

Aligned Fields Double Copy to Kerr-NUT-(A)dS

Samarth Chawla^a and Cynthia Keeler^a

^a*Department of Physics, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85281, USA*

E-mail: samarthc@asu.edu, keelerc@asu.edu

ABSTRACT: We find Abelian gauge fields that double copy to a large class of black hole spacetimes with spherical horizon topology known as the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS family. Using a multi-Kerr-Schild prescription, we extend the previously-known double copy structure for arbitrarily rotating general dimension black holes, to include NUT charges and an arbitrary cosmological constant. In all cases, these single copy gauge fields are ‘aligned fields’, because their nonzero components align with the principal tensor which generates the Killing structure of the spacetime. In five dimensions, we additionally derive the same single-copy field strengths via the Weyl double copy procedure.

1 Introduction

The classical double copy, first presented in [1], maps classical Yang-Mills solutions to classical gravity solutions via a ‘squaring’ procedure. This procedure is based on the color-kinematics duality for amplitudes (see [2–4]). As in color-kinematics duality, the classical gravitational solution is built from two copies of the classical Yang-Mills solution, so the Yang-Mills solution is called the ‘single copy’. Since the original formulation eight years ago, many other examples of the classical double copy have been built [5–30]. Recent reviews include [31] and chapter 7 of [3].

The simplest example of the classical double copy starts with the Yang-Mills field arrangement due to a single color charge at the origin. When the dilaton and two-form field are tuned to zero [20, 21], this field double-copies to the Schwarzschild black hole metric. Indeed, the first classical double copy paper [1] proposes a single copy field strength which double copies to the broader class of Kerr black holes in higher dimensions: they include general-dimension black holes with arbitrary spins but flat asymptotics.

In this paper, we extend this result to include the full Kerr-NUT-(A)dS class of spacetimes [32]. Our extension is possible because these spacetimes all possess a series of Killing objects (vectors and higher tensors), all related to the ‘principal tensor’ of the spacetime ([33]; for a recent review, see [34]). The principal tensor, a non-degenerate closed conformal Killing-Yano 2-form, not only provides for separability due to the conserved quantities from the Killing objects (see [34] and citations therein, as well as [35]), it also ensures the spacetime is algebraically special [36]. Indeed, the existence of the principal tensor, together with the assumption that the metric is a vacuum solution to Einstein’s equation, uniquely identifies the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS class [37].

As we show, the single copy for the full class of these spacetimes is an ‘aligned field’, so called because its components align with those of the principal tensor. These aligned fields, found fully in [38], have charges which we set proportional to the mass and NUT charges of the spacetime. We argue for our single copy proposal using a multi-Kerr-Schild ansatz.

Specifically in five dimensions, we additionally show that our proposed single-copy field matches the Weyl double copy. In the Weyl double copy, a single copy field strength and zeroth copy scalar are proposed which combine to form the Weyl tensor directly. Following the first proposal for Petrov type D spacetimes in [39], the Weyl double copy was extended to other Petrov types [40] and has found justification from amplitudes directly [41–49]. Aside from the spinor formalism (extended to five dimensions in [7]), we additionally verify our five-dimensional copy via the Weyl doubling formula of [50]. For $D \geq 6$, we leave a thorough exploration of a Weyl double copy to future work.

In the next section, we review the classical double copy and the spinor formalism needed for its Weyl formulation, as well as the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetimes and their properties. In Section 3, we construct the five-dimensional single copy using the Weyl spinor, and show its equivalence to the multi-Kerr-Schild ansatz. We additionally note that this field is aligned to the principal tensor of the spacetime. In Section 4, we use a multi-Kerr-Schild approach, showing that the single copy of any Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetime is an aligned field. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss our results and directions for future work.

2 Review

2.1 Classical Double Copy

As we now review, the double copy is a map between the dynamics of gauge theory and gravity, where gravity is realized in terms of two copies of a gauge field. The earliest formulation [1] of this map takes as its starting point exact solutions which can be written in Kerr-Schild form:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \phi k_\mu k_\nu, \quad (2.1)$$

where k_μ is a null geodesic congruence with respect to the flat background $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. Such solutions are of interest as they linearize Einstein's equations in terms of the field $h_{\mu\nu} = \phi k_\mu k_\nu$.

The single copy field is the Abelian gauge field $A_\mu = \phi k_\mu$, and ϕ is the zeroth copy scalar field. They satisfy the Maxwell equations and the Klein-Gordon wave equation on the flat background $\eta_{\mu\nu}$.¹

The Weyl formulation [39] of the classical double copy is expressed instead in terms of the Weyl curvature and the field strength tensor. The index structure of these objects is simpler when written as spinors, in which case the double copy relation is

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{S} f_{(AB} f_{CD)}, \quad (2.2)$$

where Ψ_{ABCD} is the Weyl spinor, f_{AB} is the single copy Maxwell spinor and S is the

¹ Much of the double copy literature uses a flat background owing to its origins in the study of amplitudes in flat spacetime. However, the classical double copy can still be performed in curved spacetimes, and the curved equations of motion can be used; for relevant literature, see [9–11, 13, 46, 50–52, 52, 53].

zeroth copy scalar field. The tensor translation of the preceding equation is [50, 54]

$$W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{S} \left(F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} + F_{\mu\rho} F_{\nu\sigma} - \frac{6}{D-2} g_{\mu\rho} F_{\nu\alpha} F_{\sigma}{}^{\alpha} + \frac{3}{(D-1)(D-2)} g_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\sigma} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \right) \Big|_s, \quad (2.3)$$

where “ $|_s$ ” indicates that the expression must be anti-symmetrized pairwise in μ, ν and in ρ, σ with unit weight.

While the Kerr-Schild single copy of the higher dimensional Kerr solution was described already in [1], we aim to investigate whether instances of a classical Weyl double copy continue to exist in dimensions greater than four. We propose, as a starting point, a class of well-understood black hole spacetimes known as the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS family, described in the next section. We will find also a satisfying multi-Kerr-Schild description of the single copy of Kerr-NUT-(A)dS in arbitrary dimension, which includes as a special case the Kerr-Schild single copy of higher dimensional Kerr.

2.2 Higher dimensional black holes

The Kerr-NUT-(A)dS family of vacuum spacetimes includes all stationary black holes with a spherical horizon topology and arbitrary mass, angular momentum and NUT parameters. Following [34], we set $D = 2n + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon = 0$ for even D and $\varepsilon = 1$ for odd D . The Kerr-NUT-(A)dS metric attains its most symmetric form in *canonical coordinates* (x_k, ψ_j) ,

$$ds^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{U_k}{X_k} dx_k^2 + \frac{X_k}{U_k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_k^{(j)} d\psi_j \right)^2 \right] - \varepsilon \frac{\mathcal{A}^{(n-1+\varepsilon)}}{A^{(n)}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1+\varepsilon} d\psi_j \right)^2. \quad (2.4)$$

The ψ_j coordinates for $i = 0$ to $n - 1 + \varepsilon$ are the Killing directions, and are linear combinations of the azimuthal angles ϕ_j and time t . The x_k coordinates correspond to the remaining angles θ_k , except $x_n = ir$, which is the Wick-rotated radial coordinate.

Here, the functions $U_k, X_k, A_k^{(j)}$ and $A^{(j)}$ are polynomials in x_k , given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_k &= \frac{-(1 + \lambda x_k^2)}{(-x_k^2)^\varepsilon} \prod_{m=1}^{n-1+\varepsilon} (a_m^2 - x_k^2) + 2M_k (-x_k)^{1-\varepsilon}, & U_k &= \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n (x_j^2 - x_k^2), \\ A_k^{(j)} &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq n \\ i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j \neq k}} x_{i_1}^2 x_{i_2}^2 \dots x_{i_j}^2, & A^{(k)} &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x_{i_1}^2 x_{i_2}^2 \dots x_{i_k}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and $\mathcal{A}_m^{(l)}$, $\mathcal{A}^{(l)}$ are polynomials in a_m

$$\mathcal{A}_m^{(l)} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq n-1+\varepsilon \\ i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l \neq m}} a_{i_1}^2 a_{i_2}^2 \dots a_{i_l}^2, \quad \mathcal{A}^{(l)} = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq n-1+\varepsilon} a_{i_1}^2 \dots a_{i_l}^2. \quad (2.6)$$

The constants a_j are the independent spins of the black hole, and λ is related to the cosmological constant via $\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}(D-2)(D-1)\lambda$. The parameters M_k are NUT parameters, except for M_n , which is the mass in odd dimensions and i times the mass in even dimensions.

Alternatively, the spacetime metric can be described in canonical coordinates by exhibiting an orthonormal frame of vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_k &= \sqrt{\frac{X_k}{U_k}} \partial_{x_k}, \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_k &= \sqrt{\frac{U_k}{X_k}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1+\varepsilon} (-x_j^2)^{n-1-j} \partial_{\psi_j}, \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_0 &= \sqrt{-\mathcal{A}^{(n-1+\varepsilon)} A^{(n)}} \partial_{\psi_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

which is dual to the orthonormal coframe

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}^k &= \sqrt{\frac{U_k}{X_k}} dx_k, \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}^k &= \sqrt{\frac{X_k}{U_k}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_k^{(j)} d\psi_j, \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}^0 &= \sqrt{\frac{-\mathcal{A}^{(n-1+\varepsilon)}}{A^{(n)}}} \sum_{j=0}^n A^{(j)} d\psi_j. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

The negative sign in the square roots will be canceled by the one from squaring $x_n = ir$. With the appropriate coordinate ranges, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^n$ will turn out to be purely imaginary, thus playing the role of the timelike element of the basis.

Explicitly, the metric in this frame is

$$ds^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbf{e}^k \mathbf{e}^k + \hat{\mathbf{e}}^k \hat{\mathbf{e}}^k) + \varepsilon \hat{\mathbf{e}}^0 \hat{\mathbf{e}}^0. \quad (2.9)$$

These spacetimes are distinguished in possessing a full *Killing tower*: a non-degenerate set of $n+\varepsilon$ Killing vectors and n Killing tensors. These geometric objects are generators of explicit and hidden symmetries, which make geodesic motion in these spacetimes completely integrable.

The Killing tower of Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetimes is generated using a single 2-form, known as the principal tensor. The existence of a principal tensor is a nontrivial constraint on spacetime geometry, and the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS metric is (locally) the most general vacuum spacetime which admits a principal tensor [34, 55]. In terms of the orthonormal coframe, the principal tensor for the geometry (2.4) is

$$\mathbf{h} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \mathbf{e}^k \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^k. \quad (2.10)$$

2.2.1 Transformation to Boyer-Lindquist and Myers-Perry

While canonical coordinates are well-suited to examining symmetries of the spacetime for generic spins, mass, cosmological constant and NUT parameters, taking any special limit of the spin parameters or the cosmological constant in this coordinate system requires a great deal of care, since the transformation to familiar coordinates is parameter-dependent.

Specifically, the coordinate transformations from ψ_j to azimuthal angles and the Killing time, and from x_k to θ_k , depend non-trivially on the spin parameters and λ . The coordinate transformation from the $n + \varepsilon$ coordinates ψ_j to t and the $n - 1 + \varepsilon$ angles ϕ_k defines *generalized Boyer-Lindquist coordinates* [34]

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1+\varepsilon} \mathcal{A}^{(k)} \psi_k, \\ \phi_j &= a_j \sum_{k=0}^{n-1+\varepsilon} \left(\lambda \mathcal{A}_j^{(k)} - \mathcal{A}_j^{(k-1)} \right) \psi_k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

We can make a further transformation to Myers-Perry coordinates (t, r, μ_i, ϕ_j) , defining r by $x_n = ir$ and the latitude coordinates μ_i by²

$$\mu_i^2 \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (a_i^2 - a_j^2) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_i^2 - x_k^2). \quad (2.12)$$

In even dimensions, the expression above includes the extraneous parameter a_n . To obtain the correct transformation, a_n is set to 0 in even dimensions. The n coordinates μ_i involve only the $n - 1$ coordinates x_k , and are thus not all independent. They satisfy the constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2 = 1, \quad (2.13)$$

²When indexing the latitude coordinates μ_i we follow the conventions of [32] instead of [34].

and are best thought of as direction cosines for the independent rotational 2-planes.

We will now discuss the $D = 4$ and $D = 5$ cases in detail. They are instructive as to the general form of the coordinate transformations, and we will later perform new explicit computations in five dimensions, as well as comparisons with the extensive prior classical double copy literature in four dimensions. In $D = 4$ the transformation from canonical to Myers-Perry coordinates is (setting $a_1 = a$)

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \psi_0 + a^2\psi_1, & r &= -ix_2, & \phi &= \lambda a\psi_0 - a\psi_1, \\ \mu_1^2 &= \frac{(a^2 - x_1^2)}{a^2}, & \mu_2^2 &= \frac{x_1^2}{a^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

The redundant latitude coordinates μ_1 and μ_2 , in terms of the familiar co-latitude angle θ , are simply $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$. Solving for x_1 in terms of θ ,

$$x_1 = a \cos \theta. \quad (2.15)$$

In the Schwarzschild limit $a \rightarrow 0$, x_1 ceases to be a well-defined coordinate. The coordinate transformation to ϕ is also singular in this limit, and the canonical angles ψ_0, ψ_1 are ill-defined.

In $D = 5$, the relation between the Myers-Perry and canonical coordinate systems is

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \psi_0 + (a_1^2 + a_2^2)\psi_1 + a_1^2 a_2^2 \psi_2, & r &= -ix_2, \\ \frac{\phi_1}{a_1} &= \lambda\psi_0 + (\lambda a_2^2 - 1)\psi_1 - a_2^2 \psi_2, & \frac{\phi_2}{a_2} &= \lambda\psi_0 + (\lambda a_1^2 - 1)\psi_1 - a_1^2 \psi_2, \\ \mu_1^2 &= \frac{a_1^2 - x_1^2}{a_1^2 - a_2^2}, & \mu_2^2 &= \frac{a_2^2 - x_1^2}{a_2^2 - a_1^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Again, setting μ_1 and μ_2 to $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$, the inverse transformation is

$$x_1^2 = a_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + a_2^2 \sin^2 \theta. \quad (2.17)$$

Here, both the spinless limit and the equal-spin limit $a_2 \rightarrow a_1$ set x_1 to a constant (either 0 or a_1) for any value of θ . In either case, x_1 is an ill-defined coordinate for the spacetime.

In the following two sections, we describe two properties of Kerr-NUT-(A)dS that make it amenable to a double copy description: the existence of a multi-Kerr-Schild form of the metric and the algebraic speciality of the Weyl tensor.

2.2.2 Multi-Kerr-Schild form

A spacetime whose metric is in the Kerr-Schild form (2.1) has a simple proposal for its single copy. The vacuum Einstein equations further guarantee that the single copy field is on-shell. The Kerr-NUT-(A)dS metric (2.4) can be written in a similar way: a multi-Kerr-Schild form about a flat (or dS/AdS for nonzero λ) background metric $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$ as³

$$\mathbf{g} = \mathring{\mathbf{g}} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{M_k x_k^{1-\varepsilon}}{U_k} \boldsymbol{\mu}^k \boldsymbol{\mu}^k, \quad (2.18)$$

where the 1-forms $\boldsymbol{\mu}^k$ are null and geodesic. Note that these 1-forms are unrelated to the Myers-Perry latitude coordinates μ_i despite their usage of the same symbol. Their explicit form in terms of the orthonormal coframe (2.8) is

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_k^{(j)} d\psi_j + i \frac{U_k}{X_k} dx_k = \sqrt{\frac{U_k}{X_k}} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}^k + i \mathbf{e}^k). \quad (2.19)$$

In canonical coordinates, the explicit form of the flat metric $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$ is⁴

$$\mathring{\mathbf{g}} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{X_k}{U_k} \Big|_{M_k=0} \boldsymbol{\mu}^k \boldsymbol{\mu}^k - i \sum_{k=1}^n (\boldsymbol{\mu}^k dx_k + dx_k \boldsymbol{\mu}^k) + \varepsilon \hat{\mathbf{e}}^0 \hat{\mathbf{e}}^0. \quad (2.20)$$

Since the single copy and zeroth copy fields (usually, see footnote 1) solve equations of motion on a flat background, an unambiguous definition of the flat metric is needed. The multi-Kerr-Schild form of the metric provides an unambiguous flat (or dS/AdS) limit of geometric quantities. In particular, the flat limit of covariant derivatives ($\nabla_{\mu}^{(0)}$) is defined as the covariant derivative with respect to $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$.

In section 4, we will use the multi-Kerr-Schild form to propose a single copy gauge field for the generic Kerr-NUT-(A)dS black hole in arbitrary dimensions.

2.2.3 Type D

The generic Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetime in general dimension is algebraically special, with a type D Weyl curvature. We will explain the characteristics of type D spacetimes shortly. For more on algebraic speciality in higher dimensions see [56–60].

³The background $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$ matches the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS metric when all M_k vanish, although it does not take the same form in canonical coordinates. There is a coordinate system in which it does have the same metric components as the corresponding Kerr-NUT-(A)dS metric; see [34] for details. Such “Kerr-Schild” coordinates are convenient when computing the flat limit of derivatives.

⁴This is essentially eq (4.75) from [34], with a couple of typos corrected (in the most up-to-date version as of writing) and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^0$ used instead of its scaled version $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^0$.

To express the type D condition, we must first define the boost weight of a tensor in a null-orthonormal basis $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{e}^i)_{i=1}^{D-2}$ where \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{n} are null vectors such that $k_\mu n^\mu = -1$ and the spacelike vectors \mathbf{e}_i are mutually orthogonal as well as orthogonal to \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{n} . Any symmetric tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$, for instance, can be expanded in this basis as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \underbrace{T_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}k_\mu k_\nu}_2 + \underbrace{T_{\mathbf{k}i}k_{(\mu}e_{\nu)}^i}_1 + \underbrace{T_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{n}}k_{(\mu}n_{\nu)}}_0 + \underbrace{T_{ij}e_{(\mu}^i e_{\nu)}^j}_{-1} + \underbrace{T_{\mathbf{n}i}n_{(\mu}e_{\nu)}^i}_{-1} + \underbrace{T_{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}}n_\mu n_\nu}_{-2}, \quad (2.21)$$

where the different terms have been labeled by their boost weight. The boost weight of each term is the power of Λ it scales by under a Lorentz boost in the (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}) subspace, which scales \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{n} as

$$\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \Lambda \mathbf{k}, \quad \mathbf{n} \rightarrow \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{n}. \quad (2.22)$$

So, for example, term labeled by the boost weight 2 transforms as

$$T_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}k_\mu k_\nu \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}(\Lambda k_\mu)(\Lambda k_\nu) = \Lambda^2 T_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}k_\mu k_\nu. \quad (2.23)$$

The Weyl tensor of a spacetime $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$, too, can be expanded in this basis and its components classified by boost weight. For a type D spacetime, there always exists a null-orthonormal basis in which its only nonzero components have boost weight 0. This can be confirmed by checking that the following conditions hold: [61]

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} k_\mu e_\nu^i e_\rho^j e_\sigma^k &= W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} n_\mu e_\nu^i e_\rho^j e_\sigma^k = 0, \\ W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} k_\mu e_\nu^i k_\rho e_\sigma^j &= W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} n_\mu e_\nu^i n_\rho e_\sigma^j = 0, \\ W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} k_\mu n_\nu k_\rho e_\sigma^i &= W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} n_\mu k_\nu n_\rho e_\sigma^i = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

for the basis choice

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_n + i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_n}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_n - i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_n}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (2.25)$$

The vectors \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{n} are real null vectors, since $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_n$ is imaginary once the Wick rotation to Lorentzian signature is carried out. We will refer to any basis that satisfies (2.24) as a Weyl-aligned frame.

2.3 Spinors in five dimensions

In four dimensions, the Weyl formulation of the double copy is most compactly expressed using spinor representations of the Weyl tensor and the Maxwell field strength. This continues to hold in five dimensions, as we demonstrate in section 3. The following is a summary of relevant spinor definitions from [7].

In five dimensions the objects which translate between tensor and spinor representations are gamma matrices, which are a representation of the Dirac algebra

$$\gamma_{AB}^{(\mu} \gamma_{CD}^{\nu)} \Omega^{BC} = g^{\mu\nu} \Omega_{AD}, \quad (2.26)$$

where $A = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is a spinor index and $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ is a tensor index. We work with the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ in $(- + + +)$ signature. The representation of gamma matrices used is exactly the one used in [7]. We use also the antisymmetric bispinor Ω_{AB} defined in [7] to provide an antisymmetric product of two arbitrary spinors ξ^A and χ^A via

$$\xi_A \chi^A = \xi^A \Omega_{AB} \chi^B = \xi_A \Omega^{AB} \chi_B. \quad (2.27)$$

We use the following convention for raising and lowering spinor indices

$$\chi_A = \chi^B \Omega_{BA}, \quad \chi^A = \Omega^{AB} \chi_B, \quad (2.28)$$

which in turn implies

$$\Omega^{AC} \Omega_{BC} = \mathbb{1}_B^A. \quad (2.29)$$

Since our aim will be to work with spinor representations of tensor fields, we will find it of use to work in a spinor basis that is associated to a (null) orthonormal vector basis.

2.3.1 Spinor basis and little group

The spinor basis we work in is defined in terms of a null frame of vectors comprising of real null vectors k^μ and n^μ satisfying $k^\mu n_\mu = -1$, a complex null vector m^μ and its complex conjugate \bar{m}^μ which obey $m^\mu \bar{m}_\mu = 1$ and a spacelike unit vector e_0^μ .

In other words, the inverse metric in terms of the null frame is

$$g^{\mu\nu} = -k^\mu n^\nu - n^\mu k^\nu + m^\mu \bar{m}^\nu + \bar{m}^\mu m^\nu + e_0^\mu e_0^\nu. \quad (2.30)$$

The spinor basis (k_a^A, n_a^A) associated to the null frame is defined by

$$k_\mu \gamma_{AB}^\mu k_a^A = 0, \quad n_\mu \gamma_{AB}^\mu n_a^A = 0, \quad (2.31)$$

where $a = 1, 2$, and

$$k_a^A \Omega_{AB} n_b^B = \epsilon_{ab}. \quad (2.32)$$

The convention used for the two-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol ϵ_{ab} is $\epsilon^{12} = -\epsilon_{12} = 1$. It is used to lower and raise lowercase latin indices in the same way Ω_{AB} is used to lower and raise spinor indices.

This definition does not uniquely fix a basis relative to a particular null frame $(k^\mu, n^\mu, m^\mu, \bar{m}^\mu, e_0^\mu)$. Any two bases which satisfy (2.31) and (2.32) are related by an $SU(2)$ action on lowercase latin indices. This $SU(2)$ is referred to as the little group.

Contractions of any spinor with the spinor basis will carry $SU(2)$ indices and thus transform under the little group. We briefly look at contractions of the Weyl spinor and the resulting little group objects in the next subsection.

2.3.2 Weyl little group objects

As hinted at in the beginning of this section, we will find it convenient to work with the spinor representation of the Weyl tensor. Owing to the symmetries of the Weyl tensor $W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}$, all its independent components can be packaged into a four-index spinor Ψ_{ABCD} which is symmetric under any permutation of its indices. This Weyl spinor is constructed with the aid of the spinor Lorentz generators

$$\sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{AC}^{[\mu} \gamma_{DB}^{\nu]} \Omega^{CD}, \quad (2.33)$$

in the following way

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{CD}^{\rho\lambda}. \quad (2.34)$$

Following [7] the Weyl spinor can be expanded in our chosen frame-associated spinor basis

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{ABCD} = & \Psi_{abcd}^{(0)} k_{(A}^a k_B^b k_C^c k_{D)}^d + 4\Psi_{abcd}^{(1)} k_{(A}^a k_B^b k_C^c n_{D)}^d + 6\Psi_{abcd}^{(2)} k_{(A}^a k_B^b n_C^c n_{D)}^d \\ & + 4\Psi_{abcd}^{(3)} k_{(A}^a n_B^b n_C^c k_{D)}^d + \Psi_{abcd}^{(4)} n_{(A}^a n_B^b n_C^c n_{D)}^d. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

The components $\Psi_{abcd}^{(i)}$ carry only $SU(2)$ indices a, b, c, d and are dubbed ‘‘little group objects’’. Based on their index symmetry, each component can be broken into their respective irreducible representations (irreps) under $SU(2)$.

Since the spacetimes we will consider are type D, we note that the only nonzero little group object is $\Psi_{abcd}^{(2)}$ if k^μ and n^μ are chosen such that the type D conditions (2.24) hold; see Appendix A. The irreps contained within $\Psi_{abcd}^{(2)}$ are the fully symmetric objects $\psi^{(2)abcd}$ and $\chi_{ab}^{(2)}$, and the scalar $\Psi_{\text{tr}}^{(2)}$. In terms of these irreps, $\Psi_{abcd}^{(2)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{abcd}^{(2)} = & \psi_{(abcd)}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\epsilon_{ac} \chi_{(bd)}^{(2)} + \epsilon_{ad} \chi_{(bc)}^{(2)} + \epsilon_{bc} \chi_{(ad)}^{(2)} + \epsilon_{bd} \chi_{(ac)}^{(2)} \right) + \\ & \frac{1}{6} (\epsilon_{ac} \epsilon_{bd} + \epsilon_{ad} \epsilon_{bc}) \Psi_{\text{tr}}^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

Similarly to the expansion of $\Psi_{ABCD}^{(2)}$, in general the little group objects $\psi_{abcd}^{(2)}$ and $\chi_{ab}^{(2)}$ can be expanded in a little group basis (α_a, β_b) as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{abcd}^{(2)} = & \psi_0^{(2)} \alpha_a \alpha_b \alpha_c \alpha_d + 4\psi_1^{(2)} \alpha_{(a} \alpha_d \alpha_c \beta_{b)} + 6\psi_2^{(2)} \alpha_{(a} \alpha_b \beta_c \beta_{d)} + 4\psi_3^{(2)} \alpha_{(a} \beta_b \beta_c \beta_{d)} \\ & + \psi_4^{(2)} \beta_a \beta_b \beta_c \beta_d, \\ \chi_{ab}^{(2)} = & \chi_0^{(2)} \alpha_a \alpha_b + 2\chi_1^{(2)} \alpha_{(a} \beta_{b)} + \chi_2^{(2)} \beta_a \beta_b. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

Analogous to how algebraic speciality indicates the existence of a spinor basis in terms of which various little group objects $\Psi_{abcd}^{(i)}$ may vanish, within each algebraically special

class we can make a finer classification based on the existence of little group bases (α_a, β_a) for which little group irreps take special forms. For instance, for certain spacetimes within the type D class it may be possible to choose a basis (α_a, β_a) such that the only nonzero components of $\psi_{abcd}^{(2)}$ and $\chi_{ab}^{(2)}$ are $\psi_2^{(2)}$ and $\chi_1^{(2)}$. Precisely such a fine-grained notion of algebraic speciality, within the coarse type D class, will be of use in proposing a single copy of Kerr-NUT-(A)dS in five dimensions. That these finer classifications could be important was anticipated already in [7].

3 Single copy of five dimensional black holes

The general Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetime in five dimensions includes all stationary black holes with spherical horizon topology and arbitrary values of mass, angular momentum, NUT charge and cosmological constant. In this section we compute the Weyl spinor for these spacetimes and show that it can be written as the double copy of a Maxwell field. If we interpret the field as living on the curved spacetime instead of on the flat background (as is otherwise usual for single copies, see [9–11, 50–53] for examples where the field lives on a curved background), it turns out to belong to a previously known class of Maxwell fields on Kerr-NUT-(A)dS which are aligned along the principal tensor of the spacetime [38].

In fact, many previously known examples of the double copy map — in particular the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini and Myers-Perry black holes in general dimension and the $D = 4$ Taub-NUT solution — can be described as aligned fields double-copying to Kerr-NUT-(A)dS solutions.

3.1 Curvature tensors and Weyl spinor

We now exhibit the Weyl curvature of the five-dimensional Kerr-NUT-(A)dS black hole, both in terms of the Weyl tensor and the Weyl spinor. We show that for this class of spacetimes, the Weyl spinor has a well-defined square root, which is algebraically necessary for a double-copy description that involves a map to exactly one single copy field.

Beginning from the components of the Riemann and Ricci curvature tensors for the general Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetime computed in [61], we can compute the Weyl tensor $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ using

$$W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{D-2}(R_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma} - R_{\nu\rho}g_{\mu\sigma} + R_{\nu\sigma}g_{\mu\rho} - R_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\rho}) + \frac{R}{(D-1)(D-2)}(g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma} - g_{\nu\rho}g_{\mu\sigma}). \quad (3.1)$$

In the Darboux basis (2.8) the Weyl tensor is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{W} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_i^2 \hat{Q} - \frac{2r_i}{3} + \frac{\sum_k r_k}{6} \right) (\mathbf{e}^i \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^i)^2 \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2x_i} \partial_i \hat{Q} - \frac{r_0 r_i}{3} + \frac{r_0 + 2 \sum_k r_k}{12} \right) \left[(\mathbf{e}^i \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^0)^2 + (\hat{\mathbf{e}}^i \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^0)^2 \right] \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\frac{x_j \partial_j \hat{Q} - x_i \partial_i \hat{Q}}{2(x_i^2 - x_j^2)} - \frac{r_i + r_j}{3} + \frac{\sum_k r_k}{6} \right) \left[\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{e}^i \wedge \mathbf{e}^j)^2 + (\mathbf{e}^i \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^j)^2 \\ &+ (\hat{\mathbf{e}}^i \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^j)^2 + (\hat{\mathbf{e}}^i \wedge \mathbf{e}^j)^2 \end{aligned} \right] \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2} \frac{x_i \partial_j \hat{Q} - x_j \partial_i \hat{Q}}{x_i^2 - x_j^2} \left[\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{e}^i \wedge \mathbf{e}^j) \odot (\hat{\mathbf{e}}^i \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^j) \\ &+ (\mathbf{e}^i \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^j) \odot (\mathbf{e}^j \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^i) \\ &+ 2(\mathbf{e}^i \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^i) \odot (\mathbf{e}^j \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^j) \end{aligned} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here, the \odot represents the symmetrized tensor product, in this case of 2-forms. The square of a 2-form, such as that of $\mathbf{e}^i \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^i$ in the first line, is shorthand for a tensor product of two copies of the 2-form. The symbol \wedge denotes the standard exterior product of differential forms. The r_i are components of the Ricci tensor

$$\mathbf{Ric} = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i [\mathbf{e}^i \mathbf{e}^i + \hat{\mathbf{e}}^i \hat{\mathbf{e}}^i] + r_0 \hat{\mathbf{e}}^0 \hat{\mathbf{e}}^0, \tag{3.3}$$

given by

$$r_i = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_i^2 \hat{Q} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{x_j \partial_j \hat{Q} - x_i \partial_i \hat{Q}}{x_i^2 - x_j^2}, \quad r_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{1}{x_i} \partial_i \hat{Q}. \tag{3.4}$$

\hat{Q} is defined in terms of metric functions (2.5) as⁵

$$\hat{Q} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{X_k}{U_k} - \varepsilon \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1+\varepsilon} a_j^2}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2}. \tag{3.5}$$

The Weyl tensor (3.2) for Kerr-NUT-(A)dS exhibits extra algebraic symmetries beyond those required of all Weyl curvature tensors. For instance, swapping \mathbf{e}^i and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^i$ leaves the Weyl tensor unchanged.

We show in the next section that the $D = 5$ Weyl spinor exhibits these extra symmetries in a particularly simple form. A straightforward argument then shows that a well-defined square root f_{AB} in the sense of (2.2) exists, which allows for its interpretation as a single copy field.

⁵Our definition of \hat{Q} is consistent with the definitions of the similarly named functions Q_T in even dimensions and \hat{Q}_T in odd dimensions in [61].

3.2 Constructing the single copy

Since the spinor definitions used are dimension-dependent, we now specialize to the five dimensional case throughout this section. We follow the conventions of [7], and provide a brief review in section 2.3 with a few further details in Appendix A.

As mentioned in section 2.3.2 and shown explicitly in Appendix A, for a type D spacetime in a Weyl-aligned frame (i.e. a frame that satisfies the type D conditions (2.24)) the only nonzero little group object is $\Psi^{(2)}$ (2.35). Thus, in the (k_A^a, n_A^a) basis the Weyl spinor is

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = 6\Psi_{abcd}^{(2)} k_{(A}^a k_B^b n_C^c n_{D)}^d. \quad (3.6)$$

The irreps (2.36) of $\Psi_{ABCD}^{(2)}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{abcd}^{(2)} &= \frac{128(M-N)x_1^2}{(r^2+x_1^2)^3} \alpha_{(a}\alpha_b\beta_c\beta_{d)}, \\ \chi_{ab}^{(2)} &= -i \frac{128(M-N)rx_1}{(r^2+x_1^2)^3} \alpha_{(a}\beta_{b)}, \\ \Psi_{\text{tr}}^{(2)} &= \frac{32(M-N)(x_1^2-3r^2)}{(r^2+x_1^2)^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\alpha = [1 \ 0], \quad \beta = [0 \ 1]. \quad (3.8)$$

In the (α_a, β_a) basis, the symmetric four-index object $\psi_{(abcd)}^{(2)}$ has a component only along $\alpha_{(a}\alpha_b\beta_c\beta_{d)}$ and $\chi_{(ab)}^{(2)}$ is only along $\alpha_{(a}\beta_{b)}$. In terms of the general expansion of $\psi^{(2)}$ and $\chi^{(2)}$ (2.37), the only nonzero components are $\psi_2^{(2)}$ and $\chi_1^{(2)}$. Additionally, $\psi^{(2)}$ is the ‘‘square’’ of $\chi^{(2)}$: $\psi_{abcd}^{(2)} \sim \chi_{(ab}^{(2)}\chi_{cd)}^{(2)}$.

The algebraic structure of these irreps suggests the single copy ansatz $f_{AB} = f_{ab}^{(1)} k_{(A}^a n_{B)}^b$ where $f_{ab}^{(1)} = f_1^{(1)} \alpha_a \beta_b + f_2^{(1)} \beta_a \alpha_b$. The Weyl polynomial \mathcal{W} provides a straightforward method for computing the ‘square root’ and thus finding f_{AB} . It is defined using a formal parameter ξ^A :

$$\mathcal{W} = \Psi_{ABCD} \xi^A \xi^B \xi^C \xi^D. \quad (3.9)$$

Since the Weyl spinor Ψ_{ABCD} is symmetric in all indices, its contraction with four copies of ξ^A is an equivalent object. In terms of the variables

$$K^a = k_A^a \xi^A, \quad N^a = n_A^a \xi^A, \quad (3.10)$$

the Weyl polynomial is

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{192(N-M)}{(r^2+x_1^2)^3} [(r+ix_1)K^1 N^2 - (r-ix_1)K^2 N^1]^2. \quad (3.11)$$

Its form suggests the single copy

$$f_{AB} = \sqrt{\frac{192(N-M)S}{(r^2+x_1^2)^3}} [(r+ix_1)k_{(A}^1 n_{B)}^2 - (r-ix_1)k_{(A}^2 n_{B)}^1]. \quad (3.12)$$

Here, S is the scalar factor that appears in the double copy relation (2.2), which we fix⁶ by requiring that $F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{8}f_{AB}\sigma_{\mu\nu}^{AB}$ satisfy Maxwell's equations on the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS background. This single copy structure of the Weyl tensor was found already in [62] for the case with no NUT parameter.

Away from sources, either the Maxwell equations $\nabla^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ or their dual $\nabla_{[\mu} F_{\nu\rho]} = 0$ are equivalent to the two independent first order equations

$$r\sqrt{S} + (r^2+x_1^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\sqrt{S} = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$x_1\sqrt{S} + (r^2+x_1^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\sqrt{S} = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

These equations have the unique solution (up to an overall constant)

$$S = \frac{q^2}{192(N-M)(r^2+x_1^2)}. \quad (3.15)$$

With this solution for S , the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ becomes

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{q}{2(r^2+x_1^2)^2} (x_1\mathbf{e}_{[\mu}^1 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu]}^1 - ir\mathbf{e}_{[\mu}^2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\nu]}^2). \quad (3.16)$$

This field strength belongs to a class of field strengths known in the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS literature as *aligned fields*. These are on-shell electromagnetic fields that, in the orthonormal coframe, have the same structure as the principal tensor (2.10). That is, they have the expansion [38]

$$\mathbf{F} = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \mathbf{e}^k \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^k, \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$f_k = \frac{e_k}{U_k} + 2x_k \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{1}{U_j} \frac{e_j x_j - e_k x_k}{x_j^2 - x_k^2}; \quad \text{when } D = 2n \quad (3.18)$$

$$f_k = 2x_k \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{U_j} \frac{e_j - e_k}{x_j^2 - x_k^2}; \quad \text{when } D = 2n + 1.$$

⁶Our procedure to fix S closely follows the treatment for type N spacetimes in [40], which also finds a set of first order differential equations for S that are integrable. This approach differs slightly from the one used for type D spacetimes in [39], which relies on prior work showing how the double, single and zeroth copies can all be written in terms of a single Killing spinor that always exists in type D.

Aligned fields in general depend on n parameters e_k ; the constant q here corresponds to $2(e_1 - e_2)$.

Also, we find that the scalar S satisfies the source-free wave equation only in the flat limit (defined in terms of the multi-Kerr-Schild expansion (2.18))

$$\square^{(0)}S = 0, \tag{3.19}$$

where $\square^{(0)}$ signifies the Laplacian on the flat background.

It is curious that the field strength satisfies Maxwell's equations on the full (curved) background as well as on the flat background, while the scalar S satisfies the source-free wave equation only when the flat limit is taken. Rather than investigate this issue further, we now instead compare our proposal of the single copy with known examples.

3.3 Single copy from Weyl prescription consistent with Kerr-Schild and with Weyl Doubling

The first work [1]⁷ on the classical double copy described the single copy map for an arbitrary spin, asymptotically flat black hole with no NUT parameters in general dimension. Translating their proposal for the single copy gauge field to Myers-Perry coordinates, we find

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{2M}{U} \left(dt + \frac{U}{V - 2M} dr + a_1 \mu_1^2 d\phi_1 + a_2 \mu_2^2 d\phi_2 \right), \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$V = \frac{1}{r^{1+\varepsilon}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1+\varepsilon} (r^2 + a_j^2), \quad U = V \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1+\varepsilon} \frac{a_k^2 \mu_k^2}{r^2 + a_k^2} \right). \tag{3.21}$$

Transforming further to canonical coordinates, we have

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{2M}{U_2} (d\psi_0 + x_1^2 d\psi_1) + i \frac{2M}{X_2} dx_2. \tag{3.22}$$

The second term here is pure gauge, since X_2 is a function of x_2 only.⁸ The first term matches the aligned field gauge potential found in [38] when $e_1 = 0$, $e_2 = 2M$. Thus, the single copy for $D = 5$ Myers-Perry proposed in [1] is an aligned field.

⁷See especially section 4.2.

⁸The Kerr-Schild prescription for the double copy is sensitive to gauge choice on the single copy side. The original single copy for Schwarzschild, for instance, was in an unusual gauge [1]. [63] discusses this gauge issue in the Weyl double copy context, while [64] relates monopoles in the double copy to singular gauge transformations.

In addition to matching with the previously known Kerr-Schild single copy, the tensor-language formalism proposed in [50] also agrees with our single copy prescription found using spinors. We take the generic aligned field strength in $D = 5$,

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{2x_1}{(x_1^2 + r^2)^2}(e_1 - e_2)\mathbf{e}^1 \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^1 + \frac{2ir}{(x_1^2 + r^2)^2}(e_2 - e_1)\mathbf{e}^2 \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^2, \quad (3.23)$$

and plug it into the Weyl doubling relation (2.3). We find that the relation is satisfied for the scalar choice

$$S = \frac{(e_1 - e_2)^2}{2(M_1 - M_2)(x_1^2 + r^2)}. \quad (3.24)$$

Our observation that the single copy for Kerr-NUT-(A)dS black holes is an aligned field is not restricted to $D = 5$. Previously known single copies for $D = 4$ Schwarzschild, Kerr and Taub-NUT spacetimes[1, 5, 39] (all of which are in the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS class) are also aligned fields, as we now briefly demonstrate.

The single copy of the Kerr solution is the gauge field [39]⁹

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{Qr}{r^2 + x_1^2} \left(dt + \frac{r^2 + x_1^2}{r^2 + a^2 - 2Mr} dr + a \left(1 - \frac{x_1^2}{a^2} \right) d\phi \right). \quad (3.25)$$

Transforming to canonical coordinates,

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{Qr}{r^2 + x_1^2} (d\psi_0 + x_1^2 d\psi_1) + \frac{Qx_2}{X_2} dx_2. \quad (3.26)$$

As in $D = 5$, this is the gauge potential for an aligned field with $e_1 = 0, e_2 = -iQ$ up to the second (pure gauge) term.

The single copy field strength of the (non-rotating) Taub-NUT solution is (up to an overall constant) [5]

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{M}{r^2} dt \wedge dr + N \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi, \quad (3.27)$$

where M is the mass and N is the NUT charge. The easiest way to see that this is an aligned field is to convert the generic aligned field to Myers-Perry coordinates and set the spin a and the cosmological constant λ to 0. A term-by-term comparison then shows that the aligned field charges e_1 and e_2 must be set to N and $-iM$.

In the next section we show that aligned fields continue to serve as single copies for Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetimes in arbitrary dimensions, with aligned field charges set by the NUT charges and the mass.

⁹[39] claims a slightly different gauge field since it sets $M = 0$ in order to take the flat background limit. Even though the dr term is pure gauge anyway, it is useful to transform to coordinates $(\hat{t}, r, \theta, \hat{\phi})$, whose relation to the Kerr-Schild coordinates (x_k, ψ_j) defined in equation (4.77) of [34] parallels the transformation to Boyer-Lindquist coordinates from canonical coordinates (2.11). Then, we can view \mathbf{A} as living on the flat background with metric $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$. Written in these coordinates, the gauge potential takes precisely the form in [39] with no parameter limits post hoc.

4 Towards a single copy of general dimension Kerr-NUT-(A)dS

We have shown that the single copy of Kerr-NUT-(A)dS black holes in $D = 5$ is an aligned electromagnetic field. We also demonstrated that the various $D = 4$ single copy results for black holes in the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS class are aligned fields too. These observations lead us to ask: is the single copy of a Kerr-NUT-(A)dS black hole always an aligned field?

One way to propose a single copy is to use the Kerr-Schild prescription. We start with the multi-Kerr-Schild form of the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS metric (2.18) and propose the single copy gauge field

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2Q_k x_k^{1-\varepsilon}}{U_k} \boldsymbol{\mu}^k, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mu}^k$ are the null geodesic 1-forms (2.19) and Q_k are constant parameters which satisfy $Q_k = Q M_k$, where Q is an arbitrary proportionality constant.

Expanding each $\boldsymbol{\mu}^k$ in canonical coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2Q_k x_k^{1-\varepsilon}}{U_k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_k^{(j)} d\psi_j + i \frac{U_k}{X_k} dx_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n 2Q_k x_k^{1-\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{A_k^{(j)}}{U_k} d\psi_j + i \frac{dx_k}{X_k} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

The second term inside the parentheses is gauge trivial since X_k is a function of x_k only. Thus, this field is gauge equivalent to

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2Q_k x_k^{1-\varepsilon}}{U_k} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_k^{(j)} d\psi_j, \quad (4.3)$$

which is the gauge potential of an aligned field [38]. Analogous to how the Kerr-Schild double copy was extended to allow for the single copy of the $D = 4$ Taub-NUT spacetime in [5], this gauge field is the multi-Kerr-Schild prescription for the single copy of Kerr-NUT-(A)dS in any dimension.

In the case of vanishing NUT charges, the corresponding prescription would be $Q_k = 0$ for $k = 1$ to $n - 1$; only $Q_n \propto M$ remains. The single copy gauge field is then exactly the same as the one proposed as the single copy for higher dimensional Kerr spacetimes in [1].

Instead of constructing higher dimensional spinor versions of the Weyl tensor and the aligned field, we now use our proposal to test a straightforward extension of the Weyl doubling prescription (2.3). However, plugging in the Weyl tensor components

(3.2) and the components of the aligned field from (3.17) and (3.18), there is no scalar that satisfies (2.3) for any value of aligned field parameters Q_k given arbitrary mass and NUT charges.

Even setting all NUT charges to zero (where our proposal matches [1]), there is no zeroth copy scalar which satisfies the Weyl doubling prescription (2.3). We expect that the Weyl doubling formula may need additional terms in $D > 5$ in order to describe the Weyl double copy structure of Kerr-NUT-(A)dS. [50].

5 Discussion

We have shown that the single copies of the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetimes map onto the ‘aligned fields’ in the same spacetimes, with charges proportional to the mass and NUT charges. In five dimensions, we further showed that this aligned field single copy matches with the Weyl double copy in spinor language and in tensor language via the Weyl doubling procedure.

Importantly, this five-dimensional single copy which follows the Weyl doubling formula has arbitrary spins (as well as a possible nonzero NUT charge and cosmological constant), in contrast to the comment in [50] that only the singly-rotating solution was possible. However, we do note that our zeroth-copy scalar only satisfies the flat-space Klein-Gordon equation (the aligned field itself satisfies both the curved and flat-space equations). Similarly, the obstruction we found to a higher-dimensional application of the Weyl doubling formula to our multi-Kerr-Schild single copy was in the inability to find the necessary scalar.

We also want to highlight the importance of the principal tensor as reviewed in [34]. Spacetimes possessing a principal tensor are always algebraically special (type D). If they are vacuum solutions, then they also have aligned fields: electromagnetic fields with the same components as the principal tensor. These fields have $(D - \epsilon)/2$ free parameters; setting these charges proportional to the mass and $(D - 2 - \epsilon)/2$ NUT charges results in our single copy field. In some sense the principal tensor controls the form of the metric, so it is not too surprising that it is related so closely to the metric’s single copy. A natural extension of our work would be to include non-vacuum metrics which still possess a principal tensor.

Since the class of vacuum spacetimes which possess a principal tensor is the same Kerr-NUT-(A)dS class we consider here, any attempt to consider a broader set of vacuum solutions (e.g. bumpy black holes, black rings, etc) may require significant extension. Indeed, the argument for Weyl locality in position space given in [48] indicates that non-algebraically special spacetimes cannot have a local Weyl single copy, and spacetimes possessing a principal tensor are always algebraically special. Nevertheless,

we hope that our work here may provide an approach to make further spacetimes at least perturbatively accessible.

We additionally hope our work may provide a framework to further study gravitational transformations and dualities in the double copy [54, 64–68], since it includes the full class of Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetimes. Similarly, perhaps the principal tensor can be studied in the single-copy picture, just as NUT charges, geodesics, and Ricci flow have been [28, 30, 69, 70]. Finally, for the Kerr-NUT solutions in our family, we would be interested to see if the Weyl double copy in general dimensions can be understood asymptotically, as at null infinity in four dimensions [63, 71–75].

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Damien Easson, Andres Luna, Tucker Manton, and Silvia Nagy for helpful discussions. The authors are supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant number DE-SC0019470 and by the Heising-Simons Foundation “Observational Signatures of Quantum Gravity” collaboration grant 2021-2818.

Appendices

A Little group structure of type D Weyl spinor

This brief appendix is a companion to section 2.3 and gives details of calculations that use the spinor set-up used in this paper. As an illustrative exercise in the spinor conventions used, we will lay out an argument for why only $\Psi^{(2)} \neq 0$ for a type D spacetime.

First, we describe how the spinor basis (k_a^A, n_a^A) can be used to give back members of the null frame (2.30). Effectively, this inverts the definition of the basis (2.31) in terms of the null frame. The vectors k^μ and n^μ can be obtained by contracting two copies of k_a^A and n_a^A respectively with gamma matrices

$$\begin{aligned} k_a^A \gamma_{AB}^\mu k_b^B &= -\sqrt{2} k^\mu \epsilon_{ab}, \\ n_a^A \gamma_{AB}^\mu n_b^B &= -\sqrt{2} n^\mu \epsilon_{ab}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

The remaining contractions of γ^μ with the spinor basis produces the remaining members of the null frame

$$\begin{aligned} k_a^A \gamma_{AB}^\mu n_b^B &= -n_a^A \gamma_{AB}^\mu k_b^B \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} m^\mu & i e_0^\mu \\ i e_0^\mu & \sqrt{2} \bar{m}^\mu \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

which, following [7], we dub the polarization tensor ε_{ab}^μ .

Next, we explain how to compute the components of the spinor Lorentz generators in the (k_a^A, n_a^A) basis. Take, as an example, the contraction $\sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} k_a^A k_b^B$. Noting the definition of the Lorentz generators in terms of gamma matrices (2.33), we have

$$\sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} k_a^A k_b^B = \gamma_{AE}^{[\mu} \gamma_{FB}^{\nu]} \Omega^{EF} k_a^A k_b^B. \tag{A.3}$$

The normalization of the spinor basis (2.32) is equivalent to the following expansion of Ω^{AB}

$$\Omega^{AB} = \epsilon^{ab} (k_a^A n_b^B + n_a^A k_b^B). \tag{A.4}$$

Substituting this back in (A.3), and keeping in mind (A.1) and (A.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} k_a^A k_b^B &= \epsilon^{cd} \gamma_{AE}^{[\mu} \gamma_{FB}^{\nu]} (k_c^E n_d^F + n_c^E k_d^F) k_a^A k_b^B \\ &= \epsilon^{cd} \left(k_a^A \gamma_{AE}^{[\mu} k_c^E n_d^F \gamma_{FB}^{\nu]} k_b^B + k_a^A \gamma_{AE}^{[\mu} n_c^E k_d^F \gamma_{FB}^{\nu]} k_b^B \right) \\ &= \epsilon^{cd} \left(\epsilon_{ac} (-\sqrt{2} k^{[\mu}) (-\varepsilon_{bd}^{\nu]}) + (\varepsilon_{ac}^{[\mu}) (-\sqrt{2} k^{\nu]}) \epsilon_{db} \right) \\ &= 2\sqrt{2} k^{[\mu} \varepsilon_{ab}^{\nu]}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Similarly the other components are

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} k_a^A n_b^B &= 2k^{[\mu} n^{\nu]} \epsilon_{ab} + \varepsilon_{ac}^{[\mu} \varepsilon_{bd}^{\nu]} \epsilon^{cd}, \\
\sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} n_a^A k_a^A &= 2n^{[\mu} k^{\nu]} \epsilon_{ab} + \varepsilon_{ac}^{[\mu} \varepsilon_{bd}^{\nu]} \epsilon^{cd}, \\
\sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} n_a^A n_b^B &= -2\sqrt{2} n^{[\mu} \varepsilon_{ab}^{\nu]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

We are now prepared to tackle the little group structure of a type D Weyl spinor. Inverting the expansion of Ψ_{ABCD} (2.35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{abcd}^{(0)} &= \Psi_{ABCD} n_a^A n_b^B n_c^C n_d^D, \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(1)} &= \Psi_{ABCD} n_a^a n_b^b n_c^c k_d^d, \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(2)} &= \Psi_{ABCD} n_a^a n_b^b k_c^c k_d^d, \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(3)} &= \Psi_{ABCD} n_a^a k_b^b k_c^c k_d^d, \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(4)} &= \Psi_{ABCD} k_a^a k_b^b k_c^c k_d^d.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

Next, we rewrite Ψ_{ABCD} in terms of the Weyl tensor $W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}$ using (2.34)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{abcd}^{(0)} &= W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{CD}^{\rho\lambda} n_a^A n_b^B n_c^C n_d^D, \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(1)} &= W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{CD}^{\rho\lambda} n_a^a n_b^b n_c^c k_d^d, \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(2)} &= W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{CD}^{\rho\lambda} n_a^a n_b^b k_c^c k_d^d, \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(3)} &= W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{CD}^{\rho\lambda} n_a^a k_b^b k_c^c k_d^d, \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(4)} &= W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{CD}^{\rho\lambda} k_a^a k_b^b k_c^c k_d^d.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Finally, substituting the components of $\sigma_{AB}^{\mu\nu}$ we just found in (A.3), (A.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{abcd}^{(0)} &= 8W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} k^\mu \varepsilon_{ab}^\nu k^\rho \varepsilon_{cd}^\lambda, \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(1)} &= 2\sqrt{2} W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} k^{[\mu} \varepsilon_{ab}^{\nu]} \left(2k^{[\rho} n^{\lambda]} \epsilon_{cd} + \epsilon^{gh} \varepsilon_{cg}^{[\rho} \varepsilon_{hd}^{\lambda]} \right), \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(2)} &= -8W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} k^{[\mu} \varepsilon_{ab}^{\nu]} n^{[\rho} \varepsilon_{cd}^{\lambda]}, \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(3)} &= -2\sqrt{2} W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \left(2k^{[\mu} n^{\nu]} \epsilon_{ab} + \epsilon^{gh} \varepsilon_{ag}^{[\mu} \varepsilon_{hb}^{\nu]} \right) n^{[\rho} \varepsilon_{cd}^{\lambda]}, \\
\Psi_{abcd}^{(4)} &= 8W_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} n^\mu \varepsilon_{ab}^\nu n^\rho \varepsilon_{cd}^\lambda.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

Now, remembering that ε_{ab}^μ is orthogonal to both k^μ and n^μ , a comparison with (2.24) shows that with a properly chosen null frame $\Psi^{(0)}$, $\Psi^{(1)}$, $\Psi^{(3)}$ and $\Psi^{(4)}$ all vanish for a type D spacetime.

References

- [1] R. Monteiro, D. O’Connell, and C. D. White, *Black holes and the double copy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2014** (Dec., 2014) 56, [[arXiv:1410.0239](#)].
- [2] G. Travaglini, A. Brandhuber, P. Dorey, T. McLoughlin, S. Abreu, Z. Bern, N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, J. Blümlein, R. Britto, J. J. M. Carrasco, D. Chicherin, M. Chiodaroli, P. H. Damgaard, V. Del Duca, L. J. Dixon, D. Dorigoni, C. Duhr, Y. Geyer, M. B. Green, E. Herrmann, P. Heslop, H. Johansson, G. P. Korchemsky, D. A. Kosower, L. Mason, R. Monteiro, D. O’Connell, G. Papathanasiou, L. Plante, J. Plefka, A. Puhm, A.-M. Raclariu, R. Roiban, C. Schneider, J. Trnka, P. Vanhove, C. Wen, and C. D. White, *The SAGEX Review on Scattering Amplitudes*, [arXiv:2203.13011](#).
- [3] Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson, and R. Roiban, *The Duality Between Color and Kinematics and its Applications*, [arXiv:1909.01358](#).
- [4] T. Adamo, J. J. M. Carrasco, M. Carrillo-González, M. Chiodaroli, H. Elvang, H. Johansson, D. O’Connell, R. Roiban, and O. Schlotterer, *Snowmass White Paper: The Double Copy and its Applications*, in *2022 Snowmass Summer Study*, Apr., 2022. [arXiv:2204.06547](#).
- [5] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, D. O’Connell, and C. D. White, *The classical double copy for Taub-NUT spacetime*, *Physics Letters B* **750** (Nov., 2015) 272–277, [[arXiv:1507.01869](#)].
- [6] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, A. Ochirov, D. O’Connell, N. Westerberg, and C. D. White, *Perturbative spacetimes from Yang-Mills theory*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2017** (Apr., 2017) 69, [[arXiv:1611.07508](#)].
- [7] R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, and D. O’Connell, *Spinor-helicity and the algebraic classification of higher-dimensional spacetimes*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **36** (Mar., 2019) 065006, [[arXiv:1809.03906](#)].
- [8] A. K. Ridgway and M. B. Wise, *Static Spherically Symmetric Kerr-Schild Metrics and Implications for the Classical Double Copy*, *Physical Review D* **94** (Aug., 2016) 044023, [[arXiv:1512.02243](#)].
- [9] T. Adamo, E. Casali, L. Mason, and S. Nekovar, *Scattering on plane waves and the double copy*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **35** (Jan., 2018) 015004, [[arXiv:1706.08925](#)].
- [10] N. Bahjat-Abbas, A. Luna, and C. D. White, *The Kerr-Schild double copy in curved spacetime*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2017** (Dec., 2017) 4, [[arXiv:1710.01953](#)].
- [11] M. Carrillo-Gonzalez, R. Penco, and M. Trodden, *The classical double copy in maximally symmetric spacetimes*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2018** (Apr., 2018) 028, [[arXiv:1711.01296](#)].

- [12] A. Ilderton, *Screw-symmetric gravitational waves: A double copy of the vortex*, *Physics Letters B* **782** (May, 2018) 22–27, [[arXiv:1804.07290](#)].
- [13] M. Gurses and B. Tekin, *Classical Double Copy: Kerr-Schild-Kundt metrics from Yang-Mills Theory*, *Physical Review D* **98** (Dec., 2018) 126017, [[arXiv:1810.03411](#)].
- [14] M. C. González, B. Melcher, K. Ratliff, S. Watson, and C. D. White, *The classical double copy in three spacetime dimensions*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2019** (Apr., 2019) 167, [[arXiv:1904.11001](#)].
- [15] K. Lee, *Kerr-Schild Double Field Theory and Classical Double Copy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2018** (Oct., 2018) 27, [[arXiv:1807.08443](#)].
- [16] E. Lescano and J. A. Rodríguez, *$\mathcal{N}=1$ Supersymmetric Double Field Theory and the generalized Kerr-Schild Ansatz*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (Oct., 2020) 148, [[arXiv:2002.07751](#)].
- [17] E. Lescano and J. A. Rodríguez, *Higher-derivative Heterotic Double Field Theory and Classical Double Copy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021** (July, 2021) 72, [[arXiv:2101.03376](#)].
- [18] I. Bah, R. Dempsey, and P. Weck, *Kerr-Schild Double Copy and Complex Worldlines*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (Feb., 2020) 180, [[arXiv:1910.04197](#)].
- [19] W. D. Goldberger and J. Li, *Strings, extended objects, and the classical double copy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (Feb., 2020) 92, [[arXiv:1912.01650](#)].
- [20] K. Kim, K. Lee, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, and D. P. Veiga, *The Classical Double Copy of a Point Charge*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (Feb., 2020) 46, [[arXiv:1912.02177](#)].
- [21] A. Luna, S. Nagy, and C. White, *The convolutional double copy: A case study with a point*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (Sept., 2020) 62, [[arXiv:2004.11254](#)].
- [22] C. Keeler, T. Manton, and N. Monga, *From Navier-Stokes to Maxwell via Einstein*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (May, 2020) 147, [[arXiv:2005.04242](#)].
- [23] D. A. Easson, C. Keeler, and T. Manton, *The classical double copy of non-singular black holes*, *Physical Review D* **102** (Oct., 2020) 086015, [[arXiv:2007.16186](#)].
- [24] D. S. Berman, E. Chacón, A. Luna, and C. D. White, *The self-dual classical double copy, and the Eguchi-Hanson instanton*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2019** (Jan., 2019) 107, [[arXiv:1809.04063](#)].
- [25] G. Alkac, M. K. Gumus, and M. A. Olpak, *Kerr-Schild Double Copy of the Coulomb Solution in Three Dimensions*, *Physical Review D* **104** (May, 2021) 044034, [[arXiv:2105.11550](#)].
- [26] K. Mkrtchyan and M. Svazas, *Solutions in Nonlinear Electrodynamics and their double*

- copy regular black holes*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022** (May, 2022) 012, [[arXiv:2205.14187](#)].
- [27] T. Adamo, A. Cristofoli, and P. Tourkine, *The ultrarelativistic limit of Kerr*, [arXiv:2209.05730](#).
- [28] L. Alfonsi, C. D. White, and S. Wikeley, *Topology and Wilson lines: Global aspects of the double copy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (July, 2020) 91, [[arXiv:2004.07181](#)].
- [29] T. Adamo and A. Ilderton, *Classical and quantum double copy of back-reaction*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (Sept., 2020) 200, [[arXiv:2005.05807](#)].
- [30] R. Gonzo and C. Shi, *Geodesics From Classical Double Copy*, *Physical Review D* **104** (Nov., 2021) 105012, [[arXiv:2109.01072](#)].
- [31] D. A. Kosower, R. Monteiro, and D. O’Connell, *The SAGEX Review on Scattering Amplitudes, Chapter 14: Classical Gravity from Scattering Amplitudes*, [arXiv:2203.13025](#).
- [32] W. Chen, H. Lu, and C. N. Pope, *General Kerr-NUT-AdS Metrics in All Dimensions*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **23** (Apr., 2006) 5323–5340, [[hep-th/0604125](#)].
- [33] D. Kubiznak and V. P. Frolov, *Hidden Symmetry of Higher Dimensional Kerr-NUT-AdS Spacetimes*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **24** (Dec., 2006) F1–F6, [[gr-qc/0610144](#)].
- [34] V. P. Frolov, P. Krtous, and D. Kubiznak, *Black holes, hidden symmetries, and complete integrability*, *Living Reviews in Relativity* **20** (Dec., 2017) 6, [[arXiv:1705.05482](#)].
- [35] P. Krtouš, V. P. Frolov, and D. Kubizňák, *Separation of Maxwell equations in Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetimes*, *Nuclear Physics B* **934** (Sept., 2018) 7–38, [[arXiv:1803.02485](#)].
- [36] L. Mason and A. Taghavi-Chabert, *Killing-Yano tensors and multi-hermitian structures*, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **60** (June, 2010) 907–923, [[arXiv:0805.3756](#)].
- [37] P. Krtous, V. P. Frolov, and D. Kubiznak, *Hidden Symmetries of Higher Dimensional Black Holes and Uniqueness of the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetime*, *Physical Review D* **78** (Sept., 2008) 064022, [[arXiv:0804.4705](#)].
- [38] P. Krtous, *Electromagnetic Field in Higher-Dimensional Black-Hole Spacetimes*, *Physical Review D* **76** (Oct., 2007) 084035, [[arXiv:0707.0002](#)].
- [39] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, and D. O’Connell, *Type D Spacetimes and the Weyl Double Copy*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **36** (Mar., 2019) 065003, [[arXiv:1810.08183](#)].

- [40] H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar, R. Monteiro, C. N. Pope, and D. P. Veiga, *The Weyl Double Copy for Gravitational Waves*, *Physical Review Letters* **126** (Oct., 2020) 101103, [[arXiv:2010.02925](#)].
- [41] G. Elor, K. Farnsworth, M. L. Graesser, and G. Herzog, *The Newman-Penrose Map and the Classical Double Copy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (July, 2020) 121, [[arXiv:2006.08630](#)].
- [42] K. Farnsworth, M. L. Graesser, and G. Herzog, *Twistor Space Origins of the Newman-Penrose Map*, [arXiv:2104.09525](#).
- [43] C. D. White, *A Twistorial Foundation for the Classical Double Copy*, *Physical Review Letters* **126** (Dec., 2020) 061602, [[arXiv:2012.02479](#)].
- [44] E. Chacón, S. Nagy, and C. D. White, *The Weyl double copy from twistor space*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021** (Mar., 2021) 2239, [[arXiv:2103.16441](#)].
- [45] E. Chacón, S. Nagy, and C. D. White, *Alternative formulations of the twistor double copy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022** (Mar., 2022) 180, [[arXiv:2112.06764](#)].
- [46] S. Han, *Weyl double copy and massless free fields in curved spacetimes*, [arXiv:2204.01907](#).
- [47] D. A. Easson, T. Manton, and A. Svesko, *Sources in the Weyl double copy*, *Physical Review Letters* **127** (Dec., 2021) 271101, [[arXiv:2110.02293](#)].
- [48] A. Luna, N. Moynihan, and C. D. White, *Why is the Weyl double copy local in position space?*, [arXiv:2208.08548](#).
- [49] R. Monteiro, D. O’Connell, D. P. Veiga, and M. Sergola, *Classical Solutions and their Double Copy in Split Signature*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021** (May, 2021) 268, [[arXiv:2012.11190](#)].
- [50] R. Alawadhi, D. S. Berman, and B. Spence, *Weyl doubling*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (Sept., 2020) 127, [[arXiv:2007.03264](#)].
- [51] S. G. Prabhu, *The classical double copy in curved spacetimes: Perturbative Yang-Mills from the bi-adjoint scalar*, [arXiv:2011.06588](#).
- [52] G. Alkac, M. K. Gumus, and M. Tek, *The Kerr-Schild Double Copy in Lifshitz Spacetime*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021** (June, 2021) 214, [[arXiv:2103.06986](#)].
- [53] S. Han, *The Weyl double copy in vacuum spacetimes with a cosmological constant*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022** (Sept., 2022) 238, [[arXiv:2205.08654](#)].
- [54] R. Alawadhi, D. S. Berman, B. Spence, and D. P. Veiga, *S-duality and the Double Copy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (Mar., 2020) 59, [[arXiv:1911.06797](#)].

- [55] T. Houri, T. Oota, and Y. Yasui, *Closed conformal Killing-Yano tensor and Kerr-NUT-de Sitter spacetime uniqueness*, *Physics Letters B* **656** (Nov., 2007) 214–216, [[arXiv:0708.1368](#)].
- [56] A. Coley, R. Milson, V. Pravda, and A. Pravdova, *Classification of the Weyl Tensor in Higher Dimensions*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **21** (Apr., 2004) L35–L41, [[gr-qc/0401008](#)].
- [57] A. Coley, *Classification of the Weyl Tensor in Higher Dimensions and Applications*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **25** (Feb., 2008) 033001, [[arXiv:0710.1598](#)].
- [58] V. Pravda, A. Pravdova, and M. Ortaggio, *Type D Einstein spacetimes in higher dimensions*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **24** (Sept., 2007) 4407–4428, [[arXiv:0704.0435](#)].
- [59] M. Ortaggio, V. Pravda, and A. Pravdova, *Algebraic classification of higher dimensional spacetimes based on null alignment*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **30** (Jan., 2013) 013001, [[arXiv:1211.7289](#)].
- [60] R. Milson, A. Coley, V. Pravda, and A. Pravdova, *Alignment and algebraically special tensors in Lorentzian geometry*, *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics* **02** (Feb., 2005) 41–61, [[gr-qc/0401010](#)].
- [61] N. Hamamoto, T. Houri, T. Oota, and Y. Yasui, *Kerr-NUT-de Sitter Curvature in All Dimensions*, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **40** (Feb., 2007) F177–F184, [[hep-th/0611285](#)].
- [62] V. E. Didenko, *Coordinate independent approach to 5d black holes*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **29** (Jan., 2012) 025009, [[arXiv:1108.4321](#)].
- [63] H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar, R. Monteiro, D. P. Veiga, and C. N. Pope, *Asymptotic Weyl Double Copy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021** (Nov., 2021) 126, [[arXiv:2109.07866](#)].
- [64] N. Bahjat-Abbas, R. Stark-Muchão, and C. D. White, *Monopoles, shockwaves and the classical double copy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (Apr., 2020) 102, [[arXiv:2001.09918](#)].
- [65] N. Arkani-Hamed, Y.-t. Huang, and D. O’Connell, *Kerr Black Holes as Elementary Particles*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (Jan., 2020) 46, [[arXiv:1906.10100](#)].
- [66] A. Banerjee, E. Ó. Colgáin, J. A. Rosabal, and H. Yavartanoo, *Ehlers as EM duality in the double copy*, *Physical Review D* **102** (Dec., 2020) 126017, [[arXiv:1912.02597](#)].
- [67] Y.-t. Huang, U. Kol, and D. O’Connell, *The Double Copy of Electric-Magnetic Duality*, *Physical Review D* **102** (Aug., 2020) 046005, [[arXiv:1911.06318](#)].
- [68] W. T. Emond, Y.-t. Huang, U. Kol, N. Moynihan, and D. O’Connell, *Amplitudes from*

- Coulomb to Kerr-Taub-NUT*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022** (Oct., 2020) 055, [[arXiv:2010.07861](#)].
- [69] R. Alawadhi, *Single copy of the Ricci flow*, [arXiv:2202.09874](#).
- [70] R. Alawadhi, D. S. Berman, C. D. White, and S. Wikeley, *The single copy of the gravitational holonomy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021** (July, 2021) 229, [[arXiv:2107.01114](#)].
- [71] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, D. O’Connell, and C. D. White, *The double copy: Bremsstrahlung and accelerating black holes*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2016** (June, 2016) 23, [[arXiv:1603.05737](#)].
- [72] R. Gonzo, T. McLoughlin, and A. Puhm, *Celestial holography on Kerr-Schild backgrounds*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022** (July, 2022) 073, [[arXiv:2207.13719](#)].
- [73] E. Chacón, A. Luna, and C. D. White, *The double copy of the multipole expansion*, [arXiv:2108.07702](#).
- [74] T. Adamo and U. Kol, *Classical double copy at null infinity*, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **39** (Nov., 2021) 105007, [[arXiv:2109.07832](#)].
- [75] M. Campiglia and S. Nagy, *A double copy for asymptotic symmetries in the self-dual sector*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021** (Mar., 2021) 262, [[arXiv:2102.01680](#)].