_h^a \cdot P(\mathbf{0}) = \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ \widehat{B} \prec A', \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}} g'_{B,\mathbf{b}} B(\mathbf{b}),$$

where $A' = (M^{\bar{0}} + M^{\bar{1}} + aE_{h,k} - aE_{h+1,k}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$, finitely many $g_{B',\mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$.

Analogous to [GLL, Corollary 6.6], by applying Lemma 8.6, we have the following:

Corollary 8.7. Let $M = (m_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | m_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, $k \in [2, n]$, $u \in [1, k-1]$. Assume $m_{i,j} = 0$ when $i > j$ or $k < j \leq n$, and $m_{i,k} = 0$ for $i \geq u$. Then for $a^{\bar{0}} \in \mathbb{N}$, $a^{\bar{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have in $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$

$$(X_u X_{u+1} \cdots X_{k-2} X_{\overline{k-1}})^{a^{\bar{1}}} \cdot \prod_{u \leq i \leq k-1} X_i^{a^{\bar{0}}} \cdot M(\mathbf{0}) = g_A A(\mathbf{j}_A) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ B \prec A, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^n}} g_{B,\mathbf{b}} B(\mathbf{b}),$$

where $A = (M^{\bar{0}} + a^{\bar{0}} E_{u,k} | M^{\bar{1}} + a^{\bar{1}} E_{u,k})$, $\mathbf{j}_A \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, nonzero $g_A \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, and finitely many $g_{B,\mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$.

Proof. The matrices appearing in the computation of the left side are of the form (8.3.1), hence by Lemma 8.3 and Corollary 8.4, the formula in Proposition 6.8 is applicable. Then the proof is analogous to [GLL, Corollary 6.6] by applying Lemma 8.6 and we omit the details. For convenience, for any $i \in [u, k-1]$, we set $M_i^0 = (M^0 + a^{\bar{0}} E_{i,k} | M^{\bar{1}})$. Applying Lemma 8.6 and noticing there is only one leading term, we have

$$X_{k-1}^{a^{\bar{0}}} \cdot M(\mathbf{0}) = g_{M_{k-1}^0} M_{k-1}^0(\mathbf{j}_{M_{k-1}^0}) + \sum_{\substack{C \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ C \prec M_{k-1}^0, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{N}^n}} g_{C,\mathbf{c}}^{M_{k-1}^0} C(\mathbf{c}),$$

where $g_{C,\mathbf{c}}^{k-1,0} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, $\mathbf{j}_{M_{k-1}^0} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. By induction, we have

$$\prod_{u \leq i \leq k-1} X_i^{a^{\bar{0}}} \cdot M(\mathbf{0}) = g_{M_u^0} M_u^0(\mathbf{j}_{M_u^0}) + \sum_{\substack{D \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ D \prec M_u^0, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n}} g_{D,\mathbf{d}}^{u,0} D(\mathbf{d}). \quad (8.7.1)$$

If $a^{\bar{1}} = 1$, will multiply $X_{\overline{k-1}}$ on the summands of the right hand side of (8.7.1). By Lemma 8.3 and Corollary 8.4, the formula in Proposition 6.8 is applicable. Then for $M_u^0(\mathbf{j}_{M_u^0})$ and each

$D(\mathbf{d})$ in (8.7.1), we have

$$X_{\overline{k-1}} \cdot M_u^0(\mathbf{j}_{M_u^0}) = g_{M_{k-1}^1} M_{k-1}^1(\mathbf{j}_{M_{k-1}^1}) + \sum_{\substack{P \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ P \prec M_{k-1}^1, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^n}} g_{P,\mathbf{p}}^{k-1,1} P(\mathbf{p}),$$

$$X_{\overline{k-1}} \cdot D(\mathbf{d}) = \sum_{\substack{R \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ R \prec M_{k-1}^1, \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^n}} g_{R,\mathbf{r}}^{k-1,1} R(\mathbf{r}),$$

and

$$X_{\overline{k-1}} \prod_{u \leq i \leq k-1} X_i^{a_{\bar{0}}} \cdot M(\mathbf{0}) = g_{M_{k-1}^1} M_{k-1}^1(\mathbf{j}_{M_{k-1}^1}) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ B \prec M_{k-1}^1, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^n}} g_{B,\mathbf{b}}^{k-1,1} B(\mathbf{b}),$$

where $M_{k-1}^1 = (M^{\bar{0}} + a_{\bar{0}}^0 E_{u,k} | M^{\bar{1}} + a_{\bar{1}}^1 E_{k-1,k})$, and $g_{B,\mathbf{b}}^{k-1,1} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$. Then Lemma 8.6 shows

$$X_{k-2} X_{\overline{k-1}} \prod_{u \leq i \leq k-1} X_i^{a_{\bar{0}}} \cdot M(\mathbf{0}) = g_{M_{k-2}^1} M_{k-2}^1(\mathbf{j}_{M_{k-2}^1}) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ B \prec M_{k-2}^1, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^n}} g_{B,\mathbf{b}}^{k-2,1} B(\mathbf{b}),$$

where $M_{k-2}^1 = (M^{\bar{0}} + a_{\bar{0}}^0 E_{u,k} | M^{\bar{1}} + a_{\bar{1}}^1 E_{k-2,k})$. Consequently, applying Lemma 8.6 inductively, the result is then proved. \square

Lemma 8.8. Fix $1 \leq u \leq n-1$, assume $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $M = (m_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | m_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$ satisfies $\text{co}^-(M)_{\min} \geq u+1$. Let $(a_{u+1,u}^{\bar{0}}, \dots, a_{n,u}^{\bar{0}}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-u}$, $(a_{u+1,u}^{\bar{1}}, \dots, a_{n,u}^{\bar{1}}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-u}$, and $M' = (M^{\bar{0}} + \sum_{k=u+1}^n a_{k,u}^{\bar{0}} E_{k,u} | M^{\bar{1}} + \sum_{k=u+1}^n a_{k,u}^{\bar{1}} E_{k,u})$. Then we have

$$\prod_{n \geq i \geq u+1} L_{M'}^{i,u} \cdot M(\mathbf{j}) = g_{M',\mathbf{j}'} M'(\mathbf{j}') + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ B \prec M'}} g_{B,\mathbf{j}''} B(\mathbf{j}''),$$

where $\mathbf{j}', \mathbf{j}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, nonzero $g_{M',\mathbf{j}'} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, and finitely many $g_{B,\mathbf{j}''} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$.

Proof. We shall compute $L_{M'}^{i,u} \cdots L_{M'}^{u+1,u} M(\mathbf{j})$ for $u+1 \leq i \leq n$ by induction on i . Set $a_u = \sum_{k=u+1}^n a_{k,u}$. Applying Proposition 6.5 (2), we have

$$Y_u M(\mathbf{j}) = g'_1 (M^{\bar{0}} + E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}') + \delta_{1,m_{u,u}^{\bar{1}}} (M^{\bar{0}} | M^{\bar{1}} - E_{u,u} + E_{u+1,u})(\mathbf{j}') \\ + \sum_{\substack{M'' \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \\ \mathbf{j}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n}} g_{M'',\mathbf{j}''} M''(\mathbf{j}''),$$

where $g'_1, g_{M'',\mathbf{j}''} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and each M'' satisfies $\text{co}^-(M'')_{\min} \geq u+1$. By Definition 8.2 and comparing the u -th column of the lower triangular parts, we have

$$M'' \prec (M^{\bar{0}} + E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}}), \\ (M^{\bar{0}} | M^{\bar{1}} - E_{u,u} + E_{u+1,u}) \prec (M^{\bar{0}} + E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}}).$$

Then applying Proposition 6.5 (2) repeatedly, we have

$$Y_u^{a_u} M(\mathbf{j}) = g'(M^{\bar{0}} + a_u E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}') + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ B \prec (M^{\bar{0}} + a_u E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}})}} g_{B,\mathbf{j}''}^M B(\mathbf{j}''), \quad (8.8.1)$$

for some $g', g_{B,\mathbf{j}''}^M \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$.

If $a_{u+1,u}^{\bar{1}} = 1$, we need to consider the multiplication of $X_{u+1} \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_{u+1}$ with $Y_u^{a_u} M(\mathbf{j})$ and this means we need to compute the multiplication of $X_{u+1} \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_{u+1}$

with each summands on the right hand side of (8.8.1). Firstly, for each $B(\mathbf{j}'')$ with $B = (b_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}|b_{i,j}^{\bar{1}})$ appearing in the summands (8.8.1), let

$$X_{u+1} \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_{u+1} B(\mathbf{j}'') = \sum_{C \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j}''' \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g_{C,\mathbf{j}'''}^{B,\mathbf{j}''} C(\mathbf{j}'''). \quad (8.8.2)$$

Denote $b_u = \sum_{i=u+1}^n b_{i,u}$, $c_u = \sum_{i=u+1}^n c_{i,u}$. By Proposition 6.5, 6.6, we have the following three cases:

- (1) $b_{i,j} = 0$ when $i > j$ and $j < u+1$. It is clear $\text{co}^-(B)_{\min} \geq u+1$, $\text{co}^-(C)_{\min} \geq u+1$;
- (2) $b_{i,j} = 0$ when $i > j$ and $j < u$, $b_{i,u} = 0$ when $i > u+1$, and $b_{u+1,u} < a_u$. In this case, $c_u = b_u < a_u$, $(m_{u,u}^{\bar{1}}, 1, 0, \dots) \geq (c_{u,u}^{\bar{1}}, c_{u+1,u}^{\bar{1}}, \dots, c_{n,u}^{\bar{1}})$;
- (3) $b_{i,j} = 0$ when $i > j$ and $j < u$, $b_{i,u} = 0$ when $i > u+1$, and $b_{u+1,u} = a_u$. This happens only when $m_{u,u}^{\bar{1}} = 1$ and $B = (M^{\bar{0}} + (a_u - 1)E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}} - E_{u,u} + E_{u+1,u})(\mathbf{j}')$. In this case, $c_u = b_u = a_u$, $c_{u,u}^{\bar{1}} = 0$. Therefore, $(m_{u,u}^{\bar{1}}, 1, 0, \dots) > (c_{u,u}^{\bar{1}}, c_{u+1,u}^{\bar{1}}, \dots, c_{n,u}^{\bar{1}})$.

This means that in each case, every $C(\mathbf{j}''')$ appearing in (8.8.2) satisfies

$$C \prec (M_{u+1}^{\bar{0}} + (a_u - 1)E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{u+1,u}).$$

and hence the following holds

$$X_{u+1} \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_{u+1} B(\mathbf{j}'') = \sum_{\substack{C \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j}''' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \\ C \prec (M_{u+1}^{\bar{0}} + (a_u - 1)E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{u+1,u})}} g_{C,\mathbf{j}'''}^{B,\mathbf{j}''} C(\mathbf{j}'''), \quad (8.8.3)$$

for each $B(\mathbf{j}'')$ in (8.8.1).

Secondly, for the leading term $(M^{\bar{0}} + a_u E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}')$ in (8.8.1), by Proposition 6.5 (2) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_{u+1} (M^{\bar{0}} + a_u E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}') &= g_{\mathbf{j}''}^M (M_{u+1}^{\bar{0}} + (a_u - 1)E_{u+1,u} + E_{n,u} | M^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}'') \\ &+ \sum_{R \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j}''' \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g_{R,\mathbf{j}'''}^M R(\mathbf{j}'''), \end{aligned} \quad (8.8.4)$$

each $R(\mathbf{j}''')$ appears in the equation with $R = (r_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | r_{i,j}^{\bar{1}})$ satisfies $\text{co}^-(R)_{\min} = u$, $r_{i,u}^{\bar{1}} = 0$ for any $i \geq u+1$, and

- (1) $r_{u+1,u} = a_u$, $r_{k,u} = 0$ for all $k \in [u+2, n]$, or
- (2) $r_{u+1,u} = a_u - 1$ and $r_{t,u} = 1$ for some $t \in [u+2, n-1]$.

So for each $R(\mathbf{j}''')$ appearing in (8.8.4) we have

$$X_{u+1} \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} R(\mathbf{j}') = \sum_{D \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j}'''' \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g_{D,\mathbf{j}''''}^R D(\mathbf{j}'''),$$

where each $D(\mathbf{j}''')$ with $D = (d_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | d_{i,j}^{\bar{1}})$ satisfies $\text{co}^-(D)_{\min} = u$ and $\sum_{i=u+1}^n d_{i,u}^{\bar{1}} = 0$, and it is clear

$$D \prec (M_{u+1}^{\bar{0}} + (a_u - 1)E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{u+1,u}).$$

Meanwhile, Proposition 6.7, 6.5 (1) imply

$$\begin{aligned} X_{u+1} \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} \cdot (M^{\bar{0}} + (a_u - 1)E_{u+1,u} + E_{n,u} | M^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}'') \\ = g_{\mathbf{j}'''}^M (M_{u+1}^{\bar{0}} + (a_u - 1)E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{u+1,u})(\mathbf{j}''') + \sum_{P \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j}'''' \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g_{P,\mathbf{j}''''}^M P(\mathbf{j}'''), \end{aligned}$$

where each $P(\mathbf{j}''')$ with $P = (p_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | p_{i,j}^{\bar{1}})$ satisfies $\text{co}^-(P)_{\min} = u$ and $p_{u,u}^{\bar{1}} = m_{u,u}^{\bar{1}}$, $p_{u+1,u}^{\bar{1}} = 0$, $\sum_{k=u+2}^n p_{k,u}^{\bar{1}} = 1$. Then we have $(m_{u,u}^{\bar{1}}, 1, 0, \dots, 0) > (p_{u,u}^{\bar{1}}, p_{u+1,u}^{\bar{1}}, \dots, p_{n,u}^{\bar{1}})$. Therefore for the

leading term $(M^{\bar{0}} + a_u E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}')$ in (8.8.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & X_{u+1} \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_{u+1} (M^{\bar{0}} + a_u E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}') \\ &= g_{\mathbf{j}''}^M (M^{\bar{0}} + (a_u - 1) E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{u+1,u})(\mathbf{j}''') + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\pm}, \mathbf{j}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ B \prec (M^{\bar{0}} + (a_u - 1) E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{u+1,u})}} g'_{B, \mathbf{j}''} B(\mathbf{j}'') \end{aligned} \quad (8.8.5)$$

with $g'_{B, \mathbf{j}''} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$. Then by (8.8.1), (8.8.3), (8.8.5) and Definition 8.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & X_{u+1} \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_{u+1} Y_u^{a_u} M(\mathbf{j}) \\ &= g'(M^{\bar{0}} + (a_u - 1) E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{u+1,u})(\mathbf{j}') + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\pm}, \mathbf{j}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ B \prec (M^{\bar{0}} + (a_u - 1) E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{u+1,u})}} g_{B, \mathbf{j}''} B(\mathbf{j}''). \end{aligned} \quad (8.8.6)$$

Summarizing equations (8.8.1) and (8.8.6), we have

$$L_{M'}^{u+1,u} M(\mathbf{j}) = g'_{u+1,u} M_u^{u+1}(\mathbf{j}') + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\pm}, \\ \mathbf{j}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, B \prec M_u^{u+1}}} g_{B, \mathbf{j}''} B(\mathbf{j}''),$$

where $M_u^{u+1} = (M^{\bar{0}} + (\sum_{k=u+1}^n a_{k,u} - a_{u+1,u}^{\bar{1}}) E_{u+1,u} | M^{\bar{1}} + a_{u+1,u}^{\bar{1}} E_{u+1,u})$.

By induction on i , with the same argument above, we can prove for $i \geq u+1$,

$$L_{M'}^{i,u} \cdots L_{M'}^{u+1,u} M(\mathbf{j}) = g'_u M_u^i(\mathbf{j}') + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\pm}, \mathbf{j}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ B \prec M_u^i}} g_{B, \mathbf{j}''} B(\mathbf{j}''),$$

where $M_u^i = (M^{\bar{0}} + \sum_{t=u+1}^{i-1} a_{t,u}^{\bar{0}} E_{t,u} + (\sum_{t=i}^n a_{t,u}) E_{i,u} - a_{i,u}^{\bar{1}} E_{i,u} | M^{\bar{1}} + \sum_{t=u+1}^{i-1} a_{t,u}^{\bar{1}} E_{t,u} + a_{i,u}^{\bar{1}} E_{i,u})$. In particular when $i = n$, we have

$$L_{M'}^{n,u} \cdots L_{M'}^{u+1,u} M(\mathbf{j}) = g M'(\mathbf{j}') + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\pm}, \\ \mathbf{j}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, B \prec M'}} g_{B, \mathbf{j}''} B(\mathbf{j}'').$$

□

For any $B = (b_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} | b_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\pm}$, for convenience, we introduce $B_k^+ = ((B^+)_k^{\bar{0}} | (B^+)_k^{\bar{1}})$, with $(B^+)_k^{\bar{0}} = (m_{i,j}^{\bar{0}})_k$, $(B^+)_k^{\bar{1}} = (m_{i,j}^{\bar{1}})_k$, satisfying $m_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} = m_{i,j}^{\bar{1}} = 0$ when $i > j$ or $j > k$, and $m_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} = b_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}$, $m_{i,j}^{\bar{1}} = b_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}$ otherwise. Meanwhile, set $B_k = (B_k^{\bar{0}} | B_k^{\bar{1}})$, with $B_k^{\bar{0}} = (m_{i,j}^{\bar{0}})_k$, $B_k^{\bar{1}} = (m_{i,j}^{\bar{1}})_k$, where $m_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} = m_{i,j}^{\bar{1}} = 0$ when $i > j$ and $j < k$, and $m_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} = b_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}$, $m_{i,j}^{\bar{1}} = b_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}$ otherwise. Notice that $B_n = B_n^+$.

By applying Corollary 6.2, Proposition 6.6, Proposition 6.8, Corollary 8.4, 8.7, Lemma 8.8, we are ready to show the important triangular relation between the elements \mathbf{m}^A defined in (8.4.3) and the elements $A(\mathbf{j})$.

Theorem 8.9. *For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\pm}$, we have in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n)$*

$$\mathbf{m}^A = g_A A(\mathbf{j}_A) + \sum_{B \prec A, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g_{B, \mathbf{j}'} B(\mathbf{j}'),$$

where $\mathbf{j}_A, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, nonzero $g_A \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and finitely many $g_{B, \mathbf{j}'} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$. In particular, the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -spave $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$ is a subalgebra generated by the set \mathcal{G} in (7.4.2).

Proof. We shall prove the result in several steps. Example 8.10 explains the generating order of the entries.

For the upper triangular and the diagonal parts, the proof is similar to [GLL, Theorem 6.8] and we will give a sketchy explanation. We first compute, for $k > j$,

$$[(\prod_{k-1 \geq i \geq 1} U_{i,k}^A)] \prod_{k-1 \geq j \geq 1} [D_{j,j}^A (\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} U_{i,j}^A)]$$

inductively for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and by Corollary 8.7 the matrices involved are of the form (8.3.1). Then by Corollary 8.4 we can use the formulas in Proposition 6.6 to compute

$$[D_A^{k,k}(\prod_{k-1 \geq i \geq 1} U_{i,k}^A)] \prod_{k-1 \geq j \geq 1} [D_{j,j}^A(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} U_{i,j}^A)] = \prod_{k \geq j \geq 1} [D_{j,j}^A(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} U_{i,j}^A)]$$

and obtain

$$\prod_{k \geq j \geq 1} [D_{j,j}^A(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} U_{i,j}^A)] = g_{A_k^+} A_k^+(\mathbf{j}_{A_k^+}) + \sum_{C \prec A_k^+, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g_{C,\mathbf{j}'} C(\mathbf{j}').$$

When $k = n$, observe that $A_n = A_n^+$, then we have

$$\prod_{n \geq j \geq 1} [D_{j,j}^A(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} U_{i,j}^A)] = g_{A_n} A_n(\mathbf{j}_{A_n}) + \sum_{C \prec A_n, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g_{C,\mathbf{j}'} C(\mathbf{j}'), \quad (8.9.1)$$

where each $C(\mathbf{j}')$ with $C = (c_{i,j}^0 | c_{i,j}^1)$ satisfies $c_{i,j} = 0$ when $i > j$.

More effort is needed to handle the lower triangular part. Clearly

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \prod_{n \geq i \geq j+1} L_{i,j}^A = (L_{n,1}^A L_{n-1,1}^A \cdots L_{2,1}^A) \cdot (L_{n,2}^A L_{n-1,2}^A \cdots L_{3,2}^A) \cdots (L_{n,n-2}^A L_{n-1,n-2}^A) \cdot L_{n,n-1}^A.$$

We will prove the following equation by induction on k :

$$\prod_{k \leq j \leq n-1} \prod_{n \geq i \geq j+1} L_{i,j}^A \cdot \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1} [D_{j,j}^A(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} U_{i,j}^A)] = g_{A_k} A_k(\mathbf{j}_{A_k}) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ B \prec A_k}} g_{B,\mathbf{j}'} B(\mathbf{j}'), \quad (8.9.2)$$

where each $B(\mathbf{j}')$ satisfies $\text{co}^-(B)_{\min} \geq k$.

For $A_n(\mathbf{j}_{A_n})$ and each $C(\mathbf{j}')$ appearing in (8.9.1), applying Lemma 8.8, we obtain

$$L_{n,n-1}^A \cdot A_n(\mathbf{j}_{A_n}) = g_1 A_{n-1}(\mathbf{j}_{A_{n-1}}) + \sum_{\substack{H \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ H \prec A_{n-1}}} g_{H,\mathbf{j}''} H(\mathbf{j}''), \quad (8.9.3)$$

$$L_{n,n-1}^A \cdot C(\mathbf{j}') = g_2 C_{n-1}(\mathbf{j}''') + \sum_{\substack{P \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ P \prec C_{n-1}}} g_{P,\mathbf{j}''''} P(\mathbf{j}'''), \quad (8.9.4)$$

where $C_{n-1} = (C^0 + a_{n,n-1}^0 E_{n,n-1} | C^1 + a_{n,n-1}^1 E_{n,n-1})$. This means $C_{n-1} \prec A_{n-1}$, $H \prec A_{n-1}$, $J \prec A_{n-1}$. Hence (8.9.2) is proved for the case $k = n - 1$.

Now assume (8.9.2) holds for k . Then applying Lemma 8.8 on $A_k(\mathbf{j}_{A_k})$ and $B(\mathbf{j}')$ in (8.9.2) one can directly prove that (8.9.2) also holds for $k - 1$, which means (8.9.2) holds for all $k \in [1, n - 1]$.

So when $k = 1$, noticing that $A_1 = A$, we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \prod_{n \geq i \geq j+1} L_{i,j}^A \cdot \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1} [D_{j,j}^A(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} U_{i,j}^A)] = g_A A(\mathbf{j}_A) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ B \prec A}} g_{B,\mathbf{j}'} B(\mathbf{j}').$$

and hence the equation is proved.

Then for any $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, equation (8.2.1) implies $A(\mathbf{j})$ can be generated by $A(\mathbf{j}_A)$ and $\{G_i^{\pm 1} \mid i \in [1, n]\}$, hence $A(\mathbf{j})$ can be generated by the set \mathcal{G} . \square

Example 8.10. In order to illustrate the proof of Theorem 8.9, we use an example to show the leading terms for important steps. Let $A = (a_{i,j}^0 | a_{i,j}^1) \in M_3(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, and recall the notations A_k^+ and A_k defined before Theorem 8.9. The “lower terms” in the expressions means a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -linear combination of finitely many lower elements with respect to the partial order ‘ \prec ’ defined before.

The detail for producing A is quite different from the one in [GLL, Example 6.9].

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{1,1}^A &= g_{A_1^+} A_1^+(\mathbf{j}_{A_1^+}), \\
 U_{1,2}^A D_{1,1}^A &= g_{A_{1,2}^+} A_{1,2}^+(\mathbf{j}_{A_{1,2}^+}) + \text{lower terms}, \\
 D_{2,2}^A U_{1,2}^A D_{1,1}^A &= g_{A_2^+} A_2^+(\mathbf{j}_{A_2^+}) + \text{lower terms}, \\
 U_{1,3}^A D_{2,2}^A U_{1,2}^A D_{1,1}^A &= g_{A_{1,3}^+} A_{1,3}^+(\mathbf{j}_{A_{1,3}^+}) + \text{lower terms}, \\
 U_{2,3}^A U_{1,3}^A D_{2,2}^A U_{1,2}^A D_{1,1}^A &= g_{A_{2,3}^+} A_{2,3}^+(\mathbf{j}_{A_{2,3}^+}) + \text{lower terms}, \\
 D_{3,3}^A U_{2,3}^A U_{1,3}^A D_{2,2}^A U_{1,2}^A D_{1,1}^A &= g_{A_3^+} A_3^+(\mathbf{j}_{A_3^+}) + \text{lower terms}, \\
 L_{3,2}^A D_{3,3}^A U_{2,3}^A U_{1,3}^A D_{2,2}^A U_{1,2}^A D_{1,1}^A &= g_{A_2} A_2(\mathbf{j}_{A_2}) + \text{lower terms}, \\
 L_{2,1}^A L_{3,2}^A D_{3,3}^A U_{2,3}^A U_{1,3}^A D_{2,2}^A U_{1,2}^A D_{1,1}^A &= g_{A_{2,1}^-} A_{2,1}^-(\mathbf{j}_{A_{2,1}^-}) + \text{lower terms}, \\
 L_{3,1}^A L_{2,1}^A L_{3,2}^A D_{3,3}^A U_{2,3}^A U_{1,3}^A D_{2,2}^A U_{1,2}^A D_{1,1}^A &= g_A A(\mathbf{j}_A) + \text{lower terms}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here the matrices of the leading terms are listed as followed:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1^+ &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^{\bar{1}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), & A_{1,2}^+ &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^{\bar{0}} & 0 & a_{11}^{\bar{1}} & a_{12}^{\bar{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \\
 A_2^+ &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^{\bar{0}} & 0 & a_{11}^{\bar{1}} & a_{12}^{\bar{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{22}^{\bar{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), & A_{1,3}^+ &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^{\bar{0}} & a_{13}^{\bar{0}} & a_{11}^{\bar{1}} & a_{12}^{\bar{1}} & a_{13}^{\bar{1}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{22}^{\bar{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \\
 A_{2,3}^+ &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^{\bar{0}} & a_{13}^{\bar{0}} & a_{11}^{\bar{1}} & a_{12}^{\bar{1}} & a_{13}^{\bar{1}} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23}^{\bar{0}} & 0 & a_{22}^{\bar{1}} & a_{23}^{\bar{1}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), & A_3^+ &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^{\bar{0}} & a_{13}^{\bar{0}} & a_{11}^{\bar{1}} & a_{12}^{\bar{1}} & a_{13}^{\bar{1}} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23}^{\bar{0}} & 0 & a_{22}^{\bar{1}} & a_{23}^{\bar{1}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{33}^{\bar{1}} \end{array} \right), \\
 A_2 &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^{\bar{0}} & a_{13}^{\bar{0}} & a_{11}^{\bar{1}} & a_{12}^{\bar{1}} & a_{13}^{\bar{1}} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23}^{\bar{0}} & 0 & a_{22}^{\bar{1}} & a_{23}^{\bar{1}} \\ 0 & a_{32}^{\bar{0}} & 0 & 0 & a_{32}^{\bar{1}} & a_{33}^{\bar{1}} \end{array} \right), & A_{2,1}^- &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^{\bar{0}} & a_{13}^{\bar{0}} & a_{11}^{\bar{1}} & a_{12}^{\bar{1}} & a_{13}^{\bar{1}} \\ a_{21}^{\bar{0}} + a_{31} & 0 & a_{23}^{\bar{0}} & a_{2,1}^{\bar{1}} & a_{22}^{\bar{1}} & a_{23}^{\bar{1}} \\ 0 & a_{32}^{\bar{0}} & 0 & 0 & a_{32}^{\bar{1}} & a_{33}^{\bar{1}} \end{array} \right), \\
 A &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^{\bar{0}} & a_{13}^{\bar{0}} & a_{11}^{\bar{1}} & a_{12}^{\bar{1}} & a_{13}^{\bar{1}} \\ a_{21}^{\bar{0}} & 0 & a_{23}^{\bar{0}} & a_{2,1}^{\bar{1}} & a_{22}^{\bar{1}} & a_{23}^{\bar{1}} \\ a_{31}^{\bar{0}} & a_{32}^{\bar{0}} & 0 & a_{31}^{\bar{1}} & a_{32}^{\bar{1}} & a_{33}^{\bar{1}} \end{array} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, denote $\mathbf{m}^{A,\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{m}^A \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} G_i^{j_i}$. With Proposition 8.1 and Theorem 8.9, we construct the monomial basis for $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$ as the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 8.11. *The set $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathbf{m}^{A,\mathbf{j}} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -basis of $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$.*

9. A NEW REALIZATION OF $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$

In this section we will prove the homomorphism ξ_n is actually an isomorphism of superalgebras. We will construct a PBW-type basis following [DW1], and then prove the image of this basis under the homomorphism ξ_n is still a basis of $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$, which means ξ_n is in fact an isomorphism.

Following [Ol, Theorem 6.2] and [DW1, Remark 5.6, Lemma 5.7], for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, set ⁷

$$E_{i,i+1} = E_i, \quad E_{i+1,i} = F_i, \quad \bar{E}_{i,i+1} = \bar{E}_i, \quad \bar{E}_{i+1,i} = \bar{F}_i;$$

⁷Here $E_{i,j}$ is in fact the quantum root vector which is denoted by $X_{i,j}$ in [DW1].

for $|j - i| > 1$, set

$$E_{i,j} = \begin{cases} E_{i,k}E_{k,j} - vE_{k,j}E_{i,k}, & \text{if } i < j, \\ E_{i,k}E_{k,j} - v^{-1}E_{k,j}E_{i,k}, & \text{if } i > j, \end{cases} \quad \bar{E}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} E_{i,k}\bar{E}_{k,j} - v\bar{E}_{k,j}E_{i,k}, & \text{if } i < j, \\ E_{i,k}\bar{E}_{k,j} - v^{-1}\bar{E}_{k,j}E_{i,k}, & \text{if } i > j, \end{cases}$$

where k is any number strictly between i and j , and $E_{i,j}$ and $\bar{E}_{i,j}$ does not depend on the choice of k .

For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, denote $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{j}} = \prod_{k=1}^n K_k^{j_k}$, and

$$\mathbf{b}^{A,\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{j}} \cdot \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \prod_{n \geq i \geq j+1} E_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^0} \bar{E}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^1} \right) \cdot \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1} [K_j^{a_{j,j}^1} \left(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} E_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^0} \bar{E}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^1} \right)].$$

Similar to [DW1, Proposition 5.8], we have the following

Proposition 9.1. *The set $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}^{A,\mathbf{j}} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -basis of $\mathcal{U}_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$.*

Recall the algebra homomorphism ξ_n , denote $P_{i,j} = \xi_n(E_{i,j})$, $\bar{P}_{i,j} = \xi_n(\bar{E}_{i,j})$. For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, denote $\mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{j}} = \xi_n(\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{j}}) = \prod_{k=1}^n G_k^{j_k}$ and $\mathbf{p}^{A,\mathbf{j}} = \xi_n(\mathbf{b}^{A,\mathbf{j}})$. It is clear

$$\mathbf{p}^{A,\mathbf{j}} = \xi_n(\mathbf{b}^{A,\mathbf{j}}) = \mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{j}} \cdot \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \prod_{n \geq i \geq j+1} P_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^0} \bar{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^1} \right) \cdot \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1} [G_j^{a_{j,j}^1} \left(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} P_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^0} \bar{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^1} \right)].$$

Proposition 9.2. *The set $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{p}^{A,\mathbf{j}} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -basis of $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$.*

Proof. We shall apply the similar calculation used in the proof of Theorem 8.9 to compute $\mathbf{p}^{A,\mathbf{j}}$.

For any i, j , define $U(i, j, x)$ to be a set of $x \cdot (|j - i| + 1)$ numbers, while each k is between i and j , and appears x times in it. Let $S(i, j, x)$ be the set of all possible sequences of the members of $U(i, j, x)$. For each $\mu \in S(i, j, x)$, set $X_\mu = X_{\mu_1} \cdots X_{\mu_t}$, where $t = x \cdot (|j - i| + 1)$ is the length of μ . For the odd element, for any $\mu \in S(i, j, 1)$, define $X_{\bar{\mu}}$ to be the one obtained from X_μ by replacing X_k with $X_{\bar{k}}$, where $k = \max U(i, j, 1)$ is the maximum number in $U(i, j, 1)$.

For the pair $i, j \in [1, n]$ with $i < j$, set $\mu_{(0)}$ be the sequence such that $X_{\mu_{(0)}} = X_i^{a_{i,j}^0} X_{i+1}^{a_{i,j}^0} \cdots X_{j-1}^{a_{i,j}^0}$, and set $\mu_{(1)}$ be the sequence such that $X_{\bar{\mu}_{(1)}} = X_i X_{i+1} \cdots X_{j-1}$. Direct calculation shows

$$P_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^0} = X_i^{a_{i,j}^0} X_{i+1}^{a_{i,j}^0} \cdots X_{j-1}^{a_{i,j}^0} + \sum_{\substack{\mu \in S(i, j-1, a_{i,j}^0) \\ \mu \neq \mu_{(0)}}} f_\mu X_\mu, \\ \bar{P}_{i,j} = X_i X_{i+1} \cdots X_{j-2} X_{j-1} + \sum_{\substack{\mu \in S(i, j-1, 1) \\ \mu \neq \mu_{(1)}}} f_{\bar{\mu}} X_{\bar{\mu}},$$

where each f_μ or $f_{\bar{\mu}}$ is 0 or v^k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For any $M = (m_{h,k}^0 | m_{h,k}^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$, $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, assume $m_{h,k} = 0$ for all $h > k$, or $k > j$, or $h > i$ and $k = j$. Then for $\mu \in S(i, j-1, a_{i,j}^0)$, Proposition 6.5(1) implies

$$X_\mu M(\mathbf{p}) = f_0(M^0 + a_{i,j}^0 E_{i,j} | M^1)(\mathbf{p}'_M) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ B \prec (M^0 + a_{i,j}^0 E_{i,j} | M^1)}} f_{B,\mathbf{p}'} B(\mathbf{p}'),$$

where $f_0, f_{B,\mathbf{p}'} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, and $f_0 \neq 0$ when $\mu = \mu_{(0)}$.

As the matrices involved in computing $X_{\bar{\mu}} M(\mathbf{p})$ satisfies the SDP condition, the formulas in Proposition 6.8 are applicable and this means for $\mu \in S(i, j-1, 1)$,

$$X_{\bar{\mu}} M(\mathbf{p}) = f_1(M^0 | M^1 + E_{i,j})(\mathbf{p}''_M) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ B \prec (M^0 | M^1 + E_{i,j})}} f_{B,\mathbf{p}'} B(\mathbf{p}'),$$

$f_1, f_{B, \mathbf{p}'} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, and $f_1 \neq 0$ when $\mu = \mu_{(1)}$. As a consequence, we have

$$\mathbf{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \bar{\mathbf{P}}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} M(\mathbf{p}) = f_0(M^{\bar{0}} + a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} E_{i,j} | M^{\bar{1}} + a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}} E_{i,j})(\mathbf{p}_M''') + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ B \prec (M^{\bar{0}} + a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}} E_{i,j} | M^{\bar{1}} + a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}} E_{i,j})}} f_{B, \mathbf{p}'} B(\mathbf{p}'),$$

with $f_0, f_{B, \mathbf{p}'} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and $f_0 \neq 0$. Fix j , by induction on i from 1 to $j-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} \mathbf{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \bar{\mathbf{P}}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} \right) A_{j-1}^+(\mathbf{p}_{A_{j-1}^+}) &= f_j'((A_j^+)^{\bar{0}} | (A_j^+)^{\bar{1}} - a_{j,j}^{\bar{1}} E_{j,j})(\mathbf{p}_{A_{j-1}^+}') \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ B \prec ((A_j^+)^{\bar{0}} | (A_j^+)^{\bar{1}} - a_{j,j}^{\bar{1}} E_{j,j})}} f_{B, \mathbf{p}'} B(\mathbf{p}'). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 6.6, we have

$$G_j^{a_{j,j}^{\bar{1}}} \left(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} \mathbf{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \bar{\mathbf{P}}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} \right) A_{j-1}^+(\mathbf{p}_{A_{j-1}^+}) = f_j^+ A_j^+(\mathbf{p}_{A_j^+}) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ \mathbf{p}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, B \prec A_j^+}} f_{B, \mathbf{p}'} B(\mathbf{p}').$$

Then by induction on k , we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq k} G_j^{a_{j,j}^{\bar{1}}} \left(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} \mathbf{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \bar{\mathbf{P}}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} \right) = f_k^+ A_k^+(\mathbf{p}_{A_k^+}) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ \mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, B \prec A_k^+}} f_{B, \mathbf{p}'} B(\mathbf{p}')$$

and

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} G_j^{a_{j,j}^{\bar{1}}} \left(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} \mathbf{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \bar{\mathbf{P}}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} \right) = f_n^+ A_n^+(\mathbf{p}_{A_n^+}) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ \mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, B \prec A_n^+}} f_{B, \mathbf{p}'} B(\mathbf{p}').$$

For $i, j \in [1, n]$ with $i > j$, set $\mu_{(0)}$ be the sequence such that $Y_{\mu_{(0)}} = Y_{i-1}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} Y_{i-2}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \cdots Y_j^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}}$, and set $\mu_{(1)}$ be the sequence such that $Y_{\bar{\mu}_{(1)}} = Y_{i-1} Y_{i-2} \cdots Y_j$. Direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} &= Y_{i-1}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} Y_{i-2}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \cdots Y_j^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} + \sum_{\substack{\mu \in S(i-1, j, a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}), \mu \neq \mu_{(0)}}} f_\mu Y_\mu, \\ \bar{\mathbf{P}}_{i,j} &= Y_{i-1} Y_{i-2} \cdots Y_j + \sum_{\substack{\mu \in S(i-1, j, 1), \mu \neq \mu_{(1)}}} f_{\bar{\mu}} Y_{\bar{\mu}}, \end{aligned} \tag{9.2.1}$$

where each f_μ or $f_{\bar{\mu}}$ is 0 or v^k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Referring to equations in (7.5.1), we have

$$Y_{\bar{i}} = v G_{i+1}^{-1} G_{i+1} Y_i - v^{-1} Y_i G_{i+1}^{-1} G_{i+1}, \tag{9.2.2}$$

$$G_{\bar{i}} = X_i \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_i + \sum_R f_R R, \tag{9.2.3}$$

where $f_0, f_R \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, each R is a product generated by $X_i, \dots, X_{n-1}, Y_i, \dots, Y_{n-1}, G_i^\pm, \dots, G_n^\pm, G_{\bar{n}}$, in which each factor appears only 0 or 1 times, and each R is different from the leading term.

As a consequence, fix any $j \in [1, n-1]$, the equations in (QQ6) and (9.2.1), (9.2.2) imply

$$\prod_{n \geq i \geq j+1} \mathbf{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \bar{\mathbf{P}}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} = f_0 \prod_{n \geq i \geq j+1} G_i^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} Y_{i-1}^{\sum_{k=i}^n a_{k,j}} + \sum_Q f_Q Q, \tag{9.2.4}$$

where $f_0, f_Q \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, each Q is a product generated by all the factors in the leading term, but in different orders from the leading term.

For the pair i and j with $i > j$, $M = (m_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} | m_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, assume $\text{co}^-(M)_{\min} = j$, $\sum_{h=i}^n a_{h,j}^{\bar{1}} = 0$. Applying Proposition 6.5(2), when $i = j + 1$ or $m_{i-1,j}^{\bar{0}} > \sum_{k=i}^n a_{k,j}$, we have,

$$Y_{i-1}^{\sum_{k=i}^n a_{k,j}} M(\mathbf{p}) = f_{M'}^- M'(\mathbf{p}'_{M'}) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ \mathbf{p}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, B \prec M'}} f_{B,\mathbf{p}''} B(\mathbf{p}''), \quad (9.2.5)$$

where $M' = (M^{\bar{0}} - (1 - \delta_{i,j+1}) \sum_{k=i}^n a_{k,j} E_{i-1,j} + \sum_{k=i}^n a_{k,j} E_{i,j} | M^{\bar{1}})$, $f_{M'}^-, f_{B,\mathbf{p}''} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$. According to the proof of Lemma 8.8, we have

$$X_i \cdots X_{n-1} G_{\bar{n}} Y_{n-1} \cdots Y_i M(\mathbf{p}) = f_{M''}^- M''(\mathbf{p}'_{M''}) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ \mathbf{p}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, B \prec M''}} f_{B,\mathbf{p}''} B(\mathbf{p}''), \quad (9.2.6)$$

where $M'' = (M^{\bar{0}} - E_{i,j} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{i,j})$, $\mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $f_{M''}^-, f_{B,\mathbf{p}''} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$ and $f_{M''} \neq 0$. Similar to the discussions in Lemma 8.8, for each R in (9.2.3), we also have

$$R \cdot M(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{p}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ B \prec (M^{\bar{0}} - E_{i,j} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{i,j})}} f_{B,\mathbf{p}''} B(\mathbf{p}''). \quad (9.2.7)$$

Then by (9.2.6) and (9.2.7), we have

$$G_{\bar{i}} M(\mathbf{p}) = f_{M'''}^- (M^{\bar{0}} - E_{i,j} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{i,j})(\mathbf{p}'_{M'''}) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{p}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ B \prec (M^{\bar{0}} - E_{i,j} | M^{\bar{1}} + E_{i,j})}} f_{B,\mathbf{p}''} B(\mathbf{p}''), \quad (9.2.8)$$

where $\mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $f_{M'''}^-, f_{B,\mathbf{p}''} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, and $f \neq 0$.

By (9.2.4), (9.2.5), (9.2.8) together with the same discussion for each Q in (9.2.4), we obtain

$$\prod_{n \geq i \geq j+1} P_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \bar{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} A_{j+1}(\mathbf{p}) = f' A_j(\mathbf{p}'_{A_j}) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ \mathbf{p}'' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, B \prec A_j}} f_{B,\mathbf{p}''} B(\mathbf{p}''),$$

where $\mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $f, f_{B,\mathbf{p}''} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \prod_{n \geq i \geq j+1} P_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \bar{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} \right) \cdot \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1} [G_{\bar{j}}^{a_{j,j}^{\bar{1}}} \left(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} P_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \bar{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} \right)] \\ &= f'_A A(\mathbf{p}_A) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ \mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, B \prec A}} f_{B,\mathbf{p}'} B(\mathbf{p}'), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{p}_A \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $f'_A, f_{B,\mathbf{p}'} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, and $f'_A \neq 0$. Applying Proposition 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G}^j \cdot \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \prod_{n \geq i \geq j+1} P_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \bar{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} \right) \cdot \prod_{n \geq j \geq 1} [G_{\bar{j}}^{a_{j,j}^{\bar{1}}} \left(\prod_{j-1 \geq i \geq 1} P_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{0}}} \bar{P}_{i,j}^{a_{i,j}^{\bar{1}}} \right)] \\ &= f_{A,j} A(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{p}_A) + \sum_{\substack{B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm \\ \mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, B \prec A}} f_{B,\mathbf{p}'} B(\mathbf{p}'), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{A,j}, f_{B,\mathbf{p}'} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$, and $f_{A,j} \neq 0$.

By Proposition 8.1, the set $\mathcal{L} = \{A(\mathbf{p}_A + \mathbf{j}) \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$. Hence the result is proved. \square

Theorem 9.3. *The vector space $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$ is a superalgebra generated by the elements $G_i^{\pm 1}$, $G_{\bar{i}}$, X_j , $X_{\bar{j}}$, Y_j , $Y_{\bar{j}}$, ($1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$). Furthermore, the image of the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -superalgebra homomorphism ξ_n given in Theorem 7.4 is $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$ and ξ_n induces a superalgebra isomorphism $\xi_n : U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{A}_v(n)$.*

Proof. Theorem 7.4 shows ξ_n is an algebra homomorphism into $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n)$. Theorem 8.9 and Proposition 7.5 show $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$ is a superalgebra generated by the elements $G_i, G_i^{-1}, G_{\bar{i}}, X_j, X_{\bar{j}}, Y_j, Y_{\bar{j}}$, which means the image of ξ_n is $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$, and ξ_n is an epimorphism onto $\mathfrak{A}_v(n)$. Proposition 9.1 and 9.2 show ξ_n is injective and hence is an isomorphism. \square

As a consequence, there is a superalgebra epimorphism

$$\eta_r : \quad \mathfrak{A}_v(n) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_v(n, r), \quad A(\mathbf{j}) \mapsto A(\mathbf{j}, r). \quad (9.3.1)$$

Because of the isomorphism ξ_n , we can identify $G_i^{\pm 1}, G_{\bar{i}}, X_j, X_{\bar{j}}, Y_j, Y_{\bar{j}}$ with $K_i^{\pm 1}, K_{\bar{i}}, E_j, E_{\bar{j}}, F_j, F_{\bar{j}}$ resp., for all $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Then one can directly write down the multiplication formulas for the generators $G_i^{\pm 1}, G_{\bar{i}}, X_j, X_{\bar{j}}, Y_j, Y_{\bar{j}}$ with the basis $\{A(\mathbf{j}) \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)^\pm, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$.

Acknowledgement: The first author acknowledges the support from UNSW Science FRG. The second author acknowledges the support from NSFC-12071129. The third author would like to thank the support from Professor Yanan Lin in Xiamen University, and NSFC-11871404. The fourth author is supported by NSFC-12122101 and NSFC-12071026.

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF PROPOSITIONS 6.5(2), 6.8, 6.9

Proof of Proposition 6.5(2). By Proposition 3.2, (6.0.1) and Proposition 4.3(3), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{h+1,h}|O)(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (A^{\bar{0}}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \Phi_{(E_{h+1,h} + \text{ro}(A) + \lambda - \epsilon_h|O)} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda|A^{\bar{1}})} \\ &= \mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_2 - \mathcal{Y}_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_1 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \sum_{k=1}^n q^{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (A+\lambda)_{h+1,j}} \llbracket (A+\lambda)_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}})}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_2 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \sum_{k=1}^n q^{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (A+\lambda)_{h+1,j} + (A+\lambda)_{h,k} - 1} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k})}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_3 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \sum_{k=1}^n q^{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (A+\lambda)_{h+1,j} + (A+\lambda)_{h,k} - 2} \\ &\quad \cdot \llbracket (A+\lambda)_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q,q^2} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda + 2E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k})}. \end{aligned}$$

To compute \mathcal{Y}_1 , we consider the four cases $k < h, k = h, k = h+1$ and $k > h+1$ similar to the approach using in (6.6.1) and then by Lemma 6.1(2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_1 &= \sum_{k < h} q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} \llbracket a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\ &\quad + q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h} v^{j_h} \llbracket a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h+1,h}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\ &\quad + \frac{q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1}}}{(q-1)v^{j_{h+1}}} \{ (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) - (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) \} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} \llbracket a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k}|A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r), \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_2 = & \sum_{k < h} q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + a_{h,k} - 1} (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k}) (\mathbf{j}, r) \\ & + q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h} (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\ & + q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1} + a_{h,h+1} - 1} (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h+1}) (\mathbf{j}, r) \\ & + \sum_{k > h+1} q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + a_{h,k} - 1} (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r),\end{aligned}$$

where in the computation the fact $\Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h})}$ appears when $a_{h,h}^{\bar{1}} = 1$ is used. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_3 = & \sum_{k < h} q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + a_{h,k} - 2} \llbracket a_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2, q} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k}) (\mathbf{j}, r) \\ & + q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h - 1} \llbracket a_{h+1,h} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2, q} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h+1,h} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\ & + q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1} + a_{h,h+1} - 2} \frac{1}{(q^2 - 1)v^{2j_{h+1}}} \{ (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1}) (\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \\ & \quad - (q + 1)(A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \\ & \quad + q(A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1}) (\mathbf{j}, r) \} \\ & + \sum_{k > h+1} q^{\overleftarrow{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + a_{h,k} - 2} \llbracket a_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2, q} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k} - E_{h+1,k}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r),\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda + 2E_{h+1,h} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h})}$ appears only when $a_{h,h}^{\bar{1}} = 1 = a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{1}}$ and $\Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda + 2E_{h+1,h+1} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1})}$ appears only when $a_{h+1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} = 1 = a_{h,h+1}^{\bar{1}}$. Hence (2) is proved. \square

Proof of Proposition 6.8. Observe that for any $\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)$, $(-1)^{p(\mu | E_{h,h+1}) \cdot p(A)} = (-1)^{p(A)}$.

By the assumption that for each $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)$ with $(A + \lambda)_{h+1,k} \geq 1$, $(A + \lambda)_{h,k}^+$ satisfies SDP condition at (h, k) , we have via Proposition 3.2, (6.0.1) and Proposition 5.3

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{O} | E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|) \\ \mu \in \Lambda(n, r - 1) \\ \text{co}(\mu + E_{h,h+1}) = \text{ro}(A + \lambda)}} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{0}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \Phi_{(\mu | E_{h,h+1})} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda | A^{\bar{1}})} \\ &= (-1)^{p(A)} (\mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_2 + \mathcal{Y}_3),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_1 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{0}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\sum_{u=k+1}^n (A + \lambda)_{h,u} + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k})} \\ \mathcal{Y}_2 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{0}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1 - a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\sum_{u=k+1}^n (A + \lambda)_{h,u}} \llbracket (A + \lambda)_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k})} \\ \mathcal{Y}_3 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{0}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\sum_{u=k+1}^n (A + \lambda)_{h,u} - 1 + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket (A + \lambda)_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2, q} \\ &\quad \cdot \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda - E_{h+1,k} + 2E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})}.\end{aligned}$$

Direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_1 = & \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\ & + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^h + a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{1}}} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,h}) (\mathbf{j}, r) \\ & + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h+1}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^{h+1} + a_{h+1,h+1}^{\bar{1}}} v^{j_{h+1}} (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,h+1}) (\mathbf{j}, r) \\ & + \sum_{k > h+1} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h,k}) (\mathbf{j}, r), \end{aligned}$$

Again similar to (6.6.1), by Lemma 6.1(2) one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_2 = & \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1 - a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\ & + \frac{(-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + 1 - a_{h,h}^{\bar{1}}}}{(q-1)v^{j_h}} \{ (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) - (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h}) (\mathbf{j}, r) \} \\ & + \sum_{k > h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1 - a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k} \llbracket a_{h,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k}) (\mathbf{j}, r). \end{aligned}$$

Finally direct calculation using Lemma 6.1(1) shows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_3 = & \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2,q} q^{\vec{r}_h^k - 1 + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} + 2E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\ & + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}}} \frac{q^{\vec{r}_h^h - 1 + a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{1}}}}{(q^2 - 1)v^{2j_h}} \{ (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h}) (\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_h, r) \\ & \quad - (q+1)(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h}) (\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\ & \quad + v^2(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,h} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h}) (\mathbf{j}, r) \} \\ & + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h+1}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^{h+1} - 1 + a_{h+1,h+1}^{\bar{1}}} v^{j_{h+1}} \llbracket a_{h,h+1} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2,q} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h,h+1} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1}) (\mathbf{j}, r) \\ & + \sum_{k > h+1} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\vec{r}_h^k - 1 + a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{1}}} \llbracket a_{h,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2,q} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h+1,k} + 2E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k}) (\mathbf{j}, r), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is due to fact that $\Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda - E_{h+1,h+1} + 2E_{h,h} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h})}$ appears only when $a_{h,h}^1 = 1$. Putting together and replacing q by v^2 , we have proved the proposition. \square

Proof of Proposition 6.9. Observe that for any $\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)$, $(-1)^{p(\mu|E_{h+1,h}) \cdot p(A)} = (-1)^{p(A)}$.

By the assumption for any $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)$, $(A + \lambda)$ satisfies the SDP condition on the h -th row, we compute by Proposition 3.2, Proposition 5.4 and (6.0.1)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{O}|E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{0}, r) \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}})(\mathbf{j}, r) &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r-1)} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{0}} \Phi_{(\mu|E_{h+1,h})} \cdot \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r - |A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda | A^{\bar{1}})} \\ &= (-1)^{p(A)} (\mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_2 + \mathcal{Y}_3), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_1 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot j} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (A+\lambda)_{h+1,j}} \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda - E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h+1,k})} \\
\mathcal{Y}_2 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot j} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1} q^{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (A+\lambda)_{h+1,j} - 1} \llbracket (A+\lambda)_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2, q} \\
&\quad \cdot \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda - E_{h,k} + 2E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k})} \\
\mathcal{Y}_3 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r-|A|)} v^{\lambda \cdot j} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1} q^{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (A+\lambda)_{h+1,j} + (A+\lambda)_{h,k} - 1} \llbracket (A+\lambda)_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q \\
&\quad \cdot \Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda + E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})}
\end{aligned}$$

Direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_1 &= \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,h}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h} v^{jh} (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,h+1}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1}} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}}} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k} (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} | A^{\bar{1}} + E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r).
\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile by Lemma 6.1(1) and the fact that $\Phi_{(A^{\bar{0}} + \lambda - E_{h,h} + 2E_{h+1,h+1} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h+1})}$ appears only when $a_{h+1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} = 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_2 &= \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k - 1} (\llbracket a_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2} - \llbracket a_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_q) \\
&\quad \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} + 2E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,h}^{\bar{1}} + 1} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h - 1} v^{jh} \llbracket a_{h+1,h} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2, q} (A^{\bar{0}} + 2E_{h+1,h} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + 1} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1} - 1} \frac{1}{(q^2 - 1)v^{2jh+1}} \{ (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j} + 4\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \\
&\quad - (q+1)(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \\
&\quad + q(A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,h+1} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j}, r) \} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + a_{h,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k - 1} \llbracket a_{h+1,k} + 1 \rrbracket_{q^2, q} \\
&\quad \cdot (A^{\bar{0}} - E_{h,k} + 2E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h+1,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally straightforward computation leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_3 &= \sum_{k < h} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + a_{h,k} - 1} \llbracket a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j}, r) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h}^{\bar{1}} + 1} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^h} \llbracket a_{h+1,h}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h+1,h} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_h, r) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,h+1}^{\bar{1}} + 1} \frac{q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^{h+1} + a_{h,h+1} - 1}}{(q-1)v^{jh+1}} \{ (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r) \\
&\quad - (A^{\bar{0}} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,h+1})(\mathbf{j}, r) \} \quad (\text{by Lemma 6.1 (1)}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k > h+1} (-1)^{\tilde{a}_{h-1,k}^{\bar{1}} + 1} q^{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{h+1}^k + a_{h,k} - 1} \llbracket a_{h+1,k}^{\bar{0}} + 1 \rrbracket_q (A^{\bar{0}} + E_{h+1,k} | A^{\bar{1}} - E_{h,k})(\mathbf{j} + 2\epsilon_{h+1}, r).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the proposition is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [BW] H. Bao and W. Wang, *A new approach to Kazhdan-Lusztig theory of type B via quantum symmetric pairs*, *Astérisque* **402**, 2018, vii+134pp.
- [BKLW] H. Bao, J. Kujawa, Y. Li and W. Wang, *Geometric Schur Duality of Classical Type*, *Transformation Groups* **23** (2018), 329–389.
- [BLM] A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig, R. MacPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n* , *Duke Mathematical Journal* **61** (1990) 655–677.
- [BGJKW] Benkart, G., N. Guay, J. Jung, S.-J. Kang, and S. Wilcox, *Quantum Walled Brauer-Clifford Superalgebras*, *Journal of Algebra* **454** (2016), 433–474.
- [BK1] J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, *Projective representations of symmetric groups via Sergeev duality*, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **239** (2002), 27–68.
- [BK2] J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, *Modular representations of the supergroup $Q(n)$, I*, *Journal of Algebra* **260** (2003), 64–98.
- [BKu] J. Brundan, J. Kujawa, *A new proof of the Mullineux conjecture*, *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* **18** (2003), 13–39.
- [CW] S.-J. Cheng, W. Wang, *Dualities and Representations of Lie Superalgebras*, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, Volume 144, American Mathematical Society Providence, Rhode Island, 2012.
- [DDF] B. Deng, J. Du, Q. Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to quantum Schur–Weyl theory*, *London Mathematical Society, Lecture Note Series*, Vol. 401, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [DDPW] B. Deng, J. Du, B. Parshall, J. Wang, *Finite Dimensional Algebras and Quantum Groups*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Volume 150, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [Du] J. Du, *Cells in certain sets of matrices*, *Tôhoku Mathematical Journal*, **48**(1996), 417–427.
- [DF2] J. Du and Q. Fu, *A modified BLM approach to quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n* , *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **266** (2010), 747–781.
- [DF] J. Du, Q. Fu, *Quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n via Hecke algebras*, *Advances in Mathematics* **282** (2015), 23–46.
- [DG] J. Du, H. Gu, *A realization of the quantum supergroup $U(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$* , *Journal of Algebra* **404** (2014), 60–99.
- [DGZ] J. Du, H. Gu, Z. Zhou, *Multiplication formulas and semisimplicity for q -Schur superalgebras*, *Nagoya Mathematical Journal* **237** (2020) 98–126.
- [DR] Du, J. and H. Rui, *Quantum Schur superalgebras and Kazhdan–Lusztig combinatorics*. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 215, no. 11 (2011), 2715–37.
- [DLZ] J. Du, Y. Lin, Z. Zhou, *Quantum queer supergroups via v -differential operators*, *Journal of Algebra*, **599** (2022), 48–103.
- [DW1] J. Du and J. Wan, *Presenting queer Schur superalgebras*, *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2015, no.8, 2210–2272.
- [DW2] J. Du and J. Wan, *The queer q -Schur superalgebra*, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society* **105** (2018), 316–346, doi:10.1017/S 1446788717000337.
- [DWu1] J. Du and Y. Wu, *A new realisation of the i -quantum group $U^j(n)$* , *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, **226** (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 106793, 27 pp.
- [DWu2] J. Du and Y. Wu, *The i -quantum group $U^i(n)$* , *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, to appear.
- [F] Q. Fu, *On Schur algebras and little Schur algebras*, *Journal of Algebra*, **322** (2009), 1637–1652.
- [GV] V. Ginzburg, E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n* . *International Mathematics Research Notices* **3** (1993), 67–85,
- [GJKK] D. Grantcharov, J. H. Jung, S.-J. Kang, and M. Kim. *Highest weight modules over quantum queer superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{q}(n))$* , *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **296** (2010), 827–860.
- [GJKKK] D. Grantcharov, J. H. Jung, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, and M. Kim, *Crystal bases for the quantum queer superalgebra and semistandard decomposition tableaux* *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **366** (2014), 457–489.
- [GLL] H. Gu, Z. Li, Y. Lin, *The integral Schur–Weyl–Sergeev duality*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **226** (2022).
- [L] G. Lusztig, *Aperiodicity in quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n* , *Asian Journal of Mathematics* **3** (1999), 147–177.
- [Ol] G. I. Olshanski, *Quantized Universal Enveloping Superalgebra of Type Q and a Super-Extension of the Hecke Algebra*, *Letters in Mathematical Physics* **24** (1992), 93–102.
- [Ser] A. N. Sergeev, *The tensor algebra of the identity representation as a module over the lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n, m)$ and $Q(n)$* , *Math. USSR Sbornik* **51** (1985), 419–427.

JIE DU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, UNSW SYDNEY 2052, AUSTRALIA

Email address: j.du@unsw.edu.au

HAIXIA GU, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUZHOU 313000, CHINA

Email address: ghx@zjhu.edu.cn

ZHENHUA LI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN 361005, CHINA

Email address: zhen-hua.li@qq.com

JINKUI WAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING 100081, CHINA

Email address: wjk302@gmail.com