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#### Abstract

A smooth curve on $G / H$ is called a Riemannian equigeodesic if it is a homogeneous geodesic for all $G$-invariant Riemannian metrics on $G / H$. With the $G$-invariant Riemannian metric replaced by other classes of $G$-invariant metrics, we can similarly define Finsler equigeodesic, Randers equigeodesic, $(\alpha, \beta)$ equigeodesic, etc. In this paper, we study Randers and $(\alpha, \beta)$ equigeodesics. For a compact homogeneous manifold, we prove Randers and $(\alpha, \beta)$ equigeodesics are equivalent, and find a criterion for them. Using this criterion we can classify the equigeodesics on many compact homogeneous manifolds which permit nonRiemannian homogeneous Randers metrics, including four classes of homogeneous spheres.
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## 1. Introduction

1.1. Homogeneous geodesic and equigeodesic in Riemannian and Finsler geometry. On a Riemannian manifold, a geodesic is called homogeneous if it is the orbit of a one-parameter subgroup of isometries. This notion reflects a key philosophy in Erlanger Programme, i.e., applying Lie theory to the study of geometry. Many important topics in homogeneous Riemannian geometry are closely related to the study of homogeneous geodesic. For example, O. Kowalski and L. Vanhecke defined a Riemannian manifold to be geodesic orbit (or g.o. in short), if each geodesic is homogeneous [16]. Both g.o. spaces and their subclasses, normal homogeneous $[3,25]$ and $\delta$-homogeneous spaces [6], weakly symmetric spaces [32], etc, have been extensively studied in Riemannian geometry $[1,2,10,12,14,22,25]$. Recently, they have been generalized and studied in Finsler geometry [8, 17, 27, 28, 29, 31, 33].

Equigeodesic was introduced by N. Cohen, L. Grama and C.J.C. Negreiros in 2010 [7]. A smooth curve on a homogeneous space $G / H$ is called an equigeodesic if it is a homogeneous geodesic for any $G$-invariant metric. When the type of the metric is specified, Riemannian, Finsler, Randers, $(\alpha, \beta)$, etc, the corresponding equigeodesic is called a Riemannian equigeodesic, Finsler equigeodesic, Randers equigeodesic, $(\alpha, \beta)$ equigeoesic, etc, respectively. Until now, only Riemannian equigeodesic has been studied for some special homogeneous spaces, flag manifolds, spheres, etc $[13,23,26]$. Finsler and other types of equigeodesic are also worthy to be studied because they represent different algebraic properties.
1.2. Reduction to equigeodesic vector. A vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called a Riemannian equigeodesic vector for $G / H$ if it generates a Riemannian equigeodesic $c(t)=\exp t X \cdot o$ passing $o=e H$.

By homogeneity, the study for Riemannian equigeodesic can be reduced to that for Riemannian equigeodesic vector. Further reduction need more assumptions for $G / H$.

In this paper, we only consider a homogeneous manifold $G / H$ with a compact $\left(G,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\text {bi }}\right)$, in which $\langle,\rangle_{\text {bi }}$ is an $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}+\mathfrak{m}$ the corresponding orthogonal reductive decomposition, and use subscripts $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ for projections to

[^0]each subspace. Then any $G$-invariant Riemannian on $G / H$ is one-to-one determined by an $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$-invariant inner product
$$
\alpha(u, v)=\langle u, \Lambda(v)\rangle_{\mathrm{bi}}, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathfrak{m}
$$
in which $\Lambda: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ is called the metric operator.
By the criterion for geodesic vector [16], $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a Riemannian equigeodesic for $G / H$ if and only if $X \notin \mathfrak{h}$ and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Lambda\left(X_{\mathfrak{m}}\right), X\right]_{\mathfrak{m}}=0, \quad \forall \Lambda \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the metric operator $\Lambda$ exhausts all $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$-invariant $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\text {bi }}$-positive definite linear endomorphisms on $\mathfrak{m}$. In particular, we can take $\Lambda=\mathrm{Id}$, then (1.1) implies, when $X$ is a Riemannian equigeodesic vector, $X_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ commute, and then $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is also a Riemannian equigeodesic vector which generates the same curve $c(t)=\exp t X_{\mathfrak{m}} \cdot o=\exp t X \cdot o$ as $X$.

To summarize, the study of (Riemannian) equigeodesics for $G / H$ can be reduced to that of (Riemannian) equigeodesic vectors which are contained in $\mathfrak{m}$. Finsler, Randers, $(\alpha, \beta)$ (and other types of) equigeodesic vectors can be defined similarly, i.e., $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ which generates an equigeodesic $c(t)=\exp t X \cdot o$ of the specified type. Obviously they are also Riemannian equigeodesic vectors. So above reduction is still valid.
1.3. Main results. In this paper, we mainly discuss Randers and ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) equigeodesics. Firstly, we prove they are equivalent for a compact homogeneous manifold.

Theorem 1.1. Let $G / H$ be a homogeneous manifold with a compact Lie group $\left(G,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{bi}}\right)$ and the corresponding orthogonal reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}+\mathfrak{m}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{m}$ can be further $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$-invariantly decomposed as $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{0}+\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$, in which $\mathfrak{m}_{0} \neq 0$ is the fixed point set for the $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$-action on $\mathfrak{m}$. Then for any $X \in \mathfrak{m} \backslash\{0\}$, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $X$ is an $(\alpha, \beta)$ equigeodesic vector;
(2) $X$ is a Randers equigeodesic vector;
(3) $X$ is a Riemannian equigeodesic vector and $\left[X, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right] \subset \mathfrak{h}$.

As applications of Theorem 1.1, we can classify Randers and $(\alpha, \beta)$ equigeodesic vectors on some compact homogeneous manifolds. To avoid iteration, $(\alpha, \beta)$ equigeodesic or $(\alpha, \beta)$ equigeodesic vector will not be mentioned later. We only concern those homogeneous manifolds which admits non-Riemannian homogeneous Randers metrics, otherwise there is no new story.

Theorem 1.2. Let $H \varsubsetneqq K \varsubsetneqq\left(G,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\text {bi }}\right)$ be a triple of distinct compact connected Lie groups, such that $G / K$ is strongly isotropy irreducible, and $H$ is normal in $K$. Denote $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ the $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\text {bi }}$-orthogonal complements of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ respectively. Suppose that neither $\mathfrak{h}$ nor $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the set of all Randers equigeodesic vectors for $G / H$ which are contained in $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{0}+\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ is $\mathfrak{c}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right) \backslash\{0\}$.

By the classification of compact irreducible symmetric spaces [15] and compact strongly isotropy irreducible spaces $[18,19,20,24]$, almost all $G / H$ 's in Theorem 1.2 have no Randers equigeodesics or Randers equigeodesic vectors, except those associated with compact irreducible Hermitian symmetric $G / K=G /(U(1) H)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
G / H= & S U\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) / S U\left(n_{1}\right) S U\left(n_{2}\right), S p(n) / S U(n), S O(n+2) / S O(n), \\
& S O(2 n) / S U(n), E_{6} / S O(10), E_{7} / E_{6} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

have a line $\mathfrak{m}_{0} \backslash\{0\}$ of Randers equigeodesic vectors. As Theorem 1.2 has not assumed any effectiveness for the $G$-action on $G / K$, it can also tell us that the set of Randers equigeodesic vectors for $U(1) G / U(1) H$ with $G / H$ in (1.2), which are contained in $\mathfrak{m}$, is the line $\mathfrak{m}_{0} \backslash\{0\}$.

Finally, we give a classification of Randers equigeodesics for homogeneous spheres which permit non-Riemannian homogeneous Randers metrics.

Theorem 1.3. Let $G / H$ be a homogeneous sphere on which the compact connected Lie group $\left(G,\langle,\rangle_{\text {bi }}\right)$ acts effectively. Denote $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}+\mathfrak{m}$ the corresponding orthogonal reductive decomposition and assume $G / H$ admits non-Riemannian $G$-invariant Randers metrics, i.e., the fixed point set $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ for the $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$-action on $\mathfrak{m}$ is not zero. Then we have the following:
(1) the set of Randers equigeodesic vectors for $G / H$ which is contained in $\mathfrak{m}$ is $\mathfrak{m}_{0} \backslash\{0\}$ when $G / H=S U(n+1) / S U(n), U(n+1) / U(n)$ or $S p(n+1) U(1) / S p(n) U(1)$;
(2) $G / H=S p(n+1) / S p(n)$ has no Randers equigeodesics or Randers equigeodesic vectors.

These results implies that studying equigeodesic in a Finsler context might be even easier sometimes, where cleaner classification lists would be more achievable.

This paper is organized as following. In Section 2, we summarize some necessary knowledge on homogeneous Randers and $(\alpha, \beta)$ spaces and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 as applications of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. RANDERS AND $(\alpha, \beta)$ EQUIGEODESIC

2.1. Homogeneous Randers and $(\alpha, \beta)$ spaces. Let $G / H$ be a homogeneous manifold with a reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}+\mathfrak{m}$. We decompose $\mathfrak{m} \operatorname{Ad}(H)$-equivariantly as $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{0}+\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$, in which $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is the fixed point set for the $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$-action on $\mathfrak{m}$.

Any $G$-invariant $(\alpha, \beta)$ metric $F$ on $G / H$ is one-to-one determined by the $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$-invariant Minkowski norm in the tangent space at $o=e H$, which can be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(y)=\alpha(y, y)^{1 / 2} \varphi\left(\frac{\alpha(y, u)}{\alpha(y, y)^{1 / 2}}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\alpha$ is an $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{m}, u$ is a nonzero vector in $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ and $\varphi=\varphi(s)$ is a positive smooth function for $|s| \leq b=\alpha(u, u)^{1 / 2}$ with the inequality

$$
\varphi(s)-s \varphi^{\prime}(s)+\left(b^{2}-s^{2}\right) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(s)>0
$$

satisfied everywhere. In particular, this homogeneous metric $F$ is Randers when $\varphi(s)=1+s$, which is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(y)=\alpha(y, y)^{1 / 2}+\alpha(y, u) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called a geodesic vector for $(G / H, F)$ if $c(t)=\exp t X \cdot o$ is a homogeneous geodesic. When $F$ is $(\alpha, \beta)$ or Randers, we have the following criterion for geodesic vectors (see Proposition 3.4 in [30]).

Lemma 2.1. Keep all assumptions and notations in this subsection. Then $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a geodesic vector of the homogeneous $(\alpha, \beta)$ metric $F$ in (2.3) if and only if $X \notin \mathfrak{h}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left([X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}},\left(\varphi(s)-s \varphi^{\prime}(s)\right) X_{\mathfrak{m}}+\varphi^{\prime}(s) \alpha\left(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, X_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{1 / 2} u\right)=0, \quad \forall Z \in \mathfrak{m} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\frac{\alpha\left(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, u\right)}{\alpha\left(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, X_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{1 / 2}}$. In particular, when $\varphi(s)=1+s$, (2.5) can be simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left([X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}}, X_{\mathfrak{m}}+\sqrt{\alpha\left(X_{\mathfrak{m}}, X_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)} u\right)=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

See $[5,8,31]$ for more details on general and homogeneous Finsler geometry, as well as the related homogeneous geodesic and g.o. property.
2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Firstly, the argument from (1) to (2) is obvious because each Randers metric is an $(\alpha, \beta)$ metric.

Nextly, we prove the statement from (2) to (3). Let $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)=\langle\cdot, \Lambda(\cdot)\rangle_{\text {bi }}$ be any $\operatorname{Ad}(H)-$ invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{m}$, and $u$ any vector in $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$. Then the Rander equigeodesic vector $X \in \mathfrak{m} \backslash\{0\}$ is a geodesic vector for the homogeneous Randers metric $F(y)=\alpha(y, y)^{1 / 2}+\alpha(y, u)$. Applying (2.6) in Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left([X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}}, X\right)+\alpha(X, X)^{1 / 2} \alpha\left([X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}}, u\right)=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously the Randers equigeodesic vector $X$ is a Riemannian equigeodesic vector, i.e., $\alpha\left([X, \mathfrak{m}]_{\mathfrak{m}}, X\right)=0$. So we have

$$
0=\alpha\left([X, \mathfrak{m}]_{\mathfrak{m}}, u\right)=\langle[X, \mathfrak{m}], \Lambda(u)\rangle_{\mathrm{bi}}=\langle\mathfrak{m},[X, \Lambda(u)]\rangle_{\mathrm{bi}}
$$

i.e., $[X, \Lambda(u)] \subset \mathfrak{h}$. By Schur lemma, the metric operator $\Lambda$ for $\alpha$ must be of the form $\Lambda=\Lambda_{0} \oplus \Lambda^{\prime}$ in which $\Lambda_{0}$ is any arbitrary $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\text {bi }}$-positive definite linear endomorphism on $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is some endomorphism on $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$. As we have assumed $u \neq 0, \Lambda(u)=\Lambda_{0}(u)$ exhausts an open neighborhood of $u$ in $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$. So we get $\left[X, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right] \subset \mathfrak{h}$.

This ends the proof from (2) to (3).
Finally, we prove the statement from (3) to (1). Let $F$ be any $G$-invariant $(\alpha, \beta)$ metric on $G / H$, determined by the Minkowski norm $F(y)=\alpha(y, y)^{1 / 2} \varphi\left(\frac{\alpha(y, u)}{\alpha(y, y)^{1 / 2}}\right)$ on $\mathfrak{m}$. Since the Riemannian equigeodesic vector $X$ is a geodesic vector for the $G$-invariant Riemannian metric $\alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left([X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}}, X\right)=0, \quad \forall Z \in \mathfrak{m} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we have observed, the metric operator $\Lambda$ of $\alpha$ preserves $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$. So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left([X, \mathfrak{m}]_{\mathfrak{m}}, u\right)=\langle[X, \mathfrak{m}], \Lambda(u)\rangle_{\mathrm{bi}}=\langle\mathfrak{m},[X, \Lambda(u)]\rangle_{\mathrm{bi}} \subset\left\langle\mathfrak{m},\left[X, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]\right\rangle_{\mathrm{bi}} \subset\langle\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h}\rangle_{\mathrm{bi}}=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summarizing (2.8) and (2.9), we get (2.5) in Lemma 2.1, i.e., $X$ is a geodesic vector for $F$. Since the $G$-invariant $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric $F$ is arbitrarily chosen, $X$ is an $(\alpha, \beta)$ equigeodesic vector.

This ends the proof from (3) to (1).

## 3. Applications of Theorem 1.1

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We keep all relevant assumptions and notations for Theorem 1.2. Then $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}+\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{h}+\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}+\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}\right)$ are $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\text {bi }}$-orthogonal reductive decompositions for $G / K$ and $G / H$ respectively. Together with the ideal decomposition $\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, they provide the following bracket relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}\right] \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}, \quad\left[\mathfrak{m}_{0}, \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}\right] \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}, \quad\left[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]=0, \quad[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}, \quad\left[\mathfrak{m}_{0}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{0} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It implies that the decomposition $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{0}+\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ is $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$-invariant. Because $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is not an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}_{0} \neq 0$, i.e., $G / H$ admits $G$-invariant non-Riemannian Randers metrics. The next lemma implies that $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is the fixed point set for the $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$-action on $\mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 3.1. Any vector $v \in \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ satisfying $[v, \mathfrak{h}]=0$ or $\left[v, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]=0$ must be 0 .
Proof. Firstly, we prove $v \in \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ satisfying $\left[v, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]=0$ must vanish. Assume conversely $v \neq 0$, then (3.10) implies that the nonzero subspace $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ is ad $(\mathfrak{k})$-invariant. By the strongly isotropy irreducibility of $G / K$, we must have $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right)=\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$, i.e., $\left[\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]=0$. Together with $\left[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{0}$, it implies that $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. This contradicts the assumption in Theorem 1.2 .

Nextly, we prove $v \in \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ satisfying $[v, \mathfrak{h}]=0$ must vanish. Assume conversely that it does not, then similar argument shows that $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}}(\mathfrak{h})=\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, but this contradicts the assumption in Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Firstly, we prove that any $X \in \mathfrak{c}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ is a Randers equigeodesic vector. Lemma 3.1 indicates that $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ does not contain any trivial sub-representation for the $\operatorname{Ad}(H)$-action. By Schur Lemma, the metric operator $\Lambda$ for a $G$-invariant Riemannian metric on $G / H$ must be of the form $\Lambda=\Lambda_{0} \oplus \Lambda^{\prime}$, in which each $\Lambda_{0}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are linear endomorphisms on $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ respectively. So for every metric operator $\Lambda$, we have

$$
[\Lambda(X), X]_{\mathfrak{m}} \subset\left[\mathfrak{m}_{0}, \mathfrak{c}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right)\right]_{\mathfrak{m}}=0
$$

which proves $X$ is a Riemannian equigeodesic vector. Meanwhile, it is obvious that $\left[X, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]=$ $0 \subset \mathfrak{h}$. By Theorem 1.1, $X \in \mathfrak{c}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ is a Randers equigeodesic vector.

| No. | Spheres | $G$ | $H$ | Isotropy rep. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $S^{n}$ | $S O(n+1)$ | $S O(n)$ | irred |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~S}^{2 n+1}$ | $S U(n+1)$ | $S U(n)$ | $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{1}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~S}^{2 n+1}$ | $U(n+1)$ | $U(n)$ | $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{1}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~S}^{4 n+3}$ | $S p(n+1)$ | $S p(n)$ | $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{1}$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~S}^{4 n+3}$ | $S p(n+1) S p(1)$ | $S p(n) S p(1)$ | $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{2}$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{~S}^{4 n+3}$ | $S p(n+1) U(1)$ | $S p(n) U(1)$ | $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{2}$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{~S}^{15}$ | $\operatorname{Spin}(9)$ | $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ | $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{2}$ |
| 8 | $S^{7}$ | $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ | $G_{2}$ | irred |
| 9 | $S^{7}$ | $G_{2}$ | $S U(3)$ | irred |

TABLE 1. Classification for homogeneous spheres

Nextly, we prove there are no other Randers equigeodesic vectors. Suppose $X=X_{0}+X^{\prime} \in$ $\mathfrak{m} \backslash\{0\}$ with $X_{0} \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ and $X^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ is a Randers equigeodesic vector. By Theorem 1.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X, v]=\left[X_{0}, v\right]+\left[X^{\prime}, v\right] \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad \forall v \in \mathfrak{m}_{0} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, (3.10) indicates that $\left[X_{0}, v\right]+\left[X^{\prime}, v\right] \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}+\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ for $v \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}$. So we must have $\left[X_{0}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]=\left[X^{\prime}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]=0$, i.e., $X_{0} \in \mathfrak{c}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right)$, and by Lemma 3.1, $X^{\prime}=0$.

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.2.
3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. The classification for homogeneous spheres [4, 21] can be summarized as Table 1, where we list the decomposition of each $\mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{0} \neq 0$ is a trivial $H$-representation, and $\mathfrak{m}_{i}$ 's with $i>0$ are distinct nontrivial irreducible $H$-representations.

We only concern those with $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$, which admits non-Riemannian $G$-invariant Randers metrics. So $G / H$ is one of the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{2 n+1}=U(n+1) / U(n), S^{2 n+1}=S U(n+1) / S U(n) \\
& S^{4 n+3}=S p(n+1) / S p(n), S^{4 n+3}=S p(n+1) U(1) / S p(n) U(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 1: $G / H=S U(n+1) / S U(n)$ or $U(n+1) / U(n)$.
In this case, $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m}_{0}=1$ and $G / H$ is associated with the Hermitian symmetric space $G / K=$ $S U(n+1) / S(U(n) U(1))$ or $U(n+1) /(U(n) U(1))$, Theorem 1.2 tells us that the set of Randers equigeodesic vectors which are contained in $\mathfrak{m}$ is the line $\mathfrak{m}_{0} \backslash\{0\}$.

Case 2: $G / H=S p(n+1) / S p(n)$.
In this case $G / H$ is associated with the quaternion symmetric $G / K=S p(n+1) / S p(n) S p(1)$, and $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ is the Lie subalgebra $s p(1)$. By Theorem 1.2 , the set of Randers equigeodesic vectors for $G / H$ which is contained in $\mathfrak{m}$ is $\mathfrak{c}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{0}\right) \backslash\{0\}=\emptyset$.

Case 3: $G / H=S p(n+1) U(1) / S p(n) U(1)$.
In this case, the decomposition $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{0}+\mathfrak{m}_{1}+\mathfrak{m}_{2}$ can be explicitly presented as following. We choose $\langle(A, a),(B, b)\rangle_{\mathrm{bi}}=-\operatorname{tr} A B+a b$, for $(A, a),(B, b) \in s p(n+1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$, in which $s p(n+$ $1)=\left\{X \in \mathbb{H}^{(n+1) \times(n+1)} \mid X+\bar{X}^{t}=0\right\}$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ consists $(\operatorname{diag}(C, a \mathbf{i}), a)$ for all $C \in \operatorname{sp}(n)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ consists of $\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(0_{n \times n}, a \mathbf{i}\right),-a\right)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{m}_{1}$ consists of $\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(0_{n \times n}, b \mathbf{j}+c \mathbf{k}\right), 0\right)$ for all $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{m}_{2}$ consists of the pairs $\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}0_{n \times n} & \mathrm{v} \\ -\overline{\mathrm{v}}^{t} & 0\end{array}\right), 0\right)$ for all column vectors $\mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{H}^{n}$. Then direct calculations show the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathfrak{m}_{0}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]=0, \quad\left[\mathfrak{m}_{0}, \mathfrak{m}_{1}\right] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{1}, \quad\left[\mathfrak{m}_{0}, \mathfrak{m}_{2}\right] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{2}}  \tag{3.12}\\
& {[u, v]=0 \text { for } u \in \mathfrak{m}_{0} \text { and } v \in \mathfrak{m}_{1}+\mathfrak{m}_{2} \text { if and only if } u=0 \text { or } v=0} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Firstly, we prove each $X \in \mathfrak{m}_{0} \backslash\{0\}$ is a Randers equigeodesic for $G / H$. Every metric operator must have the form $\Lambda=\left.\left.\left.c_{0} \operatorname{Id}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{0}} \oplus c_{1} \operatorname{Id}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}} \oplus c_{2} \operatorname{Id}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}$, so $[\Lambda(X), X]_{\mathfrak{m}}=\left[c_{0} X, X\right]=0$, and we see $X$ is a Riemannian equigeodesic vector. It is obvious that $\left[X, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]=0 \subset \mathfrak{h}$. So $X$ is a Randers equigeodesic by Theorem 1.1.

Nextly, we prove that each Randers equigeodesic $X$ for $G / H$ must belong to $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$. Denote $X=X_{0}+X_{1}+X_{2}$ with each $X_{i} \in \mathfrak{m}_{i}$. By Theorem 1.1, $\left[X, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]=\left[X_{1}+X_{2}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right] \subset \mathfrak{h}$. However, by (3.12), $\left[X_{1}+X_{2}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{1}+\mathfrak{m}_{2}$. So $\left[X_{1}+X_{2}, \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right]=0$, and it implies $X_{1}=X_{2}=0$ by (3.13).

This ends the case-by-case proof for Theorem 1.3.
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