

Estimation precision of the acceleration for a two-level atom coupled to fluctuating vacuum electromagnetic fields

Mengge Zheng¹, Baoyuan Yang¹, Zixu Zhao^{1*}

¹*School of Science, Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications, Xi'an 710121, China*

Abstract

In open quantum systems, we study the quantum Fisher information of acceleration for a uniformly accelerated two-level atom coupled to fluctuating electromagnetic fields in the Minkowski vacuum. With the time evolution, for the initial atom state parameter $\theta \neq \pi$, the quantum Fisher information can exist a maximum value and a local minimum value before reaching a stable value. In addition, in a short time, the quantum Fisher information varies with the initial state parameter, and the quantum Fisher information can take a maximum value at $\theta = 0$. The quantum Fisher information may exist two peak values at a certain moment. These features are different from the massless scalar fields case. With the time evolution, F_{max} firstly increases, then decreases, and finally, reaches the same value. However, F_{max} will arrive at a stable maximum value for the case of the massless scalar fields. Although the atom response to the vacuum fluctuation electromagnetic fields is different from the case of massless scalar fields, the quantum Fisher information eventually reaches a stable value.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta, 03.65.Yz

* Corresponding author. zhao_zixu@yeah.net

I. INTRODUCTION

Every quantum system is inevitably subjected to one environment because it at least interacts with vacuum fluctuations. There has been accumulated interest in studying vacuum fluctuations since the Lamb shift [1, 2] and the Casimir (and Casimir-Polder) effects [3, 4] were discovered. The Unruh effect showed that, a uniformly accelerated observer perceives the Minkowski vacuum as a thermal bath of Rindler particles [5–11]. This is the result of the quantum field theory [12]. The modification of vacuum fluctuations is induced by the acceleration of a two-level atom. In Ref. [13], the authors considered the second quantization of the free scalar field in rotating coordinates and calculated the spectrum of vacuum fluctuations for an orbiting observer using these coordinates. They found that the spectrum of vacuum fluctuations is composed of the usual zero-point energy plus a contribution arising from the observer's acceleration. In Ref. [14], the possibility of using accelerated electrons to exhibit the quantum field theoretic relation between acceleration and temperature has been considered. The authors have examined further the connection between the Unruh effect and the radiative excitations of electrons in the storage rings [15]. Audretsch and Müller considered a uniformly accelerated atom coupled to a massless scalar quantum field [16, 17], and their results are consistent with the Unruh effect. The authors in Ref. [18] have studied the generalized Unruh effect and Lamb shift for an atom in a circular motion. Passante has studied the radiative level shifts of an accelerated hydrogen atom and the Unruh effect in quantum electrodynamics, and it was shown that the effect of electromagnetic vacuum fluctuations on atomic level shifts is not totally equivalent to that of a thermal field [19]. The direct detection of the Unruh effect (or Unruh-like effect) is difficult. Therefore, one can study the effect in the frame of the estimation theory.

Parameter metrology is an active topic in quantum information theory since experimental errors and uncertainties are unavoidable. The estimation theory presents the method to obtain the fundamental precision bounds of parameter estimation and find the optimal measurement strategies [20, 21]. Based on the best measuring strategy [21], Bužek, Derka, and Massar studied the optimal quantum clock [22], and the experimental realization of such an optimal quantum clock using trapped ions has been discussed. Giovannetti, Lloyd, and Maccone studied Quantum-Enhanced measurements [23], and pointed out a general framework that encompasses most cases in which quantum effects enable an increase in precision when estimating a parameter [24]. They also studied the effects of noise and experimental imperfections [25]. Quantum Fisher

information (QFI) places a fundamental limit to the accuracy of quantum estimation; therefore, it plays an extremely important role in quantum metrology. Li and Luo proposed an entanglement criterion in terms of quantum Fisher information, and illustrated the significance of this criterion by showing that it can reveal entanglement undetectable by the variance method [26]. There has attracted considerable attention recently [27–35]. In order to compare to the result of the quantum Fisher information for an accelerated two-level atom coupled to a massless scalar field in the Minkowski vacuum [34], we would like to know the case of the vacuum electromagnetic fields. In this work, we will study the QFI of acceleration for a uniformly accelerated two-level atom coupled with fluctuating vacuum electromagnetic fields.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we review the open quantum system and quantum Fisher information. In Sec. III, for a uniformly accelerated two-level atom coupled to electromagnetic fields in the Minkowski vacuum, we analyze the estimation precision of acceleration by calculating the QFI of acceleration in free space. We will summarize our results in the last section.

II. OPEN QUANTUM SYSTEM AND QUANTUM FISHER INFORMATION

We consider a two-level atom coupled to a bath of fluctuating quantum electromagnetic fields in vacuum. The Hamiltonian of the whole system takes the form

$$H = H_A + H_F + H_I. \quad (1)$$

Here $H_A = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_0 \sigma_3$, is the Hamiltonian of the atom, where σ_3 is the Pauli matrix, and ω_0 is the energy-level spacing of the atom. H_F is the Hamiltonian of the electromagnetic field, of which the explicit form is not relevant here. In the multipolar coupling scheme [36], the interaction Hamiltonian H_I takes the form $H_I(\tau) = -e \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}(x(\tau)) = -e \sum_{mn} \mathbf{r}_{mn} \cdot \mathbf{E}(x(\tau)) \sigma_{mn}$, where e is the electron electric charge, $e \mathbf{r}$ the atomic electric dipole moment, and $\mathbf{E}(x)$ the electric field strength.

The initial state of the whole system is characterized by the total density matrix $\rho_{tot} = \rho(0) \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|$, in which $\rho(0)$ is the initial reduced density matrix of the atom, and $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state of the field. In the frame of the atom, the evolution in the proper time τ of the total density matrix ρ_{tot} satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{tot}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \rho_{tot}(\tau)]. \quad (2)$$

We assume that the interaction between the field and the atom is weak. The evolution of the reduced density matrix $\rho(\tau)$ in the limit of weak coupling can be written in the Kossakowski-Lindblad form [37–39]

$$\frac{\partial \rho(\tau)}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H_{\text{eff}}, \rho(\tau)] + \mathcal{L}[\rho(\tau)], \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}[\rho] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a_{ij} [2\sigma_j \rho \sigma_i - \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho - \rho \sigma_i \sigma_j] . \quad (4)$$

The matrix a_{ij} and the effective Hamiltonian H_{eff} are determined by the Fourier and Hilbert transforms of the correlation functions,

$$G^+(x-y) = \frac{e^2}{\hbar^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \langle +|r_i|-\rangle \langle -|r_j|+\rangle \langle 0|E_i(x)E_j(y)|0\rangle , \quad (5)$$

which are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau e^{i\lambda\tau} G^+(x(\tau)) , \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{K}(\lambda) = \frac{P}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\mathcal{G}(\omega)}{\omega - \lambda} . \quad (7)$$

Therefore, the coefficients of the Kossakowski matrix a_{ij} can be expressed as

$$a_{ij} = A\delta_{ij} - iB\epsilon_{ijk}\delta_{k3} - A\delta_{i3}\delta_{j3} , \quad (8)$$

in which

$$A = \frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{G}(\omega_0) + \mathcal{G}(-\omega_0)] , \quad B = \frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{G}(\omega_0) - \mathcal{G}(-\omega_0)] . \quad (9)$$

The effective Hamiltonian H_{eff} contains a correction term, the so-called Lamb shift, and one can show that it is given by replacing ω_0 in H_s with a renormalized energy-level spacing Ω as follows:

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\Omega\sigma_3 = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left\{ \omega_0 + \frac{i}{2}[\mathcal{K}(-\omega_0) - \mathcal{K}(\omega_0)] \right\} \sigma_3 . \quad (10)$$

Making use of the ansatz that the initial state of the atom $|\psi(0)\rangle = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}|+\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}|-\rangle$, we obtain the evolution of Bloch vector

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(\tau) &= \sin\theta \cos(\Omega\tau + \phi)e^{-2A\tau} , \\ \omega_2(\tau) &= \sin\theta \sin(\Omega\tau + \phi)e^{-2A\tau} , \\ \omega_3(\tau) &= \cos\theta e^{-4A\tau} - \frac{B}{A}(1 - e^{-4A\tau}) . \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

It is well known that, in the quantum metrology, the QFI provides a lower bound to the mean-square error in the estimation by Cramér-Rao inequality [20, 21, 40–45]

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{X}) \geq \frac{1}{\text{NF}_{\mathbf{X}}} , \quad (12)$$

with the number of repeated measurements N . Here F_X represents the QFI of parameter X , which can be calculated in terms of the symmetric logarithmic derivative operator by

$$F_X = \text{Tr}(\rho(X)L^2), \quad (13)$$

with the symmetric logarithmic derivative Hermitian operator L which satisfies the equation $\partial_X \rho(X) = [\rho(X)L + L\rho(X)]/2$. For a two-level system, the state can be expressed in the Bloch sphere as $\rho = (I + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})/2$, here $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ is the Bloch vector and $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ denotes the Pauli matrices. Thus, the QFI of parameter X can be written as [27]

$$F_X = \begin{cases} |\partial_X \boldsymbol{\omega}|^2 + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \partial_X \boldsymbol{\omega})^2}{1 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2}, & |\boldsymbol{\omega}| < 1, \\ |\partial_X \boldsymbol{\omega}|^2, & |\boldsymbol{\omega}| = 1. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

III. QUANTUM ESTIMATION OF ACCELERATION

We considered a uniformly accelerated two-level atom coupled to a electromagnetic field in the Minkowski vacuum. The trajectory of the atom can be described as

$$t(\tau) = \frac{c}{a} \sinh \frac{a\tau}{c}, \quad x(\tau) = \frac{c^2}{a} \cosh \frac{a\tau}{c}, \quad y(\tau) = 0, \quad z(\tau) = 0. \quad (15)$$

We need the field correlation function, which can be calculated by using the two-point function of the electric field

$$\langle E_i(x(\tau))E_j(x(\tau')) \rangle = \frac{\hbar c}{4\pi^2 \varepsilon_0} (\partial_0 \partial'_0 \delta_{ij} - \partial_i \partial'_j) \frac{1}{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + (z-z')^2 - (ct - ct' - i\varepsilon)^2}. \quad (16)$$

Using the trajectory (15), one can obtain the field correlation function

$$G^+(x, x') = \frac{e^2 |\langle -|\mathbf{r}|+ \rangle|^2}{16\pi^2 \varepsilon_0 \hbar c^7} \frac{a^4}{\sinh^4[\frac{a}{2c}(\tau - \tau' - i\varepsilon)]}. \quad (17)$$

Therefore, the Fourier transform of the field correlation function is

$$\mathcal{G}(\lambda) = \frac{e^2 |\langle -|\mathbf{r}|+ \rangle|^2 \lambda^3}{6\pi \varepsilon_0 \hbar c^3} (1 + \frac{a^2}{c^2 \lambda^2}) (1 + \coth \frac{\pi c \lambda}{a}). \quad (18)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$A = \frac{\gamma_0}{4} (1 + \frac{a^2}{c^2 \omega_0^2}) \frac{e^{2\pi c \omega_0/a} + 1}{e^{2\pi c \omega_0/a} - 1}, \quad B = \frac{\gamma_0}{4} (1 + \frac{a^2}{c^2 \omega_0^2}), \quad (19)$$

where $\gamma_0 = e^2 |\langle -|\mathbf{r}|+ \rangle|^2 \omega_0^3 / (3\pi \varepsilon_0 \hbar c^3)$ is the spontaneous emission rate. Therefore, we can calculate the QFI. It should be noted that the expression is too long to exhibit here. In the following discussion, we use $\tau \rightarrow \tilde{\tau} \equiv \tau \gamma_0$, $a \rightarrow \tilde{a} \equiv a/(c\omega_0)$. For simplicity, $\tilde{\tau}$ and \tilde{a} will be written as τ and a .




FIG. 1: The QFI of acceleration as a function of the evolution time τ and acceleration a with the initial atom state $\theta = 0$, $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\theta = \pi$.




FIG. 2: The QFI of acceleration as a function of the evolution time τ for different acceleration a with the initial atom state $\theta = 0$, $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\theta = \pi$. We take $a = 0.5$ (red dotted line), $a = 1.0$ (black dashed line), $a = 1.5$ (blue dot-dashed line) and $a = 2.5$ (green solid line).

In Fig. 1, we describe the QFI of acceleration as a function of the evolution time τ and the acceleration a with $\theta = 0$, $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\theta = \pi$. The behavior that the initial atom state is in the ground state ($\theta = \pi$) is different from the case of other initial states. We can also observe the feature from Fig. 2, where we depict the QFI of acceleration as a function of τ for different a with $\theta = 0$, $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\theta = \pi$. For $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi/2$, we find that the QFI can exist a maximum value and a local minimum value before reaching a stable value. For a smaller a , the QFI firstly increases, then decreases, and finally, goes to zero. This is different from the result in the massless scalar fields case [34]. There is an optimal detection time at which the highest precision for estimating the acceleration can be achieved. For different values of a , the peak values in general are different. When the initial atom state is in the ground state ($\theta = \pi$), the situation is quite different. For a larger a , the QFI increases at the beginning, then decreases, and finally keeps it steady for a long enough time. For a smaller a , the QFI increase firstly, and finally reach a stable value. The result is similar to the massless scalar fields case.

In Fig. 3, we plot the QFI of acceleration as a function of the acceleration a and the initial state parameter θ . We find that, in a short time, the QFI varies with the initial state parameter, and the QFI can take maximum value when the initial atom state is in the excited state ($\theta = 0$). The atom initial states response to the vacuum fluctuation electromagnetic fields is different from the case of massless scalar fields [34]. The




FIG. 3: The QFI of acceleration as a function of a and θ with $\tau = 1$, $\tau = 4$ and $\tau = 9$.




FIG. 4: The QFI of acceleration as a function of θ for different τ with $a = 0.1$, $a = 1$ and $a = 3$. We take $\tau = 0.5$ (red dotted line), $\tau = 1$ (black dashed line), $\tau = 4$ (blue dot-dashed line) and $\tau = 9$ (green solid line).

maximum sensitivity in the estimation for the acceleration can be obtained by the initial preparation of the atom in the excited state. The QFI goes to the stable value beyond a certain time. However, the stable value in general is not the maximum value. We can also observe the feature from Fig. 4. In Fig. 4, we depict the QFI of acceleration as a function of the initial atom state θ for different τ with $a = 0.1$, $a = 1$ and $a = 3$. One can obtain a high estimation precision for the acceleration by selecting the excited state at a very short time.




FIG. 5: The QFI of acceleration as a function of a for different τ with $\theta = 0$, $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\theta = \pi$.

In Fig. 5, we plot the QFI of acceleration as a function of a for different τ with $\theta = 0$, $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\theta = \pi$. For $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi/2$, we observe that the QFI may exist two peak values at a certain moment. For the case of two peak values, the higher peak value first appears in a smaller a , and then appears in a larger a . With the evolution of time, the first peak decreases and disappears, and the second peak reaches a stable value. However, there only exists one peak value for $\theta = \pi$. The peak is shifted to the left with the time evolution and finally tends to be stable.




FIG. 6: F_{max} as a function of τ with different θ . We take $\theta = 0$ (red dotted line), $\theta = \pi/2$ (black dashed line) and $\theta = \pi$ (green solid line).

From the above discussion, we observe that the situation of the electromagnetic fields is quite different from the massless scalar fields. Now, we describe F_{max} as a function of τ for the electromagnetic fields in the left panel of Fig. 6. F_{max} denotes the maximum value of F_a in Fig. 5. With the increase of τ , F_{max} firstly increases, then decreases, and finally, reaches the same value. In order to obtain a larger QFI, we need to select a small τ . We also depict F_{max} as a function of τ for the case of the massless scalar fields in the right panel of Fig. 6. We observe that F_{max} will arrive at a stable maximum value. Different from the electromagnetic fields, the maximum value can be obtained for a longer time. The QFI is independent of the initial state of the atom for a long time. The feature is similar for the massless scalar fields case and electromagnetic fields.

IV. CONCLUSION

In the open quantum systems, we have studied the QFI of acceleration for a uniformly accelerated two-level atom coupled to fluctuating vacuum electromagnetic fields. For the atom initial state parameter $\theta \neq \pi$, i.e., preparing the atom not in the ground state, we found that the QFI may exist a maximal value and a local minimum value before reaching a stable value, which is different from the result in the massless scalar fields case. For a larger a with $\theta = \pi$, the QFI firstly increases, then decreases, and finally, keeps it steady for a long enough time with the time evolution. For a smaller a , the QFI firstly increase, and finally reach a stable value. The behavior that the initial atom state is in the ground state is different from the case of other initial states. In a short time, we found that the QFI varies with the initial state parameter, and the QFI can take a maximum value at $\theta = 0$. The QFI will reach stable value beyond a certain time. However, the stable value is not the maximum. From the pictures of $F_a - a$, we found that the QFI may exist two peak values at a certain moment. The higher peak value appears in a smaller a at the beginning, and then appears in a larger a . With the time evolution, the first peak decreases and disappears, and the second peak reaches a stable value. However, there exists no more than one peak value for $\theta = \pi$. The peak is shifted to the

left with the time evolution and finally tends to be stable. The atom initial states response to the vacuum fluctuation electromagnetic fields is different from the case of massless scalar fields. The QFI is independent of the initial state of the atom for a long time, which is similar to the massless scalar fields case. For the fluctuating vacuum electromagnetic fields, with the time evolution, F_{max} firstly increases, then decreases, and finally, reaches the same value. However, we observed that F_{max} will arrive at a stable maximum value for the case of the massless scalar fields. There exists a great difference between the electromagnetic fields case and the massless scalar fields case in a short time, but the quantum Fisher information eventually reaches a stable value.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11705144.

-
- [1] W. E. Lamb, Jr. and R. C. Retherford, Fine structure of the hydrogen atom by a microwave method, *Phys. Rev.* **72**, 241 (1947).
 - [2] H. A. Bethe, The electromagnetic shift of energy levels, *Phys. Rev.* **72**, 339 (1947).
 - [3] H. B. G. Casimir, On the attraction between two perfectly conducting plates, *Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet.* **51**, 793 (1948).
 - [4] H. B. G. Casimir and D. Polder, The influence of retardation on the London-van der waals forces, *Phys. Rev.* **73**, 360 (1948).
 - [5] W. Rindler, Kruskal space and the uniformly accelerated frame, *Am. J. Phys.* **34**, 1174 (1966).
 - [6] S. A. Fulling, Nonuniqueness of canonical field quantization in Riemannian space-time, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2850 (1973).
 - [7] S. W. Hawking, Black hole explosions, *Nature (London)* **248**, 30 (1974).
 - [8] S. W. Hawking, Particle creation by black holes, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199 (1975).
 - [9] P. C. W. Davies, Scalar production in Schwarzschild and Rindler metrics, *J. Phys. A* **8**, 609 (1975).
 - [10] B. S. DeWitt, Quantum field theory in curved spacetime, *Phys. Rep.* **19**, 295 (1975).
 - [11] W. G. Unruh, Notes on black-hole evaporation, *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 870 (1976).
 - [12] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge university press, Cambridge, 1982).
 - [13] J. R. Letaw and J. D. Pfautsch, Quantized scalar field in rotating coordinates, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 1345 (1980).
 - [14] J. S. Bell and J. M. Leinaas, Electron as accelerated thermometer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B212**, 131 (1983).
 - [15] J. S. Bell and J. M. Leinaas, The Unruh effect and quantum fluctuations of electrons in storage rings, *Nucl. Phys.* **B284**, 488 (1987).
 - [16] J. Audretsch and R. Müller, Spontaneous excitation of an accelerated atom: The contributions of vacuum fluctuations and radiation reaction, *Phys. Rev. A* **50**, 1755 (1994).
 - [17] J. Audretsch and R. Müller, Radiative energy shifts of an accelerated two-level system, *Phys. Rev. A* **52**, 629 (1995).
 - [18] J. Audretsch, R. Müller, and M. Holzmann, Generalized Unruh effect and Lamb shift for atoms on arbitrary stationary trajectories, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12**, 2927 (1995).
 - [19] R. Passante, Radiative level shifts of an accelerated hydrogen atom and the Unruh effect in quantum electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. A* **57**, 1590 (1998).
 - [20] C. W. Helstrom, *Quantum Detection and Estimation Theory* (Academic, New York, 1976).
 - [21] A. S. Holevo, *Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).
 - [22] V. Bužek, R. Derka, and S. Massar, Optimal quantum clocks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 2207 (1999).
 - [23] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Quantum-enhanced measurements: Beating the standard quantum limit, *Science* **306**, 1330 (2004).
 - [24] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Quantum metrology, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 010401 (2006).
 - [25] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Advances in quantum metrology, *Nat. Photonics.* **5**, 222 (2011).
 - [26] N. Li and S. Luo, Entanglement detection via quantum Fisher information, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 014301 (2013).

- [27] W. Zhong, Z. Sun, J. Ma, X. Wang, and F. Nori, Fisher information under decoherence in Bloch representation, *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 022337 (2013).
- [28] Y. Yao, X. Xiao, L. Ge, X. G. Wang, and C. P. Sun, Quantum Fisher information in noninertial frames, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 042336 (2014).
- [29] J. Wang, Z. Tian, J. Jing, and H. Fan, Quantum metrology and estimation of Unruh effect, *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 7195 (2014).
- [30] Y. Jin and H. Yu, Electromagnetic shielding in quantum metrology, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 022120 (2015).
- [31] Z. Tian, J. Wang, H. Fan, and J. Jing, Relativistic quantum metrology in open system dynamics, *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 7946 (2015).
- [32] M. A. Rajabpour, Multipartite entanglement and quantum Fisher information in conformal field theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 126007 (2017).
- [33] M. Gessner and A. Smerzi, Statistical speed of quantum states: Generalized quantum Fisher information and Schatten speed, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 022109 (2018).
- [34] Z. Zhao, Q. Pan, and J. Jing, Quantum estimation of acceleration and temperature in open quantum system, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 056014 (2020).
- [35] Z. Zhao, S. Zhang, Q. Pan, and J. Jing, Estimation precision of parameter associated with Unruh-like effect, *Nucl. Phys.* **B967**, 115408 (2021).
- [36] G. Compagno, R. Passante, and F. Persico, *Atom-Field Interactions and Dressed Atoms* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [37] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, Completely positive dynamical semigroups of N-level systems, *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 821 (1976).
- [38] G. Lindblad, On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **48**, 119 (1976).
- [39] F. Benatti, R. Floreanini, and M. Piani, Environment induced entanglement in Markovian dissipative dynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 070402 (2003).
- [40] R. A. Fisher, Theory of statistical estimation, *Proc. Camb. Soc.* **22**, 700 (1925).
- [41] H. Cramér, *Mathematical Methods of Statistics* (Princeton University, Princeton, N J, 1946).
- [42] D. Bures, An extension of Kakutani's theorem on infinite product measures to the tensor product of semifinite w^* -algebras, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **135**, 199 (1969).
- [43] A. Uhlmann, The “transition probability” in the state space of a w^* -algebra, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **9**, 273 (1976).
- [44] M. Hübner, Explicit computation of the Bures distance for density matrices, *Phys. Lett. A* **163**, 239 (1992); Computation of Uhlmann's parallel transport for density matrices and the Bures metric on three-dimensional Hilbert space, *Phys. Lett. A* **179**, 226 (1993).
- [45] S. L. Braunstein and C. M. Caves, Statistical distance and the geometry of quantum states, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 3439 (1994).