

# Wave functions of the Hydrogen atom in the momentum representation

M. Kirchbach<sup>a</sup> and J. A. Vallejo<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Instituto de Física

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí (UASLP)

Av. Manuel Nava 6, SLP 78290, México

<sup>b</sup> Departamento de Matemáticas Fundamentales

Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia (UNED)

C. Juan del Rosal 10, CP 28040, Madrid, Spain

E-mail: [mariana@ifisica.uaslp.mx](mailto:mariana@ifisica.uaslp.mx), [jvallejo@mat.uned.es](mailto:jvallejo@mat.uned.es)

**Abstract.** We construct the integral transform passing from the space representation to the radial momentum representation for the Hydrogen atom. The resulting wave functions are explicitly given in terms of complex finite expansions of Gegenbauer functions of the first and second kind, or in terms of (elementary) trigonometric functions. We show their symmetry under the  $SO(4)$  group, and their equivalence with those of Lombardi and Ogilvie in [7].

*Keywords:* Radial momentum, spherical wave kernel, momentum space wave functions, Hydrogen atom

## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the construction of the wave functions of the Hydrogen atom in the momentum representation, a topic which has been considered in [1]- [7], among others. To understand why this is a non-trivial exercise in basic quantum mechanics, we need to recall some physical and mathematical ideas that will be important in the following sections. However, as we are mainly focused on physical applications, we only sketch the mathematical details.

In the canonical quantization procedure pioneered by Dirac, one starts with a classical Hamiltonian system which, for simplicity, we will assume to be  $n$ -dimensional and having a phase space equal to  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  (that is, we avoid constraints). More generally, we could think of a  $2n$ -dimensional cotangent manifold  $T^*M$ , where  $M$  is a configuration space. In any case, the phase space is endowed with a canonical symplectic form  $w$  which allows the definition of things such as Hamiltonian vector fields  $X_f$  associated to classical observables  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*M)$  (that vector field is uniquely defined by the condition  $w(X_f, \cdot) = -df$ , where  $d$  is the exterior differential), and

the Poisson bracket of two classical observables  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*M)$  (defined as  $\{f, g\} = w(X_f, X_g)$ ). Those systems admit a privileged system of so-called Darboux coordinates  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = (q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ , in which the symplectic form becomes  $w = dq^i \wedge dp_i$ , equivalently, the Poisson brackets between the coordinates (considered as functions on the phase space) are the canonical ones:  $\{q^j, p_k\} = \delta_k^j$ .

Quantization is achieved by replacing the classical observables  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*M)$  by self-adjoint operators  $\hat{f}$  defined on some dense subspace of the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions  $L^2(M, d\mu)$ , with respect to some measure  $d\mu$  (to be constructed in the process), and the Poisson bracket by  $i\hbar$  times the commutator between operators,  $[\hat{f}, \hat{g}] = \hat{f} \circ \hat{g} - \hat{g} \circ \hat{f}$ . Thus, the Poisson bracket of the Darboux coordinates now becomes the well-known Heisenberg canonical commutation relation

$$[\hat{q}^j, \hat{p}_k] = i\hbar \delta_k^j I, \quad (1)$$

where  $I$  stands for the identity operator. Notice that this relation has to be valid only in the common domain where it makes sense, and this could be the empty set [8].

Now, one can pose the question of what operators could be those  $\hat{q}^j, \hat{p}_k$ . Any textbook on quantum mechanics presents an heuristic argument leading to the consideration of the multiplication operator

$$\hat{q}^j \psi(\mathbf{q}) = q^j \psi(\mathbf{q}), \quad (2)$$

and the differentiation operator

$$\hat{p}_k \psi(\mathbf{q}) = -i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q^k}. \quad (3)$$

That correspondence works well when the classical configurations space is  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ , so the Darboux coordinates are the Cartesian ones on  $T^*M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , and the corresponding Hilbert space is  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d^n x)$ , the space of square-integrable functions with respect to the Euclidean measure. In that case, both  $\hat{q}^j$  and  $\hat{p}_k$  are well-defined operators on the domain consisting, for instance, of Schwartz-class functions  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and admit unique self-adjoint extensions (with which they are identified, respectively). The uniqueness of the representation (2) and (3) is then guaranteed by the Stone-Von Neumann theorem: any other pair of operators satisfying (1), are unitarily equivalent to (2), (3).

However, it is important to understand that things are drastically different if the configuration space is not all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , or even if this is the case but we choose coordinates different from the canonical Darboux (Cartesian) ones [9]. This remark will be crucial later on.

A fundamental result in the theory of Hilbert spaces is the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators, which basically states that given one of these, we can find a unitary equivalence in the Hilbert space such that the transformed operator acts as a multiplication operator, that is, the transformed operator is “diagonal”. Each choice of an operator and the associated equivalence that diagonalizes it, is called a

representation of the system. In the light of this result, we can say that the canonical Dirac correspondence (2), (3) determines the position representation, as the operators  $\hat{q}^j$  are multiplication operators. Of course, we could ask what is the momentum representation, that is: What is the unitary equivalence  $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  such that given the operator  $\hat{p} = -i\hbar d/dx$ , the transformed operator  $\mathcal{F} \circ \hat{p} \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1}$  acts via the multiplication by identity? (We are considering the one-dimensional case for ease of notation). The answer is, of course, that  $\mathcal{F}$  is the well-known Fourier transform, defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(\psi)(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\frac{p \cdot x}{\hbar}} \psi(x) dx. \quad (4)$$

It suffices to note that the desired result is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{F}(\hat{p}\psi)(p) = p \cdot (\mathcal{F}\psi)(p). \quad (5)$$

This is proved by observing that unitary operators preserve the density of the domain and the closures, and integrating by parts (since the functions  $\psi(x)$  in the domain of  $\hat{p}$ , vanish at infinity).

Expression (5) suggests the following heuristic motivation for the introduction of the Fourier transform: If we think of it as an integral transform whose kernel are the “eigenfunctions” of the momentum operator<sup>‡</sup> (3), the plane waves  $e^{-i\frac{p \cdot x}{\hbar}}$ , then it is clear that the derivative inside the integral transform, after integration by parts so that it acts on the exponential, will give us the multiplying  $p$ . Then, given that the integration is done with respect to the  $x$  variable,  $p$  can be factored outside the integral. We will generalize this idea in later sections.

Finally, after these admittedly long preliminaries, we can formulate the problem we are interested in, which is to compute the radial wave functions (using polar spherical coordinates) of the Hydrogen atom in the momentum representation. In Section 2 we will recall the correct form of the radial momentum in these coordinates, and we will compute the unitary transformation which diagonalizes it, by means of an integral transform whose kernel is given by its formal eigenfunctions. In Section 3 we briefly review the existing literature on this subject; in particular we review the Lombardi-Ogilvie approach, whose output is a set of wave functions that are not manifestly  $SO(4)$ –invariant. In 4 we give explicit expressions for the resulting radial functions of the Hydrogen atom in momentum space following our proposal, which have the advantage of being  $SO(4)$ –invariant; we also prove the equivalence with the functions of Lombardi-Ogilvie. Finally, in Section 5 we present some conclusions and perspectives for future developments.

## 2. The momentum representation for the Hydrogen atom

Here we face one of the difficulties we mentioned earlier, namely, the construction of the momentum operator in coordinates other than the Cartesian ones. If polar spherical

<sup>‡</sup> Of course, that only makes sense at a formal level: The spectrum of (3) is purely continuous and it possesses no eigenfunctions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ .

coordinates  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$  are chosen, then the radial momentum can not be  $p'_r = -i\hbar\partial/\partial r$ . The reason is that this operator is not even symmetric, and, as a consequence, its expectation values calculated on Schrödinger's radial wave functions for a central potential are not real [10]. In fact, one gets an expression like

$$\langle p'_r \rangle = i\hbar \int d\Omega \left[ \int_0^\infty r^2 \psi(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial \psi^*(\mathbf{r})}{\partial r} dr \right] + 2i\hbar \int d\Omega \int_0^\infty r^2 |\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2,$$

and the usual uncertainty relations do not hold in this case. This situation leads to unwanted consequences such as a violation of the second law of thermodynamics [11], so it has to be avoided.

De Witt [12] has devised a scheme to find the correct momentum operator conjugate to an arbitrary coordinate function (that is, maintaining the Heisenberg commutation relations (1)): Given any  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  (which in our case will be the Euclidean flat 3-space  $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ ), and a coordinate system  $x^j$  on it, with  $1 \leq j \leq n$  (in our case the polar spherical coordinates  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ ), the momentum operator corresponding to  $x^j$  is given by

$$\hat{p}_j = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \frac{1}{2} i\hbar \sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma_{kj}^k, \quad (6)$$

where  $\Gamma_{jl}^k$  are the Christoffel symbols of the metric  $g$  expressed in the  $x^j$  coordinate system. In the case of the flat three-dimensional Euclidean metric in polar spherical coordinates, it is immediate to find that the Christoffel symbols of interest are  $\Gamma_{\theta r}^\theta = 1/r$  and  $\Gamma_{\varphi r}^\varphi = 1/r$ , so we get the following expression for the radial momentum operator:

$$\hat{p}_r = -i\hbar \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \right) = -i\hbar \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \circ r, \quad (7)$$

see also [9]. From a mathematical point of view, the operator  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  defined in (6) is not free from problems. Its natural domain of definition are the smooth functions of compact support in the punctured space  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\})$ , and on this domain it is a symmetric operator. However, it is not an observable, since its deficiency indices are  $(0, \infty)$  and, consequently, it does not have self-adjointed extensions (see [13]). Although, in particular,  $\hat{p}_r$  is not an observable, its square  $\hat{p}_r^2$  is (since, being non-negative, it admits a Fredrich's extension).

Now we turn our attention to the Hydrogen atom. This is a particular case of a quantum system of two particles interacting through a central potential. The wave function  $\psi(\mathbf{q})$  describing the relative motion, satisfy the Suchdingier equation

$$(H\psi)(\mathbf{q}) = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \Delta + V(|\mathbf{q}|) \right] \psi(\mathbf{q}) = E\psi(\mathbf{q}),$$

where  $V(|\mathbf{q}|)$  is a radial function (that is, invariant under rotations), and  $\mu$  is the reduced mass. Introducing polar spherical coordinates, and doing a little algebra, this equation becomes

$$\left[ \frac{\hat{p}_r^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\mathbf{L}^2}{2\mu r^2} + V(r) \right] \psi(r, \theta, \varphi) = E\psi(r, \theta, \varphi), \quad (8)$$

where  $\mathbf{L}$  is the relative orbital angular momentum, and  $V(r) = -e^2/r$ . By separation of variables, the solution to (8) is a superposition of functions of the form

$$\psi_{N\ell m}(r, \theta, \varphi) = R_{N\ell}(r) Y_\ell^m(\theta, \varphi).$$

In what follows, we will be interested in the resulting equation for  $R_{N\ell}(r)$  (the radial invention), called the radial equation:

$$\left[ \frac{\hat{p}_r^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)\hbar^2}{2\mu r^2} + V(r) \right] R_{N\ell}(r) = E_N R_{N\ell}(r), \quad (9)$$

and our goal is: First, to find a unitary transformation  $\mathcal{H} : L^2([0, \infty[, r^2 dr) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, r^2 dr)$ § such that, given the operator  $\hat{p}_r$ , the transformed operator  $\mathcal{H} \circ \hat{p}_r \circ \mathcal{H}^{-1}$  acts via the multiplication by identity, that is, to find the momentum representation. Actually, we will not make use of the inverse transform, so we will prove (5) directly. Second, we want to express the radial wave function in this representation. We devote the remaining of this section to the first task, and the remaining sections to the second. In order to find the transformation  $\mathcal{H}$ , we follow the heuristic idea exposed after (5), and start by finding the (formal) eigenfunctions of  $\hat{p}_r$ , that is, for each  $p_r \in ]0, \infty[$ , we want the solutions to  $\hat{p}_r \psi_{p_r}(r) = \pm p_r \psi_{p_r}(r)$ . As this equation is separable, we readily get

$$\psi_{p_r}^\pm(r) = \frac{e^{\pm i \frac{p_r r}{\hbar}}}{r}, \quad (10)$$

which represent outgoing and incoming spherical waves.

**Remark:** *In what follows, we will make free use of natural units for which  $\hbar = 1$ , for the sake of readability.*

Notice that, outgoing and incoming spherical waves can be expressed in terms of spherical Hankel functions of the first  $h_0^{(1)}(x)$  and second  $h_0^{(2)}(x)$  kind, respectively given by

$$h_0^{(1)}(x) = -i \frac{e^{ix}}{x}, \quad h_0^{(2)}(x) = i \frac{e^{-ix}}{x}.$$

For instance, we have:

$$\psi_{p_r}^-(r) = \frac{e^{-ip_r r}}{r} = -ip_r h_0^{(2)}(p_r r).$$

Now, we can state the main result of this section. For the sake of clarity, we drop the subindex  $r$  in the radial momentum, writing simply  $p$  instead of  $p_r$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Define the transformation  $\mathcal{H} : L^2([0, \infty[, r^2 dr) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, r^2 dr)$  by*

$$(\mathcal{H}\phi)(p) = \int_0^\infty \phi(r) \psi_p^-(r) r^2 dr. \quad (11)$$

*Then, it holds*

$$\mathcal{H}(\hat{p}\phi)(p) = p \cdot (\mathcal{H}\phi)(p). \quad (12)$$

§ Although  $r$  lives in  $]0, \infty[$ , the radial momentum  $p_r$  takes real values, including negative ones.

*Proof.* First of all, notice that  $\mathcal{H}$  acts as

$$(\mathcal{H}\phi)(p) = -ip \int_0^\infty \phi(r) h_0^{(2)}(pr) r^2 dr = \int_0^\infty \phi(r) e^{-ipr} r dr.$$

Written in this form, it is clear that it can be considered as a Fourier transform on a weighted Lebesgue space  $L^1([0, \infty[, r dr)$ , as the weight  $u(r) = r$  is a locally integrable, positive function on  $]0, \infty[$ . It is also apparent that the Schwartz class  $\mathcal{S}([0, \infty[)$  is included in the domain of  $\mathcal{H}$  (notice that the vector space of Schwartz functions is closed under multiplication by polynomials), so we can work in this subspace (or even in the smaller subspace of functions with compact support) and apply a standard density argument to extend the definition to the broader space  $L^2([0, \infty[, r^2 dr)$ . The proof that  $\mathcal{H}$  is unitary is also standard. Finally, we integrate by parts to show that (5) holds for any  $\phi$  of compact support on  $]0, \infty[$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\hat{p}\phi)(p) &= \int_0^\infty -\frac{i}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r\phi(r)) \psi_p^-(r) r^2 dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty -i \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r\phi(r)) \psi_p^-(r) r dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty r\phi(r) \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) (r\psi_p^-(r)) dr \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \phi(r) \left( -\frac{i}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) (r\psi_p^-(r)) r^2 dr \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \phi(r) (\hat{p}\psi_p^-)(r) r^2 dr \\ &= p \int_0^\infty \phi(r) \psi_p^-(r) r^2 dr \\ &= p(\mathcal{H}\phi)(p). \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. H Atom wave functions in the momentum representation: Previous results

Before considering the problem of writing the solutions to (9) in the momentum representation, given by the transform  $\mathcal{H}$  in (11) of the preceding section, let us consider previous approaches to this problem.

#### 3.1. The functions of Podolsky and Pauling

Podolsky and Pauling [1] (and later also Hylleraas [2]) tried to find solutions  $G_{N\ell m}(\mathbf{p})$  to (9) by considering the standard Fourier transform as the unitary transformation that

|| Yet another point of view is that  $(\mathcal{H}\phi)(p)$  is the Fourier transform of the Borel measure  $\phi(r)rdr$  on  $]0, \infty[$ . The appearance of the Hankel function  $h_0^{(2)}$  is no surprising at all, since it is well known that the ordinary Fourier transform of a radial function is given by a Hankel transform.

diagonalizes it, thus arriving at the formulae,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{N\ell m}(\mathbf{p}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_0^\infty e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{R}_{N\ell m}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \\ &= \mathcal{G}_{N\ell}(p) Y_\ell^m(\theta_p, \varphi_p), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_{N\ell m}(\mathbf{r})$  denote the usual wave functions of the Hydrogen atom in the position representation, and  $Y_\ell^m(\theta_p, \varphi_p)$  are the well known spherical harmonics.

One method for evaluating the above integral consists in expanding the plane wave as

$$e^{i\frac{p_r}{\hbar}r \cos \theta} = \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell'}^{\ell'} i^{\ell'} (2\ell'+1) j_{\ell'}(pr) P_{\ell'}(\cos \theta),$$

which leads to the Fourier transform expanding into a series of integral transforms whose kernels are spherical Bessel functions of every order, and to a factorization in terms of the variables  $pr$  and  $\cos \theta$ . Had we considered instead the radial component of the momentum as a variable, naively taken as the classical value  $p_r = p \cos \theta$ , we would have arrived at

$$e^{i\frac{p_r}{\hbar}r} = -i\frac{p_r r}{\hbar} \left[ j_0\left(\frac{p_r}{\hbar}r\right) - in_0\left(\frac{p_r}{\hbar}r\right) \right], \quad (13)$$

that is, to a kernel with spherical Bessel functions of the first and second kind, and of zeroth order. We discuss this in more detail after eq. (19) below. Irrespective of the techniques applied, the result is known, and here we quote reference [3], where the radial wave functions in the momentum representation have been worked out for the general case of any dimension. Atoms in higher dimensions have unusual properties that can serve as signature of extra dimensions in quantum mechanics, as they are predicted by grand unification and string theories. For the three dimensional case at hand, the radial wave functions  $\mathcal{G}_{N\ell}(p)$  turn out to be

$$\mathcal{G}_{N\ell}(p) = (2\hbar\beta)^{\frac{5}{2}} \Gamma(\ell+1) \sqrt{\frac{(N-\ell-1)!N!}{\pi(N+\ell)!}} \frac{(4\hbar\beta p)^\ell}{(\hbar^2\beta^2 + p^2)^{\ell+2}} C_{N-\ell-1}^{\ell+1} \left( \frac{\hbar^2\beta^2 - p^2}{\hbar^2\beta^2 + p^2} \right). \quad (14)$$

Here,  $\beta = Z\mu\alpha/N\hbar$  is a constant given in terms of the fine structure constant  $\alpha$ , the reduced mass  $\mu$ , and the atomic number  $Z$ , so  $\hbar\beta$  equals  $q_n$  in eq. (4.7) in [3]. The symbols  $C_n^\alpha(x)$  stand for Gegenbauer polynomials. After a change of variable in their argument, given by

$$\cos \chi_p = \frac{\hbar^2\beta^2 - p^2}{\hbar^2\beta^2 + p^2}, \quad \sin \chi_p = \frac{2\hbar\beta p}{\hbar^2\beta^2 + p^2}, \quad \chi_p \in [0, \pi], \quad (15)$$

the wave functions in the momentum representation obtained in this way, known as Podolsky-Pauling functions, read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{N\ell}(\chi_p) &= (2\hbar\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\ell+2} \sqrt{\frac{(N-\ell-1)!N!}{\pi(N+\ell)!}} \Gamma(\ell+1) \cos^2 \frac{\chi_p}{2} \mathcal{S}_{(N-1)\ell}(\chi_p), \\ \mathcal{S}_{(N-1)\ell}(\chi_p) &= \sin^\ell \chi_p C_{N-\ell-1}^{\ell+1}(\cos \chi_p). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{S}_{(N-1)\ell}(\chi_p)$  coincides with the second polar-angle part of the ultra-spherical harmonics,  $Y_{K\ell m}(\chi_p, \theta_p, \varphi_p) = \mathcal{S}_{K\ell}(\chi_p)Y_\ell^m(\theta_p, \varphi_p)$ , with  $K = N - 1$  denoting the four-dimensional angular momentum value.

The great advantage of these functions is that they determine bases of the irreducible representations of the orthogonal group  $SO(4)$ . Indeed, it is clearly possible to factor the ultra-spherical harmonics  $Y_{(N-1)\ell m}(\chi_p, \theta_p, \varphi_p)$  in the expression for the full wave functions  $G_{N\ell m}(\mathbf{p})$ , thus explicitly showing the full  $O(4)$  symmetry of the Hydrogen atom in the momentum representation.

It is important to point out that the Podolsky-Pauling functions depend on  $p^2 = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2$ , the squared (Euclidean) norm of the linear momentum, and not on the genuine radial momentum  $p_r$ , which is the projection of  $\mathbf{p}$  on the direction of  $\mathbf{r}$ , and can naively be thought in terms of its classical expression,  $p_r = p \cos \theta$ . In that sense, the Podolsky-Pauling functions seem conjugate to the radial Hydrogen atom wave functions when expressed in terms of  $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ , rather than to the radial coordinate itself (see the discussion in subsection 4.4, regarding the corresponding uncertainty relations).

### 3.2. The functions of Lombardi and Ogilvie

Lombardi [6] and Ogilvie [7] worked out the total momentum space wave function, factorized as  $\alpha(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \alpha(p_r)\beta(\theta)\rho(\varphi)$ , as follows: Starting with the radial equation (9), they made the replacements

$$\begin{aligned} -i\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}r &\longrightarrow p_r, \\ \frac{1}{r} &\longrightarrow -i\int_{-\infty}^{p_r} dp'_r := I, \\ R_{N\ell}(r) &\longrightarrow \alpha_{N\ell}(p_r), \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and the resulting second-order integral equation (expressed in natural units of  $\hbar = 1$  (see eq. (32) in [7])),

$$\left(p_r^2 - 2\mu E + \ell(\ell + 1)I^2 + 2\mu Z e^2 I\right)\alpha_{N\ell}(p_r) = 0, \tag{18}$$

was solved by taking its second-order derivative and converting it to a differential equation in the  $p_r$  coordinate. The solutions to (18) are then given in eq. (33) in [7], and can be rewritten<sup>¶</sup>, for the sake of comparison, with the notations of the preceding section, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{N\ell}(p_r) &= \mathcal{N}_{N\ell}^L \sum_{k=0}^{N-\ell-1} c_{N\ell}^k \left(\frac{i\beta}{p_r - i\beta}\right)^{\ell+k+2} \\ &= \mathcal{N}_{N\ell}^L \sum_{k=0}^{N-\ell-1} c_{N\ell}^k \left(\frac{i\beta(p_r + i\beta)}{p_r^2 + \beta^2}\right)^{\ell+k+2} \\ c_{N\ell}^k &= \frac{2^k(N - \ell - 1)!(\ell + k + 1)!}{k!(N - \ell - k - 1)!(2\ell + k + 1)!}, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

<sup>¶</sup> For example, identifying  $\beta$  here with  $p_0/N$  in [7].



Notice that here, following Weyl's symmetrization recipe,  $p_r = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} \cdot \mathbf{p})$ . The normalization constant, here denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_{N\ell}^L$ , can be consulted in eq. (33) in [7].

These calculations present several problems from a mathematical point of view, the most important one coming from the replacement (17), which needs a careful study of the domain in which is valid. From a physical perspective, the wave functions of Lombardi and Ogilvie, even being deduced from the correct radial momentum operator, have the drawback of not being explicitly  $SO(4)$ -invariant, a fact which perhaps is the explanation of why they are not more widespread.

In the following section, we calculate the  $\psi_{N\ell}(p_r)$  functions from the integral transform in Theorem 1, finding two new equivalent, although different looking, representations. We will prove that these two representations are necessarily equivalent to the  $\alpha_{n\ell}(p_r)$  functions above.

As to the angular part of the wave functions, they have been constructed in [6], [7] as eigenfunctions of the operator  $\hat{p}_\theta$  given by

$$r\hat{p}_\theta = -i\hbar \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sin\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \sqrt{\sin\theta} = -i\hbar \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \cot\theta \right), \quad \theta \in [0, \pi], \quad (20)$$

which is transformed by means of the unitary operator  $t = \exp(i\pi u)$  making the change of variable  $u = \cos\theta$ , in which case  $\hat{p}_\theta$  becomes  $\hat{p}_t = -i\partial/\partial u = \pi t \partial/\partial t$ . Obviously, the angular wave functions so obtained are essentially distinct from the associated Legendre function,  $P_\ell^m(\cos\theta)$ , appearing in (16). In a way similar, the azimuthal wave functions are handled by setting  $v = \exp(i\varphi)$ , leading to the operator  $\hat{p}_v = v\partial/\partial v$ . In fact, the complex functions by Lombardi and Ogilvie are quite different from the real ones by Podolsky and Pauling. In the following subsection, we briefly consider the possibility that the angular part of the momentum space wave functions may not be those given in the preceding works by Podolsky-Pauling or Lombardi-Ogilvie, so other parametrizations may be eligible as well.

### 3.3. The angular functions of Liu, Tang and Xun for a particle on the sphere

The angular parts of the wave functions in momentum space, for a motion on a sphere, can be constructed using Dirac's theory of constraints and the associated star-bracket. In so doing, one defines the geometric momentum,

$$\hat{p} = -i\hbar (\nabla + H\mathbf{n}), \quad (21)$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the local gradient operator on the sphere,  $H$  denotes the mean curvature of the sphere as embedded in three dimensional Euclidean space (an extrinsic measure of curvature), and  $\mathbf{n}$  is the normal vector (eqs. (3)-(4) in [14]). Then, the most general form of its  $z$  component, denoted by  $\hat{p}_{(\alpha,\beta)z}$  in eq. (8) in [14], is obtained as,

$$\hat{p}_{(\alpha,\beta)z} = -\frac{i\hbar}{r} \left( -\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \alpha \cos\theta \right) + \frac{\hbar}{r} \beta \cos\theta. \quad (22)$$

It is further argued in [14] that the values of  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$  are particularly appropriate (see (33) there). For these parameter values,  $\hat{p}_{(\alpha,\beta)z}$  takes the form,

$$\hat{p}_{(\alpha=1,\beta=0)z} = -\frac{i\hbar}{r} \left( -\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \cos\theta \right) = \frac{i\hbar}{r} \sin\theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \cot\theta \right), \quad (23)$$

which is clearly distinct from (20). The corresponding eigenfunctions, reported (modulo the normalization factor) in eq. (47) in [14], are,

$$\psi_z(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}\sin\theta} \tan^{-ip_z} \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}\sin\theta} e^{-ip_z \ln \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (24)$$

Thus, the scheme of Dirac's second-class constrained quantization, as applied to the Hydrogen atom, gives angular wave functions different from those in [6], [7]. In this regard, it has to be noticed that the construction in this section depends on the particular embedding of the sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  one chooses (through the normal vector field  $\mathbf{n}$ ), and it has been suggested that the corresponding curvature effects can be detected by specially designed momentum spectrometers [15, 16]. In this sense, which functions are best suited to describe the actual Hydrogen atom is a question that should be decided on the basis of such experiments.

#### 4. Radial wave functions in the momentum representation: A proposal

In this section we apply the integral transform in Theorem 1 to the study of the radial wave functions of the Hydrogen atom in the momentum representation. Because the wave functions are defined up to a phase, in the following we shall ignore the imaginary factor in front of  $h_0^{(2)}(p_r r/\hbar)$ , but we will recover the  $\hbar$ .

##### 4.1. Complex finite expansions in terms of Gegenbauer functions

Let  $R_{N\ell}(r)$  be a radial wave function, solution to (9). Notice that it has a dependence on the energy  $E$  which, for bounded states, can be parametrized by an integer  $N$ . In what follows, it will be necessary to make this dependence explicit, hence we will introduce a new variable  $\rho = 2\beta r$ , where  $\beta = Z\mu c\alpha/N\hbar$  has been already defined in subsection 3.1. Consider now the radial function  $\phi(r) = R_{N\ell}(2\beta r) = R_{N\ell}(\rho)$ . It is well known (see, for instance, [17]) that it can be expressed in terms of the Laguerre polynomials  $L_n^a(\rho)$  as

$$R_{N\ell}(\rho) = \mathcal{N}_{N\ell} e^{-\rho/2} \rho^\ell L_{N-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(\rho), \quad (25)$$

where the normalization constants  $\mathcal{N}_{N\ell}$  (different from the  $\mathcal{N}_{N\ell}^L$  of subsection 3.2) are given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{N\ell} = (2\beta)^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{(N-\ell-1)!}{2n \cdot (N+\ell)!}}.$$

The transformed radial wave function in momentum space, according to (11), can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{N\ell}(p_r) &= \frac{p_r}{\hbar} \int_0^\infty h_0^{(2)}\left(\frac{p_r}{\hbar}r\right) R_{N\ell}(\rho) r^2 dr \\ &= \frac{p_r}{\hbar} \int_0^\infty \left(j_0\left(\frac{p_r}{\hbar}r\right) - in_0\left(\frac{p_r}{\hbar}r\right)\right) R_{N\ell}(\rho) r^2 dr.\end{aligned}$$

We will separately study the integrals appearing here, so we define  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  as,

$$\begin{aligned}I_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{N\ell}} \int_0^\infty j_0\left(\frac{p_r}{\hbar}r\right) R_{N\ell}(\rho) r^2 dr \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\beta)^3} \int_0^\infty j_0\left(\frac{p_r}{2\beta\hbar}\rho\right) e^{-\frac{\rho}{2}} L_{N-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(\rho) \rho^\ell \rho^2 d\rho,\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}I_2\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{N\ell}} \int_0^\infty n_0\left(\frac{p_r}{\hbar}r\right) R_{N\ell}(\rho) r^2 dr, \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\beta)^3} \int_0^\infty n_0\left(\frac{p_r}{2\beta\hbar}\rho\right) e^{-\frac{\rho}{2}} L_{N-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(\rho) \rho^\ell \rho^2 d\rho,\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

respectively, and rewrite the wave function under consideration as,

$$\psi_{N\ell}(p_r) = \frac{p_r}{\hbar} \mathcal{N}_{N\ell} \left( I_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) - iI_2\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) \right). \quad (28)$$

In order to evaluate  $I_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right)$  and  $I_2\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right)$ , we express the Hydrogen atom wave functions, based on Laguerre's generalized polynomials, in terms of finite sums of Slater-type functions. To this end, we first make use of the well-known expression for the Laguerre polynomials,

$$L_n^\alpha(\rho) = \sum_{t=0}^n (-1)^t \binom{n+\alpha}{n-t} \frac{\rho^t}{t!}, \quad (29)$$

which we then substitute into the equations (26), and (27). In so doing we find,

$$\begin{aligned}I_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) &= \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} \binom{N+\ell}{N-\ell-1-t} \frac{(-1)^t}{t!(2\beta)^3} \int_0^\infty j_0\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\rho\right) e^{-\frac{\rho}{2}} \rho^{\ell+t+2} d\rho \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} \binom{N+\ell}{N-\ell-1-t} \frac{(-1)^t}{t!(2\beta)^3} \sqrt{\frac{\beta\hbar\pi}{p_r}} \mathcal{I}_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right),\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right)$  is an integral of the Hankel transform type (with a kernel given by the Bessel function of the first kind,  $J_{1/2}(\rho)$ ), given by

$$\mathcal{I}_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) = \int_0^\infty J_{1/2}\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\rho\right) e^{-\frac{\rho}{2}} \rho^{\ell+t+\frac{3}{2}} d\rho.$$

Analogously, the second integral is evaluated as,

$$I_2\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) = \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} \binom{N+\ell}{N-\ell-1-t} \frac{(-1)^t}{t!(2\beta)^3} \sqrt{\frac{\beta\hbar\pi}{p_r}} \mathcal{I}_2\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right), \quad (31)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_2\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right)$  is another integral of the Hankel transform type (with a kernel given by the Bessel function of the second kind,  $Y_{1/2}(\rho)$ ), given by

$$\mathcal{I}_2\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) = \int_0^\infty Y_{1/2}\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\rho\right) e^{-\frac{\rho}{2}} \rho^{\ell+t+\frac{3}{2}} d\rho.$$

The aforementioned Hankel transforms,  $\mathcal{I}_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right)$ , are tabulated in [18] (eq. **6.621** on p. 699, and in **6.622** on p. 700, respectively). Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) = (2x)^{(\ell+\frac{5}{2}+t)} \Gamma(\ell+3+t) P_{\ell+\frac{3}{2}+t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x),$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_2\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) = -\frac{2}{\pi}(2x)^{(\ell+\frac{5}{2}+t)} \Gamma(\ell+3+t) Q_{\ell+\frac{3}{2}+t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x),$$

respectively, where

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta} \right)^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (32)$$

Here,  $P_\nu^{-\mu}(x)$  and  $Q_\nu^{-\mu}(x)$  denote the associated Legendre functions of the first and the second kind, characterized by their order  $\nu$ , and degree  $\mu$ , with  $\Re(\nu + \mu) > 0$  (they are also known as Ferrers functions of the first and second kind [19]). Thus, we arrive at the following result.

**Lemma 1.** *The radial momentum wave functions  $\psi_{N\ell}(p_r)$  can be expressed as the following finite series expansion:*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{N\ell}(p_r) = & \frac{p_r}{\hbar} \mathcal{N}_{N\ell} \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} (-1)^t \binom{N+\ell}{N-\ell-1-t} \frac{1}{t!(2\beta)^3} (2x)^{(\ell+\frac{5}{2}+t)} \\ & \times \sqrt{\frac{\beta\hbar\pi}{p_r}} \Gamma(\ell+3+t) \left( P_{\ell+\frac{3}{2}+t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) + i\frac{2}{\pi} Q_{\ell+\frac{3}{2}+t}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \right), \end{aligned}$$

with  $x$  defined in (32).

*Proof.* The statement follows by back substituting  $\mathcal{I}_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right)$  in (30) and (31), respectively, and taking into account (28).  $\square$

Now, using the relations of  $P_\nu^{-\mu}(x)$ ,  $Q_\nu^{-\mu}(x)$  with  $C_\gamma^\delta(x)$ ,  $D_\gamma^\delta(x)$ , the Gegenbauer functions of the first and second kind respectively (see eq. (61) in [20], and eq. (1.13) in [21], here transcribed in the notation of [20]),

$$\begin{aligned} P_\nu^{-\mu}(x) &= \frac{2^\mu}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\nu - \mu + 1)}{\Gamma(\nu + \mu + 1)} (1-x^2)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} C_{\nu-\mu}^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(x), \\ Q_\nu^{-\mu}(x) &= 2^\mu \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\nu - \mu + 1)}{\Gamma(\nu + \mu + 1)} (1-x^2)^{\mu/2} D_{\nu-\mu}^{\mu+1/2}(x), \end{aligned}$$

(in their respective intervals  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , and  $x \in (-1, 1)$ ), we can rewrite the expression for  $\psi_{N\ell}(p_r)$ . To this end, we take  $\mu = 1/2$ ,  $\nu = \ell + t + 3/2$  in the formulae above, to find

$$\psi_{N\ell}(p_r) = \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} a_{\ell t}^{(N)} \sqrt{1-x^2} x^{(\ell+2+t)} (C_{\ell+1+t}^1(x) + iD_{\ell+1+t}^1(x)) \quad (33)$$

where

$$a_{\ell t}^{(N)} = \mathcal{N}_{N\ell} 2^{\ell+t+2} (-1)^t \binom{N+\ell}{N-\ell-1-t} \frac{1}{t!(2\beta)^2} \Gamma(\ell+t+2).$$

Finally, by making a further change of variables,

$$x := \cos \gamma, \quad (1-x^2) := \sin^2 \gamma, \quad (34)$$

we arrive at the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *With the preceding notations, the radial momentum wave functions  $\psi_{N\ell}(p_r)$  can be expressed as the following finite series expansion:*

$$\psi_{N\ell}(p_r) = \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} a_{\ell t}^{(N)} \sin \gamma \cos^{\ell+2+t} \gamma (C_{\ell+1+t}^1(\cos \gamma) + iD_{\ell+1+t}^1(\cos \gamma)).$$

Notice that this compact closed form of the radial momentum functions shows that, as in the case of the functions of Podolsky and Pauling, the functions presented here are expressible in terms of a  $so(2, 1) \sim su(1, 1)$  basis.

As shown in [21], the combination,  $C_\nu^\lambda(x) + iD_\nu^\lambda(x)$ , defines a further Gegenbauer function of the second kind,  $\mathcal{D}_\nu^\lambda(x + i0)$ , now of complex argument, by putting

$$C_\nu^\lambda(x) + iD_\nu^\lambda(x) = 2\mathcal{D}_\nu^\lambda(x + i0), \quad (35)$$

where the notation  $i0$ , means the limit with respect to  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in the corresponding function with the variable  $x + i\epsilon$ . With that, the expression for  $\psi_{N\ell}(p_r)$  can be written even more concisely.

**Corollary 1.** *With the preceding notations, the radial momentum wave functions  $\psi_{N\ell}(p_r)$  can be expressed as*

$$\psi_{N\ell}(p_r) = \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} 2a_{\ell t}^{(N)} \sqrt{1-x^2} x^{(\ell+2+t)} \mathcal{D}_{\ell+t+1}^1(x + i0), \quad (36)$$

Notice that the use of Slater-type functions in (30) above, can cause numerical instabilities of the solutions (due to the alternating sign of the  $(-1)^t$  factor) when the summation extends to very high  $(N-\ell+1)$  values, a critical remark already expressed in [5]. However, contrary to the case of the Fourier transforms of the radial wave functions considered in [1], [5], [4], in the present case we can not avoid this problem by invoking the generating functions of the Laguerre and Gegenbauer polynomials. The reason for this is that, following this path, the hypergeometric function which would appear instead of  ${}_2F_1$  in eq. (20) in [5], can not be transformed to the binomial series (as  ${}_1F_0$ , in eq. (21) in [5] does).

4.2. Alternative finite complex expansions in terms of elementary functions obtained by means of Fourier sine and cosine transforms

A simpler form for the radial momentum wave functions can be obtained by expressing the spherical Bessel function as  $j_0(x) = \sin x/x$  and converting  $I_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right)$  in (26) to,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) &= \int_0^\infty j_0\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\rho\right) R_{N\ell}(\rho) \rho^2 d\rho \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{p_r} \frac{1}{(2\beta)^2} \int_0^\infty \sin\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\rho\right) R_{N\ell}(\rho) \rho d\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Inserting above the expression for  $R_{N\ell}(r)$  from (25), and taking into account (29), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) &= \frac{\hbar}{p_r} \frac{1}{(2\beta)^2} \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} (-1)^t \frac{1}{t!} \binom{N+\ell}{N-\ell-1-t} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty \rho^{\ell+t+1} e^{-\frac{\rho}{2}} \sin\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\rho\right) d\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Similarly,  $n_0(x)$  in (27) can be expressed as  $n_0(x) = -\cos x/x$ , leading to

$$\begin{aligned} I_2\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right) &= -\frac{\hbar}{p_r} \frac{1}{(2\beta)^2} \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} (-1)^t \frac{1}{t!} \binom{N+\ell}{N-\ell-1-t} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty \rho^{\ell+t+1} e^{-\frac{\rho}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\rho\right) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Integrals of the form (37) and (38), are standard Fourier sin and cos transforms, which are well known known (see **860.89** and **800.99** in [22]) and read:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty x^n e^{-\alpha x} \sin bx \, dx &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1) \sin(n+1)\theta}{(\alpha^2 + b^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}, \\ \int_0^\infty x^n e^{-\alpha x} \cos bx \, dx &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1) \cos(n+1)\theta}{(\alpha^2 + b^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}, \\ \sin \theta &= \frac{b}{(\alpha^2 + b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \cos \theta = \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha^2 + b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{b}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.** *With the preceding notations, the radial momentum wave functions  $\psi_{N\ell}(p_r)$  can be expressed as:*

$$\psi_{N\ell}(p_r) = \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} b_{N\ell}^{(t)} \frac{e^{i(\ell+t+2)\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{p_r}{\hbar\beta}\right)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\ell+t+2}}{\left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta}\right)^2}\right)^{\ell+t+2}}, \quad (39)$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} b_{N\ell}^{(t)} e^{i(\ell+t+2)\theta} \cos^{\ell+t+2} \theta, \quad (40)$$

where we have introduced

$$b_{N\ell}^{(t)} = \mathcal{N}_{N\ell} 2^{\ell+t+2} \frac{1}{(2\beta)^2} (-1)^t \frac{1}{t!} \binom{N+\ell}{N-\ell-1-t} \Gamma(\ell+t+2),$$

and

$$\theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{p_r}{\hbar\beta} \right).$$

*Proof.* Taking into account the preceding results, and making  $n = \ell + t + 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1/2$ , and  $b = p_r/(2\hbar\beta)$ , the function  $\psi_{N\ell}(p_r)$  in (28) takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{N\ell}(p_r) = & \mathcal{N}_{N\ell} \frac{1}{(2\beta)^2} \sum_{t=0}^{N-\ell-1} (-1)^t \frac{1}{t!} \binom{N+\ell}{N-\ell-1-t} \Gamma(\ell+t+2) \\ & \times \left( \frac{\sin(\ell+t+2) \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{p_r}{\hbar\beta} \right)}{\left( \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{\ell+t+2}{2}}} + i \frac{\cos(\ell+t+2) \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{p_r}{\hbar\beta} \right)}{\left( \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{\ell+t+2}{2}}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

from which the statement follows.  $\square$

The functions in (39), (40) are obviously equivalent to the (36) in Corollary 1, because both are obtained from the same integrals (26), and (27), just computed using a different technique. This is also visible from comparing their real and imaginary parts upon making use of relations

$$C_n^1(\cos \theta) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin \theta}, \quad D_n^1(\cos \theta) = \frac{\cos(n+1)\theta}{\sin \theta}, \quad \theta = \gamma, \quad (41)$$

for  $n = \ell + t + 1$ . The latter are obtained upon substitution of the eqs. (14.5.12) and (14.5.14) from [23] into the expressions appearing in the above proof to Lemma 1.

Two interesting properties are:

- (i) The functions are continuous, finite at the origin, and approach zero at infinity. Therefore, they are bounded continuous and hence square integrable.
- (ii) The denominator in (39) can be rewritten using,

$$\left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{(2\hbar\beta)^2} (\hbar^2\beta^2 + p_r^2) = \frac{1}{(2\hbar\beta)^2} \left( \frac{\mathcal{E}_N^2}{c^2} + p_r^2 \right),$$

(where  $\mathcal{E}_N^2 = -2\mu c^2 E_N$ ). This is a manifestly  $SO(4)$ -symmetric expression in an Euclidean four-dimensional space, in which  $p_r$  is interpreted as the radius of a two-dimensional sphere, while  $\mathcal{E}_N^2/c^2 + p_r^2 = s_N^2$  could be viewed as the squared radius of a three-dimensional sphere. Moreover, recalling that

$$\tan^{-1} \frac{p_r}{\hbar\beta} = \cos^{-1} \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{p_r}{2\hbar\beta} \right)^2}} = \theta, \quad (42)$$

it becomes apparent that, as was the case of the Podolsky-Pauling wave functions, the functions in (40) are  $SO(4)$ -symmetric.

In particular, the second property shows that the well known  $SO(4)$  symmetry of the Hydrogen atom in the position representation, is also present in the momentum representation, which is a consistency test.

#### 4.3. Equivalence with the functions of Lombardi-Ogilvie

As mentioned earlier, the greatest drawback of Lombardi-Ogilvie functions (19) is that they are not manifestly  $SO(4)$ -invariant. Our functions (39), (40), (36) do present that invariance, and now we are going to show that they are equivalent to those in (19), thus curing that problem.

We start by considering again the radial Schrödinger equation (we work in  $\hbar = 1$  units):

$$\left[ \frac{\hat{p}_r^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2\mu r^2} - \frac{Ze^2}{r} \right] R_{N\ell}(r) = E_N R_{N\ell}(r).$$

If we apply the integral transform  $\mathcal{H}$  in Theorem 1 to this equation, and write  $\psi_{N\ell}(p_r)$  for the transform of  $R_{N\ell}(r)$ , we arrive at

$$(p_r^2 - 2\mu E)\psi_{N\ell}(p_r) - 2\mu Ze^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{ip_r r}}{r} \frac{R_{N\ell}(r)}{r} r^2 dr + \ell(\ell+1) \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{ip_r r}}{r} \frac{R_{N\ell}(r)}{r^2} r^2 dr = 0. \quad (43)$$

We want to show that this equation is equivalent to the defining equation of the Lombardi-Ogilvie functions (18), repeated here for the reader's convenience:

$$(p_r^2 - 2\mu E + 2\mu Ze^2 I + \ell(\ell+1)I^2)\alpha_{N\ell}(p_r) = 0,$$

where, recall,  $I$  denotes the integral operator<sup>+</sup> in (17),

$$I = -i \int_{-\infty}^{p_r} dp'_r.$$

In their setting, Lombardi and Ogilvie do not specify the domain of this operator, but for sufficiently regular functions (in particular, for those in the dense subspace  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ), we can take derivatives with respect to  $p_r$  in (43), and evaluate the following integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} -i \frac{d}{dp_r} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{ip_r r}}{r} \frac{1}{r} R_{N\ell}(r) r^2 dr &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{ip_r r}}{r} R_{N\ell}(r) r^2 dr = \psi_{N\ell}(p_r) \\ &= -i \frac{d}{dp_r} \int_{-\infty}^{p_r} dp'_r \alpha_{N\ell}(p'_r) = \alpha_{N\ell}(p_r), \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

<sup>+</sup> For an analysis of this operator, see [24], p. 134, Problem 19.



and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(-i \frac{d}{dp_r}\right) \left(-i \frac{d}{dp_r}\right) \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{ip_r r}}{r} \frac{1}{r^2} R_{N\ell}(r) r^2 dr = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{p_r r}}{r} R_{N\ell}(r) r^2 dr \\
& = \psi_{N\ell}(p_r) = \left(-i \frac{d}{dp_r}\right) \left(-i \frac{d}{dp'_r}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{p_r} dp'_r \int_{-\infty}^{p'_r} dp''_r \alpha_{N\ell}(p''_r) \\
& = \alpha_{N\ell}(p_r),
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

which follow from the definition of  $\psi_{N\ell}(p_r)$  as an integral transform, in combination with the fundamental theorem of the integral calculus. The above considerations show that our method has the advantage over eq. (18) of being generalizable to any radial potential and any dimensions, in which case one will encounter different integrals, possibly multidimensional ones.

#### 4.4. Comparison between the functions of Podolsky-Pauling and Lombardi-Ogilvie

A comparison between the momentum space wave functions of Podolsky and Pauling, on the one side, with those of Lombardi and Ogilvie, on the other, is in order. For the sake of transparency, we here limit ourselves to compare the corresponding profiles of the momentum distributions, and ignore normalization constants. Also, for illustrative purposes, we select some quantum numbers, for which the expressions look particularly simple. To be specific, below we compare the respective momentum distributions for  $\ell = N - 1$ .

Modulo normalization constants, the Podolsky-Pauling distributions for maximal  $\ell$  values corresponding to (14), read:

$$|\mathcal{G}_{N,N-1}(p)|^2 \sim \frac{(4\hbar\beta p)^{2(N-1)}}{(\hbar^2\beta^2 + p^2)^{2(N+1)}} \tag{46}$$

For comparison, those of Lombardi-Ogilvie, in our parametrization (40) are given by,

$$|\psi_{N,N-1}(p_r)|^2 \sim \frac{1}{(\hbar^2\beta^2 + p_r^2)^{N+1}} \tag{47}$$

Graphically:




Figure 1: Plots of the Podolsky-Pauling (PP) and Lombardi-Ogilvie (LO) non-normalized momentum distributions, for  $N = 1, 2, 3, 4$  (in order, left to right and top to bottom), in units  $\hbar\beta = 1$ . The argument of the former is  $p \in ]0, \infty[$ , while that of the latter is  $p_r \in ]-\infty, \infty[$ .

According to (46), the Podolsky-Pauling momentum distribution for the ground state falls off as  $(1 + p^2/\hbar^2\beta^2)^{-4}$ , a fact experimentally confirmed by [26]. This can be explained by noticing that in the space spanned by the radial Hydrogen atom wave functions and their Fourier transforms to momentum space the following uncertainty relation holds valid:

$$\langle r^2 \rangle \langle p^2 \rangle \geq \frac{D^2}{4}, \quad (48)$$

where  $D$  is the dimensionality of the space,  $D = 3$  in our case (see [27])<sup>\*</sup>. The inequality in (48) encodes the complementary character of  $r^2$  and  $p^2$ , and provides the explanation to the fact that the wave functions of Podolsky and Pauling are the ones appropriate for the description of the  $p^2$  expectation values distribution in the Hydrogen atom states. However, if what we want is the distribution of the expectation values of the radial momentum,  $\langle p_r \rangle$ , then the Lombardi-Ogilvie wave functions should be more appropriate. Indeed, our conclusion is that the two sets of functions under discussion are complementary to each other. While the ones by Podolsky and Pauling test the distribution of linear momenta with arbitrary orientation, those of Lombardi and Ogilvie would test the distribution of strictly radially aligned momenta, and this could be experimentally confirmed by appropriate radial polarization diffraction experiments, along the lines of [30].

<sup>\*</sup> Alternatively, such Heisenberg-like uncertainty relations can also be confirmed and generalized on the basis of information-theoretic methods [28], [29].

## 5. Conclusions and perspectives

We propose a construction of the Hydrogen atom radial wave functions in momentum space from an integral transform which generalizes the case of the free particle momentum representation (see Theorem 1). The corresponding kernel is given by a spherical wave, instead of the traditional plane one, which comes from the consideration of the *formal* eigenfunctions of the symmetric radial momentum operator (7). This operator, although not self-adjoint, has the feature of satisfying Heisenberg's commutation rules with the radial coordinate.

The resulting radial wave functions are equivalent to those of Lombardi and Ogilvie [6, 7], and can be expressed either in terms of finite complex expansions of Gegenbauer functions of the first and second kind (Theorem 2 and Corollary 1), or in terms of (elementary) trigonometric functions (Theorem 3 in Section 4). The first representation reflects the  $so(2, 1) \sim su(1, 1)$  Lie algebra symmetry properties of the momentum wave functions, while the second one shows explicitly properties such as square integrability and  $SO(4)$  symmetry, a feature that was absent from the Lombardi-Ogilvie functions.

The total wave functions of the Hydrogen atom in momentum space, if needed, can be obtained as products of the radial functions presented here with the angular functions either of Lombardi and Ogilvie [7], or with those of Liu, Tang and Xun in [14], giving qualitatively different outcomes. Which is the correct one is a question that must be decided by means of comparison with experimental data.

The wave functions expressed in momentum representation, could be of use in studying the radial diffraction of hydrogen beams through lattices such as graphene [25], roaming of Hydrogen atoms in the decomposition of organic compounds [31], momentum probabilities for particles in a Coulomb well [32], tunneling [33], [34], and other phenomena, in which Heisenberg's uncertainty relation between the radial coordinate and the radial momentum is required, among them possibly questions of information entropies [35].

In addition, our approach may also be of interest to the construction of Wigner's phase-space functions and their applications, for example, along the lines of [36].

## References

- [1] Podolsky B and Pauling L 1929, The momentum distribution in hydrogen-like atoms, *Phys. Rev.* **31** 109-116
- [2] Hylleraas E A 1932, Die Wellengleichungen des Keplerproblems im Impulsraume, *Z. Phys.* **74** 216-221
- [3] Szmytkowski R 2012, Alternative approach to the solution of the momentum-space Schrödinger equation for bound states of the N-dimensional Coulomb problem, *Ann. Phys. (Berlin)* **524** 345-352
- [4] Yükcü N and Yükcü S A 2018, Fourier transform of Hydrogen type atomic orbitals, *Can. J. Phys.* **96** 724-726
- [5] Weniger E J 2019, Comment on "Fourier transform of the Hydrogen type atomic orbitals, *Can. J. Phys.* **96** 724-726 by N. Yükcü, S. A. Yükcü", *Can. J. Phys.* **97** 1349-1360

- [6] Lombardi J 1980, Hydrogen atom in the momentum representation, *Phys. Rev. A* **22** 797-802
- [7] Lombardi J R and Ogilvie J F 2020, The hydrogen atom in the momentum representation: critique of the variables comprising the momentum representation, *Chem. Phys.* **538** 110886
- [8] Folland, Sitaram A 1997, The uncertainty principle: A mathematical survey, *The J. of Fourier Analysis and Applications* **3** 3 207–238.
- [9] Essén H 1978, Quantization and independent coordinates, *Am. J. Phys.* **46** 983-988
- [10] Roy U and Ghosh S 2008, Some intricacies of the momentum operator in quantum mechanics, *Rev. Mex. Phys. E* **54** 160-167
- [11] Hänggi E, Wehner S 2013, A violation of the the uncertainty principle implies a violation of the second law of thermodynamics, *Nature Communications*, **4**, 1670
- [12] De Witt B 1952, Point transformations in quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rev.* **85** 653-661
- [13] Lax P D, Functional Analysis (Wiley, New York, 2002)
- [14] Liu Q H, Tang L H and Xun D M 2011, Geometric momentum: The proper momentum for free particle on the two-dimensional sphere, *Phys. Rev. A* **84** 042101
- [15] Liu Q H 2013, Geometric momentum and probe of embedding effects, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **82** 104002
- [16] Vos M, Canney S A, McCarthy I E, Utteridge S, Michalewicz M T, Weigold W. 1997 *Electron-momentum spectroscopy of fullerene*, *Phys. Rev. B* **56** 1309
- [17] Weinberg S, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics, 2nd. Ed. (Cambridge UP, Cambridge, 2015)
- [18] Gradshteyn I S and Ryzhik I M, Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products, Seventh edition, edited by A. Jeffrey and D. Zwillinger (Academic Press, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007)
- [19] Cohl H S, Costas-Santos R S 2020, Multi-Integral representations for associated Legendre and Ferrers functions, *Symmetry* **12** 1598
- [20] Durand L 2019, Complex asymptotic in  $\lambda$  for the Gegenbauer functions of  $C_\lambda^\alpha(z)$  and  $D_\lambda^\alpha(z)$  with  $z \in (-1, 1)$ , *Symmetry* **11** 1465
- [21] Van Haeringen H 1986, A class sums of Gegenbauer functions; Twenty four sums in closed form, *J. Math. Phys.* **27** 938-952
- [22] Dwight H B, Tables of Integrals and other Mathematical Data (Fourth edition, The Macmillan Company, N.Y. 1961)
- [23] NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, <https://dlmf.nist.gov>
- [24] Constantinescu F and Magyari E, Problems in quantum mechanics (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1971)
- [25] Brand Chr, Debiossac M, Susi T, Aguilon F, Kotakoski J, Roncin Ph and Arndt M 2021, Coherent diffraction of hydrogen through 246 pm lattice of graphene, *New J. Phys.* **21** 033004
- [26] Lohmann B, Weigold E 1981 *Direct measurement of the electron momentum probability distribution in atomic hydrogen*, *Phys. Lett.* **86** 139-141
- [27] Gadre Sh. R., Chakravorty J 1986, Some rigorous inequalities among the Weizsacker correction and atomic  $\langle r^n \rangle$  and  $\langle p^n \rangle$  values, *J. Phys. Chem.* **84** 7051-7052
- [28] Angulo J C 1993, Uncertainty relationships in many-body systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** 6493-6497
- [29] Angulo J C 1994, Information entropy and uncertainty in D-dimensional many-body systems, *Phys. Rev A* **50** 311-313
- [30] Ma T, Zhang D, Qui X, Chen Y, Chen L 2020, Radial diffraction of light in the radial momentum state space, *Optic Letters* **45** 5152-5155
- [31] Manguire F A L, Collins P, Kramer C, Carpenter B K, Ezra G S, Farantos S C and Wiggings S 2015, Phase space structures explain Hydrogen Atom roaming in formaldehyde decomposition, *J. Chem. Lett.* **6** 4123-4128
- [32] Riggs P J 2013, Momentum probabilities for a single quantum particle in three-dimensional regular “infinite” well: One way of promoting understanding of probability densities, *Eur. J. Phys. E* **4** Riggs
- [33] Morrone J A 2009, Tunneling and delocalization effects in hydrogen bonded system: A study in

- position and momentum spaces, *J. Chem. Phys.* **130** 204511
- [34] Jaffe R L 2010, Reflection above the barrier as tunneling in momentum space, *Am. J. Phys.* **78** 620-623
- [35] Majerník V, Majerníková E, Shpyrko S 2003, Uncertainty relations expressed by Shannon-like entropies, *Central European Journal of Physics* **3** 393-420
- [36] Praxmeyer L, Wódkiewicz K 2003, Hydrogen atom in phase space. The Kirkwood-Rihaczek representation, *Phys. Rev. A* **67** 054502