

# Embedding theorems for quantizable pseudo-Kähler manifolds

Andrea Galasso\* and Chin-Yu Hsiao†

## Abstract

Given a compact quantizable pseudo-Kähler manifold  $(M, \omega)$  of constant signature, there exists a Hermitian line bundle  $(L, h)$  over  $M$  with curvature  $-2\pi i \omega$ . We shall show that the asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernels for  $L^{\otimes k}$ -valued  $(0, q)$ -forms implies more or less immediately a number of analogues of well-known results, such as Kodaira embedding theorem and Tian's almost-isometry theorem.

*In memory of Steve Zelditch*

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Proof of Theorem 1.1</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Proof of Theorem 1.2</b>	<b>8</b>

**Keywords:** Embedding theorems, almost-isometry theorems

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 32Q40, 32A25

## 1 Introduction

Given a compact symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  such that  $[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  we can define a Hermitian line bundle  $(L, h^L)$  having a unique holomorphic connection whose curvature is  $R^L = -2\pi i \omega$ . One can always pick an almost complex structure  $J$  compatible with  $\omega$ , in [SZ] under the assumption of  $L$  being positive a space of almost holomorphic sections  $H_J^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$  is defined and the authors prove symplectic analogues of standard results in complex geometry; particularly those involving the zero sets of sections and the corresponding maps they define into complex projective space. As far as the authors are aware, if the positivity assumption on  $L$  is dropped no such results are known and in fact one can not expect the dimensions of  $H_J^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$  to grow as in the positive case as  $k$  goes to infinity in general. From the other hand, since the curvature of the line bundle  $L$  is non-degenerate, suppose that it has constant signature  $(n_-, n_+)$ , one can try to replace the spaces  $H_J^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$  with spaces of harmonic  $L^{\otimes k}$ -valued  $(0, n_-)$ -forms, this is suggested by results contained in [HM] and [MM] concerning the asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel for  $(0, q)$  forms for high tensor powers of  $L$  (see also [Hs] and [HM2] for the Szegő kernels for  $(0, q)$  forms). The aim of this paper is to define embeddings of  $(M, \omega)$  which are asymptotically symplectic; since the results in [HM] are presented in the holomorphic

---

\***Address:** National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Room 407, Chee-Chun Leung Cosmology Hall, National Taiwan University; **current address:** Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Via R. Cozzi 55, 20125 Milano, Italy; **ORCID iD:** 0000-0002-5792-1674; **e-mail:** andrea.galasso@unimib.it; andrea.galasso.91@gmail.com

†**Address:** Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, 6F, Astronomy-Mathematics Building, No.1, Sec.4, Roosevelt; **ORCID iD:** 0000-0002-1781-0013; **email:** chsiao@math.sinica.edu.tw; chinyu.hsiao@gmail.com

category we focus on the case when  $J$  is an integrable structure. We now recall some known results and state the main theorems.

We refer to Section 2 for notations and preliminaries. Let  $(L, h^L) \rightarrow M$  be a holomorphic line bundle, where  $M$  is a compact complex manifold of complex dimension  $n$  and  $h^L$  is the Hermitian metric of  $L$ . Let  $R^L$  be the curvature of  $L$  induced by  $h^L$ . In this work, we assume that  $R^L$  is non-degenerate of constant signature  $(n_-, n_+)$  on  $M$ ,  $n_- + n_+ = n$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Suppose  $M$  is connected. Notice that when  $\omega$  is non-degenerate we can prove that  $\omega$  has constant signature. For each point  $m$  in  $M$  consider the continuous map  $m \mapsto \lambda_j(m)$  which is the  $j$ -th eugenvalue of  $\omega_m$ . By absurd suppose that there exists  $x, y$  in  $M$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $\lambda_j(x) > 0$  and  $\lambda_j(y) < 0$ . Since  $\lambda_j$  is continuous, by the intermediate value theorem there exists  $z$  such that  $\lambda_j(z) = 0$ , which contradicts the hypothesis.

Fix a Hermitian metric  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  on the holomorphic tangent bundle  $T^{1,0}M$ . The Hermitian metric  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  on  $T^{1,0}M$  induces a Hermitian metric on  $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and also on

$$\bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq q \leq n} T^{*0,q}M,$$

where  $T^{*0,q}M$  is the bundle of  $(0, q)$  forms. Consider the vector bundle  $T^{*0,q}M \otimes L^{\otimes k}$  whose space of smooth sections is denoted by  $\Omega^{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k})$ . Let  $\{\bar{e}_j\}_{j=1}^n$  be a basis of  $T^{0,1}M := \overline{T^{1,0}M}$  on an open set  $D$  of  $M$ . For any strictly increasing multi-index  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_q)$ ,  $1 \leq i_j \leq n$ , we denote

$$\bar{e}^I := \bar{e}_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{e}_{i_q}.$$

On  $D$ , we can write a  $(0, q)$ -form  $f \in \Omega^{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k})$  as follows

$$f|_D = \sum_{|I|=q}^< f_I(z) \bar{e}^I, \quad (1)$$

where  $f_I \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D, L^k)$ , for each  $I$  and  $\sum^<$  means that the summation is performed only over strictly increasing multi-indices. In this paper all multi-indices will be supposed to be strictly increasing. The Hermitian metrics  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  and  $h^L$  induce a new Hermitian metric  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{h^{L^{\otimes k}}}$  on  $T^{*0,q}M \otimes L^{\otimes k}$ , the corresponding norm is denoted by  $|\cdot|_{h^{L^{\otimes k}}}$ . We can define the  $L^2$ -inner product as follows:

$$(s_1, s_2)_k = \int_M \langle s_1 | s_2 \rangle_{h^{L^{\otimes k}}} dV_M \quad s_1, s_2 \in \Omega^{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k}),$$

and we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_k$  the corresponding norm, where  $dV_M$  is the volume form on  $M$  induced by  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $L^2_{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k})$  be the completion of  $\Omega^{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k})$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)_k$ . Let  $\square_k^{(q)} : \Omega^{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k})$  be the Kodaira Laplacian. We denote by the same symbol the  $L^2$  extension of  $\square_k^{(q)}$ :

$$\square_k^{(q)} : \text{Dom } \square_k^{(q)} \subset L^2_{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow L^2_{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k}),$$

where  $\text{Dom } \square_k^{(q)} = \{u \in L^2_{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k}); \square_k^{(q)} u \in L^2_{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k})\}$ . The projection

$$P_k^{(q)} : L^2_{0,q}(M, L^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow H^q(M, L^{\otimes k}) := \text{Ker } \square_k^{(q)}$$

onto the kernel of  $\square_k^{(q)}$  is called Bergman projector or Bergman projection. Since  $\square_k^{(q)}$  is elliptic, its distributional kernel satisfies

$$P_k^{(q)}(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M \times M, L^k \otimes (T^{*0,q}M \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}M)^*) \otimes (L^k)^*)$$

and along the diagonal we have a smooth section

$$z \mapsto P_k^{(q)}(z, z) \in \text{End}(T_z^{*0,q}M)$$

called local density of states.

In the standard situation, when the line bundle is positive, we consider  $q = 0$  and we define an embedding by setting the holomorphic map

$$\Phi_k : M \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{d_k}, \quad z \mapsto [S_0(z) : \cdots : S_{d_k}(z)]$$

where  $[S_0(z) : \cdots : S_{d_k}(z)]$  denotes the line through  $(S_0(z), \dots, S_{d_k}(z))$  as defined in a local holomorphic frame and where  $S_0, \dots, S_{d_k}$  is an orthonormal basis of the vector space  $H^0(M, L^{\otimes k})$ . Since all the components transform by the same scalar under a change of frame and since

$$P_k^{(0)}(z, z) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_k} |S_j(z)|_{hL^{\otimes k}}^2 = O(k^n),$$

it is easy to see that the sections  $S_j$  do not share common zeros and the map  $\Phi_k$  is well defined. Notice that for  $(0, q)$ -forms we have in local coordinates an expression such as (1), so we need to modify this construction as follows. Consider  $L_1, \dots, L_n \in T^{1,0}M$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T^{1,0}M$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  so that  $R^L(L_j, L_k) = \lambda_j \delta_{j,k}$  with  $\lambda_1 < 0, \dots, \lambda_{n_-} < 0$  and  $\lambda_{n_-+1} > 0, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$ . We always assume  $q = n_-$  and we denote by  $J_0$  the multi-index  $(1, 2, \dots, q)$ . Denote by  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in T^{*1,0}M$  a dual basis of  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  and by  $\bar{e}_{J_0} = \bar{e}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{e}_q$ , we also set

$$S_{j,J_0} = \langle S_j | \bar{e}_{J_0} \rangle \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, L^{\otimes k}) \quad (2)$$

where  $S_0, \dots, S_{d_k}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H^q(M, L^{\otimes k})$ , with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^q(M, L^{\otimes k}) = d_k + 1$ , in view of notation (2) define a map  $\Phi_k^{(q)} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{d_k}$  such that

$$\Phi_k^{(q)}(z) := [S_{0,J_0}(z) : \cdots : S_{d_k,J_0}(z)] \in \mathbb{CP}^{d_k} \quad \text{on } M, \quad (3)$$

we shall show that this map is well-defined if  $k$  is sufficiently large. We now state the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(L, h^L) \rightarrow M$  be a holomorphic line bundle over a compact complex manifold  $M$ . Let  $R^L$  be the curvature of  $L$  induced by  $h^L$ . Assume that  $R^L$  is non-degenerate of constant signature  $(n_-, n_+)$ . With the notations used above, the map*

$$\Phi_k^{(n_-)} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{d_k}$$

*given by (3) above is an embedding and*

$$\left\| \frac{1}{k} \Phi_k^{(n_-)*}(\omega_{FS}) - \omega \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \rightarrow 0$$

*as  $k$  goes to infinity in the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  topology, where  $\omega_{FS}$  denotes the Fubini-Study metric on  $\mathbb{CP}^{d_k}$  and  $\omega := \frac{i}{2\pi} R^L$ .*

Recall that when  $L$  is positive, the convergence of  $\Phi_k^{(n_-)*}(\omega_{FS})/k$  to  $\omega$  was proved in Tian [Tian]. Notice that, the pull-back of a positive form is not necessarily positive, and in fact in our setting  $\omega$  is only assumed to be a closed non-degenerate 2-form. Furthermore, let us remark that, in general,  $\Phi_k^{(n_-)}$  is not holomorphic. In fact, if  $\Phi_k^{(n_-)}$  was holomorphic, then  $\Phi_k^{(n_-)*}(\omega_{FS})$  would be a Kähler form for each  $k$ .

In [MM, Chapter 5.1.4] an embedding is defined into a Grassmannian using the Bergman kernel for  $E \otimes L^{\otimes k}$ , where  $E$  is a vector bundle of rank  $e$ . Here we are tensoring  $L^{\otimes k}$  with  $T^{*0,q}M$ .

Put

$$\tilde{T}^{1,0}M := \text{span} \{ \bar{L}_1, \dots, \bar{L}_{n_-}, L_{n_-+1}, \dots, L_n \}.$$

Then,  $\tilde{T}^{1,0}M$  is an almost complex structure on  $M$ . The second main result of this paper is the following

**Theorem 1.2.** *With the notations and assumptions used above, the map  $\Phi_k^{(n_-)}$  is pointwise asymptotically holomorphic with respect to  $\tilde{T}^{1,0}M$  in the following sense: Let  $p \in M$  and take  $s$  be a local holomorphic trivializing section of  $L$  defined on an open set  $D$  of  $p$  with  $|s|_{h^L}^2 = e^{-2\phi}$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\phi(x) = O(|x - p|^2)$ . Write  $S_{j,J_0} = s^k \otimes \tilde{S}_{j,J_0}$  on  $D$ ,  $\tilde{S}_{j,J_0} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, d_k$ . We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{d_k} |(L_t \tilde{S}_{j,J_0})(p)|^2 &= O(k^n), \quad t = 1, \dots, n_-, \\ \sum_{j=0}^{d_k} |(\bar{L}_t \tilde{S}_{j,J_0})(p)|^2 &= O(k^n), \quad t = n_- + 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

**Remark 1.2.** With the notations and assumptions used in Theorem 1.2, in general, we have that (see the proof of [HM, Theorem 4.3])

$$\sum_{j=0}^{d_k} |(Z \hat{S}_{j,J_0})(p)|^2 = O(k^{n+\frac{1}{2}}),$$

where  $Z \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, TM \otimes \mathbb{C})$ . The equation (4) says that we can have better estimates if  $Z = L_t$ ,  $t \in \{1, \dots, n_-\}$  or  $Z = \bar{L}_t$ ,  $t \in \{n_- + 1, \dots, n\}$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $E$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  vector bundle over  $M$ . The fiber of  $E$  at  $x \in M$  will be denoted by  $E_x$ . Let  $F$  be another vector bundle over  $X$ . We write  $F \boxtimes E^*$  to denote the vector bundle over  $M \times M$  with fiber over  $(x, y) \in M \times M$  consisting of the linear maps from  $E_y$  to  $F_x$ .

Let  $D$  be an open set of  $M$ . The spaces of smooth sections of  $E$  over  $D$  and distribution sections of  $E$  over  $D$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(D, E)$  and  $\mathcal{D}'(D, E)$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{E}'(D, E)$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{D}'(D, E)$  whose elements have compact support in  $D$ . Put  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(D, E) := \mathcal{C}^\infty(D, E) \cap \mathcal{E}'(D, E)$ . We write  $\Omega^{0,q}(D, E)$  and  $\Omega^{0,q}(D)$  to denote  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(D, T^{*0,q}M \otimes E)$  and  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(D, T^{*0,q}M)$  respectively. Put  $\Omega_c^{0,q}(D, E) := \Omega^{0,q}(D, E) \cap \mathcal{E}'(D, E)$ ,  $\Omega_c^{0,q}(D) := \Omega^{0,q}(D) \cap \mathcal{E}'(D)$ . Let  $W_1, W_2$  be bounded open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ , respectively. Let  $E$  and  $F$  be complex or real vector bundles over  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , respectively. Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For a  $k$ -dependent continuous function  $F_k : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W_1, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(W_2, F)$  with distribution kernel  $F_k(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W_2 \times W_1, F \boxtimes E^*)$ . We write  $F_k = O(k^{-\infty})$  on  $W_2 \times W_1$  or  $F_k(x, y) = O(k^{-\infty})$  on  $W_2 \times W_1$  if for every compact set  $K \subseteq W_2 \times W_1$ , every  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and every  $N > 0$ , there is a constant  $C_{N,m,K} > 0$  independent of  $k$  such that

$$\|F_k(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{C}^m(K, F \boxtimes E^*)} \leq C_{N,m,K} k^{-N},$$

for every  $k \gg 1$ . Let  $G_k : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W_1, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(W_2, F)$  be another  $k$ -dependent continuous operator with distribution kernel  $G_k(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W_2 \times W_1, F \boxtimes E^*)$ . We write  $F_k = G_k + O(k^{-\infty})$  on  $W_2 \times W_1$  or  $F_k(x, y) = G_k(x, y) + O(k^{-\infty})$  on  $W_2 \times W_1$  if  $F_k - G_k = O(k^{-\infty})$  on  $W_2 \times W_1$ .

We recall the definition of the semi-classical symbol spaces

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $W$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We let  $S(1; W)$  be the set of  $a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W)$  such that for every  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^N$ , there exists  $C_\alpha > 0$  such that

$$|\partial^\alpha a(x)| \leq C_\alpha,$$

for every  $x \in W$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$S^m(1) = S^m(1; W) := \left\{ (a(\cdot, k))_{k \in \mathbb{R}} \mid (k^{-m} a(\cdot, k)) \in S^0(1; W) \right\}.$$

Consider a sequence  $a_j \in S^{m_j}(1)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $m_j \searrow -\infty$ , and let  $a \in S^{m_0}(1)$ . We say that

$$a(\cdot, k) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(\cdot, k) \text{ in } S^{m_0}(1),$$

if for every  $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  we have  $a - \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} a_j \in S^{m_{\ell+1}}(1)$ . For a given sequence  $a_j$  as above, we can always find such an asymptotic sum  $a$ , which is unique up to an element in  $S^{-\infty}(1) = S^{-\infty}(1; W) := \cap_m S^m(1)$ .

We say that  $a(\cdot, k) \in S^m(1)$  is a classical symbol on  $W$  of order  $m$  if

$$a(\cdot, k) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} k^{m-j} a_j \text{ in } S^m(1), \quad a_j(x) \in S^0(1), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots \quad (5)$$

The set of all classical symbols on  $W$  of order  $m$  is denoted by  $S_{\text{cl}}^m(1) = S_{\text{cl}}^m(1; W)$ .

Similarly, we define  $S^m(1; Y, E)$ ,  $S_{\text{cl}}^m(1; Y, E)$  in the standard way, where  $Y$  is a smooth manifold and  $E$  is a vector bundle over  $Y$ .

We come back to our situation. We will use the same notations as Section 1. We will identify the curvature form  $R^L$  with the Hermitian matrix

$$\dot{R}^L \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{End}(T^{1,0}M)), \quad \langle R^L(z), U \wedge \bar{V} \rangle = \langle \dot{R}^L(z)U | V \rangle,$$

for every  $U$  and  $V$  in  $T_z^{1,0}M$ ,  $z \in M$ . We denote by  $W$  the subbundle of rank  $q = n_-$  of  $T^{1,0}M$  generated by the eigenvectors corresponding to negative eigenvalues of  $\dot{R}^L$ . Then,  $\det \bar{W}^* := \Lambda^q \bar{W}^*$  is a rank one subbundle, where  $\bar{W}^*$  is the dual bundle of the complex conjugate bundle of  $W$  and  $\Lambda^q \bar{W}^*$  is the vector space of all finite sum of  $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_q$ ,  $v_1, \dots, v_q \in \bar{W}^*$ . We denote by  $I_{\det \bar{W}^*}$  the orthogonal projection from  $T^{*0,q}M$  onto  $\det \bar{W}^*$ . For  $x \in M$ , let  $\det \dot{R}^L(x) := \mu_1(x) \cdots \mu_n(x)$ , where  $\mu_j(x)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , are eigenvalues of  $\dot{R}^L(x)$ .

Let  $s$  and  $s_1$  be local holomorphic trivializing sections of  $L$  defined on open sets  $D \subset M$  and  $D_1 \subset M$  respectively,  $|s|_{h^L}^2 = e^{-2\phi}$ ,  $|s_1|_{h^L}^2 = e^{-2\phi_1}$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{R})$ . The localization of  $P_k^{(q)}$  with respect to  $s, s_1$  are given by

$$P_{k,s,s_1}^{(q)} : \Omega_c^{0,q}(D) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,q}(D_1), \\ u \rightarrow s_1^{-k} e^{-k\phi_1} (P_k^{(q)}(s^k e^{k\phi} u)).$$

Let  $P_{k,s,s_1}^{(q)}(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D_1 \times D, T^{*0,q}M \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}M)^*)$  be the distribution kernel of  $P_{k,s,s_1}^{(q)}$ . When  $D = D_1$ ,  $s = s_1$ , we write  $P_{k,s}^{(q)} := P_{k,s,s}^{(q)}$ ,  $P_{k,s}^{(q)}(x, y) := P_{k,s,s}^{(q)}(x, y)$ . We recall the following result [HM, Theorem 4.11]

**Theorem 2.1.** *With the notations and assumptions used above and recall that we let  $q = n_-$ . Let  $s$  be a local holomorphic trivializing section of  $L$  defined on an open set  $D \subset M$ ,  $|s|_{h^L}^2 = e^{-2\phi}$ . We have*

$$P_{k,s}^{(q)}(x, y) = e^{ik\Psi(x,y)} b(x, y, k) + O(k^{-\infty}) \text{ on } D \times D, \quad (6)$$

where  $b(x, y, k) \in S_{\text{cl}}^n(1; D \times D, T^{*0,q}M \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}M)^*)$ ,  $b(x, y, k) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(x, y) k^{n-j}$  in  $S_{\text{cl}}^n(1; D \times D, T^{*0,q}M \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}M)^*)$ ,  $b_j(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D \times D, T^{*0,q}M \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}M)^*)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ ,

$$b_0(x, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} I_{\det \bar{W}^*} \left| \det \dot{R}^L(x) \right|, \quad \text{for every } x \in M, \quad (7)$$

and  $\Psi(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D \times D)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x, y) &= -\bar{\Psi}(y, x), \\ \text{there is a } c > 0 \text{ such that } \text{Im } \Psi(x, y) &\geq c|x - y|^2, \text{ for all } x, y \in D, \\ \Psi(x, y) &= 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Fix  $p \in D$ , take  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be local coordinates of  $M$  defined on  $D$  and  $s$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} z(p) &= 0, \\ \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \middle| \frac{\partial}{\partial z_t} \right\rangle &= \delta_{j,t} + O(|z|), \quad j, t = 1, \dots, n, \\ \phi(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j |z_j|^2 + O(|z|^3), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

then

$$\Psi(z, w) = i \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j| |z_j - w_j|^2 + i \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j (\bar{z}_j w_j - z_j \bar{w}_j) + O(|(z, w)|^3). \tag{10}$$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We always assume  $q = n_-$ . In view of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$P_k^{(q)}(z, z) = \mathbf{b}_0(z) \mathrm{I}_{\det \bar{W}^*} k^n + O(k^{n-1}), \tag{11}$$

with  $\mathbf{b}_0(z) > 0$ . By (11) follows that the map  $\Phi_k^{(q)}$  is well-defined.

We shall now prove that  $\Phi_k^{(q)}$  is injective. By contrary, up to consider a subsequence, suppose that we can find  $x_k, y_k$  in  $M$ , such that  $x_k \neq y_k$  and  $\Phi_k^{(q)}(x_k) = \Phi_k^{(q)}(y_k)$ , for each  $k$ . Thus, by noticing

$$\begin{aligned} \forall k : \Phi_k^{(q)}(x_k) &= \Phi_k^{(q)}(y_k) \iff \\ \forall k, \exists \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}^* : (S_{0,J_0}(x_k), \dots, S_{d_k,J_0}(x_k)) &= \lambda_k \cdot (S_{0,J_0}(y_k), \dots, S_{d_k,J_0}(y_k)) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

we can also assume that for each harmonic  $(0, q)$ -forms  $g_k \in H^q(M, L^{\otimes k})$ , we have

$$|g_{k,J_0}(x_k)|_{hL^{\otimes k}}^2 \geq |g_{k,J_0}(y_k)|_{hL^{\otimes k}}^2, \quad \text{for all } k \gg 1. \tag{13}$$

Assume that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_k = p$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} y_k = q$ ,  $p \neq q$ . Let  $s$  and  $s_1$  be local holomorphic trivializing sections of  $L$  defined on open sets  $D \subset M$  and  $D_1 \subset M$  respectively,  $p \in D$ ,  $q \in D_1$ ,  $\bar{D} \cap \bar{D}_1 = \emptyset$ . From [HM1, Lemma 3.7], we see that

$$P_{k,s,s_1}^{(q)}(x, y) = O(k^{-\infty}) \quad \text{on } D \times D_1. \tag{14}$$

From Theorem 2.1 and (14), we can find  $g_k \in H^q(M, L^{\otimes k})$  such that

$$|g_{k,J_0}(x_k)|_{hL^{\otimes k}}^2 < |g_{k,J_0}(y_k)|_{hL^{\otimes k}}^2, \quad \text{for all } k \gg 1. \tag{15}$$

From (13) and (15), we get a contradiction. Therefore,  $x_k$  and  $y_k$  must converge to the same point  $p \in M$ . We can distinguish two cases: either  $\limsup_k \sqrt{k} |x_k - y_k| = C > 0$ ,  $C \in ]0, \infty]$  or  $\limsup_k \sqrt{k} |x_k - y_k| = 0$ . Here the distance  $|x_k - y_k|$ ,  $x_k, y_k \in M$ , is meant with respect to the Riemannian structure  $g = (\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle + \overline{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle})/2$  on  $TM$ .

Let  $s$  be local holomorphic trivializing section of  $L$  defined on an open set  $D \subset M$ ,  $p \in D$ ,  $|s|_{hL}^2 = e^{-2\phi}$ . Let us study the first case. For every  $y_k \in D$ , let us set

$$g_k(\cdot) := P_k^{(q)}(\cdot, y_k) \bar{e}_{J_0}(y_k) \in H^q(M, L^{\otimes k}).$$

On  $D$ , write  $g_k = s^k \otimes \tilde{g}_k$ ,  $\tilde{g}_k \in \Omega^{0,q}(D)$ . Put  $\hat{g}_k := e^{-k\phi} \tilde{g}_k$ . In view of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\hat{g}_k(x) = e^{ik\Psi(x, y_k)} b(x, y_k, k) \bar{e}_{J_0}(y_k) + O(k^{-\infty}) \quad \text{on } D.$$

Let  $\hat{g}_{k,J_0}(x) := \langle \hat{g}_k(x) | \bar{e}_{J_0}(x) \rangle \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D)$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{g}_{k,J_0}(x_k)|^2 &= \left| e^{ik\Psi(x_k, y_k)} \langle b(x_k, y_k, k) \bar{e}_{J_0}(y_k) | \bar{e}_{J_0}(x_k) \rangle \right|^2 + O(k^{-\infty}) \\ &\leq e^{-2k \operatorname{Im} \Psi(x_k, y_k)} |\langle b(x_k, y_k, k) \bar{e}_{J_0}(y_k) | e_{J_0}(x_k) \rangle|^2 + O(k^{-\infty}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\hat{g}_{k,J_0}(y_k)|^2 = |\langle b(y_k, y_k, k) \bar{e}_{J_0}(y_k) | e_{J_0}(x_k) \rangle|^2 + O(k^{-\infty}).$$

Thus, by recalling that  $x_k, y_k \rightarrow p$ , we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\hat{g}_{k,J_0}(x_k)|^2}{k^{2n}} \leq e^{-2cC^2} |b_0(p, p)|^2 < |b_0(p, p)|^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\hat{g}_{k,J_0}(y_k)|^2}{k^{2n}}$$

which contradicts (13), where  $c > 0$  is a constant independent of  $k$ .

Now, let us focus on the second case. Put  $P_{k,s,J_0,J_0}^{(q)}(x, y) := \langle P_{k,s}^{(q)}(x, y) e_{J_0}(y) | e_{J_0}(x) \rangle$  and let  $P_{k,s,J_0,J_0}^{(q)}(x) := P_{k,s,J_0,J_0}^{(q)}(x, x)$ . Let us set

$$f_k(t) := \frac{|P_{k,s,J_0,J_0}^{(q)}(tx_k + (1-t)y_k, y_k)|^2}{P_{k,s,J_0,J_0}^{(q)}(tx_k + (1-t)y_k) P_{k,s,J_0,J_0}^{(q)}(y_k)}.$$

From Theorem 2.1, it is then easy to prove that

$$f_k(t) \sim e^{-2k \operatorname{Im} \Psi(tx_k + (1-t)y_k, y_k)} \cdot \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} R_k(t) \right] \quad (16)$$

where  $R_k = O(1)$  and the symbol  $\sim$  means “has the same asymptotic as”. For ease of notation, let us set  $F_k(t) := -2k \operatorname{Im} \Psi(tx_k + (1-t)y_k, y_k)$  for given  $x_k, y_k$ . Now, by applying the following formulas

$$|F'_k(t)| = |\langle -2k \operatorname{Im} \Psi'_x(tx_k + (1-t)y_k, y_k), x_k - y_k \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{c_0} k |x_k - y_k|^2$$

and

$$F''_k(t) = \langle -2k \operatorname{Im} \Psi''_x(tx_k + (1-t)y_k, y_k), x_k - y_k \rangle < -c_0 k |x_k - y_k|^2$$

for the computation of the second derivative of  $f_k(t)$  in equation (16), here  $c_0$  is a positive constant, and by using the assumption  $\limsup_k \sqrt{k} |x_k - y_k| = 0$ , after some computations, we obtain that

$$\limsup_k \frac{f''(t)}{k |x_k - y_k|^2} < 0 \quad (17)$$

for each  $t$ .

We now show that if we apply the assumption (12) for computing the  $\limsup$  appearing in (17) we get a different result. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have  $0 \leq f_k(t) \leq 1$ , for any  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $f_k(0) = f_k(1) = 1$ . Thus, for each  $k$ , there is a  $t_k \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f''(t_k) = 0$ . Hence,

$$\limsup_k \frac{f''(t)}{k |x_k - y_k|^2} \geq 0$$

which contradicts (17).

Eventually, we shall prove the last part of the theorem concerning pull-back of the Fubini-Study form, as a corollary we get that  $d\Phi_k^{(q)}$  is injective and thus  $\Phi_k^{(q)}$  is an embedding (see [MM, Lemma 5.16]). Recall that the Fubini Study Kähler form on  $\mathbb{CP}^m$  is given in homogeneous coordinates  $[w_0 : \dots : w_m]$  by

$$\omega_{\text{FS}} := \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left( \sum_{j=0}^m |w_j|^2 \right). \quad (18)$$

Let us apply (18) to our setting; local holomorphic section  $s$  of  $L$  over an open set  $D$  in  $M$ . It induces a section  $s^k$  of the  $k$ -th tensor power of the line bundle  $L^{\otimes k}$  on  $D$ . By recalling (2), we

have  $S_{j,J_0} = f_{j,J_0}(z) \otimes s^k$  for a smooth function  $f_{j,J_0}$  on  $D$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, d_k$ . By definition (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \Phi_k^{(q)*}(\omega_{\text{FS}})_z &= \frac{i}{2\pi k} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left( \sum_{j=0}^{d_k} |f_{j,J_0}(z)|^2 \right) = \frac{i}{2\pi k} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left( \sum_{j=0}^{d_k} \frac{|S_{j,J_0}(z)|_{h^{L \otimes k}}^2}{|s^k(z)|_{h^{L \otimes k}}^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi + \frac{i}{2\pi k} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left( \sum_{j=0}^{d_k} |S_{j,J_0}(z)|_{h^{L \otimes k}}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the statement of the theorem follows from

$$\left\| \partial \bar{\partial} \log P_{k,s,J_0,J_0}^{(q)}(x, x) \right\|_{C^\infty} = O(1)$$

in view of the asymptotic expansion of  $P_{k,s}^{(q)}(x, x)$ .

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Fix  $p \in M$  and let  $s$  be a local trivializing section of  $L$  defined on an open set  $D$  of  $p$ ,  $|s|_{h^L}^2 = e^{-2\phi}$ . Let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ ,  $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , be local coordinates of  $M$  defined on  $D$ . We take  $z$  and  $s$  so that (9) hold. Assume that  $\lambda_1 < 0, \dots, \lambda_{n_-} < 0$ ,  $\lambda_{n_-+1} > 0, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$ . We have  $L_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$  at  $p$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . We need

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $u \in H^q(M, L^{\otimes k})$  with  $\|u\|_k = 1$ . On  $D$ , write  $u = s^k \otimes \tilde{u}$ ,  $\tilde{u} \in \Omega^{0,q}(D)$ . Put  $\tilde{u}_{J_0}(x) := \langle \tilde{u}(x) | \bar{e}_{J_0}(x) \rangle \in C^\infty(D)$ . There is a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $k$  and  $u$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |(L_t \tilde{u}_{J_0})(p)|^2 &\leq C k^n, \quad t = 1, \dots, n_-, \\ |(\bar{L}_t \tilde{u}_{J_0})(p)|^2 &\leq C k^n, \quad t = n_- + 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

*Proof.* Put  $Z_t = L_t$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, n_-$ ,  $Z_t = \bar{L}_t$ ,  $t = n_- + 1, \dots, n$ . Fix  $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . From Theorem 2.1 and off-diagonal expansion of  $P_k^{(q)}$  (see (14)), we have

$$\left| (Z_t \tilde{u}_{J_0})(p) - \int e^{ik\Psi(0,y) - k\phi(y)} \left( r_0(y) k^{n+1} + r_1(y, k) \right) \chi(y) \tilde{u}(y) dV_M(y) \right| \leq C_N k^{-N}, \tag{20}$$

for every  $N > 0$ , where  $C_N > 0$  is a constant independent of  $k$ ,  $u$  and  $r_0(y) = O(|y|^2)$ ,  $r_1(y, k) \in S_{\text{cl}}^n(1; D, \mathbb{C} \boxtimes (T^{*0,q}M)^*)$ ,  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(D)$ ,  $\chi = 1$  near 0. From  $\text{Im } \Psi(0, y) \sim |y|^2$  and some straightforward computations, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int e^{ik\Psi(0,y) - k\phi(y)} \left( r_0(y) k^{n+1} + r_1(y, k) \right) \chi(y) \tilde{u}(y) dV_M(y) \right| \\ &\leq C \left| \int e^{-ck|y|^2} (k^{2n+2} |y|^4 + k^{2n}) dy \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \hat{C} k^n, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where  $C > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $\hat{C} > 0$  are constants independent of  $k$  and  $u$ . From (20) and (21), we get (19).  $\square$

We can now prove Theorem 1.2. We may assume that  $\sum_{j=0}^{d_k} |(Z_t \tilde{S}_{j,J_0})(p)|^2 \neq 0$ . Let

$$u := \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{d_k} S_j \overline{(Z_t \tilde{S}_{j,J_0})(p)}}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=0}^{d_k} |(Z_t \tilde{S}_{j,J_0})(p)|^2}}.$$



Then,  $u \in H^q(M, L^{\otimes k})$  with  $\|u\|_k = 1$ . From Lemma 4.1, we get

$$|(Z_t \tilde{u}_{J_0})(p)|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{d_k} |(Z_t \tilde{S}_{j, J_0})(p)|^2 \leq Ck^n.$$

The theorem follows.

**Acknowledgements:** This project was started during the first author's postdoctoral fellowship at the National Center for Theoretical Sciences in Taiwan; we thank the Center for the support. Chin-Yu Hsiao was partially supported by Taiwan Ministry of Science and Technology projects 108-2115-M-001-012-MY5, 109-2923-M-001-010-MY4.

## References

- [Hs] C.-Y. Hsiao, *Projections in several complex variables*, Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France **123** (2010), 1-136
- [HM] C.-Y. Hsiao, G. Marinescu, *Asymptotics of spectral function of lower energy forms and Bergman kernel of semi-positive and big line bundles*, Communications in analysis and geometry **22**, Number 1, 1–108, 2014
- [HM1] C.-Y. Hsiao, G. Marinescu, *Berezin-Toeplitz quantization for lower energy forms*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations , 42 (6), 895–942, 2017.
- [HM2] C.-Y. Hsiao, G. Marinescu, *On the singularities of the Szegő projections on lower energy forms*, Journal of Differential Geometry , 107 (1), 83-155, 2017.
- [MM] X. Ma, G. Marinescu, *Holomorphic Morse Inequalities and Bergman Kernels*, Progress in Mathematics **254**, (2007)
- [SZ] B. Shiffman, S. Zelditch, *Asymptotics of almost holomorphic sections of ample line bundles on symplectic manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **544** (2002), 181–222
- [Tian] G. Tian, *On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, Journal of Differential Geometry, 32 (1), 99–130.