

One-loop on-shell and off-shell decay $H^* \rightarrow VV$ at future e^-e^- collider

Anh Thu Nguyen^(a,b), Dzung Tri Tran^(a,b) and Khiem Hong Phan^(c,d)

^{a)}University of Science, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Vietnam

^{b)}Vietnam National University, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Vietnam

^{c)}Institute of Fundamental and Applied Sciences, Duy Tan University, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Vietnam

^{d)}Faculty of Natural Sciences, Duy Tan University, Da Nang City 550000, Vietnam

E-mail: phanhongkiem@duytan.edu.vn, nathu@hcmus.edu.vn

Abstract. One-loop on-shell and off-shell decays $H \rightarrow VV$ with $VV = \gamma\gamma, Z\gamma, ZZ$ are presented in this paper. The effects of one-loop on-shell and off-shell Higgs decays in Higgs productions at e^-e^- collisions are also then examined. We find that the impacts of one-loop Higgs decays are significant and they are must be taken into account at e^-e^- collision.

1. Introduction

After discovering Standard Model-like Higgs boson [1, 2], the precise measurements for Higgs productions and Higgs decay channels are one of main targets at the High Luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC) and future lepton colliders (LC). Most of the on-shell Higgs decay processes are probed at the LHC. The data are in agreement with Standard Model (SM) predictions. In order to study the Higgs sector at different energy scales, off-shell Higgs decay channels are considerable interests at future colliders. Recently, off-shell Higgs decay $H^* \rightarrow Z^*Z^* \rightarrow 4$ leptons have been measured at the LHC in [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. We also can find many interesting points from the phenomenological studies for off-shell Higgs decays in [9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. In all mentioned references, the authors have not only provided the framework for testing the Higgs scalar sector at different energy scales but also discussed the possibility to explore new physics beyond the SM (BSM).

From theoretical computations, the evaluations for one-loop and two-loop QCD corrections to the off-shell Higgs decay $H^* \rightarrow ZZ$ in both signals and backgrounds at the LHC have been found in Ref. [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. While one-loop electroweak corrections to Higgs boson decay into $\gamma\gamma, Z\gamma, ZZ$ have been calculated in Refs. [31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41]. In this paper, we present one-loop on-shell and off-shell decays $H \rightarrow VV$ with $VV = \gamma\gamma, Z\gamma, ZZ$. The effects of one-loop on-shell and off-shell Higgs decays in Higgs productions at e^-e^- future lepton colliders are then examined. We find that the impacts of one-loop Higgs decays are significant and they are must be taken into account at e^-e^- collision.

The layout of the paper is as follows: in section 2, we discuss the calculation for one-loop Higgs boson decay into VV . We then study the impacts of one-loop Higgs decays to VV in Higgs productions at e^-e^- collision. All signal productions $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-H^* \rightarrow e^-e^-(VV)$ are examined. Conclusions and outlooks are shown in section 3.

2. Calculations

In this section, the calculations for one-loop decay channels $H^* \rightarrow ZZ$ within the Standard Model framework are discussed. The computations are performed in 't Hooft-Veltman (or $R_{\xi=1}$) gauge. The decay process $H^* \rightarrow ZZ$ consists of three group Feynman diagrams. In the first group, we have all fermions exchanging in the loop. With W -bosons and charged goldstone bosons and ghost particles exchanging in one-loop diagrams, we have group 2. In the group 3, one has all Z - or H -boson and neutral goldstone bosons propagating in the loop. For cancelling ultraviolet divergent (UV-divergent), we consider the counter-term diagram for vertex HZZ (as plotted in group 0). All Feynman one-loop diagrams are plotted in the appendix. One-loop amplitudes for $H^* \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, Z\gamma$ can be derived directly from the results of $H^* \rightarrow ZZ$.

The general one-loop amplitude for one-shell and off-shell Higgs decays into two on-shell vector bosons $H^*(p_H) \rightarrow V_\mu(q_1)V_\nu(q_2)$ can be expressed in terms of Lorentz structure as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_{H^* \rightarrow VV} = \left[F_{00}^{VV} g^{\mu\nu} + F_{21}^{VV} q_2^\mu q_1^\nu \right] \varepsilon_\mu^*(q_1) \varepsilon_\nu^*(q_2). \quad (1)$$

Where $\varepsilon_\mu^*(q_1)$, $\varepsilon_\nu^*(q_2)$ are polarization vectors of two external vector bosons. The scalar functions $F_{00}^{VV} = F_{00}^{VV}(M_{VV}^2, M_{V_1}^2, M_{V_2}^2)$ and $F_{21}^{VV} = F_{21}^{VV}(M_{VV}^2, M_{V_1}^2, M_{V_2}^2)$ are so-called one-loop form factors. They are functions of $p_H^2 = M_{VV}^2, M_{V_1}^2, M_{V_2}^2$. In the current work, we only focus on the case of two real external vector bosons in final state. Therefore, we have only the form factors F_{00}^{VV} and F_{21}^{VV} contributing to the decay rates. All related kinematic variables are given by:

$$p_H^2 = (q_1 + q_2)^2 = M_{VV}^2, \quad q_1^2 = M_{V_1}^2, \quad q_2^2 = M_{V_2}^2, \quad 2(q_1 \cdot q_2) = M_{VV}^2 - M_{V_1}^2 - M_{V_2}^2. \quad (2)$$

All Feynman amplitudes for $H^* \rightarrow ZZ$ are first written down. One then performs all Dirac traces and Lorentz contractions in d dimensions with the help of **Package-X** [44]. Subsequently, the amplitudes are then expressed in terms of tensor one-loop integrals. Following tensor reduction in [45], all tensor one-loop integrals are then decomposed into scalar one-loop Passarino-Veltman (PV-functions) functions. The PV-functions can be computed numerically by using **LoopTools** [46]. As a result, the decay rates can be evaluated numerically. Analytic expressions for all one-loop form factors of Higgs decay to $\gamma\gamma, Z\gamma, ZZ$ channels can be found in [32, 34, 35].

General one-loop decay rate formulas for Higgs decays into two on-shell vector bosons $V_\mu(q_1)V_\nu(q_2)$ are derived as follows:

$$\Gamma_{H^* \rightarrow VV} = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2M_{VV}} \int d\Phi_2(M_{VV}^2, M_{V_1}^2, M_{V_2}^2) \times \sum_{\text{pol}} |\mathcal{A}_{H^* \rightarrow VV}|^2. \quad (3)$$

Where the phase space of $1 \rightarrow 2$ is given by

$$\int d\Phi_2(M_{VV}^2, M_{V_1}^2, M_{V_2}^2) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(M_{VV}^2, M_{V_1}^2, M_{V_2}^2)}}{128\pi^5 M_{VV}^2}. \quad (4)$$

Here we use Källén function which is defined by $\lambda(a, b, c) = (a - b - c)^2 - 4bc$.

In order to calculate the squared amplitude for $H^* \rightarrow VV$, we first parameterize the sum of polarization vectors for two final bosons in general form as follows:

$$\sum_{\text{pol}} \varepsilon_\alpha(q_i) \varepsilon_\beta^*(q_i) = -g_{\alpha\beta} + \delta_{V_i} \frac{q_{i,\alpha} q_{i,\beta}}{M_{V_i}^2}. \quad (5)$$

Here we take $\delta_{V_i} = 0, 1$ for photon γ and Z -boson, respectively. Thus, the squared amplitude is casted into form of

$$\sum_{\text{pol}} |\mathcal{A}_{H^* \rightarrow VV}|^2 = \frac{1}{16M_{V_1}^2 M_{V_2}^2} \left\{ C_{00} \left| F_{00}^{VV}(M_{VV}^2, M_{V_1}^2, M_{V_2}^2) \right|^2 + C_{21} \left| F_{21}^{VV}(M_{VV}^2, M_{V_1}^2, M_{V_2}^2) \right|^2 + C_{0021} \text{Re} \left[F_{00}^{VV}(M_{VV}^2, M_{V_1}^2, M_{V_2}^2) F_{21}^{VV,*}(M_{VV}^2, M_{V_1}^2, M_{V_2}^2) \right] \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Where all related coefficients are listed as follows:

$$C_{00} = 4\delta_{V_1} \left[\delta_{V_2} (M_{V_1}^2 + M_{V_2}^2 - M_{VV}^2)^2 - 4M_{V_1}^2 M_{V_2}^2 \right] - 16M_{V_1}^2 M_{V_2}^2 (\delta_{V_2} - 4), \quad (7)$$

$$C_{21} = \delta_{V_1} (M_{V_1}^2 + M_{V_2}^2 - M_{VV}^2)^2 \left[\delta_{V_2} (M_{V_1}^2 + M_{V_2}^2 - M_{VV}^2)^2 - 4M_{V_1}^2 M_{V_2}^2 \right] - 4M_{V_1}^2 M_{V_2}^2 \left[\delta_{V_2} (M_{V_1}^2 + M_{V_2}^2 - M_{VV}^2)^2 - 4M_{V_1}^2 M_{V_2}^2 \right], \quad (8)$$

$$C_{0021} = 16M_{V_1}^2 M_{V_2}^2 (M_{V_1}^2 + M_{V_2}^2 - M_{VV}^2) (\delta_{V_2} - 1) - 4\delta_{V_1} (M_{V_1}^2 + M_{V_2}^2 - M_{VV}^2) \left[\delta_{V_2} (M_{V_1}^2 + M_{V_2}^2 - M_{VV}^2)^2 - 4M_{V_1}^2 M_{V_2}^2 \right]. \quad (9)$$

As a result, one-loop decay rates for Higgs decays into on-shell $\gamma\gamma, Z\gamma, ZZ$ are expressed explicitly as follows:

$$\Gamma_{H^* \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(M_{\gamma\gamma}^2, 0, 0)}}{(16\pi)M_{\gamma\gamma}^3} \left\{ 4 \left| F_{00}^{\gamma\gamma}(M_{\gamma\gamma}^2, 0, 0) \right|^2 - M_{\gamma\gamma}^4 \left| F_{21}^{\gamma\gamma}(M_{\gamma\gamma}^2, 0, 0) \right|^2 \right\}, \quad (10)$$

$$\Gamma_{H^* \rightarrow Z\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(M_{Z\gamma}^2, M_Z^2, 0)}}{(64\pi)M_{Z\gamma}^3} \left\{ 12 \left| F_{00}^{Z\gamma}(M_{Z\gamma}^2, M_Z^2, 0) \right|^2 - (M_Z^2 - M_{Z\gamma}^2)^2 \left| F_{21}^{Z\gamma}(M_{Z\gamma}^2, M_Z^2, 0) \right|^2 \right\}, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{H^* \rightarrow ZZ} &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(M_{ZZ}^2, M_Z^2, M_Z^2)}}{(256\pi)M_{ZZ}^4 M_Z^3} \times \\ &\times \left\{ (48M_Z^4 - 16M_Z^2 M_{ZZ}^2 + 4M_{ZZ}^4) \left| F_{00}^{ZZ}(M_{ZZ}^2, M_Z^2, M_Z^2) \right|^2 \right. \\ &+ (16M_Z^4 M_{ZZ}^4 - 8M_Z^2 M_{ZZ}^6 + M_{ZZ}^8) \left| F_{21}^{ZZ}(M_{ZZ}^2, M_Z^2, M_Z^2) \right|^2 \\ &+ (32M_Z^4 M_{ZZ}^2 - 24M_Z^2 M_{ZZ}^4 + 4M_{ZZ}^6) \times \\ &\left. \times \text{Re} \left[F_{00}^{ZZ}(M_{ZZ}^2, M_Z^2, M_Z^2) \cdot F_{21}^{ZZ,*}(M_{ZZ}^2, M_Z^2, M_Z^2) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In phenomenological results, we study the impacts of on-shell and off-shell Higgs decay rates $H^* \rightarrow VV$ in Higgs productions at e^-e^- collision. The cross sections for the processes

$e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-H^* \rightarrow e^-e^-(VV)$ are computed. Differential cross sections with respect to M_{VV} are given [35]:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-(VV)}(\sqrt{s}, M_{VV})}{dM_{VV}} = \frac{(2M_{VV}) \sigma^{e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-H^*}(\sqrt{s}, M_{VV})}{[(M_{VV}^2 - M_H^2)^2 + (\Gamma_H M_H)^2]} \frac{M_{VV} \Gamma_{H^* \rightarrow VV}(M_{VV})}{\pi}. \quad (13)$$

Where analytic formulas for cross sections of $\sigma^{e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-H^*}$ have reported in Ref. [47]. In this paper, differential cross sections with respect to M_{VV} for all the processes $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-\gamma\gamma, Z\gamma, ZZ$ are plotted at center-of-mass energies (CMS energies) $\sqrt{s} = 350, 500$ GeV.

In Fig. 1, we plot the differential cross section for processes $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-\gamma\gamma$ with respect to $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ at CMS energies $\sqrt{s} = 350$ GeV (left panel) and at 500 GeV (right panel). We find a peak of on-shell $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ at $M_{\gamma\gamma} \sim 125$ GeV. In Fig. 2, the differential cross sections for




Figure 1. Differential cross sections with respect to $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ for process $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-H^* \rightarrow e^-e^-(\gamma\gamma)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 350$ GeV (left panel) and 500 GeV (right panel).

the processes $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-Z\gamma$ with respect to $M_{Z\gamma}$ at CMS energies $\sqrt{s} = 350$ GeV (left panel) and at 500 GeV (right panel) are shown. We also find a peak of on-shell $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ at $M_{Z\gamma} \sim 125$ GeV. In Fig. 3, differential cross sections with respect to M_{ZZ} for process $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-H^* \rightarrow e^-e^-(ZZ)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 350$ GeV (left panel), 500 GeV (right panel). In these Figures, the tree-level cross sections are plotted with solid line, and the cross sections with full one-loop electroweak corrections to $H^* \rightarrow ZZ$ are shown with dashed line. We find that the one-loop off-shell effects are visible and they must be taken into account at future colliders.

3. Conclusions

We have presented one-loop on-shell and off-shell decays $H \rightarrow VV$ with $VV = \gamma\gamma, Z\gamma, ZZ$ in this paper. The effects of one-loop on-shell and off-shell Higgs decays in Higgs productions at e^-e^- collision have then studied. We find that the impacts of one-loop Higgs decays $H \rightarrow VV$




Figure 2. Differential cross sections with respect to $M_{Z\gamma}$ for process $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-H^* \rightarrow e^-e^-(Z\gamma)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 350$ GeV (left panel) and 500 GeV (right panel).




Figure 3. Differential cross sections with respect to M_{ZZ} for process $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-H^* \rightarrow e^-e^-(ZZ)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 350$ GeV (left panel), 500 GeV (right panel).

are significant and they are must be taken into account at e^-e^- collision.

Acknowledgment: This research is funded by Vietnam National University, Ho Chi Minh City (VNU-HCM) under grant number C2022-18-14.

Appendix: Feynman diagrams




Figure 4. one-loop Feynman diagrams with exchanging f in the loop (Group 1).

References

- [1] Aad G *et al.* [ATLAS] 2012 Phys. Lett. B **716** 1-29
- [2] Chatrchyan S *et al.* [CMS] 2012 Phys. Lett. B **716** 30-61
- [3] Khachatryan V *et al.* [CMS] 2014 Phys. Lett. B **736** 64-85
- [4] Aad G *et al.* [ATLAS] 2015 Eur. Phys. J. C **75** no.7, 335
- [5] Aad G *et al.* [ATLAS] 2016 Eur. Phys. J. C **76** no.1, 45
- [6] Aaboud M *et al.* [ATLAS] 2018 Phys. Lett. B **786** 223-244
- [7] Sirunyan A *et al.* [CMS] 2019 Phys. Rev. D **99** no.11, 112003
- [8] [CMS], CMS-PAS-HIG-21-013.
- [9] Lee S, Park M and Qian Z 2019 Phys. Rev. D **100** no.1, 011702
- [10] Gonçalves D, Han T, Ching Iris Leung S and Qin H 2021 Phys. Lett. B **817** 136329
- [11] Goncalves D, Han T and Mukhopadhyay S 2018 Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** no.11, 111801
- [12] Haisch U and Koole G 2022 JHEP **02** 030
- [13] Godbole R, Miller D and Muhlleitner M 2007 JHEP **12** 031
- [14] Azatov A *et al.* 2022 LHCHWG
- [15] Cacciapaglia G *et al.* 2014 Phys. Rev. Lett. **113** no.20, 201802
- [16] Logan H 2015 Phys. Rev. D **92** no.7, 075038
- [17] Englert C, Soreq Y and Spannowsky M 2015 JHEP **05** 145
- [18] Chen Y, Harnik R and Vega-Morales R 2015 JHEP **09** 185
- [19] Gonçalves D, Han T and Mukhopadhyay S 2018 Phys. Rev. D **98** no.1, 015023
- [20] Dwivedi S, Ghosh D, Mukhopadhyaya B and Shivaji A 2016 Phys. Rev. D **93** 115039
- [21] Caola F, Henn J, Melnikov K, Smirnov A and Smirnov V 2015 JHEP **06** 129
- [22] Caola F, Melnikov K, Röntsch R and Tancredi L 2015 Phys. Rev. D **92** no.9, 094028
- [23] Campbell J, Ellis R, Czakon M and Kirchner S 2016 JHEP **08** 011
- [24] Caola F, Dowling M, Melnikov K, Röntsch R and Tancredi L 2016 JHEP **07** 087
- [25] Gröber R, Maier A and Rauh T 2019 Phys. Rev. D **100** no.11, 114013
- [26] Davies J, Mishima G, Steinhauser M and Wellmann D 2020 JHEP **04** 024
- [27] Alioli S, Ferrario Ravasio S, Lindert J and Röntsch R 2021 Eur. Phys. J. C **81** no.8, 687
- [28] Grazzini M, Kallweit S, Wieseemann M and Yook J 2021 Phys. Lett. B **819** 136465
- [29] Buonocore L *et al.* 2022 JHEP **01** 072
- [30] Haisch U and Koole G 2022 JHEP **04** 166
- [31] Marciano W, Zhang C and Willenbrock S 2012 Phys. Rev. D **85** 013002
- [32] Phan K and Tran D 2020 PTEP **2020** no.5, 053B08
- [33] Hue L, Arbuzov A, Hong T, Nguyen T, Si D and Long H 2018 Eur. Phys. J. C **78** no.11, 885
- [34] Phan K, Hue L and Tran D 2021 PTEP **2021** no.9, 093B05
- [35] Phan K and Tran D, [arXiv:2209.12410 [hep-ph]]
- [36] Kniehl B 1991 Nucl. Phys. B **352** 1-26
- [37] Pierce D and Papadopoulos A 1993 Phys. Rev. D **47** 222-231
- [38] Hollik W and Zhang J 2011 Phys. Rev. D **84** 055022
- [39] Boselli S, Carloni Calame C, Montagna G, Nicrosini O and Piccinini F 2015 JHEP **06** 023
- [40] Bredenstein A, Denner A, Dittmaier S and Weber M 2006 Phys. Rev. D **74** 013004

- [41] Bredenstein A, Denner A, Dittmaier S and Weber M 2007 JHEP **02** 080
- [42] Liebler S, Moortgat-Pick G and Weiglein G 2015 JHEP **06** 093
- [43] Yan B 2021 Phys. Lett. B **822** 136709
- [44] Patel H 2015 Comput. Phys. Commun. **197** 276-290
- [45] Denner A and Dittmaier S 2006 Nucl. Phys. B **734** 62-115
- [46] Hahn T and Perez-Victoria M 1999 Comput. Phys. Commun. **118** 153-165
- [47] Hikasa K 1985 Phys. Lett. B **164** 385




Figure 5. one-loop Feynman diagrams with exchanging W, χ and ghost particles in the loop (Group 2).




Figure 6. one-loop Feynman diagrams with exchanging Z, χ_3 and H in the loop (Group 3).




Figure 7. Group 0: counter-term Feynman diagram.

