
DIACHRONIC DATA ANALYSIS SUPPORTS AND REFINES CONCEPTUAL METAPHOR THEORY

Marie Teich

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences
Leipzig, Germany
marie.teich@mis.mpg.de

Wilmer Leal

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences
Bioinformatics Group, Department of Computer Science, Universität Leipzig
Department of Computer Science, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida
Gainesville, Florida
wilmer.leal@mis.mpg.de

Jürgen Jost

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences
Leipzig, Germany
The Santa Fe Institute
Santa Fe, New Mexico
jost@mis.mpg.de

ABSTRACT

As a contribution to metaphor analysis, we introduce a statistical, data-based investigation with empirical analysis of long-standing conjectures and a first-ever empirical exploration of the systematic features of metaphors. Conversely, this also makes metaphor theory available as a basis of meaning emergence that can be quantitatively explored and integrated into the framework of NLP.

Keywords Conceptual Metaphor Theory · Etymology, · Network Science · Statistical Analysis

1 Introduction

Metaphorically speaking, there is a wide river between computer-based data analysis methods on one side and Cognitive Linguistics (CL) and especially Conceptual Metaphor Theory (CMT) on the other side. Every now and then, a small boat attempts to cross this river, but our goal is to build a solid and lasting bridge. Less metaphorically, we need and want to address two different research communities simultaneously, each with its own concepts, ways of thinking and arguing, and discursive practices. To metaphor research, we present a statistical, data-based investigation that empirically analyzes long-standing conjectures and provides the first-ever exploration of the systematic structure underlying metaphors. To the Natural Language Processing community, we introduce metaphor theory as a basis of meaning emergence that can be quantitatively explored and whose understanding and integration into NLP methodologies hold great potential.

Cognitive Linguistics and Data Analysis

Data driven linguistics is a very active and lively research field, but there exists a blind spot regarding the findings of Cognitive Linguistics [1, 2] (CL for short). CL is an actively developing branch of linguistics without an established closed canon. It depends on several widely accepted premises. The most important one is a unity of language and

thought. This implies that language is not produced in a separate linguistic module of the brain, but is instead processed and created by general cognitive mechanisms that are responsible for diverse cognitive tasks [3]. This has the important consequence that an understanding of linguistic structure offers a window into general principles of cognition.

Considering language in this way automatically links language research to many other areas of research. For example, with evolutionary anthropology that studies the development and role of human cognition and communication [4]. More importantly for the focus of this work, however, it connects language to human embodiment, since meaning emerges in connection with and from the physical reality of humans, rather than in abstract isolation [5]. Both of these points make computational analysis particularly challenging if its aim is to enable computers to "understand meaning" by analyzing the internal structures of language. The absence of the evolutionary functions of language and the absence of a body as well as of basal human experience mean that, from the perspective of Cognitive Linguistics, a computer can at best inadequately replicate the process of "understanding meaning". This is especially true for the most common computational linguistic method of natural language processing, where meaning of words is seen in its interrelations with other words according to the distribution hypothesis [6, 7, 8]. There, language is studied in isolation as an abstract system of signs, and text corpora are seen as containing meaning entirely within themselves.

Instead of trying to simulate the process of understanding, we want to keep our analysis within the framework of Conceptual Metaphor Theory. The mathematical structures we use do not have the purpose of imitating the structure of meaning, but only of enabling a corpus-based statistical analysis within the framework of an existing model.

Conceptual Metaphor Theory

A central component of Cognitive Linguistics is Conceptual Metaphor Theory. This theory does not consider metaphor as a rhetorical device in the sense of garnishing meaning packaging. Rather, metaphor is the central cognitive linking mechanism between concrete embodied experiences and abstract linguistic domains. Metaphor is not a simile, but a new transfer—hidden in its Greek root—of experiential structures into abstract topics. Because of the linguistic nature of metaphor, abstraction can only be achieved in linguistic forms, and metaphor, as the instance of transfer, can be seen as the original point of new meaning-creation.

Conceptual Metaphor Theory (CMT) emerged as a distinct field of research in linguistics with the publication of the book "Metaphors We Live By" by Lakoff and Johnson in 1980 [9]. In that book the authors put forward several main theses and developed a terminology. Essentially, the claim is that metaphors are not only a phenomenon of poetic language, but are ubiquitous in everyday language. Metaphoric transfers do not reside in individual rhetorical expressions, but systematically transfer structures between domains. With time, these mappings become part of our cognitive systems of thought and can give rise to a variety of individual metaphoric expressions. These systematic transfers are not comparisons of pre-existing structures, but constitute a model of the abstract domain in which it acquires its meaning. Since its establishment, CMT has undergone a rich development and has found application in numerous fields such as politics, literature, pedagogy, and transcultural studies [10]. However, a constant criticism concerns the lack of systematic research on the theory. The common research method of CMT studies particular language examples, supporting a separate thesis about the nature of metaphoric attributions. The selection of these language examples is based entirely on the researcher's linguistic intuition along with their specific goal, which the example is intended to illustrate. This methodological issue is referred to as the first major problem of CMT [11]. To date, there is no empirical study that reconsiders the theoretical foundations of CMT based on real linguistic data. This is particularly problematic because of the high flexibility of languages. A language forms a complex system with rules and tendencies on one side, but also expectations and competition between rules on the other side. Many phenomena can be discovered in such a system, but the appropriate tool to detect the guiding rules and main tendencies is statistical analysis.

There are attempts to perform corpus-based metaphor analysis with automated metaphor detection. Stefanowitsch and Gries [12] reviewed these attempts, but noted that in principle all attempts at automatic metaphor detection rely heavily on a presupposed metaphor theory. For example, one could search for typical metaphor source or target vocabulary or particular sentence structures, but this requires prior knowledge of the source and target distribution or of triggers indicating metaphors. Consequently, these methods are not immune to yielding highly biased results.

For this reason, this study takes a different approach to analyze a statistically significant set of randomly selected real-world metaphors. We chose to examine metaphors based on diachronic changes over the history of the English language.

Metaphor Research through Diachronic Changes

Some frequently used metaphors experience an increasing conventionalization. They become so-called passive metaphors, where the original metaphoric use of the word is hardly recognizable as such [13]. Typical examples of this stage are *"Time is running"* or *"Looking up to somebody"*. Continuation of this process can then lead to a new acquisition of word meaning or a transfer of meaning of a word from its source domain to its target domain. This type of exploration of metaphors has been successfully pursued by [14], for example. The examination of metaphors through etymological mappings assumes a homogeneous process of meaning transfer and conventionalization across all relevant source and target domains, contingent solely upon the level of metaphorical language usage. If this is the case, no bias arises from the chosen method, and etymology, like archaeology for a historian, grants access to the study of active language.

Structure of the Paper

The paper presents various methodologically distinct analyses of the data from the Mapping Metaphor project [15] exploring different facets of metaphor formation. By adopting the Mapping Metaphor project, we thereby necessarily accept and use the classification of metaphorical domains that is employed in that data set. Metaphor formation is conceptualized as a network, where topics serve as nodes and edges represent metaphorical connections from sources to targets. First, we investigate the source and target topics of metaphors. Analyzing the distribution of connections among topics provides a global understanding of the dynamics of the metaphor network. A second set of experiments examines the network's division into two anti-communities of topics. This is further refined by a motif and a connection type number analysis. In the third part, we explore a characteristic feature of the network, namely, preferential attachment on edges. The fourth part focuses on the connection between metaphor and semantics by identifying roles within the metaphoric network. A final, fifth part, entails a discrete curvature analysis, which also leads to a critical role analysis of spatial metaphors.

Results

Non-Randomness of Metaphorical Data

We first look at the in- and out-degree distribution of the directed graph representation of the Mapping Metaphor data (Figure 1). The plots already include a comparison with the mean of one thousand equally sized Erdős-Rényi graphs (Materials and methods) and one standard deviation up and down. Right away, we find strong deviations of the in-degree and even stronger ones for the out-degree distribution of the metaphoric network from the random case. This already points to the interesting potential of the data for metaphor research, as non-randomness implies structure.

For the Erdős-Rényi model the degree distribution concentrates around the mean connection number of slightly above 30 but for the metaphor data, this scale does not play a particular role. Instead, most categories cluster around low degree numbers and play only a minor role in the metaphor network. In contrast, there are a number of highly active categories, both for in- and out-degree, forming a so called heavy tail of the distribution. They show degree numbers several times higher than the degree maximally found in average random graphs.

Anti-communities of Concrete and Abstract Categories

When we compare our metaphor graph with random graphs of the same size and average degree, we see striking differences and can identify important structural properties of the metaphor network. The latter's most marked structural property is that the majority of metaphors can be assigned to one of two classes that can be characterized by connectivity properties. The first class contains mainly spatial, mechanical, concrete and physically perceptible categories while the categories in the second class are mainly temporal, emotional and social. The two classes form anti-communities meaning that the majority of connections is between instead of inside the classes, although the first class also contains a significant number of internal connections. Figure 2 shows that in comparison to configuration random graphs, there are two systematically dominating metaphor groups, one mapping from the first, more concrete anti-community to the second, more abstract one and another with mappings within the second anti-community, which shows the continuing re-determination and re-linking of concrete themes. The first group is the one most effectively described by CMT, while there is only little research and understand for the second group and its role in conceptualization. The degree distribution (Figure 1) is heavy tailed. In fact, most categories have low degrees and do not contribute much to the network, while some few highly active categories have in- or out-degrees that are significantly higher than the maximal degrees found in random networks. The most active sources and targets do not match, however, as seen in the plot. The vertices at the bottom right of the graph have a high target probability, but low or at most average source activity. In




Figure 1: Top: Comparison of out-degree (left) and in-degree (right) distributions of categories in the metaphor network and configuration random model. Bottom: Absolute (left) and normalized (right) In- vs Outdegree of categories in the metaphor network

contrast, the strongest sources also have a high target activity. These two different activity groups – one concentrated at the bottom right, the other on the diagonal – in fact coincide with the two anti-communities identified above. Almost all categories on the diagonal, regardless of their degree, belong to the concrete anti-community. The correlation coefficient for in- and out-degree of this set is 0.709. In contrast, for the abstract anti-community it is only 0.273, evidencing again the difference between the two classes.

Word Transfer Density

In order to examine the word transfer density, we now consider the multigraph where each transferred word creates an edge between the corresponding categories. We then normalize the degrees by dividing by the total number of words in each category. Note, however, that this will in general underestimate the word transfer probability, in particular for very active mappings.

We use the same colors for the anti-communities as above (Figure 1). With the expectation of the category "light" all topics with high in-degree density probability belong to the abstract anti-community and accumulate in the lower right corner, as before. The words in the other class, the concrete categories, the predominant sources, however, do not typically occupy a position on the diagonal, but are just active sources, but not preferred targets. So instead of a diagonal








Metaphor mapping motif	z-value: overall	z-value: concrete	z-value: abstract
	6.34	2.88	0.22
	6.43	-0.55	-0.73
	6.44	0.42	-0.81
	-2.46	-2.66	-0.65
	-6.36	-0.52	0.71
	-9.53	-7.21	-2.92
	9.53	7.21	2.92

Table 1: Non-symmetric three-Vertices motifs with corresponding z-value. Two-vertex motifs with corresponding z-value. For complete network, only connections within concrete topics block and only connections within abstract topic block.

slope, we find that the probability of words in a category being involved in metaphorical mappings may be high either exclusively as a source or as target depending if the category belongs to the concrete or the abstract anti-community.

Motifs and the Metaphorical Mechanism

The motif count reveals further structure (Table 1). We find five subgraphs of size $n = 3$ with Z-values either above 2 or below -2 , and in four cases, the absolute Z-value is even higher than 6. The three motifs of size 3 with one missing edge are clearly more frequent. In contrast, closed triangles are almost absent in the data. Both effects, however, disappear when we restrict the analysis to the internal connections of the anti-communities.

For motifs of size 2, the deviation from randomness is even more pronounced, as symmetric connections dominate. This is true within both anti-communities, however to a much smaller extent within the abstract one. Accordingly, any metaphorical mapping from source to target makes the reverse mapping from target to source significantly more likely.

Persistence of Metaphorical Mappings

When looking at the multiplicity of edges, that is, the number of words transferred between categories, another deviation from a random model emerges (the black lines show the distribution for one thousand random configuration graphs with one standard deviation up and down for each data point.) As can be seen in Figure 2, there is a significantly higher probability of transferring words along already established mappings, so that vertex pairs with a high number of transferred words become significantly more frequent than in random models.

Such a deviation can always be a property of the methodology of the Mapping Metaphor data collection. It may also ultimately be the uneven distribution of a natural connection affinity between pairs of topics. The systematics of the deviation, however, primarily carries the properties of a preferential attachment mechanism on mappings, meaning that an already established metaphorical relation facilitates further word transfer along this relation.

Metaphor and Semantics

We now turn our attention to the role that categories play in the metaphor network, which is given by the connections they form. In social network analysis, objects are considered to play the same role if they have the same incoming and out-going neighbors. To examine the roles within the metaphor network, we employed a Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) algorithm on the collection of categories and their incoming/outgoing neighbors. The distance between categories was measured by the number of non-common connections they possessed. The output of the HCA is a binary tree called dendrogram, whose clusters (branches) represent roles in the metaphor network. Real-world data sets often feature multiple equidistant objects, leading to numerous clustering solutions and consequently, a multitude of role schemes [16, 17]. However, the HCA algorithm yielded only four dendrograms, one of which is illustrated in Figure 3. Moreover, an overwhelming majority of roles (95.3%) consistently emerge across all four dendrograms, underscoring the remarkably stable clustering process and unveiling a robust underlying role structure within the metaphor network.

Through evaluating the metaphor distance as a similarity measure, we aim to assess its ability to provide insights into the semantics of categories. Comparing the metaphor-based similarity distance of all categories with cosine similarity and the euclidean distance derived from fasttext word embeddings reveals a low correlation. The cosine similarity yields a value of -0.24 , while the euclidean distance results in 0.23 . Similarly, mutual information is present but not




Figure 2: (Left) Connectivity patterns in the metaphoric mapping network distinguish two classes of categories that coincide with concrete categories (Con) and abstract categories (Abs). These classes form anti-communities, that is, most of the connections are between the classes and not within them. The bar chart compares the number of connections between classes observed in the metaphorical network (green bars) and the average number of connections observed in an ensemble of 1000 configuration random graphs (red bars with error bars). It shows that there are two systematically dominating metaphor groups, mappings from the concrete to the abstract anti-communities, and another with mappings within the concrete anti-community, which shows the continuing re-determination and re-linking of concrete themes. (Right) Comparison of multiplicity values of edges between configuration random model and the metaphor network.

dominant, with values of 6.24 for both cosine similarity and euclidean distance. However, the stability of the resulting dendrograms and the consistent ordering of categories vividly demonstrate that the metaphor-based role of a category encodes a semantic position, contributing to the formation of a semantic structure. This structure predominantly goes beyond the semantic information contained in word embeddings, encompassing figurative and conceptual semantic similarities. Consequently, while the acquired semantic structure does not completely diverge from word embeddings, it exhibits semantic aspects that surpass them, underscoring the limitations of word embeddings from a broader semantic perspective.

The Role of Space and the Global Network Structure

A common and widely accepted assumption in the CMT literature is the specific role of spatial and directional domains for metaphor mappings. In the original set-up of the theory, Lakoff and Johnson elaborated on the so-called orientation metaphors. These do not structure or explain a single abstract concept, but rather perform the higher-level task of organizing the relations between different abstract concepts in terms of the spatial domain. Later, space was increasingly ascribed a special cognitive role in language. Spatial thinking was seen as the platform for schema formation - the universal building blocks of language. Thus, the spatial domain was considered to be the fundamental source of all concrete structures [18]. Such linguistic considerations went along with neuroscientific research about the role of spatial thinking in the hippocampus for memory and structural thought [19] culminating in an actively pursued research program on cognitive spaces [20, 21].

However, none of the analyses conducted on the mapping metaphor data showed a central, highlighted role of the spatial and directional categories in the metaphor network. According to the theory of orientational metaphors, one might expect that mappings starting from spatial categories would influence their neighboring mappings, resulting in higher outward transitivity. In fact, the outwards transitivity of *relative position* and *movement in a specific direction*, is relatively high, but it does not exceed a general tendency found in the graph. This general tendency can be observed in the lower right figure 5, where one sees a clear correlation between the out-degree and the outwards transitivity of the vertices. Apart from being an interesting fact about the metaphorical data in itself, this correlation also shows that spatial regions follow this relation but do not exceed it. They do not play a special role in terms of their transitivity and thus their direct influence on their neighborhood in the network.

In the outlook of further possible special positions of the spatial domains and also with the aim of better understanding the general structure of the network, we consider two types of discrete curvature in the multigraph representation. The




Figure 4: Distribution of Forman Ricci (left) and Ollivier Ricci (right) curvature of edges in the metaphoric network




Figure 5: Distribution of Forman Ricci vs Ollivier Ricci curvature of edges in the metaphoric network (left). Out-degree vs transitivity in the metaphor network (right)

statistics of local graph curvatures are known to be good indicators of global network properties. The Forman-Ricci curvature (see the appendix for details) captures the divergence or spreading in a network. Again, our analysis of the metaphor data show systematic differences from random graphs or other real world data sets. In particular, we see a rapid decrease at negative values, meaning that there are only very few edges with strong branching at their starting and/or terminal vertices (5). Such edges would provide rapid flow access to many different metaphors, but this is definitely not the structure of the metaphor network.

The Ollivier-Ricci curvature, in contrast, captures the presence of triangles and quadrangles in the network and thus the density of local neighborhoods. The existence of several separated regimes of edges in the distribution indicates density clustering within the network on different scales. In the metaphor data, we do not find such dense local clusters separated from others as seen in (Figure 4).

Discussion

In this study, we have used a wide range of data analysis methods to systematically infer the properties of metaphor. We explore data of diachronic language change that resulted from metaphoric language use to draw back conclusions on the metaphoric language change itself. In doing so, we automatically rely on both the understanding of metaphors, which went into the data selection, and the categorization of the data.

On one hand, the data set that we have employed and the results derived from it support a basic assumption of CMT that metaphor is a phenomenon of everyday language and that the choice of metaphor sources is influenced by experience and human embodiment. On the other hand, we also find global features of the metaphor network that have not been observed before and sometimes even are at variance with some claims of CMT. Summarizing our findings, we find two classes that while forming anti-communities, behave quite differently with respect to their source and target activities. One class consists of the most active metaphor sources: simple and concrete topics such as 'Food and Eating', 'Shape' and 'Relative position'. Their source productivity is simultaneously correlated with a high target activity. The other, structurally very different class contains the strongest metaphor targets. It contains mostly common but abstract topics such as 'Bad', 'Emotional Suffering' and 'Literature', which are little active as metaphors sources. The word density of the sent and received words is anti-correlated. This is very different from random null models. Active topics feature either a high density of sent or of received words. These effects are even stronger for the out-degree distribution than for that of the in-degrees.

Moreover, on the basis of the systematic data of the Metaphor Mapping project, we do not see any special role of spatial and directional domains in the metaphor network, in contrast to a central claim of CMT. In fact, we do not see any category that would occupy a central position in the metaphor network, nor any hierarchies or clustering beyond bilateral edge relationships. Of course, it remains to be seen whether that can be confirmed by other data sets, but we are not aware of any other data set appropriate for a quantitative statistical analysis.

The division into the two anti-communities and the structure of the relationships between them seem to be the only clear global structure of the network. In agreement with an assertion of CMT, mappings from the concrete anti-community to the abstract one play a prominent role. In addition, however, we also see many metaphorical relations between different concrete domains, indicating a rather fluid structure of that class. Even concrete terms do not make sense in isolation as their meaning conceptualization is embedded and actively renewed in a conceptual network. The consequences of this finding remain to be systematically explored and evaluated.

A review of the two anti-communities shows that the concrete one includes all bodily, spatial and geographic domains. The abstract topics include social constructions and spaces, emotion related domains and, remarkably, temporal domains. The latter is in agreement with the philosophical conception of time as subjective time. Only the category 'period of time' belongs to the concrete anti-community.

And we have found that the metaphor network is globally strongly anti-transitive, as follows from the division into two anti-communities. Within each anti-community, however, such a strong anti-transitivity is not present, nor is the opposite, transitivity. From these findings, we conclude the mapping process is not triggered by a pre-existing structural analogy between two categories [22]. The reason might be an omnipresent potential for analogy in general. But it rather seems in the opposite, that it is a tension between two categories that invites metaphors and thereby reveals structural similarities.

This is further confirmed by the high presence of symmetric metaphorical links between different domains. In more detail, there seem to be at least two possible explanations for the prevalence of symmetric connections. The restructuring of the target domain may make it more likely to become a source of a metaphor in the reverse direction. Or a metaphor may enable the target to re-conceptualize the source domain. This feedback effect on the source may act like a seed for mappings in the opposite direction. That second possibility is supported by the global anti-transitivity that we have observed. The symmetrical component of metaphor surpasses the purely unidirectional understanding of metaphor in CMT.

The experiment in which the number of words per mapping is compared with configuration graph models (1) provides, as far as we know, the first systematic and data-based evidence for persistent metaphoric mapping structures. It thereby confirms CMT's thesis that metaphoric connections are part of the cognitive structure, as opposed to the view that metaphors are individual rhetorical figures. To make this claim, we rely on the basic assumption of Cognitive Linguistics that the mechanisms of language are grounded in cognitive mechanisms. The experiment itself makes a statement on diachronic language evolution and shows that well-established mappings in a speech community also shape future language development. Within the paradigm of Cognitive Linguistics, we can conclude that the language we use, especially the well-established metaphorical mappings of that language, shapes our cognitive system and increases the likelihood of the emergence of new meanings along the typical mappings in our language.

The absence of any flow or clustering or other emergent structure beyond bilateral connections suggests that there is neither a basic source domain from which most metaphors ultimately emerge nor a basic mechanism from the visual or any other domain that is the ultimate basis of conceptual thinking. Rather, there is quite a number of domains, related to different senses, that are productive as metaphor sources. We conclude from this the assumption that new metaphors can nevertheless emerge between every pair of topics with different probabilities following the tendencies of the network.

An important part of our research was devoted to the development of a semantic structure based on the metaphor network. Existing approaches to formalize a semantic structure are mainly word embeddings in the field of computational linguistics and, on the other hand, frame semantics in the framework of the Cognitive Linguistic approach [23]. Our approach is a substantial complement to these two existing approaches. Similar as in word embeddings, semantic distances are used, but as shown by the comparison experiments, the semantic distance defined by the role in the metaphor network is only weakly correlated and exhibits little mutual information with the semantic distances used in NLP. The crucial difference of our approach to frame semantics is that frame semantics does not provide a method to establish the relationship between topics organized in frames. We can fill precisely this gap by finding that the roles of each category created by all metaphor connections place the categories in a stable semantic net of relations. This net reveals not only the thematic, but above all the conceptual and figurative proximity between the categories.

There are many interesting examples of such figurative and conceptual proximity visible in the dendrograms presented. One of these is the proximity between *dryness* with *absence of life* on the one side and *flowing and floating*, *wetness*, and *humidity* with *life* on the other side. This double-sided proximity has no thematic share, but reproduces the deeply rooted conceptual proximity between fluidity and life, not only through direct metaphorical mappings, but even in an established parallel semantic structure.

Another interesting figurative aspect is revealed in the arrangement of emotion categories. There is a larger collection of strongly moving emotions: *strong emotion and lack of emotion*, *excitement*, *pleasure*, *anger*, *emotional suffering*, *pride*, *contempt*. Additionally the categories *intelligibility*, *behaviour and conduct* and *moral evil* found their way into this group of figuratively similar domains. But other emotions, that are figuratively less active, are not contained in this group, like *fear*, *esteem* and *jealousy*.

Another characteristic observation of the results concerns different categories of movement or motion. These different categories are not grouped together, but on the contrary seem to mark a motif of different related groups. One motion motif is the category *continuation* which finds itself in the group of categories: *intention and planning*, *increase in quantity* and *command and control*, all controllable or constant processes. Similarly the category of *slow action and degrees of caution* finds itself in a set of categories connected to thought processes and continuous change like *completion* and *cessation*. Another motion motif is *repetition*, that is not unexpectedly grouped together with *sky* and *celestial sphere*. *movement in a specific direction* is related to several spatial domains, like *relative position*, *size and spatial extent* and *direction*. Finally *progressive movement* finds itself close to *impulse and impact* and is connected to the topics *industry* but also of *deity*, probably through conceptualizing the scheme of first causation and beginning.

This is only a glimpse of the discoveries that can be made by studying the acquired semantic structure from the perspective of different disciplines, such as psycholinguistics and psychology. Moreover, the metaphor role distance measure can also be used as a tool in discourse analysis. A change in framing can be classified as a more nuanced change if the old and new frames are from nearby categories, but is profound if the categories are not closely related in the semantic structure presented.

This leads to an important task for future research, to combine the information about semantic organization presented by the method of this work with the information provided by word embeddings. This would enrich natural language processing limited through the distributional hypothesis by the figurative and conceptual aspect of semantic distances.

Conclusion

We have provided a systematic and multifaceted corpus-based metaphor analysis. Metaphors are novel acts of language usage that arise at a particular time, cause changes in conventional meaning and then can become conventionalized themselves. Therefore, data on diachronic language change can provide insight into this fundamental semantic mechanism. The analysis empirically confirmed a basic assumption of conceptual metaphor theory, namely, that metaphors are persistent linguistic and cognitive transfer structures rather than discrete rhetorical figures.

The metaphor network encompasses a wide range of language topics, each exhibiting varying levels of activity. While the majority of topics demonstrate low activity, the activity is strongly concentrated on two groups of topics: on the one hand, concrete, sense-related domains, which are by far the richest sources but also serve as target domains for metaphors, and on the other abstract but nevertheless everyday topics, which are almost exclusively targets of metaphorical transmissions. This division is reflected in the global structure of the network. Most categories can

be grouped into two anti-communities, a concrete and an abstract one. Along these anti-communities there are two systematically important metaphor processes. Mappings from the concrete to the abstract categories and mappings between concrete categories. Beyond that, no global structure is found, no clusters of thematic groups emerge that could be separated from each other. Also there is no flow of structure but only bilateral relations between two topics. Structurally, spatial metaphors do not occupy any special rank, they show a similar behavior as other concrete domains, which are not connected to the sense of orientation and vision. The absence of any more particular structure in the network of metaphorical relations may suggest that this reflects a rather universal cognitive mechanism that can flexibly connect different domains and capture abstract structures through more concrete images, but at the same time also reorganizing the relations between concrete domains.

Our findings suggest that analogy or similarity is unlikely to be the primary selection criterion for new metaphor formation. Instead, they support the theory that metaphor is a creative process where the target domain is conceptualized through emerging similarity, exploiting the tension between the source and target domains. Additionally, there is evidence of feedback re-conceptualization of the source domain, indicating that metaphor is not a purely one-directional process.

Finally, it was found that the role of a topic in the metaphor network reveals in a stable way the semantic position of this topic relative to other topics. There is thus an explicit relation of the metaphor to semantic structure. We extracted the information on the semantic role from the metaphor network and constructed the resulting structure in form of a dendrogram. This structure does hold some mutual information as word embeddings based on the distributional hypothesis. At the same time, it contains information about conceptual and figurative semantic relations. This is a valuable and new addition to previously computed semantic structures, which allows for extensive further research.

Materials and Methods

Mapping Metaphor Data

We conducted our research based on the data of the Mapping Metaphor project [15, 24], a collection of etymological transfers caused by metaphorical mappings. The collection, conducted by the School of Critical Studies of Glasgow University, is based on the Historical Thesaurus [25], where each entry is assigned to one of the 415 thematic categories (e.g. *movement*, *visual arts*, *weapons and armour*, etc.).

To detect metaphorical mappings, a computer program searches for words that appear in two or more categories. It is then manually inspected if these lexical overlaps can be seen as metaphorical usage. If this is the case, an entry is created with the transferred word, the source and the target category. The entry also includes the year in which the transferred meaning was detected for the first time as well as the last year of appearance if the usage has not been preserved until today. For our purposes it is important to note that the search for metaphorical mappings was systematically performed, and that not all existing etymological mappings have been included. In particular, since the project's main goal was to detect all possible connections between pairs of categories rather than each possible word transfer, less emphasis was placed on further findings along the same connection if several words had already been registered between a particular pair of categories. Another relevant point is that the categorisation of the lexical items does not coincide with the notion of domain from Conceptual Metaphor Theory. Rather, most categories are broader and can be considered as associations of multiple, thematically close domains. Using the Mapping Metaphor data forces us to adopt its categorisation. Therefore we will mostly use categories in the sense of the Mapping Metaphor project, which allows only for a restricted transfer of the results into the CMT framework.

Mapping Metaphor Network

As the data contains pairwise relations between source and target categories, it very naturally qualifies for a graph representation. A graph in fact represents a set of elements that can be pairwise related to each other. The crucial gain here is that graphs are mathematically very well studied objects for which a richly developed research toolbox already exists. Moreover, this toolbox can be adapted and extended to particular problems in the existing formalism [26].

In the present paper we use two different graph representations of the data, as directed graphs or multigraphs, which will allow us to tackle different research questions.

Directed Graph Representation

When focusing not on single words, but on the network of mappings between the categories, we can use the following definition.

Definition 1 A directed graph $G = (V, E)$ consists of a set of vertices V and a set E of ordered pairs (u, v) of vertices called directed edges. We will refer to them simply as edges. Furthermore, u and v are called the source and target of the edge (u, v) , respectively.

In our application, the vertices represent the topic categories from the Mapping Metaphor data. If the data contains at least one word transferred from topic u to topic v , this transfer gets represented by the edge (u, v) . This representation ignores the numbers of words transferred. When we also want to include that information, we use the directed multigraph representation.

Directed Multigraph Representation

Instead of focusing only on the categories as closed units and the connections between these units, we can consider categories as forming sets of words. Each transferred word then induces an individual connection that should be represented by an edge. In this case our representation have to account for multiple edges having the same source and target.

Definition 2 A directed multigraph $G = (V, E)$ consists of a set of vertices V and a multiset E of ordered vertex pairs.

Now, different words that are transferred from the same source to the same target are accounted for. Therefore, we do not lose the information about the number of words that were found.

In- and Out-Degree

The degree is a basic concept of graph and network theory. It is defined for each vertex as the number of edges it participates in. For the directed graph and multigraph we distinguish between in- and out-degree. The out-degree, as the number of out-going connections, counts all edges in which a vertex appears as a source. Similarly, the number of edges which include a vertex as a target is the number of in-going connections to this vertex.

These simple notions allow us to identify local characteristic properties of the individual categories. On the other hand, the statistics of their distributions also provide global information about the structure of the network, by comparing them with the corresponding distributions of random graph models. That is, we can infer to which extent our networks show, or not, specific structures and features present in random models. This will be a general principle in our graph analysis.

In the multigraph case, where the categories are not viewed as uniform entities, but as word sets of different sizes, it is also interesting to look not only at the number of transferred words, which corresponds to the degree, but also at the transferred word density. This can be achieved by normalizing the in- and out-degree by the category size.

Random Graph Models

Directed Erdős–Rényi Model

To get a first idea about the metaphoric network, that is the graph from the Mapping Metaphor data, we compare it with the most simple random directed graph model, the Erdős–Rényi model [27].

Definition 3 In the directed Erdős–Rényi model, a graph is chosen uniformly from the collection of all directed graphs with a given number of vertices n and edges N . This means that all edges can appear with the same probability.

Directed Configuration Model

The configuration model describes random graph models with a prescribed degree distribution. In the directed case, not only the in- and out-degree distribution are fixed but also the degree sequences and by this way, the in- and out-degree combination for each vertex. The out-going and in-coming edge stubs then are randomly fused [26].

Definition 4 (Directed Configuration Model) Let $n \geq 1$ and $N \geq 0$ be a fixed number of vertices and edges. We denote by $i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ and $o = (o_1, o_2, \dots, o_n)$ the in- and out-degree sequences of the n nodes. It holds that $\sum_{v=1}^n i_v = \sum_{v=1}^n o_v = N$. To each vertex v , we attach i_v in-going and o_v out-going edge connections such that each in-going stub is matched with equal probability to each out-going stub. This way the probability for an edge to exist going from some vertex v_1 to v_2 is proportional to

$$p_{v_1, v_2} \sim i_{v_1} \cdot o_{v_2} \quad (1)$$

The following algorithm implements a configuration model starting from a real world data model and keeping its degree sequences.

Two random connected pairs $(v_1, v_2), (v_3, v_4)$ are cut and the connections are swapped, becoming $(v_1, v_4), (v_3, v_2)$. This step is repeated a given number of times k . The output network approaches the directed configuration random multigraph. One can also prevent the swapping in case one of the edges $(v_1, v_4), (v_3, v_2)$ already exists. This modification of the algorithm makes the resulting network a **directed configuration random graph** instead of a multigraph. The drawback is that one is no longer able to analytically compute the edge probability, but this modified algorithm will be important for some of our experiments presented to create comparable random graphs [28].

Anti-community Detection

The principle of anti-communities is exactly the opposite of clusters, to minimize the internal connectivity and maximize the connectivity across in- and outside of the group. Accordingly, the search can be performed using the negative graph in which disconnected nodes are connected to each other and vice versa. On this one of the well developed cluster detection algorithms can be applied. We use the Louvain cluster detection method based on greedy modularity maximization:

$$Q = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i,j} \left[A_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{2m} \right] \delta(c_i, c_j), \quad (2)$$

where A_{ij} is the Adjacency matrix entry of the graph, k_i , the degree of node i , m the total number of connections and c_i the cluster of node i while the Kronecker-delta function equals one only if $c_i = c_j$ and 0 otherwise [29, 30]. For each iteration, the algorithm produces slightly different results. In our case, the vast majority of categories are stably assigned to the same cluster. We run the assignment 10 times and keep only the overlapping sets as anti-communities while categories that change their assignment at least once are placed in the remainder set.

Edge Preferential Attachment

In the directed multigraph case, we can not only count the in- and out-degree for each vertex, but we can also count the edges between each two connected categories in each direction. For our data, this represents the number of words that were found to be mapped from a specific source category to some specific target.

The purpose of counting words along connections is to test whether metaphorical mappings do form persistent structures in language and mind, which is one of the main claims of Conceptual Metaphor Theory. In the Aristotelian view of metaphor as an individual rhetoric figure, individually mapped words should not influence the probability of other words being mapped along the same connection. In CMT, on the other hand, the phenomenon of metaphor is rooted not in the individual words and expressions, but in the conceptual transfer between two domains. Further, this transfer structure does not arise in the short term and temporally for production or processing of metaphoric expressions. Instead, it forms a persistent cognitive structure, which makes the transfer of many different partial aspects possible and becomes activated again and again. Once such a transfer structure gets established, the probability of further metaphoric expressions making use of this connection should increase.

The case of the random configuration model thus corresponds to the Aristotelian case. Each new formed edge of the multigraph is equally likely to form, given the degree sequences, and does not depend on previously existing identical edges. On the other hand, in the case of persistent mappings, the probability to form equal-directional edges between already connected vertices should increase.

It is possible to formulate exact models for which the probability of a new edge from u to v has a term proportional to the already existing number of edges along this possible connection:

$$p_{u,v} \sim w_{u,v} \quad (3)$$

and by comparison with the data, measure the influence of this term. However the collection method of the Mapping Metaphor data does not allow for this, as exploring further words along already detected connections has been systematically reduced. The aim of the project has not been to find all possible metaphorically transferred words but to focus on finding the mappings themselves. Thus, a quantitative examination of persistence is not possible. But we can test whether, despite the systematically lower word count, a confirmation of persistent metaphorical mappings can be found. This is done by comparing the distribution number of edges along a potential connection of the data against configuration random graph models (see 1).

Motif Analysis

The analysis of graph motifs is a method for investigating the nature of processes behind the connections of a graph [31]. Graph motifs are defined in terms of small subgraphs that appear much more or much less often than expected from comparable configuration graphs. They reveal the logical properties according to which connections in a network emerge, and also those that do not play a role. We can then identify those different processes or mechanisms behind connection formation that possess or lack these logical properties.

We will conduct a motif analysis on the network to test if the two such logical properties drive the formation of the metaphoric network, namely, transitivity and symmetry.

Transitivity

Transitivity can be tested by looking at motifs of size $n = 3$ that hold the following property:

$$u \rightarrow v, \quad v \rightarrow w \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \rightarrow w, \quad (4)$$

where u, v and w are categories of the metaphoric network and $u \rightarrow v$ represents an edge between two categories u and v . Transitivity is a logical property of every equivalence relation, for instance of analogy.

We computed the *outward transitivity of a vertex u* as the fraction of transitively closed directed triangles starting and ending at u , e.g., $(u \rightarrow v, v \rightarrow w, w \rightarrow u)$. In other words, starting at one vertex, we consider the fraction of triangles that are transitively closed.

Definition 5 (Outward Transitivity) Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, H_v the set of all vertices with an out-going edge from v , and let K be the set of paths $(v \rightarrow h, h \rightarrow w)$ of length two, with $w \neq v$. The outward transitivity $T(v)$ of v in G is given by:

$$T(v) = \frac{\#\{w \rightarrow v \in E : (v \rightarrow h, h \rightarrow w) \in K\}}{\#K} \quad (5)$$

Symmetry

In a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ we can distinguish between symmetric and non-symmetric relations. A relation is non-symmetric if $u \rightarrow v$ is an edge of the graph, but $v \rightarrow u$ is not, while a relation is symmetric if both $u \rightarrow v$ and $v \rightarrow u$ are in E . This property can be expressed as:

$$u \rightarrow v \quad \Rightarrow \quad v \rightarrow u. \quad (6)$$

Consequently, symmetry can be quantified by computing the fraction of motifs of size $n = 2$ that hold 6. To find all motifs of size $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ in order to check the metaphorical mechanism for symmetry and transitivity, we make use of the motif detection software by [28].

Counting Motif Appearance

Of course, when looking at our metaphorical mappings data, we cannot expect that transitivity and symmetry always hold, in particular since the topic categories are rather broad and most probably combine several semantic domains so that different mappings can involve also quite distant aspects of one and the same category. Thus, we rather study tendencies, that is, a significant over- or under-representation of particular subgraph motifs when compared to random models.

To find out which subgraphs are frequent or rare motifs of a network, we need the following definitions [32]:

Definition 6 A **subgraph** of $G = (V, E)$ is a graph $G' = (V', E')$ that consist of a subset V' of V and a connected subset E' of edges whose nodes belong to V' . Furthermore, G' is called an **induced subgraph** of G if all edges $(u, v) \in E$ such that $u, v \in V'$, are also in E' .

Definition 7 Two graphs $G = (V, E)$ and $G' = (V', E')$ are called **isomorphic** if there exists a bijection f between V and V' that preserves adjacency, that is, the bijection f is an isomorphism of G and G' if $(u, v) \in E$ implies that $(f(u), f(v)) \in E'$.

Isomorphic subgraphs represent of course the same motif. To count how many times a motif of n vertices appear, one checks all non-identical connected combinations of n vertices, including the overlapped ones. The exact counting algorithm can be viewed in [28]. To assess the count $N_{\text{real}}(\Omega)$ and decide if a subgraph Ω is significantly over- or underrepresented in the metaphor network, it is compared with the count of the same subgraph in corresponding configuration graph models $N_{\text{rand}}(\Omega)$. The difference between the empirical network count and the mean random model count, $\langle N_{\text{rand}}(\Omega) \rangle$, is measured in units of standard deviation $\sigma(N_{\text{rand}}(\Omega))$:

$$Z(\Omega) = \frac{N_{\text{real}}(\Omega) - \langle N_{\text{rand}}(\Omega) \rangle}{\sigma(N_{\text{rand}}(\Omega))}. \quad (7)$$

A subgraph is considered a significantly over- or underrepresented motif if $|Z(\Omega)| > 2$.

Similarity structure underlying the metaphoric network

Two categories are structurally equivalent if they have the same incoming and out-going neighbors. Sets of structural equivalent categories are said to form a position in the network, since they play the same role. In practice, though, sets of structurally equivalent vertices are rare and it is therefore necessary to relax this notion and to quantify how similar vertices are regarding their connections [33]. In order to identify roles within the metaphor network, we utilized Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA), which is an unsupervised method. HCA takes a set of categories, along with their incoming and outgoing neighbors, as input, and generates a classification scheme. This scheme, called dendrogram, is a binary tree that visually represents the underlying role structure of the categories in the metaphor network. HCA leverages the given metric structure of a dataset to construct the cluster hierarchy represented by the dendrogram. Agglomerative algorithms, in particular, follow an ascending grouping methodology. This process initiates with clusters consisting of single elements, referred to as leaves. At each subsequent step, the algorithm identifies the pair of closest clusters and merges them into a new cluster. The distances between this merged cluster and other clusters are then recalculated based on pairwise distances between the leaves, thereby progressively building the cluster hierarchy depicted in the dendrogram.

Clustering large data sets with, for example, distances limited to narrow ranges, may produce several different dendrograms if two or more pairs of clusters are equidistant [16]. Therefore, it is necessary to consider these *ties in proximity* and look for clusters, if any, that appear in a large fraction of the resulting dendrograms [17].

We run an HCA algorithm to classify categories by structural similarity in the network based on the method introduced in [33]. The set of categories is endowed with a metric structure by considering the following distance:

$$d(c, c') = \frac{\#(N_{\text{in}}(c) \ominus N_{\text{in}}(c'))}{\#(N_{\text{in}}(c) \cup N_{\text{in}}(c'))} + \frac{\#(N_{\text{out}}(c) \ominus N_{\text{out}}(c'))}{\#(N_{\text{out}}(c) \cup N_{\text{out}}(c'))}$$

where c, c' are categories, $N_{\text{in}}(c)$ and $N_{\text{out}}(c)$ are the incoming and outgoing neighbors of category c , and $N_{\text{in}}(c) \ominus N_{\text{in}}(c')$ is their symmetric difference.

Then, the Ward grouping methodology is used to produce all dendrograms resulting from ties in proximity. Cluster distances are updated at each step according to the recursive Lance–Williams formula:

$$d(C_i \cup C_j, C_k) = \alpha_i d(C_i, C_k) + \alpha_j d(C_j, C_k) + \beta d(C_i, C_j)$$

where C_i, C_j , and C_k are disjoint clusters with size n_i, n_j , and n_k , respectively, and the coefficients are given by

$$\alpha_i = \frac{n_i + n_k}{n_i + n_j + n_k}, \quad \alpha_j = \frac{n_j + n_k}{n_i + n_j + n_k}, \quad \beta = \frac{-n_k}{n_i + n_j + n_k}.$$

Then, we compute the fraction of dendrograms in which each of the resulting clusters appears, using the four contrast functions introduced in [17].

Fasttext Word Embedding

We want to investigate if the distances defined through the network neighborhood contain information similar or different to the semantic distance of a typical word embedding. For this we look for correlations and mutual information between this network role distance and the embedding distances of words, using fastText word embedding in 300 dimensions. The word embedding is trained on Wikipedia and based on the skip-gram model described in [34].

Typically, two different measures of semantic similarity and distance are used in word embeddings: the Euclidean distance between two words in space, or the cosine similarity between the two vectors from the origin to the words of interest. The Euclidean distance between points $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{300})$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{300})$ in 300 dimensions is given by

$$|x - y| = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 + \dots + (x_{300} - y_{300})^2} \quad (8)$$

and the semantic dissimilarity according to the cosine similarity is computed as

$$d_c = 1 - \cos(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) = 1 - \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}}{|\vec{x}| |\vec{y}|}. \quad (9)$$

To test whether these two semantic measures are related to the metaphor network role similarity we not only look for possible correlations but also for possible mutual information [35]. The mutual information between two different measurements U and V is given by

$$MI(U, V) = \sum_{i=1}^{\#U} \sum_{j=1}^{\#V} \frac{\#(U_i \cap V_j)}{N} \log \frac{\#(U_i \cap V_j) \cdot N}{\#U_i \cdot \#V_j} \quad (10)$$

where $\#U$ and $\#V$ are the number of samples. Compared to the linear correlation coefficient, the mutual information coefficient reveals in a much more general way the extent to which knowing the result of a measure on a data point informs the value of the second measure.

Graph Curvature

To understand the structure of the metaphor network in a more complete and general way, it is useful to learn about its "shape" just as we do to investigate geometric objects. In this section we design experiments to reveal the overall "shape" of the metaphor network. The local geometry of an object is primarily described by its curvature and the statistics of those local observations informs about the global shape as a whole. This principle of local attributes that together allow inference on global properties was already applied when we looked at the degree distribution. But unlike the degree, discrete curvature is defined not for a vertex but for an edge [36], and therefore it directly informs about the structure of relations. There exist several different translations of the continuous geometrical notion of curvature into discrete graph spaces. We shall look here into those two that have so far proved most useful in empirical data analysis, the Forman Ricci curvature and the Ollivier Ricci curvature [37, 38]. Both concepts have their origin in Riemannian geometry, but allow us to study also structural properties in graphs that have no direct analogue in Riemannian geometry [38].

Forman Ricci Curvature

The Forman Ricci curvature of a directed multigraph measures the prominence of flow structures in a network. For each connected pair of vertices (v_i, v_j) it takes all uni-directed edges e.g. $e = (v_i, v_j)$ and counts the number of in going edges to its source I_{v_i} and out going edges of its target O_{v_j} thus quantifying to which degree this edges contributes to a flow structure. Following [39], we define:

Definition 8 (Forman Ricci Curvature) *Let e be the non-empty set of edges from vertex v_i to vertex v_j . Let further $in(v_i)$ be the set of in-going edges to v_i and similarly $out(v_j)$ the set of out-going edges from v_j . Then the Forman Ricci Curvature of e is defined as*

$$\mathbf{F}(e) = 2 - \#in(v_i) - \#out(v_j) \quad (11)$$

A more negative Forman Curvature indicates strong flow structure, while values around zero show the absence of flow.

Ollivier Ricci Curvature

The Ollivier Ricci Curvature of an arc (u, v) quantifies how close the incoming neighbors of u are from the outgoing neighbors of v [40, 37, 36, 38]. Formally,

$$\mathcal{O}(u, v) = \mu_0 - \mu_2 - 2\mu_3,$$

where μ_i quantifies the fraction of incoming neighbors of u that are at distance $0 \leq i \leq 3$ from v in an optimal transport plan [41]. Positively curved edges imply that a large fraction of incoming neighbors of u are also outgoing neighbors of v , therefore they are involved in directed triangles $(x, u)(u, v)(v, x)$. Flat edges (u, v) are, for instance, those whose incoming neighbors of u are at distance one from the outgoing neighbors of v , forming directed quadrangles $(x, u)(u, v)(v, y)(y, x)$. Negatively curved arcs are those for which the incoming neighbors of u are at distance larger than one from the outgoing neighbors of v .

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Eugenio Llanos from Corporación Scio for providing the Lisp packages to compute ties in proximity and cluster contrast to carry out Hierarchical Cluster Analysis. We would also like to thank Wendy Anderson and the project team of Mapping Metaphor for providing the research data.

References

- [1] Ronen Tamari, Chen Shani, Tom Hope, Miriam R. L. Petruck, Omri Abend, and Dafna Shahaf. Language (re)modelling: Towards embodied language understanding. 2020.
- [2] Yonatan Bisk, Ari Holtzman, Jesse Thomason, Jacob Andreas, Yoshua Bengio, Joyce Chai, Mirella Lapata, Angeliki Lazaridou, Jonathan May, Aleksandr Nisnevich, Nicolas Pinto, and Joseph Turian. Experience grounds language. 2020.
- [3] W. Croft and D.A. Cruse. *Cognitive Linguistics*. Cambridge Textbooks in Linguistics. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [4] M. Tomasello. *Constructing a Language: A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition*. Harvard University Press, 2005.
- [5] Tim Rohrer. Image schemata in the brain. *From perception to meaning: Image schemas in cognitive linguistics*, 29:165–196, 2005.
- [6] JR Firth. Modes of meaning, papers in linguistics, 1957.
- [7] Zellig S Harris. Methods in structural linguistics. 1951.
- [8] Quoc Le and Tomas Mikolov. Distributed representations of sentences and documents. In Eric P. Xing and Tony Jebara, editors, *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 32 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1188–1196, Beijing, China, 22–24 Jun 2014. PMLR.
- [9] G. Lakoff and M. Johnson. *Metaphors We Live By*. University of Chicago Press, 2008.
- [10] Graham Low, Lynne Cameron, Alice Deignan, and Zazie Todd. Researching and applying metaphor in the real world. *Researching and Applying Metaphor in the Real World*, pages 1–400, 2010.
- [11] Zoltán Kövecses. Conceptual metaphor theory: Some criticisms and alternative proposals. *Annual review of cognitive linguistics*, 6(1):168–184, 2008.
- [12] A. Stefanowitsch and S.T. Gries. *Corpus-based Approaches to Metaphor and Metonymy*. Mouton select. Mouton de Gruyter, 2007.
- [13] Brian F Bowdle and Dedre Gentner. The career of metaphor. *Psychological review*, 112(1):193–216, 2008.
- [14] E. Sweetser. *From Etymology to Pragmatics: Metaphorical and Cultural Aspects of Semantic Structure*. Cambridge Studies in Linguistics. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [15] Mapping metaphor. <http://mappingmetaphor.arts.gla.ac.uk>. Accessed: 2022-8-22.
- [16] John MacCuish, Christos Nicolaou, and Norah E. MacCuish. Ties in proximity and clustering compounds. *Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences*, 41(1):134–146, 2001.
- [17] Wilmer Leal, Eugenio J. Llanos, Guillermo Restrepo, Carlos F. Suárez, and Manuel Elkin Patarroyo. How frequently do clusters occur in hierarchical clustering analysis? a graph theoretical approach to studying ties in proximity. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 8(1):4, 2016.
- [18] R Jackendoff. The architecture of the linguistic-spatial interface. pages 1–30, 1996.

- [19] Lorena Deuker, Jacob LS Bellmund, Tobias Navarro Schröder, and Christian F Doeller. An event map of memory space in the hippocampus. *eLife*, 5:e16534, oct 2016.
- [20] Peter Gardenfors. *Conceptual spaces: The geometry of thought*. MIT press, 2004.
- [21] Jacob LS Bellmund, Peter Gärdenfors, Edvard I Moser, and Christian F Doeller. Navigating cognition: Spatial codes for human thinking. *Science*, 362(6415):eaat6766, 2018.
- [22] Dedre Gentner. Metaphor as structure mapping: The relational shift. *Child Development*, 59(1):47–59, 1988.
- [23] Charles J Fillmore et al. Frame semantics. *Cognitive linguistics: Basic readings*, 34:373–400, 2006.
- [24] Wendy Anderson, Ellen Bramwell, and Rachael Hamilton. Mapping metaphor with the historical thesaurus, 2015.
- [25] Christian Kay, Jane Roberts, Michael Samuels, and Irené Wotherspoon. *Historical thesaurus of the Oxford English dictionary*. Oxford University Press, 2009.
- [26] M. Newman. *Networks*. OUP Oxford, 2018.
- [27] Albert-László Barabási. Network science. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 371(1987):20120375, 2013.
- [28] N Kashtan, S Itzkovitz, R Milo, and U Alon. Network motif detection tool mfinder tool guide. *Weizmann Institute of Science: Depts of Mol Cell Bio and Comp Sci & Applied Math, Rehovot, Israel (2002-2005)*, 2005.
- [29] Vincent D Blondel, Jean-Loup Guillaume, Renaud Lambiotte, and Etienne Lefebvre. Fast unfolding of communities in large networks. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2008(10):P10008, oct 2008.
- [30] Thomas Aynaud. python-louvain x.y: Louvain algorithm for community detection, 2020.
- [31] Uri Alon. Network motifs: theory and experimental approaches. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 8(6):450–461, 2007.
- [32] Sabyasachi Patra and Anjali Mohapatra. Review of tools and algorithms for network motif discovery in biological networks. *IET systems biology*, 14(4):171–189, 2020.
- [33] Wilmer Leal, Guillermo Restrepo, and Andrés Bernal. A network study of chemical elements : from binary compounds to chemical trends. *Match*, 68(2):417–442, 2012.
- [34] Piotr Bojanowski, Edouard Grave, Armand Joulin, and Tomas Mikolov. Enriching Word Vectors with Subword Information. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 5:135–146, 06 2017.
- [35] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay. Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12:2825–2830, 2011.
- [36] Marzieh Eidi, Amirhossein Farzam, Wilmer Leal, Areejit Samal, and Jürgen Jost. Edge-based analysis of networks: curvatures of graphs and hypergraphs. *Theory in Biosciences*, 139(4):337–348, 2020.
- [37] Areejit Samal, RP Sreejith, Jiao Gu, Shiping Liu, Emil Saucan, and Jürgen Jost. Comparative analysis of two discretizations of ricci curvature for complex networks. *Scientific reports*, 8(1):1–16, 2018.
- [38] Wilmer Leal, Marzieh Eidi, and Jürgen Jost. Ricci curvature of random and empirical directed hypernetworks. *Applied Network Science*, 5(1):65, Sep 2020.
- [39] Wilmer Leal, Guillermo Restrepo, Peter F Stadler, and Jürgen Jost. Forman–ricci curvature for hypergraphs. *Advances in Complex Systems*, 24(01):2150003, 2021.
- [40] Yann Ollivier. Ricci curvature of markov chains on metric spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 256(3):810–864, 2009.
- [41] Marzieh Eidi and Jürgen Jost. Ollivier ricci curvature of directed hypergraphs. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1):12466, Jul 2020.

Appendices

Appendix A. Extended Material and Methods

Appendix B. Extended Results

A Extended Material and Methods

Ollivier Ricci Curvature

To define the Ollivier Ricci Curvature of an edge e from u to v , we compute an optimal transport plan between a probability measure μ_{in} , defined on a neighborhood of u called masses, and a probability measure μ_{out} , defined on a neighborhood of v called holes. The sets of masses and holes are defined as follows [41, 36, 39]:

Definition 9 (Masses and Holes of an Arc) *Given an arc $e = (u, v)$ of a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, we define the set of masses \mathcal{M} of e to be the singleton $\{u\}$ if u does not have incoming neighbors. Otherwise, \mathcal{M} is the set of incoming neighbors of u . Similarly, the set of holes \mathcal{H} of e is the singleton $\{v\}$ if v does not have outgoing neighbors. Otherwise, \mathcal{H} is the set of outgoing neighbors of v .*

The probability measures are defined as follows: If the tail u of e has no incoming neighbors, we set $\mu_{\text{in}}(u) = 1$. Otherwise, for each mass $m \in \mathcal{M}$, we define $\mu_{\text{in}}(m) = \frac{1}{\# \text{in}(u)}$, where $\# \text{in}(u)$ is the number of incoming neighbors of u . Similarly, if the head v of e has no outgoing neighbors, we set $\mu_{\text{out}}(v) = 1$. Otherwise, for each hole $h \in \mathcal{H}$, we define $\mu_{\text{out}}(h) = \frac{1}{\# \text{out}(v)}$, where $\# \text{out}(v)$ is the number of outgoing neighbors from v .

We can now define the Ollivier Ricci curvature of an arc $e = (u, v)$.

Definition 10 (Ollivier Ricci Curvature) *Given an arc $e = (u, v)$ of a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, we define the Ollivier Ricci curvature $\mathcal{O}(u, v)$ as*

$$\mathcal{O}(e) = 1 - W_1(\mu_{\text{in}}, \mu_{\text{out}})$$

where $W_1(\mu_{\text{in}}, \mu_{\text{out}})$ is the transportation distance defined as

$$W_1(\mu_{\text{in}}, \mu_{\text{out}}) := \inf_{p \in \Pi(\mu_{\text{in}}, \mu_{\text{out}})} \sum_{(e_1, e_2) \in E \times E} d_e(e_1, e_2) p(e_1, e_2)$$

Here, $d_e(e_1, e_2)$ represents the directed distance between e_1 , an incoming edge to u , and e_2 , an outgoing edge from v . It is defined as the minimal number of edges needed to travel from the tail of e_1 to the head of e_2 . $\Pi(\mu_{\text{in}}, \mu_{\text{out}})$ represents the set of measures on $E \times E$ that project to μ_{in} and μ_{out} .

In [41], it is proven that the Ollivier Ricci curvature of (u, v) can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{O}(u, v) = \mu_0 - \mu_2 - 2\mu_3$$

where μ_i quantifies the fraction of incoming neighbors of u that are at a distance $0 \leq i \leq 3$ from v in an optimal transport plan. We use this expression as the definition of Ollivier Ricci curvature in the main text.

B Extended results

Global anti-communities separation of the metaphor network

Table 2: Two Anti-community category blocks and remaining categories

Concrete category block	Abstract category block	Remaining categories
The world, the earth	Existence and its attributes	The human body
Relative position	Time	Religious groups
Occasion	Duration in time	Level land and marshes
Inhabiting and population	Action	Stars
Buildings and inhabited places	Exemplification and specificity	Sex organs
Church government	Social communication and culture	Drinks and drinking
Land and islands	Social position	Creation
Landscape, high and low land	Moral evil	Hell
Tides, waves and flooding	Judgement	Worship
Atmosphere and weather	Enquiry and discovery	Records and monuments
Body of water	Good	Sky
Rivers and streams	Bad	Sport
Sea	Attention and inattention	The arts
Geological features	Constellations and comets	Decrease in quantity
Minerals	Answer and argument	Biological sex
Ice	Measurement of time and relative time	Completion
Life	Change and permanence	Relationship
Biological processes	Safety	Sound and video recording
Natural habitats and taxonomies	Prosperity and success	Printing and publishing
Bodily shape and strength	Disadvantage and harm	Containers
Body parts	Behaviour and conduct	Poverty
Bones, muscles and cartilage	Character and mood	Consciousness
Digestive organs	Cleverness	Workers and workplaces
Ill-health	Intelligibility	Skin
Animal categories, habitats and behaviour	Expectation and prediction	Punishment
Insects and other invertebrates	Unimportance	Sense and speech organs
Textiles	Excitement	Cessation
Clothing	Emotional suffering	Fashionableness
Sight	Anger	Death
Hearing and noise	Motivation, demotivation and persuasion	Sleep
Hardness	Wealth	Weariness
Leaking and outpouring	Taking and thieving	Dead person
Supernatural	Command and control	Mourning and obsequies
Languages of the world	Money	Jealousy
Navigation	Social events	Peace and absence of war
Trade and commerce	Literature	Humankind
Leisure and games	Endeavour	Social attitudes
Music	Computing	Person
Earth science	Understanding	Baby and young person
Animal bodies	Strong emotion and lack of emotion	Obtaining
Shape	Intention and planning	Relevance
Mathematics	Study of language	Uniformity and stereotypicality
Weapons and armour	Visual arts	Right and justice
Universe and space	Continuation	Degree
Size and spatial extent	Advantage	
Period of time	Order	
Wholeness	Disorder	
Deity	Greatness and intensity	
Heaven	Sufficiency and abundance	
Celestial sphere	Completeness	
Alchemy	Perception and cognition	

Chemistry	Knowledge and experience
Direction	Language
Number	Expression of opinion
Military forces	Causation
Transport	Operation and influence
Machines	Contrast
Lack of density	Esteem
Day and evening	Rule and government
Movement in a specific direction	Authority, rebellion and freedom
Materials and fuel	Occupations and work
Types of sport	Importance
Heavenly body	Adversity
Physical sensation	Vigorous action and degrees of violence
Strength	Increase in quantity
Wetness	Contempt
Flowing and floating	Pleasure
Destruction	Decision-making
Signs and signals	Preparation and undertaking
Travel and journeys	Inaction
Performance arts and film	Restoration and recovery
Planets and satellites	Similarity
Sun	Emotion
Astronomy	Courage
Electromagnetism and atomic physics	Necessity and inclination
Movement	Law
Types of movement	Communication and disclosure
Progressive movement	Television and broadcasting
Birth	Relinquishing
Birds	Age
Canines	Ability
Writing	Foolishness
Cardinal points	Political office
Hair	Education
Groups of animals	Difficulty
Horses and elephants	Psychology
Food and eating	Philosophy
Touch	Solitude and social isolation
Dirtiness	Failure
Weakness	Sequence
Softness	Insufficiency
Bad condition	Intellect
Rate of movement and swift movement	Stupidity
Impulse	Intellectual weakness
Impact	Belief and opinion
Part-whole relationships	Willingness and desire
Industry	Information and advertising
Tools and equipment for work	Air and space travel
Absence of life	Incompleteness
Biology	Mind
Wild and fertile land	Moderateness and smallness of quantity
Lakes and pools	Lack of understanding
Angel	Pride
War and armed hostility	Humility
Region of the earth	Licentiousness
Pigs	Drug use
Weight, heat and cold	Easiness
Physics and mechanics	Foolish person
Place and position	Disbelief and uncertainty
Immobility and restlessness	Giving

Correlation	Speaking
Equivalence	Morality and immorality
Possessing and lacking	Correspondence and telecommunications
Railways	Sexual relations
Hunting and fishing	Truth and falsity
Solidity and density	Pity and pitilessness
Religious places and artefacts	Composure
Representation	Will and personal choice
Races and nations	Insignia and heraldry
Unctuousness	Memory, commemoration and revocation
Respiratory system	Hatred and hostility
Healing and treatment	Curse
Classes of mammals	Social discord and harmony
Other clawed mammals	Faith
Awake	Journalism
Dryness	Reason and argument
Distance	Politics
Transference	Virtue
Measurement of length	Air and sea hostilities
Measurement of volume	Mental health
Measuring instrument	Beauty and ugliness
Providing and storing	Female person
Farming	Imagination
Bodily tissue	Secrecy and concealment
Space	Love and friendship
Internal organs	Wisdom
Bodily secretion	Marriage
Cleanness	Slow action and degrees of caution
Bodily excretion	Society
Smell	Thought
Vascular system	Lack of knowledge
Killing	Fear
Taste	Chance
Liquid	Suitability of time
Guilt	Tastelessness
The brain and nervous system	Retaining
Human anatomy	Cacti, ferns, moss and algae
Plants	Invigoration
Granular texture	Aesthetics and good taste
Air	Refusal
Artificial light	Frequency
Darkness	Occurrence
Colour	Difference
Night	
Loss	
Water	
Manner of death	
Cause of death	
Burial and cremation	
Health	
Flickering and glowing light	
Individual colours	
Good condition	
Measurement of weight	
Gas	
Imitation	
Devil	
Wrong and injustice	
Animals	

Male person
Old person
Amphibians
Reflection
Pattern and variegation
Adult and middle-aged person
Named regions of earth
Dance
Fish
Reptiles
Felines
Ruminants
Light
Family members and genealogy
Fireworks
Slow movement
Astrology
Rhinoceroses and general ungulates
Bats, aardvarks, flying lemurs and tree-shrews
Primates
Zoology and taxidermy
Variety
Kinship and relationship
Kinship group and family
Books
Flowers and grasses
Trees and shrubs
Semi-fluidity
Cultivated plants
Weeds
Botany
Repetition
Poison
Fine and coarse texture
Humidity
Execution and performance
Illumination
Natural light
Transparency and opacity
Sameness
Naming
Accompaniment
Measurement
Measurement of area
Quantity
Indifference
Gratitude and ingratitude
Reading

Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA)

The HCA algorithm produced only four dendrograms (binary trees). This remarkably small number of dendrograms, resulting from ties in proximity [16, 17], shows the stability of the global semantic role structure of categories.

The four dendrograms encompass a total of 421 distinct graph clusters, defined as all the different subtrees that can be extracted from the four dendrograms. In Figure 6, it can be observed that 401 graph clusters, the majority of them (95.3%), consistently appear as subtrees in all four dendrograms. The remaining twenty graph clusters, which are not present as subtrees in all four dendrograms, can be categorized as follows (Figure 7): the four entire dendrograms




Figure 6: Distribution of cluster contrast values for clusters within the four dendrograms obtained from hierarchical cluster analysis of categories in the metaphor network, using $d(c, c') = \frac{\#(N_{in}(c) \ominus N_{in}(c'))}{\#(N_{in}(c) \cup N_{in}(c'))} + \frac{\#(N_{out}(c) \ominus N_{out}(c'))}{\#(N_{out}(c) \cup N_{out}(c'))}$ as a distance between categories c and c' , and Ward's grouping methodology.

(cluster size equal to 415), eight relatively small-sized subtrees (approximately 20 leaves) appearing in two out of the four dendrograms, and twelve subtrees ranging from 8 to 18 leaves, which appear in only one dendrogram.

Additionally, considering clusters as sets of leaves rather than graph structures provides an alternative perspective [17]. For instance, although the binary trees $((a, b), c)$ and $(a, (b, c))$ are distinct graph structures, they share the same set of leaves, namely, a, b, c . Similarly, the four dendrograms obtained share the same set of leaves, making them stable clusters as sets but not as graphs. In this context, we computed the clusters based on their sets of leaves, disregarding the hierarchical graph structure, and determined that there are 410 set clusters, which is 11 fewer than the number of graph clusters. Furthermore, 406 set clusters (99.0%) consistently appear as subclusters in all four dendrograms, while the remaining four set clusters appear in two dendrograms each.

In summary, the results obtained from both graph and set clusters indicate that despite being merged differently during the grouping process, leading to distinct graph structures, the semantic roles exhibit remarkable stability.




Figure 7: The Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) algorithm ran on the collection of categories in the metaphor network generated four different dendrograms. Most cluster consistently appeared in all four dendrograms. These scatter plots show the distribution of pairs (cluster size, fraction of dendrograms) corresponding to the clusters found within the four dendrograms. The size of a cluster is defined as its number of leaves, that is, the number of categories within the cluster. The ball size in the plot represents the frequency of occurrence for a specific pair (cluster size, fraction of dendrograms). The scatter plot on the left defines clusters as subtrees of the dendrograms, while the scatter plot on the right defines clusters as the collection of leaves of subtrees of the dendrograms. The HCA algorithm was ran using: $d(c, c') = \frac{\#(N_{in}(c) \ominus N_{in}(c'))}{\#(N_{in}(c) \cup N_{in}(c'))} + \frac{\#(N_{out}(c) \ominus N_{out}(c'))}{\#(N_{out}(c) \cup N_{out}(c'))}$ as a distance between categories c and c' , and Ward's grouping methodology.