arXiv:2208.14879v2 [math.GR] 6 Oct 2023

On chordality of the power graph of finite groups

Pallabi Manna* and Ranjit Mehatari†

Department of Mathematics,
National Institute of Technology Rourkela,
Rourkela - 769008, India

October 9, 2023

Abstract

A graph is called chordal if it forbids induced cycles of length 4 or more. In this paper, we attempt to identify the non-nilpotent groups whose power graph is a chordal graph (this question was raised by Cameron in [4]). In this direction, we characterise the direct product of finite groups having chordal power graphs. We classify all finite simple groups of Lie type whose power graph is chordal. Further, we prove that the power graph of a sporadic simple group is always non-chordal. In addition, we show that almost all groups of order up to 47 have chordal power graphs.

AMS Subject Classification (2020): 20D60, 05C25.
Keywords: Power graph, chordal graph, EPPO group, nilpotent group, simple group.

1 Introduction

In algebraic graph theory, we relish nice applications of abstract algebra in graph theory. In this regard, numerous graph structures on algebraic structures were defined by various academicians. Such graph structures add a very beautiful combinatorial flavour to abstract algebra. Group-derived graphs exhibit excellent network features, such as big girth, which is essential for assessing the network's connectedness. Additionally, these graphs have many applications in various research areas, including automata theory [13, 14]. Beginning with the oldest graph, the Cayley (di)graph of a group, researchers have developed other graphs related to various algebraic structures, including power graphs, enhanced power graphs, commuting graphs, deep commuting graphs, generating graphs, conjugate graphs, coset

*mannapallabimath001@gmail.com
†ranjitmehatari@gmail.com, mehatarir@nitrkl.ac.in

graphs, non-commuting graphs, zero divisor graphs, intersection graphs, etc. However, we are only interested in the power graph of finite groups, which was first defined in 2002. This type of graph was initially discovered as directed graphs of finite semigroups by Kelarev and Quinn in [15]. For a given semigroup S, the directed power graph of S (denoted by $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(S)$) is a graph (V, E) where $V = S$ and $E = \{(a, b) : a \neq b, b = a^m \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The corresponding underlying graph was developed in 2009 by Chakrabarty *et al.* as the notion of undirected power graph of semigroups in [8]. For a given semigroup S, the undirected power graph of S (denoted by $\mathcal{P}(S)$) is a graph (V, E) where $V = S$ and $E = \{\{a, b\} : a \neq b, \text{ and either } a = b^m \text{ or } b = a^n, \text{ for some } m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Later on, this definition was adopted to describe the power graph of a finite group. More than 100 academic publications have been written that describe power graphs in various ways. Here, we have merely covered a few of the significant contributions made to the field of power graphs.

In [8], Chakrabarty *et al.* proved that if G is a finite group, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is always connected and described a necessary and sufficient condition for the power graph $\mathcal{P}(G)$ of a finite group G to be a complete graph. Additionally, there is a formula for counting the number of edges in $\mathcal{P}(G)$, given by $|E(\mathcal{P}(G))| = \frac{1}{2}[\sum_{a \in G}(2o(a) - \phi(o(a)) - 1)]$. In the same publication, we get the conclusion that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is Eulerian if and only if G is a group of odd order.

The proper power graph $\mathcal{P}^*(G)$ of a finite group G is the graph obtained by deleting the identity element from $\mathcal{P}(G)$. In [11], Curtin *et al.* determined the diameter of $\mathcal{P}^*(S_n)$, where S_n denotes the symmetric group on n symbols.

The article [5] contains an interesting isomorphism theorem for power graphs of finite groups. In that paper, Cameron derived that if G_1 and G_2 are two finite groups, then $\mathcal{P}(G_1) \cong \mathcal{P}(G_2)$ implies $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G_1) \cong \overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G_2)$. Additionally, in [7], Cameron and Ghosh proved that $\mathcal{P}(G_1) \cong \mathcal{P}(G_2)$ implies $G_1 \cong G_2$ for any two finite abelian groups G_1 and G_2. Further, they showed that if G_1 and G_2 are two finite groups with $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G_1) \cong \overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G_2)$, then G_1 and G_2 contain the same number of elements of each order. The same publication demonstrates that $Aut(G) = Aut(\mathcal{P}(G))$ holds only if G is the Klein 4-group. We have observed that, in general, an isomorphism between the power graphs of two groups may not result in an isomorphism between their directed power graphs. However, in [6], Cameron showed that any isomorphism that preserves orientation induces an isomorphism between their directed power graphs by taking into consideration the torsion-free nilpotent groups of class 2, especially $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$. Zahirović [27] proved that $\mathcal{P}(G_1) \cong \mathcal{P}(G_2)$ implies $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G_1) \cong \overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G_2)$ for any two torsion-free groups G_1 and G_2. For more interesting results related to power graphs, we refer to two survey papers [2, 19].

Several significant graph classes can be defined in terms of induced forbidden subgraphs. These graph classes include of threshold graphs, split graphs, cographs, and chordal graphs, among others. In a previous paper [22], we started our discussion about the various forbidden induced subgraphs of power graphs. While only partial results were developed for cographs and chordal graphs, we have successfully identified all groups whose power graphs are split and threshold. Also, we characterised all finite nilpotent groups whose power graphs are

cographs and chordal graphs. We generalised a little more in [3] by attempting to address the open problem, "classify all the finite groups whose power graph is a cograph."

A graph is said to be *chordal* if any cycle of length four and more has a chord, or in terms of forbidden subgraphs, we can define a chordal graph as a graph with no induced cycle of length more than 3. Identifying whether a graph is chordal or not is always a difficult task. If a graph is a cograph, it does not necessarily mean that it is a chordal graph; in order to determine whether a cograph is chordal, we need only determine whether it has any cycle of length four. If a graph is not a cograph, however, difficulties arise.

It is clear that if a graph Γ is chordal, then all its induced subgraphs are also chordal. So, it follows immediately that if a graph Γ contains a non-chordal induced subgraph, then Γ is also a non-chordal graph. Thus, we can say the chordality of a graph is subgraph-closed. The subgraph-closed property of chordality plays a crucial role in the case of a power graph. It is well known that if G is a finite group and H is a subgroup of G, then $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is an induced subgraph of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Thus, to draw a conclusion about the non-chordality of the power graph of a finite group G, it suffices to show that G contains a subgroup whose power graph is not a chordal graph.

We will use the term power-chordal group for a finite group whose power graph is a chordal graph. Analogously, we call a group G non-power-chordal if G is not power-chordal.

The goal of this paper is to locate non-nilpotent groups whose power graph is a chordal graph (a question was raised by Cameron in [4]). We only partially address this problem in this paper. This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we review some significant definitions and findings, which we will use later on. In Section 3, we completely identify all possible finite groups G and H for which the power graph of $G \times H$ is a chordal graph. In Section 4, we prove that the power graph of S_n is chordal if and only if $n \leq 5$. In Section 5, finite simple groups are considered. In this section, we prove that no sporadic simple group is power-chordal. Additionally, we give a complete characterization for the classes of all finite simple group of Lie type whose power graph is a chordal graph. In this regard, we obtain: if G is a finite simple group of Lie type, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a chordal graph if G is any one of the following groups.

- $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$, where q is a prime power and q is odd, such that both $(q \pm 1)/2$ are either a power of prime or of the form $p_1^a p_2$ for distinct primes p_1, p_2;
- $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$, where q is a prime power and q is even, such that both $q \pm 1$ are either a power of prime or of the form $p_1^a p_2$ for distinct primes p_1, p_2;
- $G = \mathrm{Sz}(q)$ with $q = 2^{2e+1}$ such that $q-1, q+\sqrt{2q}+1$ and $q-\sqrt{2q}+1$ are either prime power or of the form $p_1^a p_2$ for distinct primes p_1, p_2;
- $G = {}^2G_2(q) = R_1(q)$ where $q = 3^{2e+1}$, for infinitely many values of q;
- $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 2)$, $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 4)$.

In the last section, we compute certain finite groups of small order whose power graph is a chordal graph. This section also provides some nice applications of the results obtained in sections 3 and 4. Here our major observation is that if G is the group of order at most 47 except 24, 30, 36, 40, and 42, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is always a chordal graph, whereas there are several groups with order 24, 30, 36, 40, or 42 whose power graph is not a chordal graph.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will review some fundamental definitions, terminologies, and results that will be used in subsequent sections. We only consider finite groups in this study. We use the notation C_n to represent the cyclic group of order n as well as the graph which is a cycle of length n; the context will make this notation apparent. Also, we use D_n to denote the dihedral group of order $2n$. Now we recall two theorems from our previous paper [22] regarding the chordality of the power graph of finite nilpotent groups.

Theorem 2.1. *[22] The power graph of a finite p-group is a chordal graph.*

Theorem 2.2. *[22] Let G be a finite nilpotent group which is not a p-group. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a chordal graph if and only if $|G|$ has exactly two distinct prime divisors such that one of two Sylow subgroups is cyclic and the other one has prime exponent.*

Corollary 2.1. *$\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ is chordal if and only n is either a prime power or a product of a prime and a prime power.*

Corollary 2.2. *$\mathcal{P}(D_n)$ is chordal if and only n is either a prime power or a product of a prime and a prime power.*

Proof. As $\mathcal{P}^*(D_n)$ contains n isolated vertices along with a component isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}^*(C_n)$, so $\mathcal{P}(D_n)$ is chordal if and only if $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$ is chordal. Hence the result follows. □

A group G is called an *EPPO* group if every non-identity element of G is of prime power order, and G is called an *EPO* group if every non-identity element of G is of prime order. If G is a finite group, then the Gruenberg-Kegel graph (or GK graph or prime graph) is a graph whose vertices are distinct prime divisors of $|G|$, and two distinct vertices p and q are joined by an edge if G contains an element of order pq. Now we recall some results that connect EPPO groups with the corresponding GK graph and power graph (see [1, 20, 22]). We define the enhanced power graph of a group before moving on to the next theorems.

Let G be a group. The enhanced power graph of G is the graph whose vertex set is G and two distinct vertices x, y are adjacent if they belong to the same cyclic subgroup (i.e., $\langle x, y\rangle$ is a cyclic group).

Theorem 2.3. *[1] For a finite group G, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *G is an EPPO group.*

(b) *The GK graph of G is a null graph.*

(c) *$\mathcal{P}(G)$ is equal to the enhanced power graph of G.*

Theorem 2.4. *[20] The enhanced power graph of an EPPO group is chordal.*

Thus, as a consequence of above two theorems, we obtain the following result

Corollary 2.3. *If G is an EPPO group then following statements hold.*

(a) *The GK graph of G is a null graph.*

(b) *The power graph of G is a chordal graph.*

Example 2.1. *If G is the group $C_p \rtimes C_{q^m}$, where p and q are primes with $p > q$ and $q^m|(p-1)$, then the power graph of G is a chordal graph because G does not contain any element of order pq. So, it is an EPPO group, and its GK graph is a null graph.*

3 Direct product of two groups

In this section, we describe all finite groups G and H for which the power graph of $G \times H$ is chordal. If both $|G|$ and $|H|$ are powers of the same prime, then $G \times H$ is a p-group, and hence its power graph is a chordal graph by Theorem 2.1. In Subsection 3.1, we consider the scenario where the order of $G \times H$ has exactly two distinct prime divisors, while in Subsection 3.2, we consider the case where $|G \times H|$ has three or more distinct prime divisors. We start by presenting a few introductory lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let p be a prime and H be a finite group whose every non-identity element has order either a power of p or a prime other than p. Then $\mathcal{P}(C_p \times H)$ is a chordal graph.*

Proof. For the sake of contradiction, let $\cdots \sim x_1 \sim x_2 \sim x_3 \sim x_4 \sim \cdots$ be an induced cycle of length ≥ 6. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\cdots \leftarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \rightarrow x_4 \leftarrow \cdots$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(C_p \times H)$. Let $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$, where $g_i \in C_p$, $h_i \in H$. If $o(g_3) = 1$, then clearly $x_2 \sim x_4$, so $o(g_3) \neq 1$; and for a similar reason $o(h_3) \neq 1$. Thus we must have $o(g_3) = p$. By assumption, $o(h_3)$ is either a power of p or a prime $q \neq p$. We consider the following cases.

Case I. Let $o(g_3) = p$ and $o(h_3) = p^k$. Then $o(g_2), o(g_4) \in \{1, p\}$ and $o(h_2), o(h_4) \in \{1, p, p^2, \ldots, p^k\}$. This implies that orders of x_2, x_3 and x_4 are powers of p. Now, since x_2 and x_4 are elements of the same cyclic p-group $\langle x_3 \rangle$, so x_2, x_3 and x_4 form a triangle, which is a contradiction.

Case II. Let $o(g_3) = p$ and $o(h_3) = p_j$ for some $p_j \neq p$. If $o(g_2) = p = o(g_4)$ and $o(h_2) = p_j = o(h_4)$, then the vertices x_2, x_3 and x_4 form a triangle in the power graph. So without loss of generality, we take $o(g_2) = p$, $o(h_2) = 1$, and $o(g_4) = 1$, $o(h_4) = p_j$. Then we obtain $x_3 \sim x_5$, which gives a contradiction.

Thus, $\mathcal{P}(C_p \times H)$ does not contain an induced cycle of length ≥ 6. A similar argument runs for cycles of length 4. Hence $\mathcal{P}(C_p \times H)$ is a chordal graph. □

By using a similar argument, one can prove the following result:

Lemma 3.2. *Let H be a finite group in which every non-identity element has prime order. Then $\mathcal{P}(C_{p^\alpha} \times H)$ is power-chordal.*

3.1 Direct product of G and H, where $|G \times H|$ has exactly two distinct prime divisors

We are now ready to identify the finite groups G and H, with $|G \times H|$ having exactly two distinct prime divisors, such that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph. We observe that if G is a p-group and H is a q-group, then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal if and only if one of the groups is cyclic and the other has a prime exponent. In the next few theorems, we consider that at least one of G or H is not nilpotent. We use the Fitting subgroup of $G \times H$ to examine the chordality of $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$. The Fitting subgroup of a group G, denoted by $\mathrm{Fit}(G)$, is the unique largest normal nilpotent subgroup. Let G be a finite group and p be a prime divisor of $|G|$. For any prime divisor p of $|G|$, we use $O_p(G)$ to denote the largest normal p-subgroup of G. The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G, H be two groups such that $|G \times H|$ has exactly two distinct prime divisors p and q. Then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal if and only if one of the following holds.*

(i) One of G and H (say G) is the cyclic group C_{p^α} with $\alpha \geq 1$ and the other (say H) has one of the following structures for some $r, s, m, n, k \geq 1$:

(a) *$O_p(H) \rtimes C_{q^s}$ or $C_{q^s} \rtimes O_p(H)$.*

(b) *$Q \rtimes C_{p^r}$ or $C_{p^r} \rtimes Q$, where Q is a q-group of exponent q.*

(c) *$(O_p(H) \times O_q(H)) \rtimes C_{p^m}$ or $C_{p^m} \rtimes (O_p(H) \times O_q(H))$.*

(d) *$(O_p(H) \times O_q(H)) \rtimes C_{q^n}$ or $C_{q^n} \rtimes (O_p(H) \times O_q(H)$.*
For (c) and (d), either $O_p(H)$ is a p-group of exponent p and $O_q(H) \cong C_{q^k}$, or $O_q(H)$ is a non-cyclic q-group of exponent q and $O_p(H)$ is a cyclic p-group.

Moreover, the following two additional possibilities exist for H if $\alpha = 1$:

(e) *$C_{q^s} \rtimes C_{p^r}$ or $C_{p^r} \rtimes C_{q^s}$.*

(f) *$(O_p(H) \times C_{q^s}) \rtimes C_{p^m}$ or $C_{p^m} \rtimes (O_p(H) \times C_{q^s})$, where $O_p(H)$ is a p-group of exponent p.*

(ii) $G \cong C_{pq}$ and H is an EPO group.

(iii) One of G and H is a non-cyclic p-group of exponent p and the other is one of the groups: $C_{q^s} \rtimes C_{p^r}$, $C_{p^r} \times C_q$, $C_p \times C_{q^s}$ $(r, s \geq 1)$.

(iv) G and H must satisfies (1) or (2) below.
(1) Both G and H are EPPO groups such that there exists some prime (say, p) dividing both $|G|$ and $|H|$ with Sylow p-subgroups (of both G and H) are cyclic as well as normal, and G and H have either of the following special form:

(a) *At least one of G and H is an EPO group.*

(b) *Both G and H have elements of orders either q or power of p.*

(2) G is an EPPO group and H is a non-EPPO group such that they have the following forms:
(x) $G \cong C_P \rtimes Q$ and $H \cong C_{pq} \rtimes C_{q^{s-1}}$;
(y) $G \cong C_q \rtimes P$ and $H \cong C_{pq} \rtimes C_{p^{r-1}}$;
(z) $G \cong C_p \rtimes C_q$ and $H \cong C_{pq} \rtimes C_{p^{r-1}}$ or $G \cong C_q \rtimes C_p$ and $H \cong C_{pq} \rtimes C_{q^{s-1}}$. Here, $r, s \geq 2$, and P, Q are the non-cyclic p-group of exponent p and the non-cyclic q-group of exponent q respectively.

We prove this theorem by proving the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.3. *Let p and q be two primes. Let G be the cyclic group C_{p^α} with $\alpha \geq 1$ and H be a non-nilpotent group of order $p^r q^s$ $(r, s \geq 1)$. Then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal if and only if H has one of the structures described in Theorem 3.1(i) (a-f).*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal. Let P_H and Q_H be Sylow p- and Sylow q-subgroups of H, respectively. Here we consider two cases based on the value of α.

Case 1. $\alpha > 1$.
Here $G \times Q_H$ is nilpotent and power-chordal. Thus, by Theorem 2.2, Q_H is a q-group of exponent q (since G is cyclic). Now, consider the Fitting subgroup $\mathrm{Fit}(H)$ of H.

If $\mathrm{Fit}(H)$ is a p-group, then $\mathrm{Fit}(H) \cong O_p(H)$. Thus, either $H \cong (O_p(H) \rtimes C_{q^s})$ or $H \cong C_{q^s} \rtimes O_p(H)$.

Similarly, if $\mathrm{Fit}(H)$ is a q-group, then we obtain that $H \cong Q \rtimes C_{p^r}$ or $H \cong C_{p^r} \rtimes Q$, where Q is a q-group of exponent q.

If pq divides $|\mathrm{Fit}(H)|$, then $\mathrm{Fit}(H) \cong (O_p(H) \times O_q(H))$. As $\mathrm{Fit}(H)$ is nilpotent and power-chordal, so H must be one form (i)(a), (i)(b), (i)(c), or (i)(d) of Theorem 3.1.

Case 2. $\alpha = 1$.
Since $G \times Q_H$ is nilpotent and power-chordal, Theorem 2.2 gives that Q_H is either a q-group of exponent q or C_{q^s}. If Q_H is a q-group of exponent q, then we get the structure of H as any one of (i)(a), (i)(b), (i)(c), or (i)(d) of Theorem 3.1.

Next, suppose that $Q_H \cong C_{q^s}$. If $\mathrm{Fit}(H)$ is a p-group then by a similar approach as in Case 1, we get any one of the structures for H as (i)(a) in Theorem 3.1.

If $\mathrm{Fit}(H)$ is a q-group, then $\mathrm{Fit}(H) \cong C_{q^s}$ and hence $H \cong C_{q^s} \rtimes C_{p^r}$ or $H \cong C_{p^r} \rtimes C_{q^s}$. This gives the structure of H as (i)(e) in Theorem 3.1.

Finally, if pq divides $|\mathrm{Fit}(H)|$, then $\mathrm{Fit}(H) \cong (O_p(H) \times C_{q^s})$. Therefore $H \cong (O_p(H) \times C_{q^s}) \rtimes C_{p^m}$ or $C_{p^m} \rtimes (O_p(H) \times C_{q^s})$. Since $\mathrm{Fit}(H)$ is nilpotent and power-chordal, so $O_p(H)$ must be a p-group of exponent p (by Theorem 2.2).

To prove the converse part of the theorem, we consider the following cases.

Case I. Let H be a group of the form (i)(a) of Theorem 3.1. Now, if H is an EPPO group, then every non-identity element of H is of order either a power of q or a power of p. Let

$\cdots \leftarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \rightarrow x_4 \leftarrow \cdots$ be a cycle in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(C_{p^\alpha} \times H)$, where $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$ (say). If $o(g_3) = 1$, then $x_2 \sim x_4$; so $o(g_3) \neq 1$. For a similar reason $o(h_3) \neq 1$. Let $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b$ where $o(b)$ is a power of p. Then $x_2 \sim x_4$, i.e., x_2, x_3, x_4 form a triangle. Now, if we take $g_3 = a$ and $h_3 = b^q$ with $o(b) = q^k$, then by a similar approach as in Lemma 3.1, we obtain either x_1, x_2, x_3 or x_3, x_4, x_5 form a triangle. Thus, in this case, $\mathcal{P}(C_{p^\alpha} \times H)$ is chordal. On the other hand, if H is not an EPPO group, then it contains an element, say $h \in H$, of order pq. Without loss of generality, we assume that $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = h^p$ where $o(a) = p$, then either $g_2 = 1$, $h_2 = h^{p^2}$, $g_4 = a^q$, $h_4 = 1$ or $g_2 = a^q, h_2 = 1$, $g_4 = 1, h_4 = h^{p^2}$. So either $h_1 \in \langle h \rangle$ or $h_5 \in \langle h \rangle$. As G is cyclic, we conclude that either $x_1 \sim x_3$ or $x_3 \sim x_5$. Hence, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph.

Case II. Let H be any one of the form Theorem 3.1 (i)(b). Then, by a similar approach as in Case I, we can prove that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal.

Case III. If H is any of the groups in (i)(c) or (i)(d) in Theorem 3.1, then every non-identity element of H is of order either $p^k q^m$ or p^s or q^n for some $k, m, s, n \geq 1$. Let $\cdots x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \rightarrow x_4 \cdots$ be a cycle in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(C_{p^\alpha} \times H)$, where $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$.

Let $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b$. If both $o(a)$ and $o(b)$ are powers of the same prime, then $x_2 \sim x_4$. Suppose $o(a) = p$ and $o(b) = q^n$. Let $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b$. Then, we must have either $g_2 = 1, h_2 = b^p$, $g_4 = a^{q^n}, h_4 = 1$ or $g_2 = a^{q^n}, h_2 = 1$, $g_4 = 1, h_4 = b^p$. Then, either $h_1 \in \langle b \rangle$ or $h_5 \in \langle b \rangle$. Now, since $G \cong C_{p^\alpha}$, either $x_1 \sim x_3$ or $x_5 \sim x_3$.

Next, we assume that $o(a) = p$ and $o(b) = p^k q^m$. Let $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b^{p^k}$, then again we get either $x_3 \sim x_5$ or $x_1 \sim x_3$. This proves that $\mathcal{P}(C_{p^\alpha} \times H)$ is a chordal graph.

Case IV. If H is a group of the form as in Theorem 3.1 (i)(e), then proceeding as in Case I, we can prove that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph.

Case V. Let H be of the form as (i)(f) in Theorem 3.1. Then, by following an approach similar to Case III, one can easily prove that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let G and H be two finite groups with $|G \times H|$ has exactly two distinct prime divisors p and q, and suppose pq divides both $|G|$ and $|H|$. If G is cyclic, then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph if and only if $G \cong C_{pq}$ and H is an EPO group.*

Proof. Let $G \cong C_{p^\alpha q^\beta}$ and $|H| = p^k q^m$ where $k, m, \alpha, \beta \geq 1$. Let P_1, Q_1, and P_2, Q_2 be Sylow p- and Sylow q-subgroups of G and H, respectively. Now, $G \times P_2$ is nilpotent (as G is cyclic and P_2 is a p-group); so, by Theorem 2.2, $\alpha = 1$ and P_2 is a p-group of exponent p. On the other hand, since $G \times Q_2$ is nilpotent, $\beta = 1$ and Q_2 is a q-group of exponent q. Therefore, $G \cong C_{pq}$. Also, we observe that H must be an EPPO group, or else $G \times H$ contains the non-power-chordal subgroup $C_{pq} \times C_{pq}$. Therefore, H is an EPO group.

For the converse, suppose G and H have the given structure. Let $\cdots \leftarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \rightarrow x_4 \leftarrow \cdots$ be a cycle in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G \times H)$, where $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$ (say). Let $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b$, where $o(a) \in \{1, p, q, pq\}$ and $o(h_3) \in \{1, p, q\}$.

If $o(a) = 1$, then $x_2 \sim x_4$. Next, let $o(b) = 1$ and $o(a) \neq 1$. Without loss of generality, we consider $g_2 = c^p, h_2 = 1$, $g_4 = c^q, h_4 = 1$. So an induced cycle of length at least 4 is not possible in $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$.

Next, suppose that $o(a) \neq 1$ and $o(b) = p$. In this case, $o(a) \in \{p, q, pq\}$; but in every situation we obtain either $x_3 \sim x_5$ or $x_1 \sim x_3$ or $x_2 \sim x_4$. The same argument works when $o(a) \neq 1$ and $o(b) = q$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ does not induce a cycle of length 4 or more. Hence, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let G and H be two finite non-cyclic groups such that $|G \times H|$ has exactly two prime divisors p and q. If G is a p-group, then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph if and only if G and H have the forms described in Theorem 3.1 (iii).*

Proof. First, suppose that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph and let $|H| = p^r q^s$, where $r, s \geq 1$. Let Q be a Sylow q-subgroup of H. Here, $G \times Q$ is nilpotent and power-chordal. Now, as G is non-cyclic, so Q must be a cyclic group, and G must be a p-group of exponent p (by Theorem 2.2). Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of H and $O_p(H)$ be the largest normal p-subgroup of H. By Bunside's theorem, H is a solvable group. Now consider the Fitting subgroup $\mathrm{Fit}(H)$ of H.

Case 1. $\mathrm{Fit}(H)$ be a q-group.
Here $\mathrm{Fit}(H) = C_{q^k}$ for some $k \leq s$ since the Sylow q-subgroup of H is cyclic. We claim that Q is normal in H. If Q is not normal in H, then H contains non-adjacent elements, say c and d, of order powers of q. On the other hand, as G is non-cyclic, G contains non-adjacent elements, say a and b, of order p. Then $(a^q, 1) \sim (a, c) \sim (1, c^p) \sim (b, c) \sim (b^q, 1) \sim (b, d) \sim (1, d^p) \sim (a, d) \sim (a^q, 1)$ is an 8-cycle in $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$. This contradicts the chordality of $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$. Therefore, either $H \cong C_{q^s} \rtimes C_{p^r}$ or $H \cong C_{p^r} \rtimes C_{q^s}$.

Case 2. $\mathrm{Fit}(H)$ is a p-group.
Here $\mathrm{Fit}(H) = O_p(H)$. Then H is either $O_p(H) \rtimes C_{q^s}$ or $C_{q^s} \rtimes O_p(H)$, where $O_p(H)$ is not necessarily a group of exponent p. Now, consider the group $O_p(H) \rtimes C_{q^s}$. We claim that $O_p(H)$ is a cyclic group. If $O_p(H)$ is non-cyclic, then H contains at least two non-adjacent elements of order q or pq. Then as G is non-cyclic, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ contains a cycle of length more than 4, which is a contradiction to the chordality of $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$. Thus, in this case, H is either $C_{p^r} \rtimes C_{q^s}$ or $C_{q^s} \rtimes C_{p^r}$, for some $r, s \geq 1$. Also, if $H \cong C_{p^r} \rtimes C_{q^s}$ then Sylow q-subgroup of H must be normal, or else $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ contains a cycle of length more than 4. Thus, $H \cong C_{p^r} \times C_{q^s}$. Since H is nilpotent and power-chordal so according to Theorem 2.2 either $r = 1$ or $s = 1$, i.e., in this case H is either $C_{p^r} \times C_q$ or $C_p \times C_{q^s}$.

Case 3. pq divides $|\mathrm{Fit}(H)|$.
In that case, $\mathrm{Fit}(H) = O_p(H) \times O_q(H)$, and hence H is either $(O_p(H) \times C_{q^k}) \rtimes C_q$ with $O_p(H)$ being a p-group of exponent p or $(O_p(H) \times C_{q^s}) \rtimes C_p$. Now, as the Sylow q-subgroups of H are cyclic, the Sylow q-subgroups of $\mathrm{Fit}(H)$ are also cyclic. Thus, in the second form of H, $O_p(H)$ must be a p-group of exponent p. Also, we get two additional possibilities for H, namely, $C_q \rtimes (O_p(H) \times C_{q^k})$ or $C_p \rtimes (O_p(H) \times C_{q^s})$ where $O_p(H)$ are p-group of exponent p.

However, in each of the above four possibilities, H contains non-adjacent elements, say c_1 and c_2, of order pq. Then, considering two non-adjacent elements g_1 and g_2 of G, we get an

8-cycle $(g_1^q, 1) \sim (g_1, c_1^p) \sim (1, c_1^{p^2}) \sim (g_2, c_1^p) \sim (g_2^q, 1) \sim (g_2, c_2^p) \sim (1, c_2^{p^2}) \sim (g_1, c_2^p) \sim (g_1^q, 1)$ in $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$, which contradicts the chordality of $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$. So, Case 3 can not occur.

Hence, G and H have the structures as in Theorem 3.1 (iii).

We now prove the converse of the theorem. First, we assume that G is a finite non-cyclic p-group of exponent p and $H \cong (C_{q^s} \rtimes C_{p^r})$.

Case I. H is an EPPO group.
Here every element of H is either a power of p or a power of q. Consider a cycle $\cdots x_1 \to x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \to x_4 \leftarrow x_5 \to \cdots$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G \times H)$, where $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$. Suppose that $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b$ with $o(a) = p$, $o(b) = q^n$. Without loss of generality, we choose $g_2 = a^{q^n}$, $h_2 = 1$ and $g_4 = 1$, $h_4 = b^p$. Then $g_1 \in \langle a \rangle$. Also, since the Sylow q-subgroup of H is cyclic and normal, $h_1 \sim h_3$. Hence $x_1 \sim x_3$. Again, if we take $o(a) = p$ and $o(b) = p^s$, then $x_2 \sim x_4$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal.

Case II. H is not an EPPO group.
In that case, every non-identity element of H is of order either a power of p or a power of q or of the form $p^i q^j$. Consider the cycle $\cdots x_1 \to x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \to x_4 \leftarrow x_5 \to \cdots$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G \times H)$, where $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$. Here arise two subcases.

Subcase a. If $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b$ with $o(a) = p$, $o(b) = p^n$, then $x_2 \sim x_4$.

Subcase b. Let $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b$ with $o(a) = p$, $o(b) = q^n$. Without loss of generality, we can choose $g_2 = a^{q^n}$, $h_2 = 1$, $g_4 = 1$ and $h_4 = b^p$. Now $g_1 \in \langle a \rangle$, and h_1 must adjacent to h_3 as $o(h_1) = q$. Since the Sylow q-subgroup of H is cyclic and normal so $x_1 \sim x_3$.
Again, if $o(a) = p$, $o(b) = p^m q^n$, then in a similar manner, just as in the above paragraph, we obtain $x_1 \sim x_3$. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph.

Finally, by using a similar argument, we can show that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal when $H \cong C_{p^r} \times C_q$ or $C_p \times C_{q^s}$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let G and H be two finite non-cyclic groups such that $|G \times H|$ has exactly two distinct prime divisors p and q, and pq divides both $|G|$ and $|H|$. Then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal if and only if G and H satisfy condition (iv) of Theorem 3.1.*

Proof. First, suppose that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph. If both G and H are non-EPPO groups, then $G \times H$ contains the non-power-chordal subgroup $C_{pq} \times C_{pq}$. So, at least one of G and H is an EPPO group. We assume that G is an EPPO group.
Let P_G, P_H and Q_G, Q_H be Sylow p- and q-subgroups of G and H, respectively. We treat the cases where H is and is not an EPPO group separately below.

Case 1. H is a non-EPPO group.
In that case, H contains an element, say c, of order pq. Now both $P_G \times \langle c \rangle$ and $Q_G \times \langle c \rangle$ are nilpotent and power-chordal, so (by Theorem 2.2) P_G is either C_p or a non-cyclic p-group of exponent p (say, P) and Q_G is either C_q or a non-cyclic q-group of exponent q (say, Q). Now, we observe that both P_G and Q_G cannot be P and Q respectively. Indeed, if such were the case, then the nilpotent power chordal subgroups $P_G \times Q_H$ and $Q_G \times P_H$ are both cyclic by

Theorem 2.2. Thus, we obtain that both P_H and Q_H are cyclic. Also, one can observe that P_H, Q_H must be normal; otherwise there exists a cycle of length more than 4. This implies that H is a cyclic group. It contradicts the assumption that H is non-cyclic. Hence, we get the following three possibilities for P_G and Q_G:

(x) $P_G \cong C_p$ and $Q_G \cong Q$.

(y) $P_G \cong P$ and $Q_G \cong C_q$.

(z) $P_G \cong C_p$ and $Q_G \cong C_q$.

First, suppose that $P_G \cong C_p$ and $Q_G \cong Q$. Since $P_H \times Q_G$ is nilpotent (as it is the direct product of two nilpotent groups) and power-chordal, P_H must be cyclic as well as normal. Otherwise, there exists a cycle of length greater than 4. Now, consider the Fitting subgroup of H. Clearly, $\text{Fit}(H) \cong C_p \times C_q$ (as H is a non-EPPO group). This implies $H \cong C_{pq} \rtimes C_{q^{s-1}}$, where $s \geq 2$. Additionally, from the structure of H it is clear that Sylow q-subgroup Q_H of H is non-cyclic. Then, as $P_G \times Q_H$ is nilpotent and power-chordal, P_G is cyclic as well as normal. This gives $G \cong C_P \rtimes Q$.

Now, by using a similar approach where P_G and Q_G are of the form (y) or (z), we obtain the structures of G and H as: $G \cong C_q \rtimes P$, $H \cong C_{pq} \rtimes C_{p^{r-1}}$, or $G \cong C_p \rtimes C_q$, $H \cong C_{pq} \rtimes C_{p^{r-1}}$ or $G \cong C_q \rtimes C_p, H \cong C_{pq} \rtimes C_{q^{s-1}}$ (where $r, s \geq 2$).

Case 2: H is an EPPO group.
Let G contain an element of order p^k, where $k \geq 1$. Then H can only contain elements of order q but not a higher power of q. Moreover, if G contains an element of order a power of q, then H must be the EPPO group in which every element is of order either p or q, i.e., H is an EPO group. Otherwise, both G and H must be EPPO groups whose every non-identity element is of order either q or a power of p. Next, we observe that either the Sylow p-subgroups or the Sylow q-subgroups of both G and H are necessarily cyclic and normal, or else there exist two non-adjacent elements in G of order p and two non-adjacent elements in H of order q such that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ contains an 8-cycle. So both G and H contain either the Sylow p- or q-subgroups (but not both), which are cyclic as well as normal. Therefore, G and H are the groups of the form (iv) of Theorem 3.1.

For the converse part, first we assume that G and H are the groups as described in (iv)(1)(a) of Theorem 3.1. Let $\cdots x_1 \to x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \to x_4 \leftarrow x_5 \to \cdots$ be a cycle in the directed power graph of $G \times H$, where $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$. Let $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b$ with $o(a) = p$, $o(b) = q$. Without loss of generality, we can choose $g_2 = a^q, h_2 = 1$ and $g_4 = 1, h_4 = b^p$. So, if we add x_5, then $h_5 \in \langle b \rangle$ and g_5 must be adjacent to a, and hence $x_3 \sim x_5$. Also, if we check for 4-cycles, then we must have $x_1 \sim x_3$. Further, if we take $g_3 = a, h_3 = b$ with $o(a) = p^k$, $o(b) = p$ or $o(a) = q^s$, $o(b) = q$, then x_2, x_3 and x_4 form a triangle. Thus, in this case, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph.

Now, we consider the structures as described in (iv)(1)(b) of Theorem 3.1. Let $\cdots x_1 \to x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \to x_4 \leftarrow x_5 \to \cdots$ be a cycle in the directed power graph of $G \times H$, where $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$. Let $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b$. If both a and b are of order a power of p or q, then $x_2 \sim x_4$.

So, we assume that $o(a) = p$ and $o(b) = q$, and Sylow p-subgroups of G and H are cyclic and normal. Without loss of generality, we take $g_2 = a^q, h_2 = 1$ and $g_4 = 1, h_4 = b^p$. Then x_5 must be adjacent to x_3. Also, if we check for 4-cycles, then again we have $x_1 \sim x_3$. Hence, in this case, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal.

Next, we consider the groups described in (iv)(2) of Theorem 3.1. First, we assume that $G \cong C_p \rtimes Q$ and $H \cong C_{pq} \rtimes C_{q^{s-1}}$ (where $s \geq 2$). If possible, let $\cdots x_1 \to x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \to x_4 \leftarrow x_5 \to \cdots$ be a cycle in the directed power graph of $G \times H$, where $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$. Let $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b$. If $o(a)$ and $o(b)$ are powers of the same prime, we have then $x_2 \sim x_4$. Let $g_3 = a$, $h_3 = b^p$, where $o(a) = p, o(b) = pq$. Preserving the generality, we let $o(g_2) = p, o(h_2) = 1$ and $o(g_4) = 1, o(h_4) = q$. It gives $h_5 \in \langle b^p \rangle$ and $o(g_5) = p$. So, $x_3 \sim x_5$. Similarly, if we check the existence of a 4-cycle, we have $x_1 \sim x_3$. For the other cases, i.e., if $o(a) = p$ or q, and $o(b) = q^k$ or p or pq, just as before, we obtain that either $x_3 \sim x_5$ or $x_1 \sim x_3$. Hence, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph.

For the groups in Theorem 3.1 (iv)(2)(y), (iv)(2)(z), we can show that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph (by the similar procedure as done for the groups in the case (2)(x)). This completes the proof of the theorem. □

3.2 Direct product of G and H, where $|G \times H|$ has three or more distinct prime divisors

In this section, we characterize finite groups G and H such that $|G \times H|$ has more than two distinct prime divisors, and their direct product is power-chordal. The following theorem is the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G and H be two finite groups such that $|G \times H|$ has three or more distinct prime divisors. Then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph if and only if one of the following holds for G and H.*

(i) One of G and H is a cyclic p-group for some prime p and the other one is either of the following:

- (a) *An EPPO group.*
- (b) *A group (of order divisible by three or more distinct primes) which contains elements h and h' of respective orders pq and pr, where $p \neq q$ and q, r are primes (not necessarily distinct), such that one of (x) or (y) holds.*
  (x) $q \neq r$ implies $h^q \neq h'^r$.
  (y) If $q = r$ then $h^p \notin \langle h'^p \rangle$.

(ii) Both G and H are non-cyclic EPPO groups, and none of G or H is a group of prime power order, and one of the following holds for G and H.

- (a) *Every Sylow subgroups of at least one of G and H are cyclic.*

(b) *There exists a prime, say p, that divides both $|G|$ and $|H|$ such that the Sylow p-subgroups of both G and H are non-cyclic p-group of exponent p and all other Sylow subgroups of both G and H are cyclic as well as normal.*

We prove Theorem 3.2 by proving the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3.7. *Let G and H be two finite non-cyclic groups such that $|G \times H|$ has three or more distinct prime divisors. If one of G and H is of prime power order then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is not a chordal graph.*

Proof. Let p, q, and r be three distinct primes that divide $|G \times H|$. Suppose that $|G| = p^\alpha$, $\alpha > 1$. Then q and r divide $|H|$. Since G is non-cyclic, it contains at least two non-adjacent elements say a and b. Let c and d be two elements of H such that $o(c) = q$ and $o(d) = r$. Then $(a, c) \sim (a, 1) \sim (a, d) \sim (1, d) \sim (b, d) \sim (b, 1) \sim (b, c) \sim (1, c) \sim (a, c)$ is a cycle in $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is not a chordal graph. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let G and H be two finite groups such that $|G \times H|$ has three or more distinct prime divisors. If G is a cyclic group of order power of some prime p, then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph if and only if H is one of the following groups.*

(a) *An EPPO group.*

(b) *A group (of order divisible by three or more distinct primes) which contains elements h and h' of respective orders pq and pr, where $p \neq q$ and q, r are primes (not necessarily distinct), such that one of (x) or (y) holds.*

(x) $q \neq r$ implies $h^q \neq h'^r$.

(y) If $q = r$ then $h^p \notin \langle h'^p \rangle$.

Proof. Let $G \cong C_{p^\alpha}$, where $\alpha \geq 1$. Let p_i $(i \geq 1)$ be distinct primes other than p that divide $|H|$, and P_i be corresponding Sylow p_i-subgroups of H. Now, $G \times P_i$ is nilpotent and power-chordal for each i. If $\alpha > 1$, then P_i's are p_i-groups of exponent p_i (by Theorem 2.2). Also, if $\alpha = 1$ then each P_i is either cyclic or a group of prime exponent. Further, we observe that H cannot contain any element of order $p_i p_j$ for $i \neq j$; or else $G \times H$ contains the non-power-chordal subgroup $C_{pp_ip_j}$. Thus, either H is an EPPO group or H has elements of order of the form pp_i.

Next, suppose that q and r are primes (not necessarily distinct) such that H contains elements of orders pq and pr. Let $q \neq r$ and $h, h' \in H$ such that $o(h) = pq$ and $o(h') = pr$. If $h^q = h'^r$, then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ contains the cycle $(a^q, 1) \sim (a, h^p) \sim (1, h^{p^2}) \sim (1, h) \sim (1, h^q) \sim (1, h') \sim (1, h'^{p^2}) \sim (a, h'^p) \sim (a^q, 1)$; and similarly if $q = r$ and $h^p \in \langle h'^p \rangle$, then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ contains the cycle $(g^q, 1) \sim (g, h^p) \sim (1, h^{p^2}) \sim (1, h'^{p^2}) \sim (g, h'^p) \sim (g^q, 1)$. Thus, H is either an EPPO group or it satisfies condition (b).

Conversely, let $\cdots x_1 \to x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \to x_4 \leftarrow x_5 \to \cdots$ be a cycle in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G \times H)$.

First, let $G \cong C_{p^\alpha}$ with $\alpha \geq 1$ and H be an EPPO group. If $\alpha > 1$, then every non-identity element of H is of order either a power of p or a prime other than p. Again, if $\alpha = 1$,

then each non-identity element of H is of prime power order. Let $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$. Consider $g_3 = g$ and $h_3 = h$ where $o(g) = p$ and $o(h) = p^k$. Clearly, $x_2 \sim x_4$. If $o(g) = p$ and $o(h) = p_i$, then $g_2 = g^{p_i}, h_2 = 1$ and $g_4 = 1, h_4 = h^p$. So $h_5 \in \langle h \rangle$. Since G is cyclic, so $g_5 \in \langle a \rangle$, and hence $x_5 \sim x_3$. Again, if we verify the existence of 4-cycles, in a similar manner, we obtain that $x_1 \sim x_3$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal.

Next, suppose that H satisfies (b). Let $q \neq r$ and h, h' be two elements of respective orders in H. Let $g_3 = g, h_3 = h^p$ such that $o(g) = p, o(h) = pq$. Then, without loss of generality, we choose $g_2 = g^q, h_2 = 1$ and $g_4 = 1, h_4 = h^{p^2}$. Now, preserving the generality, we take $g_5 = 1, h_5 = h$, $g_6 = 1, h_6 = h^q$. Then $g_7 \in \langle g \rangle$ and $h_7 \in \langle h \rangle$ (as G is cyclic). Thus, $x_7 \sim x_3$. Similarly, for the cycles of lengths 4 and 6, we obtain either $x_1 \sim x_3$ or $x_3 \sim x_6$. Therefore, in this case, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ does not contain any induced cycle of length ≥ 4.

Again, if $q = r$ with $h^p \notin \langle h'^p \rangle$, $h^p \nsim h'^p$, then there does not exist any cycle of length ≥ 4. Hence, in this case also, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let G and H be two finite non-cyclic groups with $|G \times H|$ has three or more distinct prime divisors, and none of G and H is a group of prime power order. Then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal if and only if G and H are EPPO groups satisfying one of the following.*

(a) *Each Sylow subgroup of at least one of G or H is cyclic.*

(b) *There exists a prime, say p, that divides both $|G|$ and $|H|$ such that the Sylow p-subgroups of both G and H are non-cyclic p-group of exponent p and all other Sylow subgroups of both G and H are cyclic as well as normal.*

Proof. Let G and H be two finite non-cyclic groups such that $|G \times H|$ have three or more distinct prime divisors, say p, q and r. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal. We observe that both G and H must be EPPO groups, or else $G \times H$ contains a non-power-chordal subgroup. Now, consider two cases according to number of common prime divisors of $|G|$ and $|H|$.

Case 1. $|G|$ and $|H|$ have no common prime divisor.
Let p be a prime that divides $|G|$ with the Sylow p-subgroups of G are non-cyclic. Then H satisfies (a) by Theorem 2.2 (since for any prime say p_i divides $|H|$, $P \times P_i$ is nilpotent and power-chordal; here P, P_i are Sylow p-subgroup of G and Sylow p_i-subgroup of H respectively). Again if the Sylow p-subgroups of G are cyclic, then either G or H satisfy the condition (a).

Case 2. $|G|$ and $|H|$ has at least one common prime divisor.
Let p be a prime that divides both $|G|$ and $|H|$, and the Sylow p-subgroup of G (say, P_G) be non-cyclic. For the prime divisors $p_i (\neq p)$ of $|H|$, let P_i be the Sylow p_i-subgroups of H. Since $P_G \times P_i$ is nilpotent and power-chordal and P_G is non-cyclic, by Theorem 2.2 each Sylow p_i-subgroup ($p_i \neq p$) of H must be cyclic. Also, we observe that each Sylow p_i-subgroups ($p_i \neq p$) must be normal as well. Otherwise, H contains two non-adjacent elements of order $p_i \neq p$, for some i. Also, as Sylow p-subgroups of G are non-cyclic, it contains two non-adjacent elements of order p. Then $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ contains a cycle of length

greater than 4. Moreover, as $P_G \times P_i$ is nilpotent and power-chordal with P_i being cyclic and P_G being non-cyclic, P_G must be a non-cyclic p-group of exponent p. Consider the following subcases based on Sylow p-subgroups of H.

Subcase I. If the Sylow p-subgroups of H are cyclic, then each Sylow subgroup of H are cyclic; and H satisfies condition (a).

Subcase II. If Sylow p-subgroups of H is non-cyclic, then again we can conclude that all Sylow p_j-subgroups ($p_j \neq p$) of G are cyclic and normal and Sylow p-subgroups of H are non-cyclic p-group of exponent p. So, in this case, G and H satisfies the condition (b).

Similarly, if we consider that Sylow p-subgroups of G are cyclic, then either (a) or (b) hold.

For the converse of the theorem, first we assume that H is the non-cyclic EPPO group such that all Sylow subgroups of H are cyclic. We now show that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is chordal. Suppose that $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G \times H)$ contains a cycle $\cdots \leftarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \rightarrow \cdots$, where $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$. Let $g_3 = a$ and $h_3 = b$. If both $o(a)$ and $o(b)$ are powers of the same prime, then $x_2 \sim x_4$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $o(a)$ is a power of p and $o(b)$ is a power of q ($\neq p$). Let $o(a) = p^m$ and $o(b) = q^n$. Then either $g_2 = a^{q^n}, h_2 = 1,\ g_4 = 1, h_4 = b^{p^m}$ or the reverse. Preserving the generality, suppose that $g_2 = a^{q^n}, h_2 = 1,\ g_4 = 1, h_4 = b^{p^m}$. Then $g_1 \in \langle a \rangle$ and $o(h_1) = q^n$. As Sylow q-subgroups of H are cyclic, so $h_1 \in \langle b \rangle$. Hence, $x_1 \sim x_3$. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph.

Next, suppose that G and H are two non-cyclic EPPO groups and that condition (b) holds. If possible, let $\cdots \leftarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \rightarrow \cdots$ be a cycle in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G \times H)$, where $x_i = (g_i, h_i)$. Suppose that $g_3 = a, h_3 = b$. If both a and b are powers of the same prime, then $x_2 \sim x_4$. So we assume that $o(a)$ and $o(b)$ are powers of different primes. Without loss of generality, let $o(a) = p$ and $o(b) = q^s$. Then, either $g_2 = a^{q^s}, h_2 = 1,\ g_4 = 1, h_4 = b^p$ or the reverse. Preserving the generality, we assume that $g_2 = a^{q^s}, h_2 = 1,\ g_4 = 1, h_4 = b^p$. Then again, we get $x_1 \sim x_3$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ does not contain any cycle of length 4 and above. Hence, $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph.

Following a similar approach, for the other possibilities of $o(a)$ and $o(b)$, we conclude that $\mathcal{P}(G \times H)$ is a chordal graph. □

4 Symmetric groups

In this section, we compute the values of n for which the symmetric group S_n is a power-chordal group. We observe that Theorem 4.1 of [3] also holds for the chordality of the power graph of any finite group. So, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a finite group such that any two distinct maximal cyclic subgroups of G intersect at the identity. Then the power graph of G is a chordal graph if and only if the orders of the maximal cyclic subgroups are of either a prime power or a product of some prime and a prime power.*

Theorem 4.2. *$\mathcal{P}(S_n)$ is a chordal graph if and only if $n \leq 5$.*

Proof. For $n \geq 6$, the power graph of S_n always contains $(a,b,c)(d,e) \sim (d,e) \sim (a,b,f)(d,e) \sim (a,b,f) \sim (a,b,f)(c,d) \sim (c,d) \sim (a,b,e)(c,d) \sim (a,b,e) \sim (a,b,e)(d,f) \sim (d,f) \sim (a,b,c)(d,f) \sim (a,b,c) \sim (a,b,c)(d,e)$, a cycle of length 12.

If $n \leq 5$, then any two distinct maximal cyclic subgroups of S_n intersect at the identity. The orders of those maximal cyclic subgroups are, respectively, $\{2\}$ for $(n = 2)$, $\{2,3\}$ for $(n = 3)$, $\{2,3,4\}$ for $(n = 4)$ and $\{4,5,6\}$ for $(n = 5)$. The power graphs of all these cyclic subgroups are chordal.

Hence $\mathcal{P}(S_n)$ is a chordal graph if and only if $n \leq 5$.. □

5 Simple groups

In this section, we try to identify finite simple groups whose power graph is a chordal graph. The cyclic group C_p (for prime p) belongs to the class of finite simple groups, and its power graph is chordal by Theorem 2.1. In the subsequent subsections, we discuss the chordality of the power graphs of the other finite simple groups, namely, the alternating groups, the simple groups of Lie type, and the sporadic simple groups. To draw the conclusion regarding the chordality of simple groups, we used information from the book [26] and the papers [16, 24, 25, 17, 18, 9, 10, 21].

5.1 Alternating groups

Theorem 5.1. *Let A_n be the alternating group on n symbols. Then the power graph of A_n is chordal if and only if $n \leq 7$.*

Proof. If $n \geq 8$, $\mathcal{P}(A_n)$ contains a cycle

$$(a,b)(c,d) \sim (a,b)(c,d)(e,f,g) \sim (e,f,g) \sim (a,c)(b,d)(e,f,g) \sim (a,c)(b,d) \sim (a,c)(b,d)(e,f,h) \sim (e,f,h) \sim (a,b)(c,d)(e,f,h) \sim (a,b)(c,d);$$

so its power graph is not a chordal graph.

The alternating group A_3 is basically the cyclic group C_3. Thus it follows that the power graph of A_3 chordal.

For $n = 4$, 5 and 6, the orders of the cyclic subgroups of A_n are $\{3,2\}$ for $(n = 4)$, $\{5,3,2\}$ for $(n = 5)$ and $\{5,4,3,2\}$ for $(n = 6)$ respectively, and the maximal cyclic subgroups intersect at the identity. As all these cyclic subgroups have complete power graphs, so $\mathcal{P}(A_n)$ is chordal for $n = 4, 5, 6$.

Now we consider $n = 7$. Let $a \in A_7$, then $o(a) \in \{2,3,4,5,6,7\}$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\mathcal{P}(A_7)$ contains an induced cycle of length at least 4. Then that cycle must contains at least one element of order 6. Let $x = (a,b,c)(d,e)(f,g)$ be such an element, and y and z be the neighbours of x. Then either $y = (d,e)(f,g)$ or $z = (d,e)(f,g)$. Suppose that $y = (d,e)(f,g)$. Now in $\mathcal{P}^*(A_7)$, the neighbours of y are x, x^{-1} or an element of order 4; however, for a neighbour of y other than x we can choose none of them. Therefore, an induced cycle of length at least 4 is not possible. So A_7 is power-chordal. This completes the proof. □

5.2 Lie type simple groups of rank 1

The groups $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$, $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$, $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ where $q = 2^{2e+1}$, and ${}^2G_2(q) = R_1(q)$ where $q = 3^{2e+1}$ are the only simple groups of Lie type of rank 1. In this section, we examine the chordality of the power graph of these groups.

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be the group $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$, where q is a prime power, then the power graph of G is a chordal graph if and only if*

(a) *both $q+1$ and $q-1$ are either powers of some prime or products of a prime and a prime power, provided q is even;*

(b) *both $(q+1)/2$ and $(q-1)/2$ are either prime powers or products of a prime and a prime power, provided q is odd.*

Proof. First, we consider q to be even, i.e., q is a power of 2. Then $\mathcal{P}^*(G)$ is a disjoint union of proper power graphs of cyclic groups of orders $q+1$ and $q-1$, along with some isolated vertices. As a result, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a chordal graph if and only if $\mathcal{P}(C_{q+1})$ and $\mathcal{P}(C_{q-1})$ are both chordal. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is chordal if and only if both $q+1$ and $q-1$ are of the form in (a).

Next, suppose that q is a power of an odd prime. Then $\mathcal{P}^*(G)$ is a disjoint union of proper power graphs of $C_{(q\pm1)/2}$ along with some isolated vertices. So again, by Theorem 2.2, we conclude that, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is chordal if and only if both $(q\pm1)/2$ are of the form in (b). □

Theorem 5.3. *The power graph of $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$, where q is a power of 2 and $q \geq 4$, is always a non-chordal graph.*

Proof. Let β be a generator of the multiplicative group of $GF(q^2)$. Then the order of β^{q-1} is $q+1$. Let p be a prime such that p divides $q+1 (> 3)$. We set $d = (q+1)/p$. Then the order of $\alpha = \beta^{d(q-1)}$ is p and $\alpha \in GF(q^2)$. Consider the elements

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and } k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, $h, k \in SU(3,q)$ and $hk = kh$. Also, we have $k^2 = h$, and $o(h) = p$, $o(k) = 2p$, $o(hk) = 2p$. So, $SU(3,q)$ contains a cycle (k^p, hk^p, h, k, k^p). Now let Z denote the center of $SU(3,q)$. Then $(k^pZ, hk^pZ, hZ, kZ, k^pZ)$ is cycle of length 4 in $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{PSU}(3,q))$.

However, the above argument does not work if $q = 8$. So we conclude our proof by showing $\mathrm{PSU}(3,8)$ is non-power-chordal. Note that, $\mathrm{PSU}(3,8)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $C_9 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(3)$ which contains $C_3 \times Q_8$. Now, by Theorem 2.2, the power graph of $C_3 \times Q_8$ is not chordal, and hence $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{PSU}(3,8))$ is non-chordal. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let $q = p^n$, where p is an odd prime and n be any positive integer. Then the power graph of $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$ is not a chordal graph.*

Proof. If q is odd, then $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$ contains a cyclic subgroup of order $(q^2-1)/gcd(q+1,3)$. Since q is odd, both the numbers $q-1$ and $q+1/gcd(q+1,3)$ are even. Thus, $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{PSU}(3,q))$ is chordal if $(q-1)(q+1)/gcd(q+1,3)$ is either a power of 2 or of the form $2^k p'$, where p' is an odd prime.

First, suppose that both $q+1/gcd(q+1,3)$ and $q-1$ are powers of 2. As only one of $q+1$ and $q-1$ is divisible by 4, the pair $(q-1,q+1)$ is either $(2,4)$ or $(4,6)$. Hence, $q=3$ or 5.

Next, suppose that $(q-1)(q+1)/gcd(q+1,3) = 2^k p'$. Then one of $q-1$ and $q+1/gcd(q+1,3)$ is a power of 2. First, we assume that $q-1$ is a power of 2. Now if $q \neq 3,9$, then $q+1$ is either of the forms $2p'$ or $6p'$ for some odd prime p'. If $q+1=6p'$, then $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$ contains a non-power-chordal subgroup $C_{2^k} \times C_{2p}$. Again, if $q+1=2p'$, then $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$ contains the subgroup $C_{q+1} \times C_{q+1/gcd(q+1,3)}$. Then $C_{2p'} \times C_{2p'}$ is a non-power-chordal subgroup of $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$. So either $q=3$ or $q=9$.

Again, if $(q+1)/gcd(q+1,3)$ is a power of 2, then $q-1$ must be divisible by $2p'$ (as q is odd). Then $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$ contains the non-power-chordal subgroup $C_{2^r} \times C_{2p'}$.

We now conclude the theorem by proving the power graphs of $\mathrm{PSU}(3,3)$, $\mathrm{PSU}(3,5)$ and $\mathrm{PSU}(3,9)$ are non-chordal.

If $q=3$, then $\mathrm{PSU}(3,3)$ contains $4\cdot S_4$. Now, $4\cdot S_4$ is given by $\langle a,b,c,d,e | a^4=d^3=1, b^2=c^2=e^2=a^2, ab=ba, ac=ca, ad=da, eae^{-1}=a^{-1}, cbc^{-1}=a^2b, dbd^{-1}=a^2bc, ebe^{-1}=bc, dcd^{-1}=b, ece^{-1}=a^2c, ede^{-1}=d^{-1}\rangle$. Then, the power graph of $4\cdot S_4$ contains the cycle (aed, ad, d, ed, e, aed). Therefore $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{PSU}(3,3))$ is not a chordal graph.

If $q=5$, then using GAP and Sage [12, 23] we observe that G has 525 elements of order 2, 3500 elements of order 3, 10500 elements of order 6, 12600 elements of order 10 and 15624 elements of order 5. Now a subgroup of order 2 is contained in 6 distinct cyclic subgroups of order 10 and 10 distinct cyclic subgroups of order 6. Similarly, a subgroup of order 3 is shared by 3 distinct subgroup of order 6. On the other hand, among the elements of order 5, 525 are contained in the cyclic subgroups of order 10; so an element of order 5 is contained in 25 different cyclic subgroups of order 10. As a result, we can choose elements a,b of order 10 and elements c,d of order 6 such that $a^2=b^2$, $c^2=d^2$, $c^3=b^5$ and $d^3=a^5$. Then $(a,a^2,b,b^5,c,c^2,d,d^3,a)$ is an 8-cycle in $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{PSU}(3,5))$ and this confirms $\mathrm{PSU}(3,5)$ is a non-power-chordal group.

If $q=9$, then $C_8 \times C_{10}$ contained in $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$. So, by Theorem 2.2, $C_8 \times C_{10}$ is a non-power-chordal group.

Therefore, if q is a power of an odd prime, the power graph of $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$ is not a chordal graph. □

Theorem 5.5. *The power graph of* $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$*, where* $q=2^{2e+1}$*, is a chordal graph if and only if each of the numbers* $q-1$*,* $q+\sqrt{2q}+1$ *and* $q-\sqrt{2q}+1$ *are either prime powers or a product of a prime and a prime power.*

Proof. The group $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ contains maximal cyclic subgroups of orders 4, $q-1$, $q+\sqrt{2q}+1$, and $q-\sqrt{2q}+1$. So, if the power graph of $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ contains a cycle of length at least 4, then the cycle must be contained in one of the maximal cyclic subgroups. Hence, by Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, these three numbers are either a power of some prime or of the form $p_1^a p_2$ where p_1 and p_2 are distinct primes. The converse of the theorem is obvious. □

Theorem 5.6. *Let G be the Ree group ${}^2G_2(q) = R_1(q)$ where $q = 3^{2e+1}$. Then there exist infinitely many values of q such that $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a chordal graph.*

Proof. We observe that $C_2 \times \mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$ is the centralizer of an involution in the group G. The group $C_2 \times \mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$ carries the subgroups $C_2 \times C_{(q\pm1)/2}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, the power graph of G is a chordal graph if $(q \pm 1)/2$ is either a power of 2 or a power of an odd prime or of the form $2p^r$.

Let both $q \pm 1$ be powers of 2. Choose $q - 1 = n$. Then $q + 1 = n + 2$. If both n and $n + 2$ are powers of 2 then $n = 2$, and this gives $q = 3$. But ${}^2G_2(3) = R_1(3)$ is not simple, although this group is a non-power-chordal group (we checked this using GAP [12]).

Let $q+1 = 2p_1^{r_1}$ and $q-1 = 2p_2^{r_2}$, where r_1 and r_2 are odd primes. Then the diophantine equation $p_1^{r_1} - p_2^{r_2} = 1$ has a solution. This leads to a contradiction to Theorem **??** as both p_1 and p_2 are odd.

Similarly, both $q \pm 1$ can not be of the form $4p^k$ as the diophantine equation $2x - 2y = 1$ has no solution.

Again, if any one of $q + 1$ or $q - 1$ is $4p_1^s$ and the other one is $2p_2^t$. Then, for $x = p_1^s$ and $y = p_2^t$, the corresponding diophantine equation is either $2x - y = 1$ or $x - 2y = 1$. One can check that solutions exists for infinitely many values of x and y. So in this case, there exist infinitely many values of q for which G is a power-chordal group. □

5.3 Lie type simple groups of rank 2

The groups $\mathrm{PSL}(3,q)$, $\mathrm{PSp}(4,q)$, $\mathrm{PSU}(4,q)$, $\mathrm{PSU}(5,q)$, $G_2(q)$, ${}^2F_4(q)$, and ${}^3D_4(q)$ belong to the class of simple groups of Lie type of rank 2. In this section, we prove that there are only two groups, namely $\mathrm{PSL}(3,2)$ and $\mathrm{PSL}(3,4)$, whose power graph is a chordal graph.

Theorem 5.7. *If q is a power of 2, then the power graph of $\mathrm{PSL}(3,q)$ is a chordal graph if $q = 2, 4$.*

Proof. First, suppose that $q = 2^n$ where $n > 2$. If q is an odd power of 2, then 3 does not divide $q - 1$; on the other hand, if q is an even power of 2, then $q - 1$ is not a power of 3 (according to the solution of Catalan's conjecture). Hence, $q - 1$ has a prime divisor greater than 3.

Let α be an element of the multiplicative group of $GF(q)$ of order $p > 3$, where p is a prime. Now, consider the elements

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-2} \end{bmatrix}, \; k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, we get $o(h) = p$, $o(k) = 2p$, $hk = kh$ and $k^2 = h$. Therefore, $\mathrm{SL}(3, q)$ contains the cycle (k^p, hk^p, h, k, k^p). Since neither h nor k contains a non-identity scalar matrix, $\mathrm{SL}(3, q)/Z(\mathrm{SL}(3, q))$ also contains a cycle of length 4.

We now show that the groups $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 2)$ and $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 4)$ are power-chordal. Since $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 7)$, the power graph of $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 2)$ is chordal by Theorem 5.2. On the other hand $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 4)$ is an EPPO group; hence, by Corollary 2.3, the power graph of $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 4)$ is a chordal graph. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 5.8. *If q is a power of an odd prime p. Then the power graph of $\mathrm{PSL}(3, q)$ is not a chordal graph.*

Proof. Since p is odd, $q - 1$ is even. We now consider the following cases

Case I: Let $q - 1 = 2^k \geq 4$. Consider the elements

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad h = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where α is an element of order 4 in the multiplicative group $GF(q)$. Then $o(gh) = o(gh^2)$, $gh = hg$, and $gh^2 = h^2 g$. Then, the power graph of $\mathrm{SL}(3, q)$ contains a cycle $(g^2, gh, h^2, gh^2, g^2)$. Now, as none of g and h is a non-identity scalar matrix, it follows that poer graph of $\mathrm{PSL}(3, q)$ contains a cycle of length 4.

Case II: Let $q - 1$ have an odd prime divisor, say p', and let α be an element of order p' in the multiplicative group $GF(q)$. Let

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } h = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, the power graph of $\mathrm{SL}(3, q)$ contains a 4-cycle (k^p, hk^p, h, k, k^p) and hence power graph of $\mathrm{PSL}(3, q)$ contains a 4 length cycle.

Now, to complete the proof of the theorem, we must show that $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 3)$ is non-power-chordal group. Note that $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 3)$ contains a subgroup $3^2 : 2S_4$; and the group $3^2 : 2S_4$ contains the dihedral group D_{36} whose power graph is not a chordal graph. Hence, the power graph of $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 3)$ is not a chordal graph. □

In order to investigate the chordality of the power graph of $\mathrm{PSp}(4, q)$, we will now review a theorem.

Theorem 5.9 (Mitchell Theorem, [16])**.** *Assuming $p > 2$, the maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{PSp}(4, q)$ are as follows:*

(a) $\mathrm{PSp}(4, q_0)$*, where q is an odd prime power of q_0;*

(b) $PGSp(4, q_0)$ *where $q_0^2 = q$;*

(c) *the stabilizer of a point and a plane, having index* q^3+q^2+q+1*;*

(d) *the stabilizer of a parabolic congruence, having index* q^3+q^2+q+1*;*

(e) *the stabilizer of a hyperbolic congruence,having index* $q^2(q^2+1)/2$*;*

(f) *the stabilizer of a elliptic congruence, having index* $q^2(q^2-1)/2$*;*

(g) *the stabilizer of a quadratic, having index* $q^3(q^2+1)(q+1)/2$*, provided* $q>3$*;*

(h) *the stabilizer of a quadratic, having index* $q^3(q^2+1)(q-1)/2$*, provided* $q>3$*;*

(i) *the stabilizer of a twisted cubic, having index* $q^3(q^4-1)/2$*, for* $q>7, p>3$*;*

(j) *groups of order* 1920 *(for prime* q *and* $q\equiv\pm1$*(mod* 8*)*, 960 *(for prime* q *and* $q\equiv\pm3$*(mod* 8*))*, 720 *(for* q *prime and* $\equiv\pm1$*(mod* 12*))*, 360 *(for* $q\neq7$ *is a prime and* $\equiv\pm5$*(mod* 12*))*, 2520 *(for* $q=7$*) or* A_7*.*

Theorem 5.10. *The power graph of* $\mathrm{PSp}(4,q)$ *is not a chordal graph.*

Proof. Let $G=\mathrm{PSp}(4,q)$. First, suppose that q is a power of 2. Then $\mathrm{PSp}(4,q)$ contains $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)\times\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$, and so it contains $C_{q\pm1}\times C_{q\pm1}$. Now $(q+1,q-1)=1$ and 3 divides one of them. Thus, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a chordal graph if and only if one of $q\pm1$ is a prime and the other is a power of another prime. So we must have $q=2$, 4 or 8.

Next, suppose that q is a power of an odd prime. Then G contains the central product of two copies of $\mathrm{SL}(2,q)$, and hence it contains $C_{q\pm1}\times C_{(q\pm1)/2}$. Thus if $\mathrm{PSp}(4,q)$ is power-chordal, then both $(q\pm1)/2$ have to be prime powers (as $C_{q\pm1}\times C_{(q\pm1)/2}$ contained in $\mathrm{PSp}(4,q)$). Now one of $(q-1)/2$ or $(q+1)/2$ is even, so one of $(q\pm1)/2$ must be a power of 2. This implies one of $C_{q\pm1}$ must be 4, or else $\mathrm{PSp}(4,q)$ contains a non-power-chordal subgroup. Thus the possible values of q are 3 and 5.

If $q=2$, then $\mathrm{PSp}(4,2)$ is isomorphic to the non-power-chordal group S_6. If $q=3$ or 4, then $\mathrm{PSp}(4,q)$ contains S_6, and so its power graph is non-chordal. Again, $\mathrm{PSp}(4,5)$ contains the subgroup $S_3\times S_5$ whose power graph is not a chordal graph. Finally, by Theorem 5.9, the group $\mathrm{PSp}(4,8)$ contains $\mathrm{PSp}(4,2)$, and so $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{PSp}(4,8))$ not a chordal graph. Thus, $\mathrm{PSp}(4,q)$ is a non-power-chordal group. □

Theorem 5.11. *The power graph of* $G_2(q)$ *is not a chordal graph.*

Proof. We observe that both $\mathrm{SL}(3,q)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(3,q)$ are the subgroups of $G_2(q)$ (see [10, 18]). Now $\mathrm{SL}(3,q)\cong\mathrm{PSL}(3,q)$ if $q\not\equiv1(mod\ 3)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(3,q)\cong\mathrm{PSU}(3,\ q)$ if $q\not\equiv-1(mod\ 3)$. Thus, for any q, either $\mathrm{PSL}(3,q)$ or $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$ is contained in $G_2(q)$. Now, $\mathrm{PSL}(3,q)$ is power-chordal if $q=2,4$, whereas $\mathrm{PSU}(3,q)$ is non-power-chordal for every $q\neq2$. So we only need to check for $q=2$. The group $G_2(2)$ is not simple, and its power graph is not a chordal graph since it contains $\mathrm{PSU}(3,3)$. Hence, the power graph of $G_2(q)$ is not a chordal graph. □

We now check the chordality of the power graph of other simple groups of Lie type of rank 2.

- Let $G = \mathrm{PSU}(4, q)$. If $q > 2$, then G contains the non-power-chordal group $\mathrm{PSp}(4, q)$. Again, if $q = 2$, then G isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSp}(4, 3)$. Hence, the power graph of $\mathrm{PSU}(4, q)$ is not chordal.

- Consider the group $\mathrm{PSU}(5, q)$. If $q = 2$, then $\mathrm{PSU}(5, q)$ contains a non-power-chordal subgroup $\mathrm{PSU}(4, 2)$. Again, if $q > 2$, then $\mathrm{PSU}(5, q)$ contains $\mathrm{SL}(2, q) \times \mathrm{SL}(2, q)$ (see [26]). Now, by Theorem 3.3, the power graph of $\mathrm{SL}(2, q) \times \mathrm{SL}(2, q)$ is a non-chordal graph, and so $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{PSU}(5, q))$ is a non-chordal graph.

- The group ${}^2F_4(2^d)$ contains ${}^2F_4(2)$ for all odd d (see [21]), and so it contains $\mathrm{PSL}(3, 3)$. Thus, ${}^2F_4(2^d)$ is non-power-chordal.

- The group ${}^3D_4(q)$ contains $G_2(q)$ (see [17]); so its power graph is also a non-chordal graph.

5.4 Lie type simple groups of rank more than 2

Let G be a simple group of Lie type of rank greater than 2. Now, as the Dynkin diagram of G has a single bond in each case, G contains $\mathrm{PSL}(3, q)$ as a subgroup. But the only values for which the power graph of $\mathrm{PSL}(3, q)$ is chordal are $q = 2, 4$. Hence, we have to check only for those simple groups whose underlying fields are finite fields with 2 and 4 elements, respectively.

Now $\mathrm{PSL}(4, 2)$ is isomorphic to A_8. So its power graph is a non-chordal graph. The group $\mathrm{PSL}(4, 4)$ contains $\mathrm{PSL}(4, 2)$, whose power graph is not a chordal graph. Therefore, the power graph of $\mathrm{PSL}(4, 4)$ is never chordal.

Observe that $\mathrm{PSp}(6, 2)$ contains S_8, whose power graph is a non-chordal graph. Again, $\mathrm{PSp}(6, 4)$ contains $\mathrm{PSp}(6, 2)$. So, in this case, we get both $\mathrm{PSp}(6, 2)$, $\mathrm{PSp}(6, 4)$ are non-power-chordal groups.

If $q = 2, 4$, then the orthogonal and unitary groups of Lie type of rank 3 contains $\mathrm{PSp}(4, q)$. Hence, they are non-power-chordal groups.

5.5 Sporadic groups

There are 26 sporadic groups, namely, 5 Mathieu groups ($M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}$), 3 Conway groups ($Co_1, Co_2, Co_3$), 3 Fischer groups ($Fi_{22}, Fi_{23}, Fi_{24}$), 4 Janko groups ($J_1, J_2, J_3, J_4$), the Monstar group ($M$), the Baby Monster group (B), the McLaughlin group (M^cL), the Higman-Sims group (HS), the Held group (He), the Rudvalis group (Ru), the suzuki group (Suz), the Harada-Norton group (HN), the O'Nan group ($O'N$), the Lyons group (Ly) and the Thompson group (Th). In this section, we are going to show that none of the above groups are power-chordal.

Theorem 5.12. *M_{11} is not power-chordal.*

Proof. We observe that the Mathieu group M_{11} has elements of order 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, and 11 (see [9, 19]); in which the elements of order 5 and 11 form disjoint complete graphs in $\mathcal{P}^*(M_{11})$. Apart from these elements, there are 165 elements of order 2, 440 elements of order 3, 990 elements of order 4, 1320 elements of order 6, and 1980 elements of order 8. Then we can choose 4 elements, say a, b, c, and d, each of order 6 such that $a^2 = b^2$, $a^3 = d^3$, $b^3 = c^3$, $c^2 = d^2$. Then $\mathcal{P}^*(M_{11})$ contains an 8-cycle $(a, a^2, b, b^3, c, c^2, d, d^3, a)$. Hence, $\mathcal{P}(M_{11})$ is a non-chordal graph. □

Theorem 5.13. *M_{22} is not power-chordal.*

Proof. As per GAP and Sage [12, 23], we observe that M_{22} contains 1155 elements of order 2, 12320 elements of order 3 and 36960 elements of order 6. So a subgroup of order 2 is contained in 16 distinct cyclic subgroup of order 6, and a subgroup of order 3 is contained in 3 distinct cyclic subgroup of order 6. Thus, we can choose elements a, b, c, and d, each of order 6, with $a^3 = b^3$, $b^2 = c^2$, $c^3 = d^3$ and $d^2 = a^2$. Then $\mathcal{P}(M_{22})$ contains an 8-cycle $(a, a^3, b, b^2, c, c^3, d, d^2, a)$, which ensures the non-chordality of $\mathcal{P}(M_{22})$. □

Theorem 5.14. *J_1 is not power-chordal.*

Proof. By the information at [9], we observe that J_1 contains 3 elements say x, y, z of orders $6, 10$ and 15 respectively such that $x^2 = z^5, x^3 = y^5$ and $y^2 = z^3$. Then $\mathcal{P}(J_1)$ contains a cycle $(x^2, x, x^3, y, y^2, z, x^2)$, whose length is 6. Thus, the Janko group J_1 is not a power-chordal group.

□

Theorem 5.15. *J_3 is not power-chordal.*

Proof. We observe that the Janko group J_3 contains elements a, b, and c such that $o(a) = 6$, $o(b) = 10$, $o(c) = 15$, and $a^3 = b^5$, $a^2 = c^5$, $b^2 = c^3$. Therefore, $(a^2, a, a^3, b, b^2, c, c^5)$ is a 6-cycle in the power graph of J_3. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(J_3)$ is not a chordal graph. □

Theorem 5.16. *There are not power-chordal sporadic groups.*

Proof. We have already proved that $\mathcal{P}(M_{11})$, $\mathcal{P}(M_{22})$, $\mathcal{P}(J_1)$ and $\mathcal{P}(J_3)$ are not chordal. The rest of the sporadic groups always contain a non-power-chordal supgroup (see Table 5.5). This completes the proof of the theorem. □

6 Groups of small order

In this section, we discuss certain finite groups whose power graph belongs to the class of chordal graphs. We already know that, for any prime p, the power graph of a group of order p^α or $2p$ is chordal. In this section, we prove that groups of order pq and p^2q are power-chordal. Further, we compute the values of n for which the dicyclic group $\mathrm{Dic}_n = \langle a, x | a^{2n} = 1, x^2 = a^n, x^{-1}ax = a^{-1} \rangle$ is power-chordal. In addition, we provide a table that describes all power-chordal groups of order up to 47.

sporadic simple Groups	non-power-chordal subgroup(s)	remarks
M_{12}	M_{11}	Theorem 5.12
M_{23}, M_{24}	A_8	Theorem 5.1
Fi_{22}	S_{10}	Theorem 4.2
Fi_{23}	S_{12}	Theorem 4.2
Fi_{24}	Fi_{23}, S_{12}	Theorem 4.2
J_2	PSU$(3,3)$	Theorem 5.4
J_4	$C_{2^{11}} \times M_{24}$, A_8	Theorem 5.1
Co_2, Co_3	M_{23}, A_8	Theorem 5.1
Co_1	Co_2, Co_3, A_8	Theorem 5.1
He	S_7	Theorem 4.2
HS	S_8	Theorem 4.2
$O'N$	J_1	Theorem 5.15
HN	A_{12}	Theorem 5.1
Ly	A_{11}	Theorem 5.1
Th	$C_3 \times G_2(3)$	Theorem 5.11
B	$(S_3 \times Fi_{22}) \rtimes C_2$, S_{10}	Theorem 4.2
M	$A_5 \times A_{12}$	Theorem 5.1
M^cL	M_{22},	Theorem 5.13

Table 1: Non-power-chordal subgroups of sporadic simple groups

Theorem 6.1. *Let G be a finite group of order pq, where p, q are distinct primes. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a chordal graph.*

Proof. Assume that $p > q$. Then there are at most two groups of order pq; one is the cyclic group C_{pq} and the other is $C_p \rtimes C_q$ if $q|(p-1)$. Now, C_{pq} has a chordal power graph by Theorem 2.2. Note that $C_p \rtimes C_q$ is an EPPO group, so it is power-chordal. □

Theorem 6.2. *The dicyclic group* Dic_n *is a power-chordal group if and only if n is either a power of a prime or a product of an odd prime and a power of* 2.

Proof. First, suppose that $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Dic}_n)$ is chordal. Note that Dic_n contains a unique maximal cyclic subgroup, say H, of order $2n$ and two other maximal subgroups, say L and M, of order $2n$, and the remaining subgroups are of order 4. Let a and x be two generators of Dic_n, with the order of x being 4. Suppose $H = \langle a \rangle$, $L = \langle a^2, x \rangle$, and $M = \langle a^2, ax \rangle$. Clearly, a^n is the unique involution in G, and so a^n is contained in every subgroup of Dic_n. So the identity and the involution cannot occur in any induced chordless cycle of $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Dic}_n)$. Let $\mathcal{P}^{**}(\mathrm{Dic}_n)$ denote the graph obtained by removing the identity and the unique involution from $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Dic}_n)$. Now we show that if $\mathcal{P}^{**}(\mathrm{Dic}_n)$ contains any induced cycle, it must be contained in $\mathcal{P}^{**}(C_{2n})$.

First, we look at the maximal subgroups L and M. We observe that $\mathcal{P}^{**}(L)$ is a disjoint union of $\mathcal{P}^{**}(C_n)$ along with some copies of K_2. Also, $\mathcal{P}^{**}(M)$ has a similar structure. Moreover, L, M, H have a common intersection, which is the subgroup generated by $\langle a^2 \rangle$.

All the other subgroups (except $\langle x\rangle$ and $\langle ax\rangle$) of Dic_n, whose orders are 4, contribute disjoint unions of K_2 in $P^{**}(\mathrm{Dic}_n)$; for the exceptional cases, these two subgroups form K_2 in L, M resp. Note that if there is any vertex from L or M in an induced cycle, they must belong to the cyclic subgroup generated by $\langle a^2\rangle$ (which is isomorphic to C_n). Since $\langle a^2\rangle$ is also contained in H this implies that the whole cycle must be contained in $\mathcal{P}^{**}(C_{2n})$.

Thus, we conclude that $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Dic}_n)$ is a chordal graph if and only if the power graph of H is chordal. Hence the proof of the theorem follows by Corollary 2.1. □

Theorem 6.3. *Any group of order p^2q, where p and q are distinct primes, is power-chordal.*

Proof. Let G be a group of order p^2q. If G is abelian, then either $G \cong C_{p^2q}$ or $G \cong C_p \times C_{pq}$. So, by Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.1, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a chordal graph.

Next, suppose that G is non-abelian. First, we assume that $p > q$. Then the Sylow p-subgroup, say H, is normal in G. Then either $G \cong C_p \times (C_p \rtimes C_q)$ or $G \cong C_{p^2} \rtimes C_q$. Now for these groups, we have the following observations

If G does not contain any element of order pq, then its prime graph is a null graph, and hence G is a power-chordal group. Otherwise, G has an element of order pq. Now if $G \cong C_p \times (C_p \rtimes C_q)$, then the chordality of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ follows from Lemma 3.3. Next, let $G \cong C_{p^2} \rtimes C_q$. If possible, let $\cdots x_1 \to x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \to x_4 \leftarrow \cdots$ be a cycle in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}(G)$. Now every element of G is of order either q or a power of p or pq. Consider the element x_3. If $o(x_3)$ is a power of p or q, then $x_2 \sim x_4$.

Next, let $o(x_3) = pq$. Then either $o(x_2) = q$, $o(x_4) = p$ or $o(x_2) = p$, $o(x_4) = q$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $o(x_2) = q$ and $o(x_4) = p$. Now $o(x_1)$ must be either q or pq. If $o(x_1) = q$, then $x_3 \sim x_1$. Again, if $o(x_5) = pq$, then the Sylow p-subgroup of G is unique and cyclic, and $x_2 \leftarrow x_1$, and so $x_1 \in \langle c\rangle$. Hence, we obtain the chord $x_3 \sim x_1$. Thus, a cycle of length ≥ 4 is not possible in $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is chordal.

Next, suppose $p < q$. Clearly, by Burnside's theorem, G is not simple. So it must contain a non-trivial normal subgroup. Here arise two cases.

Case I: Let G contain a normal subgroup of order pq, say K; if K is cyclic, then $G \cong C_p \times (C_q \rtimes C_p)$. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is chordal by Lemma 3.3. Otherwise, K must be the non-abelian group $C_q \rtimes C_p$ of order pq, and hence $G \cong (C_q \rtimes C_p) \rtimes C_p$. Then, by a similar approach as above, we can show that an induced cycle of length at least 4 is not possible in $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Hence, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is chordal.

Case II: If G does not contain any subgroup of order pq. Then the GK-graph of G is edgeless, and so its power graph is a chordal graph by Corollary 2.3.

Thus, if G is a group of order p^2q, then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a chordal graph. □

Next, we create a table that lists the power-chordal groups of order up to 47 by using Theorem 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 and the results obtained in Section 3.

Remark 6.1. *For the small groups of order at most* 47*, we obtain that almost all the groups are power-chordal except a few groups with the orders* 24, 30, 36*,* 40*, and* 42*.*

Order of G	Groups
24	all groups except $C_2 \times C_{12}$, $C_3 \times Q_8$ and $C_3 \times D_4$
30	all non-cyclic groups
36	all groups except $C_{36}, C_6 \times C_6$ and $C_2 \times (C_3 \rtimes S_3)$
40	all groups except $C_2 \times C_{20}$, $C_5 \times D_4$ and $C_5 \times Q_8$
42	all non-cyclic groups
$\|G\| \leq 47$ and $\|G\| \notin \{24, 30, 36, 40, 42\}$	all groups

Table 2: Power-chordal groups of order up to 47

Acknowledgements

The author Pallabi Manna is grateful to CSIR(Grant No- 09/983(0037)/2019-EMR-I) for financial aid. Ranjit Mehatari thanks the SERB, India, for financial support (File Number: CRG/2020/000447) through the Core Research Grant.

References

[1] G. Aalipour, S. Akbari, P. J. Cameron, R. Nikandish and F. Shaveisi, On the structure of the power graph and the enhanced power graph of a group, *Electron. J. Combin.* **24(3)**(2017), P3.16. MR3691533.

[2] J. Abawajy, A. Kelarev, M. Chowdhury, Power graphs: a survey, *Electron. J Graph Theory Appl.* (2013), 125-147, MR3145411.

[3] P. J. Cameron, P. Manna and R. Mehatari, On finite groups whose power graph is a cograph, *J. Algebra* **591** (2021), 59-74 , MR4335775.

[4] P. J. Cameron, Graphs defined on groups, *Int. J. Group Theory* (2021), http: // doi.org/10.22108/ijgt.2021.127679.1681, in press.

[5] P. J. Cameron, The power graph of finite group II, *J. Group Theory* **13(6)** (2010) 779-783, MR2736156.

[6] P. J. Cameron, H. Guerra, S̀. Jurina, The power graph of torsion-free group, *J. Algebraic Comb.* **49** (2019) 83-98. MR3908357

[7] P. J. Cameron, S. Ghosh, The power graph of finite group, *Discrete Math.* **311** (2011) 1220-1222, MR2793235.

[8] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh, M.K. Sen, Undirected power graphs of semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **78**(2009) 410-426, MR2511776.

[9] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R.A Parker, R.A. Wilson, ATLAS of finite Groups, *Clarendon Press, Oxford*, 1985.

[10] B. N. Cooperstein, Maximal subgroups of $G_2(2^n)$, *J. Algebra* **70** (1981) 23-36.

[11] B. Curtin, G. R. Pourgholi, H. Yousefi-Azari, On the punctured power graph of a finite group, *Australas. J. Comb.* **62** (2015) 1-7, MR3337172.

[12] The GAP group, GAP-groups, algorithms and programming, Version 4.11.1, 2021. Available at: http://www.gap-system.org.

[13] A. Kelarev, Graph Algeras automata, *Marcel Dekker* **New York** (2003).

[14] A. Kelarev, Ring Constructions and Applications, *World Scientific*(2002).

[15] A. Kelarev and S.J. Quinn, Directed graphs and combinatorial properties of semigroups, *J. Algebra* **251(1)** (2002) 16-26, MR1900273.

[16] O.H. King, The subgroup structure of finite classical groups in terms of geometric configurations, Surveys in combinatorics 2005, 29-56, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.,* **327**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[17] Peter B. Kleidman, The maximal subgroups of the Steinberg triality groups $3_{D_4}(q)$ and of their automorphism groups, *J. Algebra* **115** (1988) 182-199.

[18] P. B. Kleidman, The maximal subgroups of the Chevalley groups $G_2(q)$ with q odd, the REE groups $2_{G_2}(q)$ and of their automorphism groups, *J. Algebra* **117** (1988), 30-71.

[19] Ajay Kumar, Lavanya Selvaganesh, Peter J. Cameron and T. Tamizh Chelvam, Recent developments on the power graph of finite groups - a survey, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* **18** , (2021), 65-94, MR4310374.

[20] Xuanlong Ma, Yubo Lv and Yanhong She, Forbidden subgraphs in enhanced power graphs of finite groups, *arXiv: 2104.04754v3*, 2021.

[21] Gunter Malle, The maximal subgroups of $2_{F_4}(q^2)$, *J. Algebra* **139** (1991) 39-61.

[22] P. Manna, P. J. Cameron and R. Mehetari, Forbidden subgraphs of power graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **28(3)** (2021), P3.4, 14pp. MR4282717.

[23] The Sage Developers. SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System, Version 9.3, 2022. Available at:https://www.sagemath.org.

[24] M. Suzuki, Finite groups with nilpotent centralizers, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **99** (1961) 425-470.

[25] M. Suzuki, On a class of doubly transitive groups, *Ann. Math.* **75** (1962) 105-145.

[26] R. A. Wilson, The Finite Simple Groups, *Springer, London* (2009).

[27] Samir Zahirovič, The power graph of a torsion-free group determines the directed power graph, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **305**(2021) 109-118. MR4314011