

Spontaneous symmetry emergence as a source of new class of phase transitions

T. T. Sergeev, E. S. Andrianov, and A. A. Zyablovsky*

*Dukhov Research Institute of Automatics, 127055, 22 Sushchevskaya, Moscow, Russia
Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 141700, 9 Institutskiy pereulok, Moscow, Russia and
Institute for Theoretical and Applied Electromagnetics, 125412, 13 Izhorskaya, Moscow, Russia*

(Dated: April 11, 2023)

Spontaneous symmetry breaking in systems with symmetry is core-stone phenomenon accompanying second-order phase transitions. Here, we predict an opposite phenomenon, namely, spontaneous symmetry emergence in a system without symmetry. On the example of two coupled oscillators interacting non-symmetrically with a set of oscillators whose frequencies uniformly fill a finite frequency range, we demonstrate that the system state can acquire symmetry, which is not inherent to the system Hamiltonian. The symmetry emergence manifests in the change of the system dynamics, which can be interpreted as a new class of phase transitions.

Introduction. Spontaneous symmetry breaking is a key concept in physics [1–4] and is used for description of second-order phase transitions [1–4]. Conventionally, the phase transitions are studied in closed systems described by the Hermitian Hamiltonians [5]. It is known [5] that if the linear Hermitian operator commutes with the other linear Hermitian operator then one can find a system of eigenstates that is common to both operators. Therefore, if the system Hamiltonian has symmetry, i.e., commutes with symmetry operator, then there is the system of the eigenstates, which have the same symmetries as the system Hamiltonian [5]. Phase transition occurs when the symmetry of the instantaneous state of the system becomes lower than the Hamiltonian symmetry, which is possible when the ground state of system is degenerate [5]. In this case, the spontaneous symmetry breaking means a decrease in the symmetry of the instantaneous state of the system [1]; though the symmetry of the eigenstates coincides with the Hamiltonian symmetry.

In recent decades, open systems, which can be effectively described by non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, become an object of great attention [6, 7]. In the non-Hermitian systems, it is possible that symmetry of the eigenstates is lower than the symmetry of the system Hamiltonian [7, 8]. As a result, one can observe another type of the spontaneous symmetry breaking [8–12], which manifests as the decrease of the symmetry of eigenstates with simultaneous conservation of the Hamiltonian symmetry. This type of spontaneous symmetry breaking is associated with non-Hermitian phase transitions [13–20]. PT-symmetrical systems with gain and loss are a one of the most famous examples of systems with such type of the spontaneous symmetry breaking [15, 16]. In these systems, the change in values of gain and loss leads to passing through an exceptional point (EP) [15, 16], at which the eigenstates cease to be PT-symmetrical, whereas the Hamiltonian is still PT-symmetrical. Besides the PT-symmetrical systems, the non-Hermitian phase transitions corresponding to changing of eigen-

states' symmetry take place in strongly coupled cavity-atom systems [15, 21], polariton systems [22–24], optomechanical systems [25, 26], and laser systems [27]. Unusual properties of the open systems near the point of spontaneous symmetry breaking in the eigenstates find a numerous of applications [13, 15, 16]. In particular, they are used to enhance the sensitivity of laser gyroscopes [28] and sensors [29–32], to control the laser characteristics [33–35], to achieve lasing without population inversion [36, 37], and to manipulate optical [9, 10, 38] and heat [17] flows.

In this Letter, we demonstrate the phenomenon, which is opposite to spontaneous symmetry breaking, namely, spontaneous symmetry emergence. That is, we show that symmetry can spontaneously emerge in Hermitian system with the Hamiltonian without such symmetry. For this purpose, we consider the Hermitian system consisting of two coupled oscillators and a set of oscillators interacting with one of coupled oscillators. The Hamiltonian of this system is Hermitian and is not symmetric with respect to permutation of the first and second oscillators. It is usually assumed that the states of this system cannot be symmetric with respect to permutation of oscillators. However, we show that an increase in the coupling strength between the oscillators leads to emergence of such symmetry. We demonstrate that there is a critical coupling strength, above which the average absolute amplitudes of the first and second oscillators become equal to each other. This occurs in a threshold way and is a manifestation of a new phenomenon that can be called as a spontaneous symmetry emergence.

We find the eigenstates of the Hermitian system consisting of coupled oscillators, one of which interacts with the set of oscillators. We show that a qualitative change in the system eigenstates with respect to permutation of oscillators occurs at the critical coupling strength. Above the critical coupling strength, the eigenstates begin to become symmetrical with respect to permutation of subsystems that serves as a source for the spontaneous symmetry emergence. Thus, we predict an existence of a spontaneous symmetry emergence that takes place in the system does not possess emergent symmetry. The spontaneous symmetry emergence serves a source of a new

* zyablovskiy@mail.ru

class of the phase transitions.

System under consideration. We consider a Hermitian system consisting of two coupled oscillators and a set of N oscillators interacting with the first oscillator [Figure 1]. The coupled oscillators have equal frequencies, ω_0 . The frequencies of oscillators in the set are $\omega_k = \omega_0 + \delta\omega (k - N/2)$, where k is a number of the oscillator and $\delta\omega \ll \omega_0$ is a distance between the frequencies of the oscillators. In the experiment, this system can be realized, for example, on the basis of an optical structure of two coupled single-mode cavities, one of which interacts with waveguide of finite length. Due to the finite length of the waveguide, its modes have discrete set of the frequencies, the specific values of which is determined by the frequency dispersion and geometry of the waveguide.

We use the following Hamiltonian for the system [39]:

$$\hat{H} = \omega_0 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 + \omega_0 \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \Omega(\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1) + \sum_{k=1}^N \omega_k \hat{b}_k^\dagger \hat{b}_k + \sum_{k=1}^N g(\hat{b}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_k) \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{a}_{1,2}$ and $\hat{a}_{1,2}^\dagger$ are the annihilation and creation operators of the first and second oscillators, obeying the boson commutation relations $[\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij} \hat{1}$, $i, j = 1, 2$ [39]. \hat{b}_k and \hat{b}_k^\dagger are the annihilation and creation operators of the oscillators in the set with the frequency ω_k . Ω is a coupling strength between the first and second oscillators; g is a coupling strength between the first oscillator and each of oscillators in the set. Note that we use the rotating-wave approximation to describe the interaction between oscillators [39].




FIG. 1. The scheme of the system under consideration.

There are several approaches to studying the dynamics of the system (1). One approach was proposed in the work of Caldeira and Leggett [40] and is based on the study of the total density matrix using Feynman-Vernon functional integral [40–42]. Another approach is to use Heisenberg equations for the operators [43, 44]. In our

case the last approach is more suitable because it enables to restrict consideration of oscillators' amplitudes without additional approximations. Using the Heisenberg equation for operators [43, 44], we obtain the closed system of equations for operators $\hat{a}_{1,2}$, \hat{b}_k . Then moving from the operators to their averages, we obtain the linear system of equations (see Supplementary Materials for more details)

$$\frac{da_1}{dt} = -i\omega_0 a_1 - i\Omega a_2 - i \sum_{k=1}^N g b_k \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{da_2}{dt} = -i\omega_0 a_2 - i\Omega a_1 \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{db_k}{dt} = -i\omega_k b_k - i g a_1 \quad (4)$$

where $a_1 = \langle \hat{a}_1 \rangle$, $a_2 = \langle \hat{a}_2 \rangle$ and $b_k = \langle \hat{b}_k \rangle$.

The interaction of the first oscillator with the set of oscillators leads to an energy exchange between them. When the step between the frequencies of oscillators in the set tends to zero ($\delta\omega/\omega_0 \rightarrow 0$) and the number of the oscillators in the set tends to infinite ($N \rightarrow \infty$), this energy exchange transforms to the energy dissipation in the first oscillator. In this case, the degrees of freedom of the oscillators in the set can be eliminated from the consideration with the aid of Born-Markovian approximation [43, 44]. In this approach, the system dynamics are described by the effective non-Hermitian equation (see Supplementary Materials):

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\omega_0 - \gamma & -i\Omega \\ -i\Omega & -i\omega_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

where $\gamma = \pi g^2 / \delta\omega$ is an effective decay rate [39].

The eigenvalues of this system are $\lambda_{\pm} = -\frac{\gamma}{2} - i\omega_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\Omega^2}$ and the respective eigenstates have the form

$$\mathbf{e}_{\pm} = \left\{ i \left(-\gamma \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\Omega^2} \right) / 2\Omega, 1 \right\}^T \quad (6)$$

In this non-Hermitian system, there is an exceptional point (EP) when $\Omega = \Omega_{EP} = \gamma/2$. At the EP, the eigenstates of non-Hermitian system become linearly dependent and their eigenvalues are equal to each other [15]. When $\Omega < \Omega_{EP}$, the absolute values of the first and second components of each eigenstates are not equal to each other ($|\langle \mathbf{e}_{\pm} \rangle_1| \neq |\langle \mathbf{e}_{\pm} \rangle_2|$). When $\Omega > \Omega_{EP}$, the absolute values of the first and second components of the eigenstates become equal to each other ($|\langle \mathbf{e}_{\pm} \rangle_1| = |\langle \mathbf{e}_{\pm} \rangle_2|$). The passing through the EP, occurring at the increase

of coupling strength, is often associated with the spontaneous symmetry breaking in the eigenstates [10, 15]. This transition manifests itself in the system dynamics. In particular, if the initial state of the system coincides with one of the eigenstates then the ratio of the absolute values of the amplitudes of the first and second oscillators averaged over time, $\langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t$, becomes equal to 1 at $\Omega > \Omega_{EP}$ [Figure 3], where $\langle |a_{1,2}| \rangle_t = \frac{1}{t_{max}} \int_0^{t_{max}} |a_{1,2}| dt$ and $t_{max} \rightarrow \infty$.

Change in eigenstates of Hermitian system. Non-Hermitian equations correctly describe the system evolution only at $t \ll 2\pi/\delta\omega$. At longer times, $t \geq 2\pi/\delta\omega$, the Hermitian system demonstrates a complex dynamics including collapses and revivals of the energy oscillations [42, 45–47], which arise at time greater than return time $T_R = 2\pi/\delta\omega$ [46]. On this time scale, the system evolution is no longer described by the non-Hermitian equation (5) and is determined by the eigenfrequencies f_k and the eigenstates $\mathbf{e}_k = (e_k)_j$ ($j, k \in 1, \dots, N+2$) of the matrix in the right side of Eqns. (2)-(4).

The considered system (2)-(4) is Hermitian, thus, its eigenfrequencies are real and all eigenstates are mutually orthogonal. The variation of the coupling strength Ω leads to the change in the amplitudes of the components of the different eigenstates. We trace out the dependence of amplitudes of first and second components, $(e_k)_1$ and $(e_k)_2$, of the eigenstate \mathbf{e}_k on its eigenfrequency f_k [Figure 2]. These components correspond to the contributions of the first and second oscillators to the eigenstate \mathbf{e}_k .




FIG. 2. The dependence of the amplitudes of first $(e_k)_1$ (blue points) and second $(e_k)_2$ (red points) components in the eigenstate \mathbf{e}_k on its eigenfrequency f_k for the different coupling strengths: $\Omega = 0.5\Omega_{SSE}$ (a); $\Omega = \Omega_{SSE}$ (b); $\Omega = 1.5\Omega_{SSE}$ (c); $\Omega = 6.0\Omega_{SSE}$ (d). Here $\Omega_{SSE} = \gamma/\sqrt{2}$ and $\gamma = \pi g^2/\delta\omega$. The figures are plotted for 400 oscillators in the set.

At small values of the coupling strength, the value of the second component of the eigenstate with eigenfrequency $f_k = \omega_0$ is larger than the value of the second component of any other eigenstates, see the peak of the red curve in Figures 2(a), (b). In contrast, this eigenstate with $f_k = \omega_0$ has a zero value of first component

[blue curves in Figures 2(a), (b)]. The increase in the coupling strength leads to a splitting of the peak in the dependence of the second components of the eigenstates on their eigenfrequencies. This splitting begins to appear at the critical coupling strength $\Omega = \Omega_{SSE} = \gamma/\sqrt{2}$ [Figure 2(b), the red curve]. At $\Omega > \Omega_{SSE}$, the eigenstates with eigenfrequencies $f_k \neq \omega_0$ have greatest value of the second components [the red curve in Figure 2(c)]. This reflects the fact that it is not the individual first oscillator that interacts with the set of oscillators, but rather the coherent superposition of the first and second oscillators. At increase of the coupling strength above the critical value, the dependencies of the first and second components of the eigenstates on the eigenfrequencies f_k begin to become similar to each other [cf. the red and blue curves in Figures 2(c), (d)]. Thus, the qualitative change in the eigenstates occurs at $\Omega = \Omega_{SSE}$. When $N \gg 1$, such a change in the eigenstates of Hermitian system does not depend on N (see Supplementary Materials). Moreover, this change occurs even when the number of oscillators is about 10. That is, the change in the eigenstates is a general phenomenon in such Hermitian systems.

Note that the critical coupling strength $\Omega_{SSE} = \gamma/\sqrt{2}$ does not coincide with the coupling strength corresponding to the EP $\Omega_{EP} = \gamma/2$ in the non-Hermitian system (5).




FIG. 3. The dependence of $\langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t$ on the coupling strength Ω when the initial state has the form $a_1(t=0) = (\mathbf{e}_+)_1$, $a_2(t=0) = (\mathbf{e}_+)_2$ (see Eq. (6)), and $b_k(t=0) = 0$. The dashed blue line is calculated by the Eq. (5) and $t_{max} \rightarrow \infty$. The solid lines are calculated by the Eqns. (2)-(4) when $\langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t$ is averaged over the time interval $0 \leq t \leq t_{max} = 0.5T_R$ (the red line) and $0 \leq t \leq t_{max} = 10T_R$ (the black line). The dashed vertical lines show $\Omega = \Omega_{EP} = \gamma/2$ and $\Omega = \Omega_{SSE} = \gamma/\sqrt{2}$.

Spontaneous symmetry emergence. The change in eigenstates manifests in the temporal dynamics of Hermitian system (2)-(4). To illustrate this fact, we calculate the temporal dynamics of the oscillators [Figure 4]. When $\Omega < \Omega_{SSE}$, the absolute value of the amplitude of the first oscillator is smaller than the one of the second oscillator [Figure 4(a)]. It is due to the first oscillator interacts with the set of oscillators while the second oscillator does not. With increasing the coupling strength, dynamics of the oscillators' amplitudes become similar to each

other [Figures 4(b), (c)]. At the same time, the phase difference between the oscillators changes with time for both $\Omega < \Omega_{SSE}$ and $\Omega > \Omega_{SSE}$ (see Figure 1S in the Supplementary Materials). Therefore, the transition at $\Omega = \Omega_{SSE}$ is not related with the phase locking [48].

To trace out the changes in the oscillators' amplitudes, we calculate the ratio of the absolute values of the amplitudes of the first and second oscillators averaged over time $\langle \dots \rangle_t$. To begin with, we choose $a_1(t=0) = (\mathbf{e}_+)_1$, $a_2(t=0) = (\mathbf{e}_+)_2$ (see Eq. (6)), and $b_k(t=0) = 0$ as the initial state. When the averaging is carried out over a time interval before the first revival ($0 \leq t < T_R$), the ratio $\langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t$ demonstrates the threshold-like behavior at $\Omega = \Omega_{EP}$ [Figure 3]. This behavior is similar to the one observed in the non-Hermitian equation (5) at the spontaneous symmetry breaking. This similarity in the behaviors of the Hermitian and non-Hermitian systems is due to the fact that at times $t < T_R$, the behavior of $a_1(t)$ and $a_2(t)$ in the Hermitian system can be described approximately by the non-Hermitian Eq. (5). However, at $t > T_R$ the behavior of $a_1(t)$ and $a_2(t)$ in the Hermitian system differs qualitatively from the one in the non-Hermitian system [Figure 4]. When $a_1(t)$ and $a_2(t)$ are averaged over a time interval containing a large number of the collapses and revivals ($0 \leq t < t_{\max}$, where $t_{\max} \gg T_R$), the ratio $\langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t$ demonstrates the threshold-like behavior at $\Omega = \Omega_{SSE}$ [Figure 3]. Thus, it is seen that in the Hermitian system, the symmetry appears at $\Omega = \Omega_{SSE}$. Note that the Eqns. (2)-(4) for the Hermitian system do not possess the symmetry with respect to the permutation of the first and second oscillators. That is, the symmetry emerges in the system, which has not such a symmetry. The similar behavior takes place for other initial states. To illustrate this fact, we calculate the ratio of the absolute values of the amplitudes of the first and second oscillators averaged over time and then over the initial states, $\langle \langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t \rangle_0$ [Figure 5]. Our calculations show that when the averaging is carried out over a time interval before the first revival ($0 \leq t < T_R$), the ratio $\langle \langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t \rangle_0$ increases smoothly with the coupling strength Ω (see Figure 2S in Supplementary Materials). Whereas when the averaging is carried out over a time interval containing a large number of the collapses and revivals ($0 \leq t < t_{\max}$, where $t_{\max} \gg T_R$), the ratio $\langle \langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t \rangle_0$ demonstrates the threshold-like behavior at $\Omega = \Omega_{SSE}$ [Figure 5].

Dependence of the system behavior on the number of oscillators in the set. To prove the existence of the transition in the considered system, we study the dependence of $\langle \langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t \rangle_0$ on the number of oscillators in the set. The frequencies of the oscillators in the set uniformly fill the interval from $-N\delta\omega/2$ to $N\delta\omega/2$. To keep the frequency interval unchanged, we scale $\delta\omega$ as N^{-1} ($N\delta\omega = \text{const}$ i.e. we increase the density of states in the set of the oscillators). The increase of the density of states leads to an enhancement of the energy exchange between the first oscillator and the set of oscillators. Based on the expression for the relaxation rate,

$\gamma = \pi g^2 / \delta\omega$, (10), we conclude that such an enhancement is proportional to $1/\delta\omega \sim N$. To keep the magnitude of the interaction of the first oscillator with the set of oscillators, we scale g as $1/\sqrt{N}$ (see Supplementary Materials). Our calculations show that such a scaling preserves the behavior of the eigenstates of the Hermitian system (see Figure 3S in Supplementary Materials). The change of $\delta\omega$ causes the change in the return time ($T_R = 2\pi/\delta\omega$). To keep the number of the revivals and collapses occurring during the observation time, we scale the observation time as N .

Our calculations show when the averaging of $\langle \langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t \rangle_0$ is carried out over time interval containing large number of the collapses and revivals, the increase of N makes the transition at $\Omega = \Omega_{SSE}$ sharper [Figure 5]. In the limit of the infinite set ($\delta\omega/\omega_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$), at $\Omega > \Omega_{SSE}$ the ratio $\langle \langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t \rangle_0$ tends to 1 [Figure 5]. Thus, the oscillators' amplitudes become equal to each other and in such a sense the system dynamics become symmetric with respect to the permutation of the oscillators. Note that the system (1) is not symmetric with respect to the permutation of the oscillators. That is, the symmetry spontaneously emerges in the system dynamics leading to the threshold change in the dependence of $\langle \langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t \rangle_0$ on the coupling strength [Figure 5]. We name this phenomenon as a spontaneous symmetry emergence. The spontaneous symmetry emergence can be considered as a new class of phase transitions connected with the change in the symmetry of the system state.

Note that when the averaging of $\langle \langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t \rangle_0$ is carried out over the time interval smaller than the time of first revival, the increase of the number of the oscillators in the set ($\delta\omega/\omega_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$) does not lead to an appearance of the threshold (see Figure 2S in Supplementary Materials). Thus, the spontaneous symmetry emergence is observed only when the averaging is carried out over a long time interval ($t \gg T_R$), during which a large number of collapses and revivals takes place [Figure 5]. It indicates that the spontaneous symmetry emergence is the property of the entire Hermitian system (1) and cannot be associated with the properties of the non-Hermitian system (5) obtaining by the elimination of the degrees of freedom of the set of oscillators.

Discussion. The symmetry with respect to the permutation of the oscillators begins to emerge at the coupling strength $\Omega = \Omega_{SSE}$. It is the coupling strength at which the change in eigenstates occurs. Below the critical coupling, the eigenstates \mathbf{e}_k are not symmetrical with respect to the permutation of the absolute value of the first and second components [Figure 2(a)], and the first and second components of vast majority of eigenstates differ significantly from each other [Figure 2(a)]. At the critical coupling strength, the peak in the dependence of second components of eigenstates \mathbf{e}_k on its eigenfrequencies f_k splits into two peaks [Figure 2(b)]. At further increase of the coupling strength, the eigenstates begin to become symmetrical with respect to the permutation




FIG. 4. The dependence of $|a_1(t)|$ (solid blue line) and $|a_2(t)|$ (dashed red line) on time at the coupling strengths $\Omega = 0.1\Omega_{SSE}$ (a), $\Omega = \Omega_{SSE}$ (b), $\Omega = 2\Omega_{SSE}$ (c). Here $T_R = 2\pi/\delta\omega$ is the return time; the number of oscillators in the set is 200. The initial state is $a_1(0) = 1$; $a_2(0) = 1$; $b_k(0) = 0$.




FIG. 5. The dependence of $\langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t$ on the coupling strength, Ω , when the number of oscillators in the set $N = 50$ (the blue line), $N = 100$ (the orange line), $N = 200$ (the red line), $N = 400$ (the black line). The dashed vertical line shows the critical coupling strength $\Omega_{SSE} = \gamma/\sqrt{2}$. The dashed horizontal line shows 1. The interval of averaging is $[0, 25 T_R]$. The averaging is carried out over 200 initial states.

of the first and second components [Figure 2(b), (c), (d)].

The transformation of the eigenstates causes the change in the oscillators' amplitudes. Below the critical coupling strength ($\Omega < \Omega_{SSE}$), the main contribution to the amplitude of the second oscillator $\langle |a_2| \rangle_t$ is given by the eigenstates with $f_k = \omega_0$, which has a greatest value of the second component among all eigenstates [the red line in Figure 2(a)]. At the same time, the main contribution to the amplitude of the first oscillator $\langle |a_1| \rangle_t$ is given by the eigenstates with $f_k \neq \omega_0$ [the blue line in Figure 2(a)]. The numbers of eigenstates, giving the main contribution to $\langle |a_1| \rangle_t$, depend on Ω , while the numbers of eigenstates, giving the main contribution to $\langle |a_2| \rangle_t$, do not. Above the critical coupling strength ($\Omega > \Omega_{SSE}$), the main contributions to the amplitudes of both oscillators come from the eigenstates with $f_k \neq \omega_0$ [Figures 2(c), (d)]. Moreover, at $\Omega > \Omega_{SSE}$, the numbers of eigenstates, giving the main contributions

to both $\langle |a_1| \rangle_t$ and $\langle |a_2| \rangle_t$, depend on Ω . Thus, the dependence of $\langle |a_2| \rangle_t$ on the coupling strength undergoes qualitative change, which manifests in the threshold behavior of $\langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t$ [Figure 5]. At the increase of the coupling strength, the first and the second components of eigenstates become similar to each other [Figure 2(d)] and, therefore, the ratio of $\langle |a_1| \rangle_t / \langle |a_2| \rangle_t$ tends to 1. Thus, the emergence of the symmetry in the system dynamics can be unambiguously associated with the changes in the eigenstates.

Conclusion. We predict an existence of a new class of phase transitions, which relates with emergence of symmetry in the state of the Hermitian system, the Hamiltonian of which does not possess such a symmetry. In other words, the symmetry emerges spontaneously in the system behavior. This phenomenon is counterpart of the spontaneous symmetry breaking, which is conventional concomitant of second order phase transitions. From the point of view of an external observer, the spontaneous symmetry emergence has the same manifestations as the spontaneous symmetry breaking. Indeed, in both cases the symmetry of the system state changes at the transition point. However, in contrast to the case of spontaneous symmetry breaking, in which the system Hamiltonian has the same symmetry as the state in symmetrical phase, in the case of spontaneous symmetry emergence, the system Hamiltonian does not have the emerged symmetry.

The spontaneous symmetry emergence is the source of a new class of the phase transitions with the change in symmetry. These transitions can be observed in various systems, for example, in an optical system consisting of two coupled single-mode cavities, one of which interacts with the waveguide of finite length. Thus, our results open the way to the study of a qualitatively new type of phase transitions in various systems.

The study was financially supported by a Grant from Russian Science Foundation (Project No. 20-72-10057). T.T.S. thanks foundation for the advancement of theoretical physics and mathematics "Basis".

-
- [1] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics: Volume 5*, Vol. 5 (Elsevier, 2013).
- [2] P. W. Higgs, Broken symmetries and the masses of gauge bosons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13**, 508 (1964).
- [3] P. W. Anderson, *Concepts in Solids* (Benjamin, New York, 1963) pp. 175–182.
- [4] J. Goldstone, A. Salam, and S. Weinberg, Broken symmetries, *Physical Review* **127**, 965 (1962).
- [5] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum mechanics: Non-relativistic theory*, Vol. 3 (Elsevier, 2013).
- [6] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Real spectra in non-hermitian hamiltonians having p t symmetry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5243 (1998).
- [7] N. Moiseyev, *Non-Hermitian quantum mechanics* (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [8] S. Klaiman, U. Günther, and N. Moiseyev, Visualization of branch points in pt-symmetric waveguides, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 080402 (2008).
- [9] K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, Beam dynamics in pt symmetric optical lattices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 103904 (2008).
- [10] A. Guo, G. J. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R. Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G. A. Siviloglou, and D. N. Christodoulides, Observation of pt-symmetry breaking in complex optical potentials, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 093902 (2009).
- [11] C. E. Rüter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, Observation of parity–time symmetry in optics, *Nature Phys.* **6**, 192 (2010).
- [12] A. A. Zyblovsky, A. P. Vinogradov, A. A. Pukhov, A. V. Dorofeenko, and A. A. Lisyansky, Pt-symmetry in optics, *Phys.-Usp.* **57**, 1063 (2014).
- [13] R. El-Ganainy, K. G. Makris, M. Khajavikhan, Z. H. Musslimani, S. Rotter, and D. N. Christodoulides, Non-hermitian physics and pt symmetry, *Nature Phys.* **14**, 11 (2018).
- [14] S. Longhi, Parity-time symmetry meets photonics: A new twist in non-hermitian optics, *EPL* **120**, 64001 (2018).
- [15] M.-A. Miri and A. Alù, Exceptional points in optics and photonics, *Science* **363**, eaar7709 (2019).
- [16] Ş. K. Özdemir, S. Rotter, F. Nori, and L. Yang, Parity–time symmetry and exceptional points in photonics, *Nature Mater.* **18**, 783 (2019).
- [17] T. T. Sergeev, A. A. Zyblovsky, E. S. Andrianov, A. A. Pukhov, Y. E. Lozovik, and A. P. Vinogradov, A new type of non-hermitian phase transition in open systems far from thermal equilibrium, *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 24054 (2021).
- [18] F. E. Öztürk, T. Lappe, G. Hellmann, J. Schmitt, J. Klaers, F. Vewinger, J. Kroha, and M. Weitz, Observation of a non-hermitian phase transition in an optical quantum gas, *Science* **372**, 88 (2021).
- [19] R. Hanai, A. Edelman, Y. Ohashi, and P. B. Littlewood, Non-hermitian phase transition from a polariton bose-einstein condensate to a photon laser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 185301 (2019).
- [20] A. V. Sadovnikov, A. A. Zyblovsky, A. V. Dorofeenko, and S. A. Nikitov, Exceptional-point phase transition in coupled magnonic waveguides, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **18**, 024073 (2022).
- [21] J. B. Khurgin, Exceptional points in polaritonic cavities and subthreshold fabry–perot lasers, *Optica* **7**, 1015 (2020).
- [22] T. Gao *et al.*, Observation of non-hermitian degeneracies in a chaotic exciton-polariton billiard, *Nature* **526**, 554 (2015).
- [23] D. Zhang, X.-Q. Luo, Y.-P. Wang, T.-F. Li, and J. Q. You, Observation of the exceptional point in cavity magnon-polaritons, *Nature Commun.* **8**, 1 (2017).
- [24] G.-Q. Zhang and J. Q. You, Higher-order exceptional point in a cavity magnonics system, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 054404 (2019).
- [25] H. Xu, D. Mason, L. Jiang, and J. G. E. Harris, Topological energy transfer in an optomechanical system with exceptional points, *Nature* **537**, 80 (2016).
- [26] J. Zhang, B. Peng, Ş. K. Özdemir, K. Pichler, D. O. Krimer, G. Zhao, F. Nori, Y.-X. Liu, S. Rotter, and L. Yang, A phonon laser operating at an exceptional point, *Nature Photon.* **12**, 479 (2018).
- [27] A. A. Zyblovsky, I. V. Doronin, E. S. Andrianov, A. A. Pukhov, Y. E. Lozovik, A. P. Vinogradov, and A. A. Lisyansky, Exceptional points as lasing prethresholds, *Laser Photonics Rev.* **15**, 2000450 (2021).
- [28] Y.-H. Lai, Y.-K. Lu, M.-G. Suh, Z. Yuan, and K. Vahala, Observation of the exceptional-point-enhanced sagnac effect, *Nature* **576**, 65 (2019).
- [29] H. Hodaei, A. U. Hassan, S. Wittek, H. Garcia-Gracia, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and M. Khajavikhan, Enhanced sensitivity at higher-order exceptional points, *Nature* **548**, 187 (2017).
- [30] W. Chen, Ş. K. Özdemir, G. Zhao, J. Wiersig, and L. Yang, Exceptional points enhance sensing in an optical microcavity, *Nature* **548**, 192 (2017).
- [31] J. Wiersig, Enhancing the sensitivity of frequency and energy splitting detection by using exceptional points: application to microcavity sensors for single-particle detection, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 203901 (2014).
- [32] Z.-P. Liu, J. Zhang, Ş. K. Özdemir, B. Peng, H. Jing, X.-Y. Lü, C.-W. Li, L. Yang, F. Nori, and Y.-X. Liu, Metrology with pt-symmetric cavities: enhanced sensitivity near the pt-phase transition, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 110802 (2016).
- [33] H. Hodaei, M.-A. Miri, M. Heinrich, D. N. Christodoulides, and M. Khajavikhan, Parity-time–symmetric microring lasers, *Science* **346**, 975 (2014).
- [34] L. Feng, Z. J. Wong, R.-M. Ma, Y. Wang, and X. Zhang, Single-mode laser by parity-time symmetry breaking, *Science* **346**, 972 (2014).
- [35] B. Peng, Ş. K. Özdemir, M. Liertzer, W. Chen, J. Kramer, H. Yilmaz, J. Wiersig, S. Rotter, and L. Yang, Chiral modes and directional lasing at exceptional points, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 6845 (2016).
- [36] I. V. Doronin, A. A. Zyblovsky, E. S. Andrianov, A. A. Pukhov, and A. P. Vinogradov, Lasing without inversion due to parametric instability of the laser near the exceptional point, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 021801(R) (2019).
- [37] I. V. Doronin, A. A. Zyblovsky, and E. S. Andrianov, Strong-coupling-assisted formation of coherent radiation below the lasing threshold, *Opt. Express* **29**, 5624 (2021).
- [38] Z. Lin, H. Ramezani, T. Eichelkraut, T. Kottos, H. Cao, and D. N. Christodoulides, Unidirectional invisibility in-

- duced by p t-symmetric periodic structures, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 213901 (2011).
- [39] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum optics* (1999).
 - [40] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, Path integral approach to quantum brownian motion, Physica A: Statistical mechanics and its Applications **121**, 587 (1983).
 - [41] U. Weiss, *Quantum dissipative systems* (World Scientific, 2012).
 - [42] I. De Vega and D. Alonso, Dynamics of non-markovian open quantum systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. **89**, 015001 (2017).
 - [43] H. Carmichael, *An open systems approach to quantum optics: lectures presented at the Université Libre de Bruxelles, October 28 to November 4, 1991*, Vol. 18 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2009).
 - [44] C. Gardiner and P. Zoller, *Quantum noise: a handbook of Markovian and non-Markovian quantum stochastic methods with applications to quantum optics* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2004).
 - [45] H.-P. Breuer, E.-M. Laine, J. Piilo, and B. Vacchini, Colloquium: Non-markovian dynamics in open quantum systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. **88**, 021002 (2016).
 - [46] V. I. Tatarskii, Example of the description of dissipative processes in terms of reversible dynamic equations and some comments on the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, Soviet Physics Uspekhi **30**, 134 (1987).
 - [47] B. Kolaric, B. Maes, K. Clays, T. Durt, and Y. Caudano, Strong light-matter coupling as a new tool for molecular and material engineering: Quantum approach, Advanced Quantum Technologies **1**, 1800001 (2018).
 - [48] A. Pikovsky, M. Rosenblum, and J. Kurths, *Synchronization. A universal concept in nonlinear sciences* (Cambridge University Press, 2001).