

Revisiting Semistrong Edge-Coloring of Graphs

Borut Lužar^{*,†} Martina Mockovčiaková[‡] Roman Soták[§]

August 30, 2022

Abstract

A matching M in a graph G is *semistrong* if every edge of M has an endvertex of degree one in the subgraph induced by the vertices of M . A *semistrong edge-coloring* of a graph G is a proper edge-coloring in which every color class induces a semistrong matching. In this paper, we continue investigation of properties of semistrong edge-colorings initiated by Gyárfás and Hubenko (Semistrong edge coloring of graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 49 (2005), 39–47). We establish tight upper bounds for general graphs and for graphs with maximum degree 3. We also present bounds about semistrong edge-coloring which follow from results regarding other, at first sight non-related, problems. We conclude the paper with several open problems.

Keywords: semistrong edge-coloring, semistrong chromatic index, induced matching, strong edge-coloring.

1 Introduction

A *proper edge-coloring* of a simple graph G is an assignment of colors to its edges such that adjacent edges receive distinct colors. A proper edge-coloring can also be seen as a decomposition of the edge set in a set of matchings in which every matching represents the edges of one color. The least integer k for which G admits a proper edge-coloring with k colors is called the *chromatic index* of G and denoted $\chi'(G)$. On the other hand, an edge-coloring in which edges of the same color comprise an *induced matching* (also called a *strong matching*), i.e., a set of edges such that the distance between endvertices of any two edges is at least 2, is a *strong edge-coloring* of G . The least integer k for which G admits a strong edge-coloring with k colors is called the *strong chromatic index* of G and denoted $\chi'_s(G)$.

While Vizing [17] proved that the chromatic index of a graph G attains one of just two values, $\Delta(G)$ or $\Delta(G) + 1$, the interval of possible values for $\chi'_s(G)$ is much wider; namely, between $\Delta(G)$ and (at least) $\frac{5}{4}\Delta(G)^2$. Note that the lower bound increases to $2\Delta(G) - 1$ if there are two vertices of maximum degree adjacent in G . The complete classification

^{*}Faculty of Information Studies in Novo mesto, Slovenia. E-Mail: borut.luzar@gmail.com

[†]University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Slovenia.

[‡]Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of West Bohemia, Pilsen, Czech Republic.

[§]Faculty of Science, Pavol Jozef Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia. E-Mail: roman.sotak@upjs.sk

of regular graphs attaining this lower bound was just recently obtained in [14]. The upper bound $\frac{5}{4}\Delta(G)^2$ was conjectured by Erdős and Nešetřil in 1985 (see [5]), who also provided constructions of graphs attaining this upper bound. Despite many efforts (see, e.g., [2, 3, 15]), the best known upper bound to date is $1.772\Delta(G)^2$ for large enough $\Delta(G)$ due to Hurley et al. [13].

Apart from the general case, determining strong chromatic index for special classes of graphs received a lot of attention and therefore it is not surprising that relaxed variants of strong edge-colorings appeared. Roughly, we can divide them into three types:

- (A) improper strong edge-colorings, where some edges at distance 1 or 2 from an edge can receive the same color (see, e.g., [11]);
- (B) $(1^a, 2^b)$ -packing edge-colorings, where the set of edges is decomposed into at most a matchings and at most b induced matchings (see, e.g., [12]);
- (C) edge-colorings in which edges of every color induce a matching with particular properties (see, e.g., [1, 7, 9]).

Although it might not seem so at first sight, the edge-colorings of all three types are very much related. In this paper, by continuing the work of Gyárfás and Hubenko initiated in [9], we consider properties of the semistrong edge-coloring, which can be classified as type C. A *semistrong edge-coloring* is a proper edge-coloring in which the edges of every color class induce a semistrong matching, where a matching M of a graph G is *semistrong* if every edge of M has an end-vertex of degree one in the induced subgraph $G[V(M)]$. The least integer k such that G admits a semistrong edge-coloring with at most k colors is the *semistrong chromatic index* of G and denoted by $\chi'_{ss}(G)$.

The above definition does not allow existence of a semistrong edge-coloring in any graph with parallel edges, since both endvertices of any parallel edge e have degree more than 1 in the graph induced by e . Therefore, in multigraphs, we only require, for a proper edge-coloring of a multigraph G to be also semistrong, that the edges of every color class induce a semistrong matching in the underlying graph of G , i.e., the graph, in which every two vertices adjacent in G are connected by exactly one edge.

Clearly, every strong matching is also semistrong, and so, for every graph G , we have

$$\nu_s(G) \leq \nu_{ss}(G) \leq \nu(G),$$

where by $\nu(G)$, $\nu_s(G)$, and $\nu_{ss}(G)$ we denote the maximum size of a matching, strong matching, and semistrong matching of G , respectively. Consequently,

$$\chi'(G) \leq \chi'_{ss}(G) \leq \chi'_s(G).$$

Although the above series of inequalities is trivial, no better general upper bound than the bound for the strong edge-coloring has been known for the semistrong edge-coloring of general graphs. In this paper, we prove the following.

Theorem 1. *For every graph G , we have*

$$\chi'_{ss}(G) \leq \Delta(G)^2.$$

Let us note that there are graphs G for which $\chi'_{ss}(G) = \chi'_s(G)$, e.g., the complete and the complete bipartite graphs. The equality for the two classes is a simple consequence

of the fact that in a semistrong edge-coloring the edges of every 4-cycle must be colored with four distinct colors. Therefore,

$$\chi'_{ss}(K_n) = \chi'_s(K_n) = \binom{n}{2}$$

and

$$\chi'_{ss}(K_{m,n}) = \chi'_s(K_{m,n}) = m \cdot n. \quad (1)$$

In [9], the authors found two additional families of graphs with the same value of strong and semistrong chromatic indices; namely the Kneser and the subset graphs. For two positive integers n and k , with $k \leq n$, a *Kneser graph* $K(n, k)$ is a graph whose vertex set consists of all k -subsets of an n -element set, and two vertices are connected if and only if the corresponding sets are disjoint. A *subset graph* $S_n(k, \ell)$, for $0 \leq k \leq \ell \leq n$, is a bipartite graph where the partition vertex sets are the k - and ℓ -subsets of the n element set, and two vertices (subsets) are connected if and only if one of them is contained in the other. Extending the strong edge-coloring results for the two classes due to [6] and [16], Gyárfás and Hubenko proved the following.

Theorem 2 (Gyárfás & Hubenko [9]).

(A) For every Kneser graph $K(n, k)$ it holds $\chi'_s(K(n, k)) = \chi'_{ss}(K(n, k)) = \binom{n}{2k}$.

(B) For every subset graph $S_n(k, \ell)$ it holds $\chi'_s(S_n(k, \ell)) = \chi'_{ss}(S_n(k, \ell)) = \binom{n}{\ell-k}$.

Additionally, the above authors considered the equality of the two invariant for the n -dimensional cubes and conjectured that $\nu_s(Q_n) = \nu_{ss}(Q_n) = 2^{n-2}$, for every $n \geq 2$. As noted by Gregor [8], the conjecture was established by Diwan [4], who in fact considered the problem of the minimum forcing number, most likely being unaware of resolving another conjecture.

Theorem 3 (Diwan [4]). For every integer $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\nu_s(Q_n) = \nu_{ss}(Q_n) = 2^{n-2}.$$

Furthermore, in [6] it was proved that $\nu_s(Q_n) = 2^{n-2}$ and $\chi'_s(Q_n) = 2n$, from which it can be concluded that the following holds.

Corollary 1 (Diwan [4] & Faudree et al. [6]). For every integer $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\chi'_{ss}(Q_n) = \chi'_s(Q_n) = 2n.$$

Another graph family for which the semistrong chromatic index is completely determined are trees. The strong chromatic index of a tree T is at most $2\Delta(T) - 1$ [6]. In the semistrong setting, the bound is much lower as follows from the result due to He and Lin [11] who considered the (s, t) -relaxed strong edge-coloring, i.e., an edge-coloring, in which, for every edge e of a graph G , the number of edges adjacent to e having the same color as e is at most s , and the number of edges at distance 2 from e having the same color as e is at most t . The corresponding chromatic index is (s, t) -relaxed strong chromatic index, denoted by $\chi'_{(s,t)}(G)$. They proved that, for every tree T , if $s = 0$ and $t = \Delta(T) - 1$, then $\chi'_{(s,t)}(T) \leq \Delta(T) + 1$ [11, Lemma 5.1]. For the proof, they provided a construction [11, Algorithm 2] of an edge-coloring using at most $\Delta(T) + 1$ colors that is also a semistrong edge-coloring, and thus they also proved the following.

Corollary 2 (He & Lin [11]). *For every tree T it holds*

$$\chi'_{ss}(T) \leq \Delta(T) + 1.$$

Moreover, if T has diameter at most 4, then

$$\chi'_{ss}(T) = \Delta(T).$$

In this paper, we also present a tight result for graphs with maximum degree 3. From Theorem 1 it already follows that at most 9 colors are needed and that the bound is attained by $K_{3,3}$. We improve this bound as follows.

Theorem 4. *For every connected graph G with maximum degree 3, distinct from $K_{3,3}$, we have*

$$\chi'_{ss}(G) \leq 8.$$

Note that the bound in Theorem 4 is tight, since the semistrong chromatic index of the 5-prism is 8. This follows from the fact that the size of any maximum semistrong matching in the 5-prism is 2, while it has 15 edges.




Figure 1: The semistrong chromatic index of $K_{3,3}$ and the 5-prism is 9 and 8, respectively.

The structure of the paper is the following. We begin by presenting notation, definitions and auxiliary results in Section 2. In Sections 3 and 4, we prove Theorems 1 and 4, respectively, and finally, in Section 5, we discuss several additional edge-colorings related to the semistrong edge-coloring and conclude with some open problems and suggestions for further work.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce terminology, notation, and some auxiliary result.

When constructing a semistrong edge-coloring with at most k colors, we always assume that the colors are taken from the set of the first k positive integers; as customary, we write $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$. We also abuse the notation and denote the set of colors appearing on the edges from a set X in a semistrong edge-coloring σ as $\sigma(X)$.

Given a partial semistrong edge-coloring σ , a color α is *available* for an edge e if there is no edge at distance at most 2 from e colored with α . The set of available colors for an edge e is denoted $A_\sigma(e)$, or simply, $A(e)$. A color α is *forbidden* for an edge e if coloring e with α violates the assumptions of the semistrong edge-coloring.

With sets of available colors defined, in several cases, we will use the following application of Hall's Marriage Theorem [10].

Theorem 5. *Let G be a graph and σ a partial semistrong edge-coloring of G . Let $X = \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ be the set of non-colored edges of G . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{A(e_1), \dots, A(e_k)\}$. If for every subset $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ it holds that*

$$|\mathcal{X}| \leq \left| \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} X \right|,$$

then one can choose an available color for every edge in X such that all the edges receive distinct colors.

By $C(v)$ we denote the set of colors of the edges incident with v . Throughout the paper, we sometimes simply write ‘coloring’ instead of ‘semistrong edge-coloring’.

By $N(uv)$, we denote the set of edges adjacent to uv , i.e., the edge-neighborhood of uv , and by $N^2(uv)$, we denote the set of edges at distance 1 or 2 from uv , i.e., the 2-edge-neighborhood of uv . Similarly, by $N_u(uv)$, we denote the set of edges adjacent to uv with u being one of their endvertices, and by $N_u^2(uv)$, we denote the set of edges at distance 1 or 2 from uv such that every edge e in $N_u^2(uv)$ has u as an endvertex or there is an edge having u as endvertex, connecting e and uv .

3 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we prove a stronger result than Theorem 1; namely, we establish the following.

Theorem 6. *For every graph G , we have*

$$\chi'_{(0,1)}(G) \leq \Delta(G)^2.$$

Moreover, there is always a $(0,1)$ -relaxed strong edge-coloring of G using at most $\Delta(G)^2$ colors such that the edges of every color induce a semistrong matching.

Clearly, Theorem 1 is a direct corollary of Theorem 6. Note however that $(0,1)$ -relaxed strong edge-coloring without additional conditions is not equivalent to the semistrong edge-coloring, since in the former, e.g., non-rainbow 4-cycles can appear.

Proof. For every edge $e = uv \in E(G)$, let $L(e) = (N_u^2(e) \cap N_v^2(e)) \setminus N(e)$ be the set of all edges at distance 2 from e lying in a common 4-cycle with e . Additionally, let $k(e) = |N(e)|$ and $\ell(e) = |L(e)|$. Observe that $k(e) \leq 2(\Delta(G) - 1)$, $\ell(e) \leq (\Delta(G) - 1)^2$ and $|N^2(e)| \leq 2(\Delta(G) - 1)\Delta(G) - \ell(e)$.

Let $\sigma(G)$ be a proper edge-coloring of G with at most $\Delta(G)^2$ colors such that every edge e receives a color distinct from all colors of edges in $L(e)$. Note that such a coloring always exists, since there are at most $k(e) + \ell(e) \leq \Delta(G)^2 - 1$ conflicts for every edge e , and therefore one can, e.g., simply take a greedy approach to find it.

We now proceed by a contradiction. Among all possible above described colorings, let $\sigma(G)$ be a coloring with the minimum number of *distance-2 conflicts*, i.e., pairs of edges at distance 2 receiving the same colors. Denote the number of distance-2 conflicts for the coloring $\sigma(G)$ by $\iota(\sigma)$. Observe that if every edge e has at most one distance-2 conflict, then $\sigma(G)$ is a semistrong edge-coloring. Thus, we may assume that there exists an edge e' having at least two distance-2 conflicts.

There are at most $k(e') + \ell(e') \leq \Delta(G)^2 - 1$ colors that cannot be used for e' . Moreover, if there is some other color α used at most once on the edges from $N^2(e') \setminus (N(e') \cup L(e'))$,

then we recolor e' with α and so decrease $\iota(\sigma)$, a contradiction. Therefore, the number of colors in $N^2(e')$ is at most

$$k(e') + \ell(e') + \frac{1}{2}(|N^2(e')| - k(e') - \ell(e')) \leq \Delta(G)^2 - 1.$$

So, we can recolor the edge e' with an available color and decrease $\iota(\sigma)$, a contradiction. \square

Let us note here that the above proof implies that less than $\Delta(G)^2$ colors suffice for every graph in which no edge has both endvertices of maximum degree.

4 Proof of Theorem 4

In this section, we improve the upper bound obtained in the previous section for the class of graphs with maximum degree 3.

Proof of Theorem 4. We prove the theorem by a contradiction. Suppose that G is the minimal counterexample, i.e., a connected subcubic graph distinct from $K_{3,3}$ with $\chi'_{ss}(G) = 9$ and minimum number of edges among all such graphs; this means that G has at least 9 edges. We continue by establishing additional structural properties of G .

Claim 1. G does not contain parallel edges.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there are two edges, e_1 and e_2 , both connecting vertices u and v in G . By the minimality of G , there exists a semistrong edge-coloring σ of $G' = G \setminus \{e_1\}$ with at most 8 colors. Note that σ is a partial semistrong edge-coloring of G (with only e_1 being non-colored), since the distances between the edges in G' are the same as the distances between the edges in G . Since there are at most 7 edges in $N^2(e_1)$, there is at least 1 available color for e_1 . Therefore, we can color e_1 , and hence extend σ to all edges of G , a contradiction. \blacklozenge

Claim 2. G is 2-connected.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a cut-vertex v in G . In the setting of subcubic graphs this also means that there is a bridge uv in G . Let G_u (resp., G_v) be the component of $G \setminus \{uv\}$ containing u (resp., v). By the minimality, there is a semistrong edge-coloring σ_u of G_u with at most 8 colors, and similarly, there is a semistrong edge-coloring σ_v of G_v with at most 8 colors.

The colorings σ_u and σ_v induce a partial proper edge-coloring σ of G with only uv being non-colored. Note that σ might not be semistrong, since the colors of the edges incident with u and v may be in conflict. Therefore, we permute the colors of σ_v in such a way that $\sigma(N_v(uv)) \cap \sigma(N_u(uv)) = \emptyset$ and $|\sigma(N^2(uv))| \leq 6$. Note that this can be done, since there are at most four edges in $N_v^2(uv) \setminus N_v(uv)$. This means that there is an available color for the edge uv , and hence we can extend σ to all the edges of G , a contradiction. \blacklozenge

Claim 3. There are no adjacent triangles in G .

Proof. Clearly, G is not isomorphic to K_4 as it admits a strong edge-coloring with 6 colors. Therefore, we may assume, for a contradiction, that there are two adjacent triangles in G , (u, w_1, w_2) and (v, w_1, w_2) , where u and v are not adjacent. Let u_1 and v_1 be the neighbors of u and v , respectively, distinct from w_1 and w_2 . Note that u_1 and v_1 exist and are distinct, since G has at least 9 edges and is 2-connected.

Now, consider the graph $G' = G \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$. Note first that by Claim 2, G' is connected. It is not isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$, and thus admits a semistrong edge-coloring σ with at most 8 colors due to the minimality of G . The coloring σ induces a partial semistrong edge-coloring of G , in which only the edges incident with the two adjacent triangles are non-colored. Observe that w_1w_2 has at least 6 available colors, while the other four non-colored edges have at least 4 available colors each. This means that by Theorem 5, we can color them and hence extend σ to all the edges of G , a contradiction. \blacklozenge

Claim 4. *There is no 4-cycle adjacent to a triangle in G .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a 3-cycle $T = (u, w_1, w_2)$ and a 4-cycle $F = (v, v', w_1, w_2)$ in G . By Claims 1 and 3, the vertex u is distinct from the vertices v and v' , and uv , uv' are not the edges of G . Consequently, vv' and uw_1 may receive the same color in a semistrong edge-coloring.

Consider the graph $G' = G \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$. By Claim 2, G' is connected, not isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$, and therefore, by the minimality of G , it admits a semistrong edge-coloring σ using at most 8 colors. Consider the partial edge-coloring of G induced by σ . First, we uncolor the edge vv' . We infer that vv' has at least 2 available colors, $v'w_1$ and vw_2 have at least 3 each, uw_1 and uw_2 have at least 4 each, and w_1w_2 has at least 5 available colors. If $A(vv') \cap A(uw_1) \neq \emptyset$, then we can color the edges vv' and uw_1 with the same color, and the remaining four non-colored edges are colorable by Theorem 5, a contradiction. Thus, we may assume that $A(vv') \cap A(uw_1) = \emptyset$, meaning that $|A(vv') \cup A(uw_1)| \geq 6$. This means that the union of available colors of any five (or six) non-colored edges is of size at least 5 (or 6), and we can again apply Theorem 5 to extend the coloring to all edges of G , a contradiction. \blacklozenge

Claim 5. *No triangle in G is incident with a 2-vertex.*

Proof. By Claim 2, every triangle in G is incident with at most one 2-vertex. Now, suppose the contrary and let $T = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ be a triangle incident with a 2-vertex v_1 . Then, by the minimality, $G' = G \setminus \{v_1\}$ admits a semistrong edge-coloring σ using at most 8 colors. The coloring σ induces a partial semistrong edge-coloring of G with only the edges v_1v_2 and v_1v_3 being non-colored. Since both non-colored edges have at least 3 available colors, we can extend σ to all edges of G , hence obtaining a contradiction. \blacklozenge

Claim 6. *There is no triangle in G .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $T = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ is a triangle in G . Let u_1, u_2, u_3 be the third neighbors of v_1, v_2 , and v_3 , respectively. By Claims 5, 3, and 4, we have that all three vertices u_1, u_2 , and u_3 exist, are distinct, and pairwise non-adjacent. We call an edge $u_i v_i$, for every $i \in [3]$, an *incoming edge*, and every edge in $E(T)$ a *triangle edge*. By the minimality, $G \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ admits a semistrong edge-coloring σ with at most 8 colors. We show that σ can be extended to all edges of G .

Note that there are at least 2 available colors for every incoming edge and at least 4 available colors for every triangle edge. Moreover, note also that an incoming edge must

have a color distinct from the color of the opposite triangle edge. On the other hand, incoming edges may receive the same colors, since they do not belong to a common 4-cycle as u_i 's are not adjacent.

Suppose first that $|\bigcap_{i=1}^3 A(u_i v_i)| \geq 1$ and let 1 be the color available for all the three edges $u_i v_i$. In this case, color all three edges by 1. There remain at least 3 available colors for each triangle edge, so we can complete the coloring.

Hence, we may assume that $|\bigcap_{i=1}^3 A(u_i v_i)| = 0$. We consider two subcases.

- (i) *Two incoming edges have a common available color, say $1 \in A(u_1 v_1) \cap A(u_2 v_2)$.* In this case, we may assume that $A(u_3 v_3) = \{2, 3\}$. Color the edges $u_1 v_1$ and $u_2 v_2$ with 1. Next, consider the available colors of the triangle edges (after coloring the two incoming edges). If $|\bigcup_{e \in E(T)} A(e) \setminus \{2, 3\}| \geq 2$, then there exists a coloring of the four non-colored edges by Theorem 5.

Thus, we may assume that $A(v_1 v_2) = A(v_2 v_3) = A(v_1 v_3) = \{2, 3, 4\}$. This in particular means that the edges incident with the vertices u_1 , u_2 , and u_3 , distinct from the incoming edges, are colored with the colors $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$. Moreover, for every pair of vertices u_i and u_j , $i \neq j, i, j \in [3]$, the union of colors on their incident edges (without the color 1) has cardinality 4. As this is not possible, we reached a contradiction.

- (ii) *All the available colors of the incoming edges are distinct* (see Figure 2 for an illustration). Suppose first that there exists an available color, say 1, of some incoming




Figure 2: A triangle with all the available colors of the incoming edges distinct.

edge such that $|A(e) \setminus \{1\}| \geq 4$ for every triangle edge e . If

$$\left| \left(\bigcup_{e \in E(T)} A(e) \cup \{3, 4, 5, 6\} \right) \setminus \{1\} \right| \geq 5,$$

then we color $u_1 v_1$ by 1 and extend the coloring to the remaining five edges by Theorem 5. Thus, we may assume that $A(v_1 v_2) = A(v_2 v_3) = A(v_1 v_3) = \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Note that in this case $C(u_1) = \{1, 7, 8\}$, $C(u_2) = \{2, x\}$, and $C(u_3) = \{2, y\}$, where $\{x, y\} = \{7, 8\}$. This in particular means that before coloring $u_1 v_1$, the color 1 was available for all three triangle edges. Hence, we recolor $u_1 v_1$ by 2, and color the remaining five edges by Theorem 5.

Therefore, by symmetry, we may assume that every color from $[6]$ is available for some triangle edge. Hence, we infer that $|A(v_1 v_2) \cup A(v_2 v_3) \cup A(v_1 v_3)| \geq 6$ and we can color all the six edges by Theorem 5, a contradiction.

This establishes the claim. \blacklozenge

Claim 7. *No 4-cycle in G is incident with a 2-vertex.*

Proof. By Claim 2, we have that there are at most two 2-vertices incident with any 4-cycle. We again proceed by contradiction. Let $F = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ be a 4-cycle in G . Suppose first that the vertices v_1 and v_2 are 2-vertices (and thus v_3 and v_4 are 3-vertices). By the minimality of G , the graph $G' = G \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$ admits a semistrong edge-coloring σ using at most 8 colors. The coloring σ induces a partial coloring of G with only the three edges being non-colored. Since each of the three edges has at least 3 available colors, we can extend σ to G , a contradiction.

Thus, we may assume that in F , there is a pair of opposite 3-vertices, say v_1 and v_3 , and at least one 2-vertex, say v_2 . However, if v_4 is a 2-vertex also, then we proceed as in the proof of Claim 3. Thus, we may assume that $d(v_4) = 3$. Let u_1 and u_3 be the neighbors of v_1 and v_3 , respectively, not incident with F . In the case when $u_1 = u_3$, we consider a partial coloring of G obtained from a coloring of $G' = G \setminus \{v_2\}$. There are at least 2 available colors for the non-colored edges v_1v_2 and v_2v_3 , and so we can extend the coloring to all edges of G , a contradiction.

Therefore, we may assume that $u_1 \neq u_3$. Suppose first that u_1 and u_3 are adjacent. Let $G' = G \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Clearly, G' is not isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$ and so it admits a semistrong edge-coloring σ using at most 8 colors. In the coloring of G induced by σ , we uncolor the edge u_1u_3 , obtaining seven non-colored edges. The edge u_1u_3 has at least 2 available colors, u_1v_1 and u_3v_3 have at least 3, v_1v_2 and v_2v_3 have at least 6, and v_1v_4 and v_3v_4 have at least 4. Now, we color u_1u_3 with an available color α . Since any edge of F may receive the same color as u_1u_3 , we remove α from $A(e)$ for no edge of F , while the number of available colors for u_1v_1 and u_3v_3 may decrease by 1. But now, it is easy to see that Theorem 5 applies to the remaining six non-colored edges, and thus σ can be extended to all the edges of G .

Finally, we may assume that u_1 and u_3 are not adjacent. In this case, by the minimality of G , the graph $G' = (G \setminus V(F)) \cup \{u_1u_3\}$ admits a semistrong edge-coloring σ using at most 8 colors. In the coloring of G induced by σ , we color the edges u_1v_1 and u_3v_3 with the color $\sigma(u_1u_3)$. Then, we color the edge e incident with v_4 and not being on F with a color which does not appear in $N^2(e)$ (there is at least one such color). Now, there remain four non-colored edges with v_1v_2 and v_2v_3 having at least 4 available colors and v_1v_4 and v_3v_4 having at least 2 available colors. We can color them by Theorem 5, a contradiction. \blacklozenge

Claim 8. *No subgraph of G is isomorphic to $K_{2,3}$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{2,3}$ with bipartition sets $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$. By Claim 6, no two vertices of Y are adjacent. From Claim 7 it follows that every vertex $y \in Y$ has a neighbor u_i distinct from x_1 and x_2 . We consider three cases.

- (i) Some of u_i 's are the same. Since G is not isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$, we may assume that $u_1 = u_2 \neq u_3$. Let $G' = G \setminus \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$. Clearly, G' is distinct from $K_{3,3}$ and, by Claim 2, it is connected. Thus, by the minimality, G' admits a semistrong edge-coloring σ using at most 8 colors. In G , the coloring σ induces a partial semistrong edge-coloring with eight non-colored edges; each of the edges x_1y_1 , x_1y_2 , x_2y_1 , and x_1y_2 have at least 6 available colors, and u_1y_1 , u_1y_2 , x_1y_3 , and x_2y_3 have at least

5. Thus, $|A(u_1y_1) \cap A(x_1y_3)| \geq 2$ and $|A(u_1y_2) \cap A(x_2y_3)| \geq 2$, which means that we can color u_1y_1 and x_1y_3 with a common available color α , and u_1y_2 and x_2y_3 with a common available color distinct from α . This is possible, since u_1 and y_3 are not adjacent. After that, the remaining four non-colored edges still have at least 4 available colors each, and so we can color them by Theorem 5, hence extending σ to all edges of G , a contradiction.

(ii) All u_i 's are distinct, but some of them are adjacent. By the symmetry, we may assume that $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$. Again, let $G' = G \setminus \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$, which is connected by Claim 2 and by the minimality admits a semistrong edge-coloring σ using at most 8 colors. In the corresponding partial coloring of G with eight non-colored edges, we additionally recolor u_1u_2 with a color that does not appear in its 2-edge-neighborhood (there are at least two such colors) and is distinct from the color of u_3y_3 . Now, we have that x_1y_1 , x_1y_2 , x_1y_3 , x_2y_1 , x_2y_2 , and x_2y_3 each have at least 5 available colors, and u_1y_1 and u_2y_2 have at least 3. Moreover, $|A(u_1y_1) \cap A(x_1y_2)| \geq 2$, since the two colors from $C(u_2)$ are forbidden for both edges. Analogously, $|A(u_2y_2) \cap A(x_2y_1)| \geq 2$. Next, we color u_1y_1 and x_1y_2 with a common available color α , and u_2y_2 and x_2y_1 with a common available color distinct from α . After that, the remaining four non-colored edges have at least 3 available colors each. If the union of their available colors is of size at least 4, then we apply Theorem 5, and so extend σ to all the edges of G , a contradiction. Thus, we may assume that all four edges have the same set of 3 available colors. But then, we can color x_2y_2 with the color of u_1u_2 , while the three remaining non-colored edges still have 3 available colors each. Now, we can again apply Theorem 5 to obtain a semistrong edge-coloring of all edges of G , a contradiction.

(iii) All u_i 's are distinct and pairwise non-adjacent. In this case, we use $G' = G \setminus (X \cup Y)$, which admits a semistrong edge-coloring σ using at most 8 colors. The three edges u_iy_i (call them *incoming edges*) each have at least 2 available colors, and all the remaining non-colored edges have at least 6 available colors. In order to simplify our argument, we reduce the number of available colors for the incoming edges; in particular, if there are more than 2 available colors for an incoming edge e , then we delete some of them from $A(e)$ to obtain $|A(e)| = 2$.

Now, we proceed as follows. We first color x_1y_1 with a color $\alpha_1 \notin A(u_1y_1)$. If $\alpha_1 \in A(u_2y_2)$, then we also color u_2y_2 with it, and, similarly, if $\alpha_1 \in A(u_3y_3)$, then we also color u_3y_3 with it. Next, we color x_2y_1 with a color $\alpha_2 \notin A(u_1y_1)$, and as above, we color any non-colored incoming edge having α_2 as an available color (note that such an edge is clearly distinct from u_1y_1). In this way, every non-colored incoming edge retains 2 available colors, and the remaining non-colored edges have at least 4 available colors each. We continue by coloring x_1y_2 with a color $\alpha_3 \notin A(u_2y_2)$, and coloring any non-colored incoming edge having α_3 as an available color. Finally, we color x_2y_3 with a color $\alpha_4 \notin A(u_3y_3)$, and color any non-colored incoming edge having α_4 as an available color. At this point, all the non-colored edges have at least 2 available colors. We finish by coloring the edge x_1y_3 and x_2y_2 by distinct available colors, where we use their colors to color any non-colored incoming edge not adjacent to them, and complete the coloring by coloring the remaining non-colored incoming edges by their available colors. Thus, we colored all the edges of G , a contradiction.

◆

Claim 9. *There is no 4-cycle in G .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $F = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ is a 4-cycle in G . We denote the third neighbor of v_i by u_i , for every $i \in [4]$ (see Figure 3), and call the edges $u_i v_i$ *incoming*. The edges of F are *cycle* edges. From Claim 7 we infer that all u_i 's exist, and by Claims 6 and 8, they are all distinct.

Let G' be the graph obtained from G by removing the vertices of F , and adding the edges $u_1 u_3$ and $u_2 u_4$ (it is possible that parallel edges are introduced). Note that G' is not necessarily connected, but in such a case, by Claim 8, none of its components is isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$. Thus, by the minimality, either G' is isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$, meaning that G is a 5-prism, which admits a coloring with 8 colors, or there exists a semistrong edge-coloring σ of G' using at most 8 colors. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\sigma(u_1 u_3) = 1$ and $\sigma(u_2 u_4) = \alpha$, where $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$. The coloring σ induces a partial coloring of G with the incoming edges and the cycle edges of F being non-colored. We show that σ can be extended to all the edges of G .




Figure 3: The 4-cycle F with the four distinct neighboring vertices (on the left), and the corresponding configuration in the graph G' (on the right).

First, observe the following. By the construction, if there is a color $\beta \notin C(u_i)$, then β can be used for at least one cycle edge incident with v_i . In particular, if $\beta \notin C(u_{i+1})$, then β can be used for $v_i v_{i+1}$. On the other hand, if $\beta \in C(u_{i-1}) \cap C(u_{i+1})$, then there are two possibilities.

- (i) $u_{i-1} u_{i+1} \notin E(G)$ or $e' = u_{i-1} u_{i+1} \in E(G)$ and $\sigma(e') \neq \beta$. Since $u_{i-1} u_{i+1} \in E(G')$, it follows that any of $v_i v_{i-1}$ and $v_i v_{i+1}$ can be colored with β .
- (ii) $e' = u_{i-1} u_{i+1} \in E(G)$ and $\sigma(e') = \beta$. In this case, $\beta \notin \sigma(N_{u_{i-1}}^2(u_{i-1} u_{i+1}))$ or $\beta \notin \sigma(N_{u_{i+1}}^2(u_{i-1} u_{i+1}))$, say $\beta \notin \sigma(N_{u_{i-1}}^2(u_{i-1} u_{i+1}))$, and thus β can be used for $v_i v_{i-1}$.

Now, we consider two cases. Suppose first that $\alpha = 2$. We color $u_1 v_1$ and $u_3 v_3$ with 1 (this can be done, since $\sigma(u_1 u_3) = 1$ and $u_3 v_3 \notin N_{v_1}^2(u_1 v_1)$, $u_1 v_1 \notin N_{v_3}^2(u_3 v_3)$), and $u_2 v_2$ and $u_4 v_4$ with 2 (with an analogous reasoning). Now, let $A^*(e)$, for $e \in E(F)$, denote the set of colors that can be used to color the edge e without violating the assumptions of the semistrong edge-coloring. Clearly, $A(e) \subseteq A^*(e)$ and so $|A^*(e)| \geq |A(e)| \geq 2$. Moreover, by the observation above, we also infer that $|A^*(v_i v_{i-1}) \cup A^*(v_i v_{i+1})| \geq 4$. Thus, the sets $A^*(e)$ fulfill conditions of Theorem 5, and we can extend σ to all edges of G , a contradiction.

Next, suppose that $\alpha = 1$. We again color u_1v_1 and u_3v_3 with 1, but in this case, we cannot necessarily color u_2v_2 and u_4v_4 with the 1 as the semistrong condition might be violated in the case if 1 appears also in the 2-edge-neighborhood of u_1u_3 in G' . However, there is at least 1 available color distinct from 1 for each of u_2v_2 and u_4v_4 . If there is the same available color for both edges, we color them with it and proceed as in the previous case. Hence, we may assume that they are different, say 2 and 3, respectively, and so we color u_2v_2 with 2 and u_4v_4 with 3. We have that, $|A^*(v_1v_2) \cup A^*(v_2v_3)| \geq 4$, $|A^*(v_3v_4) \cup A^*(v_1v_4)| \geq 4$, and $|A^*(e)| \geq 2$ for every $e \in E(F)$. Thus, we can again apply Theorem 5 and extend σ to all edges of G , a contradiction. This establishes the claim. \blacklozenge

From the above claims it follows that the graph G is a bridgeless subcubic graph with girth at least 5.

Now, let σ be a proper edge-coloring of G with the minimum number of edges uv having an edge of color $\sigma(uv) \in N_u^2(uv) \cap N_v^2(uv)$; in other words, uv is the middle edge of some path P_6 induced by the endvertices of edges colored with $\sigma(uv)$. We denote the number of such edges in G by $\iota_6(\sigma)$ and we will refer to them as the *bad middle edges*. Additionally, among all such colorings σ , we choose a coloring with the minimum number of edges of the same color at distance 2. We denote the number of such pairs in G by $\iota_4(\sigma)$ and we refer to such pairs as the *bad pairs*. Clearly, $\iota_6(\sigma) > 0$ and every bad middle edge is involved in at least two bad pairs. Note also that an edge can be the bad middle edge of several P_6 s, but we count it only once.

Let uv be a bad middle edge in G . We may assume that $\sigma(uv) = 1$. Consider the 2-edge-neighborhood of the edge uv and label the neighboring vertices as in Figure 4. By Claims 6 and 9, all the neighbors of u and v are distinct and non-adjacent. There are at most 8 edges at distance 2 from uv , where at least two of them are colored with 1. By the minimality of $\iota_6(\sigma)$, we cannot recolor uv without introducing at least one new bad middle edge.




Figure 4: The edge uv is the bad middle edge (the three edges inducing it are indicated with the dashed lines).

Clearly, each of the seven remaining colors must appear in $N^2(uv)$, otherwise we recolor uv with the one not appearing in $N^2(uv)$ and thus decrease $\iota_6(\sigma)$. Moreover, if some color α appears at most once at distance 2 from uv , then we recolor uv with α , decrease the number of bad middle edges of color 1 by at least 1 and increase the number of bad middle edges of color α by at most 1. However, while we decrease the number of bad pairs of color 1 by at least 2, we only increase the number of bad pairs of color α by 1, hence violating the minimality of $\iota_6(\sigma)$ and $\iota_4(\sigma)$. Therefore, by a simple counting argument,

we infer that the four edges at distance 1 from uv must all obtain distinct colors, and the colors on the edges at distance 2 from uv are different from colors at distance 1 and appear in pairs; consequently, $|N^2(uv) \setminus N(uv)| = 8$.

Suppose now that there is a color, say 6, on the edges at distance 2 from uv appearing at the vertices u_1 and u_2 . By the above argument, we may assume that the edges are colored as in Figure 5 and uv cannot be recolored with another color without increasing $\iota_6(\sigma)$ or retaining the value of $\iota_6(\sigma)$ and increasing $\iota_4(\sigma)$. This means that there are two




Figure 5: The edge uv is the bad middle edge and there are two edges at distance 2 of the same color on one side.

edges of color 6 in $N^2(vv_1)$, otherwise we can recolor vv_1 with 6 and uv with 4 obtaining a coloring σ' with either $\iota_6(\sigma') < \iota_6(\sigma)$ or $\iota_6(\sigma') = \iota_6(\sigma)$ and $\iota_4(\sigma') < \iota_4(\sigma)$. Analogously, there are edges of colors 2 and 3 in $N^2_v(vv_1)$. But then, we can recolor vv_1 with 7 and uv with 4, again decreasing $\iota_6(\sigma)$ or retaining the value of $\iota_6(\sigma)$ and decreasing $\iota_4(\sigma)$, a contradiction.

Therefore, we may assume that there are three colors, which, by recoloring uv , induce a P_6 with uv being the bad middle edge, as depicted in Figure 6. Note that there are precisely two non-isomorphic colorings of the 2-edge-neighborhood of uv , but our argument is analogous for both of them.




Figure 6: The edge uv is the bad middle edge and no two edges at distance 2 of the same color are on one side.

We continue by considering the colors of the edges at distance 3 from uv .

Claim 10. *For every edge xy adjacent to uv , where $x \in \{u, v\}$, we have*

$$|\sigma(\{xy\} \cup N_y^2(xy))| = 7$$

and

$$\sigma(xz) \notin \sigma(\{xy\} \cup N_y^2(xy)),$$

where $z \notin \{u, v, y\}$.

Proof. We start by considering the edge uu_2 (we label the vertices as depicted in Figure 7). There already are colors 7 and 8 in $N_{u_2}(uu_2)$. If no edge from $N_{u_2}^2(uu_2)$ is colored with 1,




Figure 7: The neighborhood $N_{u_2}^2(uu_2)$ of uu_2 consists of six distinct colors.

then we can set $\sigma(uu_2) = 1$ and $\sigma(uv) = 3$, hence decreasing $\iota_6(\sigma)$ by at least 1. So, we may assume that there is an edge colored with 1 in $N_{u_2}^2(uu_2)$. Similarly, there is an edge colored with 6 in $N_{u_2}^2(uu_2)$, for otherwise we set $\sigma(uu_2) = 6$ and $\sigma(uv) = 3$, decreasing $\iota_4(\sigma)$ by 1, and retaining or decreasing $\iota_6(\sigma)$ as we lose one bad middle edge of color 1 and introduce at most one bad middle edge of color 6. An analogous argument implies that also $4, 5 \in N_{u_2}^2(uu_2)$ and hence

$$\sigma(N_{u_2}^2(uu_2) \setminus N_{u_2}(uu_2)) = \{1, 4, 5, 6\}$$

Note that the above argumentation works regardless if all the edges in $N_{u_2}^2(uu_2)$ are distinct from the edges in $N^2(uv)$ or not (none of them is in $N(uv)$ due to the girth condition), since we only recolor the edge uv with 3, which does not appear in $N^2(uv)$, and recolor the edge uu_2 with a color which does not appear in $N_{u_2}^2(uu_2)$.

Furthermore, by the symmetry, we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(N_{u_1}^2(uu_1) \setminus N_{u_1}(uu_1)) &= \{4, 5, 7, 8\}, \\ \sigma(N_{v_1}^2(vv_1) \setminus N_{v_1}(vv_1)) &= \{2, 3, 6, 7\}, \\ \sigma(N_{v_2}^2(vv_2) \setminus N_{v_2}(vv_2)) &= \{1, 2, 3, 8\}. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the claim. ◆

We continue with an analysis of a possible arrangement of colors also on the edges at distance 3 from uv (depicted in Figure 8). Note that the colors need not be all distinct.

In the next claim, we show that using a similar argument as in the proof of Claim 10, we can determine the colors in 2-edge-neighborhoods of the edges at distance more than 2 from uv .

Claim 11. *Let $w_0w_1 \dots w_k$ be an induced path on $k+1$ vertices in G , for some integer $k \geq 2$, with $w_0 = v$ and $w_1 = u$ (or $w_0 = u$ and $w_1 = v$). Then for every j , $2 \leq j \leq k$, we have that $d(w_j) = 3$ and $\sigma(N_{w_j}^2(w_{j-1}w_j)) = [8] \setminus \{\sigma(w_{j-1}w_j), \sigma(w_{j-1}x_{j-1})\}$, where x_{j-1} is the neighbor of w_{j-1} distinct from w_{j-2} and w_j .*




Figure 8: Possible neighborhoods of the vertices u_1 , v_1 , u_2 , and v_2 .

Proof. Note that the case for $k = 2$ already follows from Claim 10.

We proceed by contradiction. Let $k \geq 3$ be the least integer such that there is an induced path $P = w_0 w_1 \dots w_k$ in G , for which the claim does not hold. Hence, for every j , $2 \leq j \leq k - 1$, we have $d(w_{j-1}) = d(w_j) = 3$ and $\sigma(N_{w_j}^2(w_{j-1}w_j)) = [8] \setminus \{\sigma(w_{j-1}w_j), \sigma(w_{j-1}x_{j-1})\}$.

Suppose now that there is a color $\alpha \in [8] \setminus \{\sigma(w_{k-1}w_k), \sigma(w_{k-1}x_{k-1})\}$ which is not in $\sigma(N_{w_k}^2(w_{k-1}w_k))$. Then, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$, we recolor $w_{j-1}w_j$ with $\sigma(w_j w_{j+1})$, and finally we recolor $w_{k-1}w_k$ with α , obtaining a proper edge-coloring σ' . As the path P is induced, there is no edge outside of P joining two vertices of P . If after recoloring, we introduce a new pair of edges at distance 2 colored with the same color (note that at most one such pair may appear), then exactly one of these edges belongs to P or it is the pair $w_{k-1}w_k, w_{k-3}w_{k-2}$.

After the recoloring, the edge $w_0 w_1$ is not a bad middle edge anymore, and at most one new bad middle edge e' was created; e' (if it exists) is either incident with x_{k-1} or w_{k-2} . Thus, $\iota_6(\sigma') \leq \iota_6(\sigma)$. Moreover, $\iota_4(\sigma') < \iota_4(\sigma)$, since exactly one new pair ($w_k w_{k-1}$ and e') was created, and two pairs (both with the edge $w_0 w_1$) were destroyed, a contradiction. \blacklozenge

We finalize the proof of the theorem by recoloring some of the edges of G in order to obtain a contradiction in terms of the assumptions on σ . Consider the labeling of the vertices as depicted in Figure 9. Without loss of generality, we may also assume the coloring of the edges as given in the figure, where $\{\alpha, \beta\} = \{6, 8\}$.

We first establish some additional properties of G .

Claim 12. $d(u, v_{22}) \geq 3$, $d(u, v_{21}) \geq 3$, and $d(v, u_{22}) \geq 3$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $d(u, v_{22}) < 3$. Then, since G has girth at least 5, we have $d(u, v_{22}) = 2$, meaning that v_{22} is adjacent to u_1 or u_2 ; namely $v_{22} = u_{11}$, $v_{22} = u_{12}$, $v_{22} = u_{21}$, or $v_{22} = u_{22}$. We consider each of the four cases separately.

Suppose first that $v_{22} = u_{11}$. Then, by Claim 10 with $w_k = v_2$, the vertex v_{22} is not incident with edges of color 4 or 5. Thus, by Claim 10 with $w_k = u_1$, $C(v_{22}) = \{6, 7, 8\}$. But this is a contradiction with Claim 10 with $w_k = v_2$, since $\beta \in \{6, 8\}$.

Next, suppose that $v_{22} = u_{12}$. Let v'_{22} be the neighbor of v_{22} distinct from u_1 and v_2 . By Claim 10 applied twice, with $w_k = v_2$ and $w_k = u_1$, we infer that $\sigma(v_{22}v'_{22}) = 8$ and consequently $\beta = 6$. If $3 \notin C(v'_{22})$, then by Claim 10 with $w_k = u_2$, inferring that there




Figure 9: Colors of the edges around uv before the final step of the proof.

is no edge of color 3 in $N^2(uu_2)$, we may recolor u_1v_{22} with 3 and so decrease $\iota_6(\sigma)$ by 1. Therefore, $3 \in C(v'_{22})$, meaning that at least one of the colors 4 and 5 is not in $C(v'_{22})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $5 \notin C(v'_{22})$ (otherwise we swap the colors of vv_1 and vv_2). Now, we set $\sigma(uv) = \sigma(v_2v_{22}) = 5$, $\sigma(vv_2) = 1$, and $\sigma(u_1v_{22}) = 7$. Note that such a recoloring reduces $\iota_6(\sigma)$ by 1, since colors 5 and 7 do not introduce any new P_6 s, a contradiction.

Suppose now that $v_{22} = u_{21}$. Then, by Claim 10 with $w_k = u_2$, $\sigma(N^2_{u_2}(uu_2) \setminus N_{u_2}(uu_2)) = \{1, 4, 5, 6\}$, but this is not possible, since $\sigma(v_2v_{22}) = 7 \in C(N^2_{u_2}(uu_2) \setminus N_{u_2}(uu_2))$, a contradiction.

Finally, suppose that $v_{22} = u_{22}$. In this case, $\sigma(u_2v_{22}) = \sigma(v_2v_{22})$ violating the assumption that σ is a proper edge-coloring, a contradiction.

An analogous reasoning can be used to prove that $d(u, v_{21}) \geq 3$ and $d(v, u_{22}) \geq 3$. This establishes the claim. \blacklozenge

Now, recolor uv with 7, u_2u_{22} and vv_2 with 3, and uu_2 with 5. There is one edge, v_2v_{22} , colored with 7 in $N^2(uv)$, and, by Claim 11, the only edge colored with 7 in $N^2(v_2v_{22})$ is uv . By Claim 10, there is one edge $e_1 = xy$ colored with 5 in $N^2(uu_2)$, where $x \in \{u_{21}, u_{22}\}$. Note that $y \notin \{v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{21}, v_{22}\}$, by Claim 10 applied twice, with $w_k = v_1$ and $w_k = v_2$. This means that $d(v, y) \geq 3$, and thus, by Claim 11, the only edge of color 5 in $N^2(e_1)$ is uu_2 . Finally, by Claim 10 with $w_k = v_2$, there is one edge e_2 colored with 3 in $N^2_{v_2}(vv_2)$, and by Claims 11 and 12 there is one edge e_3 colored with 3 in $N^2_{u_{22}}(u_2u_{22})$. By Claim 11, none of e_2 and e_3 is a bad middle edge (and we are done), unless $e_2 = e_3$. Thus, for the rest of the proof, assume that $e_2 = e_3$ and $\sigma(e_2) = 3$. We may assume that e_2 is incident with v_{22} (the case when e_2 is incident with v_{21} proceeds with an analogous reasoning), and so let $e_2 = v_{22}z_1$ (see Figure 10).

In this case, we additionally recolor u_2u_{21} with 3 and u_2u_{22} with 8. Note that by Claim 11, there is no edge of color 8 at distance 2 from u_2u_{22} , and there is an edge e_4 of color 3 in $N^2_{u_{21}}(u_2u_{21})$. By Claim 10 and since e_2 is incident with v_{22} , $3 \notin C(v_{21})$, and so $e_4 \neq vv_2$. Therefore, we are done, unless $e_4 = x'y'$ is a bad middle edge. But in this case, the path $vvu_2u_{21}x'y'$ is induced, meaning that by Claim 11 with $w_k = y'$, there is no edge of color 3 in $N^2_{y'}(e_4)$.

This establishes the proof. \square




Figure 10: Colors of the edges around uv after recoloring the four edges and e_2 being a bad middle edge.

5 Conclusion

We believe that the bounds presented in this paper, although tight, can be improved. In particular, we are not aware of any family of connected graphs G , other than the complete bipartite graphs, that would attain the bound $\chi'_{ss}(G) = \Delta(G)^2$. Therefore, we propose the following.

Conjecture 1. *For every connected G , distinct from $K_{n,n}$, it holds that*

$$\chi'_{ss}(G) \leq \Delta(G)^2 - 1.$$

Similarly, the 5-prism is, up to our knowledge, the only connected subcubic graph with the semistrong chromatic index 8. Based on that and computational verification of subcubic graphs on small number of vertices, we also propose the next conjecture.

Conjecture 2. *For every connected graph G with maximum degree 3, distinct from $K_{3,3}$ and the 5-prism, we have*

$$\chi'_{ss}(G) \leq 7.$$

On the other hand, there are infinitely many bridgeless subcubic graphs with the semistrong chromatic index at least 7. Namely, consider the graph H obtained by taking two copies of $K_{2,3}$ and adding two edges connecting distinct 2-vertices from each of two copies (see Figure 11). This graph contains only one semistrong matching of size at least 3 consisting of two edges added between the copies of $K_{2,3}$ and one nonadjacent edge. For the remaining 11 edges we need 6 additional colors. Since H contains two 2-vertices, we can append it to any other bridgeless subcubic graph with at least two 2-vertices, hence obtaining an infinite number of graphs with the semistrong chromatic index at least 7.

There are many other important graph classes for which the semistrong edge-coloring has not been studied specifically yet, e.g., planar graphs. In [6], it is proved that for a strong edge coloring of a planar graph G at most $4\Delta(G) + 4$ colors are needed, and there are planar graphs G with $\chi'_s(G) = 4\Delta(G) - 4$ for any $\Delta(G) \geq 2$. Note that these examples have $\chi'_{ss}(G) \leq 2\Delta(G)$. But as we showed with $K_{3,3}$ and the 5-prism, for cubic graphs, we need 9 and 8 colors. So, one may ask, what is happening when the maximum degree is larger. We propose the following.




Figure 11: The graph H with $\chi'_{ss}(H) = 7$.

Problem 1. For a given maximum degree, determine the tight upper bound for the semistrong chromatic index of planar graphs.

Conjecture 3. There is a (small) constant C such that for any planar graph G , it holds that

$$\chi'_{ss}(G) \leq 2\Delta(G) + C.$$

Finally, let us briefly mention another edge-coloring variation, which is closely related to the semistrong edge-coloring, yet different. Baste and Rautenbach [1], motivated by the results of Goddard et al. [7], introduced the r -chromatic index $\chi'_r(G)$ as the minimum number of r -degenerate matchings into which the edge set of a graph G can be decomposed. An r -degenerate matching is a matching M such that the induced graph $G[V(M)]$ is r -degenerate. Clearly,

$$\chi'(G) \leq \chi'_r(G) \leq \chi'_s(G).$$

Since semistrong matchings are not necessarily 1-degenerate and 1-degenerate matching are not necessarily semistrong, there is no direct correspondence between the two edge-colorings. In particular, for the 5-path P_6 , we have $\chi'_1(P_6) = 2 < \chi'_{ss}(P_6) = 3$, and for the graph H being a triangle with a pending edge incident with every vertex, we have $\chi'_{ss}(H) = 4 < \chi'_1(H) = 5$.

Acknowledgment. B. Lužar was partially supported by the Slovenian Research Agency Program P1-0383, and Projects J1-1692 and J1-3002. M. Mockovčiaková acknowledged the financial support from the project GA20-09525S of the Czech Science Foundation. R. Soták was supported by APVV-19-0153 and VEGA 1/0574/21.

Final remark. Before we finished preparation of this draft, Martina passed away, taken by a treacherous disease. We would like to dedicate this work to her memory.

References

- [1] J. Baste and D. Rautenbach. Degenerate matchings and edge colorings. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 239:38–44, 2018.
- [2] M. Bonamy, T. Perrett, and L. Postle. Colouring Graphs with Sparse Neighbourhoods: Bounds and Applications. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 155:278–317, 2022.
- [3] H. Bruhn and F. Joos. A Stronger Bound for the Strong Chromatic Index. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 27(1):21–43, 2018.

- [4] A. A. Diwan. The minimum forcing number of perfect matchings in the hypercube. *Discrete Math.*, 342(4):1060–1062, 2019.
- [5] P. Erdős. Problems and Results in Combinatorial Analysis and Graph Theory. In J. Akiyama, Y. Egawa, and H. Enomoto, editors, *Graph Theory and Applications*, volume 38 of *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, pages 81–92. Elsevier, 1988.
- [6] R. J. Faudree, A. Gyárfás, R. H. Schelp, and Z. Tuza. The strong chromatic index of graphs. *Ars Combin.*, 29B:205–211, 1990.
- [7] W. Goddard, S. M. Hedetniemi, S. T. Hedetniemi, and R. Laskar. Generalized subgraph-restricted matchings in graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 293(1-3):129–138, 2005.
- [8] P. Gregor. Private communication, 2020.
- [9] A. Gyárfás and A. Hubenko. Semistrong edge coloring of graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 49(1):39–47, 2005.
- [10] P. Hall. On representatives of subsets. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, s1–10(1):26–30, 1935.
- [11] D. He and W. Lin. On (s, t) -relaxed strong edge-coloring of graphs. *J. Combin. Optim.*, 33:609–625, 2017.
- [12] H. Hocquard, D. Lajou, and B. Lužar. Between proper and strong edge-colorings of subcubic graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, pages 1–31, 2022.
- [13] E. Hurley, R. de Joannis de Verclos, and R. J. Kang. An Improved Procedure for Colouring Graphs of Bounded Local Density. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-Second Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, SODA '21, pages 135–148, USA, 2021. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.
- [14] B. Lužar, E. Máčajová, M. Škoviera, and R. Soták. Strong edge colorings of graphs and the covers of Kneser graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 100(4):686–697, 2022.
- [15] M. Molloy and B. Reed. A bound on the strong chromatic index of a graph. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B*, 69:103–109, 1997.
- [16] J. J. Quinn and A. T. Benjamin. Strong chromatic index of subset graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 24(3):267–273, 1997.
- [17] V. G. Vizing. On an estimate of the chromatic class of a p -graph. *Metody Diskret. Analiz*, 3:25–30, 1964.