## Causality and stability of relativistic spin-hydrodynamics

Golam Sarwar,<sup>1,\*</sup> Md Hasanujjaman,<sup>2,3,†</sup> Jitesh R.

Bhatt,<sup>1,‡</sup> Hiranmaya Mishra,<sup>4,5,§</sup> and Jan-e Alam<sup>3,6,¶</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Theory Division, Physical Research Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad 380009, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Darjeeling Government College, Darjeeling- 734101, India <sup>3</sup>Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre,

1/AF Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata- 700064, India

<sup>4</sup>Theory Division, Physical Research Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad 380009, India

<sup>5</sup>School of Physical Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research, Jatni-752050, India <sup>6</sup>Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex, Mumbai - 400085, India

## Abstract

We study the causality and stability of relativistic hydrodynamics with the inclusion of the spin degree of freedom as a hydrodynamic field. We consider two specific models of spinhydrodynamics for this purpose. A linear mode analysis for static background shows that a first-order dissipative spin-hydrodynamics remains acausal and admits instabilities. Besides, it is found that the inclusion of the spin field in hydrodynamics leads to new kinds of linear modes in the system. These new modes also exhibit instability and acausal behavior. The second model of the spin-hydrodynamics that we have considered here is equivalent to a particular second-order conventional hydrodynamics with no dissipative effects. For a static background, it is found that the linear modes of this model support the sound waves only. However, when the background has constant vorticity, then the model admits instability and acausality in certain situations. It is found that the spin-dynamics have an effect on the hydrodynamic response of the fluid. These findings point toward the need for a causal and stable theory with spin as a hydrodynamic field to describe the spin-polarized fluid.

<sup>\*</sup> golamsarwar1990@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> jaman.mdh@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> jiteshbhatt.prl@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> mishrahm@gmail.com

<sup>¶</sup> jane@vecc.gov.in

## I. INTRODUCTION

Several new theoretical developments have taken place in relativistic dissipative hydrodynamics (see [1] for review) which is immensely successful in describing the data from nuclear collisions at relativistic energies [2-4]. Recently, invigorating efforts have been witnessed on the development of spin hydrodynamics [5-41] after the experimental measurement of the polarization of  $\Lambda$  hyperon [42, 43]. In particular, it is required to know - how the spin of the constituent particles is related with the fluid variable like vorticity, symmetric gradients or magnetic fields. The polarization of hadrons observed in non-central collisions of heavy ions at Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) at the high center of mass energies  $(\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}})$  [42, 43] has been attributed to the transfer of orbital angular momentum of the fireball to the spin polarization through spin orbit coupling. However, the dependence of the local  $\Lambda$  spin polarization on the azimuthal angle in the transverse plane of collision observed by the STAR collaboration [44, 45] can not be explained by hydrodynamical models based on local thermal vorticity [46-48]. The spin polarization as an independent relativistic hydrodynamic field was proposed as a possible solution to this problem which has led to several new developments in the area of relativistic spin hydrodynamics. It was realized that also the shear stress of the fluid can give rise to spin polarization in addition to vorticity and temperature gradient [49, 50]. Subsequently, it shown that one can solve the sign problem of local  $\Lambda$  spin polarization by considering a possible effect of shear-induced polarization [51, 52] at the constant temperature freeze out hypersurface without incorporating any additional variable in hydrodynamics for the spin for modeling the evolution of the quark gluon plasma (QGP) phase. However, even with considering the shear induced polarization, the (steepness of) variation of the component of the polarization along the direction of global angular momentum with azimuthal angel is not well reproduced [52]. Also the effect of polarization on the fluid dynamic evolution of QGP is not fully understood. There the incorporation of the spin density as a new field variable in the hydrodynamic setup remains relevant for understanding the spin polarization in RHIC.

It must be emphasized that the inclusion of spin observables in hydrodynamics opens up an interesting possibility of developing a 'classical' tool for studying the quantum effect in a many-body system like quark-gluon plasma. Other new interesting developments are the chiral hydrodynamics [53, 54] and the chiral vortical effects [55, 56]. In condensed matter systems also hydrodynamics with spin observables have found many interesting applications (see [57] for a review).

The incorporation of the spin as a hydrodynamic field and its effect on the evolution of relativistic fluid is one of the most active areas of contemporary research [5, 24, 58]. The inclusion of spin in the general relativity is also a long-standing problem [59]. The evolution of the spin and other hydrodynamic fields (e.g. energy density, pressure, velocity, etc.) are governed by the conservation of the total angular momentum along with the other equations governing the conservations of energy-momentum and conserved charges (net electric charge, net baryonic charge, etc). However, the definitions of the energy-momentum and spin tensors are not unique because of the presence of pseudo gauge' transformation degrees of freedom [59]. Therefore, in spite of tremendous efforts, the formulation of relativistic dissipative spin-hydrodynamics remains incomplete. One can obtain many different pairs of these tensors [59] through pseudo-gauge transformation. This ambiguity can be illustrated through the following situation: At the microscopic level energy-momentum tensor, defined for a system of particles with spin, can have symmetric and antisymmetric parts where the antisymmetric part can be attributed to spin. Now with the help of pseudo-gauge transformation, one can define a new energy-momentum tensor [60], the Belinfante tensor which is symmetric. Recently it has been shown in [7] that the entropy currents under this transformation are not equivalent in non-equilibrium situations. This is intriguing since this difference in expressions of entropy current imply that the physics of the two situations are not the same! Another interesting point of view was advanced in Ref. [8] where the authors demonstrate that the second-order conventional hydrodynamics is equivalent to spin-hydrodynamics in the dissipationless limit. The demonstration, however, uses the pseudo gauge transformations along with the suitable generalization of the currents associated with the entropy and number densities. However, due to this equivalence, one may think that perhaps one does not need to have spin hydrodynamics, since conventional hydrodynamics suffices, which needs to be investigated. Apart from that, we note that the energy-momentum tensor for the second-order conventional hydrodynamics contains contributions from the fluid vorticity [61-63]. But the inclusion of vorticity brings spin-dynamics in the hydrodynamic theory since the presence of the finite vorticity in the system can be regarded as a source of spin-polarization. In addition to

that the shear stress is also a source of spin polarization in a fluid. This points towards the requirement of a treatment, more than the conventional formulation, to account for the spin dynamics, with a spin density as an independent hydrodynamic field.

It is well-known that the straightforward generalization of NS equation to the relativistic domain is problematic because it admits acausal and unstable solutions [64]. It is also known that these issues can be remedied by incorporating second-order corrections to the NS equation [63] if certain conditions are satisfied. It is to be noted that this approach is not unique and there exists a variety of other approaches to address the issues related to the relativistic generalization of Navier Stokes (NS) equation [65]. In the present work, we systematically analyze the issues related to causality and instability in the spin-hydrodynamics presented in Refs. [6, 8]. The equations of spin-hydrodynamics presented in Refs. [6, 8] have very different structures and supports different modes. In Ref. [32], it is shown that the causality for a particular kind of spin hydrodynamics can be restored only with a second-order term like Israel-Stewart's theory [63].

The paper is organized as follows: In the next section we first briefly introduce the dissipative spin-hydrodynamics equations and for a simple initial state, we provide a linear-mode analysis. In section III, we briefly introduce the convention of second-order hydrodynamics and its equivalence with spin-hydrodynamics the dissipationless limit. This section also includes the linear mode analysis for the two initial states. The first case corresponds to the stationary fluid while the second initial state has non-zero but constant vorticity in x and y directions. Section IV is devoted to the summary and discussions.

## II. DISSIPATIVE SPIN-HYDRODYNAMICS

#### A. Structure

There are several ways to obtain the equations of spin hydrodynamics. The methods based on effective field theory, [30, 31], the entropy current analysis approach [5] and the method of moments [20] were used to derive the equation of relativistic spin hydrodynamics. In the present work, we closely follow the approach adopted in Ref. [6]. The conventional way is to define the energy-momentum tensor  $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$  and the conserved "currents" of the fluid under consideration. To incorporate spin within the hydrodynamic framework, one must consider the total angular momentum  $J^{\mu\alpha\beta}$  as one of the conserved currents. The Noether current,  $J^{\mu\alpha\beta}$  associated with Lorentz transformation can be decomposed into spin and orbital angular momentum as follows:

$$J^{\mu\alpha\beta} = \left(x^{\alpha}\Theta^{\mu\beta} - x^{\beta}\Theta^{\mu\alpha}\right) + \Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta},\tag{1}$$

where  $\Theta^{\mu\beta}$  is the canonical energy-momentum tensor (EMT),  $x^{\alpha}$  is the space-time fourvector and  $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$  is the spin tensor. The first term within the bracket on the right-hand side of Eq.(1) represents the contribution from the orbital angular-momentum which is conserved for symmetric  $\Theta^{\mu\beta}$ . All the dissipative fluxes that one may encounter in the formulation of dissipative hydrodynamics will be denoted with a prefix,  $\Delta$ . Henceforth, the contribution from the gradients of hydrodynamic fields to  $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$  will be denoted by  $\Delta\Theta^{\mu\nu}$  and decomposed into symmetric ( $\Delta\Theta^{\mu\nu}_s$ ) and anti-symmetric ( $\Delta\Theta^{\mu\nu}_a$ ) parts as follows:

$$\Delta \Theta^{\mu\nu} = \Delta \Theta_s^{\mu\nu} + \Delta \Theta_a^{\mu\nu}. \tag{2}$$

Both the symmetric and the anti-symmetric parts of the canonical EMT contain information about the dissipation and transport coefficients. The mathematical form of  $\Delta \Theta^{\mu\nu}$  can be determined with the help of the second law of thermodynamics. The second term on the right-hand side of Eq.(1) is the spin term which arises due to the invariance of the underlying field under Lorentz transformation [6] and can be identified with the internal degrees of freedom. It is required that the spin term satisfy the condition  $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta} = -\Sigma^{\mu\beta\alpha}$ .

The spin tensor can further be decomposed into two parts:

$$\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta} = S^{\alpha\beta}u^{\mu} + \Delta\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta},\tag{3}$$

where,  $S^{\alpha\beta}$  is spin polarization density in the fluid rest frame and  $\Delta \Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$  is the spin dissipation. Moreover, the current density  $j^{\mu}$  for conserved charges (baryonic charge for the system formed in the relativistic nuclear collision) can be written as

$$j^{\mu} = nu^{\mu} + n^{\mu}, \tag{4}$$

where n is the charge density at the fluid rest frame and  $n^{\mu}$  is the charge diffusion, vanishes in Eckart's choice of frame.

Next, one can write EMT for the fluid as,

$$\Theta^{\mu\nu} = \Theta^{\mu\nu}_o + \Delta\Theta^{\mu\nu}_s + \Delta\Theta^{\mu\nu}_a, \tag{5}$$

where  $\Theta_o^{\mu\nu}$  is the ideal part of the EMT which is given by,

$$\Theta_{o}^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + \mathcal{P}\Delta^{\mu\nu}, \tag{6}$$

where  $\epsilon$ , P,  $u^{\mu}$  denote energy density, pressure and fluid four velocity of the fluid respectively. The signature metric of the flat space-time is taken here as  $g^{\mu\nu} = diag(-, +, +, +)$ with all the non-diagonal components being zero. Such that the projection operator  $\Delta^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} + u^{\mu}u^{\nu}$  satisfies the condition:  $\Delta^{\mu\nu}u_{\mu} = 0$ . The velocity field  $u^{\mu}$  satisfies the normalization condition  $u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = -1$ . The quantities, P,  $\epsilon$ , and n are related through the Equation of State (EoS), as  $P = P(\epsilon, n)$ .

Expressions for  $\Delta \Theta_s^{\mu\nu}$  and  $\Delta \Theta_a^{\mu\nu}$  can be decomposed as [6, 66]

$$\Delta \Theta_s^{\mu\nu} = \Pi \Delta^{\mu\nu} + h^\mu u^\nu + u^\mu h^\nu + \pi^{\mu\nu},\tag{7}$$

$$\Delta \Theta_a^{\mu\nu} = q^\mu u^\nu - u^\mu q^\nu + \phi^{\mu\nu},\tag{8}$$

where the scalar  $\Pi$ , the vectors  $(h^{\mu} \text{ and } q^{\mu})$ , the rank-2 tensors  $(\pi^{\mu\nu} \text{ and } \phi^{\mu\nu})$  are the dissipation fluxes. All the dissipative fluxes in the canonical EMT individually satisfy the transversality condition with respect to the hydrodynamical velocity  $u^{\mu}$  given by :  $h^{\mu}u_{\mu} = q^{\mu}u_{\mu} = \Pi \Delta^{\mu\nu}u_{\mu} = \pi^{\mu\nu}u_{\mu} = u_{\mu}\phi^{\mu\nu} = 0$ . The dissipation vector  $h^{\mu}$  represents the contribution to the energy flow that does not depend on the spin polarization, while the vector  $q^{\mu}$  describes the dissipation due to spin polarization. The tensor  $\pi^{\mu\nu}$  is a symmetric traceless tensor representing the shear-stress tensor without any effect of the spin-polarization, whereas  $\phi^{\mu\nu}$  is an antisymmetric shear tensor describing the dissipation due to vorticity and spin-polarization. The mathematical forms of the scalar, vector, and tensor dissipative fluxes can be constructed in terms of  $g^{\mu\nu}$ , the hydrodynamical fields, and the transport coefficients with the help of the second law of thermodynamics. The transport coefficients can be determined from the underlying microscopic theories.

The equations of motion of a relativistic fluid with spin degrees are given by:

$$\partial_{\mu}\Theta^{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad (9)$$

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0\,,\tag{10}$$

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0. \tag{11}$$

The second law of thermodynamics requires that the entropy current  $s^{\mu}$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\partial_{\mu}s^{\mu} \ge 0. \tag{12}$$

From Eq.(9) and using the definition of total angular momentum (Eq.(1)), one gets the equation for spin-dynamics as,

$$\partial_{\rho} \Sigma^{\rho \mu \nu} = -2\Delta \Theta_a^{\mu \nu}. \tag{13}$$

This equation indicates that the evolution of the spin is governed by the anti-symmetric part of the EMT.

Next by using Eqs.(9), (10) and (11) we obtain,

$$D\epsilon = -(\epsilon + P)\theta + u_{\nu}\partial_{\mu} \left[\Delta\Theta^{\mu\nu}\right], \qquad (14)$$

$$(\epsilon + P)Du^{\mu} = -\Delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}P - \Delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\partial_{\alpha}\Delta\Theta^{\alpha\nu}, \qquad (15)$$

$$DS^{\alpha\beta} = -S^{\alpha\beta}\theta - 2\Delta\Theta_a^{\alpha\beta} - \partial_\mu \Delta\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta} , \qquad (16)$$

$$Dn = -n\theta, \tag{17}$$

where,  $D \equiv u^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}$  and  $\theta \equiv \partial_{\mu}u^{\mu}$ . The first law of thermodynamics is generalized to incorporate the spin density  $S^{\mu\nu}$  as [6]:

$$Tds = d\epsilon - \mu dn - \omega_{\mu\nu} dS^{\mu\nu} \tag{18}$$

$$Ts = \epsilon + P - \mu n - \omega_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu} \tag{19}$$

where s,  $\mu$  and  $\omega_{\mu\nu}$  respectively denote entropy density, (baryonic) chemical potential and the chemical potential corresponding to the spin tensor. This requires spin to be a conserved quantity. As described by Eq.(13), spin dynamics is governed by the antisymmetric part of the canonical EMT. Thus the incorporation of spin degrees of freedom within a hydrodynamic framework requires that the relaxation time for spin density is longer than the mean-free-time related to the microscopic scattering of the fluid particles [6]. From the differential statement of the first law one can write the space-time evolution of entropy density as:

$$T Ds = D\epsilon - \mu Dn - \omega_{\mu\nu} DS^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (20)

In the presence of dissipative fluxes, one decomposes the entropy current as:  $s^{\mu} = su^{\mu} + \Delta s^{\mu}$  and the velocity projection requires that  $\Delta s^{\mu}u_{\mu} = 0$ . [6]. In order to apply the second law of thermodynamics, one takes divergence  $s^{\mu}$  to get,

$$\partial_{\mu}s^{\mu} = s\theta + Ds + \partial_{\mu}\Delta s^{\mu}.$$
(21)

Now first we eliminate Ds from Eq. (21) by using (20) and then use Eqs. (15),(16) and (17) to obtain:

$$\partial_{\mu}s^{\mu} = \beta\theta\{Ts - (\epsilon + P) + \mu n + \omega_{\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\beta}\} - \Delta\Theta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}(\beta u_{\nu}) -\Delta\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}(\beta\omega_{\alpha\beta}) + 2\beta\omega_{\alpha\beta}\Delta\Theta^{\alpha\beta}_{a} +\partial_{\mu}(\Delta s^{\mu} + \beta u_{\nu}\Delta\Theta^{\mu\nu} + \beta\omega_{\alpha\beta}\Delta\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}), \qquad (22)$$

where  $\beta = 1/T$  is the inverse temperature. In Eq.(22), the term within {} vanishes due to the first law of thermodynamics given by Eq.(19). The last term on the right-hand side can be made to zero by demanding

$$\Delta s^{\mu} = -\beta u_{\nu} \Delta \Theta^{\mu\nu} - \beta \omega_{\alpha\beta} \Delta \Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}, \qquad (23)$$

It is straightforward to check that  $\Delta s^{\mu}u_{\mu} = 0$ . The mathematical forms of the scalar, vector, and tensor dissipative fluxes  $(\Pi, h^{\mu}, q^{\mu}, \pi^{\mu\nu} \text{ and } \phi^{\mu\nu})$  appearing in Eqs.(7) and (8) are required to be constrained by the second law of thermodynamics. The appropriate form of these fluxes are found to be [6]:

$$\Pi = -\zeta\theta$$

$$h^{\mu} = -\kappa (Du^{\mu} + \beta \Delta^{\mu\rho} \partial_{\rho} T),$$

$$q^{\mu} = -\lambda (-Du^{\mu} + \beta \Delta^{\mu\rho} \partial_{\rho} T - 4\omega^{\mu\nu} u_{\nu}),$$

$$\pi^{\mu\nu} = -2\eta \Delta^{\mu\nu\alpha\rho} \partial_{\alpha} u_{\rho},$$

$$\phi^{\mu\nu} = -2\gamma \Big[ \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} u^{\nu} - \Delta^{\nu\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} u^{\mu}) - \Delta^{\mu}_{\rho} \Delta^{\nu}_{\lambda} \omega^{\rho\lambda} \Big],$$

$$\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\delta} = -\chi_1 \Delta^{\mu\rho} \partial_{\rho} (\beta \omega^{\alpha\delta}),$$
(24)

where  $\kappa$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\zeta$  respectively denote the coefficients of thermal conductivity, shear viscosity, and bulk viscosity, and the symmetric traceless projection normal to  $u^{\mu}$  is defined as,  $\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^{\nu}_{\alpha} \Delta^{\mu}_{\beta} + \Delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} \Delta^{\nu}_{\beta} - \frac{2}{3} \Delta^{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\alpha\beta})$ . The spin fields introduce two new transport coefficients such as  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$ . The coefficient  $\lambda$  is related with heat conduction associated with the new vector current  $q^{\mu}$ , while coefficient  $\gamma$  is related with new stress tensor  $\phi^{\mu\nu}$  generated due to the inclusion of spin in the hydrodynamics. The other unfamiliar transport coefficient,  $\chi_1$  appears due to the transport of the spin field. Moreover,  $q^{\mu}$  gets a contribution from the spin potential  $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ . It is interesting to note that if one identifies  $\omega^{\mu\nu}$  as a vorticity, then the spin stress  $\phi^{\mu\nu}$  vanishes but  $q^{\nu}$  survives. Thus the effect of spin-polarization only remains in the vector current associated with  $q^{\nu}$ .

 $\Delta$ 

Till now no power counting scheme is assumed in the derivation of the fluxes with entropy that includes the effect of spin current. We will consider two schemes: i) one as in Ref. [6] where gradients are taken as: ~  $\mathcal{O}(\partial^1) = \delta_g$  and spin-chemical potential is taken as ~  $\delta$ . In that case for  $\delta_g^2 \ll \delta_g \ll 1$ , only  $\Delta \Sigma^{\mu\alpha\delta} \equiv 0$  at first order and other fluxes remain intact in the first order in Eq.(22). The other scheme of the ordering of scale is for uniform high rotation where the vorticity is of the order of  $\delta_{\omega} \ll 1$  and other gradients are of different scales but  $\delta_{\omega}$  is the largest relevant scale [8]. We discuss below how the dispersion of linear perturbations is shaped for these two types of the ordering of scales both for the first order spin hydrodynamics and the equivalent conventional second-order hydrodynamic theory.

## B. Linear analysis

To understand the stability and causality issues, first, we consider an equilibrium background with flow velocity  $u_0^{\mu} \equiv (-1, 0, 0, 0)$ . Here the subscript 0 denotes the value of a physical quantity of the background on which perturbation is placed. In addition, the background is assumed to be static and homogeneous, and values of spin-polarization and spin-potential tensors are considered to be zero. The background equilibrium state is the same as the one considered in Ref. [6]. We use Q as the generic notation for hydrodynamic field with  $Q_0$  and  $\delta Q$  representing their mean values and fluctuation respectively where  $\delta Q$  is a function of space and time. In this scheme, one can write the perturbed velocity vector as  $\delta u^{\mu} \equiv (0, \delta \mathbf{u})$ . In the following, we consider the spin chemical potential of order ~  $\mathcal{O}(\partial^1)$  *i.e.*, of the order of other gradients (of  $u^{\mu}$ , T,  $\mu$ ). This allows us to keep the order of vorticity the same as the order of the derivatives of other perturbed quantities like  $\delta u^{\mu}$  or  $\delta T$ . This power counting scheme is different than the one used in section III following Ref. [8].

Here, first, we note that, in the absence of any spin-dynamics and conventional dissipation fluxes, only sound waves are supported in the linear perturbations scheme. On retaining terms in linear order in perturbed quantities, we get the following set of equations:

$$0 = \frac{\partial \delta \epsilon}{\partial t} + h_0 \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta u} - (\kappa - \lambda) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla) \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} - (\frac{\kappa + \lambda}{T_0}) \nabla^2 \delta T + 4\lambda \partial_i \delta \omega^{i0}, \qquad (25a)$$

$$0 = (\kappa + \lambda) \frac{\partial^2 \delta u^i}{\partial t^2} - h_0 \frac{\partial \delta u^i}{\partial t} + (\eta + \gamma) \nabla^2 \delta u^i + (\zeta + \eta/2 - \gamma) \partial^i \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta u} + \frac{(\kappa - \lambda)}{T_0} \frac{\partial \nabla^i \delta T}{\partial t} - \partial^i \delta P + 4\lambda \frac{\partial \delta \omega^{i0}}{\partial t} - 4\gamma \partial_l \delta \omega^{li}, \qquad (25b)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial \delta S^{0i}}{\partial t} + 8\lambda \delta \omega^{i0} - \frac{\chi_1}{T_0} \nabla^2 \omega^{i0} + 2\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta u^i - \frac{2\lambda}{T_0} \partial^i \delta T , \qquad (25c)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial \delta S^{ij}}{\partial t} - 2\gamma (\partial^i \delta u^j - \partial^i \delta u^j - 4\delta \omega^{ij}) - \frac{\chi_1}{T_0} \nabla^2 \omega^{ij}, \qquad (25d)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial \delta n}{\partial t} + n_0 \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta u} \,. \tag{25e}$$

where  $h_0 = \epsilon_0 + P_0$  is the enthalpy density of the initial state. By setting  $\delta Q = \delta \tilde{Q} \exp(-\omega t + i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$ , one can convert the above differential equations into a set of linear homogeneous algebraic equations. It is useful to consider the projections along the unit wave-vector  $\hat{k}$  to get the longitudinal modes and projection perpendicular to  $\hat{k}$  for obtaining the transverse modes. The following set of algebraic equations are obtained for longitudinal and transverse modes denoted by subscript p and t respectively,

$$0 = \left[-\omega + \frac{k^2(\kappa + \lambda)}{T_0 \epsilon_T}\right] \delta\epsilon + \left[\frac{k^2(\kappa + \lambda)\epsilon_n}{T_0 \epsilon_T}\right] \delta n + ik \left[\omega T_0(\kappa - \lambda) + h_0\right] \delta u_p + 4ik\lambda\delta\omega_{p0},$$
(26a)

$$+ik \left[\frac{\omega(\kappa-\lambda)\epsilon_n}{T_0\epsilon_T}\right]\delta n - 4\lambda\omega\delta\omega_{p0},$$
(26b)

$$0 = \left[\omega h_0 + \omega^2 T_0(\kappa + \lambda) - k^2(\gamma + \eta)\right] \delta u_t - 4ik\gamma T_0 \delta \omega_{\rm pt} - 4\lambda T_0 \omega \delta \omega_{t0}, \qquad (26c)$$

$$0 = \left[8\gamma - \omega\chi_s + \frac{\chi_1}{T_0}k^2\right]\delta\omega_{\rm pt} - 4i\gamma k\delta u_t \,, \tag{26d}$$

$$0 = \left[ 8\gamma - \omega\chi_b + \frac{\chi_1}{T_0}k^2 \right] \delta\omega_{\rm p0} + \frac{2\delta e\lambda(ik)}{C_V T_0} - \frac{2\delta n(ik)\lambda\epsilon_n}{\epsilon_T T_0} + 2\lambda\omega\delta u_p \,, \tag{26e}$$

$$0 = \left[8\gamma - \omega\chi_b + \frac{\chi_1}{T_0}k^2\right]\delta\omega_{t0} + 2\lambda T_0\omega\delta u_t, \qquad (26f)$$

$$0 = -\omega\delta n + ikn_0\delta u_p, \qquad (26g)$$

where,  $\chi_b = \frac{\partial S^{i0}}{\partial \omega^{i0}}$  and  $\chi_s = \frac{\partial S^{ij}}{\partial \omega^{ij}}$  with *i* and *j* denote spatial indices [6]. Here the subscripts p and t respectively describe longitudinal and transverse parts. Further, we have used,  $\delta T = \frac{1}{\epsilon_T} \delta \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon_n}{\epsilon_T} \delta n$ , where  $\epsilon_T = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial T} \Big|_n$  and  $\epsilon_n = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial n} \Big|_T$  in the above equations.

Since the equations for longitudinal and transverse parts are decoupled, one can treat them separately to obtain the dispersion relations for the linear mode. For the longitudinal part,

$$\mathbb{M} \mathcal{Q}_l = 0, \tag{27}$$

where,

$$Q_{l} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta \epsilon \\ \delta u_{p} \\ \delta \omega_{p0} \\ \delta n \end{pmatrix}$$
(28)

and

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k^{2}(\kappa+\lambda)}{\epsilon_{T}T_{0}} - \omega & ikh_{0} + ik\omega(\kappa-\lambda) & 4ik\lambda & -\frac{k^{2}\epsilon_{n}(\kappa+\lambda)}{\epsilon_{T}T_{0}} \\ -ik\left(c_{s}^{2} + \frac{\omega(\kappa-\lambda)}{\epsilon_{T}T_{0}}\right) & \omega h_{0} + \omega^{2}(\kappa+\lambda) - k^{2}\left(\zeta + \frac{4\eta}{3}\right) & -4\lambda\omega & -\frac{ik\omega\epsilon_{n}(\kappa-\lambda)}{\epsilon_{T}T_{0}} \\ -\frac{2ik\lambda}{C_{V}T_{0}} & -2\lambda\omega & \omega\chi_{b} - \frac{k^{2}\chi_{1}}{T_{0}} + 8\lambda & \frac{2ik\lambda\epsilon_{n}}{\epsilon_{T}T_{0}} \\ 0 & ikn_{0} & 0 & -\omega \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(29)$$

The nontrivial solutions are obtained by setting  $\mathbb{M} = 0$  leading to the following four roots and hence four dispersion relations for the longitudinal modes:

$$\omega_{1l} = (\kappa + \lambda) \frac{n_0 \epsilon_n}{\epsilon_T T_0 h_0} k^2,$$
  

$$\omega_{2l} = \pm i c_s k + \left[ \frac{(\zeta + \frac{4}{3}\eta)}{2h_0} + \lambda \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_T T_0} + \frac{c_s^2}{h_0} \right) - \frac{\kappa n_0 \epsilon_n}{\epsilon_T T_0 h_0} \right] k^2,$$
  

$$\omega_{3l} = -\frac{8\lambda}{\chi_b} + \frac{\chi_1}{\chi_b T_0} k^2,$$
  

$$\omega_{4l} = -\frac{h_0}{(\kappa + \lambda)}.$$
(30)

The spin transport coefficient  $\lambda$  associated with the heat conduction is seen to be contributing together with the conventional heat conduction characterized by coefficient  $\kappa$ . The acausal behavior seen in the NS equation can also be seen in the first equation. The parameter  $\lambda$  also contributes to giving instability together with the conventional heat conductivity  $\kappa$ . Further, it should be noted that  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$  appear in the denominator of the unstable mode (fourth root in Eq. 30). In conventional first-order hydrodynamics, this kind of unstable mode was discussed in Ref. [67] and was regarded to be unphysical. Next, for finite baryon density the sound mode mode  $\omega_{2l}$  can be stable if the condition,  $\left[\frac{(\zeta + \frac{4}{3}\eta)}{2h_0} + \lambda\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_T T_0} + \frac{c_s^2}{h_0}\right) \ge \frac{\kappa n_0 \epsilon_n}{\epsilon_T T_0 h_0}\right]$  is satisfied. If this condition is violated then instability sets in as the conventional heat conduction can contribute towards increasing pressure and that may result in having an unstable mode. Interestingly,  $\lambda$  also contributes

towards damping the sound modes described by  $\omega_{2l}$ . In absence of conventional heatconduction i.e.  $\kappa = 0$ , the parameter  $\lambda$  can give damping of the sound wave. Finally, the third in Eq. (30), is a new mode that has no presence in conventional fluid dynamics. This mode can be unstable when  $8\lambda > \frac{\chi_1}{T_0}k^2$ . Here it may be noted that this mode can be made stable if one introduces a term,  $(\frac{S^{\alpha\beta}}{\tau_s})$  for the relaxation of  $S^{\alpha\beta}$  in the left hand side of Eq. (17), where,  $\tau_s$  is the spin-relaxation time. In addition,  $\omega_{3l}$  can also exhibit an acausal behavior for sufficiently large values of wave-vector k.

Similarly the transverse parts in Eq (26)a-g can be written as,

$$\mathbb{M}_t \, \mathcal{Q}_t = 0, \tag{31}$$

where,

$$Q_t = \begin{pmatrix} \delta \mathbf{u}_t \\ \delta \omega_{\mathrm{pt}} \\ \delta \omega_{\mathrm{t0}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(32)

and

$$\mathbb{M}_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega h_{0} + \omega^{2}(\kappa + \lambda) - k^{2}(\gamma + \eta) & -4i\gamma k & -4\lambda\omega \\ -2i\gamma k & 8\gamma + \frac{k^{2}\chi_{1}}{T_{0}} - \omega\chi_{s} & 0 & m \\ -2\lambda\omega & 0 & \omega\chi_{b} - \frac{k^{2}\chi_{1}}{T_{0}} + 8\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
(33)

By setting the determinant,  $\mathbb{M}_t = 0$  the following expressions for the dispersion relations of the transverse modes are obtained:

$$\omega_{1t} = \frac{(\gamma + \eta)}{h_0} k^2,$$
  

$$\omega_{2t} = -\frac{8\lambda}{\chi_b} + \frac{\chi_1}{\chi_b T_0} k^2,$$
  

$$\omega_{3t} = \frac{8\gamma}{\chi_s} + \frac{\chi_1}{T_0 \chi_s} k^2,$$
  

$$\omega_{4t} = -\frac{h_0}{(\kappa + \lambda)}.$$
(34)

Just like the four longitudinal modes in equation (30), there are four transverse modes also. The modes represented by  $\omega_{1t}$  and  $\omega_{4t}$  have a combination of the conventional and spin transport coefficients. It is to be noted that coefficient  $\gamma$  is associated with the traceless part of anisotropic stress tensor  $\phi^{\mu\nu}$  in Eq.(24) and therefore it appears together with shear viscous coefficient  $\eta$  in  $\omega_{1t}$ . The group velocity associated with  $\omega_{1t}$  can exhibit acausal behavior. Such behavior is well-known in dispersion relation resulted from the relativistic NS equation[for example see Ref. [66]]. Those modes described by  $\omega_{2t}$  and  $\omega_{3t}$  are new and they have no analog in conventional hydrodynamics. The mode  $\omega_{2t}$  is unstable if the condition  $8\lambda > \frac{\chi_1}{T_0}k^2$  is satisfied. The expression for mode  $\omega_{2t}$  is exactly similar to the longitudinal mode  $\omega_{3l}$  and the instability associated with this mode can be regulated by introducing a spin-relaxation time. But the group velocity associated with  $\omega_{2t}$  can still become acausal for sufficiently high values of k. The mode  $\omega_{3t}$  is stable but it can have similar acausal behavior as  $\omega_{2t}$ . However, the transport coefficient  $\gamma$  contributes towards giving a damping term that is independent of k. Finally,  $\omega_{4t}$  gives an instability which has the same form as  $\omega_{4l}$  and this mode has a counterpart in the conventional relativistic hydrodynamic theory.

Before we proceed to discuss the normal mode analysis in the non-dissipative limit for the model discussed in Ref. [8], a few comments are in order. The new modes introduced by the inclusion of spin-dynamics depend on the spin-transport coefficients  $\gamma \lambda$  and  $\chi_1$ . The instability arising due to  $\lambda$  can rather be controlled by introducing spin relaxation time  $\tau_s$  provided the term with the relaxation time dominates over the term giving the instability. This point of view was also discussed in Ref.[6]. It might be possible to control the acausal behavior for modes  $\omega_{3l}$ ,  $\omega_{2t}$  and  $\omega_{3t}$ . However, this may require an explicit calculation of the spin-transport coefficients. For example group velocity of mode  $\omega_{2t}$  is  $2\frac{\chi_1}{\chi_b T_0}k$ . Therefore, even if the coefficient of k is small, the group velocity may still exceed the speed of light for large values of k. However, for the validity of the hydrodynamics, the upper limit of k is determined by its corresponding wavelength,  $\lambda = 2\pi/k$  which should be larger than the mean free path of the particles. This requires the explicit calculation of spin-transport coefficients using a microscopic theory.

There are new modes in spin hydrodynamics which has no counterpart in the conventional limit, therefore, they are in no way equivalent in general. This is a clear indication that, for a general case where vorticity can take any value and there could be other sources of spin polarization such as symmetric gradients and magnetic field, in that case, the modified conventional hydrodynamics may not be equivalent to spin hydrodynamics. This issue will be further discussed in Section III.

#### C. Instability and the heat flux

The term  $Du^{\nu}$  appearing in the expression for heat flux can be replaced by using the equation (Eq.(25b)) in favor of the spatial gradient of pressure and other terms first order in the derivative. Thus if we keep only first-order term in the heat flux with no time derivative of the fluid velocity then it gets a correction from the first-order dissipation. We use Eqs. (15) and (21) to find the following form of heat fluxes:

$$h^{\mu} = \kappa \Big[ \frac{1}{P+\epsilon} - \frac{1}{P+\epsilon-\mu n} \Big] \Delta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} P + \kappa \frac{1}{P+\epsilon-\mu n} P_n \Delta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} n + \mathcal{O}(\partial^2),$$
(35)

and

$$q^{\mu} = -\lambda \Big[ \frac{1}{P + \epsilon - \mu n} + \frac{1}{P + \epsilon} \Big] \Delta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} P + \lambda \frac{1}{P + \epsilon - \mu n} P_n \Delta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} n + 4\lambda \omega^{\mu\nu} u_{\nu} + \mathcal{O}(\partial^2) (36)$$

where  $P_n = \frac{\partial P}{\partial n}\Big|_T$ . We have used  $\partial^{\mu}T = \frac{1}{P_T}\partial^{\mu}P - \frac{P_n}{P_T}\partial^{\mu}n$ , where  $P_T = \frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\Big|_n$  and  $P_n = \frac{\partial P}{\partial n}\Big|_T$ . For baryon free case *i.e.* for n = 0 and  $P_n = 0$ , we have  $h^{\mu} = 0 + \mathcal{O}(\partial^2)$  and  $q^{\mu} = -2\lambda \frac{1}{P+\epsilon}\partial^{\mu}P + \mathcal{O}(\partial^2)$ . This is the situation considered in Ref. [6]. We consider the general case with non-zero baryon density. In such a situation the linearized equations become:

$$0 = \frac{\partial \delta \epsilon}{\partial t} + h_0 \nabla \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} + c_s^2 \left( \frac{\kappa - \lambda}{h_0} - \frac{\kappa + \lambda}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0} \right) \nabla^2 \delta \epsilon + \frac{(\kappa + \lambda) P_n}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0} \nabla^2 \delta n + 4\lambda \partial_i \delta \omega^{i0}, \qquad (37a)$$
$$0 = -h_0 \nabla \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} - h_0 \frac{\partial \delta u^i}{\partial t} + (\eta + \gamma) \nabla^2 \delta u^i + (\zeta + \eta/2 - \gamma) \partial^i \nabla \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} - c_s^2 \left( \frac{\kappa + \lambda}{h_0} - \frac{\kappa - \lambda}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \partial^i \delta \epsilon - \frac{(\kappa - \lambda) P_n}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \partial^i \delta n - \partial^i \delta P + 4\lambda \frac{\partial \delta \omega^{i0}}{\partial t} - 4\gamma \partial_l \delta \omega^{li}, \qquad (37b)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial \delta S^{0i}}{\partial t} + 8\lambda \delta \omega^{i0} - \frac{\chi_1}{T_0} \nabla^2 \omega^{i0} - 2\lambda c_s^2 \left(\frac{1}{h_0} + \frac{1}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0}\right) \partial^i \delta \epsilon + 2\lambda \frac{1P_n}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0} \partial^i \delta n , (37c)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial \delta S^{ij}}{\partial t} - 2\gamma (\partial^i \delta u^j - \partial^i \delta u^j - 4\delta \omega^{ij}) - \frac{\chi_1}{T_0} \nabla^2 \omega^{ij}, \qquad (37d)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial \delta n}{\partial t} + n_0 \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta u} \,. \tag{37e}$$

In the above equations putting perturbations as  $\delta Q = \delta Q \exp(-\omega t + i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$  we get,

$$0 = \delta e \left( -\left(k^2 c_s^2 \left(\frac{\kappa - \lambda}{h_0} - \frac{\kappa + \lambda}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0}\right)\right) - \omega\right) - \frac{\delta n k^2 (\kappa + \lambda) P_n}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0} + \delta u_p (ikh_0) + 4i\lambda k \delta \omega_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes a)$$

$$0 = -i\delta e k c_s^2 \left(1 - \omega \left(\frac{\kappa + \lambda}{h_0} - \frac{\kappa - \lambda}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0}\right)\right) + \delta u_p \left(\omega h_0 - k^2 \left(\zeta + \frac{4\eta}{3}\right)\right)$$

$$+ \frac{\delta n (ik) \omega (\kappa - \lambda) P_n}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0} - 4\lambda \omega \delta \omega_{\mathrm{P0}}, \qquad (38b)$$

$$0 = \delta u_t \left( \omega h_0 - k^2 (\gamma + \eta) \right) - 4i \gamma k \delta \omega_{\rm pt} - 4\lambda \omega \delta \omega_{\rm t0} , \qquad (38c)$$

$$0 = -\delta\omega_{\rm p0} \left( -\omega\chi_b + \frac{k^2\chi_1}{T_0} - 8\lambda \right) - 2\delta e(ik)\lambda c_s^2 \left( \frac{1}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0} + \frac{1}{h_0} \right) + \frac{2\delta n(ik)\lambda P_n}{h_0 - \mu_0 n_0} , \qquad (38d)$$

$$0 = -\delta\omega_{\rm t0} \left( -\omega\chi_b + \frac{k^2\chi_1}{T_0} - 8\lambda \right), \tag{38e}$$

$$0 = \delta\omega_{\rm pt} \left( 8\gamma + \frac{k^2 \chi_1}{T_0} - \omega \chi_s \right) - 2i\gamma k \delta u_t , \qquad (38f)$$

$$0 = -\omega\delta n + ikn_0\delta u_p, \qquad (38g)$$

Following the same procedure as earlier we get the dispersion relations which are linear in transport coefficients for longitudinal and transverse modes. The longitudinal modes read:

$$\omega_{1l} = (\kappa + \lambda) \frac{n_0 \epsilon_n}{\epsilon_T T_0 h_0} k^2, 
\omega_{2l} = \pm i c_s k + \left[ \frac{(\zeta + \frac{4}{3}\eta)}{2h_0} + \frac{\lambda}{\epsilon_0 - \mu_0 n_0 + P_0} \left( 2c_s^2 - \frac{n_0 \left(\mu_0 c_s^2 + P_n\right)}{h_0} \right) \right] k^2, 
\omega_{3l} = -\frac{8\lambda}{\chi_b} + \frac{\chi_1}{\chi_b T_0} k^2,$$
(39)

and we have for the transverse modes:

$$\omega_{1t} = -\frac{8\lambda}{\chi_b} + \frac{\chi_1}{\chi_b T_0} k^2,$$
  

$$\omega_{2t} = \frac{(\gamma + \eta)}{h_0} k^2,$$
  

$$\omega_{3t} = \frac{8\gamma}{\chi_s} + \frac{\chi_1}{T_0 \chi_s} k^2.$$
(40)

We find that there are no unstable modes of the form,  $\omega = -\frac{h_0}{(\kappa+\lambda)}$  [see the last equation in Eqs. (30)]. The appearance of this mode can be understood from the part  $(\kappa + \lambda)\frac{\partial^2 \delta u^i}{\partial t^2} - h_0 \frac{\partial \delta u^i}{\partial t}$  of Eq.(25b), which gives  $(\omega h_0 + \omega^2 T_0(\kappa + \lambda))$  in the coefficient of  $\delta u_p$  in Eq. (26b).  $(\omega h_0 + \omega^2 T_0(\kappa + \lambda)) = 0$  gives  $\omega = -\frac{h_0}{\kappa+\lambda}$ . The term  $(\kappa + \lambda)\frac{\partial^2 \delta u^i}{\partial t^2}$ originates in the equation through the expression of heat flux in Eq. (24) where already

a time derivative of velocity appears on the right-hand side. The unstable mode is found to disappear if the time derivative in the expression of heat fluxes (Eq. (24)) is replaced by terms up to first order in gradients in hydrodynamic fields by using Eq. (15). This unstable mode is there without spin field [64], here the presence of spin adds to that through its contribution to heat flux through  $q^{\mu}($  or  $\lambda)$ . So the source of instability found by Lindblom and Hiscock [64] is due to the presence of a secondorder correction entering in first-order hydrodynamics through the expression of heat flux which contains time variation of fluid velocity  $(Du^{\mu})$ . The second order effect in heat fluxes comes through  $Du^{\mu}$ , because of its dependence on gradients of the dissipative fluxes through the velocity equations, -gradients of the first order dissipative fluxes being second order. Since the instability is related to the expression of heat flux, it can be removed by redefining heat fluxes- as already shown for the spin-less case in Ref. [65]. However, there may be unstable modes due to the presence of the spin polarization,  $\omega_{1t} = -\frac{8\lambda}{\chi_b} + \frac{\chi_1}{\chi_b T_0} k^2$ . At first-order, the term due to the spin dissipation is dropped by considering it second order. Now if the spin potential is first order itself, then  $\omega_{1t} = -\frac{8\lambda}{\chi_b}$ is always unstable when the contribution to the heat flux from the spin-potential is non-zero at first order in the gradients of other hydrodynamic fields. Of course, this mode is unstable only if  $\chi_b > 0$ , i.e., if the direction of spin potential is along the spin polarization. The sign dependence of  $\chi_b$  on charges, helicity, and chirality of particles will then enable the separation of the contribution from opposite charges. However, if the spin potential gets a contribution from the zeroth order, then even for species that give an unstable contribution, the modes with  $|k| < 2\sqrt{\frac{2\lambda T_0}{\chi_1}}$  are stable. It is to be noted from the expression of heat fluxes  $q^{\mu}$  (Eq. (24)) in Eq. (38)c,d and Eq. (38)d,e, that this unstable linear mode vanishes when (i) the spin-potential satisfies,  $\omega^{\mu\nu}u_{\mu} = 0$  and/or (ii)  $\lambda = 0$  i.e when the contribution to the heat flux from the spin-potential vanishes at first order in the gradients of other hydrodynamic fields [68].

Now, the question arises, which form of the heat fluxes are to be used in the first order theory to avoid instability developed at  $\omega = -\frac{h_0}{(\kappa+\lambda)}$ . One may argue that replacement of the time derivative of the velocity by first order spatial derivative of hydrodynamic field by using Eq. (15) in heat flux is a good remedy for it. We note that the form of heat flux that contains the time derivative of the fluid velocity comes from the positivity of four divergences of the entropy current, and it contains corrections from the first order of the dissipative fluxes through Eq. (15). Though the contribution to the entropy is first order in dissipative fluxes (Eq. 23), the dissipative fluxes are not restricted by this condition that it is to be first order in the gradients of hydrodynamic fields due to the presence of time derivative of the fluid velocity in the form of fluxes. The time scale of growth of this instability is  $t \sim \omega^{-1} \sim \frac{(\kappa+\lambda)}{h_0}$ . So for smaller  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$ , this may be very short, which means that, in a very short time, the contribution from the second order would grow to lead to instability. So the truncation of higher-order effects in heat fluxes may not be applicable in that situation. For the general situation, this demands a consistent second-order theory. The instability of first-order theory is tamed only when the contribution of dissipation on the time variation of fluid velocity (or acceleration) is negligible compared to what it gets from the pressure gradients.

Another important issue to note is that in two situations the contribution of conductivities in the dissipation of sound modes are different. However, in both cases, the dissipation of sound gets a contribution from the new transport coefficient( $\lambda$ ) due to the spin polarization. For certain values of  $n_0$ , this contribution may lead to growth also and the condition for the growth is different for a different form of heat fluxes. There is always a contribution from spin polarization in the transverse modes through  $\gamma$ . So the spin polarization affects the dissipation in the system.

# III. EQUIVALENCE OF SPIN HYDRODYNAMICS WITH SECOND ORDER THEORY IN NON-DISSIPATIVE LIMIT

Next, we consider the stability analysis of the spin-hydrodynamics in the dissipationless limit discussed in Ref. [8]. As we have discussed before, in Ref. [8] it was shown that the inclusion of spin variable in the relativistic hydrodynamical framework in nondissipative limit is equivalent to the conventional hydrodynamics with the second order corrections. The dissipationless limit requires that entropy current  $s^{\mu}$  satisfy  $\partial_{\mu}s^{\mu} = 0$ . In the previous section we have seen how the new dissipative fluxes arise due to the inclusion of spin variable and how they contribute to some of the known problems related to the relativistic Navier-Stokes theory [64, 66]. Thus it would be interesting to check if similar issues still persist in the nondissipative limit or not.

In the following, first, we discuss how the structure of the equivalent second-order theory in Ref. [8] can resemble the spin hydrodynamics in its pseudo-gauge transformed

form. The symmetric Belifento-Rosenfeld EMT with pseudo-gauge transformation with the choice of gauge to be  $S^{\alpha\mu\nu} = \Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu}$  [35, 59, 69], we have

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \Theta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}(S^{\alpha\mu\nu} - S^{\mu\alpha\nu} - S^{\nu\alpha\mu})$$

$$= \Theta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}(\Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu} - \Sigma^{\mu\alpha\nu} - \Sigma^{\nu\alpha\mu})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(\Theta^{\mu\nu} + \Theta^{\nu\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}(\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\nu} + \Sigma^{\nu\alpha\mu})$$

$$= eu^{\mu}u^{\nu} + P\Delta^{\mu\nu} + \Pi\Delta^{\mu\nu} + h^{\mu}u^{\nu} + u^{\mu}h^{\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}(u^{\mu}S^{\alpha\nu} + u^{\nu}S^{\alpha\mu})$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}(\Delta\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\nu} + \Delta\Sigma^{\nu\alpha\mu})$$

$$= eu^{\mu}u^{\nu} + P\Delta^{\mu\nu} + \Pi\Delta^{\mu\nu} + h^{\mu}u^{\nu} + u^{\mu}h^{\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\alpha}u^{\mu})S^{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\alpha}u^{\nu})S^{\alpha\mu}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}(u^{\mu}\partial_{\alpha}S^{\alpha\nu} + u^{\nu}\partial_{\alpha}S^{\alpha\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}(\Delta\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\nu} + \Delta\Sigma^{\nu\alpha\mu})$$

$$= eu^{\mu}u^{\nu} + P\Delta^{\mu\nu} + (\Pi - \frac{1}{6}\Delta_{\lambda\rho}\partial_{\alpha}(\Delta\Sigma^{\lambda\alpha\rho} + \Delta\Sigma^{\rho\alpha\lambda}))\Delta^{\mu\nu} + (h^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}S^{\alpha\mu})u^{\nu}$$

$$+ u^{\mu}(h^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}S^{\alpha\nu}) + \pi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda\rho}\partial_{\alpha}(\Delta\Sigma^{\lambda\alpha\rho} + \Delta\Sigma^{\rho\alpha\lambda}) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\alpha}u^{\mu})S^{\alpha\nu}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\alpha}u^{\nu})S^{\alpha\mu}.$$
(41)

In the nondissipative limit, the dissipative tensor related with viscosity  $\Pi \Delta^{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\pi^{\mu\nu}$  and spin  $\Delta \Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu}$  are zero, while the heat flux  $h^{\mu}$  still have a nondissipative contribution due to vorticity driven thermal Hall effect [8]. Here we have used  $\Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu} = u^{\alpha}S^{\mu\nu}$ . The term,  $\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\alpha}u^{\mu})S^{\alpha\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\alpha}u^{\nu})S^{\alpha\mu}$  can be decomposed as a combination that contains  $\Delta^{\mu\nu}S^{\lambda\rho}\omega_{\lambda\rho}$  and  $S^{\mu}_{\lambda}\omega_{\lambda\nu}$ . From the above equation, it is clear that if  $S^{\alpha\nu}$  is connected to the vorticity as  $S^{\alpha\nu} = \chi \omega^{\alpha\nu}$  [8], the EMT looks like that of a second-order theory, that contains a second-order derivative in the expansion of EMT in field gradients.

The above pseudo-gauge transformation makes the spin tensor disappear from the total angular momentum since the transformed spin tensor is  $\tilde{\Sigma}^{\alpha\mu\nu} = \Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu} - S^{\alpha\mu\nu}$ . It is easy to check that  $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ , using the identities [7]

$$\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\alpha}(\Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu} - \Sigma^{\mu\alpha\nu} - \Sigma^{\nu\alpha\mu}) = 0$$
  
or, 
$$\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\alpha}(u^{\alpha}S^{\mu\nu} + u^{\nu}S^{\nu\alpha} + u^{\nu}S^{\mu\alpha}) = 0$$
 (42)

as  $S^{\mu\nu}$ s are antisymmetric in its indices.

#### A. Structure of the equivalent second-order theory

If the vorticity is the predominant gradient in the system, where other dissipative gradients which are responsible for the transport are very small, for highly rotating fluid, with the vorticity  $\omega_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^{\alpha}_{\mu} \partial_{\alpha} u_{\nu} - \Delta^{\alpha}_{\nu} \partial_{\alpha} u_{\mu})$  the symmetric energy-momentum tensor and the conserved charge current of a parity-even plasma is written as [8]

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + P)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + Pg^{\mu\nu} + \Delta T^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\tag{43}$$

$$\Delta T^{\mu\nu} = a_0 \Delta^{\mu\nu} \omega^{\lambda\rho} \omega_{\lambda\rho} + a_1 \omega^{\mu}_{\lambda} \omega_{\lambda\nu}, \qquad (44)$$

$$J^{\nu} = nu^{\nu} + \Delta J^{\nu}, \tag{45}$$

$$\Delta J^{\mu} = c_1 \Delta^{\mu}_{\rho} \partial_{\nu} \omega^{\nu \rho} + c_2 \omega^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu} \beta , \qquad (46)$$

where  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are second order transport coefficients. For ideal evolution  $(\partial_{\mu}s^{\mu}=0)$  these transport coefficients are related [8]. The assumption behind the structure of the theory is that the vorticity is the dominating scale over other gradients in the theory. In certain cases, this can be a physical situation, since for a uniform rotation, the vorticity can have arbitrarily high values without entropy generation in the system. However, in general, the local vorticity can have a wide range of values and the gradient appearing through the vorticity can be larger with significant entropy production. So the assumption of the above theory is rather valid for a specific situation of high rotation with a lower gradient appearing in the vorticity. The scales are as follows: for the vorticity  $\omega^{\mu\nu} \sim \delta_{\omega}$ , with symmetric gradient,  $\theta^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta^{\alpha}_{\mu}\partial_{\alpha}u_{\nu} + \Delta^{\alpha}_{\nu}\partial_{\alpha}u_{\mu}) \sim \partial^{\perp}_{\mu}\alpha \sim \delta$ ,  $\partial^{\perp}_{\mu}\beta \sim \delta'^2$ , whereas for spatial derivative of  $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\beta$  brings extra  $\delta'$  such that  $\partial^{\perp}_{\mu}\theta^{\mu\nu} \sim \partial^{\perp}_{\mu}\partial^{\perp}_{\nu}\alpha \sim \delta^2$ , where  $\alpha = \mu/T$  and  $\partial^{\perp}_{\mu} = \Delta^{\rho}_{\mu}\partial_{\rho}$ . The assumption for the above theory in terms of these scales is given by,

$$\delta^{\prime 2} \ll \delta \ll \delta_{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \ll \delta_{\omega}^2 \ll \delta^{\prime} \ll \delta_{\omega} \ll 1.$$
(47)

The energy-momentum conservation equation  $(\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0)$  and  $\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0$  can be written as

$$D\epsilon + (\epsilon + P)\theta + a_0\theta(\omega^{\lambda\rho}\omega_{\lambda\rho}) - a_1\omega^{\mu}_{\lambda}u_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}\omega_{\lambda\nu} = 0, \qquad (48)$$

$$(\epsilon + P)Du^{\alpha} + \Delta^{\alpha\mu}\partial_{\mu}P + a_0(Du^{\alpha})\omega^{\lambda\rho}\omega_{\lambda\rho} + a_0\Delta^{\alpha\mu}\partial_{\mu}(\omega^{\lambda\rho}\omega_{\lambda\rho})$$

$$+a_1\partial^{\alpha}_{\nu}(\omega^{\mu}_{\lambda}\omega_{\lambda\nu})=0, \qquad (49)$$

$$n\theta + Dn + \partial_{\mu}\Delta J^{\mu} = 0.$$
 (50)

If we linearise the theory around a static equilibrium where the background quantities are independent of space-time as considered in section II, then the contribution from the second order terms vanishes in the linearized form and consequently, we have,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta\epsilon + (h_0)\delta\theta = 0, \tag{51}$$

$$(h_0)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u^{\alpha} + \Delta^{\alpha\mu}\partial_{\mu}\delta P = 0, \qquad (52)$$

$$n_0 \delta \theta + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta n = 0.$$
(53)

If we consider the perturbation of the form  $\delta Q = \delta Q exp(-\omega t + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$  then these lead to ideal and stable propagation of perturbations with only longitudinal propagating modes,  $\omega_{2l} = \pm ic_s k$ . This supports only sound waves and the transport coefficients introduced for the ideal (nondissipative) hydrodynamics do not contribute to the linear modes for the given choice of the background with no vorticity. Here we note that if the background has finite vorticity then the new transport coefficients in this dissipationless limit may contribute to the dispersion relation. Now let us investigate whether the firstorder spin hydrodynamics as discussed in Ref [6] gives the same dispersion in this order of scaling. If we put the same order of scaling as in Eq. (47) with spin chemical potential tensor being the vorticity and it is the dominating order, then the spin hydrodynamics also has no dissipation and we have only  $\omega_{2l} = \pm ic_s k$ , since, then all the dissipative fluxes are absent at that order, and the structure of EMT of ideal spin hydrodynamics becomes,  $\Theta^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + P\Delta^{\mu\nu}$  without any contribution from vorticity at all. Thus the first-order spin hydrodynamics becomes ideal for the scheme of ordering mentioned in Eq. (47), therefore, it bears no problem of causality and stability.

However, if the spin chemical potential, though being of the same order as the vorticity, is not identical to it, (which is the case in a general situation, since the symmetric shear and the magnetic field can also be the cause of spin polarization), then the surviving dissipative fluxes from Eq. (24) are  $q^{\mu} \equiv 4\lambda T \omega^{\mu\nu} u_{\nu}$  and  $\phi^{\mu\nu} =$ 

 $-2\gamma \Big[ \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^{\mu\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} u^{\nu} - \Delta^{\nu\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} u^{\mu}) - \Delta^{\mu}_{\rho} \Delta^{\nu}_{\lambda} \omega^{\rho\lambda} \Big].$  In that case, the linear analysis around the static background gives the longitudinal modes linear in transport coefficients,  $\omega_{1li} = \pm i k c_s$  and  $\omega_{2li} = \frac{8\gamma}{\chi_b}$  and transverse modes linear in transport coefficient,  $\omega_{1ti} = \frac{8\gamma}{\chi_b}$  and  $\omega_{2ti} = \frac{\pm \sqrt{(8\gamma c_0 + \gamma k^2 \chi_s + 8\gamma P_0)^2 - 32\gamma^2 k^2 (-\epsilon_0 \chi_s - P_0 \chi_s) + 8\gamma \epsilon_0 + \gamma k^2 \chi_s + 8\gamma P_0}}{2(\epsilon_0 \chi_s + P_0 \chi_s)}$ , which give acausal diffusion. In such situations, these modes have no counterpart in the conventional equivalent hydrodynamics. Here we would like to note that it is possible that the hierarchy described by Eq.47 may not be satisfied in a more general situation. For example, when the Reynold number is not very large, it is likely that the dissipative fluxes(related to the spin degree of freedom also) will play a dominant role. The inclusion of such dissipative fluxes may lead to the unphysical behavior which we have already discussed above. Further, it is not clear in this situation how the equivalence between the conventional second-order fluid theory and the spin-hydrodynamics can be established. Another instance when the hierarchy is not respected is  $\delta_{\omega} \ll \delta'$ . In this case, too the conventional second-order fluid dynamics and the spin-hydrodynamics in the ideal limit may not be equivalent.

However, it is important to note that when the above hierarchy (Eq.47) is respected, in the dissipationless limit the spin hydrodynamics is equivalent to the conventional fluid theory with the second order corrections as established in Ref [8]. This equivalence allows one to have the convenience of choosing from either of the models of equivalent hydrodynamics. So far we have considered the background fluid state without any vorticity. Since the second order corrections in the equivalent conventional theory are dependent on vorticity, it is of interest to consider a linear stability analysis with the background having nonzero vorticity. In the following, we consider such a case. Such analysis also will help to understand whether, in this prescription of scales, the hydrodynamics will always be causal and stable or not. In the following, we investigate the dispersion structure of the spin hydrodynamics with ideal evolution as given in Ref. [8].

## B. Non-dissipative evolution in a uniformly rotating background

The ideal counterpart of the spin-hydrodynamic energy-momentum tensor can be written as Ref [8]

$$\Theta^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + P \Delta^{\mu\nu} + h^{\nu} u^{\mu} + h^{\mu} u^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} \Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu} , \qquad (54)$$
  
with  $h^{\mu} = \frac{\chi}{2\beta} \omega^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \beta ,$   
and  $\Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu} = S^{\mu\nu} u^{\alpha} .$ 

The  $h^{\nu}$  given above vanishes at first order, for static background. To have non-zero  $h^{\nu}$  at first order we consider a rotating background with background-equilibrium fluid velocity profile,

$$u_0^{\mu} = (-1, 0, 0, v_z) , \qquad (55)$$
$$v_z = \frac{v_0}{L} (y - x)$$

and we consider  $\frac{v_0}{L}$  to be very small (such that  $v_z$  can be treated in first order perturbation).

Then, with  $S^{\mu\nu} = \chi \omega^{\mu\nu}$  the linearized conservation equations become,

$$0 = D_0 \delta \epsilon + h_0 \nabla \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{\chi v_0}{2L} (\partial_t \partial_z) (\delta u^y - \delta u^x) + v_z \frac{\chi}{4} \partial_t (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2) \delta u^z$$
(56)

$$0 = h_0 D_0 \delta u^i + v_z \delta^{zi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta P + \partial^i \delta P + \frac{\chi}{2} (\partial_t^2) \delta \omega^{0i} + \frac{\chi}{2} (\partial_t \partial_l) \delta \omega^{li} + \frac{\chi}{2} \omega_0^{li} \partial_l \nabla \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{u} - \delta^{iz} (\frac{v_0}{L}) (\delta h^x - \delta h^y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta h^i,$$
(57)

where  $\delta\omega^{0i} = -\frac{1}{2}v_z\partial_z\delta u^i - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{iz}\delta u^l\partial_l v_z$  and  $\delta\omega^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial^i\delta u^j - \partial^j\delta u^i) + \frac{v_z}{2}(\delta^{iz}\partial_t\delta u^j - \delta^{jz}\partial_t\delta u^i).$ 

We have in  $\omega$ -k space, with  $\delta Q = \delta Q e^{-i(\omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})}$ , where Q stands for hydrodynamic fields, (it is to be noted that before this we considered the perturbations to be of the form  $\delta Q = \delta Q e^{-\omega t + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ . So here onward the real part of  $\omega$  would correspond to (oscillatory

or) wave mode.)

$$0 = \delta\epsilon \left(\frac{k_{z}(v_{0}\chi\omega)}{4LT_{0}\epsilon_{T}} + ic_{s}^{2}k_{x}\right) - \frac{\delta n \left(k_{z}\left(v_{0}\chi\omega\epsilon_{n}\right)\right)}{4LT_{0}\epsilon_{T}} + \delta u_{x}\left\{\frac{1}{4}\chi\left(-\frac{v_{0}k_{x}k_{z}}{L} + i\omega\left(k_{y}^{2} + k_{z}^{2}\right)\right)\right) \\ -ih_{0}\left(\omega - k_{z}v_{z}\right)\right\} - \frac{1}{4}\delta u_{y}\left(\chi\left(\frac{v_{0}k_{y}k_{z}}{L} + i\omega k_{x}k_{y}\right)\right) - \frac{1}{4}\chi\delta u_{z}\left(\frac{v_{0}k_{z}^{2}}{L} + i\omega k_{x}k_{z}\right)\right) \\ 0 = \delta\epsilon \left(-\frac{k_{z}\left(v_{0}\chi\omega\right)}{4LT_{0}\epsilon_{T}} + ic_{s}^{2}k_{y}\right) + \frac{\delta n \left(k_{z}\left(v_{0}\chi\omega\epsilon_{n}\right)\right)}{4LT_{0}\epsilon_{T}} + \delta u_{y}\left\{\frac{1}{4}\chi\left(\frac{v_{0}k_{y}k_{z}}{L} + i\omega\left(k_{x}^{2} + k_{z}^{2}\right)\right)\right) \\ -ih_{0}\left(\omega - k_{z}v_{z}\right)\right\} - \frac{1}{4}\delta u_{x}\left(\chi\left(-\frac{v_{0}k_{x}k_{z}}{L} + i\omega k_{x}k_{y}\right)\right) - \frac{1}{4}\chi\delta u_{z}\left(-\frac{v_{0}k_{z}^{2}}{L} + i\omega k_{y}k_{z}\right) \\ 0 = -i\delta\epsilon c_{s}^{2}\left(\omega v_{z} - k_{z}\right) + \delta u_{z}\left(-ih_{0}\left(\omega - k_{z}v_{z}\right) - \frac{k_{z}\left(v_{0}\chi\right)\left(k_{x} - k_{z}\right)}{4L} + \frac{1}{2}i\chi\omega^{2}k_{z}v_{z} + \frac{1}{4}i\chi\omega^{2}k_{x}v_{z}\right) \\ + \delta u_{x}\left(-\frac{k_{x}\left(v_{0}\chi\right)\left(k_{x} - k_{y}\right)}{4L} + \frac{1}{4}i\chi\omega^{2}k_{x}v_{z} + \frac{1}{2}i\chi\omega k_{x}k_{z} - \frac{\omega^{2}\left(v_{0}\chi\right)}{2L}\right) \\ + \delta u_{y}\left(-\frac{k_{y}\left(v_{0}\chi\right)\left(k_{x} - k_{y}\right)}{4L} + \frac{1}{4}i\chi\omega^{2}k_{y}v_{z} + \frac{1}{2}i\chi\omega k_{y}k_{z} + \frac{\omega^{2}\left(v_{0}\chi\right)}{2L}\right) \\ 0 = \delta u_{x}\left(-\frac{v_{0}\chi\omega k_{z}}{2L} + (e_{0} + p_{0})\left(ik_{x}\right)\right) + \delta u_{y}\left(\frac{v_{0}\chi\omega k_{z}}{2L} + (e_{0} + p_{0})\left(ik_{y}\right)\right) \\ + \delta u_{z}\left(\left(e_{0} + p_{0}\right)\left(ik_{z}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left(ik\right)k\chi\omega v_{z}\right) - i\delta e\left(\omega - k_{z}v_{z}\right)$$

$$(58)$$

where  $\epsilon_n = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial n}\Big|_T$ . We have used  $\partial^{\mu}T = \frac{1}{\epsilon_T}\partial^{\mu}e - \frac{\epsilon_n}{\epsilon_T}\partial^{\mu}n$ , where  $\epsilon_T = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial T}\Big|_n$ . In the following, we consider  $n_0 = 0$  and  $\epsilon_n = 0$ . If we consider only the perturbation which propagates in z-direction then  $k_x = k_y = 0$ , and from the above equations, for energy perturbation we get

$$0 = \delta \epsilon \Big[ 2ic_s^2 \left( k_z - \omega v_z \right) + \frac{2 \left( \omega - k_z v_z \right) \left( -4ih_0 \left( \omega - k_z v_z \right) + \frac{v_0 \chi k_z^2}{L} + 2i \chi \omega^2 k_z v_z \right)}{k \left( 4\epsilon_0 + k \chi \omega v_z + 4P_0 \right)} \Big]$$
(59)

In the case of a non-rotating static background,  $v_z = v_0 = 0$ , then the above equation has solution  $\omega = \pm c_s k$ . This is the same as that of equivalent conventional hydrodynamics of Ref. [8]. However, for small rotation and small  $v_0$ , we get

$$\omega_{1} = \pm c_{s}k_{z} - \frac{k_{z}v_{z}\left\{(c_{s}^{2}-2) - 3/4\chi_{0}c_{s}^{2}k_{z}^{2}\right\}}{2} - \frac{iv_{0}\chi_{0}k_{z}^{2}}{8L}$$

$$\omega_{2} = \frac{2}{\chi_{0}k_{z}v_{z}},$$
(60)

where,  $\chi_0 = \frac{\chi}{h_0}$ . So, from the first two terms of the above dispersion relation for  $\omega_1$  it is evident, that in the presence of rotation of the background the propagation speed gets modified due to the presence of spin polarization arising from the vorticity

(through non-zero  $\chi$ ) with  $|\frac{dRe(\omega_1)}{dk}| = \frac{9\chi c_s^2 k_z^2 v_z}{8h_0} - \frac{1}{2}c_s^2 v_z \pm c_s + v_z$ . This means that for  $k_z > \frac{2\sqrt{c_s^2 v_z - 2(\pm c_s) - 2v_z + 2}}{3\sqrt{\chi 0} c_s \sqrt{v_z}}$ ,  $|\frac{dRe(\omega_1)}{dk}| > 1$ , i.e, the sound propagation becomes acausal. The third term tells about the decay of the mode, though we have taken ideal evolution as in Ref [12], and this term may lead to instability for a background rotation with negative  $v_0$ . However, this decay through the diffusion is acausal due to  $k_z^2$  dependence of this term. This means that in the non-dissipative limit the prescribed spin hydrodynamics of Ref. [12] may lead to acausal and unstable propagation. However, that implies that the equivalent second-order theory may lead to acausality and instability for rotating background. The second mode is a wave mode whose propagation speed is inversely proportional to  $\chi$  that is, to vorticity to spin conversion strength, and also reduces with increasing rotation. The speed of this mode is higher for lower  $k_z$ , which means, such modes with longer wavelengths propagate faster. This mode is there even in the absence of sound mode.

Apart from these modes, there are other modes. Taking sum of the first two equations of set of equations given in Eq. (58), we get,

$$0 = \frac{1}{4}i\left(\delta u_x + \delta u_y\right)\left(4\epsilon_0 k_z v_z - 4\epsilon_0 \omega + 4P_0 k_z v_z + \chi \omega k_z^2 - 4P_0 \omega\right).$$
(61)

This gives the wave mode other than the sound as

$$\omega = \frac{4k_z v_z}{4 - \chi_0 k_z^2}.\tag{62}$$

However, if we keep  $k_x = k_y$  (which follows from  $\delta h^z = 0$  and  $\delta h^0 = 0$ , where  $\delta h^{\mu}$  is the perturbation to  $h^{\mu}$  appearing in Eq. (54)) and make the perturbation of energy and z-component of velocity zero, then from the first two equations we get,

$$0 = (\delta u_x - \delta u_y) \left( -4\epsilon_0 \left( \omega - k_z v_z \right) + 4P_0 k_z v_z + \chi \omega k_z^2 - 4P_0 \omega \right) \left\{ \left( -4\epsilon_0 \left( \omega - k_z v_z \right) + 4P_0 k_z v_z + 2\chi \omega k_x^2 + \chi \omega k_z^2 - 4P_0 \omega \right\} \right\}.$$
(63)

This gives two modes

$$\omega_{1} = \frac{4v_{z}k_{z}}{4 - \chi_{0}k_{z}^{2}}$$
$$\omega_{2} = \frac{4v_{z}k_{z}}{4 - 2\chi_{0}k_{x}^{2} - \chi k_{z}^{2}}.$$
(64)

These modes are wave-like mode and vanishes when there is no rotation of background  $(v_z = 0)$ . So for non-rotating homogeneous-static background, the conventional hydrodynamics of Ref. [8], its equivalent ideal spin hydrodynamics have only sound modes. However, in the case of constant uniform rotation, the spin hydrodynamics may become unstable and acausal. So this equivalence in general makes the conventional secondorder theory unusable, in the sense that it corresponds to an acausal form of the spin hydrodynamics.

## IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

In the present work, we have carried out a linear mode analysis for the two different set of equations of the relativistic spin-hydrodynamics to study the issues related to stability and causality. For the case of dissipative spin-hydrodynamics, it is found that the inclusion of spin-dynamics introduces new modes and instability to the hydrodynamics. In this case, the spin-hydrodynamics seem to have similar kinds of pathologies as reported in the literature of relativistic NS equation [64]. We have investigated the origin of the kind of instability in the theory discussed in Ref. [64] and the origin is found to be in the form of the heat fluxes. The spin dissipative dynamics is characterized by three transport coefficients: i)  $\gamma$  (associated with the shear stress), ii)  $\lambda$  (associated with heat conduction) and  $\chi_1$  (associated with the spin dynamics). In the absence of regular dissipation ( $\zeta = \eta = \kappa = 0$ ), the first two longitudinal modes discribed by Eq. 30 exhibit acausal behaviour as  $\left|\frac{d\omega_{1,2l}}{dk}\right|$  can exceed the speed of light. Similar behaviour can be seen in the regular relativistic NS equation also (see Eq. 30 with  $\zeta$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\kappa = 0$ ). The third mode (in Eq. 30) is a new mode, which is conditionally unstable and it can also have acausal behaviour. The fourth mode (in Eq. 30) is purely an unstable mode and it has a counterpart in the relativistic NS equation (see the last mode in Eq. 30with  $\zeta$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\kappa = 0$ ). The transverse modes described by Eq. 34 also exhibit acausality and instability. In Eq. 34 the second and third equations are the new modes arising due to the spin dynamics. In this case also the transport coefficient  $\lambda$  can drive the instability under certain conditions. It is evident that the presence of spin polarization affects the hydrodynamic responses through new coefficients in spin hydrodynamics.

We also studied the stability of the dissipationless spin dynamics described in Ref. [8]. In this case, the linear-mode analysis was performed for the following two backgrounds *i.e.* when the fluid is: (i) static and (ii) having constant vorticity. In the first case, it is shown that the fluid supports only the sound waves. In the second case, the background velocity is in z-direction with constant vorticity in x and y directions. In this case, it

is possible to study the normal Fourier modes in z direction. The normal modes for this case are described by Eq. 60. Here, the first equation may give an instability for  $v_0 < 0$ . But the reason for the instability can be attributed to the source of the free energy provided by the finite flow velocity of the background. The flow velocity can also alter the sound speed. There is an equivalent second-order dissipationless conventional hydrodynamical theory as reported [8]. The underlying pseudo-gauge transformation may give a similar kind of dispersion relation described by Eq. 60. These issues make the conventional second-order theory in Ref. [8] and its equivalent spin-hydrodynamics inadequate to describe the hydrodynamics with the spin for a general situation.

Thus we have analysed acausal behaviour and unphysical instability arising in the relativistic spin-hydrodynamics. We believe that our linear analysis shows that relativistic spin-hydrodynamics faces similar issues faced by relativistic NS equation but the spin-dynamics brings in new complexities. This points towards the need for causal and stable theories, with the spin density as an independent hydrodynamic field, which are free from acausality and instability to describe the spin dynamics of spin-polarized fluid.

- Paul Romatschke and Ulrike Romatschke, *Relativistic Fluid Dynamics In and Out of Equilibrium*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2019) arXiv:1712.05815 [nucl-th].
- [2] Dirk H. Rischke, "Fluid dynamics for relativistic nuclear collisions," Lect. Notes Phys. 516, 21 (1999), arXiv:nucl-th/9809044.
- [3] Edward Shuryak, "Why does the quark gluon plasma at RHIC behave as a nearly ideal fluid?" Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 53, 273–303 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0312227.
- [4] Horst Stoecker and W. Greiner, "High-Energy Heavy Ion Collisions: Probing the Equation of State of Highly Excited Hadronic Matter," Phys. Rept. 137, 277–392 (1986).
- [5] Wojciech Florkowski, Bengt Friman, Amaresh Jaiswal, and Enrico Speranza, "Relativistic fluid dynamics with spin," Phys. Rev. C 97, 041901 (2018), arXiv:1705.00587 [nucl-th].
- [6] Koichi Hattori, Masaru Hongo, Xu-Guang Huang, Mamoru Matsuo, and Hidetoshi Taya, "Fate of spin polarization in a relativistic fluid: An entropy-current analysis,"

Phys. Lett. B **795**, 100–106 (2019), arXiv:1901.06615 [hep-th].

- [7] Kenji Fukushima and Shi Pu, "Spin hydrodynamics and symmetric energy-momentum tensors – A current induced by the spin vorticity –," Phys. Lett. B 817, 136346 (2021), arXiv:2010.01608 [hep-th].
- [8] Shiyong Li, Mikhail A. Stephanov, and Ho-Ung Yee, "Nondissipative Second-Order Transport, Spin, and Pseudogauge Transformations in Hydrodynamics," Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 082302 (2021), arXiv:2011.12318 [hep-th].
- [9] A. D. Gallegos, U. Gürsoy, and A. Yarom, "Hydrodynamics of spin currents," SciPost Phys. 11, 041 (2021), arXiv:2101.04759 [hep-th].
- [10] Jian-Hua Gao and Zuo-Tang Liang, "Relativistic Quantum Kinetic Theory for Massive Fermions and Spin Effects," Phys. Rev. D 100, 056021 (2019), arXiv:1902.06510 [hep-ph].
- [11] Koichi Hattori, Yoshimasa Hidaka, and Di-Lun Yang, "Axial Kinetic Theory and Spin Transport for Fermions with Arbitrary Mass," Phys. Rev. D 100, 096011 (2019), arXiv:1903.01653 [hep-ph].
- [12] Shiyong Li and Ho-Ung Yee, "Quantum Kinetic Theory of Spin Polarization of Massive Quarks in Perturbative QCD: Leading Log," Phys. Rev. D 100, 056022 (2019), arXiv:1905.10463 [hep-ph].
- [13] Di-Lun Yang, Koichi Hattori, and Yoshimasa Hidaka, "Effective quantum kinetic theory for spin transport of fermions with collsional effects," JHEP 07, 070 (2020), arXiv:2002.02612 [hep-ph].
- [14] Zheng Cao, Koichi Hattori, Masaru Hongo, Xu-Guang Huang, and Hidetoshi Taya, "Gyrohydrodynamics: Relativistic spinful fluid with strong vorticity," (2022), arXiv:2205.08051 [hep-th].
- [15] Rajeev Singh, Masoud Shokri, and S. M. A. Tabatabaee Mehr, "Relativistic magnetohydrodynamics with spin," (2022), arXiv:2202.11504 [hep-ph].
- [16] Rajeev Singh, Gabriel Sophys, and Radoslaw Ryblewski, "Spin polarization dynamics in the Gubser-expanding background," Phys. Rev. D 103, 074024 (2021), arXiv:2011.14907 [hep-ph].
- [17] Jin Hu, "Relativistic first-order spin hydrodynamics via the Chapman-Enskog expansion," Phys. Rev. D 105, 076009 (2022), arXiv:2111.03571 [hep-ph].

- [18] Jin Hu, "The linear mode analysis from spin transport equation," (2022), arXiv:2202.07373 [hep-ph].
- [19] Nora Weickgenannt, Enrico Speranza, Xin-li Sheng, Qun Wang, and Dirk H. Rischke, "Generating Spin Polarization from Vorticity through Nonlocal Collisions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 052301 (2021), arXiv:2005.01506 [hep-ph].
- [20] Nora Weickgenannt, David Wagner, Enrico Speranza, and Dirk Rischke, "Relativistic second-order dissipative spin hydrodynamics from the method of moments," (2022), arXiv:2203.04766 [nucl-th].
- [21] Nora Weickgenannt, David Wagner, and Enrico Speranza, "Pseudo-gauges and relativistic spin hydrodynamics for interacting Dirac and Proca fields," (2022), arXiv:2204.01797 [nucl-th].
- [22] Yu-Chen Liu, Kazuya Mameda, and Xu-Guang Huang, "Covariant Spin Kinetic Theory I: Collisionless Limit," Chin. Phys. C 44, 094101 (2020), [Erratum: Chin.Phys.C 45, 089001 (2021)], arXiv:2002.03753 [hep-ph].
- [23] Samapan Bhadury, Wojciech Florkowski, Amaresh Jaiswal, Avdhesh Kumar, and Radoslaw Ryblewski, "Relativistic dissipative spin dynamics in the relaxation time approximation," Phys. Lett. B 814, 136096 (2021), arXiv:2002.03937 [hep-ph].
- [24] Samapan Bhadury, Wojciech Florkowski, Amaresh Jaiswal, Avdhesh Kumar, and Radoslaw Ryblewski, "Relativistic spin-magnetohydrodynamics," (2022), arXiv:2204.01357 [nucl-th].
- [25] Shuzhe Shi, Charles Gale, and Sangyong Jeon, "From chiral kinetic theory to relativistic viscous spin hydrodynamics," Phys. Rev. C 103, 044906 (2021), arXiv:2008.08618 [nucl-th].
- [26] Hao-Hao Peng, Jun-Jie Zhang, Xin-Li Sheng, and Qun Wang, "Ideal Spin Hydrodynamics from the Wigner Function Approach," Chin. Phys. Lett. 38, 116701 (2021), arXiv:2107.00448 [hep-th].
- [27] Koji Hashimoto, Norihiro Iizuka, and Taro Kimura, "Towards Holographic Spintronics," Phys. Rev. D 91, 086003 (2015), arXiv:1304.3126 [hep-th].
- [28] Markus Garbiso and Matthias Kaminski, "Hydrodynamics of simply spinning black holes & hydrodynamics for spinning quantum fluids," JHEP 12, 112 (2020), arXiv:2007.04345 [hep-th].

- [29] A. D. Gallegos and U. Gürsoy, "Holographic spin liquids and Lovelock Chern-Simons gravity," JHEP 11, 151 (2020), arXiv:2004.05148 [hep-th].
- [30] David Montenegro, Leonardo Tinti, and Giorgio Torrieri, "Ideal relativistic fluid limit for a medium with polarization," Phys. Rev. D 96, 056012 (2017), [Addendum: Phys.Rev.D 96, 079901 (2017)], arXiv:1701.08263 [hep-th].
- [31] David Montenegro, Leonardo Tinti, and Giorgio Torrieri, "Sound waves and vortices in a polarized relativistic fluid," Phys. Rev. D 96, 076016 (2017), arXiv:1703.03079 [hep-th].
- [32] David Montenegro and Giorgio Torrieri, "Causality and dissipation in relativistic polarizable fluids," Phys. Rev. D 100, 056011 (2019), arXiv:1807.02796 [hep-th].
- [33] F. Becattini and F. Piccinini, "The Ideal relativistic spinning gas: Polarization and spectra," Annals Phys. 323, 2452–2473 (2008), arXiv:0710.5694 [nucl-th].
- [34] F. Becattini and L. Tinti, "The Ideal relativistic rotating gas as a perfect fluid with spin," Annals Phys. 325, 1566–1594 (2010), arXiv:0911.0864 [gr-qc].
- [35] F. Becattini and L. Tinti, "Nonequilibrium Thermodynamical Inequivalence of Quantum Stress-energy and Spin Tensors," Phys. Rev. D 87, 025029 (2013), arXiv:1209.6212 [hep-th].
- [36] F. Becattini, Wojciech Florkowski, and Enrico Speranza, "Spin tensor and its role in non-equilibrium thermodynamics," Phys. Lett. B 789, 419–425 (2019), arXiv:1807.10994 [hep-th].
- [37] Jin Hu, "Kubo formulae for first-order spin hydrodynamics," Phys. Rev. D 103, 116015 (2021), arXiv:2101.08440 [hep-ph].
- [38] Wojciech Florkowski, Avdhesh Kumar, and Radoslaw Ryblewski, "Relativistic hydrodynamics for spin-polarized fluids," Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 108, 103709 (2019), arXiv:1811.04409 [nucl-th].
- [39] F. Becattini, "Polarization in relativistic fluids: a quantum field theoretical derivation," Lect. Notes Phys. 987, 15–52 (2021), arXiv:2004.04050 [hep-th].
- [40] Enrico Speranza and Nora Weickgenannt, "Spin tensor and pseudo-gauges: from nuclear collisions to gravitational physics," Eur. Phys. J. A 57, 155 (2021), arXiv:2007.00138 [nucl-th].
- [41] Francesco Becattini, "Spin and polarization: a new direction in relativistic heavy ion physics," (2022), arXiv:2204.01144 [nucl-th].

- [42] L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR), "Global Λ hyperon polarization in nuclear collisions: evidence for the most vortical fluid," Nature 548, 62–65 (2017), arXiv:1701.06657 [nucl-ex].
- [43] Jaroslav Adam *et al.* (STAR), "Polarization of  $\Lambda$  ( $\Lambda$ ) hyperons along the beam direction in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ ," Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 132301 (2019), arXiv:1905.11917 [nucl-ex].
- [44] Jaroslav Adam *et al.* (STAR), "Polarization of  $\Lambda$  ( $\overline{\Lambda}$ ) hyperons along the beam direction in Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ ," Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 132301 (2019), arXiv:1905.11917 [nucl-ex].
- [45] Takafumi Niida (STAR), "Global and local polarization of Λ hyperons in Au+Au collisions at 200 GeV from STAR," Nucl. Phys. A 982, 511–514 (2019), arXiv:1808.10482 [nucl-ex].
- [46] Baochi Fu, Kai Xu, Xu-Guang Huang, and Huichao Song, "Hydrodynamic study of hyperon spin polarization in relativistic heavy ion collisions," Phys. Rev. C 103, 024903 (2021), arXiv:2011.03740 [nucl-th].
- [47] Xiao-Liang Xia, Hui Li, Ze-Bo Tang, and Qun Wang, "Probing vorticity structure in heavy-ion collisions by local Λ polarization," Phys. Rev. C 98, 024905 (2018), arXiv:1803.00867 [nucl-th].
- [48] F. Becattini and Iu. Karpenko, "Collective Longitudinal Polarization in Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions at Very High Energy," Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 012302 (2018), arXiv:1707.07984 [nucl-th].
- [49] Baochi Fu, Shuai Y. F. Liu, Longgang Pang, Huichao Song, and Yi Yin, "Shear-Induced Spin Polarization in Heavy-Ion Collisions," Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 142301 (2021), arXiv:2103.10403 [hep-ph].
- [50] F. Becattini, M. Buzzegoli, and A. Palermo, "Spin-thermal shear coupling in a relativistic fluid," Phys. Lett. B 820, 136519 (2021), arXiv:2103.10917 [nucl-th].
- [51] Baochi Fu, Shuai Y. F. Liu, Longgang Pang, Huichao Song, and Yi Yin, "Shear-Induced Spin Polarization in Heavy-Ion Collisions," Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 142301 (2021), arXiv:2103.10403 [hep-ph].
- [52] F. Becattini, M. Buzzegoli, G. Inghirami, I. Karpenko, and A. Palermo, "Local Polarization and Isothermal Local Equilibrium in Relativistic Heavy Ion Collisions," Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 272302 (2021), arXiv:2103.14621 [nucl-th].

- [53] Eduardo Grossi, Alexander Soloviev, Derek Teaney, and Fanglida Yan, "Soft pions and transport near the chiral critical point," Phys. Rev. D 104, 034025 (2021), arXiv:2101.10847 [nucl-th].
- [54] Eduardo Grossi, Alexander Soloviev, Derek Teaney, and Fanglida Yan, "Transport and hydrodynamics in the chiral limit," Phys. Rev. D 102, 014042 (2020), arXiv:2005.02885 [hep-th].
- [55] Ruslan Abramchuk, Z. V. Khaidukov, and M. A. Zubkov, "Anatomy of the chiral vortical effect," Phys. Rev. D 98, 076013 (2018), arXiv:1806.02605 [hep-ph].
- [56] D. E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, S. A. Voloshin, and G. Wang, "Chiral magnetic and vortical effects in high-energy nuclear collisions—A status report," Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 88, 1–28 (2016), arXiv:1511.04050 [hep-ph].
- [57] Igor Zutic, Jaroslav Fabian, and S. Das Sarma, "Spintronics: Fundamentals and applications," Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 323–410 (2004), arXiv:cond-mat/0405528.
- [58] Dimitra Karabali and V. P. Nair, "Relativistic Particle and Relativistic Fluids: Magnetic Moment and Spin-Orbit Interactions," Phys. Rev. D 90, 105018 (2014), arXiv:1406.1551 [hep-th].
- [59] Friedrich W. Hehl, "On the energy tensor of spinning massive matter in classical field theory and general relativity," Reports on Mathematical Physics 9, 55–82 (1976).
- [60] F. J. Belinfante, "On the current and density of the electric charge, the energy, the linear momentum and the angular momentum of arbitrary fields," Physica 7, 449–474 (1940).
- [61] G. S. Denicol, H. Niemi, E. Molnar, and D. H. Rischke, "Derivation of transient relativistic fluid dynamics from the Boltzmann equation," Phys. Rev. D 85, 114047 (2012),
  [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 91, 039902 (2015)], arXiv:1202.4551 [nucl-th].
- [62] Rudolf Baier, Paul Romatschke, Dam Thanh Son, Andrei O Starinets, and Mikhail A Stephanov, "Relativistic viscous hydrodynamics, conformal invariance, and holography," Journal of High Energy Physics 2008, 100–100 (2008).
- [63] W. Israel and J. M. Stewart, "Transient relativistic thermodynamics and kinetic theory," Annals Phys. 118, 341–372 (1979).
- [64] William A. Hiscock and Lee Lindblom, "Linear plane waves in dissipative relativistic fluids," Phys. Rev. D 35, 3723–3732 (1987).
- [65] P. Van and T. S. Biro, "Relativistic hydrodynamics causality and stability,"

Eur. Phys. J. ST 155, 201–212 (2008), arXiv:0704.2039 [nucl-th].

- [66] Paul Romatschke, "New Developments in Relativistic Viscous Hydrodynamics," Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 19, 1–53 (2010), arXiv:0902.3663 [hep-ph].
- [67] William A. Hiscock and Lee Lindblom, "Generic instabilities in first-order dissipative relativistic fluid theories," Phys. Rev. D 31, 725–733 (1985).
- [68] A similar kind of observation is reported in Ref [70] with the set up of the hydrodynamics with angular momentum in [71] by requiring  $S^{\mu\nu}u_{\mu} = 0$ .
- [69] F. Becattini and L. Tinti, "Thermodynamical inequivalence of quantum stress-energy and spin tensors," Phys. Rev. D 84, 025013 (2011), arXiv:1101.5251 [hep-th].
- [70] Asaad Daher, Arpan Das, and Radoslaw Ryblewski, "Stability studies of first order spin-hydrodynamic frameworks," (2022), arXiv:2209.10460 [nucl-th].
- [71] Duan She, Anping Huang, Defu Hou, and Jinfeng Liao, "Relativistic Viscous Hydrodynamics with Angular Momentum," (2021), arXiv:2105.04060 [nucl-th].