

First few overtones probe the event horizon geometry

R. A. Konoplya^{1,*} and A. Zhidenko^{2,†}

¹*Research Centre for Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics,
Institute of Physics, Silesian University in Opava,
Bezručovo náměstí 13, CZ-74601 Opava, Czech Republic*

²*Centro de Matemática, Computação e Cognição (CMCC), Universidade Federal do ABC (UFABC),
Rua Abolição, CEP: 09210-180, Santo André, SP, Brazil*

It is broadly believed that quasinormal modes (QNMs) cannot tell the black-hole near-horizon geometry, because usually the low-lying modes are determined by the scattering of perturbations around the peak of the effective potential. Using the general parametrization of the black-hole spacetimes respecting the generic post-Newtonian asymptotic, we will show that tiny modifications of the Schwarzschild/Kerr geometry in a small region near the event horizon lead to almost the same Schwarzschild/Kerr fundamental mode, but totally different first few overtones. Having in mind that the first several overtones affect the quasinormal (QN) ringing at its early and intermediate stage [M. Giesler, M. Isi, M. Scheel, and S. Teukolsky, *Phys. Rev. X* 9, 041060 (2019)], we argue that the near-horizon geometry could in principle be studied via the first few overtones of the QN spectrum, which is important because corrections to the Einstein theory must modify precisely the near-horizon geometry, keeping the known weak field regime. We discuss the connection of this observation with the so called “overtones’ instability” recently studied in [J. Jaramillo et. al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 128, 211102 (2022)].

PACS numbers: 04.30.Nk, 04.50.Kd, 04.70.Bw

Introduction. The proper oscillation frequencies of black holes, quasinormal modes (QNMs) [1], govern the decay of perturbations of black holes and the fundamental mode, which has the smallest decay rate, dominates at late times of the black holes’ ringdown. Therefore, within the astrophysical context the fundamental mode was the one mostly studied in the literature. Despite the QN frequencies do not depend on the way of perturbations, but only on the parameters of black holes, it was shown that the ringdown profile may not probe the geometry of the event horizon [2], so that even qualitatively different compact objects, such as wormholes [2, 4, 5] can mimic the black hole ringdown. This happens because the main part of the scattering from the effective potential surrounding the black hole occurs near the peak of the potential barrier and this region determines the dominant QNMs. Deformations of the geometry only near the event horizon do not alter those dominant frequencies. If the near-horizon deformation is such that an additional peak appears near the surface of the compact body, then at very late times the decay of gravitational waves are slightly modified by echoes [2], while the fundamental mode remains again essentially unaffected. Actually the same logic is applicable to other astrophysically relevant phenomena, such as particles’ motion, lensing etc., so that in addition to the weak and elusive echoes [3], only the Hawking radiation tells the properties of the event horizon [4].

Although it is believed that the major contribution to the signal is owing to the fundamental mode, recently

it has been shown [6] (and later studied in [7–9]) that the first several overtones must be taken into account in order to model the ringdown phase, obtained within the accurate numerical relativity simulations at all times and not only at the last stage. This finding indicated also that the actual QN ringing starts earlier than expected.

Having in mind the above motivation, we will consider the general parametrized spherically and axially symmetric black holes, respecting the experimentally allowed post-Newtonian behavior [10]. There are two sets of parameters: experimentally constrained ones, determining the weak field regime at a distance from the black hole and the ones fixed by the near-horizon strong gravity region. We show that deformations of the near-horizon parameters induce outburst of overtones, while the fundamental mode changes insignificantly. This observation is important, because essentially non-Einsteinian behavior is expected exactly near the event horizon, either due to quantum corrections or alternative theories of gravity (see e.g. [11]), including the brane-world models. Since the fundamental mode should not be affected by such near-horizon corrections, one can expect that the dominant nonlinear effects due to this mode [12, 13] do not lead to the significant deviations from the Kerr ringdown profile as well.

This way, the first few overtones, which potentially could be extracted from the beginning of the time-domain profile of QN ringing could probe the near-horizon geometry of a black hole and, for example, distinguish a black-hole mimicker. The latter might be more profitable way than echoes, which occur at very late times when the amplitude of the signal is strongly damped.

The general parametrized black-hole metric. The metric of a spherically symmetric black hole can be

* roman.konoplya@gmail.com

† olexandr.zhydenko@ufabc.edu.br

written in the following general form,

$$ds^2 = -N^2(r)dt^2 + \frac{B^2(r)}{N^2(r)}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (1)$$

where r_0 is the event horizon, so that $N(r_0) = 0$. Following [14], we will use the new dimensionless variable $x \equiv 1 - r_0/r$, so that $x = 0$ corresponds to the event horizon, while $x = 1$ corresponds to spatial infinity. We rewrite the metric function N via the expression $N^2 = xA(x)$, where $A(x) > 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Using the new parameters ϵ , a_0 , and b_0 , the functions A and B can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= 1 - \epsilon(1-x) + (a_0 - \epsilon)(1-x)^2 + \tilde{A}(x)(1-x)^3, \\ B(x) &= 1 + b_0(1-x) + \tilde{B}(x)(1-x)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here the coefficient ϵ measures the deviation of r_0 from the Schwarzschild radius $2M$: $\epsilon = (2M - r_0)/r_0$. The coefficients a_0 and b_0 can be considered as combinations of the post-Newtonian (PN) parameters, $a_0 = (\beta - \gamma)(1 + \epsilon)^2/2$, $b_0 = (\gamma - 1)(1 + \epsilon)/2$. Current observational constraints on the PN parameters imply $a_0 \sim b_0 \sim 10^{-4}$, so that we can safely neglect them.

The functions $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ are introduced through infinite continued fraction in order to describe the metric near the horizon (i.e., for $x \simeq 0$),

$$\tilde{A}(x) = \frac{a_1}{1 + \frac{a_2 x}{1 + \frac{a_3 x}{1 + \dots}}}, \quad \tilde{B}(x) = \frac{b_1}{1 + \frac{b_2 x}{1 + \frac{b_3 x}{1 + \dots}}}, \quad (3)$$

where $a_1, a_2, \dots$ and $b_1, b_2, \dots$ are dimensionless constants to be constrained from observations of phenomena which are localized near the event horizon. At the horizon only the first term in each of the continued fractions survives, $\tilde{A}(0) = a_1$, $\tilde{B}(0) = b_1$, which implies that near the horizon only the lower-order terms of the expansions are essential.

When all the coefficients a_i , b_i and ϵ vanish, we have the Schwarzschild solution, so that one can consider the above parametrization as a general deformation of the Schwarzschild geometry. Then, we would like to understand which kind of deformations are responsible for the outburst of overtones. For this purpose, following [15], we will distinguish the Schwarzschild-like *nonmoderate* black holes, whose metric functions are close to the Schwarzschild one everywhere except a small region near the event horizon in which it is strongly different. It is believed that this kind of black holes can be Schwarzschild mimickers, so that their ringdown profile and shadows are practically indistinguishable from those for the Schwarzschild ones [15, 16]. *Moderate* black holes are characterized by relatively slow change of the metric functions in the near horizon zone.

In this paper we will study the nonmoderate Schwarzschild-like black holes, which have the Schwarzschild size-to-mass ratio and satisfy the post-Newtonian constraints $\epsilon = a_0 = b_0$. This choice implies that the black-hole geometry is almost Schwarzschildian

everywhere starting from some distance from the black hole, which includes not only the asymptotic post-Newtonian region, but also the region around the maximum of the wave equation effective potential, photon sphere and the innermost stable circular orbit, that is, the region responsible for the dominant characteristics of various astrophysical radiation phenomena. The near-horizon geometry of such nonmoderate black holes differs significantly from the Schwarzschild ones: for instance, the nonmoderate black hole can have different Hawking temperature and/or large values of higher derivatives of the metric function, corresponding to large values of the near-horizon coefficients $a_1, a_2, \dots$ and $b_1, b_2, \dots$. Overall, here we will compare the Schwarzschild black hole with the following four cases: a) Nonmoderate Schwarzschild-like black hole with the Schwarzschild values of the Hawking temperature and radius of the event horizon (black hole 1). b) Nonmoderate Schwarzschild-like black hole with considerably different (from the Schwarzschild one) Hawking temperature, but the same radius of the event horizon (black hole 2). c) Moderate black hole with a slightly different radius, but the same mass and post-Newtonian behavior (black hole 3). Thus, we study the moderate and nonmoderate near-horizon deformations of the Schwarzschild geometry.

Quasinormal modes. After the separation of variables in the general covariant equations for the scalar and electromagnetic perturbations they can be reduced to the Schrödinger-like form (see, e.g., [1]),

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial r_*^2} + V(r)\Psi = 0, \quad (4)$$

where the ‘‘tortoise coordinate’’ r_* is defined by the relation $dr_* = B(r)N^{-2}(r)dr$. The effective potentials for the scalar and electromagnetic fields are

$$V(r) = N^2(r) \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} + \frac{1-s}{2r} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{N^4(r)}{B^2(r)},$$

where $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$ are the multipole numbers and $s = 0$ ($s = 1$) corresponds to the scalar (electromagnetic) field, respectively. The effective potential for the electromagnetic field has the form of the positive definite potential barrier, while this is not always so for a scalar field.

Quasinormal modes ω_n are frequencies corresponding to solutions of the master wave equation (4) with the requirement of the purely outgoing waves at infinity and at the event horizon, $\Psi \propto e^{-i\omega t \pm i\omega r_*}$, $r_* \rightarrow \pm\infty$. In order to find QNMs we will use two methods: the time-domain integration and the Frobenius method.

In the time domain, we integrate the wavelike equation (4) in terms of the light-cone variables $u = t - r_*$ and $v = t + r_*$ using the discretization scheme of [17] and, further, extracting QNMs with the Prony method [18].

In the frequency domain, after separating the time and radial coordinate, $\Psi(t, r) = e^{-i\omega t} R(r)$, we use the Frobenius method [19]. Namely, we express the function R , written with respect to the compact coordinate

n	Schwarzschild	black hole 1	black hole 2	black hole 3
$s = 0, \ell = 1$				
0	$0.585872 - 0.195320i$	$0.587258 - 0.197245i$	$0.587765 - 0.193327i$	$0.583061 - 0.195929i$
1	$0.528897 - 0.612515i$	$0.526080 - 0.617827i$	$0.561322 - 0.568479i$	$0.524958 - 0.614911i$
2	$0.459079 - 1.080267i$	$0.447096 - 1.087917i$	$0.679860 - 0.931860i$	$0.453920 - 1.085471i$
3	$0.406517 - 1.576596i$	$0.379277 - 1.585328i$	$0.898879 - 1.342045i$	$0.400556 - 1.584962i$
4	$0.370218 - 2.081524i$	$0.319572 - 2.090056i$	$1.144760 - 1.754668i$	$0.363661 - 2.093076i$
5	$0.344154 - 2.588239i$	$0.256746 - 2.595787i$	$1.404472 - 2.162571i$	$0.337075 - 2.602974i$
6	$0.324452 - 3.094880i$	$0.164957 - 3.102742i$	$1.671835 - 2.566564i$	$0.316883 - 3.112805i$
$s = 1, \ell = 1$				
0	$0.496527 - 0.184975i$	$0.497286 - 0.186510i$	$0.499888 - 0.184026i$	$0.493495 - 0.185416i$
1	$0.429031 - 0.587335i$	$0.425875 - 0.591467i$	$0.477337 - 0.570306i$	$0.424652 - 0.589368i$
2	$0.349547 - 1.050375i$	$0.337877 - 1.056161i$	$0.579548 - 0.976294i$	$0.343812 - 1.055308i$
3	$0.292353 - 1.543818i$	$0.267031 - 1.550075i$	$0.783696 - 1.404047i$	$0.285850 - 1.552058i$
4	$0.253108 - 2.045101i$	$0.208316 - 2.050006i$	$1.019914 - 1.827973i$	$0.246129 - 2.056707i$
5	$0.224506 - 2.547851i$	$0.153282 - 2.548313i$	$1.270965 - 2.244820i$	$0.217204 - 2.562872i$
6	$0.202429 - 3.050533i$	$0.096081 - 3.040733i$	$1.530215 - 2.656275i$	$0.194916 - 3.069024i$
$s = 0, \ell = 2$				
0	$0.967288 - 0.193518i$	$0.970301 - 0.195678i$	$0.968930 - 0.193534i$	$0.962830 - 0.194098i$
1	$0.927701 - 0.591208i$	$0.927929 - 0.597500i$	$0.890084 - 0.593171i$	$0.922453 - 0.593168i$
2	$0.861088 - 1.017117i$	$0.855454 - 1.027058i$	$1.115236 - 1.148286i$	$0.854530 - 1.021068i$
3	$0.787726 - 1.476193i$	$0.772643 - 1.489215i$	$1.320778 - 1.558055i$	$0.779838 - 1.482817i$
4	$0.722598 - 1.959843i$	$0.693764 - 1.975524i$	$1.550726 - 1.970153i$	$0.713655 - 1.969520i$
5	$0.669799 - 2.456822i$	$0.621746 - 2.475224i$	$1.796557 - 2.380874i$	$0.660043 - 2.469663i$
6	$0.627772 - 2.959909i$	$0.552750 - 2.982487i$	$2.052506 - 2.789036i$	$0.617342 - 2.975931i$
$s = 1, \ell = 2$				
0	$0.915191 - 0.190009i$	$0.917791 - 0.192048i$	$0.916726 - 0.189735i$	$0.910635 - 0.190547i$
1	$0.873085 - 0.581420i$	$0.872888 - 0.587320i$	$0.847080 - 0.565277i$	$0.867671 - 0.583268i$
2	$0.802373 - 1.003175i$	$0.796272 - 1.012369i$	$1.060272 - 1.178507i$	$0.795527 - 1.006997i$
3	$0.725190 - 1.460397i$	$0.709557 - 1.472175i$	$1.261355 - 1.595636i$	$0.716892 - 1.466942i$
4	$0.657473 - 1.943219i$	$0.628022 - 1.956894i$	$1.487023 - 2.014416i$	$0.648021 - 1.952893i$
5	$0.602986 - 2.439430i$	$0.554449 - 2.454492i$	$1.728792 - 2.430765i$	$0.592630 - 2.452356i$
6	$0.559690 - 2.941586i$	$0.485002 - 2.958068i$	$1.980785 - 2.843816i$	$0.548569 - 2.957790i$

TABLE I. The dominant mode and first six overtones in the units $r_0 = 2M = 1$ ($\epsilon = 0$) for the Schwarzschild black hole ($a_1 = b_1 = 0$, $T_H^{(0)} = 1/4\pi$), the black hole 1: $a_1 = 0.0001$, $a_2 = -1000$, $a_3 = 1001$, $a_4 = 0$, $b_1 = 0$ ($T_H = 1.0001T_H^{(0)}$), the black hole 2: $a_1 = 0.5$, $a_2 = 100$, $a_3 = 0$, $b_1 = 0$ ($T_H = 1.5T_H^{(0)}$), and the black hole 3 with $\epsilon = -0.01$ ($2M = 1$, $a_1 = b_1 = 0$).

x , as a product of the factor, which diverges at the singular points $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ satisfying the QN boundary conditions, and the Frobenius series expansion $R(x) = x^{-i\omega/2\kappa_g} e^{i\omega r} x^\lambda \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m x^m$, where $\kappa_g > 0$ and λ are determined by substituting the series into (4) and expanding, respectively, at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. By expanding the wavelike equation at $x = 0$, we find the recurrence relation for the coefficients c_m , which can be numerically reduced to the three-terms relation via Gaussian eliminations. Finally, we obtain an equation with the infinite continued fraction with respect to ω . In order to calculate the infinite continued fraction we use the Nollert improvement [20], which was generalized in [21] for an arbitrary number of terms in the recurrence relation (see Sec. 3.4 of [22]). When the singular points of the wavelike

equation appear within the unit circle $|x| < 1$, we employ a sequence of positive real midpoints as described in [23].

In Table I we compare accurate values of seven dominant modes of the Schwarzschild black hole and three modified black holes: two nonmoderate black holes of the same size and mass (black holes 1 and 2), which are characterized by large values of the coefficient a_2 , while the coefficient a_1 can be small (then the Hawking temperature is close to the Schwarzschild one) or large (when the Hawking temperature differs a lot). In both cases the real part of the higher overtones differ significantly from the Schwarzschild spectrum. It is essential that the latter case corresponds to a very small deformation of the effective potential (fig. 1), so that even tiny deformations near the event horizon are sufficient for the outburst of




FIG. 1. Left panel: Effective potentials ($s = 0$, $\ell = 1$, $r_0 = 2M = 1$) for the black hole 2 (blue) and Schwarzschild black hole (red) for comparison. Right panel: difference between the potentials.

overtones. When a_1 is not small, the difference is larger, and the spacing of the imaginary part also differs considerably, leading to quite a large difference already in the second overtone. The fundamental mode and overtones of the moderate black hole 3 change softly, not showing high sensitivity of overtones relatively the lowest mode. There remains the other (a trivial in a sense) case which we did not consider: if the asymptotic parameter ϵ differs a lot from zero, which leads to large deviations of both the fundamental mode and overtones from their Schwarzschild values.

On fig. 2 we show the illustration of the same principle via the near-horizon deformation of the effective potential for gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild black hole by a Pöschl-Teller-like augmentation. The time-domain integration gives similar ringdown profiles for Schwarzschild and deformed potentials, but after the deduction of the fundamental-mode contribution via the Prony method one can see that the amplitude of the first overtone is many orders larger than the echoes produced at late times due to reflection from the Pöschl-Teller-like peak near the event horizon. Thus, the first overtone may be more perspective for probing the event horizon than the still elusive echoes. Another observational perspective of the overtones' outburst is related to the highly probable breakdown of isospectrality in a modified theory of gravity, like it happens for example in the Einstein-dilaton-Gauss-Bonnet and a number of other theories. In [24] it was shown that because of the disentanglement between axial and polar GW parities, the overtones outburst may already occur within the near-future detection range.

Gravitational perturbations of rotating black holes.

The general parametrization of spherically symmetric black holes [14] was extended to the axially-symmetric case in [25]. Here, for illustration, we will consider the near-horizon deformations of the Kerr metric which fall into a particular, more symmetric class of this general parametrization. The parametrized metric includes deformations in the radial and axial directions and has the general form given by eqs. (1, 22, 67) of [26].




FIG. 2. Upper panels: The effective potential and time-domain profile (at $r_* = 0$ corresponding to $r = 10$) for the $\ell = 2$ axial gravitational perturbations of the Schwarzschild ($r_0 = 2M = 1$) black hole (blue) and the potential deformed by a Pöschl-Teller-like augmentation $\delta V = 0.06/\cosh^2(2r_* + 36)$ (red). Lower panel: the time-domain profiles without the dominant-mode contribution, calculated with the Prony method, $\omega_0 \approx 0.7473 - 0.1779i$ for the Schwarzschild black hole and $\omega_0 \approx 0.7622 - 0.1491i$ for the deformed potential. The amplitude, corresponding to the first overtone, is about four orders larger than the amplitude of the echo, appearing at $t \approx 60$ (red).

The wave-like equation has the form [27],

$$\Delta(r)^s(r) \frac{d}{dr} \left(\Delta(r)^{1-s} \frac{dR}{dr} \right) + \left(\frac{K^2(r) - isK(r)\Delta'(r)}{\Delta(r)} + 4is\omega r - \lambda \right) R(r) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $\Delta(r) \equiv (r^2 A(1 - r_0/r) + a^2)(1 - r_0/r)$, $K(r) \equiv (r^2 + a^2)\omega - am$, and λ is the separation constant. Here for the gravitational perturbations we consider $s = -2$. Then we consider ad hoc deformation of the wave-like equation for gravitational perturbations of Kerr black hole via deformations implemented in $A(x)$ (2). Requiring the parameter a_2 to be large, the effective potential will acquire deformation near the event horizon, but remain almost the same at a distance from the black hole. In table II one can see that the same high sensitivity of overtones takes place for the near-horizon deformations of the equation for the gravitational perturbations of rotating black holes.

Conclusions. We have shown that a small deformation of the near-horizon geometry of a black hole leads to

n	Kerr	modified Kerr
0	$0.586017 - 0.075630i$	$0.590393 - 0.075233i$
1	$0.577922 - 0.228149i$	$0.578766 - 0.226295i$
2	$0.562240 - 0.383895i$	$0.548651 - 0.391231i$
3	$0.538956 - 0.542888i$	$0.488262 - 0.616589i$
4	$0.506263 - 0.697962i$	$0.597420 - 0.814367i$
5	$0.486283 - 0.830803i$	$0.709014 - 0.975480i$
6	$0.499165 - 0.983084i$	$0.829156 - 1.138613i$
7	$0.506652 - 1.156708i$	$0.951035 - 1.299293i$

TABLE II. Dominant modes of gravitational perturbations ($\ell = m = 2$) for the Kerr black hole $a = 0.8$, $M = 1$, $r_0 = 1.6$ ($\epsilon = 0.25$) calculated in [28] compared to the modified Kerr ($a_1 = 0.5$, $a_2 = 100$, $a_3 = 0$).

a very strong change of overtones, while the fundamental mode remains almost unchanged. It immediately follows that first few overtones can probe the event horizon geometry which potentially could be seen at the earlier stage of QN ringing [6].

The observed here phenomenon of high sensitivity of

lowest overtones to small deformations of the near horizon geometry is definitely connected with the so called “overtones instability” discussed in [24, 29]. There small perturbations of the effective potential were deformed by a sinusoidal function of the compact coordinate, so that this would correspond to the small deformation of the geometry not only near the event horizon, but in the whole space. While such a setup is suitable in the context of secondary perturbations of linearized gravitational waves, it does not allow one to understand which kind of deformations produce the outburst of overtones, which is the main question of our consideration. Here we have shown that even very small deformations solely near the event horizon are sufficient for such an outburst of overtones.

Our work is also related to recent studies of ad hoc “bump” deformations of the effective potential due to environmental effects [30, 31] at a distance from the black hole, which also destabilize the spectrum at late times, leaving no noticeable imprint in the earlier phase of the ringdown.

Acknowledgments. A. Z. was supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). R. K. thanks support of Czech Science Foundation (GAČR) grant 19-03950S.

-
- [1] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 793 (2011) [arXiv:1102.4014 [gr-qc]];
E. Berti, V. Cardoso and A. O. Starinets, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 163001 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2975 [gr-qc]];
K. D. Kokkotas and B. G. Schmidt, *Living Rev. Rel.* **2**, 2 (1999) [gr-qc/9909058];
H. P. Nollert, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16**, R159 (1999).
- [2] V. Cardoso, E. Franzin and P. Pani, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, no.17, 171101 (2016) [erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, no.8, 089902 (2016)] [arXiv:1602.07309 [gr-qc]].
- [3] S. Chakraborty, E. Maggio, A. Mazumdar and P. Pani, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, no.2, 024041 (2022) [arXiv:2202.09111 [gr-qc]].
- [4] T. Damour and S. N. Solodukhin, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 024016 (2007) [arXiv:0704.2667 [gr-qc]].
- [5] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *JCAP* **12**, 043 (2016) [arXiv:1606.00517 [gr-qc]].
- [6] M. Giesler, M. Isi, M. A. Scheel and S. Teukolsky, *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, no.4, 041060 (2019) [arXiv:1903.08284 [gr-qc]].
- [7] N. Oshita, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.12, 12 (2021) [arXiv:2109.09757 [gr-qc]].
- [8] X. J. Forteza and P. Mourier, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.12, 124072 (2021) [arXiv:2107.11829 [gr-qc]].
- [9] N. Oshita, [arXiv:2208.02923 [gr-qc]].
- [10] P. Kocherlakota *et al.* [Event Horizon Telescope], *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.10, 104047 (2021) [arXiv:2105.09343 [gr-qc]].
- [11] R. A. Konoplya, A. F. Zinhailo, J. Kunz, Z. Stuchlik and A. Zhidenko, [arXiv:2206.14714 [gr-qc]].
- [12] M. H. Y. Cheung, V. Baibhav, E. Berti, V. Cardoso, G. Carullo, R. Cotesta, W. Del Pozzo, F. Duque, T. Helfer and E. Shukla, *et al.* [arXiv:2208.07374 [gr-qc]].
- [13] K. Mitman, M. Lagos, L. C. Stein, S. Ma, L. Hui, Y. Chen, N. Deppe, F. Hébert, L. E. Kidder and J. Moxon, *et al.* [arXiv:2208.07380 [gr-qc]].
- [14] L. Rezzolla and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no.8, 084009 (2014) [arXiv:1407.3086 [gr-qc]].
- [15] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no.12, 124004 (2020) [arXiv:2001.06100 [gr-qc]].
- [16] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.10, 104033 (2021) [arXiv:2103.03855 [gr-qc]].
- [17] C. Gundlach, R. H. Price and J. Pullin, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 883-889 (1994) [arXiv:gr-qc/9307009 [gr-qc]].
- [18] Hauer, J.F.; Demeure, C.J.; Scharf, L.L. (1990). “Initial results in Prony analysis of power system response signals”. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 5: 80–89.
- [19] E. W. Leaver, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **402**, 285-298 (1985).
- [20] H. P. Nollert, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 5253-5258 (1993)
- [21] A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 064017 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0607133 [gr-qc]].
- [22] A. Zhidenko, [arXiv:0903.3555 [gr-qc]].
- [23] A. Rostworowski, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **38**, 81-89 (2007) [arXiv:gr-qc/0606110 [gr-qc]].
- [24] J. L. Jaramillo, R. Panosso Macedo and L. A. Sheikh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, no.21, 211102 (2022) [arXiv:2105.03451 [gr-qc]].
- [25] R. Konoplya, L. Rezzolla and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, no.6, 064015 (2016) [arXiv:1602.02378 [gr-qc]].
- [26] R. A. Konoplya, Z. Stuchlik and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, no.8, 084044 (2018) [arXiv:1801.07195 [gr-qc]].
- [27] P. Kanti, R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 064008 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0607048 [gr-qc]].
- [28] E. Berti, V. Cardoso and C. M. Will, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 064030 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0512160 [gr-qc]].
- [29] J. L. Jaramillo, R. Panosso Macedo and L. Al Sheikh,

- Phys. Rev. X **11**, no.3, 031003 (2021) [arXiv:2004.06434 [gr-qc]].
- [30] M. H. Y. Cheung, K. Destounis, R. P. Macedo, E. Berti and V. Cardoso, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, no.11, 111103 (2022) [arXiv:2111.05415 [gr-qc]].
- [31] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, M. H. Y. Cheung, F. Di Filippo, F. Duque, P. Martens and S. Mukohyama, [arXiv:2205.08547 [gr-qc]].