

A Novel Nearest Neighbors Algorithm Based on Power Muirhead Mean

Kouros Shahnazari^{1*†} and Seyed Moein Ayyoubzadeh^{1*†}

^{1*}Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail(s): kouros@aut.ac.ir; s.m.ayyoubzadeh@aut.ac.ir;

Abstract

K-Nearest Neighbors algorithm is one of the most used classifiers in terms of simplicity and performance. Although, when a dataset has many outliers or when it is small or unbalanced, KNN doesn't work well. This paper aims to propose a novel classifier, based on K-Nearest Neighbors which calculates the local means of every class using the Power Muirhead Mean operator to overcome alluded issues. We called our new algorithm Power Muirhead Mean K-Nearest Neighbors (PMM-KNN). Eventually, we used five well-known datasets to assess PMM-KNN performance. The research results demonstrate that the PMM-KNN has outperformed three state-of-the-art classification methods in all experiments.

Keywords: Machine Learning, K-Nearest Neighbors, Power Muirhead Mean

1 Introduction

Numerous domains, including pattern recognition and artificial intelligence, statistics, cognitive psychology, vision analysis, and medicine, rely on object classification for their research and practical applications.

The K-Nearest Neighbors(KNN) classifier tackles the problem of classification by first determining the distance between a new sample to be classified and the training samples, then observing the k nearest neighbors for the new sample, and finally deciding whether or not the new sample belongs to the class that shares the most neighbors with the new sample. [1]

Typically, KNN is a useful classifier, but it is worth mentioning that, because the parametric form of the density function cannot be assumed, non-parametric classifiers need a large number of samples. When working with a tiny sample size, this might be a serious problem [2–4].

Moreover, a well-known problem with KNN classifiers is that they are susceptible to outliers that distort the class distribution.[4]

The majority voting principle is the foundation of KNN, which determines the class of a new sample by looking at its closest neighbors and the class that constitutes the majority of those neighbors. In the case that a data set is obviously unbalanced, one of the drawbacks of the majority voting principle is that the classified samples of the class or classes that have a large number of samples have a tendency to dominate the prediction of the new sample. This is simply due to the fact that they are frequently more numerous among the k nearest neighbors.[5]

The Muirhead Mean (MM), first introduced by Muirhead in 1902, is a generic aggregation function. It can be defined as a function of means and has been used in various applications owing to its ability to acknowledge interrelationships. [6]

In this research, we offer a method for overcoming the limitations of the KNN classifier by using the Power Muirhead Mean of K nearest neighbors for each class separately.

2 Literature Review

This section summarizes the theoretical foundations of the KNN classifier, Muirhead Mean(MM) Operator, Power Average(PA), and Power Muirhead Mean(PMM).

2.1 K-Nearest Neighbors Classifier

The classic KNN algorithm is one of the earliest and most basic techniques for classifying patterns. In spite of this, it often produces competitive outcomes, and in particular fields, when supplemented with previous knowledge, it has greatly improved the state of the art. The KNN rule classifies each unlabeled instance according to the majority label of its k -nearest neighbors in the training set. Therefore, its success is highly dependent on the distance measure used to locate the closest neighbors. In the absence of previous information, the majority of KNN classifiers utilize a basic Euclidean metric to quantify dissimilarities across vector input instances. The following equation describes Euclidean distance. [7]

$$d(V, M) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (V_i - M_i)^2} \quad (1)$$

where we define vectors $V = (V_1, V_2, V_3, \dots, V_n)$, $M = (M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots, M_n)$, n is the dimensionality of the vector input, namely, the number of examples' attributes. The smaller $d(x_i, x_j)$ are the two examples that are more similar. A test sample's class label is chosen by the majority vote of its k closest neighbors.

$$y(d_i) = \arg \max_k \sum_{x_j \in KNN} y(x_j, c_k) \tag{2}$$

where d_i is a test example, x_j is one of its k nearest neighbors in the training set, $y(x_j, c_k)$ indicates that whether x_j belongs to class c_k . Equation (2) means that the prediction will be the class having most members in the k nearest neighbors. [7]

2.2 Muirhead Mean Operator

The Muirhead Mean Operator provides a general aggregation function, and it is defined as follows:

Let $\alpha_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of nonnegative real numbers, and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in R_n$ be a vector of parameters. [8, 9]

$$MM^P(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \left(\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\vartheta \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_{\vartheta(j)}^{p_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \tag{3}$$

We call MM^P the Muirhead mean (MM), where $\vartheta(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ is any a permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, and S_n is the collection of all permutations of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$. [9]

Moreover, from Eq (3), we can know:

1. If $P = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, the MM reduces to $MM^{(1,0,\dots,0)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j$ which is the arithmetic averaging operator.
2. If $P = (1/n, 1/n, \dots, 1/n)$, the MM reduces to $MM^{(1/n,1/n,\dots,1/n)} = \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{1/n}$ which is the geometric averaging operator.
3. If $P = (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$, the MM reduces to $MM^{(1,1,0,0,\dots,0)} = \left(\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ which is the Bonferroni mean operator. [10]
4. If $P = (\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^k, \overbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}^{n-k})$, the MM reduces to $MM^{(\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^k, \overbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}^{n-k})} = \left(\frac{\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \alpha_{i_j}}{C_n^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$ which is the Maclaurin symmetric mean operator. [11]

From the Eq (3) and the special cases of MM operator mentioned above, we can know that the advantage of the MM operator is that it can capture the overall interrelationships among the multiple aggregated arguments and it is a generalization of most existing aggregation operators. [9]

2.3 Power Average

PA operator was introduced for the first time by Yager [12] for crisp numbers. The most significant benefit of the PA operator is its ability to mitigate the adverse impact of excessively high and low arguments on the final findings.

Let $\alpha_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of numbers. The PA operator is expressed as follows:

$$PA(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{(1 + T(\alpha_i))\alpha_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n (1 + T(\alpha_j))} \right) \quad (4)$$

where $T(\alpha_i) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n Sup(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ and $Sup(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ is the support for α_i and α_j , satisfying the following properties:

1. $Sup(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in [0, 1]$,
2. $Sup(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = Sup(\alpha_j, \alpha_i)$,
3. If $d(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) < d(\alpha_i, \alpha_k)$, then $Sup(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) > Sup(\alpha_i, \alpha_k)$, where $d(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ is the distance between α_i and α_j .

For simplicity, we'll refer to the above properties as Property 1. [13]

2.4 Power Muirhead Mean

Li et al [13] proposed the Power Muirhead Mean by combining PA with MM.

Let $\alpha_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of numbers, and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in R^n$ be a vector of parameters. Then, PMM is defined as follows:

$$PMM^P(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \left(\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\vartheta \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{n(1 + T(\alpha_{\vartheta(i)}))\alpha_{\vartheta(i)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (1 + T(\alpha_j))} \right)^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}} \quad (5)$$

where $T(\alpha_i) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n Sup(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ and $Sup(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ is the support for α_i and α_j , satisfying the Property 1. [13]

3 Methodology

In this section, we have introduced a new version of KNN which uses PMM to overcome the alluded issues of naïve KNN.

3.1 K-Nearest Neighbors based on Power Muirhead Mean

The idea behind this method is to use local means of K-Nearest Neighbors of each class by Power Muirhead Mean operator. Just like KNN, this method starts by calculating the Euclidean distance between the test sample and labeled data. Then, we sort data in terms of their distances and categorize them based on their classes. Next, we calculate the local mean for each category using PMM. Again, we determine the distances between centroids and the sample data. Finally, we assign the sample data the label that corresponds to the centroid which has the minimum distance.

Algorithm 1 PMM-KNN

Input: $\{x_i, c_i\}_{i=1}^N$ (Labeled Data)
 q (Query Sample)
 k ($1 \leq k \leq N$) (Parameter of KNN)
 $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)$ (PMM Parameters)

Output: y (The Label for Query Sample q)

```

1: for  $i=1$  to  $N$  do
2:    $dist_{c_i}[x_i] = d_{EUC}(q, x_i)$ 
3: end for
4: for  $c$  in classes do
5:   Sort  $dist_c$  by value
6:    $dist_c =$  First  $k$  elements of  $dist_c$ 
7:   Calculate  $cent_c$  by applying Eq. 5 on  $dist_c$  keys
8:    $cDist[c] = d_{EUC}(q, cent_c)$ 
9: end for
10: return  $\arg \min_c cDist$ 

```

4 Data And Testing

In this section, we will discuss the datasets that we have chosen to use as our benchmarks, and then we will explain the assessment approach that we have chosen for our model.

4.1 Datasets

In this research, we have used five real-world datasets, which have shown in Table 1.

4.2 Performance Assessment

Next, we are going to briefly introduce the criteria that have been used to measure our model performance. As we know, the proportion of true predictions

Table 1 All datasets used for evaluation of the PMM-KNN model.

<i>Dataset</i>	<i>Description</i>
Iris Dataset	The dataset contain 150 sample data in it. The dataset has three classes of data that are Setosa, Versicolor and Virginica each having 50 sample data.
Breast Cancer Wisconsin	Wisconsin-Breast Cancer (Diagnostics) dataset (WBC) from UCI machine learning repository is a classification dataset, which records the measurements for breast cancer cases. There are two classes, benign and malignant. The malignant class of this dataset is downsampled to 21 points, which are considered as outliers, while points in the benign class are considered inliers.
Digits	The original optical recognition of handwritten digits dataset from UCI machine learning repository is a multi-class classification dataset. The instances of digits 1-9 are inliers and instances of digit 0 are down-sampled to 150 outliers.
Landsat Satellite (Statlog)	The original Statlog (Landsat Satellite) dataset from UCI machine learning repository is a multi-class classification dataset. Here, the training and test data are combined. The smallest three classes, i.e. 2, 4, 5 are combined to form the outliers class, while all the other classes are combined to form an inlier class.
EEG Eye State	The data set consists of 14 EEG values and a value indicating the eye state. All data is from one continuous EEG measurement with the Emotiv EEG Neuroheadset. The duration of the measurement was 117 seconds. The eye state was detected via a camera during the EEG measurement and added later manually to the file after analyzing the video frames. '1' indicates the eye-closed and '0' the eye-open state. All values are in chronological order with the first measured value at the top of the data.

to all predictions named *Accuracy* can not describe our model solus. Therefore, we have introduced two other assessments consisting of *Specificity* and *Sensitivity*.

4.2.1 Specificity and Sensitivity

The ability of a model to correctly identify true positives in each of the given categories is measured by the parameter known as Sensitivity. A model's capacity to assess and forecast the true negatives of each accessible category is referred to as its specificity. All category models may benefit from using these measures. The equation that needs to be used to calculate these metrics is provided down below. As we need to define positive and negative classes, we should select all possible pairs from classes and assign "positive" and "negative" labels to them. Then, a *True Positive (TP)* is a result for which the model accurately predicted the positive class. A *True Negative (TN)* is a result in which the model accurately predicts the negative class. *False Positives (FP)* are outcomes in which the model forecasts the positive class inaccurately, and finally, a *False Negative (FN)* is an outcome where the model incorrectly predicts the negative class.

Table 2 Datasets evaluation results

Dataset	Measure	PMM-KNN	GNB	SVM	KNN
Iris Dataset	Accuracy	0.980	0.953	0.973	0.966
	Sensitivity	0.970	0.946	0.964	0.957
	Specificity	0.990	0.973	0.986	0.981
Breast Cancer	Accuracy	0.945	0.938	0.910	0.934
	Sensitivity	0.963	0.959	0.978	0.961
	Specificity	0.908	0.888	0.794	0.888
Digits	Accuracy	0.993	0.838	0.987	0.986
	Sensitivity	0.999	0.834	0.988	0.986
	Specificity	0.993	0.982	0.998	0.998
Landsat Satellite	Accuracy	0.922	0.795	0.896	0.894
	Sensitivity	0.899	0.784	0.869	0.868
	Specificity	0.984	0.959	0.978	0.978
EEG Eye State	Accuracy	0.978	0.458	0.551	0.941
	Sensitivity	0.977	0.942	0.000	0.922
	Specificity	0.982	0.063	1.000	0.956

$$Sensitivity = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (6)$$

$$Specificity = \frac{TN}{FP + TN} \quad (7)$$

5 Results

In this section, we will discuss the experiment results and analysis of the proposed method.

We have compared the performance of the PMM-KNN method with some of the state-of-the-art classification methods including K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Naïve Bayes (NB). First, we split our datasets into the train, and test sections. Then, we used the same training and testing data to evaluate the aforementioned classifiers. Next, we calculate the mean accuracy for them using 10-fold cross-validation. It is worth mentioning that we have tuned the PMM-KNN parameters. Although, for simplicity, we considered the P vector as ones chain with the length of p . Also, we have chosen Eq. 8 as the Support function.

$$Sup(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \frac{1}{1 + d(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} \quad (8)$$

The results are reported in Table 2.

As can be seen in the results, the PMM-KNN method has shown a good performance. In all of the experiments, the PMM-KNN has had better performance than KNN. In most cases, our proposed method outperformed the aforementioned algorithms.

6 Conclusion

The Power Muirhead Mean K-Nearest Neighbors algorithm has been proposed and investigated in this paper and has shown a great performance. As we mentioned, this algorithm is based on K-Nearest Neighbor method which uses the Power Muirhead Mean operator for calculating the local means of K-nearest neighbors in each class to the query sample. By using this strategy, we have resolved a portion of the KNN problems to a certain degree.

7 Declarations

Data Availability The datasets analyzed during the current study are available in the following links:

- Iris Dataset ;
- Breast Cancer Wisconsin ;
- Digits ;
- Landsat Satellite ;
- EEG Eye State

Competing interests All authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest or non-financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

References

- [1] Keller JM, Gray MR, Givens JA. A fuzzy K-nearest neighbor algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*. 1985;SMC-15(4):580–585. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1985.6313426>.
- [2] Raudys SJ, Jain AK, et al. Small sample size effects in statistical pattern recognition: Recommendations for practitioners. *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*. 1991;13(3):252–264.
- [3] Cover T, Hart P. Nearest neighbor pattern classification. *IEEE transactions on information theory*. 1967;13(1):21–27.
- [4] Fukunaga K. *Introduction to statistical pattern recognition*. Elsevier; 2013.

- [5] Coomans D, Massart DL. Alternative k-nearest neighbour rules in supervised pattern recognition: Part 1. k-Nearest neighbour classification by using alternative voting rules. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 1982;136:15–27.
- [6] Liu P, Teng F. Some Muirhead mean operators for probabilistic linguistic term sets and their applications to multiple attribute decision-making. *Applied Soft Computing*. 2018;68:396–431.
- [7] Sun S, Huang R. An adaptive k-nearest neighbor algorithm. In: 2010 seventh international conference on fuzzy systems and knowledge discovery. vol. 1. IEEE; 2010. p. 91–94.
- [8] Muirhead RF. Some methods applicable to identities and inequalities of symmetric algebraic functions of n letters. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*. 1902;21:144–162.
- [9] Liu P, Li D. Some Muirhead mean operators for intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and their applications to group decision making. *PloS one*. 2017;12(1):e0168767.
- [10] Bonferroni C. Sulle medie multiple di potenze. *Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana*. 1950;5(3-4):267–270.
- [11] Maclaurin C. A second letter to Martin Folkes, Esq.; concerning the roots of equations, with demonstration of other rules of algebra. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond Ser A*. 1729;1729(36):59–96.
- [12] Yager RR. The power average operator. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics-Part A: Systems and Humans*. 2001;31(6):724–731.
- [13] Li L, Zhang R, Wang J, Zhu X, Xing Y. Pythagorean fuzzy power Muirhead mean operators with their application to multi-attribute decision making. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*. 2018;35(2):2035–2050.