

COUNTING CRUCIAL PERMUTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO MONOTONE PATTERNS

YUNSEO CHOI

ABSTRACT. Recently, Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko defined five types of (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations, which are maximal permutations that do not contain an increasing subsequence of length k or a decreasing subsequence of length ℓ . Further, Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko began the enumeration of the (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations of the minimal length and the next minimal length and the $(k, 3)$ -crucial permutations of all lengths for each of the five types of (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations. In this paper, we complete the enumeration that Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko began.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Erdős-Szekeres theorem [3] from 1935 states that a permutation of length $(k - 1)(\ell - 1) + 1$ must contain an increasing subsequence of length k or a decreasing subsequence of length ℓ . Accordingly, the permutations of length $(k - 1)(\ell - 1)$ that do not contain an increasing subsequence of length k or a decreasing subsequence of length ℓ are called the (k, ℓ) -Erdős-Szekeres extremal permutations [12]. The number of (k, ℓ) -Erdős-Szekeres extremal permutations for $k \geq \ell$, which follows from the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth (RSK) correspondence [6, 7, 8] and the hook-length formula [5], is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \left(\frac{((k - 1)(\ell - 1))!}{1^1 2^2 \cdots (\ell - 1)^{\ell - 1} \ell^{\ell - 1} \cdots (k - 1)^{\ell - 1} k^{\ell - 2} \cdots (k + \ell - 3)^1} \right)^2.$$

The formula was first presented for $k = \ell$ by Stanley [11] in 1969 and its generality by Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2] in 2022.

In a larger context, *crucial objects* [1, 4] in combinatorics are objects that satisfy a set of conditions but cease to satisfy the conditions when extended in any allowed way. Recently, Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2] defined (k, ℓ) -right-crucial permutations to be the permutations that are crucial when the set of conditions is avoid increasing subsequences of length k and decreasing subsequences of length ℓ and the allowed extensions are to the right. More formally, let $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n \in S_n$. The i^{th} extension of π to the right (respectively, left) is the permutation $f(\pi_1)f(\pi_2) \cdots f(\pi_n)i$ (respectively, $if(\pi_1)f(\pi_2) \cdots f(\pi_n)$), where

$$f(j) = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } j < i \\ j + 1 & \text{if } j \geq i. \end{cases}$$

In addition to the (k, ℓ) -right-crucial permutations, Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2] defined four other types of (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations. Each type of (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations shares the set of conditions with the (k, ℓ) -right-crucial permutations but differ in the extensions that are allowed. The following list showcases the five types of (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations that Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko defined alongside their allowed extensions. The i^{th} extension of π from above (respectively, below) is the permutation $\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_{i-1}(n + 1)\pi_i \cdots \pi_n$ (respectively, $(\pi_1 + 1)(\pi_2 + 1) \cdots (\pi_{i-1} + 1)1(\pi_i + 1) \cdots (\pi_n + 1)$). As noted by Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko (see Lemma 11 of [2]), the list below is the exhaustive list of (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations up to

bijections when the set of extensions is some subset of the extensions to the left, to the right, from above, or from below.

- (1) (k, ℓ) -right-crucial: any extension to the right
- (2) (k, ℓ) -bicrucial: any extension to the right or the left
- (3) (k, ℓ) -top-right-crucial: any extension to the right or from above
- (4) (k, ℓ) -tricrucial: any extension to the right or the left or from above
- (5) (k, ℓ) -quadrocrucial: any extension to the right or the left or from above or below

Now, a rephrasing of the Erdős-Szekeres theorem is that (k, ℓ) -Erdős-Szekeres extremal permutations are (k, ℓ) -crucial in any of the five ways. But whereas the (k, ℓ) -Erdős-Szekeres extremal permutations must have length $(k - 1)(\ell - 1)$, Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko (see Lemma 4 and Theorem 10 of [2]) proved that the length of a (k, ℓ) -(right, top-right)-crucial can be as short as $k + \ell - 3$. Similarly, they proved that a (k, ℓ) -(bi, tri, quadro)crucial permutation can be as short as length $k + \ell + \min\{k, \ell\} - 5$ (see Theorem 9, Theorem 32, and Theorem 36 of [2]). Following Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko, we refer to the (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations of the shortest (respectively, next shortest) length as the *minimal* (respectively, *next minimal*) (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations.

In the spite of Equation (1.1), which enumerates the (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations of the longest length, Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2] began the enumeration of special classes of (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations: namely, the minimal and next minimal (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations and the $(k, 3)$ -crucial permutations of all lengths. In this paper, we complete the enumeration that they began for all five types of (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations. As do Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko, we base our enumeration on the RSK correspondence and the hook-length formula.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish the preliminaries. In Section 3, we count the next minimal (k, ℓ) -right-crucial permutations. In Section 4, we count the next minimal (k, ℓ) -bicrucial permutations and the $(k, 3)$ -bicrucial permutations. In Section 5, we count the minimal (k, ℓ) -minimal tricrucial permutations, the next minimal (k, ℓ) -tricrucial permutations, and the $(k, 3)$ -tricrucial permutations. Finally, in Section 6, we count the next minimal (k, ℓ) -quadrocrucial permutations and the $(k, 3)$ -quadrocrucial permutations. Table 1 summarizes our findings.

	enumeration of minimal (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations	enumeration of the next minimal (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations	enumeration of $(k, 3)$ -crucial permutations
right-crucial	Corollary 8 of [2]	Theorem 3	Corollary 13 of [2]
top-right-crucial	Theorem 10 of [2]	Theorem 29 of [2]	Corollary 27 of [2]
bicrucial	Corollary 21 of [2]	Theorem 8	Theorem 10
tricrucial	Theorem 14	Theorem 18	Theorem 20
quadrocrucial	Theorem 37 of [2]	Theorem 22	Theorem 23

TABLE 1. A summary of our results

2. PRELIMINARIES

A *partition* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ of n is a weakly decreasing sequence of non-negative integers such that $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = n$. A *Young diagram of shape* λ is a left justified diagram of boxes with λ_i boxes in the i^{th} row for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. A *skew Young diagram of shape* λ is a diagram of boxes with λ_i boxes in the i^{th} row that is not necessarily left and top justified. We say that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k = n$ is the *size* of a (skew) Young diagram. A (skew) Young diagram is *filled* if each box contains exactly one number, and the numbers that appear in the boxes are distinct. A *(skew) standard Young tableau of shape* λ is a (skew) Young diagram of shape λ filled with numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that every row is increasing from the left to right and every column is increasing from the

top to bottom. For a (skew) standard Young tableau T , let $t_{i,j}$ (respectively, $b_{i,j}$) be the entry (respectively, the box) at the i^{th} row and the j^{th} column of T . We define the *complement* T^c of a (skew) standard Young tableau T to be the (skew) Young diagram that shares the shape with T and satisfies $t_{i,j}^c = n + 1 - t_{i,j}$ for all entries $t_{i,j}^c$ in T^c . We define the *transpose* T^t of a (skew) standard Young tableau T to be the (skew) Young diagram that shares the shape with T after a reflection across its main diagonal and satisfies $t_{i,j}^t = t_{j,i}$ for all entries $t_{i,j}^t$ in T^t .

The Robinson-Schensted-Knuth (RSK) correspondence [6, 7, 8] is a bijection between the permutations of length n and the pairs of standard Young tableaux (P, Q) that have the same shape λ . The bijection from S_n to (P, Q) sends π to $(P_n(\pi), Q_n(\pi))$. The construction of $P_n(\pi)$ builds off of *row insertion* $T \leftarrow i$ for a tableau T and an element i . Let T_1 be the first row of T and T_2 be the filled Young diagram of T with T_1 removed. The procedure $T \leftarrow i$ is defined recursively as follows.

- (1) If T is \emptyset or the largest entry in T_1 is less than i , then insert i at the right end of T_1 .
- (2) Otherwise, let j be the smallest element in T_1 that is greater than i . Replace j with i in T_1 , and let the remaining rows of be given by $T_2 \leftarrow j$.

$P_i(\pi)$ is now defined as follows. For $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n \in S_n$, we let

$$P_i(\pi) = (\cdots ((\emptyset \leftarrow \pi_1) \leftarrow \pi_2) \cdots \leftarrow \pi_{i-1}) \leftarrow \pi_i.$$

Next, we define $Q_n(\pi)$. Let $Q_0 = \emptyset$, and recursively let $Q_i(\pi)$ be the tableau obtained by inserting i to $Q_{i-1}(\pi)$ such that $Q_i(\pi)$ and $P_i(\pi)$ have the same shape and the position of the entries of $Q_{i-1}(\pi)$ remain invariant from $Q_{i-1}(\pi)$ to $Q_i(\pi)$.

For more details on the RSK correspondence, see, for example, [10]. As we proceed, let $p_{i,j}$ (respectively, $q_{i,j}$) be the entry at the i^{th} row and the j^{th} column of $P_n(\pi)$ (respectively, $Q_n(\pi)$). Furthermore, as usual, we let $\delta_{a,b}$ be the Kronecker delta, where

$$\delta_{a,b} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = b \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In addition, we let $\binom{a}{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m}$ denote the multinomial coefficient

$$\binom{a}{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m} := \frac{a!}{b_1! b_2! \cdots b_m!}.$$

3. RIGHT-CRUCIAL PERMUTATIONS

In this section, we enumerate the next minimal (k, ℓ) -right-crucial permutations. We begin by citing a result by Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2], which characterizes the RSK correspondence $(P_n(\pi), Q_n(\pi))$ of (k, ℓ) -right-crucial permutations π .

Theorem 1 (Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2]). *The permutation $\pi \in S_n$ is (k, ℓ) -right-crucial if and only if:*

- (1) *the number of columns in $P_n(\pi)$ (and $Q_n(\pi)$) is $k - 1$;*
- (2) *the number of rows in $P_n(\pi)$ (and $Q_n(\pi)$) is $\ell - 1$;*
- (3) *$P_n(\pi)$ contains an increasing sequence of elements $p_{1,k-1}, p_{2,j_2}, \dots, p_{\ell-1,j_{\ell-1}}$ for some $j_2, \dots, j_{\ell-1}$.*

3.1. Next minimal right-crucial permutations. We cite another result, which is implied from Theorem 29 of Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2].

Lemma 2 (Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2]). *The number of standard Young tableaux T of shape $(k - 1, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell-3})$ that satisfy $t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,j} < t_{3,1}$ for some j is $k + \ell - 4$.*

Our enumeration of the next minimal (k, ℓ) -right-crucial permutations now follows from the hook-length formula, Theorem 1, and Lemma 2.

Theorem 3. For $k, \ell \geq 3$, the number of next minimal (k, ℓ) -right-crucial permutations is

$$\frac{(k-2)(\ell-2)(k+\ell-4)}{k+\ell-3} \binom{\ell+k-2}{\ell-1}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4 of Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2], a minimal (k, ℓ) -right-crucial permutation has length $k + \ell - 3$. Therefore, a next minimal (k, ℓ) -right-crucial permutation has length $k + \ell - 2$. By Theorem 1, $P_n(\pi)$ must have $k - 1$ columns and $\ell - 1$ rows. Therefore, the only possible shape of $P_n(\pi)$ is $(k-1, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell-3})$.

We first fill $P_n(\pi)$. By Lemma 2, there are

$$(3.1) \quad k + \ell - 4$$

ways to fill $P_n(\pi)$ such that it satisfies condition 3 of Theorem 1.

Now, we fill $Q_n(\pi)$. By the hook-length formula, there are

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{(k-2)(\ell-2)}{k+\ell-3} \binom{\ell+k-2}{\ell-1}$$

ways to fill $Q_n(\pi)$.

By the RSK correspondence, we finish by multiplying Equation (3.1) and Equation (3.2). \square

4. BICRUCIAL PERMUTATIONS

In this section, we enumerate the next minimal (k, ℓ) -bircrucial permutations and the $(k, 3)$ -bircrucial permutations. We begin by citing the analog of Theorem 1 for (k, ℓ) -bircrucial permutations by Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2].

Theorem 4 (Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2]). *The permutation $\pi \in S_n$ is (k, ℓ) -bircrucial if and only if:*

- (1) the number of columns in $P_n(\pi)$ (and $Q_n(\pi)$) is $k - 1$;
- (2) the number of rows in $P_n(\pi)$ (and $Q_n(\pi)$) is $\ell - 1$;
- (3) $P_n(\pi)$ contains an increasing sequence of elements $p_{1,k-1}, p_{2,j_2}, \dots, p_{\ell-1,j_{\ell-1}}$ for some $j_2, \dots, j_{\ell-1}$;
- (4) $P_n(\pi)$ contains an increasing sequence of elements $p_{\ell-1,1}, p_{i_2,2}, \dots, p_{i_{k-1},k-1}$ for some i_2, \dots, i_{k-1} .


4.1. Next minimal bircrucial permutations. To count the next minimal (k, ℓ) -bircrucial permutations, we first establish the following lemma that counts the distinct $P_n(\pi)$ that satisfy $p_{\ell-1,1} < p_{1,k-1}$ for some next minimal (k, ℓ) -bircrucial permutation π .

Lemma 5. *The number of standard Young tableaux T of shape $(k-1, 3, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\ell-3})$ that satisfy*

$t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,j} < t_{3,2}$ for some j and $t_{\ell-1,1} < t_{i_2,2} < t_{i_3,3} < t_{1,4}$ for some i_2 and i_3 is $k + 2\ell - 7$.

Proof. We begin by showing that $t_{1,k-1} \in \{k + \ell - 3, k + \ell - 2\}$. We first observe that because $t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,j} < t_{3,2}$, the entry $t_{1,k-1}$ must be less than the entries $\{t_{2,j}\} \cup \{t_{3,2}, t_{4,2}, \dots, t_{\ell-1,2}\}$. Since at least $\ell - 2$ entries are greater than $t_{1,k-1}$, the entry $t_{1,k-1}$ must be at most $k + \ell - 2$. At the same time, because $t_{\ell-1,1} < t_{1,4}$, the entry $t_{1,k-1}$ must be greater than the entries $\{t_{1,1}, t_{1,2}, \dots, t_{k-2}\} \cup \{t_{1,1}, t_{2,1}, \dots, t_{\ell-1,1}\}$. Therefore, at least $k + \ell - 4$ entries are less than $t_{1,k-1}$. So, the entry $t_{1,k-1}$ must be at least $k + \ell - 3$. Furthermore, if $t_{1,k-1} = k + \ell - 3$, then $\{t_{1,1}, t_{1,2}, \dots, t_{k-2}\} \cup \{t_{1,1}, t_{2,1}, \dots, t_{\ell-1,1}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, k + \ell - 4\}$.

As we proceed, let S be the filled Young diagram formed by the boxes $\{b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \dots, b_{1,k-1}\} \cup \{b_{1,1}, b_{2,1}, \dots, b_{\ell-1,1}\}$. Refer to Figure 1 for the position of S in T .

FIGURE 1. The position of S in T

First, consider the case $t_{1,k-1} = k + \ell - 3$. As deduced previously, we have that $\{t_{1,1}, t_{1,2}, \dots, t_{k-2}\} \cup \{t_{1,1}, t_{2,1}, \dots, t_{\ell-1,1}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, k + \ell - 4\}$. Thus, any entry in $T \setminus S$ must be greater than $t_{1,k-1}$. Therefore, the partial orders between an entry in S and an entry in $T \setminus S$ given by the shape of T are always satisfied. Thus, we fill S and $T \setminus S$ independently.

We begin by filling S . Because any entry in $T \setminus S$ is greater than any entry in S , for $t_{\ell-1,1} < t_{i_2,2} < t_{i_3,3} < t_{1,4}$ for some i_2 and i_3 , it must be that $i_2 = i_3 = 1$. Because this implies that

$$t_{1,1} < t_{2,1} < \dots < t_{\ell-1,1} < t_{1,2} < t_{1,3} < \dots < t_{1,k-1},$$

all entries of S must be fixed.

We now fill $T \setminus S$. Because $t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,2} < t_{3,2}$, the only requirement when filling $T \setminus S$ is that T is a standard Young tableau. Therefore, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(4.1) \quad \ell - 2$$


ways of filling $T \setminus S$.

Now, consider the case $t_{1,k-1} = k + \ell - 2$. From $t_{\ell-1} < t_{1,4}$, every entry of S must still be less than $t_{1,k-1}$. But now, because $t_{1,k-1} = k + \ell - 2$, and there are $k + \ell - 3$ boxes in S , exactly one entry of $T \setminus S$ must be less than $t_{1,k-1}$. For T to be a standard Young tableau, $t_{2,2}$ must be the minimum entry of $T \setminus S$. Thus, $t_{2,2}$ must be the entry in $T \setminus S$ less than $t_{1,k-1}$. Now, because it must be that $t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,3} < t_{3,2}$, we have that $t_{2,3} < t_{3,2} < t_{4,2} < \dots < t_{\ell-1,2}$. Therefore, the entries of $T \setminus (S \cup \{b_{2,2}\})$ must be fixed. Now, let U be the standard Young tableau formed by the boxes $(S \cup \{b_{2,2}\}) \setminus \{b_{1,k-1}\}$. Refer to Figure 2 for the position of U in T . It now remains to fill U with $\{1, 2, \dots, \ell + k - 3\}$ such that $t_{\ell-1,1} < t_{i_2,2} < t_{1,3}$ for some i_2 . Therefore, by Lemma 5, there are

$$(4.2) \quad k + \ell - 5$$

ways of filling U .

We finish by summing Equation (4.1) and Equation (4.2). □

FIGURE 2. The position of U in T

Next, we take the transpose of the standard Young tableaux in Lemma 5 to arrive at the following lemma.

Lemma 6. For $k, \ell \geq 3$, the number of standard Young tableaux T of shape $(k-1, k-1, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell-4})$ that satisfy $t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,j_2} < t_{3,j_3} < t_{4,1}$ for some j_2 and j_3 and $t_{\ell-1,1} < t_{i,2} < t_{2,3}$ for some i is $2k + \ell - 7$.

Proof. Take the transpose of T to arrive at T^t . Refer to Figure 3 for the shape of T and T^t .




FIGURE 3. The construction of T^t from T

Because the transformation from T to T^t simply switches the indices of rows and columns, T^t must be a standard Young tableau that satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5. Therefore, there must be $2k + \ell - 7$ ways of filling T . \square

Finally, we establish the following lemma that counts the number of distinct $P_n(\pi)$ that satisfy $p_{1,k-1} < p_{\ell-1,1}$ for some next minimal (k, ℓ) -bircrucial permutation π when $k = \ell + 1$.

Lemma 7. The number of standard Young tableaux of shape $(k-1, k-1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k-4})$ that satisfy

$t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,j} < t_{3,1}$ for some j and $t_{k-2,1} < t_{2,2}$ is 1.

Proof. We begin by letting S be the filled Young diagram formed by the boxes $\{b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \dots, b_{1,k-1}\}$ and $\{b_{1,1}, b_{2,1}, \dots, b_{\ell-1,1}\}$. Refer to Figure 4 for the position of S in T .




FIGURE 4. The position of S in T

First, because $t_{1,k-1} < t_{3,1}$ from the statement of the lemma and $t_{3,1} < t_{k-2,1}$ from T being a standard Young tableau, we have that $t_{1,k-1} < t_{k-2,1}$. Thus, $t_{k-2,1}$ must be the maximum entry of S . At the same time, from $t_{k-2,1} < t_{2,2}$, we have that each entry of $T \setminus S$ must be greater than $t_{k-2,1}$. Therefore, to satisfy $t_{1,k-1} < t_{j_2,2} < t_{3,1}$ for some j_2 , we must have $t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,1}$. Now, collating $t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,1}$ and $t_{k-2,1} < t_{2,2}$, we have a complete ordering on the entries of T :

$$t_{1,1} < t_{1,2} < \dots < t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,1} < \dots < t_{k-2,1} < t_{2,2} < t_{2,3} \dots < t_{2,k-1}.$$

Therefore, the filling of T is fixed as sought. \square

Our enumeration of next minimal (k, ℓ) -bircrucial permutations now follows from the hook-length formula, Lemma 2, Theorem 4, Lemma 5, Lemma 6, and Lemma 7. We deal with the cases $(k, \ell) \in \{(4, 3), (4, 4)\}$ separately, because unlike (k, ℓ) with larger k for which $k = \ell$ or $k = \ell + 1$, the shape of $P_n(\pi)$ (and $Q_n(\pi)$) are fixed when $(k, \ell) \in \{(4, 3), (4, 4)\}$.

Theorem 8. *Suppose that $k \geq \ell$. The number of next minimal $(4, 3)$ -bircrucial permutations is 25, the number of next minimal $(4, 4)$ -bircrucial permutations is 756, and the number of (k, ℓ) -bircrucial permutations for $(k, \ell) \notin \{(4, 3), (4, 4)\}$ is*

$$(1 + \delta_{k, \ell}) \frac{(k + 2\ell - 7)(k + 2\ell - 4)(k - 3)}{(k + \ell - 4)(k + \ell - 3)} \binom{k + 2\ell - 5}{k - 2, \ell - 3, \ell} + \delta_{k-1, \ell} \frac{3k - 6}{(2k - 5)(2k - 4)} \binom{3k - 7}{k - 4, k - 2, k - 1}.$$

Proof. We begin by supposing that $(k, \ell) \notin \{(4, 3), (4, 4)\}$. By Theorem 20 of Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenkov [2], the length of a minimal (k, ℓ) -bircrucial permutation is $k + 2\ell - 5$. Therefore, the length of a next minimal (k, ℓ) -bircrucial permutations is $k + 2\ell - 4$. In addition, by conditions 1 and 2 of Theorem 4, $P_n(\pi)$ must have $k - 1$ columns and $\ell - 1$ rows.

First, suppose that $p_{\ell-1, 1} < p_{1, k-1}$. Because all entries in column 1 are less than $p_{1, k-1}$, to satisfy condition 3 of Theorem 4, column 2 of $P_n(\pi)$ must have $\ell - 1$ boxes. Thus, for $P_n(\pi)$ to have $k - 1$ boxes in row 1, $\ell - 1$ boxes in columns 1 and 2, and $k + 2\ell - 4$ boxes total, $P_n(\pi)$ must have the shape $(k - 1, 3, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\ell-3})$.

We first fill $P_n(\pi)$. First, by Lemma 5, there are

$$(4.3) \quad k + 2\ell - 7$$

ways to fill $P_n(\pi)$ such that it satisfies conditions 3 and 4 of Theorem 4.

Now, we fill $Q_n(\pi)$. The only restriction on $Q_n(\pi)$ is that it is a standard Young tableau that has the same shape as $P_n(\pi)$. Therefore, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{(k + 2\ell - 4)(k - 3)}{(k + \ell - 4)(k + \ell - 3)} \binom{k + 2\ell - 5}{k - 2, \ell - 3, \ell}$$

ways to fill $Q_n(\pi)$.

By the RSK correspondence, we finish by multiplying Equation (4.3) and Equation (4.4).

Now, suppose that $p_{1, k-1} < p_{\ell-1, 1}$. Then, because all entries in row 1 are less than $p_{\ell-1, 1}$, for condition 4 of Theorem 4 to hold, row 2 must have all $k - 1$ boxes. Therefore, the minimum number of boxes in $P_n(\pi)$ is $2k + \ell - 5$. Since π has length $k + 2\ell - 4$, it must be that $k \in \{\ell, \ell + 1\}$.

Suppose that $k = \ell$. Then, for $P_n(\pi)$ to have $k - 1$ boxes in rows 1 and 2, $k - 1$ boxes in column 1, and $3k - 4$ boxes total, $P_n(\pi)$ must have the shape $(k - 1, k - 1, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k-4})$. Therefore, by Lemma 6,

there are

$$(4.5) \quad 3k - 7$$

ways of filling $P_n(\pi)$. Now, the only restriction on $Q_n(\pi)$ is that it is a standard Young tableau of the same shape as $P_n(\pi)$. Therefore, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{(3k - 4)(k - 3)}{(2k - 4)(2k - 3)} \binom{k + 2\ell - 5}{k - 3, k - 2, k}$$

ways to fill $Q_n(\pi)$.

By the RSK correspondence, we finish this case by multiplying Equation (4.5) and Equation (4.6).

Now, if $k = \ell + 1$, then for $P_n(\pi)$ to have $k - 1$ boxes in rows 1 and 2, $\ell - 1$ boxes in column 1, and $k + 2\ell - 4$ boxes total, $P_n(\pi)$ must have shape $(k - 1, k - 1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell-3})$. Therefore, by Lemma 7,

there is exactly one way to fill $P_n(\pi)$.

Next, we fill $Q_n(\pi)$. The only restriction on $Q_n(\pi)$ is that it is a standard Young tableau of the same shape as $P_n(\pi)$. Therefore, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{3k-6}{(2k-5)(2k-4)} \binom{3k-7}{k-4, k-2, k-1}$$

ways of filling $Q_n(\pi)$.

By the RSK correspondence, we see that Equation (4.7) gives the number of next minimal $(k, k-1)$ -trirucial permutations in this case.

To complete the enumeration of the next minimal (k, ℓ) -bircrucial permutations, we ran a quick computer search to show that the number of next minimal $(4, 3)$ -bircrucial permutations is 25 and the number of next minimal $(4, 4)$ -bircrucial permutations is 756. \square

4.2. $(k, 3)$ -bircrucial permutations. To count the $(k, 3)$ -bircrucial permutations, we first establish the following lemma that counts the number of distinct $P_n(\pi)$ across $(k, 3)$ -bircrucial permutations π .

Lemma 9. *The number of standard Young tableaux T of shape $(k-1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\ell-2})$ for which the exact set of entries less than $t_{1,k-1}$ is $\{t_{1,1}, t_{1,2}, \dots, t_{1,k-2}\} \cup \{t_{1,1}, \dots, t_{i,1}\}$ is*

$$\frac{i}{2\ell-i-2} \binom{k+i-4}{i-1} \binom{2\ell-i-2}{\ell-1}.$$

Proof. Let S be the standard Young tableau formed by the boxes $\{b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \dots, b_{1,k-2}, b_{1,k-1}\} \cup \{b_{i,1}, \dots, b_{i,i}\}$. Refer to Figure 5 for the position of S in T .

Since the elements in $T \setminus S$ are always greater than that of S , the partial orders between an element in S and an element in $T \setminus S$ are always respected. It thus remains to fill S and $T \setminus S$ independently such that any partial order given by the shape of T between two elements of S and between two elements of $T \setminus S$ are respected.




FIGURE 5. The position of S in T

We first fill S . We have that $t_{1,k-1} = k+i-2$, and $\{t_{1,1}, t_{1,2}, \dots, t_{1,k-2}\} \cup \{t_{1,1}, \dots, t_{i,1}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, k+i-3\}$. Thus, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(4.8) \quad \binom{k+i-4}{i-1}$$

ways of filling S .

Next, we fill $T \setminus S$. To do so, we rotate the complement of $T \setminus S$ by 180 degrees. Then, we have a filled Young diagram of shape $(\underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_{\ell-i-1}, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{i-1})$ as each row and column must be increasing.

Therefore, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{i}{2\ell-i-2} \binom{2\ell-i-2}{\ell-1}$$

ways of filling $T \setminus S$.

We finish by multiplying Equation (4.8) and Equation (4.9). \square

Our enumeration of $(k, 3)$ -bicrucial permutations now follows from the hook-length formula, Theorem 4, and Lemma 9.

Theorem 10. *Let $k \geq 3$. The number of $(k, 3)$ -bicrucial permutations of length n for $k + 1 \leq n \leq 2k - 3$ is*

$$\frac{2k - n - 1}{n + 1} \binom{n + 1}{k} \left(\frac{2k - n}{n} \binom{n}{k} - \frac{2k - n - 1}{n - 1} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1} \right).$$

For $n = 2(k - 1)$, it is

$$\left(\frac{1}{k} \binom{2k - 2}{k - 1} \right)^2.$$

Proof. Since $\ell = 3$, the third condition of Theorem 4 is equivalent to $p_{1,k-1} < p_{2,n-k+1}$. Since the rows of $P_n(\pi)$ increase, either $p_{1,k-1} = n$ or $p_{2,n-k+1} = n$. Therefore, the third condition of Theorem 4 is equivalent to $p_{2,n-k+1} = n$.

Now, suppose that $k + 1 \leq n \leq 2k - 3$. Then by Lemma 9, there are

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{2k - n}{n} \binom{n}{k} - \frac{2k - n - 1}{n - 1} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1}$$

possible fillings of $P_n(\pi)$.

We now fill $Q_n(\pi)$. From Theorem 4, the only restriction on $Q_n(\pi)$ is that it is a standard Young tableau of shape $(k - 1, n - k + 1)$. Therefore, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{2k - n - 1}{n + 1} \binom{n + 1}{k}$$

possible fillings of $Q_n(\pi)$.

By the RSK correspondence, we finish by multiplying Equation (4.10) and Equation (4.11).

Now, suppose that $n = 2(k - 1)$. Then the third condition of Theorem 4 is always met, because $p_{1,k-1} < p_{2,k-1} = n$. The fourth condition of Theorem 4 is also always met, because $p_{2,1} < p_{2,2} < \dots < p_{2,k-1}$. Thus, the only restrictions on $P_n(\pi)$ and $Q_n(\pi)$ are that they are standard Young tableaux of shape $(k - 1, k - 1)$. Therefore, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{1}{k} \binom{2k - 2}{k - 1}$$

possible fillings for each of $P_n(\pi)$ and $Q_n(\pi)$.

By the RSK correspondence, we finish by taking the square of Equation (4.12). \square

That all (k, ℓ) -bicrucial permutations for $k \geq \ell$ must have length at least $k + 2\ell - 5$ and at most $(k - 1)(\ell - 1) - 1$ follows from Theorem 9 of Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenkov [2]. Therefore, Theorem 10 gives a complete enumeration of $(k, 3)$ -bicrucial permutations.

5. TRICRUCIAL PERMUTATIONS

In this section, we enumerate the minimal (k, ℓ) -tricrucial permutations, the next minimal (k, ℓ) -tricrucial permutations, and the $(k, 3)$ -tricrucial permutations. We begin by citing the analog of Theorem 1 for (k, ℓ) -tricrucial permutations.

Theorem 11 (Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenkov [2]). *The permutation π is (k, ℓ) -tricrucial if and only if:*

- (1) *the number of columns in $P_n(\pi)$ (and $Q_n(\pi)$) is $k - 1$;*
- (2) *the number of rows in $P_n(\pi)$ (and $Q_n(\pi)$) is $\ell - 1$;*

- (3) $P_n(\pi)$ contains an increasing sequence of elements $p_{1,k-1}, p_{2,j_2}, \dots, p_{\ell-1,j_{\ell-1}}$ for some $j_2, \dots, j_{\ell-1}$;
- (4) $P_n(\pi)$ contains an increasing sequence of elements $p_{\ell-1,1}, p_{i_2,2}, \dots, p_{i_{k-1},k-1}$ for some i_2, \dots, i_{k-1} ;
- (5) $Q_n(\pi)$ contains an increasing sequence of elements $q_{1,k-1}, q_{2,m_2}, \dots, q_{\ell-1,m_{\ell-1}}$ for some $m_2, \dots, m_{\ell-1}$.

5.1. Minimal tricrucial permutations. When π is minimal (k, ℓ) -tricrucial, Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2] showed that the characterization of $(P_n(\pi), Q_n(\pi))$ presented in Theorem 11 is equivalent to the following.

Theorem 12 (Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2]). *Let T be the standard Young tableau of shape $(k-1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\ell-2})$,*

- $t_{1,i} = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell-1$;
- $t_{2,i} = i + k + \ell - 4$ for $2 \leq i \leq \ell-1$;
- $t_{i,1} = \ell-1 + i$ for $2 \leq i \leq k-1$;

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & \ell & \ell+1 & \cdots & k+\ell-3 \\ \hline 2 & k+\ell-2 & & & \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ \hline \ell-1 & k+2\ell-5 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

When $k > \ell$, π is (k, ℓ) -tricrucial if and only if $P_n(\pi)$ is given by T , and $Q_n(\pi)$ of the same shape satisfies $q_{1,k-1} < q_{2,2}$.

When $k = \ell$, π is (k, ℓ) -tricrucial if and only if $P_n(\pi)$ is given by T or its transpose, and $Q_n(\pi)$ of the same shape satisfies $q_{1,k-1} < q_{2,2}$ in the former case, and $q_{1,k-1} < q_{2,j} < q_{3,1}$ for some j in the latter case.

We next establish the following lemma, which counts the number of $Q_n(\pi)$ that has shape $(k-1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{k-2})$ and π is some minimal (k, ℓ) -tricrucial permutation.

Lemma 13. *There exists an explicit bijection between the set of standard Young tableaux T of shape $(k-1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\ell-2})$ that satisfy $t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,2}$ and the set of standard Young tableaux T' of shape $(\ell-1, \ell-1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k-3})$ that satisfy $t'_{1,\ell-1} < t'_{2,j} < t'_{3,1}$ for some j .*

Proof. Because T must satisfy $t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,2}$, the exact set of entries that are less than $t_{1,k-1}$ must be $\{t_{1,1}, t_{2,1}, \dots, t_{i,1}\} \cup \{t_{1,2}, t_{1,3}, \dots, t_{1,k-2}\}$ for some i . Similarly, as T' must satisfy $t'_{1,\ell-1} < t'_{2,j} < t'_{3,1}$ for some j , the exact set of entries greater than $t'_{1,\ell-1}$ must be $\{t'_{2,\ell-i}, t'_{2,\ell-i+1}, \dots, t'_{2,\ell-1}\} \cup \{t'_{3,1}, t'_{4,1}, \dots, t'_{k-1,1}\}$ for some i , and it must be that $t'_{2,\ell-i} = t'_{1,\ell-1} + 1$.

We break down T as follows such that the filled Young diagrams B and C contain the exact set of entries that are less than $t_{1,k-1}$:

- A : the filled Young diagram formed by the box $\{b_{1,k-1}\}$;
- B : the filled Young diagram formed by the boxes $\{b_{1,2}, b_{1,3}, \dots, b_{1,k-2}\}$;
- C : the filled Young diagram formed by the boxes $\{b_{1,1}, b_{2,1}, \dots, b_{i,1}\}$;
- D : the filled skew Young diagram formed by the boxes $\{b_{i+1,1}, b_{i+2,1}, \dots, b_{\ell-1,1}\}$ and $\{b_{2,2}, b_{3,2}, \dots, b_{\ell-1,2}\}$.

Similarly, we break down T' as follows such that the filled Young diagrams B' and C' contain the exact set of entries that are greater than $t'_{1,\ell-1}$:

- A' : the filled Young diagram formed by the box $\{b'_{1,\ell-1}\}$;
- B' : the filled Young diagram formed by the boxes $\{b'_{3,1}, b'_{4,1}, \dots, b'_{k-1,1}\}$;
- C' : the filled Young diagram formed by the boxes $\{b'_{2,\ell-i}, b'_{2,\ell-i+1}, \dots, b'_{2,\ell-1}\}$;
- D' : the filled (skew) Young diagram formed by the boxes $\{b'_{1,1}, b'_{1,2}, \dots, b'_{1,\ell-2}\}$ and $\{b'_{2,1}, b'_{2,2}, \dots, b'_{2,\ell-i-1}\}$.

Refer to Figure 6 for a visual breakdown of T and T' .




FIGURE 6. Breakdown of T and T'

Now, consider the following map that maps a filled Young diagram T' of shape $(\ell-1, \ell-1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k-3})$ that satisfies $t'_{2,\ell-i} = t'_{1,\ell-1} + 1$ and contains the entries greater than $t'_{1,\ell-1}$ in B' and C' to a filled Young diagram T of shape $(k-1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\ell-2})$ that contains the entries less than $t_{1,k-1}$ in B and C :

- $t_{1,k-1} = (n+1) - t'_{1,\ell-1}$;
- $t_{r,1} = t'_{2,r+\ell-i-1} - (2\ell - i - 3)$ for $1 \leq r \leq i$;
- $t_{r,1} = (n+1) - t'_{2,\ell-r}$ for $i+1 \leq r \leq \ell-1$;
- $t_{r,2} = (n+1) - t'_{1,\ell-r}$ for $2 \leq r \leq \ell-1$;
- $t_{1,r} = t'_{r+1,1} - (2\ell - i - 3)$ for $2 \leq r \leq k-2$.

We can check that the proposed map maps A' (respectively, B' , C' , and D') to A (respectively, B , C , and D).

It remains to show that T' is a standard Young tableau that satisfies $t'_{2,\ell-i} = t'_{1,\ell-1} + 1$ and contains the entries greater than $t'_{1,\ell-1}$ in B' and C' if and only if T is a standard Young tableau that contains the entries less than $t_{1,k-1}$ in B and C . Note that because the entries of B and C are less than the entries of A and D in T , the partial orders between the entries of A and B (respectively, A and C , B and D , C and D) are always satisfied. The same applies to the entries of A' and B' (respectively, A' and C' , B' and D' , C' and D') in T' .

Next, we first see that the partial orders between two elements that are both in B (respectively, C , D) must be respected if and only if they are respected in B' (respectively, C' , D'). Furthermore, $t'_{1,\ell-1} = 2\ell - i - 3$, because in T' , the entries that are greater than $t'_{1,\ell-1}$ are contained in B' and C' . Therefore, that $t'_{2,\ell-i} = t'_{1,\ell-1} + 1 = 2\ell - i - 2$ is equivalent to $t_{1,1} = 1$ under the proposed map. But $t_{1,1} = 1$ if and only if the only partial order given by the structure of T between the elements of B and C are satisfied. Similarly, $t_{1,k-1} < t_{2,2}$ if and only if $t'_{1,\ell-2} = (n+1) - t_{2,2} < t'_{1,\ell-1} = (n+1) - t_{1,k-1}$. But $t'_{1,\ell-2} < t'_{1,\ell-1}$ if and only if the only partial order between the entries of A' and D' given

by the structure of T' is satisfied. Thus, collating, T is a standard Young tableau that satisfies $t'_{2,\ell-i} = t'_{1,\ell-1} + 1$ and contains the entries greater than $t'_{1,\ell-1}$ in B' and C' if and only if T is a standard Young tableau that contains the entries less than $t_{1,k-1}$ in B and C as sought. \square

The enumeration of minimal (k, ℓ) -trirucial permutations now follows from the hook-length formula, Lemma 9, Theorem 12, and Lemma 13.

Theorem 14. *Let $k \geq \ell$. The number of minimal (k, ℓ) -trirucial permutations is*

$$(1 + \delta_{k,\ell}) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{i}{2\ell-i-2} \binom{k+i-4}{i-1} \binom{2\ell-i-2}{\ell-1}.$$

Proof. First, suppose that $k > \ell$. Then by Theorem 12, there is only one filling of $P_n(\pi)$, and $Q_n(\pi)$ of the same shape as $P_n(\pi)$ must satisfy $q_{1,k-1} < q_{2,2}$. Now, if $q_{1,k-1} < q_{2,2}$, then none of $q_{2,2} < \dots < q_{\ell-1,2}$ can be less than $q_{1,k-1}$. So, the exact set of entries that are less than $q_{1,k-1}$ must be $\{q_{1,1}, \dots, q_{1,k-2}\} \cup \{q_{1,1}, \dots, q_{i,1}\}$ for some i . Now, the number of ways to fill $Q_n(\pi)$ is given by Lemma 9 to be

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{i}{2\ell-i-2} \binom{k+i-4}{i-1} \binom{2\ell-i-2}{\ell-1}.$$

So, we finish by summing Equation (5.1) across $1 \leq i \leq \ell-1$.

Next, let $k = \ell$. If $P_n(\pi)$ has shape $(k-1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{k-2})$, we proceed as in the case of $k \neq \ell$ to conclude that the number of $(P_n(\pi), Q_n(\pi))$ for which π is minimal (k, ℓ) -trirucial is

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{i}{2\ell-i-2} \binom{k+i-4}{i-1} \binom{2\ell-i-2}{\ell-1}.$$

Similarly, when $P_n(\pi)$ has shape $(k-1, k-1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k-3})$, the filling of $P_n(\pi)$ is fixed, and it follows from Lemma 13 that the number of ways to fill $Q_n(\pi)$ is also given by Equation (5.2).

We thus finish by doubling Equation (5.2). \square


5.2. Next minimal trirucial permutations. To count the next minimal (k, ℓ) -trirucial permutations, we establish the following lemma, which counts the number of $Q_n(\pi)$ for some next minimal (k, ℓ) -trirucial permutation π that satisfy $q_{2,2} < q_{1,k-1}$.

Lemma 15. *The number of standard Young tableaux T of shape $(k-1, 3, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\ell-3})$ that satisfy $t_{2,3} < t_{3,2}$ and contain the exact set of entries less than $t_{1,k-1}$ in $\{b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \dots, b_{1,k-2}\} \cup \{b_{1,1}, b_{2,1}, \dots, b_{\ell-1,1}\} \cup \{b_{2,2}\}$ is*

$$\frac{(l+k-i-3)(k-3)(l-i-2)}{(k-2)(l+i-1)} \binom{l+k-i-5}{k-3} \binom{l+i-1}{l-1}.$$

Proof. We begin by letting S be the standard Young tableau formed by $\{b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \dots, b_{1,k-1}\}$, $\{b_{1,1}, b_{2,1}, \dots, b_{\ell-1,1}\}$, and $\{b_{2,2}\}$. Let U be the filled skew Young diagram of boxes formed by the boxes that are in T but not in S . Refer to Figure 7 for the position of S and U in T .

Since the elements in U are always greater than those of S , the partial orders between an element in S and an element in U given by the shape of T are always respected. In addition, the partial order $t_{2,3} < t_{3,2}$ that we must additionally satisfy from the statement of the lemma is in between two entries of U . It thus remains to fill S and U independently such that all partial orders between two elements of S and between two elements of U by the shape of T are respected.

FIGURE 7. The position of S in T

We first fill S . We have that $t_{1,k-1} = k + \ell - i - 2$, and $\{t_{1,1}, t_{1,2}, \dots, t_{1,k-2}\} \cup \{t_{1,1}, \dots, t_{\ell-i-1,1}\} \cup \{t_{2,2}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, k + \ell - i - 3\}$. Thus, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{(\ell - i - 2)(k - 3)}{(\ell + k - i - 4)} \binom{\ell + k - i - 3}{k - 2}$$

ways of filling S .

Next, we fill U . Recall from the statement of the lemma that it must be that $t_{2,3} < t_{3,2}$. So, we first shift the boxes in $U \setminus \{b_{2,3}\}$ by one box to the right to arrive at U' . Figure 8 demonstrates how to arrive at U' from U . Next, we take the complement of U' and rotate it by 180 degrees. Then, the resulting Young diagram of shape $(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_i, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell-i-2})$ must be a standard Young tableau as its rows and columns increase.

FIGURE 8. The construction of U' from U

Therefore, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{\ell - i - 1}{\ell + i - 1} \binom{\ell + i - 1}{i}$$

ways of filling U .

We finish by multiplying Equation (5.3) and Equation (5.4). □

We next establish the following lemma, which counts the number of distinct $Q_n(\pi)$ for (k, ℓ) -tricrucial permutations π that satisfy $q_{1,k-1} < q_{2,2}$.

Lemma 16. *The number of standard Young tableaux T of shape $(k - 1, 3, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\ell-3})$ that contain the exact set of entries less than $t_{1,k-1}$ in $\{b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \dots, b_{1,k-2}\} \cup \{b_{1,1}, b_{2,1}, \dots, b_{\ell-i-1,1}\}$ and satisfy $t_{2,2} = t_{1,k-1} + i - j + 1$ is*

$$(\ell - j - 2) \binom{\ell + k - i - 5}{k - 3} \binom{\ell + j - 2}{\ell - 2}.$$

Proof. Let S be the standard Young tableaux formed by the boxes $\{b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \dots, b_{1,k-1}\}$ and $\{b_{1,1}, b_{2,1}, \dots, b_{\ell-i-1,1}\}$. Refer to Figure 9 for the position of S in T .




FIGURE 9. The position of S in T

Since the elements in $T \setminus S$ are always greater than that of S , the partial orders given by the shape of T between an element in S and an element in $T \setminus S$ are always respected. It thus remains to fill S and $S \setminus T$ independently such that all partial orders between two elements of S and between two elements of $T \setminus S$ given by the shape of T are respected.

We first fill S . We have that $t_{1,k-1} = k + \ell - i - 3$, and $\{t_{1,1}, t_{1,2}, \dots, t_{1,k-2}\} \cup \{t_{1,1}, \dots, t_{\ell-i-1,1}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, k + \ell - i - 4\}$. Thus, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(5.5) \quad \binom{\ell + k - i - 5}{k - 3}$$

ways of filling S .

Next, we fill $T \setminus S$. Recall that $t_{2,2} = t_{1,k-1} + i - j + 1$. First, because the only entries of $T \setminus S$ that can be less than $t_{2,2}$ are in row 1, it must be that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\ell-i} &= t_{1,k-1} + 1 = k + \ell - i - 2, \\ t_{\ell-i+1} &= t_{1,k-1} + 2 = k + \ell - i - 1, \\ &\vdots \\ t_{\ell-j-1} &= t_{1,k-1} + i - j = k + \ell - j - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, because $t_{2,2} = k + \ell - j - 2 < t_{2,3}$, there are

$$(5.6) \quad (k + 2\ell - 4) - (k + \ell - j - 2) = \ell + j - 2$$

ways of filling $t_{2,3}$.

Let U be the filled skew Young diagram formed by the boxes $\{b_{\ell-j,1}, b_{\ell-i+j+1,1}, \dots, b_{\ell-1,1}\} \cup \{b_{3,2}, b_{4,2}, \dots, b_{\ell-1,2}\}$. Refer to Figure 10 for the position of U in T . It remains to fill U with $\{k + \ell - j - 1, k + \ell - j, \dots, k + 2\ell - 4\} \setminus \{t_{3,2}\}$. Now, add 1 to every entry of U that is less than $t_{3,2}$, take the complement, and rotate by 180 degrees. Then we must have a standard Young tableau of shape $(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_j, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell-j-2})$ as each row and column must be increasing.


Therefore, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{\ell - j - 2}{\ell + j - 2} \binom{\ell + j - 2}{\ell - 2}$$

ways of filling U .

We finish by multiplying Equation (5.5), Equation (5.6), and Equation (5.7). \square

As we proceed, we let $\text{rev}(\pi)$ to be the permutation $\pi_n \pi_{n-1} \dots \pi_1$, and the (k, ℓ) -left(respectively, top)-crucial permutations to be the (k, ℓ) -crucial permutations for which the only allowed extensions

FIGURE 10. The position of U in T

are to the left (respectively, from above). The following lemma helps us count the (k, k) -tricrucial permutations.

Lemma 17. *The permutation π is a (k, k) -tricrucial permutation if and only if $\text{rev}(\pi)$ is a (k, k) -tricrucial permutation.*

Proof. An increasing (respectively, decreasing) subsequence of length k in π is a decreasing (respectively, increasing) subsequence of length k in $\text{rev}(\pi)$. Therefore, π is left (respectively, right, top)-crucial if and only if $\text{rev}(\pi)$ is right (respectively, left, top)-crucial as sought. \square

We proceed to count the next minimal (k, ℓ) -tricrucial permutations. Our enumeration follows from the hook-length formula, Lemma 5, Lemma 6, Lemma 7, Lemma 9, Lemma 15, Lemma 16, and Lemma 17. As in the proof of Theorem 8, we deal with the cases $(k, \ell) \in \{(4, 3), (4, 4)\}$ separately, because unlike (k, ℓ) with larger k for which $k = \ell$ or $k = \ell + 1$, the shape of $P_n(\pi)$ (and $Q_n(\pi)$) are fixed when $(k, \ell) \in \{(4, 3), (4, 4)\}$.

Theorem 18. *Suppose that $k \geq \ell$. The number of next minimal $(4, 3)$ -tricrucial permutations is 25, the number of next minimal $(4, 4)$ -tricrucial permutations is 540, and the number of next minimal (k, ℓ) -tricrucial permutations for $k \geq \ell$ and $(k, \ell) \notin \{(4, 3), (4, 4)\}$ is*

$$(1 + \delta_{k, \ell})(k + 2\ell - 7) \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-2} \binom{\ell + k - i - 5}{k - 3} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i+1} (\ell - j - 2) \binom{\ell + j - 2}{\ell - 2} + \frac{(\ell + k - i - 3)(k - 3)(\ell - i - 2)}{(k - 2)(\ell + i - 1)} \binom{\ell + i - 1}{\ell - 1} \right) \\ + \delta_{k-1, \ell} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{i}{2k - i - 2} \binom{\ell + i - 4}{i - 1} \binom{2k - i - 2}{k - 1},$$

Proof. By Theorem 31 of Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2], the length of a minimal (k, ℓ) -tricrucial permutation is $k + 2\ell - 5$. Therefore, the length of a next minimal (k, ℓ) -tricrucial permutations is $k + 2\ell - 4$. In addition, $P_n(\pi)$ must have $k - 1$ columns and $\ell - 1$ rows by conditions 1 and 2 of Equation (5.1).

First, suppose that $p_{\ell-1,1} < p_{1,k-1}$. Then, to satisfy condition 3 of Theorem 11, column 2 of $P_n(\pi)$ must have $\ell - 1$ boxes. Thus, for $P_n(\pi)$ to have $k - 1$ boxes in row 1, $\ell - 1$ boxes in columns 1 and 2, and $k + 2\ell - 4$ boxes total, $P_n(\pi)$ must have the shape $(k - 1, 3, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\ell-3})$. Now, by Lemma 5,

there are

$$(5.8) \quad k + 2\ell - 7$$

ways to fill $P_n(\pi)$ such that it satisfies conditions 3 and 4 of Theorem 11.

Now, from Theorem 11, $Q_n(\pi)$ must be a standard Young tableau with the same shape as $P_n(\pi)$ that contains an increasing sequence of elements $q_{1,k-1}, q_{2,m_2}, \dots, q_{\ell-1,m_{\ell-1}}$ for some $m_2, \dots, m_{\ell-1}$. We divide cases based on whether $q_{2,2} < q_{1,k-1}$ or not.

Now, suppose that $q_{2,2} < q_{1,k-1}$. Then, for $Q_n(\pi)$ to contain an increasing sequence of elements $q_{1,k-1}, q_{2,m_2}, \dots, q_{\ell-1,m_{\ell-1}}$ for some $m_2, \dots, m_{\ell-1}$, it must be that $q_{1,k-1} < q_{2,3} < q_{3,2}$. Therefore,

by Lemma 15, the number of $Q_n(\pi)$ in this case is given by summing

$$(5.9) \quad \frac{(l+k-i-3)(k-3)(l-i-2)}{(k-2)(l+i-1)} \binom{l+k-i-5}{k-3} \binom{l+i-1}{l-1}$$

across $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 2$.

By the RSK correspondence, we finish this case by summing Equation (5.9) across $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 2$ and multiplying it with Equation (5.8).

Now, suppose that $q_{1,k-1} < q_{2,2}$. Then, by Lemma 16, the number of ways to fill $Q_n(\pi)$ is given by summing

$$(5.10) \quad (\ell - j - 2) \binom{\ell + k - i - 5}{k-3} \binom{\ell + j - 2}{\ell - 2}$$

across $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 2$ and $0 \leq j \leq i + 1$.

By the RSK correspondence, we finish this case by summing Equation (5.9) across $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 2$ and $0 \leq j \leq i + 1$ and multiplying it with Equation (5.10).

Now, suppose that $p_{1,k-1} < p_{\ell-1,1}$. Then, because all entries in row 1 are less than $p_{\ell-1,1}$, for condition 4 of Theorem 11 to hold, row 2 must have all $k - 1$ boxes. Therefore, the minimum number of boxes in $P_n(\pi)$ is $2k + \ell - 5$. Since π has $k + 2\ell - 4$, it must be that $k \in \{\ell, \ell + 1\}$.

First, suppose that $k = \ell$. Then, for $P_n(\pi)$ to have $k - 1$ boxes in rows 1 and 2, $k - 1$ boxes in column 1, and $3k - 4$ boxes total, $P_n(\pi)$ must have the shape $(k - 1, k - 1, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k-4})$. Now, as proved in [9], the shape of the RSK correspondence of π must be the transpose of that of $\text{rev}(\pi)$. Furthermore, by Lemma 17, π is a (k, k) -trirucial permutation if and only if $\text{rev}(\pi)$ is. Therefore, the number of (k, ℓ) -trirucial permutations must be the same as in the case of $p_{\ell-1,1} < p_{1,k-1}$. Namely, it is given by:

$$(5.11) \quad (k + 2\ell - 7) \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-2} \binom{\ell + k - i - 5}{k-3} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i+1} (\ell - j - 2) \binom{\ell + j - 2}{\ell - 2} + \frac{(\ell + k - i - 3)(k-3)(\ell - i - 2)}{(k-2)(\ell + i - 1)} \binom{\ell + i - 1}{\ell - 1} \right).$$

Now, if $k = \ell + 1$, then for $P_n(\pi)$ to have $k - 1$ boxes in rows 1 and 2, $\ell - 1$ boxes in column 1, and $k + 2\ell - 4$ boxes total, $P_n(\pi)$ must have shape $(k - 1, k - 1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell-3})$. Therefore, by Lemma 7,

there is exactly one way to fill $P_n(\pi)$.

Now, we fill $Q_n(\pi)$. By Theorem 11, $Q_n(\pi)$ must have the same shape as $P_n(\pi)$ and contain an increasing sequence of elements $q_{1,k-1}, q_{2,m_2}, \dots, q_{\ell-1,m_{\ell-1}}$ for some $m_2, \dots, m_{\ell-1}$. By Lemma 13, the number of ways to fill $Q_n(\pi)$ is the same as the number of standard Young tableau Q' of shape $(\ell - 1, 2, \underbrace{\dots, 2}_{k-2})$ that satisfies $q'_{1,k-1} < q'_{2,2}$. Therefore, by Lemma 9, the number of ways to fill $Q_n(\pi)$

is given by summing

$$(5.12) \quad \frac{i}{2\ell - i - 2} \binom{k + i - 4}{i-1} \binom{2\ell - i - 2}{\ell - 1}$$

across $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

By the RSK correspondence, we finish this case by summing Equation (5.12) across $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

To complete the enumeration of the next minimal (k, ℓ) -trirucial permutations, we verify via computer search that the number of next minimal $(4, 3)$ -trirucial permutations is 25 and the number of next minimal $(4, 4)$ -trirucial permutations is 540. \square

5.3. $(k, 3)$ -tricrucial permutations. To count the $(k, 3)$ -tricrucial permutations, we first establish the following lemma, which counts the number of $P_n(\pi)$ for some $(k, 3)$ -tricrucial permutation π when $k + 1 \leq n \leq 2k - 3$.

Lemma 19. *Let $k + 1 \leq n \leq 2k - 3$. The number of standard Young tableaux T of shape $(k - 1, n - k + 1)$ that satisfy $t_{2, n-k+1} = n$ and contain an increasing sequence of elements $t_{2,1}, t_{i_2,2}, \dots, t_{i_{k-1}, k-1}$ for some i_2, i_3, \dots, i_{k-1} is*

$$\frac{2k - n}{n} \binom{n}{k} - \frac{2k - n - 1}{n - 1} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1}.$$

Proof. With $t_{2, n-k+1} = n$ fixed, the number of standard Young tableaux on the remaining shape $(k - 1, n - k)$ is given by the hook-length formula to be

$$(5.13) \quad \frac{2k - n}{n} \binom{n}{k}.$$

Next, we claim that if a standard Young tableau of shape $(k - 1, n - k + 1)$ satisfies $t_{2, n-k+1} = n$, an increasing sequence of elements $t_{2,1}, t_{i_2,2}, \dots, t_{i_{k-1}, k-1}$ for some i_2, i_3, \dots, i_{k-1} does not exist if and only if $t_{2,j} > t_{1,j+1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n - k + 1$. We first show the condition $t_{2,j} > t_{1,j+1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n - k + 1$ is necessary. Assume that such a sequence exists. Then the condition $t_{2,j} > t_{1,j+1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n - k + 1$ forces $i_2 = \dots = i_{n-k+1} = 2$. However, since $t_{2, n-k+1} = n$, we cannot have $n = t_{2, n-k+1} < t_{1, n-k+2}$. Therefore, such a sequence does not exist. We now show that the condition $t_{2,j} > t_{1,j+1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n - k + 1$ is sufficient. If $t_{2,j} < t_{1,j+1}$ for some j such that $1 \leq j \leq n - k + 1$, then let $i_2 = \dots = i_j = 2$ and $i_{j+1} = \dots = i_{k-1} = 1$. Then the sequence $t_{2,1}, t_{2,2}, \dots, t_{2,j}, t_{1,j+1}, t_{1,j+2}, \dots, t_{1, k-1}$ increases, since each row of a standard Young tableau increases and $t_{2,j} < t_{1,j+1}$.

Now, we enumerate the standard Young tableaux T of shape $(k - 1, n - k + 1)$ that satisfy $t_{2, n-k+1} = n$ and $t_{2,j} > t_{1,j+1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n - k + 1$. To do so, we first shift the boxes in row 2 by one box to the right. We then eliminate the box that contains $t_{1,1} = 1$ and subtract 1 from each entry of T . Then the resulting Young diagram of shape $(k - 2, n - k + 1)$ is a standard Young tableau T' that satisfies $t'_{2, n-k+1} = n - 1$: the rows in T' increase as the rows in T increase, and the columns in T' increase as $t_{2,j} > t_{1,j+1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n - k + 1$. Figure 11 demonstrates how to arrive at T' from T .



FIGURE 11. The construction of T' from T

Thus, our count reduces to counting the number of standard Young tableaux T' of shape $(k - 2, n - k + 1)$ that satisfy $t'_{2, n-k} = n - 1$. After removing the box $t'_{2, n-k} = n - 1$, our count further reduces to counting the number of standard Young tableaux with the shape $(k - 2, n - k)$. Therefore, the number of standard Young tableaux T of shape $(k - 1, n - k + 1)$ that satisfy $t_{2, n-k+1} = n$ and do not contain an increasing sequence of elements $t_{2,1}, t_{i_2,2}, \dots, t_{i_{k-1}, k-1}$ for some i_2, i_3, \dots, i_{k-1} is given by the hook-length formula to be

$$(5.14) \quad \frac{2k - n - 1}{n - 1} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1}.$$

We finish by subtracting Equation (5.14) from Equation (5.13). \square

We now proceed to our enumeration of the $(k, 3)$ -tricrucial permutations for $k \geq 3$. Our enumeration is a culmination of the hook-length formula, Theorem 11, and Lemma 19.

Theorem 20. *Let $k \geq 3$. The number of $(k, 3)$ -tricrucial permutations of length n for $k + 1 \leq n \leq 2k - 3$ is*

$$\frac{2k - n}{n} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{2k - n}{n} \binom{n}{k} - \frac{2k - n - 1}{n - 1} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1} \right).$$

For $n = 2(k - 1)$, it is

$$\left(\frac{1}{k} \binom{2k - 2}{k - 1} \right)^2.$$

Proof. Since $\ell = 3$, the third condition of Theorem 11 is equivalent to $p_{1,k-1} < p_{2,n-k+1}$. Because the rows of $P_n(\pi)$ increase, either $p_{1,k-1} = n$ or $p_{2,n-k+1} = n$. Therefore, the third condition of Theorem 11 is equivalent to $p_{2,n-k+1} = n$. Similarly, the fifth condition of Theorem 11 is equivalent to $q_{2,n-k+1} = n$.

Let $k + 1 \leq n \leq 2k - 3$. Then from Lemma 19, the number of possible fillings of $P_n(\pi)$ is given by

$$(5.15) \quad \frac{2k - n}{n} \binom{n}{k} - \frac{2k - n - 1}{n - 1} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1}.$$

Now, we fill $Q_n(\pi)$. Since $q_{2,n-k+1} = n$, we must fill the remaining tableau of shape $(k - 1, n - k)$. Thus, from the hook-length formula, the number of possible fillings of $Q_n(\pi)$ is given by

$$(5.16) \quad \frac{2k - n}{n} \binom{n}{k}.$$

By the RSK correspondence, we finish by multiplying Equation (5.15) and Equation (5.16).

Now, suppose that $n = 2(k - 1)$. Then the third condition of Theorem 11 is always met, because $p_{1,k-1} < p_{2,k-1} = n$. Likewise, the fifth condition is always met. Similarly, the fourth condition of Theorem 11 is also always met, because $p_{2,1} < p_{2,2} < \dots < p_{2,k-1}$. Thus, the only restrictions on $P_n(\pi)$ and $Q_n(\pi)$ are that they are standard Young tableaux of shape $(k - 1, k - 1)$. Therefore, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(5.17) \quad \frac{1}{k} \binom{2k - 2}{k - 1}$$

possible fillings for each of $P_n(\pi)$ and $Q_n(\pi)$.

By the RSK correspondence, we finish by squaring Equation (5.17). \square

That all (k, ℓ) -tricrucial permutations for $k \geq \ell$ must have length at least $k + 2\ell - 5$ and at most $(k - 1)(\ell - 1) - 1$ follows from Theorem 32 of Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2]. Therefore, Theorem 20 gives a complete enumeration of $(k, 3)$ -tricrucial permutations.

6. QUADROCRUCIAL PERMUTATIONS

Our next result is that we enumerate the next minimal (k, ℓ) -quadrocrucial permutations. We begin by citing the characterization of $(P_n(\pi), Q_n(\pi))$ when π is quadrocrucial as presented by Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2].

Theorem 21 (Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2]). *The permutation $\pi \in S_n$ is (k, ℓ) -quadrocrucial if and only if:*

- (1) *the number of columns in $P_n(\pi)$ (and $Q_n(\pi)$) is $k - 1$;*
- (2) *the number of rows in $P_n(\pi)$ (and $Q_n(\pi)$) is $\ell - 1$;*

- (3) $P_n(\pi)$ contains an increasing sequence of elements $p_{1,k-1}, p_{2,j_2}, \dots, p_{\ell-1,j_{\ell-1}}$ for some $j_2, \dots, j_{\ell-1}$;
- (4) $P_n(\pi)$ contains an increasing sequence of elements $p_{\ell-1,1}, p_{i_2,2}, \dots, p_{i_{k-1},k-1}$ for some i_2, \dots, i_{k-1} ;
- (5) $Q_n(\pi)$ contains an increasing sequence of elements $q_{1,k-1}, q_{2,m_2}, \dots, q_{\ell-1,m_{\ell-1}}$ for some $m_2, \dots, m_{\ell-1}$;
- (6) $Q_n(\pi)$ contains an increasing sequence of elements $q_{\ell-1,1}, q_{r_2,2}, \dots, q_{r_{k-1},k-1}$ for some r_2, \dots, r_{k-1} .

6.1. Next minimal quadrocrucial permutations. The enumeration of next minimal (k, ℓ) -quadrocrucial permutations follows from Lemma 5 and Lemma 7. As in the proof of Theorem 8, we deal with the cases $(k, \ell) \in \{(4, 3), (4, 4)\}$ separately, because unlike (k, ℓ) with larger k for which $k = \ell$ or $k = \ell + 1$, the shape of $P_n(\pi)$ (and $Q_n(\pi)$) are fixed when $(k, \ell) \in \{(4, 3), (4, 4)\}$.

Theorem 22. *Suppose that $k \geq \ell$. The number of next minimal $(4, 3)$ -quadrocrucial permutations is 25, the number of next minimal $(4, 4)$ -quadrocrucial permutations is 756, and the number of next minimal (k, ℓ) -quadrocrucial permutations for $k \geq \ell$ and $(k, \ell) \notin \{(4, 3), (4, 4)\}$ is*

$$(1 + \delta_{k,\ell})(k + 2\ell - 7)^2 + \delta_{k-1,\ell}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 36 of Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2], the length of a minimal (k, ℓ) -quadrocrucial permutation is $k + 2\ell - 5$. Therefore, the length of a next minimal (k, ℓ) -quadrocrucial permutations is $k + 2\ell - 4$. By conditions 1 and 2 of Theorem 21, $P_n(\pi)$ must have $k - 1$ columns and $\ell - 1$ rows.

First, suppose that $p_{\ell-1,1} < p_{1,k-1}$. Then, to satisfy condition 3 of Theorem 21, column 2 of $P_n(\pi)$ must have $\ell - 1$ boxes. Thus, for $P_n(\pi)$ to have $k - 1$ boxes in row 1, $\ell - 1$ boxes in columns 1 and 2, and $k + 2\ell - 4$ boxes total, $P_n(\pi)$ must have the shape $(k - 1, 3, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{\ell-3})$. Now, by Lemma 5,

there are

$$(6.1) \quad k + 2\ell - 7$$

ways to fill $P_n(\pi)$ such that it satisfies conditions 3 and 4 of Theorem 21. The same applies to $Q_n(\pi)$.

By the RSK correspondence, we finish this case by squaring Equation (6.1).

Now, suppose that $p_{1,k-1} < p_{\ell-1,1}$. Then because all entries in row 1 are less than $p_{\ell-1,1}$, for condition 4 of Theorem 21 to hold, row 2 must have all $k - 1$ boxes. Therefore, the minimum number of boxes in $P_n(\pi)$ is $2k + \ell - 5$. Since π has $k + 2\ell - 4$, it must be that $k \in \{\ell, \ell + 1\}$.

Suppose that $k = \ell$. Then, for $P_n(\pi)$ to have $k - 1$ boxes in rows 1 and 2, $k - 1$ boxes in column 1, and $3k - 4$ boxes total, $P_n(\pi)$ must have the shape $(k - 1, k - 1, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k-4})$. Thus, by Lemma 6,

there must be

$$(6.2) \quad 3k - 7$$

ways of filling $P_n(\pi)$. The same applies to $Q_n(\pi)$.

By the RSK correspondence, we finish this case by squaring Equation (6.2).

Now, if $k = \ell + 1$, for $P_n(\pi)$ to have $k - 1$ boxes in rows 1 and 2, $\ell - 1$ boxes in column 1, and $k + 2\ell - 4$ boxes total, $P_n(\pi)$ must have shape $(k - 1, k - 1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\ell-3})$. Therefore, by Lemma 7, there

is exactly one way to fill $P_n(\pi)$. The same applies to $Q_n(\pi)$.

By the RSK correspondence, there is one additional (k, ℓ) -quadrocrucial permutation in this case.

To complete the enumeration of the next minimal (k, ℓ) -quadrocrucial permutations, we verify via computer search that the number of next minimal $(4, 3)$ -quadrocrucial permutations is 25 and the number of next minimal $(4, 4)$ -quadrocrucial permutations is 756. \square

6.2. $(k, 3)$ -quadrocrucial permutations. Our final result is that we count the $(k, 3)$ -quadrocrucial permutations for $k \geq 3$. The enumeration follows from the hook-length formula, Lemma 9, and Theorem 23.

Theorem 23. *Let $k \geq 3$. The number of $(k, 3)$ -quadrocrucial permutations of length n for $k + 1 \leq n \leq 2k - 3$ is*

$$\left(\frac{2k - n}{n} \binom{n}{k} - \frac{2k - n - 1}{n - 1} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1} \right)^2.$$

For $n = 2(k - 1)$, it is

$$\left(\frac{1}{k} \binom{2k - 2}{k - 1} \right)^2.$$

Proof. Since $\ell = 3$, the third condition of Theorem 21 is equivalent to $p_{1,k-1} < p_{2,n-k+1}$. Since the rows of $P_n(\pi)$ increase, either $p_{1,k-1} = n$ or $p_{2,n-k+1} = n$. Therefore, the third condition of Theorem 21 is equivalent to $p_{2,n-k+1} = n$. Similarly, the fifth condition of Theorem 21 is equivalent to $q_{2,n-k+1} = n$.

Now, let $k + 1 \leq n \leq 2k - 3$. The number of possible fillings for each of $P_n(\pi)$ and $Q_n(\pi)$ is given by Lemma 19 to be

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{2k - n}{n} \binom{n}{k} - \frac{2k - n - 1}{n - 1} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1}.$$

By the RSK correspondence, we finish by squaring Equation (6.3).

Now, suppose that $n = 2(k - 1)$. Then the third condition of Theorem 11 is always met, because $p_{1,k-1} < p_{2,k-1} = n$. Likewise, the fifth condition is always met. Similarly, the fourth condition of Theorem 11 is also always met, because $p_{2,1} < p_{2,2} < \cdots < p_{2,k-1}$. Likewise, the sixth condition is always met. Thus, the only restrictions on $P_n(\pi)$ and $Q_n(\pi)$ are that they are standard Young tableaux of shape $(k - 1, k - 1)$. Therefore, by the hook-length formula, there are

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{1}{k} \binom{2k - 2}{k - 1}$$

possible fillings for each of $P_n(\pi)$ and $Q_n(\pi)$. By the RSK correspondence, we finish by squaring Equation (6.4). \square

That all (k, ℓ) -quadrocrucial permutations for $k \geq \ell$ must have length at least $k + 2\ell - 5$ and at most $(k - 1)(\ell - 1) - 1$ follows from Theorem 36 of Avgustinovich, Kitaev, and Taranenko [2]. Therefore, Theorem 23 gives a complete enumeration of $(k, 3)$ -quadrocrucial permutations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was conducted as a part of the 2022 University of Minnesota Duluth REU, supported by Jane Street Capital, the NSA (grant number H98230-22-1-0015), and the Harvard Herchel Smith Undergraduate Science Research Program. The author thanks Emily Zhang, Amanda Burcroff, and Joe Gallian for their thoughtful comments on the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Avgustinovich, S. Kitaev, and A. Taranenko, *On five types of crucial permutations with respect to monotone patterns*. arXiv:math/2206.12499, 2022.
- [2] S. Avgustinovich and S. Kitaev, *On uniquely k -determined permutations*. Discrete Math. **308** (2008), 1500–1507.
- [3] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, *A combinatorial problem in geometry*. Compositio Math. **2** (1935), 463–470.
- [4] A. Evdokimov and S. Kitaev, *Crucial words and the complexity of some extremal problems for sets of prohibited words*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A. **105** (2004), 273–289.
- [5] J. Frame, G. Robinson, and R. Thrall, *The hook graphs of the symmetric group*. Canad. J. Math **6** (1954), 316–325.
- [6] D. Knuth, *Permutations, matrices, and generalized Young tableaux*. Pacific J. of Math. **34** (1970), 709–727.
- [7] G. Robinson, *On the representations of the symmetric group*. Amer. J. Math. **60** (1938) 2, 745–760.

- [8] C. Schensted, *Longest increasing and decreasing subsequences*. Canad. J. Math **13** (1961), 179–191.
- [9] R. P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics: Volume 2. Cambridge University Press, First edition, 2001.
- [10] R. P. Stanley, *Increasing and decreasing subsequences of permutations and their variants*. arXiv:math/0512035v1, 2005.
- [11] R. P. Stanley, *Solution to problem 5641*. Amer. Math. Monthly. **76** (1969) 10, 1153.
- [12] V. Vatter, *An Erdős-Hajnal analogue for permutation classes*. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. **18** (2016) 2, Paper No. 4.

Y. Choi, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

E-mail address: ychoi@college.harvard.edu