

Leptogenesis from composite singlets

Nobuki Yoshimatsu

Kawaijuku Manavis Horidome
 1-2-23 Horidome Nishi, Wakayama-shi, Wakayama 641-0045, Japan
 E-mail address: nyoshimatsu260@gmail.com

Abstract

We argue that composite singlets accommodate thermal leptogenesis along with one right-handed neutrino. This framework suggests that the reheating temperature is as low as $\mathcal{O}(10^5)$ GeV, and the quasi-degenerate neutrino masses are present at $\mathcal{O}(0.01)$ eV.

The baryon asymmetry of the universe is an interesting topic in particle physics. According to the CMS report [1], the baryon abundance (denote η_B) to that of photons (denoted η_γ) is estimated as

$$\frac{\eta_B}{\eta_\gamma} \simeq 6 \times 10^{-10}. \quad (1)$$

Regarding the explanation for its origin, thermal leptogenesis provides an elegant mechanism in which the lepton number from the right-handed neutrino decay is fractionally converted into the baryon number via a sphaleron process [2–5]. The hypothesis, however, entails a high reheating temperature of $T_{RH} \gtrsim 10^9$ GeV caused by the heavy right-handed neutrino(s), which encounters the gravitino overproduction under the circumstance of $m_{3/2} \lesssim 1$ GeV.

In this letter, we demonstrate that the composite singlets, along with one right-handed neutrino, could make the leptogenesis most viable in the context of supersymmetry (SUSY), wherein we show that T_{RH} is rendered $\mathcal{O}(10^5)$ GeV, and the quasi-degenerate neutrino masses are embodied at $\mathcal{O}(0.01)$ eV, without invoking the grand unification theory (GUT) [6].

Explicit model based on $SU(2)$ gauge group

Let us illustrate an explicit model within the SUSY framework. As displayed in Tables 1, we assume a $SU(2)$ gauge group of $N_F = 4$ and one gauge singlet that corresponds to the right-handed neutrino superfield. Additionally, we suppose that $U(1)_R$ symmetry preserves up to the renormalizable interactions, which is broken down to the R -parity due to the Planck-suppressed couplings:

Table 1. Matter content/Charge assignment

	Q^1, Q^2, Q^3, Q^4	N
$SU(2)$	2	1
$U(1)_R$	2, 0, 1, -1	-1
Z_{2R}	+, +, -, -	-

The most general superpotential consistent with the $SU(2)$ and R symmetry is written as

$$W_{RE} = \kappa_{13}(Q^1 Q^3)N + \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i H_u L_i N$$

$$\Rightarrow W_{RE}^{low} = \kappa_{13} \Lambda S^{13} N + \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i H_u L_i N, \quad (2)$$

$$W_{NRE} = \sum_{k,l=1}^2 \sum_{m,n=3}^4 \lambda_{klmn} \frac{(Q^k Q^m)(Q^l Q^n)}{M_{pl}}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{m=3}^4 h_{kmi} \frac{(Q^k Q^m) H_u L_i}{M_{pl}}$$

$$\Rightarrow W_{NRE}^{low} = \sum_{k,l=1}^2 \sum_{m,n=3}^4 \lambda_{klmn} \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{pl}} S^{km} S^{ln}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{m=3}^4 h_{kmi} \frac{\Lambda}{M_{pl}} S^{km} H_u L_i, \quad (3)$$

where W_{RE} , W_{NRE} denote the renormalizable and non-renormalizable interactions, respectively. Also, W_{RE}^{low} , W_{NRE}^{low} are the superpotential couplings formed below the $SU(2)$ dynamical scale (denoted Λ). $H_u, L_i (i = 1 - 3)$ are the up-type Higgs and the $SU(2)_L$ lepton superfields in the minimal supersymmetry standard model (MSSM).

M_{pl} stands for the reduced Planck scale of 2.4×10^{18} GeV. $\kappa_{13}, \lambda_{klmn}, h_{kmi}$ and y_i are the dimensionless coupling constants.

Diagonalizing the S^{km} mass matrix, we rewrite the relevant couplings in terms of the eigenstates (denoted $S^A (A = 1 - 4)$) as follows:

$$W_{NRE} \supset \sum_{A=1}^4 \frac{\Lambda^2}{2!M_{pl}} \lambda_A S^A S^A. \quad (4)$$

Consequently, the $S^{13} - N$ mixing term takes the form of

$$W_{RE} \supset \kappa_{13} \Lambda \left(\sum_{A=1}^4 r_A S^A \right) N \quad (5)$$

with the coefficients satisfying the equality:

$$\sum_{A=1}^4 |r_A|^2 = 1. \quad (6)$$

Meanwhile, the interactions with the MSSM sector are expressed as

$$W \supset \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{A=1}^4 h'_{Ai} \frac{\Lambda}{M_{pl}} S^A H_u L_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i H_u L_i N, \quad (7)$$

where h'_{Ai} denotes the coupling constant corresponding to h_{kmi} .

In what follows, we take $r_A (A = 1 - 4) = 1/2$ in Eq.(6) for simplicity and use the notation of

$$m_A \equiv \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{pl}}, \quad M \equiv \kappa_{13} \Lambda. \quad (8)$$

Relevant Lagrangian density

After diagonalizing the fermion mass matrix obtained from Eqs.(4) and (5), the relevant Lagrangian density is approximately written in terms of the mass eigenstate:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \simeq & \sum_{a=2}^4 \frac{m_{\chi_a}}{2!} \bar{\chi}_a \chi_a + \frac{M}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1 + \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_2) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{a=2}^4 \xi_{ai} \frac{\Lambda}{M_{pl}} H_u \chi_a \bar{l}_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{y_i}{\sqrt{2}} H_u (\psi_1 + \psi_2) \bar{l}_i \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{a=2}^4 \xi_{ai} \frac{\Lambda}{M_{pl}} \tilde{h}_u \chi_a \tilde{L}_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{y_i}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{h}_u (\psi_1 + \psi_2) \tilde{L}_i \\ & + h.c.. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Each eigenstate is related to N, S^A through the Unitary matrix U :

$$\begin{pmatrix} N \\ S^A \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \chi_a \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

and it follows that

$$N \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \psi_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \psi_2, \quad (11)$$

due to $M \gg m_A$ in our model. Accordingly, $\xi_{ai} (a = 2 - 4)$ is expressed by

$$\xi_{2i} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^5 \zeta_{ki} U_{k3}, \quad \xi_{3i} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^5 \zeta_{ki} U_{k4}, \quad \xi_{4i} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^5 \zeta_{ki} U_{k5}, \quad (12)$$

and ζ_{ki} is defined as

$$\zeta_{ki} = \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ h'_{1i} \\ h'_{2i} \\ h'_{3i} \\ h'_{4i} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Here, l_i, H_u denote the lepton and the up-type Higgs boson, while \tilde{L}_i, \tilde{h}_u are the slepton and the higgsino, respectively. Meanwhile, the scalar potential is written as

$$\begin{aligned} V = & M^2 \left| \sum_{A=1}^4 \frac{1}{2} \tilde{S}^A \right|^2 + M^2 |\tilde{N}|^2 \\ & + \sum_{A=1}^4 \left[m_A^2 |\tilde{S}^A|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} m_A M \tilde{S}^A \tilde{N}^* + h.c. \right) \right] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i M H_u \tilde{L}_i \left(\sum_{A=1}^4 \frac{1}{2} \tilde{S}^A \right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Here, \tilde{S}^A, \tilde{N} denote the scalar component of S^A and N , respectively. Using the mass eigenstates, we approximately express the above interactions:

$$V \simeq M^2 |\Phi_1|^2 + M^2 |\Phi_2|^2 + m_{\phi_a}^2 |\phi_a|^2, \quad (15)$$

where $\Phi_{1,2}$ denote the eigenstate. Each has the eigen mass of $\sim M$, which is roughly written as the linear combination:

$$\Phi_1 \simeq \frac{\tilde{N}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\sum_{A=1}^4 \tilde{S}^A}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad (16)$$

$$\Phi_2 \simeq \frac{\tilde{N}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\sum_{A=1}^4 \tilde{S}^A}{2\sqrt{2}}. \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, we refer to the other eigenstates with lighter masses of m_{ϕ_a} as ϕ_a ; altogether, each eigenstate is expressed using the Unitary matrix V :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{N} \\ \tilde{S}^A \end{pmatrix} = V \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \\ \phi_a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

The interactions with the MSSM sector are then reduced to the form of

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{a=2}^4 \left(\eta_{ai} \frac{\Lambda}{M_{pl}} \tilde{h}_u \bar{l}_i \phi_a + y_i \frac{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{h}_u l_i \right) + h.c. \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V &\supset \sum_{A=1}^4 \left| \frac{\partial W}{\partial S^A} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial W}{\partial N} \right|^2 \\ &\supset \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{a=2}^4 \mathcal{D}_{ai} \frac{\Lambda}{M_{pl}} H_u \tilde{L}_i \phi_a^* + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

with

$$\eta_{2i} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^5 \zeta_{ki} V_{k3}, \quad \eta_{3i} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^5 \zeta_{ki} V_{k4}, \quad \eta_{4i} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^5 \zeta_{ki} V_{k5}, \quad (21)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{2i} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^5 \sigma_{ki} V_{k3}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{3i} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^5 \sigma_{ki} V_{k4}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{4i} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^5 \sigma_{ki} V_{k5}. \quad (22)$$

Here σ_{ki} is defined as

$$\sigma_{ki} = \begin{pmatrix} y_i M \\ h'_{1i} m_1 \\ h'_{2i} m_2 \\ h'_{3i} m_3 \\ h'_{4i} m_4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

Generation of lepton number

• From composite fermions

First, we evaluate the lepton asymmetry brought from the composite fermion decay. Each decay rate of χ_a is given by

$$\Gamma_{\chi_a}^{H_u, l_i} \simeq \Gamma_{\chi_a}^{\tilde{h}_u, \tilde{L}_i} \simeq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 |\xi_{ai}|^2}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{M_{pl}} \right)^2 m_{\chi_a}. \quad (24)$$

Noting that ψ_1, ψ_2 or Φ_1, Φ_2 serve as the internal line, we find that χ_a decays, via the vertex correction and the wave function renormalization, yield

the lepton number:

$$\epsilon_{l_i}^{\chi_a} \simeq \epsilon_{\tilde{L}_i}^{\chi_a} \simeq -\frac{3 \cdot 2}{16\pi} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \text{Im}(\mathcal{I}_a) \cdot \frac{m_{\chi_a}}{M}, \quad (25)$$

with

$$\mathcal{I}_a = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \xi_{ai} y_i^* \right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^3 |\xi_{ai}|^2}. \quad (26)$$

Here, we followed [3, 4] but with the factor 5/6 entailed by our model [7].

• From composite bosons

Additionally, the composite boson decay comparably contributes to the generation of the lepton number. Let us derive the decay rate of ϕ_a , and we thus obtain the lepton asymmetry [3, 4]:

$$\Gamma_{\phi_a}^{H_u, \tilde{L}} \simeq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 |\mathcal{D}_{ai}|^2}{8\pi m_{\phi_a}} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{M_{pl}} \right)^2 \quad (27)$$

$$\Gamma_{\phi_a}^{\tilde{h}_u, l} \simeq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 |\eta_{ai}|^2}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{M_{pl}} \right)^2 m_{\phi_a} \quad (28)$$

$$\epsilon_{l_i}^{\phi_a} \simeq -\frac{3 \cdot 2}{16\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \text{Im}(\mathcal{J}_a^{l_i}) \cdot \frac{m_{\phi_a}}{M}, \quad (29)$$

$$\epsilon_{L_i}^{\phi_a} \simeq -\frac{3 \cdot 2}{16\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \text{Im}(\mathcal{J}_a^{L_i}) \cdot \frac{m_{\phi_a}}{M}, \quad (30)$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_a^{l_i} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \eta_{ai} y_i^* \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{D}_{ai} y_i^* \right)}{m_{\phi_a} \sum_{i=1}^3 |\eta_{ai}|^2}, \quad (31)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_a^{L_i} = \frac{m_{\phi_a} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \eta_{ai} y_i^* \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{D}_{ai} y_i^* \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 |\mathcal{D}_{ai}|^2}. \quad (32)$$

Similar to the previous case, our model leads to the appearance of the factor 1/3 in Eqs.(29) and (30) [7].

Baryon asymmetry and Neutrino mass

Let us evaluate the baryon asymmetry that emerges from the sphaleron process, taking account of the neutrino mass generated by the See-Saw mechanism [8]. Under the circumstance of $K_i < 1$, i.e. the weak washout regime, the final efficient factor is reduced to the following form, depending on $T_{RH} < m_{\chi_a}$, m_{ϕ_a} or $T_{RH} > m_{\chi_a}$, m_{ϕ_a} :

$$\kappa_f(K_i) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{4}{15} K_i \times \left(\frac{T_{RH}}{m_{\chi_a, \phi_a}} \right)^5, & \text{for } T_{RH} < m_{\chi_a}, m_{\phi_a}, \\ \frac{9\pi^2}{64} K_i^2, & \text{for } T_{RH} > m_{\chi_a}, m_{\phi_a}. \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Here, K_i (the subscript i denotes the composite fermion/boson) is conventionally defined as

$$K_{\chi_a}^{H_u, l_i} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{\chi_a}^{H_u, l_i}}{H(m_{\chi_a})}, \quad K_{\chi_a}^{\tilde{h}_u, \tilde{L}_i} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{\chi_a}^{\tilde{h}_u, \tilde{L}_i}}{H(m_{\chi_a})}, \quad (34)$$

$$K_{\phi_a}^{H_u, \tilde{L}_i} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{\phi_a}^{H_u, \tilde{L}_i}}{H(m_{\phi_a})}, \quad K_{\phi_a}^{\tilde{h}_u, l_i} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{\phi_a}^{\tilde{h}_u, l_i}}{H(m_{\phi_a})}, \quad (35)$$

and the Hubble parameter is given by

$$H(T) \simeq \begin{cases} 62.8 \times \left(\frac{g_*}{228.75}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{T^4}{T_{RH}^2 M_{pl}}, \\ 5.01 \times \left(\frac{g_*}{228.75}\right)^{1/2} \frac{T^2}{M_{pl}}, \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

where the former expression corresponds to the reheating stage, while the latter is for the usual radiation-dominated epoch [9]. $g_*(T)$ is the degree of freedom of radiative particles, and we used $g_*(m_{\chi_a}) \simeq g_*(m_{\phi_a}) \simeq g_*(T_{RH}) = 228.75$. Choosing $m_1 = 3.2 \times 10^5$ GeV, $m_2 = 3.1 \times 10^5$ GeV, $m_3 = 3.0 \times 10^5$ GeV, $m_4 = 2.9 \times 10^5$ GeV, $M = 10^{10}$ GeV and $\Lambda = 2 \times 10^{12}$ GeV and setting $h'_{1i} = -e^{i\alpha}$, $h'_{2i} = h'_{3i} = h'_{4i} = e^{i\alpha}$ (α : pure phase), one derives the eigen mass [10]:

$$m_{\chi_2} \simeq m_{\phi_2} \simeq 3.16 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV}, \quad (37)$$

$$m_{\chi_3} \simeq m_{\phi_3} \simeq 3.05 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV}, \quad (38)$$

$$m_{\chi_4} \simeq m_{\phi_4} \simeq 2.94 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV}. \quad (39)$$

Given $y_1 = e^{i\beta}$, $y_2 = |y_2|e^{i\beta}$, $y_3 = |y_3|e^{i\beta}$ (β : pure phase) and $m_{\chi_4}, m_{\phi_4} < T_{RH} < m_{\chi_a}, m_{\phi_a}$ ($a = 2, 3$) for instance, we thus obtain

the total baryon asymmetry [11]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_B}{s} &\simeq \frac{90\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 g_*} \frac{24 + 4n_H}{66 + 13n_H} \\ &\times \left(-\frac{3}{4} \sum_{a=2}^4 \epsilon^{\chi_a} \cdot \kappa_f(K_a) - \sum_{a=2}^4 \epsilon^{\phi_a} \cdot \kappa_f(K_a) \right) \\ &\simeq 5.61 \times 10^{-12} Y_1^2 \\ &\quad \times \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \left(\frac{T_{RH}}{3.0 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV}} \right)^7 \\ &\quad + 5.36 \times 10^{-12} Y_1 Y_2 \\ &\quad \times \sin(\alpha - \beta) \left(\frac{T_{RH}}{3.0 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV}} \right)^7 \\ &\quad + (7.62 \times 10^{-14} Y_1^2 + 6.14 \times 10^{-13} Y_1^2 Y_2) \\ &\quad \times \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \\ &\quad + (4.09 \times 10^{-13} Y_1 Y_2 + 1.24 \times 10^{-12} Y_1 Y_2^2) \\ &\quad \times \sin(\alpha - \beta) \\ &\quad + 1.39 \times 10^{-13} Y_1^3 \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \cos(\alpha - \beta), \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

where n_H is the number of the Higgs doublets that equals 2 in the MSSM, and we define Y_1, Y_2 as follows:

$$Y_1 \equiv 1 + |y_2| + |y_3|, \quad Y_2 \equiv 1 + |y_2|^2 + |y_3|^2. \quad (41)$$

Besides, taking into consideration that the composite fermions have the eigen mass of m_{χ_a} for χ_a ($a = 2 - 4$) and $\sim M$ for $\psi_{1,2}$, we estimate the neutrino mass:

$$m_{\nu i} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{\text{Det}(\mathcal{M}_F^\dagger \mathcal{M}_F)}}{M^2 \cdot \prod_{a=2}^4 m_{\chi_a}} \simeq \frac{|y_i|^2 v_u^2 \cdot \prod_{A=1}^4 m_A}{M^2 \cdot \prod_{a=2}^4 m_{\chi_a}}, \quad (42)$$

where \mathcal{M}_F is the 6×6 fermion mass matrix including l_i which follows from Eq.(9). Meanwhile, v_u denotes the VEV (vacuum expectation value) of the H_u boson. We then obtain the baryon asymmetry for $|y_2| = 0.910$, $|y_3| = 0.906$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_B}{s} &\simeq 4.45 \times 10^{-11} \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \left(\frac{T_{RH}}{3.0 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV}} \right)^7 \\ &\quad + 4.00 \times 10^{-11} \sin(\alpha - \beta) \left(\frac{T_{RH}}{3.0 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV}} \right)^7 \\ &\quad + 1.26 \times 10^{-11} \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \\ &\quad + 2.75 \times 10^{-11} \sin(\alpha - \beta) \\ &\quad + 3.1 \times 10^{-12} \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \cos(\alpha - \beta). \quad (43) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the observed value of $n_B/s \simeq 8.7 \times 10^{-11}$ [12] is generated for $T_{RH} \simeq 3.0 \times 10^5$

GeV and $\sin(\alpha - \beta) \simeq 0.51$. Accordingly, we evaluate the neutrino mass:

$$m_{\nu 1} \simeq 8.80 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{v_u}{170 \text{ GeV}} \right)^2 \text{ eV}, \quad (44)$$

$$m_{\nu 2} \simeq 7.28 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{v_u}{170 \text{ GeV}} \right)^2 \text{ eV}, \quad (45)$$

$$m_{\nu 3} \simeq 7.23 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{v_u}{170 \text{ GeV}} \right)^2 \text{ eV}. \quad (46)$$

We note that this spectrum reproduces the experimental result [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta m_{21}^2 &\simeq 2.44 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2, \\ \Delta m_{32}^2 &\simeq 7.53 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Discussion and Conclusion

We showed that the composite singlets play a crucial role in implementing thermal leptogenesis at low reheating temperature. On theoretical grounds, our proposal is motivated by the observation that the composite SM quark or lepton would lead to the Planck-suppressed Yukawa coupling of the first (and the second) generation, so that u, d quark/ e^- lepton can be made much lighter than t, b quark/ τ^- lepton [14]. Provided that the neutrino sector should possess the same structure, we constructed an explicit model that accommodates $T_{RH} \simeq 3 \times 10^5$ GeV and the quasi-degenerate neutrino mass without any relation to the GUT breaking scale. Depending on the set of parameters, successful leptogenesis could be achieved even with a lower reheating temperature. For future research, supposing that the composite singlets originate from the dual theory such as the Intriligator-Seiberg-Shih model [15], our finding may be described within the direct-mediated SUSY breaking at metastable vacuum [16, 17].

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank S. Biondini (Basel University) for informing me of their work.

References

- [1] E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP], *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **192** (2011), 18 [arXiv:1001.4538 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [2] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, *Phys. Lett. B* **174** (1986), 45-47.
- [3] W. Buchmuller, P. Di Bari and M. Plumacher, *Nucl. Phys. B* **643** (2002), 367-390 [erratum: *Nucl. Phys. B* **793** (2008), 362] [arXiv:hep-ph/0205349 [hep-ph]].
- [4] W. Buchmuller, R. D. Peccei and T. Yanagida, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **55** (2005), 311-355 [arXiv:hep-ph/0502169 [hep-ph]].
- [5] S. Davidson, E. Nardi and Y. Nir, *Phys. Rept.* **466** (2008), 105-177 [arXiv:0802.2962 [hep-ph]].
- [6] For an example of leptogenesis from composite fermions, see S. Biondini and O. Panella, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) no.9, 644 [arXiv:1707.00844 [hep-ph]].
- [7] We note that the extra factor $5/6, 1/3$ should be multiplied in Eq.(25) or Eqs.(29), (30) due to the following interactions in our model:
$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \supset & \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i \frac{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{h}_u l_i \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i M \frac{\Phi_1 - \Phi_2}{\sqrt{2}} H_u \tilde{L}_i + h.c.. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$
- [8] T. Yanagida, *Conf. Proc. C* **7902131** (1979), 95-99 KEK-79-18-95.
- [9] We verify for the parameters of our interest that each of K_i lies in the range of $K_i \lesssim 0.1$.
- [10] The explicit form of U, V matrices are given as follows:
$$U \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 6.32 \cdot 10^{-7} & 6.71 \cdot 10^{-7} & 6.32 \cdot 10^{-7} \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2}} & -0.828 & -0.224 & -0.121 \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0.512 & -0.671 & -0.195 \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0.195 & 0.671 & -0.512 \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0.121 & 0.224 & 0.828 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (49)$$

$$V \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 6.32 \cdot 10^{-7} & 6.71 \cdot 10^{-7} & -6.32 \cdot 10^{-7} \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2}} & -0.828 & -0.224 & 0.121 \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0.512 & -0.671 & 0.195 \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0.195 & 0.671 & 0.512 \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0.121 & 0.224 & -0.828 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (50)$$

[11] Each contribution is as follows:

$$\frac{n_B^{\chi_2}}{s} \simeq 3.36 \times 10^{-12} \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \cdot \mathcal{C} + 3.10 \times 10^{-12} \sin(\alpha - \beta) \cdot \mathcal{D}, \quad (51)$$

$$\frac{n_B^{\phi_2}}{s} \simeq 1.77 \times 10^{-12} \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \cdot \mathcal{C} + 8.37 \times 10^{-13} \sin(\alpha - \beta) \cdot \mathcal{D}, \quad (52)$$

$$\frac{n_B^{\chi_3}}{s} \simeq 3.15 \times 10^{-13} \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \cdot \mathcal{C} + 1.13 \times 10^{-12} \sin(\alpha - \beta) \cdot \mathcal{D}, \quad (53)$$

$$\frac{n_B^{\phi_3}}{s} \simeq 1.60 \times 10^{-13} \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \cdot \mathcal{C} + 2.96 \times 10^{-13} \sin(\alpha - \beta) \cdot \mathcal{D}, \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_B^{\chi_4}}{s} &\simeq (5.27 \times 10^{-14} Y_1^2 + 1.75 \times 10^{-13} Y_1^2 Y_2 \\ &+ 3.51 \times 10^{-13} Y_1^2 Y_2) \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \\ &+ (3.33 \times 10^{-13} Y_1 Y_2 + 1.11 \times 10^{-12} Y_1 Y_2^2) \\ &\times \sin(\alpha - \beta) \\ &+ 1.11 \times 10^{-13} Y_1^3 \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \cos(\alpha - \beta), \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_B^{\phi_4}}{s} &\simeq (2.34 \times 10^{-14} Y_1^2 + 4.25 \times 10^{-14} Y_1^2 Y_2 \\ &+ 4.52 \times 10^{-14} Y_1^2 Y_2) \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \\ &+ (7.63 \times 10^{-14} Y_1 Y_2 + 1.39 \times 10^{-13} Y_1 Y_2^2) \\ &\times \sin(\alpha - \beta) \\ &+ 2.78 \times 10^{-14} Y_1^3 \sin 2(\alpha - \beta) \cos(\alpha - \beta), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where the superscript in the numerator on the left-hand side denotes the composite particle involved, while \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are defined as

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv Y_1^2 \left(\frac{T_{RH}}{3.0 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV}} \right)^7, \quad (57)$$

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv Y_1 Y_2 \left(\frac{T_{RH}}{3.0 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV}} \right)^7. \quad (58)$$

[12] M. Tanabashi *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018) no.3, 030001.

[13] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Chin. Phys. C **38** (2014), 090001.

[14] N. Arkani-Hamed, M. A. Luty and J. Terning, Phys. Rev. D **58** (1998), 015004 [arXiv:hep-ph/9712389 [hep-ph]].

[15] K. A. Intriligator, N. Seiberg and D. Shih, JHEP **04** (2006), 021 [arXiv:hep-th/0602239 [hep-th]].

[16] I. Affleck, M. Dine and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B **256** (1985), 557-599.

[17] R. Kitano, H. Ooguri and Y. Ookouchi, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007), 045022 [arXiv:hep-ph/0612139 [hep-ph]].