

# VEST is $W[2]$ -hard \*

Michael Skotnica

Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,  
Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

## Abstract

In this short note, we show that the problem of VEST is  $W[2]$ -hard for parameter  $k$ . This strengthens a result of Matoušek, who showed  $W[1]$ -hardness of that problem. The consequence of this result is that computing the  $k$ -th homotopy group of a  $d$ -dimensional space for  $d > 3$  is  $W[2]$ -hard for parameter  $k$ .

## 1 Introduction

The homotopy groups  $\pi_k$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  are important invariants of topological spaces. The most intuitive of them is the group  $\pi_1$  which is often called fundamental group.

Many topological spaces can be described by finite structures, e.g. by abstract simplicial complexes. Such structure can be used as an input for a computer and therefore, it is natural to ask how hard is to compute these homotopy groups of a given topological space represented by an abstract simplicial complex.

Novikov in 1955 (see [Nov55]) and independently Boone in 1959 (see [Boo59]) showed undecidability of the word problem for groups. Their result also implies undecidability of computing the fundamental group. (Even determining whether the fundamental group of a given topological space is trivial is undecidable.)

On the other hand, it is known that for greater  $k$ , the corresponding homotopy group  $\pi_k$  is a finitely generated abelian group which is always isomorphic to a group of the form

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_m}$$

where  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  are powers of prime numbers.<sup>1</sup> An algorithm for computing  $\pi_k$ , where  $k > 1$ , was first introduced by Brown in 1957 (see [Bro57]).

In 1989, Annick (see [Ani89]) proved that computing rank of  $\pi_k$ , that is the number of direct summands isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  (represented by  $n$  in the expression above) is  $\#P$ -hard for 4-dimensional 1-connected spaces. Another computational problem called VEST, which we define below, was used in Annick's proof as an intermediate step. Briefly said,  $\#P$ -hardness of the problem of VEST implies  $\#P$ -hardness of computing rank of  $\pi_k$ .

---

\*The author acknowledges support by the project "Grant Schemes at CU" (reg. no. CZ.02.2.69/0.0/0.0/19\_073/0016935)).

<sup>1</sup>Note that  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is a direct sum of  $n$  copies of  $\mathbb{Z}$  while  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$  is a finite cyclic group of order  $p_i$ .

**Vector evaluated after a sequence of transformations (VEST).** The input of this problem defined by Anick in [Ani89] is a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ , a list of  $(T_1, \dots, T_m)$  of rational  $d \times d$  matrices and a rational matrix  $S \in \mathbb{Q}^{h \times d}$  for  $d, m, h \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For an instance of a VEST let  $M$ -sequence be a sequence of integers  $M_1, M_2, M_3, \dots$ , where

$$M_k := |\{(i_1, \dots, i_k); ST_{i_k} \cdots T_{i_1} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}\}|.$$

Given an instance of a VEST and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the goal is to compute  $M_k$ . Note, that instead of rational setting we can assume integral setting.

From an instance of a VEST, it is possible to construct a corresponding algebraic structure called  $123H$ -algebra in polynomial time whose  $Tor$ -sequence is equal to the  $M$ -sequence of the original instance of a VEST. This is stated in [Ani89, Theorem 3.4] and it follows from [Ani85, Theorem 1.3] and [Ani87, Theorem 7.6].

Given a presentation of a  $123H$ -algebra, one can construct a corresponding 4-dimensional simplicial complex in polynomial time whose sequence of ranks  $(\text{rk } \pi_2, \text{rk } \pi_3, \dots)$  is related to the  $Tor$ -sequence of the  $123H$ -algebra. In particular, it is possible to compute that  $Tor$ -sequence from the sequence of ranks using an  $FPT$  algorithm. (Which is defined in the next paragraph). This follows from [Roo79] and [ČKM<sup>+</sup>14a]. To sum up, a hardness of computing  $M_k$  of a VEST implies a hardness of computing  $\pi_k$ .

**Parameterized complexity and  $W$  hierarchy** It is also possible to look at the problem of computing  $\pi_k$  from the viewpoint of parameterized complexity which classifies decision computational problems with respect to a given parameter(s). For instance, one can ask if there exists an independent set of size  $k$  in a given graph, where  $k$  is the parameter.

In our case, the number  $k$  of the homotopy group  $\pi_k$  plays the role of such parameter. Since we assume only decision problems, we only ask whether the rank of  $\pi_k(X)$  of a space  $X$  is nonzero (or equal to a particular number). In 2014 Čadek et al. (see [ČKM<sup>+</sup>14b]) proved that this problem is in  $XP$  in the parameter  $k$ . In other words, there is an algorithm solving this problem in time  $cn^{f(k)}$ , where  $c$  is a constant,  $n$  is the size of input and  $f(k)$  is a computable function of the parameter  $k$ .

A lower bound for the complexity from the parameterized viewpoint was obtained by Matoušek in 2013 (see [Mat13]). He proved that computing  $M_k$  of a VEST is  $W[1]$ -hard. This also implies  $W[1]$ -hardness for the original problem of computing higher homotopy groups  $\pi_k$  (for 4-dimensional 1-connected spaces) for parameter  $k$ . Matoušek's proof also works as a proof for  $\#P$ -hardness and it is shorter and much more easier than the original proof of Anick in [Ani89].

The class  $W[1]$  is a member of the following  $W$  hierarchy, which we briefly define.

$$FPT \subseteq W[1] \subseteq W[2] \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W[P] \subseteq XP$$

We have already defined the class  $XP$  above. The class  $FPT$  consists of decision problems solvable in time  $f(k)n^{O(1)}$ , where  $f(k)$  is a computable function of the parameter  $k$  and  $n$  is the size of input. It is only known that  $FPT \subsetneq XP$  (see [FG04]). The class  $W[1]$  then consists of all problems which can be reduced by an  $FPT$  algorithm to a boolean circuit of a constant depth with AND, OR and NOT gates such that there is at most 1 gate of higher input size than 2 on each path from the input gate to the final output gate (this number of larger gates is called *weft*) such that the parameter  $k$  from the original problem is translated to setting  $g(k)$  input gates to TRUE. See Figure 1. It is strongly believed that  $FPT \subsetneq W[1]$ . Therefore, one cannot expect existence of an algorithm solving a  $W[1]$ -hard problem in time  $f(k)n^c$  where  $f(k)$  is a computable function of  $k$  and  $c$  is a constant.




Figure 1: A boolean circuit solving the problem of existence of an independent set of size  $k$  in the graph on the left. There is an independent set of size  $k$  in the graph if and only if the boolean circuit outputs TRUE for an input consisting of exactly  $k$  true values.

The class  $W[i]$  consists of problems  $FPT$ -reducible to a boolean circuit of a constant depth and weft at most  $i$ .

The class  $W[P]$  can be defined as a class of problems which can be solved by non-deterministic Turing machine which can make at most  $O(g(k) \log n)$  non-deterministic choices and which works in time  $f(k)n^{O(1)}$ . See [FG04].

According to this definition, it is easy to see that the problem of VEST is in  $W[P]$ .

**Observation 1.** *Computing  $M_k$  of a VEST for parameter  $k$  is in  $W[P]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be the size of the input and  $m$  the number of the matrices in the collection. In particular,  $m \leq n$ .

We can guess which  $k$  matrices we choose from the collection. Each matrix can be represented by an integer  $\leq m$  which can be described by  $\log m$  bits. Therefore, we need at most  $k \log m \leq k \log n$  non-deterministic choices.

Then, we need to multiply  $k + 1$  matrices together with 1 vector. This can be easily done in time  $(k + 2)n^3$ .  $\square$

In this note, we strengthen the result of Matoušek and show that the problem of VEST is  $W[2]$ -hard. Our proof is even simpler than the proof of  $W[1]$ -hardness.

**Theorem 2.** *Computing  $M_k$  of a VEST is  $W[2]$ -hard for parameter  $k$ .*

Theorem 2 together with the result of Anick (see [Ani89]) implies the following.

**Corollary 3.** *Computing  $k$ -th homotopy groups of  $d$ -dimensional space for  $d > 3$  is  $W[2]$ -hard in the parameter  $k$ .*

## 2 The proof

Note that the current complexity of the problem of VEST is a self-contained problem. Our reduction will use only 0,1 matrices and the initial vector  $\mathbf{v}$ . Moreover, each matrix will have at most one 1 in each line. Therefore, such construction also shows  $W[2]$ -hardness of a VEST for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  setting.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Figure 2: The submatrix realizing the procedure which assures that no matrix can repeat. The first line corresponds to the coordinate  $u_2$ , the second to  $u_3$  and the third to  $c$ .

**$W[2]$ -complete problem.** Our reduction is from well-know problem of existence of a dominating set of size  $k$  which is known to be  $W[2]$ -complete and which we define in this paragraph. See [FG04].

For a graph  $G(V, E)$  and its vertex  $v \in V$  let  $N[v]$  denote the closed neighborhood of a vertex  $v$ . That is,  $N[v] := \{u \in V; \{u, v\} \in E\} \cup \{v\}$ .

A *dominating set* of a graph  $G(V, E)$  is a set  $U \subseteq V$  such that for each  $v$  there is  $u \in U$  such that  $v \in N[u]$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We show an FPT reduction from the problem of existence of a dominating set of size  $k$  to a VEST.

Let  $G(V, E)$  be a graph and let  $n = |V|$ . We start with a description of our vector space. It is of dimension  $3n + 1$ . For each  $u \in V$  we have 3 dimensions  $u_1, u_2, u_3$ . Then there is one extra dimension  $c$ . In the beginning, the corresponding coordinates of the vector  $\mathbf{v}$  are set as follows:  $u_1 = 1$  and  $u_2 = u_3 = 0$ . The coordinate corresponding to  $c$  will be set to 1 during the whole computations. The described coordinates will simulate a data structure during the computation which will correspond to matrix multiplication.

For each  $u \in V$  we create a matrix  $M_u$  as follows. This matrix nullifies the coordinate  $w_1$  for each  $w \in N[u]$  which corresponds to a domination of vertices in  $N[u]$  by the vertex  $u$ . The matrix  $M_u$  also set  $u_2$  to  $u_3$  and  $u_3$  to 1. This can be done by the coordinate  $c$ . See Figure 2. It is similar to the procedure from [Mat13] and it assures that each matrix can be chosen only once, but comparing to that procedure used by Matoušek it also works in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  setting; note that in the beginning or after one multiplication by matrix  $M_u$  the coordinate  $u_2 = 0$  while after two or more multiplications it is 1.

The matrix  $S$  then chooses the coordinates  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  for each vector  $u$ . The coordinate  $u_1 = 1$  if and only if corresponding vertex is not dominated. As it was discussed in the previous paragraph  $u_2 = 0$  if and only if the corresponding matrix was not chosen or was chosen only once.

Therefore,  $M_k = k!D_k$  where  $D_k$  is the number of dominating sets of size  $k$  of graph  $G$ .

Note that the reduction is FPT. Indeed, we do not use the parameter  $k$  during it and it is polynomial in the size of input.  $\square$

## References

- [Ani85] David J. Anick. Diophantine equations, Hilbert series, and undecidable spaces. *Annals of Mathematics*, 122:87–112, 1985.
- [Ani87] David J. Anick. Generic algebras and CW complexes. *Algebraic topology and algebraic K-theory*, pages 247–321, 1987.
- [Ani89] David J. Anick. The computation of rational homotopy groups is  $\#P$ -hard. Computers in geometry and topology, Proc. Conf., Chicago/Ill. 1986, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 114. pages 1–56, 1989.

- [Boo59] William W. Boone. The word problem. *Annals of mathematics*, 70:207–265, 1959.
- [Bro57] Edgar H. Brown. Finite computability of Postnikov complexes. *Annals of Mathematics*, 65:1, 1957.
- [ČKM<sup>+</sup>14a] Martin Čadek, Marek Krčál, Jiří Matoušek, Lukáš Vokřínek, and Uli Wagner. Extendability of continuous maps is undecidable. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 51(1):24–66, 2014.
- [ČKM<sup>+</sup>14b] Martin Čadek, Marek Krčál, Jiří Matoušek, Lukáš Vokřínek, and Uli Wagner. Polynomial-time computation of homotopy groups and Postnikov systems in fixed dimension. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 43(5):1728–1780, 2014.
- [FG04] Jörg Flum and Martin Grohe. *Parameterized Complexity Theory*. Springer, 2004.
- [Mat13] Jiří Matoušek. Computing higher homotopy groups is W[1]-hard. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1304.7705*, 2013.
- [Nov55] Pyotr S. Novikov. On the algorithmic unsolvability of the word problem in group theory. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov*, 44:1–143, 1955. (in Russian).
- [Roo79] Jan-Erik Roos. Relations between the poincaré-betti series of loop spaces and of local rings. In *Séminaire d’Algèbre Paul Dubreil*, pages 285–322. Springer, 1979.