

Nontrivial One-loop Recursive Reduction Relation

Tingfei Li^a

^a*Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, P. R. China*

E-mail: tfli@zju.edu.cn

ABSTRACT: In [1], we propose a universal method to reduce one-loop integrals with both tensor structure and higher-power propagators. However the whole reduction is a little redundant since we don't use the results of lower rank cases when addressing a certain tensor integral. Recently, we found a remarkable recursion relation [2, 3], where a tensor integral is reduced to lower-rank integrals and a *lower term* corresponding to integrals with one or more propagators being canceled. However, the expression of the lower term is unknown. In this paper, we derive this non-trivial recursion relation for non-degenerate and degenerate cases and give the explicit expression for the lower term. The non-trivial recursion relation makes the reduction process extremely simple. Moreover, results given in this paper can be used in the reduction of two-loop integrals along the line presented in [2].

KEYWORDS: One-loop, Recursion relation, Reduction

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Review of one-loop reduction in projective space	2
3	Recursion relation for non-degenerate Q	4
3.1	Expression of <i>lower term</i>	4
3.2	Examples	6
4	Recursion of degenerate Q	10
4.1	Modified recursion relations	10
4.2	Examples	12
5	Conclusion	14
A	Expression of <i>lower term</i>	15

1 Introduction

In recent years we have witnessed enormous progress in computing and understanding analytic structures of scattering amplitudes. At one-loop level, it is well-known that a general one-loop integral in $D = (4 - 2\epsilon)$ -dimension can always be reduced to a linear combination of one-loop scalar integrals (*master integrals*) with reduction coefficients being rational functions of external variables [4–21]. These master integrals at one-loop level (referred to as tadpoles, bubbles, triangles, boxes, and pentagons according to the number of their propagators) are well known. Therefore, the main problem of one-loop integrals is calculating the reduction coefficients. There are a host of methods to deal with the reduction at integrand level and integral level, such as Integration-By-Parts (IBP) [22–28], Passarino-Veltman(PV) reduction [6], Ossola-Papadopoulos-Pittau(OPP) reduction [29–31], Unitarity cut [13, 32–41], Intersection number [42–48] and some recent process.

The analytical structure of one-loop integrals is studied by investigating Feynman parametrization in the projective space for its compactness and the close relation to geometry [49, 50]. Inspired by this paper, we find it could be convenient to do reduction for one-loop integrals in projective space. Furthermore the symmetry and compactness of reduction coefficients are illustrated clearly by this method in [1]. However, with this technique, we have to expand a general one-loop integral into the combination of $E_{n,k}[V^i]$ first, then reduce every $E_{n,k}[V^i]$ to the basis, after that, we sum over all contributions to obtain the final reduction result. Although the compact recursion relation makes reduction faster, we find the running time grows sharply as the number of propagator n and

the rank r increase. On the other hand, directly using the recursion relation of $E_{n,k}[V^i]$ to investigate the analytical structure of reduction coefficients is not so convenient since it gives only part of full expression. Recently, we found a remarkable recursion relation for one-loop reduction where a tensor integral can be reduced to lower-rank integrals and the *lower term* which corresponds to integrals with one or more propagators being canceled [2, 3]

$$I_n^{(r)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \left[A_r I_n^{(r-1)} + B_r I_n^{(r-2)} + \text{Lower Term} \right] \quad (1.1)$$

where $|G|$ is the Gram determinant of I_n and the tensor integrals are defined as

$$I_n^{(r)} \equiv \int \frac{d^D \ell}{i\pi^{D/2}} \frac{(2R \cdot \ell)^r}{(\ell^2 - M_0^2) \prod_{j=1}^n ((\ell - K_j)^2 - M_j^2)} \quad (1.2)$$

The formula (1.1) is proved by considering acting on it with two differential operators $\mathcal{D}_i \equiv K_i \cdot \partial_R$, $\mathcal{T} \equiv \partial_R \cdot \partial_R$ [3]. The expressions for A_r, B_r are known while the *lower term* is still a mystery. In this paper, we derive the explicit form of the *lower term* by applying the universal recursion relation of $E_{n,k}[V^i]$. We obtain two forms of the *lower term*, the first one involves integrals in $(D-2)$ -dimensional space while the second one involves integrals in the same D -dimensional space¹. The recursion relation not only makes the reduction process extremely simple and effective, but also serves as a tool to study the analytical structures of reduction coefficients like singularities.

The paper is structured as follows: In section 2, we give a brief review of the reduction technique in projective space. In section 3, we first obtain the expression form of the recursion relation and then illustrate it with some examples. The proof is listed in the Appendix A. In section 4, we discuss how to deal with the degenerate case and show our method with some examples. Finally, we give some discussions in section 5.

2 Review of one-loop reduction in projective space

In recent work [1], we study general one-loop integrals in D -dimensional spacetime with both tensor structure and higher poles²

$$I_{\mathbf{v}_n; D}^{(r)} \equiv \int \frac{d^D \ell}{i\pi^{D/2}} \frac{(2R \cdot \ell)^r}{\prod_{j=1}^n ((\ell - q_j)^2 - m_j^2)^{v_j}} \quad (2.1)$$

where the loop momentum ℓ, R, q lives in $D = (d - 2\epsilon)$ -dimensional spacetime. The $\mathbf{v}_n = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is the power list of the n propagators. In the formula, we have introduced an auxiliary vector R ³ to make the expression compact. For example, any general tensor structure can be recovered by applying differential operators of R on the standard

¹While we are writing the paper, the similar results have been obtained in [51] using the IBP method in Baikov representation.

²Here to manifest permutation symmetry of propagators we use the notations differing from (1.2).

³The introduction of the auxiliary vector R can improve the efficiency of reduction as shown in [3, 51–55] for one-loop integrals and [2, 56] for higher loops.

expression, such as

$$\int \frac{d^D \ell}{i\pi^{D/2}} \frac{\ell^2 \ell \cdot K}{\prod_{j=1}^n ((\ell - q_j)^2 - m_j^2)^{v_j}} \propto (K \cdot \partial_R)(\partial_R \cdot \partial_R) I_{\mathbf{v}_n; D}^{(3)}. \quad (2.2)$$

To simplify our denotation, we first introduce the integrals in projective space

$$E_{n,k}[T] \equiv \int_{\Delta} \frac{\langle X d^{n-1} X \rangle T[X^k]}{(XQX)^{\frac{n+k}{2}}}; \quad T[X^k] \equiv T_{I_1 I_2 \dots I_k} X^{I_1} X^{I_2} \dots X^{I_k} \quad (2.3)$$

where T is a general rank- k tensor and Δ is a simplex in n -dimensional space defined by $X_I > 0, \forall I = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The homogeneous coordinates X_I are denoted by a square bracket $X = [x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_n]$, and two coordinates are equivalent to each other up to a scaling, *i.e.*, $[x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_n] \sim [kx_1 : kx_2 : \dots : kx_n]$ for any $k \neq 0$. The measure in the projective space is given by the differential form

$$\langle X d^{n-1} X \rangle = \frac{\epsilon^{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n}}{(n-1)!} X_{I_1} dX_{I_2} \wedge dX_{I_3} \wedge \dots \wedge dX_{I_n}. \quad (2.4)$$

The matrix Q appearing in the denominator $XQX = Q^{IJ} X_I X_J$ has component $Q^{IJ} = Q_{IJ} = (m_I^2 + m_J^2 - (q_I - q_J)^2) / 2, I, J = 1, \dots, n$. For simplicity, we denote $E_{n,k}[V^i] \equiv E_{n,k}[T = \otimes V^i \otimes L^{k-i}]$ for arbitrary vector V and the constant vector $L \equiv [1 : 1 : \dots : 1]$:

$$\otimes V^i \otimes L^{k-i} \equiv \underbrace{V \otimes V \otimes \dots \otimes V}_i \otimes \underbrace{L \otimes L \otimes \dots \otimes L}_{k-i}. \quad (2.5)$$

The order of V, L does not matter for all of them are contracted with X 's. After some algebra [1], we find (2.1) can be written as a compact form in terms of $E_{n,k}$

$$I_{\mathbf{v}_n; D}^{(r)} = \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{i! \Gamma(v - D/2 - r)}{(-1)^{v+r} (v - n + i)!} \mathcal{C}_{r,i}^{D/2+r-v} (R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} E_{n, 2v-n-D-r+i} [S^{v-n+i}] \Big|_{t^i z^{\mathbf{v}_n-1}} \quad (2.6)$$

where $v \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n v_i, S \equiv tV + Z, Z \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{v-n} z_i H_i$ and $|_{t^i z^{\mathbf{v}_n-1}}$ means to take the coefficient of $t^i z^{\mathbf{v}_n-1} \equiv t^i \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{v_i-1}$. We have defined the vectors V, H_i as

$$V \equiv [R \cdot q_1 : R \cdot q_2 : \dots : R \cdot q_n], \quad H_i \equiv [0 : \dots : 0 : \underset{i\text{-th}}{1} : 0 : \dots : 0] \quad (2.7)$$

and the expansion coefficient in (2.6) is

$$\mathcal{C}_{r,i}^k = \frac{2^r r! \Gamma(\frac{r-i+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} i! (r-i)!} \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{r+i}{2}} (k+1-j) = \frac{2^r r! k! \Gamma(\frac{r-i+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} i! (r-i)! (k - \frac{r+i}{2})!}, \quad \frac{r-i}{2} \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.8)$$

where we require i to have the same parity as r . We denote $I_{v; D}^{(r)}$ as the one-loop integrals before taking coefficient of $t^i z^{\mathbf{v}_n-1}$, whose reduction result is

$$I_{v; n}^{(r)} = \sum_{j=0, \mathbf{b}_j} C_{v; n \rightarrow n; \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_j}^{(r)} I_{n; \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_j} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\mathbf{b}_j \equiv \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_j\}$ is the length- j label list of the propagators being canceled. It is found that the reduction of general one-loop integrals is solved by a simple recursion relation for $E_{n,k}[T]$

$$E_{n,k}[(Q\tilde{Q}T)] = \alpha_{n,k} \left[\sum_{b=1}^n E_{n-1,k-1}^{(b)}[(H_b\tilde{Q}T)] + \sum_{k-1 \text{ ways}} E_{n,k-2}[\text{tr}_{\tilde{Q}}T] \right], \quad (2.10)$$

where $\alpha_{n,k} = \frac{1}{n+k-2}$ and \tilde{Q} is an arbitrary symmetric matrix. In the formula we need to sum the $(k-1)$ ways to contract indices between \tilde{Q} and T

$$\begin{aligned} (Q\tilde{Q}T)^{I_1 I_2, \dots, I_k} &= Q^{I_1 J_1} \tilde{Q}_{J_1 J_2} T^{J_2, I_2, I_3, \dots, I_k}, \\ \sum_{(k-1)\text{ways}} (\text{tr}_{\tilde{Q}}T)^{I_3, \dots, I_k} &= \tilde{Q}_{I_1 I_2} T^{I_1 I_2 I_3 \dots I_k} + \tilde{Q}_{I_1 I_2} T^{I_1 I_3 I_2 \dots I_k} + \dots + \tilde{Q}_{I_1 I_2} T^{I_1 I_3 \dots I_k I_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

And the $(n-1)$ -dimensional integral $E_{n-1,k-1}^{(b)}$ means the integral got from the n -dimensional $E_{n,k}$ by deleting the b^{th} component of X . The reduction process is achieved by following steps

- Expanding a general one loop according to (2.6).
- Depending on whether Q is degenerate, take $\tilde{Q} = Q^{-1}$ or $\tilde{Q} = Q^*$ in (2.10) to reduce every term in the expansion (2.6) to scalar basis.
- Sum over all contributions.

In this paper, we mainly discuss pure tensor reduction which is involved in the real scattering process. Although we have used the compact notations in every iteration to make all steps of the reduction process purely algebraic, it is time-wasting for large n, r . We may wonder if there is a more compact recursion in terms of integrals $I_{n;D}^{(r)}$ themselves rather than their expansions terms $E_{n,k}$. One can search for the answer by combining IBP and sazagy as did in [56]. But here, we can derive general integral level recursions for arbitrary n, r .

3 Recursion relation for non-degenerate Q

In this section, we give the explicit expression for the recursion relation. The proof is given in Appendix A. Then we list some examples to illustrate reduction process using the recursion relations.

3.1 Expression of lower term

As pointed in [3], there excites a non-trivial recursion relation for one-loop tensor integrals⁴

$$I_n^{(r)} = \frac{1}{(LL)} \left[A_r I_n^{(r-1)} + B_r I_n^{(r-2)} + \text{Lt}_n^{(r)} \right] \quad (3.1)$$

⁴The formula is the same as (1.1) by using the fact $L \cdot Q^* \cdot L = |G|$ after setting $q_1 = 0$.

where we have defined the compact notation

$$(\overline{WZ}) \equiv W^I (Q^{-1})_{IJ} Z^J. \quad (3.2)$$

The two coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} A_r &= \frac{2(D + 2r - n - 2)}{D + r - n - 1} (\overline{VL}), \\ B_r &= \frac{4(r - 1)(R^2 - (\overline{VV}))}{D + r - n - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Notice that due to $B_{r=1} = 0$, the formula (3.1) works for $r \geq 1$. For $r = 0$, the integral I_n for general Q is a master basis, so it can not be reduced further. The *lower term* $\text{Lt}_n^{(r)}$ corresponds to integrals with one or more propagators being canceled. In [3], we check the consistency of (3.1) by applying two differential operators of R (*i.e.*, $\mathcal{D}_i \equiv q_{i+1} \cdot \partial_R$, $\mathcal{T} \equiv \partial_R \cdot \partial_R$) on both sides. Although with the differential operators $\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{T}$, one can establish a reduction framework for one-loop integrals, it is quite hard to work out $\text{Lt}_n^{(r)}$ with this technique for two types of complex recursion relations are involved. On the other hand, one-loop reduction in projective space only relies on one simple and symmetric recursion relation (2.10). As shown in Appendix A, one can figure out the expression of $\text{Lt}_n^{(r)}$

$$\text{Lt}_n^{(r)} = \frac{(\overline{H_b L}) I_{n;D-2,\hat{b}}^{(r)} + 2(\overline{H_b V}) I_{n;D-2,\hat{b}}^{(r-1)}}{D + r - n - 1} \quad (3.4)$$

where we have omitted the summation over b from 1 to n . Notice that the terms on RHS are integrals in $(D - 2)$ -dimensional spacetime. The reduction in $(D - 2)$ -dimensional spacetime is easy to carry out

$$I_{n;D-2}^{(r)} = \sum_{j, \mathbf{b}_j} C_{n \rightarrow n; \hat{\mathbf{b}}_j}^{(r)} \Big|_{D \rightarrow D-2} I_{n;D-2; \hat{\mathbf{b}}_j} \quad (3.5)$$

where $C_{n \rightarrow n; \hat{\mathbf{b}}_j}^{(r)}$, the reduction coefficients for D -dimensional integrals, are known. Then we need to reduce the scalar $(D - 2)$ -dimensional integral using the recursion relation (2.10). If $Q_{(b)}$ are non-degenerate, we can write the lower topology term with integrals in the same dimension by reducing the RHS of (3.4) further. After some algebra shown in Appendix A, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lt}_n^{(r)} &= [(\overline{H_b L})(\overline{VL})_{(b)} - (\overline{H_b V})(\overline{LL})_{(b)}] I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{2(r - 1) [(\overline{H_b L})R^2 + (\overline{H_b V})(\overline{VL})_{(b)} - (\overline{H_b L})(\overline{VV})_{(b)}]}{D + r - n - 1} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-2)} \\ &\equiv A_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} + B_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-2)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The formula is more convenient to use for we can employ reduction results of lower topologies directly. So finally we reach a more symmetric formula

$$I_n^{(r)} = \frac{1}{(\overline{LL})} \left[A_r I_n^{(r-1)} + B_r I_n^{(r-2)} + A_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} + B_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-2)} \right] \quad (3.7)$$

In this formula, the RHS contains tensor integrals with the same number of propagators but lower ranks and the integrals with one propagator being canceled, so they are already known for an iterative reduction process. With the recursion formula, one can obtain reduction results for any tensor integral $I_n^{(r)}$. The recursion diverges when $(\overline{LL}) = 0$, which actually corresponds to the singularity of degenerate Gram matrix $|G| = 0$. We deal with the case by a Laurent expansion of $|G|$ in [3]. But here we can address it simply by multiplying both sides (3.7) with (\overline{LL}) , *i.e.*,

$$\left[A_r I_n^{(r-1)} + B_r I_n^{(r-2)} + A_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} + B_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-2)} \right] = 0 \text{ for } (\overline{LL}) = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

so we obtain the recursion relation for vanishing Gram determinant

$$I_n^{(r)} = \frac{-1}{A_{r+1}} \left[B_{r+1} I_n^{(r-1)} + A_{r+1;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r)} + B_{r+1;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} \right] \quad (3.9)$$

Notice A_{r+1} contains (\overline{VL}) , $V_i = R \cdot q_i$ while R should only appear in the numerator in final expression of reduction coefficients, it is expected that the (\overline{VL}) in A_{r+1} can be canceled. There are two identities for $|G| = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{H_b L})(\overline{V L})_{(b)} - (\overline{H_b V})(\overline{L L})_{(b)} &= (\overline{H_b L})(\overline{V L}) \\ (\overline{H_b V})(\overline{V L})_{(b)} - (\overline{H_b L})(\overline{V V})_{(b)} + (\overline{H_b L})(\overline{V V}) &= (\overline{V L})(\overline{H_b V}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

which simplifies the recursion relation (3.9) to

$$\begin{aligned} I_n^{(r)} = \frac{-1}{2(D+2r-n)} & \left[(D+r-n)(\overline{H_b L}) I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r)} + 2r(\overline{H_b V}) I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} \right. \\ & \left. + 2r \frac{(R^2 - (\overline{V V}))}{(\overline{V L})} (2I_n^{(r-1)} + (\overline{H_b L}) I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

It is easy to check the *spurious singularity* $(\overline{V L})$ disappears in the final result for $r = 0, 1$:

- $r = 0$

$$I_n = \frac{-1}{2} (\overline{H_b L}) I_{n;\hat{b}}, \quad (3.12)$$

- $r = 1$

$$I_n^{(1)} = \frac{-1}{2(D+2-n)} \left[(D+1-n)(\overline{H_b L}) I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(1)} + 2(\overline{H_b V}) I_{n;\hat{b}} \right]. \quad (3.13)$$

For higher rank case, one can iteratively check $(\overline{V L})$ disappears in the denominator

3.2 Examples

Here we give some examples to illustrate the application of one-loop recursion with non-degenerate Q .

- **Tadpoles:** First, we consider the simplest case, *i.e.*, the tensor tadpole

$$I_1^{(r)} \equiv \int \frac{d^D \ell}{i\pi^{D/2}} \frac{(2R \cdot \ell)^r}{\ell^2 - m_1^2}. \quad (3.14)$$

Due to the tadpole has one propagator, there is only one master integral I_1 and $\text{Lt}_1^{(r)} = 0$. We set $q_1 = 0$ throughout the paper, then we find

$$(\overline{LL}) = \frac{1}{m_1^2}, \quad A_r = 0, \quad B_r = \frac{4(r-1)R^2}{D+r-2}. \quad (3.15)$$

The recursion relation (3.1) for tensor tadpole is quite simple

$$I_1^{(r)} = \frac{4(r-1)m_1^2 R^2}{D+r-2} I_1^{(r-2)}. \quad (3.16)$$

It is easy to figure out the general result

$$I_1^{(r)} = \begin{cases} 0, & r = \text{odd} \\ \frac{2^r (r-1)!! (m_1^2 R^r)}{(D+r-2)!!} I_1, & r = \text{even} \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

- **Bubbles:** To avoid unnecessary complicate calculations and to show the advantages of reduction in projective space, we consider tensor bubbles as a non-trivial example

$$I_2^{(r)} \equiv \int \frac{d^D \ell}{i\pi^{D/2}} \ell \frac{(2R \cdot \ell)^r}{(\ell - m_1^2)[(\ell - q_2)^2 - m_2^2]} \quad (3.18)$$

where we have set $q_1 = 0$. The recursion relation reads

$$I_2^{(r)} = \frac{1}{(\overline{LL})} \left[A_r I_2^{(r-1)} + B_r I_2^{(r-2)} + A_{r;\hat{b}} I_{2;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} + B_{r;\hat{b}} I_{2;\hat{b}}^{(r-2)} \right] \quad (3.19)$$

For simplicity, we denote $R^2 = s_{00}$, $R \cdot q_2 = s_{01}$, $q_2^2 = s_{11}$. By direct calculation, one finds the matrix Q for the bubble is

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & \frac{1}{2}(m_1^2 + m_2^2 - s_{11}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(m_1^2 + m_2^2 - s_{11}) & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{-1}{4|Q|} \begin{pmatrix} -4m_2^2 & 2(m_1^2 + m_2^2 - s_{11}) \\ 2(m_1^2 + m_2^2 - s_{11}) & -4m_1^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

And the sub-matrices of Q are

$$Q_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cancel{Q_{11}} & \cancel{Q_{12}} \\ \cancel{Q_{21}} & Q_{22} \end{pmatrix} = m_2^2, \quad Q_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & \cancel{Q_{12}} \\ \cancel{Q_{21}} & \cancel{Q_{22}} \end{pmatrix} = m_1^2. \quad (3.21)$$

With the expressions for Q and its sub-matrices, it is easy to calculate these compact cells in recursion relation (3.19), for example

$$(\overline{H_1 L}) \equiv H_1 Q^{-1} L = \frac{2(m_1^2 - m_2^2 - s_{11})}{-2m_1^2(m_2^2 + s_{11}) + (m_2^2 - s_{11})^2 + m_1^4},$$

$$(\overline{H_2 L}) \equiv H_2 Q^{-1} L = -\frac{2(m_1^2 - m_2^2 + s_{11})}{-2m_1^2(m_2^2 + s_{11}) + (m_2^2 - s_{11})^2 + m_1^4}. \quad (3.22)$$

Plugging these expressions into the coefficients involved (3.19), we finally find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^{(r)} &= \frac{(D+2r-4)(m_1^2 - m_2^2 + s_{11})s_{01}}{(D+r-3)s_{11}} I_2^{(r-1)} + \frac{s_{01}}{s_{11}} I_{2;\hat{1}}^{(r-1)} - \frac{s_{01}}{s_{11}} I_{2;\hat{2}}^{(r-1)} \\
&+ \frac{r-1}{(D+r-3)s_{11}} \left[- [(4m_1^2 s_{01}^2 + (-2m_1^2(m_2^2 + s_{11}) + (m_2^2 - s_{11})^2 + m_1^4) s_{00})] I_2^{(r-2)} \right. \\
&\left. + ((-m_1^2 + m_2^2 + s_{11}) s_{00} - 2s_{01}^2) I_{2;\hat{1}}^{(r-2)} + (m_1^2 - m_2^2 + s_{11}) s_{00} I_{2;\hat{2}}^{(r-2)} \right]. \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

With the reduction results for tensor tadpoles, one can use the recursion relation iteratively to reduce a tensor bubble up to any rank, for example

- $r = 1$

$$I_2^{(1)} = \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2 + s_{11})s_{01}}{s_{11}} I_2 + \frac{s_{01}}{s_{11}} I_{2;\hat{1}} - \frac{s_{01}}{s_{11}} I_{2;\hat{2}}. \tag{3.24}$$

- $r = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^{(2)} &= \frac{D(m_1^2 - m_2^2 + s_{11})s_{01}}{(D-1)s_{11}} I_2^{(1)} + \frac{s_{01}}{s_{11}} I_{2;\hat{1}}^{(1)} - \frac{s_{01}}{s_{11}} I_{2;\hat{2}}^{(1)} \\
&+ \frac{1}{(D-1)s_{11}} \left[- [(4m_1^2 s_{01}^2 + (-2m_1^2(m_2^2 + s_{11}) + (m_2^2 - s_{11})^2 + m_1^4) s_{00})] I_2 \right. \\
&\left. + ((-m_1^2 + m_2^2 + s_{11}) s_{00} - 2s_{01}^2) I_{2;\hat{1}} + (m_1^2 - m_2^2 + s_{11}) s_{00} I_{2;\hat{2}} \right] \tag{3.25}
\end{aligned}$$

Then use the data of rank 1 bubble reduction, we finally find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^{(2)} &= \left[\frac{D(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 s_{01}^2}{(D-1)s_{11}^2} - \frac{-2m_1^2((D-2)s_{01}^2 + m_2^2 s_{00}) + 2Dm_2^2 s_{01}^2 + m_1^4 s_{00} + m_2^4 s_{00}}{(D-1)s_{11}} \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{s_{11}s_{00}}{D-1} + \frac{Ds_{01}^2 + 2m_1^2 s_{00} + 2m_2^2 s_{00}}{D-1} \right] I_2 + \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2 + s_{11})(s_{11}s_{00} - Ds_{01}^2)}{(D-1)s_{11}^2} I_{2;\hat{2}} \\
&+ \frac{(s_{01}^2(Dm_1^2 - Dm_2^2 + (3D-4)s_{11}) + s_{11}(-m_1^2 + m_2^2 + s_{11})s_{00})}{(D-1)s_{11}^2} I_{2;\hat{1}} \tag{3.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3.23) with its compact form (3.19), one can see analytical structure and permutation symmetries of propagators manifest in projective space language. Moreover, reduction recursion in projective space is universal for n -gon tensor integrals. One can notice that there is a singularity $s_{11} = q_2^2 = 0$ in the recursion relation (3.23), which comes from $(\overline{L}\overline{L}) = 0$ in (3.19). We address the singularity by (3.9)

$$I_2^{(r)} = \frac{-1}{A_{r+1}} \left[B_{r+1} I_2^{(r-1)} + A_{r+1;\hat{b}} I_{2;\hat{b}}^{(r)} + B_{r+1;\hat{b}} I_{2;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} \right]. \tag{3.27}$$

Using

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & \frac{1}{2}(m_1^2 + m_2^2) \\ \frac{1}{2}(m_1^2 + m_2^2) & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{1}{(m_1 - m_2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} -4m_2^2 & 2(m_1^2 + m_2^2) \\ 2(m_1^2 + m_2^2) & -4m_1^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.28)$$

we finally find

$$A_{r+1} = \frac{2(D+2r-2)}{D+r-2} (\overline{VL}) = -\frac{4s_{01}(D+2r-2)}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)(D+r-2)}$$

$$B_{r+1} = \frac{4r(R^2 - (\overline{VV}))}{D+r-2} = \frac{4r \left(\frac{4m_1^2 s_{01}^2}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2} + s_{00} \right)}{D+r-2}$$

$$A_{r+1;\hat{b}} = (\overline{H_bL})(\overline{VL})_{(b)} - (\overline{H_bV})(\overline{LL})_{(b)} = \left\{ -\frac{4s_{01}}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}, \frac{4s_{01}}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2} \right\}$$

$$B_{r+1;\hat{b}} = \frac{2r [(\overline{H_bL})R^2 + (\overline{H_bV})(\overline{VL})_{(b)} - (\overline{H_bL})(\overline{VV})_{(b)}]}{D+r-2}$$

$$= \frac{2r}{D+r-2} \left\{ \frac{2(m_1^2 - m_2^2)s_{00}^2 + 4s_{01}^2}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}, -\frac{2s_{00}}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} \right\}. \quad (3.29)$$

So we have

$$I_2^{(r)} = \frac{1}{s_{01}(D+2r-2)} \left[r \left(\frac{4m_1^2 s_{01}^2}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} + s_{00}(m_1^2 - m_2^2) \right) I_2^{(r-1)} - \frac{(D+r-2)s_{01}}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{1}}^{(r)} \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{(D+r-2)s_{01}}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{2}}^{(r)} + \frac{r(m_1^2 - m_2^2)s_{00}^2 + 2rs_{01}^2}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{1}}^{(r-1)} - rs_{00} I_{2;\hat{2}}^{(r-1)} \right]. \quad (3.30)$$

Then we can apply this recursion to reduce tensor bubble iteratively:

- $r = 0$

$$I_2 = -\frac{1}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{1}} + \frac{1}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{2}}. \quad (3.31)$$

- $r = 1$

$$I_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{s_{01}D} \left[\left(\frac{4m_1^2 s_{01}^2}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} + s_{00}(m_1^2 - m_2^2) \right) I_2 - \frac{(D-1)s_{01}}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{1}}^{(1)} \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{(D-1)s_{01}}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{2}}^{(1)} + \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)s_{00} + 2s_{01}^2}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{1}} - s_{00} I_{2;\hat{2}} \right]. \quad (3.32)$$

Then using the result we have got

$$I_2 = -\frac{1}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{1}} + \frac{1}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{2}}, \quad I_{2;\hat{1}}^{(1)} = 2s_{01} I_{2;\hat{1}}, \quad I_{2;\hat{2}}^{(1)} = 0, \quad (3.33)$$

we finally find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{s_{01}D} \left[\left(\frac{4m_1^2 s_{01}^2}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} + s_{00}(m_1^2 - m_2^2) \right) \left(-\frac{1}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{1}} + \frac{1}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{2}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{(D-1)s_{01}}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} 2s_{01} I_{2;\hat{1}} + \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)s_{00} + 2s_{01}^2}{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)} I_{2;\hat{1}} - s_{00} I_{2;\hat{2}} \right] \\
&= -\frac{2(Dm_1^2 - (D-2)m_2^2)s_{01}}{D(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2} I_{2;\hat{1}} + \frac{4m_1^2 s_{01}}{D(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2} I_{2;\hat{2}}. \tag{3.34}
\end{aligned}$$

There is another singularity $m_1 = m_2$ in the recursion relation. In this case, the matrix Q is degenerate, we will discuss it in the next section.

- **Hexagon:** We consider $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ and all external momenta are in 4-dimensional spacetime. One can prove that the matrix Q for a hexagon isn't degenerate for general moment. But here we have $LQ^{-1}L = 0$. So we find

$$- r = 0$$

$$I_6 = -\frac{1}{2}(\overline{H_b L}) I_{6;\hat{b}}, \tag{3.35}$$

$$- r = 1$$

$$I_6^{(1)} = \frac{-1}{2(D-4)} \left[(D-5)(\overline{H_b L}) I_{6;\hat{b}}^{(1)} + 2(\overline{H_b V}) I_{6;\hat{b}} \right]. \tag{3.36}$$

For $n > 6$, the matrix Q is degenerate, we discuss it in the next section.

4 Recursion of degenerate Q

In the last section, we discuss the reduction for non-degenerate Q based on (3.1). There are two cases where recursion (3.1) breaks down: (A): The matrix Q degenerates so anything like $(\overline{WZ}) \equiv W \cdot Q^{-1} \cdot Z$ is ill-defined. (B): Q is non-degenerate but $(\overline{LL}) = 0$, which has been addressed in (3.9). So in this section, we mainly discuss how to modify (3.1) for degenerate Q .

4.1 Modified recursion relations

Obviously, there are some cases where Q becomes degenerate. In the practical calculation of scattering amplitudes, we always work in finite dimensions and sometimes consider special kinetic configurations, which can make our recursion (3.1) break down. For example, in the dimension regularization scheme, we often fix $D = d - 2\epsilon$ and let the external momenta live in d -dimensional spacetime, where Q must be degenerate for $n > d + 2$. Some special momenta or mass configuration can also lead to a degenerate Q . For example, when dealing with a scattering process containing photons or gluons, some external momenta $q_{i+1} - q_i$ or inner propagators can be massless.

We give the derivation process of (3.1) in Appendix (A), one can do the same thing for degenerate Q . The only thing different is to replace Q^{-1} with an arbitrary symmetric matrix \tilde{Q} in the intermediate steps. Finally, one obtains a general recursion relation with every term containing a \tilde{Q}

$$(\overline{\dot{L}L})I_n^{(r)} = \left[\tilde{A}_r I_n^{(r-1)} + \tilde{B}_r^{(RR)} I_n^{(r-2)} [Q\tilde{Q}] + \tilde{B}_r^{(VV)} I_n^{(r-2)} + \tilde{A}_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} + \tilde{B}_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-2)} \right]. \quad (4.1)$$

To make the expression compact, we define

$$(\overline{\dot{W}Z}) \equiv \sum_{I,J=1}^n W^I \tilde{Q}_{IJ} Z^J, \quad (\overline{\dot{W}Z})_{(b)} \equiv \sum_{I,J=1, I,J \neq b}^n W^I (Q_{(b)}^{-1})_{IJ} Z^J. \quad (4.2)$$

The coefficients in (4.1) are

$$\tilde{A}_r = \frac{2(D+2r-n-2)}{D+r-n-1} (\overline{\dot{V}L}), \quad \tilde{B}_r^{(RR)} = \frac{4(r-1)R^2}{D+r-n-1}, \quad \tilde{B}_r^{(VV)} = \frac{-4(r-1)(\overline{\dot{V}V})}{D+r-n-1} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{r;\hat{b}} &= \left[(\overline{\dot{H}_b L})(\overline{\dot{V}L})_{(b)} - (\overline{\dot{H}_b V})(\overline{\dot{L}L})_{(b)} \right] \\ \tilde{B}_{r;\hat{b}} &= \frac{2(r-1) \left[(\overline{\dot{H}_b L})R^2 + (\overline{\dot{H}_b V})(\overline{\dot{V}L})_{(b)} - (\overline{\dot{H}_b L})(\overline{\dot{V}V})_{(b)} \right]}{D+r-n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where we split the coefficient \tilde{B}_r into two parts $\tilde{B}_r^{(RR)}$ and $\tilde{B}_r^{(VV)}$ and the first one contains no \tilde{Q} . To keep the homogeneous condition for \tilde{Q} , we need to add a \tilde{Q} into $I_n^{(r)} [Q\tilde{Q}]$ in (4.1)

$$I_n^{(r)} [Q\tilde{Q}] = \frac{\Gamma(n-D/2-r)}{(-)^{n+r}} \sum_{i=0}^r \mathcal{C}_{r,i}^{D/2+r-n} (R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} E_{n,n-D-r+i} [(Q\tilde{Q}V) \otimes V^{i-1}] \quad (4.5)$$

where $(Q\tilde{Q}V)_i = Q_{ij} \tilde{Q}^{jk} V_k$ is a vector. Notice that in the derivation of (4.1) we assume $Q_{(b)}$, the sub-matrix of Q , is non-degenerate. If not, we need to start with (3.4) and introduce another $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ general matrices $\widetilde{Q}_{(b)}$ to reduce the $\text{Lt}_n^{(r)}$ in (3.4).

It is obvious that (4.1) can return to the non-degenerate case by choose $\tilde{Q} = Q^{-1}$. For degenerate Q , we can choose a \tilde{Q} satisfying $Q\tilde{Q} = 0$, then the second term $\tilde{B}_r^{(RR)} I_n^{(r-2)} [Q\tilde{Q}]$ in (4.1) vanishes, and (4.1) becomes

$$(\overline{\dot{L}L})I_n^{(r)} = \left[\tilde{A}_r I_n^{(r-1)} + \tilde{B}_r^{(VV)} I_n^{(r-2)} + \tilde{A}_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} + \tilde{B}_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-2)} \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

Similar to the non-degenerate Q , depending on whether $(\overline{\dot{L}L})$ is zero or not, we have two cases to deal with

- $(\overline{\dot{L}L}) \neq 0$

We first consider the simple case $(\overline{\dot{L}L}) \neq 0$, then we get a recursion relation similar to (3.7) but without the coefficient $\tilde{B}_r^{(RR)}$

$$I_n^{(r)} = \frac{1}{(\overline{\dot{L}L})} \left[\tilde{A}_r I_n^{(r-1)} + \tilde{B}_r^{(VV)} I_n^{(r-2)} + \tilde{A}_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} + \tilde{B}_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-2)} \right]. \quad (4.7)$$

This formula is valid for $r \geq 1$. For $r = 0$, from the discussion in [1], I_n doesn't belong to the basis anymore. One can first write $I_n = (-1)^n \Gamma(n - D/2) E_{n,n-D}$, then applying the recursion relation for $E_{n,n-D}$

$$E_{n,n-D} = \frac{-\overline{(\dot{H}_b \bar{L})}}{(n - D + 1) \overline{(\dot{L} \bar{L})}} E_{n-1,n-D+1}^{(b)}. \quad (4.8)$$

The $E_{n-1,n-D+1}^{(b)}$ can be reduced further depending on whether $Q_{(b)}$ is degenerate or not.

- $\overline{(\dot{L} \bar{L})} = 0$

Now (4.6) becomes

$$0 = \left[\tilde{A}_r I_n^{(r-1)} + \tilde{B}_r^{(VV)} I_n^{(r-2)} + \tilde{A}_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} + \tilde{B}_{r;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-2)} \right]. \quad (4.9)$$

Then there are two subcases:

- $\tilde{Q}L \neq 0$: The coefficient \tilde{A}_r is nonzero, so

$$I_n^{(r)} = \frac{-1}{\tilde{A}_{r+1}} \left[\tilde{B}_{r+1}^{(VV)} I_n^{(r-1)} + \tilde{A}_{r+1;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r)} + \tilde{B}_{r+1;\hat{b}} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} \right]. \quad (4.10)$$

- $\tilde{Q}L = 0$: The coefficient \tilde{A}_r vanishes, so finally we get

$$I_n^{(r)} = \frac{\overline{(\dot{H}_b \bar{V})}}{\tilde{B}_{r+2}^{(VV)}} \left[\overline{(\dot{L} \bar{L})}_{(b)} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r+1)} - \frac{2(r+1) \overline{(\dot{V} \bar{L})}_{(b)}}{D+r-n+1} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(r)} \right]. \quad (4.11)$$

Both two recursion relations work for $r \geq 0$.

At last, we consider a very special case where the sub-matrices $Q_{(b)}$ degenerate too, which makes some coefficients in (4.1) diverge. one need to use the $(D - 2)$ -dimensional lower terms

$$\text{Lt}_n^{(r)} = \frac{\overline{(\dot{H}_b \bar{L})} I_{n;D-2,\hat{b}}^{(r)} + 2 \overline{(\dot{H}_b \bar{V})} I_{n;D-2,\hat{b}}^{(r-1)}}{D+r-n-1} \quad (4.12)$$

then reduce these terms by (3.5). Another method is to expand reduction coefficients and some degenerate basis according to $|Q_{(b)}|$, then taking the limit $|Q_{(b)}| \rightarrow 0$ (see [3]).

4.2 Examples

Here we give some examples to illustrate how to apply the recursion relations in this section to reduction for degenerate cases. To compare with the non-degenerate case that we have discussed in section 3.2, here we mainly deal with bubbles.

- $L\tilde{Q}L = 0, \tilde{Q}L = 0$: **Massless scalar bubble with the same internal masses**

To check the validity of our method, we first consider a scalar bubble with $m_1 = m_2 = m$ and $q_2^2 = 0$, defined as

$$I_2^{m_1=m_2, q_2^2=0} = \int \frac{d^D \ell}{i\pi^{D/2}} \frac{1}{(\ell^2 - m^2)[(\ell - q_2)^2 - m^2]}, \quad (4.13)$$

which can be reduced to two tadpoles⁵.

We find Q degenerates to a corank-1 matrix

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} m^2 & m^2 \\ m^2 & m^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{Q} = Q^* = \begin{bmatrix} m^2 & -m^2 \\ -m^2 & m^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$Q_{(1)} = Q_{(2)} = m^2, \quad (\overline{H_1 \dot{V}}) = -m^2 s_{01}, \quad (\overline{H_2 \dot{V}}) = m^2 s_{01}, \quad (\overline{V \dot{V}}) = m^2 s_{01}^2. \quad (4.15)$$

Notice that $(\overline{L \dot{L}}) = 0, (\overline{V \dot{L}}) = 0$, from (4.11), we have

$$I_2 = \frac{(\overline{H_b \dot{V}})}{\tilde{B}_2^{(VV)}} \left[(\overline{L \dot{L}})_{(b)} I_{2;\hat{b}}^{(1)} - \frac{2(\overline{V \dot{L}})_{(b)}}{D-1} I_{2;\hat{b}} \right] = \frac{D-2}{2m^2} I_1[m] \quad (4.16)$$

where $I_1[m]$ means the scalar tadpole with mass m and we have used

$$\tilde{B}_2^{(VV)} = \frac{-4(\overline{V \dot{V}})}{D-1}, \quad I_{2;\hat{1}}^{(1)} = 2s_{01} I_1[m], \quad I_{2;\hat{1}}^{(2)} = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

Then we consider the rank-1 case

$$I_2^{(1)} = \frac{(\overline{H_b \dot{V}})}{\tilde{B}_3^{(VV)}} \left[(\overline{L \dot{L}})_{(b)} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(2)} - \frac{4(\overline{V \dot{L}})_{(b)}}{D} I_{n;\hat{b}}^{(1)} \right] = \frac{(D-2)s_{01}}{2m^2} I_1[m] \quad (4.18)$$

where we have used

$$\tilde{B}_3^{(VV)} = \frac{-8(\overline{V \dot{V}})}{D}, \quad I_{2;\hat{1}}^{(2)} = \frac{4(Ds_{01}^2 + m^2 s_{00})}{D} I_1[m], \quad I_{2;\hat{2}}^{(2)} = \frac{4m^2 s_{00}}{D} I_1[m]. \quad (4.19)$$

- $L\tilde{Q}L \neq 0$: **Massless scalar bubble with different internal masses**

We then consider the bubbles with degenerate Q and $m_1 \neq m_2$. Here we will show that it can be reduced to two tadpoles. The equation $\det Q = 0$ gives two solutions

$$q_2^2 = (m_1 \pm m_2)^2, \quad (4.20)$$

Here we take the solution $q_2^2 = (m_1 + m_2)^2$ as an example, and we set

$$\tilde{Q} = Q^* = \begin{bmatrix} m_2^2 & m_1 m_2 \\ m_1 m_2 & m_1^2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.21)$$

⁵One can check this by using FIRE or direct calculation.

Notice $(\overline{L\tilde{L}}) \equiv L\tilde{Q}L \neq 0$, we use the formula (4.7) which is valid for $r \geq 0$. The reduction for $r = 0$ is the same as what we do in [1], which gives

$$I_2 = \frac{D-2}{2(D-3)m_2(m_1+m_2)} I_{2;\hat{1}} + \frac{D-2}{2(D-3)m_1(m_1+m_2)} I_{2;\hat{2}}. \quad (4.22)$$

The reduction for $r \geq 1$ is

$$I_2^{(r)} = \frac{1}{(\overline{L\tilde{L}})} \left[\tilde{A}_r I_2^{(r-1)} + \tilde{B}_r^{(VV)} I_2^{(r-2)} + \tilde{A}_{r;\hat{b}} I_{2;\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} + \tilde{B}_{r;\hat{b}} I_{2;\hat{b}}^{(r-2)} \right]. \quad (4.23)$$

With the expressions below

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{V\tilde{L}}) &= m_1(m_1+m_2)(R \cdot q_2), & (\overline{H_1\tilde{V}}) &= m_1 m_2 (R \cdot q_2), & (\overline{H_2\tilde{V}}) &= m_1^2 (R \cdot q_2), \\ (\overline{H_1\tilde{L}}) &= m_2(m_1+m_2), & (\overline{H_2\tilde{L}}) &= m_1(m_1+m_2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

it is easy to figure out the reduction result

$$I_2^{(1)} = \frac{((D-2)m_1 + (D-3)m_2) R \cdot q_2}{(D-3)m_2(m_1+m_2)^2} I_{2;\hat{1}} + \frac{R \cdot q_2}{(D-3)(m_1+m_2)^2} I_{2;\hat{2}}. \quad (4.25)$$

One can calculate reduction coefficients up to any rank by applying (4.23) iteratively.

5 Conclusion




Figure 1. Reduction relations Classification

In the paper, we derive a non-trivial recursion relation for one-loop tensor integrals reduction and modify it for degenerate Q . The reduction process can be summarized as Figure 1. The combined case, *i.e.*, one-loop integral with both tensor structure and higher poles, can be obtained from pure tensor reduction by taking derivative over an inner mass of propagators. Depending on the determinant of Q and the choice of \tilde{Q} , we use one of the five different recursions to do reduction iteratively. In principle, one can also do reduction by expanding a one-loop integral in projective space first, then reduce $E_{n,k}[V^i]$, just as what we do in [1]. The recursion relation in this paper is more compact. Except in the very special case where both Q and $Q_{(b)}$ are degenerate, the recursion relations are written in

lower rank or lower topology integrals in the *same dimension* so that the old computation results can be used in the next iteration, which simplifies the calculation process a lot and makes the reduction process faster. The recursion relation for one-loop integrals can help us to establish a general reduction recursion relation for two-loop by considering reducing one sub-loop first. For example, the recursion relation for tensor bubbles can be used to generate a universal relation for sunset in [2].

On the other hand, the recursion relation can help us to study the general structure (like singularity) of reduction coefficients. For example, we conclude the divergence behavior $C_{n \rightarrow n}^{(r)} \sim C_{n \rightarrow n; \hat{b}}^{(r)} \sim |G|^{-r}$ from (3.1), which can be used in [3] where we deal with the singularity of coefficient by requiring they canceled with each other.

Acknowledgments

I like to thank Bo Feng for the inspiring discussion and guidance. This work is supported Chinese NSF funding under Grant No.11935013, No.11947301, No.12047502 (Peng Huanwu Center).

A Expression of lower term

As we have stated in the main text, there are two forms of the lower-topology term in the recursion relations (3.4) and (3.6). The first one is simpler formally but contains terms in different dimensions while the second one contains integrals without dimension shifting. In practice, the second form is better for calculation except dealing with degenerate $Q_{(b)}$. Here we derive the two expressions using the basic recursion relation of $E_{n,k}$ with the assumption Q and its sub-matrices are non-degenerate. For degenerate Q , one can just replace Q^{-1} with an arbitrary symmetric matrix \tilde{Q} .

We derive (3.4) first by direct expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\text{Lt}_n^{(r)}}{(\overline{LL})} &= I_n^{(r)} - \frac{1}{(\overline{LL})} \left[A_r I_n^{(r-1)} + B_r I_n^{(r-2)} \right] \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(n - D/2 - r)}{(-)^{n+r}} \sum_{i=0}^r \mathcal{C}_{r,i}^{D/2+r-n} (R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} E_{n,n-D-r+i}[V^i] - a_r \frac{(\overline{VL})}{(\overline{LL})} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(n - D/2 - r + 1)}{(-)^{n+r-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{C}_{r-1,i}^{D/2+r-1-n} (R^2)^{\frac{r-1-i}{2}} E_{n,n-D-r+1+i}[V^i] - b_r \frac{R^2 - (\overline{VV})}{(\overline{LL})} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(n - D/2 - r + 2)}{(-)^{n+r-2}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \mathcal{C}_{r-2,i}^{D/2+r-2-n} (R^2)^{\frac{r-2-i}{2}} E_{n,n-D-r+2+i}[V^i] \quad (\text{A.1})
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$a_r = \frac{2(D + 2r - n - 2)}{D + r - n - 1}, \quad b_r = \frac{4(r - 1)}{D + r - n - 1}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Since the *lower term* only contains integrals with lower topologies, so it must be written in terms of $E_{n,k}^{(b)}$. The idea of proof is to reduce three summations of $E_{n,k}$ by (2.10). We

hope the terms of $E_{n,k}$ level cancel with each other and the things remained are $E_{n,k}^{(b)}$. Notice only the first summation on RHS has no denominator (\overline{LL}), so one needs to find a recursion where $E_{n,k}$ is reduced to a fraction with denominator (\overline{LL}). We can consider two recursion relations for different tensor order

- $T = V \otimes V^i \otimes L^{k-i-1}$

$$E_{n,k}[V^{i+1}] = \alpha_{n,k} \left[i(\overline{VV})E_{n,k-2}[V^{i-1}] + (k-i-1)(\overline{VL})E_{n,k-2}[V^i] \right. \\ \left. + (\overline{H_bV})E_{n-1,k-1}^{(b)}[V^i] \right], \quad (\text{A.3})$$

- $T = L \otimes V^{i+1} \otimes L^{k-i-2}$

$$E_{n,k}[V^{i+1}] = \alpha_{n,k} \left[(i+1)(\overline{VL})E_{n,k-2}[V^i] + (k-i-2)(\overline{LL})E_{n,k-2}[V^{i+1}] \right. \\ \left. + (\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k-1}^{(b)}[V^{i+1}] \right]. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Rearrange the second relations we get

$$E_{n,k}[V^i] = \frac{E_{n,k+2}[V^i]/\alpha_{n,k+2} - i(\overline{VL})E_{n,k}[V^{i-1}] - (\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k+1}^{(b)}[V^i]}{(k+1-i)(\overline{LL})}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

This formula works for $i > 0$, but it can be generalized to the case $i = 0$ by replacement $V \rightarrow L$

$$E_{n,k} = \frac{E_{n,k+2}/\alpha_{n,k+2} - i(\overline{LL})E_{n,k} - (\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k+1}^{(b)}}{(k+1-i)(\overline{LL})}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

so

$$E_{n,k} = \frac{E_{n,k+2}/\alpha_{n,k+2} - (\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k+1}^{(b)}}{(k+1)(\overline{LL})}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

For simplicity, we denote $k_0 = n - D - r$, $k(i) = k_0 + i$, $s = D/2 + r - n$. We use (A.5) and (A.7) to reduce the first summation on RHS of (A.1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{(-)^{n+r}} \sum_{i=0}^r \mathcal{C}_{r,i}^s (R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} E_{n,k(i)}[V^i] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{(-)^{n+r}} \mathcal{C}_{r,0}^s (R^2)^{\frac{r}{2}} \frac{1}{k(0)+1} \frac{E_{n,k_0+2}/\alpha_{n,k_0+2} - (\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k_0+1}^{(b)}}{(\overline{LL})} \\ &+ \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{(-)^{n+r}} \sum_{i>0}^r \mathcal{C}_{r,i}^s (R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} \frac{E_{n,k(i)+2}[V^i]/\alpha_{n,k(i)+2} - i(\overline{VL})E_{n,k(i)}[V^{i-1}] - (\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}}{(k+1-i)(\overline{LL})}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Then we reduce the first term in the nominator by (A.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Gamma(-s)\mathcal{C}_{r,0}^s(R^2)^{\frac{r}{2}}}{(-)^{n+r}(k(0)+1)(\overline{LL})} \left[E_{n,k_0+2}/\alpha_{n,k_0+2} - (\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k_0+1}^{(b)} \right] + \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{(-)^{n+r}} \sum_{i>0}^r \frac{\mathcal{C}_{r,i}^s(R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}}}{(k+1-i)(\overline{LL})} \\
& \times \left[(i-1)(\overline{VV})E_{n,k(i)}[V^{i-2}] + (k(i)+2-i)(\overline{VL})E_{n,k-2}[V^i] + (\overline{H_bV})E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}[V^{i-1}] \right. \\
& \left. - i(\overline{VL})E_{n,k(i)}[V^{i-1}] - (\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}[V^i] \right]. \tag{A.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we split with other two summations into three parts

$$\begin{aligned}
& -a_r \frac{(\overline{VL})}{(\overline{LL})} \frac{\Gamma(-s+1)}{(-)^{n+r-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{C}_{r-1,i}^{s-1}(R^2)^{\frac{r-1-i}{2}} E_{n,k(i)+1}[V^i] - b_r \frac{R^2 - (\overline{VV})}{(\overline{LL})} \frac{\Gamma(-s+2)}{(-)^{n+r-2}} \\
& \times \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \mathcal{C}_{r-2,i}^{s-2}(R^2)^{\frac{r-2-i}{2}} E_{n,k(i)+2}[V^i] \\
= & -a_r \frac{(\overline{VL})}{(\overline{LL})} \frac{\Gamma(-s+1)}{(-)^{n+r-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{C}_{r-1,i}^{s-1}(R^2)^{\frac{r-1-i}{2}} E_{n,k(i)+1}[V^i] - b_r \frac{-(\overline{VV})}{(\overline{LL})} \frac{\Gamma(-s+2)}{(-)^{n+r-2}} \\
& \times \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \mathcal{C}_{r-2,i}^{s-2}(R^2)^{\frac{r-2-i}{2}} E_{n,k(i)+2}[V^i] - b_r \frac{1}{(\overline{LL})} \frac{\Gamma(-s+2)}{(-)^{n+r-2}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \mathcal{C}_{r-2,i}^{s-2}(R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} E_{n,k(i)+2}[V^i], \tag{A.10}
\end{aligned}$$

then we reduce the last part using (A.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \mathcal{C}_{r-2,i}^{s-2}(R^2)^{\frac{r-2-i}{2}} E_{n,k(i)+2}[V^i] = \mathcal{C}_{r-2,0}^{s-2}(R^2)^{\frac{r}{2}} E_{n,k_0+2}[V^0] + \sum_{i>0}^{r-2} \mathcal{C}_{r-2,i}^{s-2}(R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} \alpha_{n,k(i)+2} \\
& \times \left[(i-1)(\overline{VV})E_{n,k(i)}[V^{i-2}] + (k(i)+2-i)(\overline{VL})E_{n,k(i)}[V^{i-1}] + (\overline{H_bV})E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}[V^{i-1}] \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Sum all terms, we find RHS of (A.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Gamma(-s)\mathcal{C}_{r,0}^s(R^2)^{\frac{r}{2}}}{(-)^{n+r}(k(0)+1)(\overline{LL})} \left[E_{n,k_0+2}/\alpha_{n,k_0+2} - (\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k_0+1}^{(b)} \right] \\
& + \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{(-)^{n+r}} \sum_{i>0}^r \frac{\mathcal{C}_{r,i}^s(R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}}}{(k+1-i)(\overline{LL})} \left[(i-1)(\overline{VV})E_{n,k(i)}[V^{i-2}] + (k(i)+2-i)(\overline{VL})E_{n,k-2}[V^i] \right. \\
& \left. + (\overline{H_bV})E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}[V^{i-1}] - i(\overline{VL})E_{n,k(i)}[V^{i-1}] - (\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}[V^i] \right] \\
& - a_r \frac{(\overline{VL})}{(\overline{LL})} \frac{\Gamma(-s+1)}{(-)^{n+r-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{C}_{r-1,i}^{s-1}(R^2)^{\frac{r-1-i}{2}} E_{n,k(i)+1}[V^i] \\
& + b_r \frac{(\overline{VV})}{(\overline{LL})} \frac{\Gamma(-s+2)}{(-)^{n+r-2}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \mathcal{C}_{r-2,i}^{s-2}(R^2)^{\frac{r-2-i}{2}} E_{n,k(i)+2}[V^i] - \frac{b_r}{(\overline{LL})} \frac{\Gamma(-s+2)}{(-)^{n+r-2}} \mathcal{C}_{r-2,0}^{s-2}(R^2)^{\frac{r}{2}} E_{n,k_0+2}[V^0] \\
& - \frac{b_r}{(\overline{LL})} \frac{\Gamma(-s+2)}{(-)^{n+r-2}} \sum_{i>0}^{r-2} \mathcal{C}_{r-2,i}^{s-2}(R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} \alpha_{n,k(i)+2} \left[(i-1)(\overline{VV})E_{n,k(i)}[V^{i-2}] \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ (k(i) + 2 - i)(\overline{VL})E_{n,k(i)}[V^{i-1}] + (\overline{H_bV})E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}[V^{i-1}]] . \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Using the definition of \mathcal{C} , a_r , b_r , finally one finds the contribution of $E_{n,k}$ vanishes, so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lt}_n^{(r)} &= \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{(-)^{n+r}} \sum_{i>0}^r \mathcal{C}_{r,i}^s (R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} \frac{(\overline{H_bV})E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}[V^{i-1}] - (\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}[V^i]}{(k+1-i)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{(-)^{n+r}} \mathcal{C}_{r,0}^s (R^2)^{\frac{r}{2}} \frac{-(\overline{H_bL})E_{n-1,k_0+1}^{(b)}}{k_0+1} \\ &\quad - b_r \frac{\Gamma(-s+2)}{(-)^{n+r-2}} \sum_{i>0}^{r-2} \mathcal{C}_{r-2,i}^{s-2} (R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} \alpha_{n,k(i)+2} (\overline{H_bV})E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}[V^{i-1}] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(-s)(-)^{n-1+r}(\overline{H_bL})}{(n-r-D+1)} \sum_{i=0}^r \mathcal{C}_{r,i}^s (R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}[V^i] \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(-s)(-)^{n+r}(\overline{H_bV})}{(n-r-D+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{C}_{r,i+1}^s (R^2)^{\frac{r-i-1}{2}} E_{n-1,k(i)+2}^{(b)}[V^i] \\ &\quad + \frac{4(r-1)(-)^{n+r}\Gamma(-s+2)(\overline{H_bV})}{n-r-D+1} \sum_{i=0}^{r-3} \mathcal{C}_{r-2,i+1}^{s-2} (R^2)^{\frac{r-i-1}{2}} \alpha_{n,k(i)+3} E_{n-1,k(i)+2}^{(b)}[V^i] . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

After some algebra, one reaches

$$\text{Lt}_n^{(r)} = \frac{(\overline{H_bL})I_{n;D-2,\hat{b}}^{(r)} + 2(\overline{H_bV})I_{n;D-2,\hat{b}}^{(r-1)}}{D+r-n-1} . \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Then we prove (3.6) by using (A.3) to reduce (A.13) further:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\overline{H_bL})I_{n;D-2,\hat{b}}^{(r)} + 2(\overline{H_bV})I_{n;D-2,\hat{b}}^{(r-1)} \\ &= (\overline{H_bL}) \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{(-)^{n-1+r}} \sum_{i=0}^r \mathcal{C}_{r,i}^s (R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} E_{n-1,k(i)+1}^{(b)}[V^i] + 2(\overline{H_bV}) \frac{\Gamma(-s+1)}{(-)^{n+r}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{C}_{r-1,i}^{s-1} (R^2)^{\frac{r-1-i}{2}} E_{n-1,k(i)+2}^{(b)}[V^i] \\ &= (\overline{H_bL}) \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{(-)^{n-1+r}} \mathcal{C}_{r,0}^s (R^2)^{\frac{r}{2}} E_{n-1,k_0+1}^{(b)} + 2(\overline{H_bV}) \frac{\Gamma(-s+1)}{(-)^{n+r}} \mathcal{C}_{r-1,0}^{s-1} (R^2)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} E_{n-1,k(i)+2}^{(b)} \\ &\quad + (\overline{H_bL}) \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{(-)^{n-1+r}} \sum_{i>0}^r \mathcal{C}_{r,i}^s (R^2)^{\frac{r-i}{2}} \alpha_{n-1,k(i)+1} \left[(i-1)(\overline{VV})_{(b)} E_{n-1,k(i)-1}^{(b)}[V^{i-2}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (k+1-i)(\overline{VL})_{(b)} E_{n-1,k(i)-1}^{(b)}[V^{i-1}] + (\overline{H_cV})_{(b)} E_{n-2,k(i)}^{(bc)}[V^{i-1}] \right] \\ &\quad + 2(\overline{H_bV}) \frac{\Gamma(-s+1)}{(-)^{n+r}} \sum_{i>0}^{r-1} \mathcal{C}_{r-1,i}^{s-1} (R^2)^{\frac{r-1-i}{2}} \alpha_{n-1,k(i)+2} \left[i(\overline{VL})_{(b)} E_{n-1,k(i)-1}^{(b)}[V^{i-2}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (k+1-i)(\overline{VL})_{(b)} E_{n-1,k(i)-1}^{(b)}[V^{i-1}] + (\overline{H_cL})_{(b)} E_{n-2,k(i)+1}^{(bc)}[V^i] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

We can check the contribution of $E^{(bc)}$ vanishes using the identity

$$(\overline{H_bL})(\overline{H_cV})_{(b)} + (\overline{H_cL})(\overline{H_bV})_{(c)} = (\overline{H_bV})(\overline{H_cL})_{(b)} + (\overline{H_cV})(\overline{H_bL})_{(c)} . \quad (\text{A.15})$$

After some algebra one finally finds the remaining things are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lt}_n^{(r)} = & [(\overline{H_b L})(\overline{V L})_{(b)} - (\overline{H_b V})(\overline{L L})_{(b)}] I_{n;\widehat{b}}^{(r-1)} \\ & + \frac{2(r-1) [(\overline{H_b L})R^2 + (\overline{H_b V})(\overline{V L})_{(b)} - (\overline{H_b L})(\overline{V V})_{(b)}]}{D+r-n-1} I_{n;\widehat{b}}^{(r-2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.16})$$

References

- [1] B. Feng, J. Gong, and T. Li, *Universal Treatment of Reduction for One-Loop Integrals in Projective Space*, [arXiv:2204.03190](#).
- [2] B. Feng and T. Li, *PV-Reduction of Sunset Topology with Auxiliary Vector*, [arXiv:2203.16881](#).
- [3] B. Feng, C. Hu, T. Li, and Y. Song, *Reduction with Degenerate Gram matrix for One-loop Integrals*, [arXiv:2205.03000](#).
- [4] L. M. Brown and R. P. Feynman, *Radiative corrections to Compton scattering*, *Phys. Rev.* **85** (1952) 231–244.
- [5] D. B. Melrose, *Reduction of Feynman diagrams*, *Nuovo Cim.* **40** (1965) 181–213.
- [6] G. Passarino and M. J. G. Veltman, *One Loop Corrections for $e^+ e^-$ Annihilation Into $\mu^+ \mu^-$ in the Weinberg Model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **160** (1979) 151–207.
- [7] G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltman, *Scalar One Loop Integrals*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **153** (1979) 365–401.
- [8] W. L. van Neerven and J. A. M. Vermaseren, *LARGE LOOP INTEGRALS*, *Phys. Lett. B* **137** (1984) 241–244.
- [9] R. G. Stuart, *Algebraic Reduction of One Loop Feynman Diagrams to Scalar Integrals*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **48** (1988) 367–389.
- [10] G. J. van Oldenborgh and J. A. M. Vermaseren, *New Algorithms for One Loop Integrals*, *Z. Phys. C* **46** (1990) 425–438.
- [11] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, and D. A. Kosower, *Dimensionally regulated one loop integrals*, *Phys. Lett. B* **302** (1993) 299–308, [[hep-ph/9212308](#)]. [Erratum: *Phys.Lett.B* 318, 649 (1993)].
- [12] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, and D. A. Kosower, *Dimensionally regulated pentagon integrals*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **412** (1994) 751–816, [[hep-ph/9306240](#)].
- [13] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar, and D. A. Kosower, *Fusing gauge theory tree amplitudes into loop amplitudes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **435** (1995) 59–101, [[hep-ph/9409265](#)].
- [14] J. M. Campbell, E. W. N. Glover, and D. J. Miller, *One loop tensor integrals in dimensional regularization*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **498** (1997) 397–442, [[hep-ph/9612413](#)].
- [15] J. Fleischer, F. Jegerlehner, and O. V. Tarasov, *Algebraic reduction of one loop Feynman graph amplitudes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **566** (2000) 423–440, [[hep-ph/9907327](#)].
- [16] T. Binoth, J. P. Guillet, and G. Heinrich, *Reduction formalism for dimensionally regulated one loop N point integrals*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **572** (2000) 361–386, [[hep-ph/9911342](#)].
- [17] A. Denner and S. Dittmaier, *Reduction of one loop tensor five point integrals*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **658** (2003) 175–202, [[hep-ph/0212259](#)].

- [18] G. Duplancic and B. Nizic, *Reduction method for dimensionally regulated one loop N point Feynman integrals*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **35** (2004) 105–118, [[hep-ph/0303184](#)].
- [19] A. Denner and S. Dittmaier, *Reduction schemes for one-loop tensor integrals*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **734** (2006) 62–115, [[hep-ph/0509141](#)].
- [20] R. K. Ellis and G. Zanderighi, *Scalar one-loop integrals for QCD*, *JHEP* **02** (2008) 002, [[arXiv:0712.1851](#)].
- [21] G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos, and R. Pittau, *Reducing full one-loop amplitudes to scalar integrals at the integrand level*, *Nuclear Physics B* **763** (Feb, 2007) 147–169.
- [22] K. G. Chetyrkin and F. V. Tkachov, *Integration by Parts: The Algorithm to Calculate beta Functions in 4 Loops*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **192** (1981) 159–204.
- [23] F. V. Tkachov, *A Theorem on Analytical Calculability of Four Loop Renormalization Group Functions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **100** (1981) 65–68.
- [24] S. Laporta, *High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **15** (2000) 5087–5159, [[hep-ph/0102033](#)].
- [25] A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, *Reduze 2 - Distributed Feynman Integral Reduction*, [[arXiv:1201.4330](#)].
- [26] A. von Manteuffel and R. M. Schabinger, *A novel approach to integration by parts reduction*, *Phys. Lett. B* **744** (2015) 101–104, [[arXiv:1406.4513](#)].
- [27] P. Maierhöfer, J. Usovitsch, and P. Uwer, *Kira—A Feynman integral reduction program*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **230** (2018) 99–112, [[arXiv:1705.05610](#)].
- [28] A. V. Smirnov and F. S. Chuharev, *FIRE6: Feynman Integral REduction with Modular Arithmetic*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **247** (2020) 106877, [[arXiv:1901.07808](#)].
- [29] G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos, and R. Pittau, *Reducing full one-loop amplitudes to scalar integrals at the integrand level*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **763** (2007) 147–169, [[hep-ph/0609007](#)].
- [30] G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos, and R. Pittau, *Numerical evaluation of six-photon amplitudes*, *JHEP* **07** (2007) 085, [[arXiv:0704.1271](#)].
- [31] R. K. Ellis, W. T. Giele, and Z. Kunszt, *A Numerical Unitarity Formalism for Evaluating One-Loop Amplitudes*, *JHEP* **03** (2008) 003, [[arXiv:0708.2398](#)].
- [32] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar, and D. A. Kosower, *One loop n point gauge theory amplitudes, unitarity and collinear limits*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **425** (1994) 217–260, [[hep-ph/9403226](#)].
- [33] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, and D. A. Kosower, *One loop amplitudes for $e^+ e^-$ to four partons*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **513** (1998) 3–86, [[hep-ph/9708239](#)].
- [34] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, and B. Feng, *Generalized unitarity and one-loop amplitudes in $N=4$ super-Yang-Mills*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **725** (2005) 275–305, [[hep-th/0412103](#)].
- [35] R. Britto, E. Buchbinder, F. Cachazo, and B. Feng, *One-loop amplitudes of gluons in SQCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 065012, [[hep-ph/0503132](#)].
- [36] C. Anastasiou, R. Britto, B. Feng, Z. Kunszt, and P. Mastrolia, *Unitarity cuts and Reduction to master integrals in d dimensions for one-loop amplitudes*, *JHEP* **03** (2007) 111, [[hep-ph/0612277](#)].

- [37] R. Britto, B. Feng, and P. Mastrolia, *The Cut-constructible part of QCD amplitudes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 105004, [[hep-ph/0602178](#)].
- [38] C. Anastasiou, R. Britto, B. Feng, Z. Kunszt, and P. Mastrolia, *D-dimensional unitarity cut method*, *Phys. Lett. B* **645** (2007) 213–216, [[hep-ph/0609191](#)].
- [39] R. Britto and B. Feng, *Unitarity cuts with massive propagators and algebraic expressions for coefficients*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 105006, [[hep-ph/0612089](#)].
- [40] R. Britto and B. Feng, *Integral coefficients for one-loop amplitudes*, *JHEP* **02** (2008) 095, [[arXiv:0711.4284](#)].
- [41] R. Britto and E. Mirabella, *Single Cut Integration*, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 135, [[arXiv:1011.2344](#)].
- [42] P. Mastrolia and S. Mizera, *Feynman Integrals and Intersection Theory*, *JHEP* **02** (2019) 139, [[arXiv:1810.03818](#)].
- [43] S. Mizera, *Status of Intersection Theory and Feynman Integrals*, *PoS MA2019* (2019) 016, [[arXiv:2002.10476](#)].
- [44] H. Frellesvig, F. Gasparotto, M. K. Mandal, P. Mastrolia, L. Mattiazzi, and S. Mizera, *Vector Space of Feynman Integrals and Multivariate Intersection Numbers*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** (2019), no. 20 201602, [[arXiv:1907.02000](#)].
- [45] H. Frellesvig, F. Gasparotto, S. Laporta, M. K. Mandal, P. Mastrolia, L. Mattiazzi, and S. Mizera, *Decomposition of Feynman Integrals on the Maximal Cut by Intersection Numbers*, *JHEP* **05** (2019) 153, [[arXiv:1901.11510](#)].
- [46] S. Mizera and A. Pokraka, *From Infinity to Four Dimensions: Higher Residue Pairings and Feynman Integrals*, *JHEP* **02** (2020) 159, [[arXiv:1910.11852](#)].
- [47] H. Frellesvig, F. Gasparotto, S. Laporta, M. K. Mandal, P. Mastrolia, L. Mattiazzi, and S. Mizera, *Decomposition of Feynman Integrals by Multivariate Intersection Numbers*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 027, [[arXiv:2008.04823](#)].
- [48] S. Caron-Huot and A. Pokraka, *Duals of Feynman integrals. Part I. Differential equations*, *JHEP* **12** (2021) 045, [[arXiv:2104.06898](#)].
- [49] N. Arkani-Hamed and E. Y. Yuan, *One-Loop Integrals from Spherical Projections of Planes and Quadrics*, [arXiv:1712.09991](#).
- [50] J. Gong and E. Y. Yuan, *Towards Analytic Structure of Feynman Parameter Integrals with Rational Curves*, [arXiv:2206.06507](#).
- [51] J. Chen and B. Feng, *Module Intersection and Uniform Formula for Iterative Reduction of One-loop Integrals*, [arXiv:2207.03767](#).
- [52] B. Feng, T. Li, and X. Li, *Analytic tadpole coefficients of one-loop integrals*, *JHEP* **09** (2021) 081, [[arXiv:2107.03744](#)].
- [53] C. Hu, T. Li, and X. Li, *One-loop Feynman Integral Reduction by Differential Operators*, [arXiv:2108.00772](#).
- [54] B. Feng, T. Li, H. Wang, and Y. Zhang, *Reduction of General One-loop Integrals Using Auxiliary Vector*, [arXiv:2203.14449](#).
- [55] B. Feng, *Generation Function For One-loop Tensor Reduction*, [arXiv:2209.09517](#).
- [56] J. Chen, *Iteratively Reduce Auxiliary Scalar Product in Multi-loop Integrals*, [arXiv:2208.14693](#).