

# Coupling OMNeT++ and mosaik for integrated Co-Simulation of ICT-reliant Smart Grids

FRAUKE OEST\*, EMILIE FROST\*, MALIN RADTKE\*, and SEBASTIAN LEHNHOFF, OFFIS - Institute for Information Technology, Germany

The increasing integration of renewable energy resources requires so-called smart grid services for monitoring, control and automation tasks. To develop innovative solutions and algorithms, simulation environments are used for evaluation. Especially in smart energy systems, we face a variety of heterogeneous simulators representing, e.g., power grids, analysis or control components. The co-simulation framework mosaik can be used to orchestrate the data exchange and time synchronization between individual simulators. So far, the underlying communication infrastructure has often been assumed to be optimal, so that the influence of e.g., communication delays has been neglected. This paper presents the first results of the project cosima, which aims at connecting the communication simulator OMNeT++ to the co-simulation framework mosaik to analyze the resilience and robustness of smart grid services, e.g., multi-agent-based services with respect to simulation performance, scalability, extensibility and usability. This facilitates simulations with realistic communication technologies (such as 5G) and the analysis of dynamic communication characteristics occurring by simulating multiple messages. We could show, how the simulation performance of this coupling improves by using the new discrete event scheduling of mosaik and how the simulation behaves in scenarios with up to 50 agents.

CCS Concepts: • **Networks** → Network simulations.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: smart grids, co-simulation, communication simulation, couplings, OMNeT++, mosaik

## Availability of Data and Material:

The data and code used in this paper are available at <https://gitlab.com/mosaik/examples/cosima/-/tags/Paper>.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Intelligent electricity grids, so-called smart grids, need to be flexible, available, reliable and economically attractive [15]. The transition of existing electricity generation to renewable, decentralised generation goes along with an increase in the complexity of the overall system, as the monitoring and control mechanisms have to be able to deal with many individual plants and also with (e.g. weather-related) feed-in fluctuations [15, 27]. Intelligent control and monitoring mechanisms in energy systems require the integration of information and communication technology (ICT) [27]. Here, the properties and behaviour of the ICT system (e.g. the topology of the communication network, protocols, communication latency, bandwidth, information security and reliability issues) influence the connected power system [19]. For instance, the lack of bandwidth may lead to increase of the overall message delay, strongly delayed monitoring messages may lead to a decrease in observability of the power system. Significantly delayed control messages may cause a decreased power system state [8]. On the other hand, the power grid also has an influence on the ICT system [19]. Firstly, if there is a need for a better observability of the power system

\*F.O., E.F., and M.R. contributed equally to this research.

Authors' address: Frauke Oest, [frauke.oest@offis.de](mailto:frauke.oest@offis.de); Emilie Frost, [emilie.frost@offis.de](mailto:emilie.frost@offis.de); Malin Radtke, [malin.radtke@offis.de](mailto:malin.radtke@offis.de); Sebastian Lehnhoff, [sebastian.lehnhoff@offis.de](mailto:sebastian.lehnhoff@offis.de), OFFIS - Institute for Information Technology, Escherweg 2, Oldenburg, Germany, 26121.

state, sensors like Phasor Measurement Units (PMUs) typically increase their sampling rate and hence, create more traffic on the ICT system [2, 14]. Secondly, devices in the ICT infrastructure (such as routers, switches or radio towers for mobile communication) are often directly connected to the power grid. Therefore, if a power outage occurs, the availability and capability of the communication network is restricted [28]. Apart from monitoring and control tasks, we assume that more and novel smart grid services will be (partially) based on multi-agent systems (MAS) to address autonomy, self-organization and self-optimization for a more resilient and robust power system [11]. This assumption is made without loss of generality. Non-MAS services in Smart Grids that are dependent on ICT can also be significantly affected by communication deficits. Multi-agent systems can include numerous agents and depend on a period of extensive message exchange between individual agents for e.g., a cooperative problem solving. Therefore, they are dependent on the underlying ICT infrastructure and the behavior of the MAS may be influenced by communication properties such as latency. As a critical infrastructure, systematic testing of new technologies is essential to ensure the functionality, stability and safety of cyber-physical energy systems (CPES). Due to the complexity and interdisciplinarity of the systems, the investigation of the behaviour of CPES is mostly done through co-simulations [22]. The co-simulation framework mosaik [6] allows the combination of different, heterogeneous simulators (i.e., the implementation of a to simulating system) from different domains and thus also the creation of complex power grid scenarios with a large number of loads and generators. The framework mosaik is responsible for the data exchange and the temporal synchronization of simulators. As the data between simulators are directly exchanged, mosaik-based simulations are assuming perfect communication conditions. In order to represent a more realistic communication behaviour, external communication simulators, such as the framework OMNeT++ [12, 24], need to be integrated into a mosaik-based simulation. Whereas most power grid simulators operate in time discrete simulations within mosaik (i.e., advancing in simulation time in fixed discrete time intervals), communication simulators run in discrete event simulations (i.e., advancing in flexibly sized time intervals). The coupling of multiple simulators with heterogeneous scheduling algorithms and independent simulation clocks is therefore quite challenging [3]. Previous coupling approaches based on mosaik 2 were limited to time discrete simulations. In order to capture the effects of a communication simulation, the mosaik simulation must propagate the simulation time in rather small time steps (e.g., seconds), which leads to more simulation time steps and to more calls from mosaik to OMNeT++ causing challenges to simulation efficiency [3, 26].

The latest version of mosaik (3.0) supports event-based simulation [17]. This allows the coupling of energy system simulations with communication simulations, since in this way different time scales

of the systems (in the area of energy systems sometimes several minutes and in the area of communication systems mostly milliseconds) can be implemented in an efficient and performant way [18]. This paper presents the integration of the simulator OMNeT++ [24] for (communication) network modeling into the co-simulation framework *mosaik* within the project **communication simulation with agents (cosima)**<sup>1</sup>, which aims to analyze the interactions between power and communication system, and the resilience and robustness of smart grid services via co-simulation. Therefore, *cosima* should enable the simulation of messages in realistic communication infrastructures and technologies (e.g., Long Term Evolution (LTE) or 5G), capture the dynamic effects of multiple, simultaneously transmitted messages and perform alterations on the ICT topology during the simulation. Furthermore, *cosima* should be able to simulate the message exchange of numerous agents using *mosaik* 3 functionalities to conduct a performant simulation. In addition, *cosima* should enable extensibility for further functionalities while maintaining a high usability.

The following paper is organized as follows: In section 2 the related work is summarized. In section 3 we describe the architecture and functionality of *cosima*. For this purpose, the components and the process of simulating a message dispatch are explained. In addition, the synchronization between *mosaik* and OMNeT++, scenarios and features of *cosima* are explained. In section 4 the performance of event-based simulation and the scalability of the simulation are evaluated. The section 5 concludes the paper and shows future work.

## 2 RELATED WORK

The consideration of communication aspects for services in smart grids has already been the focus of several projects and many can be found in e.g., the surveys of Mets et al. [13] and Tan et al. [5]. One subcategory of smart grid services comprises distributed algorithms, such as multi-agent systems that can be used for virtual power plant management [9, 16], battery swarm storage management [7], black-out restoration with distributed energy resources [21], which rely on intensive message exchange in uncertain environments and are therefore, prone to communication delay, jitter and packet losses. This type of implementation of smart grid services may also introduce noticeable traffic on the communication system. For the purpose of abstract delay analysis, the communication can be directly integrated into the overlay topology of an MAS, where agents sleep according to pre-defined end-to-end delays [7, 21]. This delay can be specified through a realistic communication simulation in EXata with concrete communication technologies, such as LTE-Advanced [16]. Hence, these works lack a detailed communication simulation considering dynamic delay of the traffic introduced by the simulated messages. The dynamics of mutual influence of simultaneous messages exchanged by agents in a multi-agent system (MAS) was observed in an integrated MAS and communication simulation with ns-3 for bitrate resources, but lack detailed simulation for latency [9]. The project *Intertwined* [4] aims at a reduction of end-to-end delay of MAS communication by integrating an adaptive packet prioritization for messages of agents in a MAS in order

to guarantee voltage stability. This simulation environment is based on Hardware-in-the-loop (HiL) devices, which is not suitable for large-scale scenarios.

In other cases, communication simulation and emulation is used in a co-simulation for cyber-security analysis and mitigation. The co-simulation framework *mosaik* can be used to synchronize data between the power system simulation and docker-based communication emulations. Such simulations can be used for the analysis of e.g., false data injections [23] or ICT traffic and behavioral anomaly detection [25]. The authors of [10] aim to prevent false telecontrol injection by enhancing the communication simulator riverbed with software-defined networking functionalities for a OPAL-RT-based power system simulation. In order to create a more detailed simulation for wide-area monitoring, the authors of [1] coupled the communication simulator OMNeT++ with the power system solver OpenDSS in an integrated coupling. They address the synchronization challenges with simulators using different scheduling and time representations. The modeled communication network contains 17 hosts directly connected to each other. The Virtual Grid Integration Laboratory (VirGIL) [20] uses Ptolemy II as the master algorithm for synchronization of Modelica, OMNeT++, PowerFactory and other (HiL-based) models as encapsulated Functional Mock-up Unit (FMU)-simulators. Similarly, the project *LarGo* [26] incorporated PowerFactory, OMNeT++, *simona* and Software-in-the-Loop-Applications based on Docker in a simulation with *mosaik* responsible for data exchange. The aforementioned works are based on communication emulation or Hardware-in-the-loops-integration, which are as well not suitable for large-scale scenarios. The co-simulation framework *mosaik* has been used in a time-discrete simulation. As pointed out by the authors of [26], performance improvements are expected when *mosaik* is used in an event-discrete simulation with communication simulators.

## 3 DESCRIPTION COSIMA

The predominant goal of *cosima* is the integration of the communication simulation framework OMNeT++ into *mosaik*-based simulations. Therefore, in the following we will start by describing the co-simulation framework *mosaik* and OMNeT++ to create a basic understanding of the tools and their coupling challenges. We will then describe the general architecture of *cosima*, its key components, and the synchronization between *mosaik* and OMNeT++. At the end of this section, we will provide an overview of the features of *cosima* and how a *cosima* scenario can be configured.

### 3.1 Fundamentals of *mosaik* and OMNeT++

The co-simulation framework *mosaik* allows the combination of several simulators by coordinating the data exchange and time synchronization and focuses on providing high usability and flexibility. Simulators contain models that may represent real-world objects or systems (e.g., Photovoltaic (PV)-plant) and are able to create multiple instances of models (so-called entities). The coupling of simulators (i.e., topology and data-exchange) and the entity parameterization is defined in a *mosaik* scenario file. In order to be coupled to *mosaik*, simulators have to implement the *mosaik* simulator interface, which defines the entity parameterization, the data provided for other

<sup>1</sup><https://gitlab.com/mosaik/examples/cosima>

simulators and the simulation behavior. The simulation behavior is realized by *stepping* the simulator through simulation time by incorporating the output information of other coupled simulators and simulation time information to perform an internal state transition of the simulator. At the end of a step, output data are created and the mosaik internal scheduler, which is responsible for the timely synchronization of simulators, is informed about the time when this simulator should be stepped next. The mosaik scheduler was initially developed for time-discrete simulation where the size of the time between one simulator step to the next step (so-called step-size) was fixed. In version 3.0 of mosaik, it is possible to conduct discrete event simulations, which allows adaptive stepping. The scheduler is informed about the time steps by either the simulator itself, or by the output of other simulators (i.e., when the information should be delivered to the receiving simulator). The scheduler is providing information about the next scheduled event to the discrete event simulator by the *max\_advance*-value, which can be incorporated by the simulator into its simulation behavior.

OMNeT++ is a discrete event-based C++ simulation framework and library for building network simulators. OMNeT++ provides a component architecture for simulation models. Modules can be connected to other modules, connections can have attributes such as delay and data-rate and connected modules may eventually form a network. The data exchange between modules is realized through *messages*, which can be used to represent frames or packets. Similarly to mosaik 3.0 the simulation of OMNeT++ modules are triggered by events, which can either be self-scheduled events or messages (which is a type of event). The OMNeT++ scheduler is responsible for maintaining and executing the events in the Future event set (FES), which defines the order of event execution. The INET framework extends OMNeT++ with models for the internet stack and many other internet-related protocols (e.g., Ethernet, Internet Protocol (IP), User Datagram Protocol (UDP) and Transmission Control Protocol (TCP)) and modules (e.g., routers, switches and hosts). INET also serves as a bases for other frameworks, such as SimuLTE and Simu5G (mobile network), which allows detailed communication network scenarios and simulations.

## 3.2 General architecture

In the following, the general architecture of cosima including its components is introduced. After explaining the main components on the mosaik and OMNeT++-side, an example of the process of sending a message is exemplary displayed.

**3.2.1 Components.** In the description of the components, the core of the components in mosaik is presented first: the *CommunicationSimulator*, which represents the interface from mosaik to OMNeT++. Similarly, in OMNeT++ exists the *MosaikScheduler* for the interface to mosaik, which is described afterwards. Therefore, the TCP connection between mosaik and OMNeT++ is created via the *CommunicationSimulator* and the *MosaikScheduler*. This connection is used to transfer the messages. Subsequently, further simulators and the associated instances in OMNeT++ are explained.

The *CommunicationSimulator* is a mosaik simulator and the interface between mosaik and OMNeT++ for other mosaik simulators.

It creates and holds the TCP connection to OMNeT++, receives messages via mosaik from other mosaik simulators and forwards those to OMNeT++. If messages from OMNeT++ are finished being simulated, the *CommunicationSimulator* receives and forwards those to the receiver simulator of the message in mosaik.

The *MosaikScheduler* is implemented in OMNeT++ and is the interface to mosaik. It is inherited from the *cScheduler* class in OMNeT++ and therefore, contains the standard functions that a scheduler in OMNeT++ contains. In addition to those, it holds the TCP connection to mosaik. Whenever a message should be simulated in OMNeT++, the *MosaikScheduler* receives it from mosaik and inserts it into the FES. This message is later executed as an event by the module corresponding to the sender. When a message has been simulated, the *MosaikScheduler* sends a message back from OMNeT++ to mosaik.

*Simulators in mosaik and Modules in OMNeT++.* Since mosaik allows the combination of many heterogeneous simulators, diverse simulators can also be connected in the scenario in cosima. Each simulator that sends messages to others is represented in OMNeT++ as a corresponding module. In the following example, these are the *AgentSimulators*, because the messages exchanged between agents are simulated within OMNeT++. For each *AgentSimulator*, an end device with an *AgentApp* is modeled in OMNeT++. For this, the end devices incorporate the internet protocols modeled in the INET framework according to the OSI model, which enables the *AgentApp* on the application layer to communicate according to the OSI model. When a message from mosaik arrives in OMNeT++ and is inserted into the FES by the *MosaikScheduler*, the event is executed for the *AgentApp* belonging to the sender of the message. This app is then responsible for sending the message to the module representing the receiver of the message in OMNeT++ over the OMNeT++ network. If a module in OMNeT++ receives a message, it is passed back to mosaik via the *MosaikScheduler*. The exact behavior of the *AgentApp* is explained in the following in detail with an example.

**3.2.2 Interaction Example.** To illustrate the working principle and the interaction of the different components, an example of a message exchange between two agents is explained in the following. This example is illustrated in Figure 1. In this example, one *client0* sends a message to *client1*. The clients are both *AgentSimulators* in mosaik. This message is sent via mosaik from *client0* to the *CommunicationSimulator*, which then forwards it via the TCP-Connection to the *MosaikScheduler*. The *MosaikScheduler* inserts it as an event. After a message from mosaik has been inserted into the FES by the *MosaikScheduler*, the event is executed by the module corresponding to the *AgentSimulator*. The corresponding module for *AgentSimulators* in OMNeT++ are *AgentApps*, in this case the implemented *AgentApp* for *client0*. The app is then responsible for sending the message via the OMNeT++ network to the module that represents the receiving *AgentSimulator*. Therefore, the *AgentApp* for *client0* sends the message to the receiver, which is the *AgentApp* for *client1*. When a module receives a message, it informs the *MosaikScheduler* about the message, then the module gives the message back to mosaik. Thus, as soon as this message arrives, the *MosaikScheduler* is informed, which transmits the message




Fig. 1. Example of a message exchange in cosima

over the TCP-connection back to mosaik, where the CommunicationSimulator finally sends it to the receiver simulator (*client1*). During the simulation of the message, the simulation time in OMNeT++ has advanced. After the message has arrived at the receiving module in OMNeT++, it is transmitted with the current simulation time from the OMNeT++ clock to mosaik. The message arrives according to the communication infrastructure modeled in OMNeT++. This way, message delays could be modeled in the simulation.

### 3.3 Synchronization

OMNeT++ and mosaik both have their individual simulation clocks. A simulation clock is a variable defining the (global) simulation time. Therefore, a synchronization regarding the simulation time of both frameworks is necessary. Since mosaik synchronizes several simulators during co-simulations, the time synchronization is as well coordinated and executed by mosaik. This also includes the start and end time of the simulation. For the synchronization of the OMNeT++ and mosaik clocks, the following aspects are considered: the *max\_advance*-value from mosaik and exchanged messages. In the following, the synchronization process is explained in detail with an example. The process can be seen in Figure 2.

In the beginning with  $t = 1$ , the process starts with an Agent-Simulator sending a message to another one. In this step, the first synchronization point is reached. In detail, *client0* sends a message to *client1*. To do this, it sends it to the CommunicationSimulator first, which then adds the current *max\_advance*-value from mosaik and forwards it to the MosaikScheduler. The *max\_advance*-value informs a mosaik simulator about how far in advance it can step in time with no scheduled event, which could influence the step or input of the current simulator. A mosaik simulator receives this information for every step. OMNeT++ takes this information of *max\_advance* as a synchronization point and connects to mosaik whenever the time of *max\_advance* was reached. The value for *max\_advance* in this example is  $t = 5$ , which means that an event is

scheduled in mosaik for  $t = 5$ . Within OMNeT++, the simulation of the message starts. As soon as the time reaches  $t = 5$ , the time for the synchronization point in OMNeT++ is reached and the MosaikScheduler stops. Within mosaik, the event scheduled for  $t = 5$  is then executed. In this case, this is a step from *client1*, which sends a message to *client0*. This message is sent to the CommunicationSimulator, which adds the new value for *max\_advance* (which is  $t = 14$ ) and forwards it to the MosaikScheduler.

The MosaikScheduler adds the event for *max\_advance* for  $t = 14$  as new synchronization point and then continues to simulate. When the first message receives the module for *client1*, another synchronization point is reached since the simulation of the message in OMNeT++ is completed and it can be send back to mosaik. The MosaikScheduler then synchronizes with mosaik again and the CommunicationSimulator sends the message to its receiver (*client1*). After this, the simulation in OMNeT++ continues and the MosaikScheduler synchronizes again with mosaik when the second message reaches its destination (here this is at  $t = 12$ ) and at  $t = 14$  (because of *max\_advance*).

To sum up, this example shows how the *max\_advance*-value and the message insertion and extraction define relevant synchronization points between mosaik and OMNeT++.

In order to synchronize via *max\_advance*, this value is transferred to OMNeT++ with every message from mosaik. The MosaikScheduler in OMNeT++ inserts an event into the FES for the message it received from mosaik and furthermore adds an additional event for the time of *max\_advance*. Whenever the time of *max\_advance* is reached in OMNeT++, OMNeT++ stops the simulation and synchronizes with mosaik, since an event is expected in mosaik at this time. If the time in OMNeT++ is more advanced than in mosaik, mosaik adapts to the time of the OMNeT++ clock. This ensures that OMNeT++ does not advance too far. Also, no events from mosaik are skipped and consequently, no causality errors are caused.




Fig. 2. Synchronization example between OMNeT++ and mosaik

Whenever a message is sent to OMNeT++ from mosaik, this message contains the current simulation time in mosaik. The CommunicationSimulator checks whether the time in mosaik has not advanced further than the time in OMNeT++ when receiving a message from OMNeT++. In this way, the exchanged messages are used for the synchronization of the frameworks.

### 3.4 Scenarios

Users of cosima can create own scenarios. The following features support this in an easy-to-use way. For this purpose, users can modify the configuration file provided with cosima. In this configuration file parameters like the number of agents, the length of the simulation and the start date can be defined, but also more complex functionalities. By simply setting the parameters in the configuration file, different scenarios can be easily executed. The user does not have to go deep into the implementation for this. The use of the configuration file therefore increases usability. The more complex functionalities of the scenario configuration will be described in the following:

**Infrastructure changes** can be integrated into the mosaik scenario. For this, clients, routers and switches can be disconnected and reconnected dynamically during the simulation. This makes it possible to take into account changes in the communication infrastructure and communications disruptions in the scenarios

**Integration of PV plants** as simulators connected to agents. This can be used, for example, to account for the control of units by agents. A simulated PV plant stores power values for a given time. During the simulation, the PV plant always takes the current power value from this list according to the current simulation time and sends it to the connected agent via mosaik. The associated agent responds with an acknowledgement that is sent back to the plant via mosaik. At

this point, the agent could make adjustments or take control measures for the plant.

**Predefined Communication Networks** are provided by cosima, which can be used for a simulation. The networks contain different communication technologies and topologies. In detail, these are networks with different numbers of hosts in order to be able to map scenarios with different numbers of agents. The connections of the elements are, for example, wired Ethernet connections with different data rates of 10 or 100 Mbps or wireless connections as in LTE networks. Furthermore, the networks differ in different distances between the network elements and fixed delay times. However, these can easily be configured by the user.

These predefined scenario configurations support users in building their own scenarios. Additionally, it is easy to integrate own functionalities into the scenarios. This could e.g. be other agent or plant simulators or also self-developed networks for OMNeT++. In this way, cosima offers extensibility.

### 3.5 Features

Cosima provides different ways to run a simulation. One way is to start the mosaik scenario and the OMNeT++ IDE separately. Another way includes the start from OMNeT++ directly from the mosaik scenario. Alternatively, it is possible to have the OMNeT++ part only running as command-line interface. This allows the user to distinguish whether she wants to view the visualization of the exchanged messages in OMNeT++ or to speed up the simulation by not using the visualization. Furthermore, a lot of information about a simulation is stored, as for instance of the number of executed messages with the corresponding sender, receiver, delay. This also contains information about CPU and RAM usage and the possibility to create illustrations based on the collected information. The stored information information can also be easily supplemented with additional information that might be relevant for customized

scenarios by the user. Thus, the user gets a comprehensive overview about the simulation run.

An overview about the features provided by cosima is displayed in the following. These features can easily be set in the configuration file.

- The possibility to run simulation with integrated development environment (IDE) or without to enable visualization or speed-up of simulation
- The number of agents
- Infrastructure changes (dis- and reconnects of clients, routers and switches)
- PV plants connected to agent(s)
- Several OMNeT++ networks
- An overview of the simulation based on collected information about it (as for example the number of simulation steps) and evaluation graphs

#### 4 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

As initially motivated, event-based scheduling enables the performant combination of communication simulation with power system-based simulation.

To demonstrate this, we will first highlight performance differences between event-based and time-based simulation, and then examine the scalability of the event-based simulation.

All scenarios were conducted on local computer with 15.3 GiB memory and Intel® Core™ i5-10210U CPU @ 1.60 GHz × 8.

##### 4.1 Evaluation of performance

For exemplary illustration, a basic scenario with five agents was simulated. In this way we also show part of the features of cosima as described in subsection 3.5.

The agents are represented by corresponding end devices in OMNeT++ as shown in Figure 3. All agents are connected to one PV system each. The plant simulators send data to the agents every 15 minutes and are therefore time-based.

The modeled network in OMNeT++ (Figure 3) consists of end devices, a core network consisting of routers and switches. The network modeling covers about 1.3 km in width and about 1 km in height. The communication topology is modeled as a ring of 4 routers to which the end devices are connected. The connections are modeled as Ethernet cables. We used the 10 Mbit/sec Ethernet link model Eth10M provided by INET. The transmission delay results from the corresponding modeled length of the cables as shown in Figure 3. Messages are sent via TCP within the OMNeT++ network.

In this scenario, the agent of the end device client0 initially sends one message to each of the other agents. As soon as an agent (i.e., an AgentEntity) receives a message, it sends a response, so that two-way communication is established between client0 and the other end devices. Because the connections are modeled with different lengths and the delay times differ accordingly, the messages are sent with an offset to each other in the process of the simulation. The total simulation duration is 500 milliseconds. The results of the simulation with regard to the messages sent, including their delay and parameters such as the number of simulation steps by the




Fig. 3. Small network set-up in OMNeT++

CommunicationSimulator, are recorded automatically as cosima comes with the possibility to save comprehensive data about the simulation run.




Fig. 4. Evaluation of steps and simulation duration

Figure 4 shows the number of steps and the simulation duration in seconds for both event-based and time-based simulation. It can be seen that the number of simulation steps, as well as the simulation duration, are significantly higher for the time-based simulation. While the number of steps of the CommunicationSimulator was 57 in the event-based scenario, it was stepped 495 steps in the time-based simulation. The increased number of simulation steps thus led to an increase in simulation duration from approximately 60 seconds to 447 seconds.

##### 4.2 Evaluation of scalability

To evaluate scalability, a larger network was modeled in OMNeT++ (shown in Figure 5). The large network modeling covers about 7 km in width and nearly 4.5 km in height. The components of the network are analogous to the previously described smaller network from Figure 3. By connecting several rings of routers, a roughly realistic






Fig. 5. Large network set-up in OMNeT++




Fig. 6. Evaluation of steps and simulation duration

communication infrastructure was modeled. In the large network, the previous scenario was repeated with 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 agents. Figure 6 shows the number of steps and the simulation duration with increasing number of agents.

It can be seen that only when increasing from 5 to 10 and 10 to 20 agents is there a noticeable difference in the number of steps and in the simulation duration. Even though the total number of messages sent in the simulation increases and more end devices have to be simulated, this does not seem to have a significant impact

on the duration of the simulation. The simulation duration seems to depend mainly on the number of simulation steps. However, the number of simulation steps does not necessarily increase with an increasing number of simulated agents, as in this case several events are simulated per step.

A high-performance communication simulation within the agent system is therefore possible even with an increasing number of agents.

## 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In many cases simulation environments are used in order to evaluate new solutions and algorithms for modern energy systems with integrated ICT mechanisms. In this paper we presented the connection of the communication simulator OMNeT++ and the co-simulation framework mosaik in the project cosima, which enables integrated communication network simulation in power grid scenarios in order to investigate interactions between both domains and especially analyze the resilience and robustness of ICT-enabled grid applications. For this, cosima should enable performant and scalable simulations. The architecture, components, synchronization mechanisms, scenarios and features of cosima were presented. By the simple creation of own scenarios with the given features or the facilitated integration of own features, the extensibility for users is given. Furthermore, the implemented event-based simulation was compared with time-based simulation to show the advantages of event-based simulation. Additionally, the scalability and performance of the coupling was shown by simulating scenarios with increasing numbers of agents.

In future work, we plan to extend cosima by integrating more options to manipulate the communication infrastructure from mosaic simulators during the simulation and evaluate the disconnect functionality. We further plan to include e.g., adapting the routing of packets, mimicking DoS attacks by simulating traffic or integrating jammer in wireless scenarios. We also plan to develop an analysis module that integrates and connects information from both mosaic- and OMNeT++-based simulation results and statistics. Finally, plan to make cosima widely and easily accessible by extending the usability with, e.g., tutorials and further example scenarios.

## ABBREVIATIONS

**CPES** cyber-physical energy system  
**CPU** central processing unit  
**FES** Future event set  
**FMU** Functional Mock-up Unit  
**HiL** Hardware-in-the-loop  
**ICT** information and communication technology  
**IDE** integrated development environment  
**IP** Internet Protocol  
**LTE** Long Term Evolution  
**MAS** multi-agent system  
**PMU** Phasor Measurement Unit  
**PV** Photovoltaic  
**RAM** Random Access Memory  
**TCP** Transmission Control Protocol  
**UDP** User Datagram Protocol  
**VirGIL** Virtual Grid Integration Laboratory

## REFERENCES

- [1] Dhananjay Bhor, Kavinkadhirsvelan Angappan, and Krishna M. Sivalingam. 2016. Network and power-grid co-simulation framework for Smart Grid wide-area monitoring networks. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications* 59 (2016), 274–284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2015.06.016>
- [2] Pratyush Das, Anand Narayan, Davood Babazadeh, Payam Teimourzadeh Baboli, and Sebastian Lehnhoff. 2021. Real-time Context-Aware Operation of Digitalized Power Systems by Reporting Rate Control of PMUs. In *2021 IEEE Madrid PowerTech*. 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PowerTech46648.2021.9494954>
- [3] Jens Dede, Koojana Kuladinithi, Anna Förster, Okko Nannen, and Sebastian Lehnhoff. 2015. OMNeT++ and mosaic: Enabling Simulation of Smart Grid Communications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.03067* (2015). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.03067>
- [4] N. Dorsch, F. Kurtz, S. Dalhues, L. Robitzky, U. Häger, and C. Wietfeld. 2016. Intertwined: Software-defined communication networks for multi-agent system-based Smart Grid control. In *2016 IEEE International Conference on Smart Grid Communications (SmartGridComm)*. 254–259. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SmartGridComm.2016.7778770>
- [5] Tan Duy Le, Adnan Anwar, Razvan Beuran, and Seng W. Loke. 2019. Smart Grid Co-Simulation Tools: Review and Cybersecurity Case Study. In *2019 7th International Conference on Smart Grid (icSmartGrid)*. 39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icSmartGrid48354.2019.8990712>
- [6] OFFIS e. V. 2022. Mosaik is a flexible Smart Grid co-simulation framework. Available: <https://mosaik.offis.de/>. Accessed: 2022-05-30 [Online].
- [7] Emilie Frost, Eric MSP Veith, and Lars Fischer. 2020. Robust and Deterministic Scheduling of Power Grid Actors. In *7th International Conference on Control, Decision and Information Technologies (CoDIT)*. IEEE, IEEE, 1–6.
- [8] Batoul Hage Hassan, Anand Narayan, Davood Babazadeh, Marcel Klaes, and Sebastian Lehnhoff. 2021. Performance Assessment of State Estimation in Cyber-Physical Energy Systems. In *2021 IEEE Madrid PowerTech*. 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PowerTech46648.2021.9494760>
- [9] Daniel Hölker, Daniel Brettschneider, Michael Sonnenschein, and Ralf Tonjes. 2016. Communication Requirements of Distributed Energy Management Algorithms in Smart Grids. (2016), 13.
- [10] Anthony Kemmeugne, Amir Abiri Jahromi, Deepa Kundur, and Marthe Kassouf. 2021. Towards Cyber-Resilient Telecontrol Commands Using Software-Defined Networking. In *Proceedings of the 9th Workshop on Modeling and Simulation of Cyber-Physical Energy Systems (Virtual Event) (MSCPES '21)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 7, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3470481.3472707>
- [11] Inga Loeser, Martin Braun, Christian Gruhl, Jan-Hendrik Menke, Bernhard Sick, and Sven Tomforde. 2022. The Vision of Self-Management in Cognitive Organic Power Distribution Systems. *Energies* 15, 3 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15030881>
- [12] OpenSim Ltd. 2022. OMNeT++ Discrete Event Simulator. Available: <https://omnetpp.org/>. Accessed: 2022-05-30.
- [13] Kevin Mets, Juan Aparicio Ojea, and Chris Develder. 2014. Combining Power and Communication Network Simulation for Cost-Effective Smart Grid Analysis. *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials* 16, 3 (2014), 1771–1796. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SURV.2014.021414.00116>
- [14] L. E. Miller, A. Silverstein, D. Anand, A. Goldstein, Y. Makarov, F. Tuffner, and K. Jones. 2017. *PMU Data Quality: A Framework for the Attributes of PMU Data Quality and a Methodology for Examining Data Quality Impacts to Synchrophasor Applications*. Technical Report 3. U.S. Department of Energy. 1–77 pages.
- [15] A. Nieße, M. Tröschel, and M. Sonnenschein. 2014. Designing dependable and sustainable Smart Grids – How to apply Algorithm Engineering to distributed control in power systems. *Environmental Modelling and Software* 56 (2014), 37–51.
- [16] Frauke Oest, Malin Radtke, Marita Blank-Babazadeh, Stefanie Holly, and Sebastian Lehnhoff. 2021. Evaluation of Communication Infrastructures for Distributed Optimization of Virtual Power Plant Schedules. *Energies* 14, 5 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14051226>
- [17] A. Ofenloch, J. S. Schwarz, D. Tolk, T. Brandt, R. Eilers, R. Ramirez, T. Raub, and S. Lehnhoff. 2022. *MOSAİK 3.0: Combining Time-Stepped and Discrete Event Simulation*. IEEE. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9769116>
- [18] P. Palensky, A. Meer, C. Lopez, A. Joseph, and K. Pan. 2017. Applied Cosimulation of Intelligent Power Systems: Implementing Hybrid Simulators for Complex Power Systems. *IEEE Industrial Electronics Magazine* 11 (2017), 6–21. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7956310/>
- [19] P. Palensky, Van Der Meer, Lopez A., Joseph C., A., and K. Pan. 2017. Cosimulation of Intelligent Power Systems: Fundamentals, Software Architecture, Numerics, and Coupling. *IEEE Industrial Electronics Magazine* 11 (2017), 34–50.
- [20] Sergi Rotger-Griful, Spyros Chatzivasileiadis, Rune Hylsberg Jacobsen, Emma M. Stewart, Javier Matanza Domingo, and Michael Wetter. 2016. Hardware-in-the-Loop co-simulation of distribution Grid for demand response. In *2016 Power Systems Computation Conference (PSCC)*. 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PSCC.2016.7540828>
- [21] Sanja Stark, Anna Volkova, Sebastian Lehnhoff, and Hermann de Meer. 2021. Why Your Power System Restoration Does Not Work and What the ICT System Can Do About It. In *Proceedings of the Twelfth ACM International Conference on Future Energy Systems (Virtual Event, Italy) (e-Energy '21)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 269–273. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3447555.3465415>
- [22] Cornelius Steinbrink, Marita Blank-Babazadeh, André El-Ama, Stefanie Holly, Bengt Lüers, Marvin Nebel-Wenner, Rebeca P. Ramirez Acosta, Thomas Raub, Jan Sören Schwarz, Sanja Stark, Astrid Nieße, and Sebastian Lehnhoff. 2019. CPES Testing with mosaic: Co-Simulation Planning, Execution and Analysis. *Applied Sciences* 9, 5 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9050923>
- [23] Dennis van der Velde, Ömer Sen, and Immanuel Hacker. 2021. Towards a Scalable and Flexible Smart Grid Co-Simulation Environment to Investigate Communication Infrastructures for Resilient Distribution Grid Operation. In *2021 International Conference on Smart Energy Systems and Technologies (SEST)*. 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SEST50973.2021.9543387>
- [24] Andras Varga. 2010. *OMNeT++*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 35–59. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12331-3\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12331-3_3)
- [25] Eric MSP Veith, Stephan Balduin, Nils Wenninghoff, Martin Tröschel, Lars Fischer, Astrid Nieße, Thomas Wolgast, Richard Sethmann, Bastian Fraune, and Torben Woltjen. 2020. Analyzing Power Grid, ICT, and Market Without Domain Knowledge Using Distributed Artificial Intelligence. In *CYBER 2020, The Fifth International Conference on Cyber-Technologies and Cyber-Systems*. IARIA, IARIA, 86–93.
- [26] Eric MSP Veith, Jawad Kazmi, and Stephan Balduin. 2020. Large-Scale Co-Simulation of Power Grid and Communication Network Models with Software in the Loop. (May 2020). <http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.11369> arXiv:2005.11369 [cs].
- [27] M. Vogt, F. Marten, and M. Braun. 2018. A survey and statistical analysis of smart grid co-simulations. *Applied Energy* 222 (2018), 67–78.
- [28] Anna Volkova, Sanja Stark, Hermann de Meer, Sebastian Lehnhoff, and Joerg Bremer. 2019. Towards a Blackout-Resilient Smart Grid Architecture. In *International ETG-Congress 2019; ETG Symposium*. 1–6.