

PRODUCT MANIFOLDS AND THE CURVATURE OPERATOR OF THE SECOND KIND

XIAOLONG LI

ABSTRACT. We investigate the curvature operator of the second kind on product Riemannian manifolds and obtain some optimal rigidity results. For instance, we prove that the universal cover of an n -dimensional non-flat complete locally reducible Riemannian manifold with $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -nonnegative (respectively, $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -nonpositive) curvature operator of the second kind must be isometric to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{H}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$) up to scaling. We also prove analogous optimal rigidity results for $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{H}^{n_2}$, $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$, among product Riemannian manifolds, as well as for $\mathbb{CP}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{CP}^{m_2}$ and $\mathbb{CH}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{CH}^{m_2}$, $m_1, m_2 \geq 1$, among product Kähler manifolds. Our approach is pointwise and algebraic.

1. INTRODUCTION

On a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , the *curvature operator of the second kind* at $p \in M$ refers to the symmetric bilinear form $\mathring{R} : S_0^2(T_p M) \times S_0^2(T_p M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mathring{R}(\varphi, \psi) = R_{ijkl} \varphi_{il} \psi_{jk},$$

where $S_0^2(T_p M)$ is the space of traceless symmetric two-tensors on $T_p M$. The terminology is due to Nishikawa [Nis86]. Early works studying this notion of curvature operator are [BK78], [OT79], [Nis86] and [Kas93].

In the past year, the notion of the curvature operator of the second kind has received much attention. See the recent works [CGT21], [Li21, Li22b, Li22c, Li22a], [NPW22] and [NPWW22]. In particular, the longstanding conjecture of Nishikawa [Nis86], which asserts that a closed Riemannian manifold with positive curvature operator of the second kind is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form and a closed Riemannian manifold with nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind is diffeomorphic to a Riemannian locally symmetric space, has been resolved in [CGT21], [Li21] and [NPW22], under weaker assumptions but with stronger conclusions. More precisely, it is known now that

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$.*

- (1) *If (M^n, g) has three-positive curvature operator of the second kind, then M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C20, 53C21, 53C24.

Key words and phrases. Curvature operator of the second kind, differentiable sphere theorem, rigidity theorems.

The author's research is partially supported by Simons Collaboration Grant #962228 and a start-up grant at Wichita State University.

- (2) If (M^n, g) has three-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, then M is either flat or diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.

The key observation in [CGT21] is that two-positive curvature operator of the second kind implies strictly PIC1 (i.e. $M \times \mathbb{R}$ has positive isotropic curvature). This is sufficient to solve the positive case of Nishikawa's conjecture, as one can appeal to a result of Brendle [Bre08] stating that the Ricci flow on a compact manifold starting with a strictly PIC1 metric exists for all time and converge to a limit metric with constant positive sectional curvature. Shortly after, the author showed that strictly PIC1 is implied by three-positivity of the curvature operator of the second kind, thus getting an improvement of the result in [CGT21]. To deal with the nonnegative case, the author [Li21] reduces the problem to the locally irreducible case by proving that a complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with n -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind is either flat or locally irreducible (see also Theorem 1.6 for an improvement of this result). Finally, Kähler manifolds are ruled out using [Li21, Theorem 1.9] (see also [Li22a] for an improvement) and irreducible symmetric spaces are ruled out using [NPW22, Theorem A]. We refer the reader to [Li22c] or [Li22a] for a detailed account on the notion of the curvature operator of the second kind, as well as some recent developments.

The aim of this article is to study the curvature operator of the second kind on product manifolds and obtain some optimal rigidity results. We first recall the following definition. For $\alpha \in [1, \frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}]$, we say (M^n, g) has α -positive (respectively, α -nonnegative) curvature operator of the second kind for if for any $p \in M$ and any orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}}$ of $S_0^2(T_p M)$, it holds that

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor} \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + (\alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor) \mathring{R}(\varphi_{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor + 1}, \varphi_{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor + 1}) > (\text{respectively, } \geq) 0.$$

Here and in the rest of this article, $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the floor function defined by

$$\lfloor x \rfloor := \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z} : m \leq x\}.$$

When $\alpha = k$ is an integer, this reduces to the usual definition, which means the sum of the smallest k eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_j)$ is positive/nonnegative for any orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of $S_0^2(T_p M)$. Similarly, (M^n, g) is said to have α -negative (respectively, α -nonpositive) if the direction of the inequality (1.1) is reversed.

The first main result of this article is the following rigidity result for $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Here and in the rest of this article, \mathbb{S}^n and \mathbb{H}^n , $n \geq 2$, denote the k -dimensional sphere and hyperbolic space with constant sectional curvature 1 and -1 , respectively.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M^n, g) be a nonflat complete locally reducible Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$.*

- (1) *If M has $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, then the universal cover of M is, up to scaling, isometric to the $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$.*
- (2) *If M has $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind, then the universal cover of M is, up to scaling, isometric to the $\mathbb{H}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Closely related is the following holonomy restriction theorem in the spirit of [NPWW22].

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M^n, g) be a (not necessarily complete) Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Suppose that (M, g) has α -nonnegative or α -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind for some $\alpha < n + \frac{n-2}{n}$. Then either M is flat or the restricted holonomy of M is $\mathrm{SO}(n)$.*

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 improve previous results obtained in [Li21] and [NPWW22]. The author [Li21, Theorem 1.8] proved that an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with n -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind is either flat or locally reducible. This result plays a significant role in resolving the nonnegative part of Nishikawa's conjecture in [Li21], as it allows one to reduce the problem to the locally irreducible setting. Moreover, a slight modification of the proof yields the same conclusion under n -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind. The method used in [Li21] is pointwise and algebraic. In [NPWW22], it is shown that if the curvature operator of the second kind of an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold, not necessarily complete, is n -nonnegative or n -nonpositive, then either the restricted holonomy of M is $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ or M is flat. This is a generalization of the author's result in [Li21]. The approach of [NPWW22] is local and the key idea is that unless the restricted holonomy is generic, there exists a parallel form, at least locally on the manifold, but on the other hand, Bochner technique with the curvature assumption implies that no such local parallel form exists unless the manifold is flat. Theorem 1.3 can also be viewed as supporting evidence of the author's conjecture in [Li22c]: a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -positive curvature operator of the second kind is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.

We would like to point out that the number $n + \frac{n-2}{n}$ in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 is optimal in all dimensions, in view of the fact that $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ have $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -nonnegative and $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind, respectively, and they both have restricted holonomy $\mathrm{SO}(n-1)$. In dimension four, \mathbb{CP}^2 and \mathbb{CH}^2 have $4\frac{1}{2}$ -nonnegative and $4\frac{1}{2}$ -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind, respectively, and they both have restricted holonomy $\mathrm{U}(2)$.

As a generalization of Theorem 1.1, the author proved in [Li22c] that a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$ with $4\frac{1}{2}$ -positive curvature operator of the second kind is homeomorphic to a spherical space form. This is obtained by showing that $4\frac{1}{2}$ -positive curvature operator of the second kind implies positive isotropic curvature and $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -positive curvature operator of the second kind implies positive Ricci curvature, and then making use of the work of Micallef and Moore [MM88]. A classification result of closed manifolds with $4\frac{1}{2}$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind was also obtained in [Li22c, Theorem 1.4]. Using Theorem 1.2, together with [Li22a, Theorem 1.2] and [NPW22, Theorem B], we get an improvement of [Li22c, Theorem 1.4].

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed non-flat Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$. Suppose that M has $4\frac{1}{2}$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, then one of the following statements holds:*

- (1) M is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic if either $n = 4$ or $n \geq 12$) to a spherical space form;
- (2) $n = 4$ and M is isometric to \mathbb{CP}^2 with Fubini-Study metric up to scaling;
- (3) $n = 4$ and the universal cover of M is isometric to $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ up to scaling.

Our second main result is about the rigidity of $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{H}^{n_2}$ among product Riemannian manifolds.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $(M_i^{n_i}, g_i)$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n_i \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2$, and let $(M^{n_1+n_2}, g) = (M_1^{n_1} \times M_2^{n_2}, g_1 \oplus g_2)$. Set*

$$(1.2) \quad A_{n_1, n_2} := 1 + n_1 n_2 + \frac{n_1(n_2 - 1) + n_2(n_1 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2}.$$

Then

- (1) *If M has α -nonnegative or α -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind for some $\alpha < A_{n_1, n_2}$, then M is flat.*
- (2) *If M has A_{n_1, n_2} -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, then both M_1 and M_2 have constant sectional curvature $c \geq 0$.*
- (3) *If M has A_{n_1, n_2} -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind, then both M_1 and M_2 have constant sectional curvature $c \leq 0$.*

If M is further assumed to be complete and nonflat, then the universal cover of M is isometric to $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2}$ in part (2) and $\mathbb{H}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{H}^{n_2}$ in part (3), up to scaling.

The author proved in [Li21, Proposition 5.1] that an n -manifold with $(k(n - k) + 1)$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind cannot split off a k -dimensional factor with $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, unless it is flat. The number $k(n - k) + 1$ is only optimal for some special n and k . Combining Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.5, we get the following generalization, which is optimal for any n and $1 \leq k \leq n/2$.

Theorem 1.6. *An n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with α -nonnegative or α -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind for some*

$$\alpha < k(n - k) + \frac{2k(n - k)}{n}$$

cannot locally split off a k -dimensional factor with $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, unless it is flat.

In another direction, the curvature operator of the second kind has been investigated for Kähler manifolds in [BK78], [Li21, Li22b, Li22a] and [NPWW22]. For instance, it was shown in [Li22a] that m -dimensional Kähler manifolds with $\frac{3}{2}(m^2 - 1)$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind have constant nonnegative holomorphic sectional curvature, and a closed m -dimensional Kähler manifold with $\left(\frac{3m^3 - m + 2}{2m}\right)$ -positive curvature operator of the second kind has positive orthogonal bisectional curvature, thus being biholomorphic to \mathbb{CP}^m . Here we prove the following rigidity result for $\mathbb{CP}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{CP}^{m_2}$ and $\mathbb{CH}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{CH}^{m_2}$ (all equipped with their standard metrics).

Theorem 1.7. *Let $(M_i^{m_i}, g_i)$ be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension $m_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, and let $(M^{m_1+m_2}, g) = (M_1^{m_1} \times M_2^{m_2}, g_1 \oplus g_2)$. Set*

$$(1.3) \quad B_{m_1, m_2} := 4m_1 m_2 + \frac{3}{2}(m_1^2 + m_2^2) + \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}.$$

Then

- (1) If M has α -nonnegative or α -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind for some $\alpha < B_{m_1, m_2}$, then M is flat.
- (2) If M has B_{m_1, m_2} -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, then both M_1 and M_2 have constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c \geq 0$.
- (3) If M has B_{m_1, m_2} -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind, then both M_1 and M_2 have constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c \leq 0$.

If M is further assumed to be complete and nonflat, then the universal cover of M is isometric to $\mathbb{CP}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{CP}^{m_2}$ in part (2) and $\mathbb{CH}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{CH}^{m_2}$ in part (3), up to scaling.

Our investigation of the curvature operator of the second kind on product manifolds is motivated not only by the above-mentioned optimal rigidity results, but also by the fact that the spectrum of \mathring{R} are known only for a few examples: space forms with constant sectional curvature, Kähler and quaternion-Kähler space forms ([BK78]), $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ ([CGT21]), $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ ([Li21]), $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q$ ([NPWW22]). In the present paper, we determine the spectrum of \mathring{R} for a class of product manifold by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.8. *Let (M_i, g_i) be an n_i -dimensional Einstein manifold with $\text{Ric}(g_i) = \rho_i g_i$ and $n_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Denote by \mathring{R}_i the curvature operator of the second kind of M_i for $i = 1, 2$, and \mathring{R} the curvature operator of the second kind of the product manifold*

$$(M^{n_1+n_2}, g) = (M_1^{n_1} \times M_2^{n_2}, g_1 \oplus g_2).$$

Then the eigenvalues of \mathring{R} are precisely those of \mathring{R}_1 and \mathring{R}_2 , and 0 with multiplicity $n_1 n_2$, and $-\frac{n_1 \rho_2 + n_2 \rho_1}{n_1 + n_2}$ with multiplicity one.

Theorem 1.8 enables us to determine the spectrum of the curvature operator of the second kind on $(M_1, g_1) \times (M_2, g_2)$, with (M_i, g_i) being either a space form with constant sectional curvature or a Kähler space form with constant holomorphic sectional curvature for $i = 1, 2$. Examples are listed at the end of Section 2. More generally, Theorem 1.8 can be applied repeatedly to calculate the spectrum of \mathring{R} for product manifolds of the form $(M_1, g_1) \times \cdots \times (M_k, g_k)$, provided that each (M_i, g_i) is Einstein and the eigenvalues of \mathring{R}_i on M_i are known.

Let's discuss the strategy of our proofs. The key idea to prove Theorems 1.2, 1.5 and 1.7 is to use the corresponding borderline example, such as $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2}$ or $\mathbb{CP}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{CP}^{m_2}$, as a model space and apply \mathring{R} to the eigenvectors of the curvature operator of the second kind on the model space. This idea has been successfully employed by the author in [Li22c] with \mathbb{CP}^2 and $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ as model spaces, in [Li22b] with $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ as the model space and in [Li22a] with \mathbb{CP}^m and $\mathbb{CP}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ as model spaces. With the right choice of model space, this strategy leads to optimal results as the inequalities are all achieved as equalities on the model space. Theorem 1.6 is essentially a consequence of Theorems 1.2 and 1.5. The proof of Theorem 1.3 uses Berger's classification of restricted holonomy groups, together with Propositions 3.1 and 4.1, and results in [Li22a] and [NPWW22]. The proof of Theorem 1.8 relies on the fact that when both factor are Einstein, we can choose an orthonormal basis of the space of traceless symmetric two-tensors that diagonalizes the curvature operator of the second kind on the product manifold.

At last, we emphasize that our approach is of pointwise nature, and therefore, many of our results are of pointwise nature and the completeness of the metric is not required. Another feature is that our proofs are purely algebraic and work equally well for nonpositivity conditions on \hat{R} .

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the curvature operator of the second kind on product Riemannian manifolds and prove Theorem 1.8. We present the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 in Section 3. The proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 are given in Section 4. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.3. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Throughout this paper, all manifolds are assumed to be connected. We use the same notations and conventions as in [Li21] or [Li22c] or [Li22a].

2. PRODUCT MANIFOLDS

In this section, we study the curvature operator of the second kind on product Riemannian manifolds and prove Theorem 1.8.

Recall that for Riemannian manifolds (M_1, g_1) and (M_2, g_2) , the product metric $g_1 \oplus g_2$ on $M_1 \times M_2$ is defined by

$$g(X_1 + X_2, Y_1 + Y_2) = g_1(X_1, Y_1) + g_2(X_2, Y_2)$$

for $X_i, Y_i \in T_{p_i} M_i$ under the natural identification

$$T_{(p_1, p_2)}(M_1 \times M_2) = T_{p_1} M_1 \oplus T_{p_2} M_2.$$

Let R denote the Riemann curvature tensor of $M = M_1 \times M_2$, and R_1, R_2 denote the Riemann curvature tensor of M_1 and M_2 , respectively. Then one can relate R , R_1 and R_2 by

$$\begin{aligned} & R(X_1 + X_2, Y_1 + Y_2, Z_1 + Z_2, W_1 + W_2) \\ &= R_1(X_1, Y_1, Z_1, W_1) + R_2(X_2, Y_2, Z_2, W_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $X_i, Y_i, Z_i, W_i \in TM_i$. As the reader will see, the above equation, which is a consequence of the product structure, plays a significant role in this section.

From now on, let's focus on a single point in a product manifold and work in an purely algebraic way. For $i = 1, 2$, let (V_i, g_i) be an Euclidean vector space of dimension $n_i \geq 1$. The product space $V = V_1 \times V_2$ will be naturally identified with $V_1 \oplus V_2$ via the isomorphism $(X_1, X_2) \rightarrow X_1 + X_2$ for $X_i \in V_i$. The product metric on V , denoted by $g = g_1 \oplus g_2$, is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad g(X_1 + X_2, Y_1 + Y_2) = g_1(X_1, Y_1) + g_2(X_2, Y_2)$$

for $X_i, Y_i \in V_i$.

Denote by $S_B^2(\Lambda^2 V)$ the space of algebraic curvature operators on (V, g) . That is to say, $R \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2 V)$ is a symmetric two-tensor on the space of two-forms $\Lambda^2 V$ on V and R also satisfies the first Bianchi identity. Given $R_i \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2 V_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, we define $R \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2 V)$ by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & R(X_1 + X_2, Y_1 + Y_2, Z_1 + Z_2, W_1 + W_2) \\ &= R_1(X_1, Y_1, Z_1, W_1) + R_2(X_2, Y_2, Z_2, W_2), \end{aligned}$$

for $X_i, Y_i, Z_i, W_i \in V_i$. Throughout this paper, we simply write

$$R = R_1 \oplus R_2$$

whenever R, R_1 and R_2 are related by (2.2). We also denote by $\mathring{R}, \mathring{R}_1$ and \mathring{R}_2 the associated curvature operator of the second kind for $R = R_1 \oplus R_2, R_1$ and R_2 , respectively.

The key result of this section is the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $R_i \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2 V_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ with $\dim(V_i) = n_i \geq 1$ and let $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$. If $\text{Ric}(R_i) = \rho_i g_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, then the eigenvalues of \mathring{R} are precisely those of \mathring{R}_1 and \mathring{R}_2 , together with 0 with multiplicity $n_1 n_2$ and $-\frac{n_2 \rho_1 + n_1 \rho_2}{n_1 + n_2}$ with multiplicity one.*

We will present the proof of Proposition 2.1 after we establish the following three lemmas. In the rest of this section, \mathring{R} acts on the space of symmetric two-tensors $S^2(V)$ via

$$\mathring{R}(\varphi)_{ij} = \sum_{k,l=1}^n R_{ijkl} \varphi_{kl}.$$

Note that the curvature operator of the second kind (defined as a symmetric bilinear form in the Introduction) is equivalent to the symmetric bilinear form associated to the self-adjoint operator $\pi \circ \mathring{R} : S_0^2(V) \rightarrow S_0^2(V)$, where $\pi : S^2(V) \rightarrow S_0^2(V)$ is the projection map. This can be seen as

$$\mathring{R}(\varphi, \psi) = \langle \mathring{R}(\varphi), \psi \rangle = \langle (\pi \circ \mathring{R})(\varphi), \psi \rangle = (\pi \circ \mathring{R})(\varphi, \psi)$$

for $\varphi, \psi \in S_0^2(V)$. Therefore, the spectrum of the curvature operator of the second kind \mathring{R} (as a bilinear form) is the same as the spectrum of the self-adjoint operator $\pi \circ \mathring{R}$.

First of all, we observe that (2.2) implies that zero is an eigenvalue of \mathring{R} with multiplicity (at least) $n_1 n_2$. This is also observed in [NPWW22, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $R_i \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2 V_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ with $\dim(V_i) = n_i \geq 1$ and let $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$. Let E be the subspace of $S_0^2(V_1 \times V_2)$ given by*

$$E = \text{span}\{u \odot v : u \in V_1, v \in V_2\}$$

Then E lies in the kernel of \mathring{R} . In particular, 0 is an eigenvalue of \mathring{R} with multiplicity (at least) $n_1 n_2$.

Proof. We start by constructing an orthonormal basis of E . Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n_1}$ be an orthonormal basis of V_1 and $\{e_i\}_{i=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2}$ be an orthonormal basis of V_2 . Then $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2}$ is an orthonormal basis of $V = V_1 \times V_2$. Define

$$\xi_{pq} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_p \odot e_q,$$

for $1 \leq p \leq n_1$ and $n_1 + 1 \leq q \leq n_1 + n_2$. Then one can verify that the ξ_{pq} 's are traceless symmetric two-tensors on $V_1 \times V_2$ and they form an orthonormal basis of E . In particular, $\dim(E) = n_1 n_2$.

To prove that E lies in the kernel of \mathring{R} , it suffices to show that $\mathring{R}(\xi_{pq}) = 0$. We first observe that (2.2) implies that

$$(2.3) \quad R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) = \begin{cases} R_1(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l), & i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, n_1\}, \\ R_2(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l), & i, j, k, l \in \{n_1 + 1, \dots, n_1 + n_2\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We then compute, using $(e_p \odot e_q)(e_j, e_k) = (\delta_{pj}\delta_{qk} + \delta_{qj}\delta_{pk})$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{R}(\xi_{pq})(e_i, e_l) &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) \xi_{pq}(e_j, e_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j,k=1}^n R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) (\delta_{pj}\delta_{qk} + \delta_{qj}\delta_{pk}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n_1} (R(e_i, e_p, e_q, e_l) + R(e_i, e_q, e_p, e_l)) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step is because of (2.3) and the fact that $1 \leq p \leq n_1$ and $n_1 + 1 \leq q \leq n_1 + n_2$. Thus we have proved that 0 is an eigenvalue of \mathring{R} with multiplicity (at least) $n_1 n_2$. □

Next, we show that the eigenvalues of R_1 and R_2 are also eigenvalues of $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$, provided that both R_1 and R_2 are Einstein.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $R_i \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2 V_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ with $\dim(V_i) = n_i \geq 1$ and let $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$. If R_1 (respectively, R_2) is Einstein, then the eigenvalues of \mathring{R}_1 (respectively, \mathring{R}_2) are also eigenvalues of \mathring{R} .*

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement for R_1 . Since R_1 is Einstein, we have that $\mathring{R}_1 : S_0^2(V_1) \rightarrow S_0^2(V_1)$ is a self-adjoint operator. We can then choose an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_p\}_{p=1}^{N_1}$ of $S_0^2(V_1)$ such that

$$\mathring{R}_1(\varphi_p) = \lambda_p \varphi_p,$$

where $N_1 = \frac{(n_1-1)(n_1+2)}{2}$ is the dimension of $S_0^2(V_1)$. Note that we may also view the φ_p 's as elements in $S_0^2(V_1 \times V_2)$ via zero extension, namely,

$$\varphi_p(X_1 + X_2, Y_1 + Y_2) = \varphi_p(X_1, Y_1),$$

for $X_i, Y_i \in V_i$. Then we have

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi_p(e_j, e_k) = \begin{cases} \varphi_p(e_j, e_k), & j, k \in \{1, \dots, n_1\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2}$ is the same basis of V in Lemma 2.1.

Next, we calculate using (2.4) that for $1 \leq i, l \leq n_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathring{R}(\varphi_p)(e_i, e_l) &= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n_1+n_2} R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) \varphi_p(e_j, e_k) \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n_1} R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) \varphi_p(e_j, e_k) \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n_1} R_1(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) \varphi_p(e_j, e_k) \\
&= \lambda_p \varphi_p(e_i, e_l),
\end{aligned}$$

and for $n_1 + 1 \leq i, l \leq n_1 + n_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathring{R}(\varphi_p)(e_i, e_l) &= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n_1+n_2} R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) \varphi_p(e_j, e_k) \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n_1} R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) \varphi_p(e_j, e_k) \\
&= 0 \\
&= \lambda_p \varphi_p(e_i, e_l).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have proved $\mathring{R}(\varphi_p) = \lambda_p \varphi_p$ for $1 \leq p \leq N_1$. Hence the eigenvalues of \mathring{R}_1 are also eigenvalues of \mathring{R} with the same eigenvectors. \square

Finally, we prove that

Lemma 2.3. *Let $R_i \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2 V_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ with $\dim(V_i) = n_i \geq 1$ and let $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$. If $\text{Ric}(R_i) = \rho_i g_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $-\frac{n_2 \rho_1 + n_1 \rho_2}{n_1 + n_2}$ is an eigenvalue of \mathring{R} with eigenvector $n_2 g_1 - n_1 g_2$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we may also view g_1 and g_2 as elements in $S_0^2(V_1 \times V_2)$ via zero extension. Clearly, $\text{tr}(n_2 g_1 - n_1 g_2) = n_2 n_1 - n_1 n_2 = 0$. So we have $n_2 g_1 - n_1 g_2 \in S_0^2(V_1 \times V_2)$.

We then compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathring{R}(n_2 g_1 - n_1 g_2) &= n_2 \mathring{R}(g_1) - n_1 \mathring{R}(g_2) \\
&= n_2 \mathring{R}_1(g_1) - n_1 \mathring{R}_2(g_2) \\
&= -n_2 \text{Ric}(R_1) + n_1 \text{Ric}(R_2) \\
&= -n_2 \rho_1 g_1 + n_1 \rho_2 g_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\mathring{R}_i(g_i) = -\text{Ric}(R_i) = -\rho_i g_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Using

$$\text{tr}(-n_2 \rho_1 g_1 + n_1 \rho_2 g_2) = -n_1 n_2 (\rho_1 - \rho_2),$$

we then obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\pi \circ \mathring{R})(n_2 g_1 - n_1 g_2) \\
&= -n_2 \rho_1 g_1 + n_1 \rho_2 g_2 - \frac{-n_1 n_2 (\rho_1 - \rho_2)}{n_1 + n_2} (g_1 + g_2) \\
&= -n_2 g_1 \left(\rho_1 - \frac{n_1 (\rho_1 - \rho_2)}{n_1 + n_2} \right) + n_1 g_2 \left(\rho_2 + \frac{n_2 (\rho_1 - \rho_2)}{n_1 + n_2} \right) \\
&= - \left(\frac{n_1 \rho_2 + n_2 \rho_1}{n_1 + n_2} \right) (n_2 g_1 - n_1 g_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that $-\frac{n_1 \rho_2 + n_2 \rho_1}{n_1 + n_2}$ is an eigenvalue of \mathring{R} with eigenvector $n_2 g_1 - n_1 g_2$. The proof is now complete. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2}$ be an orthonormal basis of V with $e_1, \dots, e_{n_1} \in V_1$ and $e_{n_1+1}, \dots, e_{n_1+n_2} \in V_2$. Let $\{\varphi_p\}_{p=1}^{N_1}$ be an orthonormal basis of $S_0^2(V_1)$ such that $\mathring{R}_1(\varphi_p) = \lambda_p \varphi_p$ and $\{\psi_q\}_{q=1}^{N_2}$ be an orthonormal basis of $S_0^2(V_2)$ such that $\mathring{R}_2(\psi_q) = \mu_q \psi_q$, where $N_i = \frac{(n_i-1)(n_i+2)}{2}$ is the dimension of $S_0^2(V_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. We then define the following traceless symmetric two-tensors on V :

$$\xi_{pq} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_p \odot e_q$$

for $1 \leq p \leq n_1$ and $n_1 + 1 \leq q \leq n_1 + n_2$, and

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2)}} (n_2 g_1 - n_1 g_2).$$

Then one can verify, via straightforward computations, that

$$\{\varphi_p\}_{p=1}^{N_1} \cup \{\psi_q\}_{q=1}^{N_2} \cup \{\xi_{pq}\}_{1 \leq p \leq n_1, n_1+1 \leq q \leq n_1+n_2} \cup \{\zeta\}$$

form an orthonormal basis of $S_0^2(V)$.

According to Lemma 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, the above basis diagonalizes \mathring{R} as

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & \lambda_{N_1} & \\
\mu_1 & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & \mu_{N_2} & \\
0 & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & 0 & \\
& & & -\frac{n_2 \rho_1 + n_1 \rho_2}{n_1 + n_2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

\square

Theorem 1.8 now follows immediately from Proposition 2.1, since on a product manifold the product metric satisfies (2.1) and the Riemann curvature tensor satisfies (2.2).

Since the spectrum of \mathring{R} are known on space forms with constant sectional curvature and Kähler space forms with constant holomorphic sectional curvature, we can use Theorem 1.8 or Proposition 2.1 to determine the eigenvalues of the curvature operator of the second kind on their product.

In the rest of this section,

- $\mathbb{S}^n(\kappa)$ and $\mathbb{H}^n(-\kappa)$, $n \geq 2$ and $\kappa > 0$, denote the n -dimensional simply-connected space form with constant sectional curvature κ and $-\kappa$, respectively.
- $\mathbb{CP}^m(\kappa)$ and $\mathbb{CH}^m(-\kappa)$, $m \geq 1$ and $\kappa > 0$, denote the (complex) m -dimensional simply-connected Kähler space form with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4κ and -4κ , respectively.

Example 2.1. $\mathring{R} = \kappa \text{id}_{S_0^2}$ on $\mathbb{S}^n(\kappa)$. $\mathring{R} = -\kappa \text{id}_{S_0^2}$ on $\mathbb{H}^n(-\kappa)$.

Example 2.2. \mathring{R} has two distinct eigenvalues on $\mathbb{CP}^m(\kappa)$: -2κ with multiplicity $(m-1)(m+1)$ and 4κ with multiplicity $m(m+1)$. \mathring{R} has two distinct eigenvalues on $\mathbb{CH}^m(-\kappa)$: 2κ with multiplicity $(m-1)(m+1)$ and -4κ with multiplicity $m(m+1)$. See [BK78].

Example 2.3. Let $M = \mathbb{S}^{n_1}(\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2}(\kappa_2)$. Then the curvature operator of the second kind of M has eigenvalues: κ_1 with multiplicity $\frac{(n_1-1)(n_1+2)}{2}$, κ_2 with multiplicity $\frac{(n_2-1)(n_2+2)}{2}$, 0 with multiplicity $n_1 n_2$ and $-\frac{n_1(n_2-1)\kappa_2 + n_2(n_1-1)\kappa_1}{n_1+n_2}$ with multiplicity one.

Example 2.4. Let $M = \mathbb{H}^{n_1}(-\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{H}^{n_2}(-\kappa_2)$. Then the curvature operator of the second kind of M has eigenvalues: $-\kappa_1$ with multiplicity $\frac{(n_1-1)(n_1+2)}{2}$, $-\kappa_2$ with multiplicity $\frac{(n_2-1)(n_2+2)}{2}$, 0 with multiplicity $n_1 n_2$ and $\frac{n_1(n_2-1)\kappa_2 + n_2(n_1-1)\kappa_1}{n_1+n_2}$ with multiplicity one.

Example 2.5. Let $M = \mathbb{S}^{n_1}(\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. Then the curvature operator of the second kind of M has eigenvalues: κ_1 with multiplicity $\frac{(n_1-1)(n_1+2)}{2}$, 0 with multiplicity $n_1 n_2 + \frac{(n_2-1)(n_2+2)}{2}$ and $-\frac{n_2(n_1-1)\kappa_1}{n_1+n_2}$ with multiplicity one.

Example 2.6. Let $M = \mathbb{H}^{n_1}(-\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. Then the curvature operator of the second kind of M has eigenvalues: $-\kappa_1$ with multiplicity $\frac{(n_1-1)(n_1+2)}{2}$, 0 with multiplicity $n_1 n_2 + \frac{(n_2-1)(n_2+2)}{2}$ and $\frac{n_2(n_1-1)\kappa_1}{n_1+n_2}$ with multiplicity one.

Example 2.7. Let $M = \mathbb{S}^{n_1}(\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{H}^{n_2}(-\kappa_2)$. Then the curvature operator of the second kind of M has eigenvalues: κ_1 with multiplicity $\frac{(n_1-1)(n_1+2)}{2}$, $-\kappa_2$ with multiplicity $\frac{(n_2-1)(n_2+2)}{2}$, 0 with multiplicity $n_1 n_2$ and $-\frac{n_1 n_2(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2) + n_1 \kappa_2 - n_2 \kappa_1}{n_1+n_2}$ with multiplicity one.

Example 2.8. Let $M = \mathbb{CP}^{m_1}(\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{CP}^{m_2}(\kappa_2)$. Then the curvature operator of the second kind of M has eigenvalues: $-2\kappa_1$ with multiplicity $(m_1-1)(m_1+1)$, $-2\kappa_2$ with multiplicity $(m_2-1)(m_2+1)$, $4\kappa_1$ with multiplicity $m_1(m_1+1)$, $4\kappa_2$ with multiplicity $m_2(m_2+1)$, 0 with multiplicity $4m_1 m_2$, and $-\frac{2m_1(m_2+1)\kappa_2 + 2m_2(m_1+1)\kappa_1}{m_1+m_2}$ with multiplicity one.

Example 2.9. Let $M = \mathbb{CH}^{m_1}(-\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{CH}^{m_2}(-\kappa_2)$. Then the curvature operator of the second kind of M has eigenvalues: $2\kappa_1$ with multiplicity $(m_1-1)(m_1+1)$, $2\kappa_2$

with multiplicity $(m_2 - 1)(m_2 + 1)$, $-4\kappa_1$ with multiplicity $m_1(m_1 + 1)$, $-4\kappa_2$ with multiplicity $m_2(m_2 + 1)$, 0 with multiplicity $4m_1m_2$, and $\frac{2m_1(m_2+1)\kappa_2+2m_2(m_1+1)\kappa_1}{m_1+m_2}$ with multiplicity one.

Example 2.10. Let $M = \mathbb{CP}^{m_1}(\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{C}^{m_2}$. Then the curvature operator of the second kind of M has eigenvalues: $-2\kappa_1$ with multiplicity $(m_1 - 1)(m_1 + 1)$, $4\kappa_1$ with multiplicity $m_1(m_1 + 1)$, 0 with multiplicity $4m_1m_2 + (2m_2 - 1)(m_2 + 1)$, and $-\frac{2m_2(m_1+1)\kappa_1}{m_1+m_2}$ with multiplicity one.

Example 2.11. Let $M = \mathbb{CH}^{m_1}(-\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{C}^{m_2}$. Then the curvature operator of the second kind of M has eigenvalues: $2\kappa_1$ with multiplicity $(m_1 - 1)(m_1 + 1)$, $-4\kappa_2$ with multiplicity $m_1(m_1 + 1)$, 0 with multiplicity $4m_1m_2 + (2m_2 - 1)(m_2 + 1)$, and $\frac{2m_2(m_1+1)\kappa_1}{m_1+m_2}$ with multiplicity one.

Example 2.12. Let $M = \mathbb{CP}^{m_1}(\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{CH}^{m_2}(-\kappa_2)$. Then the curvature operator of the second kind of M has eigenvalues: $-2\kappa_1$ with multiplicity $(m_1 - 1)(m_1 + 1)$, $4\kappa_2$ with multiplicity $m_1(m_1 + 1)$, $2\kappa_2$ with multiplicity $(m_2 - 1)(m_2 + 1)$, $-4\kappa_2$ with multiplicity $m_2(m_2 + 1)$, 0 with multiplicity $4m_1m_2$, and $-\frac{2m_1m_2(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)+2m_2\kappa_1-2m_1\kappa_2}{m_1+m_2}$ with multiplicity one.

In particular, we have the following observation, which will be needed later on.

Proposition 2.2. For $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$, $m_1, m_2 \geq 1$, $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$, the following statements hold:

- (1) $\mathbb{S}^{n_1}(\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2}(\kappa_2)$ has A_{n_1, n_2} -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind if and only if $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 > 0$;
- (2) $\mathbb{H}^{n_1}(-\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{H}^{n_2}(-\kappa_2)$ has A_{n_1, n_2} -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind if and only if $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 > 0$;
- (3) $\mathbb{CP}^{m_1}(\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{CP}^{m_2}(\kappa_2)$ has B_{m_1, m_2} -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind if and only if $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 > 0$;
- (4) $\mathbb{CH}^{m_1}(-\kappa_1) \times \mathbb{CH}^{m_2}(-\kappa_2)$ has B_{m_1, m_2} -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind if and only if $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 < 0$.

3. RIGIDITY OF $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ AND $\mathbb{H}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. The key result of this section is the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. Let (V, g) be a Euclidean vector space of dimension $(n - 1)$ with $n \geq 2$ and let $R_1 \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2 V)$.

- (1) Suppose that $R = R_1 \oplus 0 \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2(V \times \mathbb{R}))$ has $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind. Then R_1 has constant nonnegative sectional curvature.
- (2) Suppose that $R = R_1 \oplus 0 \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2(V \times \mathbb{R}))$ has $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind. Then R_1 has constant nonpositive sectional curvature.
- (3) Suppose that $R = R_1 \oplus 0 \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2(V \times \mathbb{R}))$ has α -nonnegative or α -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind for some $\alpha < n + \frac{n-2}{n}$, then R is flat.

Proof. (1). Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ be an orthonormal basis of V and let e_n be a unit vector in \mathbb{R} . Then $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal basis of $V \times \mathbb{R} \cong V \oplus \mathbb{R}$. Next, we define the following symmetric two-tensors on $V \oplus \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_i &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_i \odot e_n \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ \varphi_{kl} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_k \odot e_l \text{ for } 1 \leq k < l \leq n-1, \\ \zeta &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} e_p \odot e_p - (n-1)e_n \odot e_n \right).\end{aligned}$$

One easily verifies that $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1} \cup \{\varphi_{kl}\}_{1 \leq k < l \leq n-1} \cup \{\zeta\}$ form an orthonormal subset of $S_0^2(\Lambda^2(V \oplus \mathbb{R}))$.

Since $R = R_1 \oplus 0$, we have by (2.2) that

$$(3.1) \quad R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) = \begin{cases} R_1(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l), & i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have $R_{n j n j} = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Direct calculation using the identity

$$\mathring{R}(e_i \odot e_j, e_k \odot e_l) = 2(R_{iklj} + R_{iljk})$$

shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathring{R}(\xi_i, \xi_i) &= 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ \mathring{R}(\varphi_{kl}, \varphi_{kl}) &= (R_1)_{klkl} \text{ for } 1 \leq k < l \leq n-1, \\ \mathring{R}(\zeta, \zeta) &= -\frac{1}{n(n-1)}S_1,\end{aligned}$$

where S_1 is the scalar curvature of R_1 . Note that $S_1 \geq 0$ since S_1 is also equal to the scalar curvature of R , which must be nonnegative since R has $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind (see for instance [Li21, Proposition 4.1, part (1)]).

Since R has $(n + \frac{n-2}{n})$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, we get that for any $1 \leq k < l \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\leq \mathring{R}(\zeta, \zeta) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathring{R}(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \frac{n-2}{n} \mathring{R}(\psi_{kl}, \psi_{kl}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n(n-1)}S_1 + \frac{n-2}{n}(R_1)_{klkl} \\ &= \frac{n-2}{n} \left((R_1)_{klkl} - \frac{S_1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Summing over $1 \leq k < l \leq n-1$ yields

$$S_1 \leq \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n-1} (R_1)_{klkl}.$$

On the other hand,

$$S_1 = \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n-1} (R_1)_{klkl}.$$

Therefore, we must have $(R_1)_{klkl} = \frac{S_1}{(n-1)(n-2)}$ for all $1 \leq k < l \leq n-1$. Since the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ is arbitrary, we conclude that R_1 has constant nonnegative sectional curvature.

(2). Apply (1) to $-R$.

(3). By (1) and (2), we have $R = cI_{n-1} \oplus 0$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, where I_{n-1} is the Riemann curvature tensor of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . However, $R = cI_{n-1} \oplus 0$ has α -nonnegative or α -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind for some $\alpha < n + \frac{n-2}{n}$ if and only if $c = 0$. Therefore, R is flat. \square

We now present the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (1). Recall that we say that (M^n, g) is locally reducible if there exists a nontrivial subspace of $T_p M$ which is invariant under the action of the restricted holonomy group. By a theorem of de Rham, a complete Riemannian manifold is locally reducible if and only if its universal cover is isometric to the product of two Riemannian manifolds of lower dimension.

Denote by $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ the universal cover of M with the lifted metric \widetilde{g} . Since M is locally reducible, $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ is isometric to a product of the form $(M_1^k, g_1) \times (M_2^{n-k}, g_2)$, where $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Note that $k \geq 2$ implies

$$k(n-k) + 1 \geq n + \frac{n-2}{n},$$

so \widetilde{M} must be flat if $k \geq 2$, according to [Li21, Proposition 5.1] (or its improvement Theorem 1.6). Thus we must have $k = 1$ and \widetilde{M} is isometric to $N^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. By part (1) of Proposition 3.1, N has pointwise constant nonnegative sectional curvature. Since $n-1 \geq 3$, Schur's lemma implies that N must have constant nonnegative sectional curvature. Therefore, M is either flat or its universal cover is isometric to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ by scaling.

(2). This is similar to the proof of (1), by noticing that [Li21, Proposition 5.1] is also valid for the nonpositivity condition (alternatively, one can use Theorem 1.6 here). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let (M^n, g) be a closed non-flat Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$ and suppose that M has $4\frac{1}{2}$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind. It was shown in [Li22c] that one of the following statements holds:

- (a) M is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic if $n = 4$ or $n \geq 12$) to a spherical space form;
- (b) $n = 2m$ and the universal cover of M is a Kähler manifold biholomorphic to \mathbb{CP}^m ;
- (c) $n = 4$ and the universal cover of M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$;
- (d) $n \geq 5$ and M is isometric to a quotient of a compact irreducible symmetric space.

By Theorem 1.2 in [Li22a], the Kähler manifold in part (2) is either flat or isometric to \mathbb{CP}^2 with the Fubini-Study metric, up to scaling. In part (c), the manifold is reducible and we conclude using Theorem 1.2 that the universal cover of M is

isometric to $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, up to scaling. Part (d) can be ruled out using [NPW22, Theorem B], as the manifold is either flat or a homology sphere. \square

4. RIGIDITY OF $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2}$ AND $\mathbb{H}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{H}^{n_2}$

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5. The key result of this section is the following proposition. In this section, I_n , $n \geq 2$, denotes the Riemann curvature tensor of the n -sphere with constant sectional curvature 1.

Proposition 4.1. *For $i = 1, 2$, let (V_i, g_i) be a Euclidean vector space of dimension n_i with $n_i \geq 2$. Let $R_i \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2 V_i)$ and $R = R_1 \oplus R_2 \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2(V_1 \times V_2))$.*

- (1) *Suppose that R has A_{n_1, n_2} -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind. Then $R = c(I_{n_1} \oplus I_{n_2})$ for some $c \geq 0$.*
- (2) *Suppose that R has A_{n_1, n_2} -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind. Then $R = c(I_{n_1} \oplus I_{n_2})$ for some $c \leq 0$.*
- (3) *Suppose that R has α -nonnegative or α -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind for some $\alpha < A_{n_1, n_2}$, then R is flat.*

We need an elementary lemma, which can be found in [Li22a, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 4.1. *Let N be a positive integer and A be a collection of N real numbers. Denote by a_i the i -th smallest number in A for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Define a function $f(A, x)$ by*

$$f(A, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} a_i + (x - \lfloor x \rfloor) a_{\lfloor x \rfloor + 1},$$

for $x \in [1, N]$. Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad f(A, x) \leq x \bar{a},$$

where $\bar{a} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i$ is the average of all numbers in A . Moreover, the equality holds for some $x \in [1, N)$ if and only if $a_i = \bar{a}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. (1). Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n_1}$ be an orthonormal basis of V_1 and let $\{e_i\}_{i=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2}$ be an orthonormal basis of V_2 . Then $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2}$ is an orthonormal basis of $V_1 \times V_2 \cong V_1 \oplus V_2$.

We construct an orthonormal basis of $S_0^2(V_1 \times V_2)$ as follows. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_1}$ of $S_0^2(V_1)$ and an orthonormal basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_2}$ of $S_0^2(V_2)$, where $N_i = \dim(S_0^2(V_i)) = \frac{(n_i-1)(n_i+2)}{2}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Note that $h \in S_0^2(V_1)$ can be identified with the element π^*h in $S_0^2(V_1 \times V_2)$ via

$$(\pi^*h)(X_1 + X_2, Y_1 + Y_2) = h(X_1, X_2),$$

where $X_i, Y_i \in V_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We shall simply write π^*h as h . Similarly, $S_0^2(V_2)$ can be identified with a subspace of $S_0^2(V_1 \times V_2)$. Next we define the following symmetric two-tensors on $V_1 \times V_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{kl} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_k \odot e_l \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n_1, n_1 + 1 \leq l \leq n_1 + n_2, \\ \zeta &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2)}} (n_2 g_1 - n_1 g_2). \end{aligned}$$

One verifies that

$$\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_1} \cup \{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_2} \cup \{\xi_{kl}\}_{1 \leq k \leq n_1, n_1+1 \leq l \leq n_1+n_2} \cup \{\zeta\}$$

form an orthonormal basis of $S_0^2(V_1 \times V_2)$. This corresponds to the orthogonal decomposition

$$S_0^2(V_1 \times V_2) = S_0^2(V_1) \oplus S_0^2(V_2) \oplus \text{span}\{u \odot v, u \in V_1, v \in V_2\} \oplus \mathbb{R}\zeta.$$

The next step is to calculate some diagonal elements of the matrix representing \mathring{R} with respect to the above basis. Since $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$, we have by (2.2) that

$$(4.2) \quad R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) = \begin{cases} R_1(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l), & i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, n_1\}, \\ R_2(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l), & i, j, k, l \in \{n_1+1, \dots, n_1+n_2\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have $R_{klkl} = 0$ if $1 \leq k \leq n_1$ and $n_1 \leq l \leq n_1+n_2$. Using the identity

$$\mathring{R}(e_i \odot e_j, e_k \odot e_l) = 2(R_{iklj} + R_{iljk}),$$

we get

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n_1, \\ n_1+1 \leq l \leq n_1+n_2}} \mathring{R}(\xi_{kl}, \xi_{kl}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n_1, \\ n_1+1 \leq l \leq n_1+n_2}} R_{klkl} = 0.$$

We also calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{R}(\zeta, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2)} \left(n_2^2 \mathring{R}(g_1, g_1) + n_1^2 \mathring{R}(g_2, g_2) + 2n_1 n_2 \mathring{R}(g_1, g_2) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2)} \left(n_2^2 \mathring{R}_1(g_1, g_1) + n_1^2 \mathring{R}_2(g_2, g_2) \right) \\ &= -\frac{n_2^2 S_1 + n_1^2 S_2}{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where S_i denotes the scalar curvature of R_i for $i = 1, 2$.

Let A be the collection of the values of $\mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N_1$ and let B be the collection of the values of $\mathring{R}(\psi_i, \psi_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N_2$. Denote by \bar{a} and \bar{b} the average of all numbers is A and B , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a} &= \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \mathring{R}_1(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) = \frac{S_1}{n_1(n_1-1)}, \\ \bar{b} &= \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \mathring{R}(\psi_i, \psi_i) = \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \mathring{R}_2(\psi_i, \psi_i) = \frac{S_2}{n_2(n_2-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \mathring{R}_1(\psi_i, \psi_i) = \frac{n_1+2}{2n_1} S_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \mathring{R}_2(\psi_i, \psi_i) = \frac{n_2+2}{2n_2} S_2.$$

For simplicity, we write

$$A_1 = \frac{n_2(n_1-1)}{n_1+n_2} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \frac{n_1(n_2-1)}{n_1+n_2}.$$

Notice that we have $A_1 < N_1$, $A_2 < N_2$ and

$$(4.4) \quad A_{n_1, n_2} = 1 + n_1 n_2 + A_1 + A_2.$$

Also, the expression for $\mathring{R}(\zeta, \zeta)$ can be written as

$$(4.5) \quad \mathring{R}(\zeta, \zeta) = -A_1 \bar{a} - A_2 \bar{b}.$$

Since R has A_{n_1, n_2} -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, we get using (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} -\mathring{R}(\zeta, \zeta) &\leq f(A, \lfloor A_1 \rfloor) + f(B, A_1 + A_2 - \lfloor A_1 \rfloor) \\ &\leq \lfloor A_1 \rfloor \bar{a} + (A_1 + A_2 - \lfloor A_1 \rfloor) \bar{b} \\ &= A_1 \bar{a} + A_2 \bar{b} + (A_1 - \lfloor A_1 \rfloor)(\bar{b} - \bar{a}), \end{aligned}$$

where f is the function defined in Lemma 4.1 and we have used Lemma 4.1 in estimating f . Similarly, we also have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} -\mathring{R}(\zeta, \zeta) &\leq f(A, A_1 + A_2 - \lfloor A_2 \rfloor) + f(B, \lfloor A_2 \rfloor), \\ &\leq (A_1 + A_2 - \lfloor A_2 \rfloor) \bar{a} + \lfloor A_2 \rfloor \bar{b} \\ &= A_1 \bar{a} + A_2 \bar{b} + (A_2 - \lfloor A_2 \rfloor)(\bar{a} - \bar{b}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get from (4.6) if $\bar{a} \geq \bar{b}$ and from (4.7) if $\bar{a} \leq \bar{b}$ that

$$A_1 \bar{a} + A_2 \bar{b} = -\mathring{R}(\zeta, \zeta) \leq A_1 \bar{a} + A_2 \bar{b}.$$

This implies that, either in (4.6) or (4.7), we must have equalities in the inequalities used for f . We then get from Lemma 4.1, that all the values in A are equal to \bar{a} and all the values in B are equal to \bar{b} . Hence, both R_1 and R_2 have constant sectional curvature, that is to say, $R = c_1 I_{n_1} \oplus c_2 I_{n_2}$ for $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Finally, we must have $c_1 = c_2 \geq 0$, as $R = c_1 I_{n_1} \oplus c_2 I_{n_2}$ has A_{n_1, n_2} -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind if and only if $c_1 = c_2 \geq 0$ by Proposition 2.2.

(2). Apply (1) to $-R$.

(3). This follows from the fact that $R = c(I_{n_1} \oplus I_{n_2})$ has α -nonnegative or α -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind for some $\alpha < A_{n_1, n_2}$ if and only if $c = 0$. \square

At last, we give the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. (1). This is an immediate consequence of part (3) of Proposition 4.1.

(2). Let $(p_1, p_2) \in M_1 \times M_2$. By part (2) of Proposition 4.1, we have

$$R(p_1, p_2) = c(p_1, p_2) I_{n_1} \oplus I_{n_2}.$$

Fixing p_1 while letting p_2 vary in M_2 shows that $c(p_1, p_2)$ is independent of p_2 . Similarly, $c(p_1, p_2)$ is also independent of p_1 . This shows that both factors have constant sectional curvature $c \geq 0$.

If M is further assumed to be complete, then M is either flat or isometric to $\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2}$, up to scaling.

(3). Similar to the proof of (2). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Suppose that (M^n, g) splits locally near $q \in M$ as a Riemannian product $(M_1^k \times M_2^{n-k}, g_1 \oplus g_2)$ with $1 \leq k \leq n/2$. Then the Riemann curvature tensor R of M satisfies $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ near q , where R_i denotes the Riemann curvature tensor of M_i for $i = 1, 2$.

By part (3) of Proposition 3.1 if $k = 1$ and part (3) of Proposition 4.1 if $2 \leq k \leq n/2$, the assumption

$$\alpha < k(n-k) + \frac{2k(n-k)}{n}$$

implies that M must be flat. \square

5. HOLONOMY RESTRICTION

We prove Theorem 1.3 in this section.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that (M^n, g) splits locally near $q \in M$ as a Riemannian product $(M_1^k \times M_2^{n-k}, g_1 \oplus g_2)$ with $2 \leq k \leq n/2$. Then the Riemann curvature tensor R of M satisfies $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ near q , where R_i denotes the Riemann curvature tensor of M_i for $i = 1, 2$.

Noticing that

$$\alpha < n + \frac{n-2}{n} \leq A_{k,n-k} = k(n-k) + \frac{2k(n-k)}{n}$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, we conclude from part (3) of Propositions 3.1 if $k = 1$ and part (3) of Proposition 4.1 if $2 \leq k \leq n/2$ that M is locally flat. Since the restricted holonomy does not depend on $q \in M$, we conclude that M is flat. Therefore, M is either locally irreducible or flat.

If $n = 3$, then the holonomy of M must be $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ as M is locally irreducible. So we may assume $n \geq 4$ below.

If M is an irreducible locally symmetric space, then it is Einstein. Since

$$\alpha < n + \frac{n-2}{n} \leq \frac{3n}{2} \frac{n+2}{n+4}$$

for any $n \geq 4$, we get from [NPWW22, Theorem B] that either M is flat or the restricted holonomy of M is $\mathrm{SO}(n)$.

So we may assume that M is not locally symmetric with irreducible holonomy representation. Then the restricted holonomy of M is contained in Berger's list of holonomy groups [Ber55]: $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(\frac{n}{2})$, $\mathrm{SU}(\frac{n}{2})$, $\mathrm{Sp}(\frac{n}{4})\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, $\mathrm{Sp}(\frac{n}{4})$, G_2 and Spin_7 . M must have Ricci flat and thus flat if its restricted holonomy is $\mathrm{SU}(\frac{n}{2})$, $\mathrm{Sp}(\frac{n}{4})$, G_2 or Spin_7 .

If the restricted holonomy of M is $\mathrm{Sp}(\frac{n}{4})\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, then M is quaternion-Kähler and thus Einstein. In this case, either the restricted holonomy of M is $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ or M is flat by [NPWW22, Theorem B].

If the restricted holonomy of M is $\mathrm{U}(\frac{n}{2})$, then M is Kähler. Noticing that $\alpha < n + \frac{n-2}{n} \leq \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{n^2}{4} - 1 \right)$ for any $n \geq 4$, M must be flat by [Li22a, Theorem 1.2].

Overall, either the restricted holonomy of M is $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ or M is flat. \square

6. KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.7. The proof shares the same idea as in the Section 4, but use the orthonormal basis of a complex Euclidean space constructed in [Li22a] based on \mathbb{CP}^m .

In the following, B_{m_1, m_2} is the expression defined in (1.3) and $R_{\mathbb{CP}^m}$ denotes the Riemann curvature tensor of the complex projective space with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. We establish the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *For $i = 1, 2$, let (V_i, g_i, J_i) be a complex Euclidean vector space of complex dimension $m_i \geq 1$. Let $R_i \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2 V_i)$ and $R = R_1 \oplus R_2 \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2(V_1 \times V_2))$.*

- (1) *Suppose that R has B_{m_1, m_2} -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind. Then $R = c(R_{\mathbb{CP}^{m_1}} \oplus R_{\mathbb{CP}^{m_2}})$ for some $c \geq 0$.*
- (2) *Suppose that R has B_{m_1, m_2} -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind. Then $R = c(R_{\mathbb{CP}^{m_1}} \oplus R_{\mathbb{CP}^{m_2}})$ for some $c \leq 0$.*
- (3) *Suppose that R has α -nonnegative or α -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind for some $\alpha < B_{m_1, m_2}$, then R is flat.*

Proof. (1). Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{m_1}, J_1 e_1, \dots, J_1 e_{m_1}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of (V_1, g_1, J_1) and $\{e_{m_1+1}, \dots, e_{m_1+m_2}, J_2 e_{m_1+1}, \dots, J_2 e_{m_1+m_2}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of (V_2, g_2, J_2) .

As in Section 4, we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$S_0^2(V_1 \times V_2) = S_0^2(V_1) \oplus S_0^2(V_2) \oplus \text{span}\{u \odot v : u \in V_1, v \in V_2\} \oplus \mathbb{R}\zeta,$$

where

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}} (m_2 g_1 - m_1 g_2).$$

The same computation as in Section 4 gives that

$$(6.1) \quad \mathring{R}(\zeta, \zeta) = -\frac{m_2^2 S_1 + m_1^2 S_2}{2m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)},$$

where S_i denotes the scalar curvature of R_i for $i = 1, 2$.

By Lemma 2.1, the subspace $\text{span}\{u \odot v : u \in V_1, v \in V_2\}$ lies in the kernel of \mathring{R} and its real dimension is $4m_1 m_2$.

For $S_0^2(V_1)$ and $S_0^2(V_2)$, we use the orthonormal bases constructed in Section 4 of [Li22a]. More precisely, the following traceless symmetric two-tensors form an

orthonormal basis of $S_0^2(V_1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{ij}^{1,\pm} &= \frac{1}{2} (e_i \odot e_j \mp J_1 e_i \odot J_1 e_j), \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq m_1, \\
\psi_{ij}^{1,\pm} &= \frac{1}{2} (e_i \odot J_1 e_j \pm J_1 e_i \odot e_j), \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq m_1, \\
\alpha_i^1 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (e_i \odot e_i - J_1 e_i \odot J_1 e_i), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m_1, \\
\alpha_{m_1+i}^1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_i \odot J_1 e_i), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m_1, \\
\eta_k^1 &= \frac{k}{\sqrt{8k(k+1)}} (e_{k+1} \odot e_{k+1} + J_1 e_{k+1} \odot J_1 e_{k+1}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{8k(k+1)}} \sum_{i=1}^k (e_i \odot e_i + J_1 e_i \odot J_1 e_i), \\
&\quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m_1 - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the traceless symmetric two-tensors

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{ij}^{2,\pm} &= \frac{1}{2} (e_i \odot e_j \mp J_2 e_i \odot J_2 e_j), \text{ for } m_1 + 1 \leq i < j \leq m_1 + m_2, \\
\psi_{ij}^{2,\pm} &= \frac{1}{2} (e_i \odot J_2 e_j \pm J_2 e_i \odot e_j), \text{ for } m_1 + 1 \leq i < j \leq m_1 + m_2, \\
\alpha_i^2 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (e_i \odot e_i - J_2 e_i \odot J_2 e_i), \text{ for } m_1 + 1 \leq i \leq m_1 + m_2, \\
\alpha_{m_2+i}^2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_i \odot J_2 e_i), \text{ for } m_1 + 1 \leq i \leq m_1 + m_2, \\
\eta_k^2 &= \frac{k}{\sqrt{8k(k+1)}} (e_{k+1} \odot e_{k+1} + J_2 e_{k+1} \odot J_2 e_{k+1}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{8k(k+1)}} \sum_{i=1}^k (e_i \odot e_i + J_2 e_i \odot J_2 e_i), \\
&\quad \text{for } m_1 + 1 \leq k \leq m_1 + m_2 - 1,
\end{aligned}$$

form an orthonormal basis for $S_0^2(V_2)$. Here the superscripts 1 and 2 indicate that these are quantities associated to the space V_1 and V_2 , respectively.

By Lemma 4.3 in [Li22a], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.2) \quad & \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m_1} \left(\mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^{1,-}, \varphi_{ij}^{1,-}) + \mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^{1,-}, \psi_{ij}^{1,-}) \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_1-1} \mathring{R}(\eta_k, \eta_k) \\
&= -\frac{m_1-1}{2m_1} S_1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.3) \quad & \sum_{m_1+1 \leq i < j \leq m_1+m_2} \left(\mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^{2,-}, \varphi_{ij}^{2,-}) + \mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^{2,-}, \psi_{ij}^{2,-}) \right) + \sum_{k=m_1+1}^{m_1+m_2-1} \mathring{R}(\eta_k, \eta_k) \\
&= -\frac{m_2-1}{2m_2} S_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (6.1), (6.2) and (6.3) together yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m_1} \left(\mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^{1,-}, \varphi_{ij}^{1,-}) + \mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^{1,-}, \psi_{ij}^{1,-}) \right) \\
& + \sum_{m_1+1 \leq i < j \leq m_1+m_2} \left(\mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^{2,-}, \varphi_{ij}^{2,-}) + \mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^{2,-}, \psi_{ij}^{2,-}) \right) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{m_1-1} \mathring{R}(\eta_k, \eta_k) + \sum_{k=m_1+1}^{m_1+m_2-1} \mathring{R}(\eta_k, \eta_k) + \mathring{R}(\zeta, \zeta) \\
& = -\frac{m_1-1}{2m_1} S_1 - \frac{m_2-1}{2m_2} S_2 + \mathring{R}(\zeta, \zeta) \\
& = -\frac{1}{2}(m_1^2-1)\bar{a} - \frac{1}{2}(m_2^2-1)\bar{b} - \frac{m_2^2 S_1 + m_1^2 S_2}{2m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)} \\
& = -B_1 \bar{a} - B_2 \bar{b},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2}(m_1^2-1) + \frac{(m_1+1)m_2}{2(m_1+m_2)} \text{ and } B_2 = \frac{1}{2}(m_2^2-1) + \frac{(m_2+1)m_1}{2(m_1+m_2)}$$

for simplicity of notations. Note that $-B_1 \bar{a} - B_2 \bar{b}$ is the sum of

$$1 + 4m_1 m_2 + (m_1^2 - 1) + (m_2^2 - 1)$$

-many diagonal elements of the matrix representation of \mathring{R} with respect to the orthonormal basis of $S_0^2(V_1 \times V_2)$ constructed above (here one can pick any orthonormal basis for the subspace $\text{span}\{u \odot v : u \in V_1, v \in V_2\}$ as it is in the kernel of \mathring{R}).

Let A be the collection of the values $\mathring{R}(\alpha_i^1, \alpha_i^1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2m_1$, $\mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^{1,+}, \varphi_{ij}^{1,+})$ and $\mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^{1,+}, \psi_{ij}^{1,+})$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. By Lemma 4.3 in [Li22a], we know that A contains two copies of $R(e_i, J_1 e_i, e_i, J_1 e_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m_1$ and two copies of $2R(e_i, J_1 e_i, e_j, J_2 e_j)$ for each $1 \leq i < j \leq m_1$. Therefore, the sum of all values in A is equal to S_1 , the scalar curvature of R_1 , and \bar{a} , the average of all values in A , is given by

$$\bar{a} = \frac{S_1}{m_1(m_1+1)}.$$

Similarly, let B be the collection of the values $\mathring{R}(\alpha_i^2, \alpha_i^2)$ for $m_1+1 \leq i \leq m_1+2m_2$, $\mathring{R}(\varphi_{ij}^{2,+}, \varphi_{ij}^{2,+})$ and $\mathring{R}(\psi_{ij}^{2,+}, \psi_{ij}^{2,+})$ for $m_1+1 \leq i < j \leq m_1+m_2$. By Lemma 4.3 in [Li22a], we know that B contains two copies of $R(e_i, J_2 e_i, e_i, J_2 e_i)$ for each $m_1+1 \leq i \leq m_1+m_2$ and two copies of $2R(e_i, J_2 e_i, e_j, J_2 e_j)$ for each $m_1+1 \leq i < j \leq m_1+m_2$. Therefore, the sum of all values in B is equal to S_2 , the scalar curvature of R_2 , and \bar{b} , the average of all values in B , is given by

$$\bar{b} = \frac{S_2}{m_2(m_2+1)}.$$

Noticing that

$$B_{m_1, m_2} = 1 + (m_1^2 - 1) + (m_2^2 - 1) + 4m_1 m_2 + B_1 + B_2,$$

the assumption R has B_{m_1, m_2} -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.4) \quad B_1 \bar{a} + B_2 \bar{b} &\leq f(A, \lfloor B_1 \rfloor) + f(B, B_1 + B_2 - \lfloor B_1 \rfloor) \\
 &\leq \lfloor B_1 \rfloor \bar{a} + (B_1 + B_2 - \lfloor B_1 \rfloor) \bar{b} \\
 &= B_1 \bar{a} + B_2 \bar{b} + (B_1 - \lfloor B_1 \rfloor)(\bar{b} - \bar{a})
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.5) \quad B_1 \bar{a} + B_2 \bar{b} &\leq f(A, B_1 + B_2 - \lfloor B_2 \rfloor) + f(B, \lfloor B_2 \rfloor) \\
 &\leq (B_1 + B_2 - \lfloor B_2 \rfloor) \bar{a} + \lfloor B_2 \rfloor \bar{b} \\
 &= B_1 \bar{a} + B_2 \bar{b} + (B_2 - \lfloor B_2 \rfloor)(\bar{a} - \bar{b}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where f is the function defined in Lemma 4.1 and we have used Lemma 4.1 to estimate f . So we get from (6.4) if $\bar{a} \geq \bar{b}$ and from (6.5) if $\bar{a} \leq \bar{b}$ that

$$B_1 \bar{a} + B_2 \bar{b} \leq B_1 \bar{a} + B_2 \bar{b}.$$

Therefore, either in (6.4) or (6.5), we must have equalities in the inequalities used for f . By Lemma 4.1, we get that all the values in A are equal to \bar{a} and all the values in B are equal to \bar{b} . Hence, both R_1 and R_2 have constant holomorphic sectional curvature, that is to say, $R = c_1 R_{\mathbb{CP}^{m_1}} \oplus c_2 R_{\mathbb{CP}^{m_2}}$ for $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Finally, we must have $c_1 = c_2 \geq 0$, as $R = c_1 R_{\mathbb{CP}^{m_1}} \oplus c_2 R_{\mathbb{CP}^{m_2}}$ has B_{m_1, m_2} -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind if and only if $c_1 = c_2 \geq 0$ by Proposition 2.2.

(2). Apply (1) to $-R$.

(3). This follows from the fact that $R = c(R_{\mathbb{CP}^{m_1}} \oplus R_{\mathbb{CP}^{m_2}})$ has α -nonnegative or α -nonpositive curvature operator of the second kind for some $\alpha < B_{m_1, m_2}$ if and only if $c = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Once we have Proposition 6.1, this is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.5. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ber55] Marcel Berger. Sur les groupes d'holonomie homogène des variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 83:279–330, 1955.
- [BK78] Jean-Pierre Bourguignon and Hermann Karcher. Curvature operators: pinching estimates and geometric examples. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 11(1):71–92, 1978.
- [Bre08] Simon Brendle. A general convergence result for the Ricci flow in higher dimensions. *Duke Math. J.*, 145(3):585–601, 2008.
- [CGT21] Xiaodong Cao, Matthew J. Gursky, and Hung Tran. Curvature of the second kind and a conjecture of Nishikawa. *arXiv:2112.01212*, 2021.
- [Kas93] Toyoko Kashiwada. On the curvature operator of the second kind. *Natur. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ.*, 44(2):69–73, 1993.
- [Li21] Xiaolong Li. Manifolds with nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind. *arXiv:2112.08465v4*, 2021.
- [Li22a] Xiaolong Li. Kähler manifolds and the curvature operator of the second kind. *arXiv:2208.14505*, 2022.
- [Li22b] Xiaolong Li. Kähler surfaces with six-positive curvature operator of the second kind. *arXiv:2207.00520*, 2022.

- [Li22c] Xiaolong Li. Manifolds with $4\frac{1}{2}$ -positive curvature operator of the second kind. *J. Geom. Anal.*, to appear in the special volume "Analysis and Geometry of Complete Manifolds" in honor of Professor Peter Li's 70th birthday, *arXiv:2206.15011*, 2022.
- [MM88] Mario J. Micallef and John Douglas Moore. Minimal two-spheres and the topology of manifolds with positive curvature on totally isotropic two-planes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 127(1):199–227, 1988.
- [Nis86] Seiki Nishikawa. On deformation of Riemannian metrics and manifolds with positive curvature operator. In *Curvature and topology of Riemannian manifolds (Katata, 1985)*, volume 1201 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 202–211. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [NPW22] Jan Nienhaus, Peter Petersen, and Matthias Wink. Betti numbers and the curvature operator of the second kind. *arXiv:2206.14218*, 2022.
- [NPWW22] Jan Nienhaus, Peter Petersen, Matthias Wink, and William Wylie. Holonomy restrictions from the curvature operator of the second kind. *arXiv:2208.13820*, 2022.
- [OT79] Koichi Ogiue and Shun-ichi Tachibana. Les variétés riemanniennes dont l'opérateur de courbure restreint est positif sont des sphères d'homologie réelle. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 289(1):A29–A30, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND PHYSICS, WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY, WICHITA, KS, 67260

Email address: xiaolong.li@wichita.edu