

# Charge $4e$ superconductor: a wavefunction approach

Pengfei Li,<sup>1,2</sup> Kun Jiang,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Jiangping Hu<sup>1,3,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics and Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

<sup>3</sup>Kavli Institute of Theoretical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China

(Dated: September 29, 2022)

We apply a wavefunction approach to study the charge  $4e$  superconductor. The wavefunction takes a similar structure to the BCS wavefunction for charge  $2e$  superconductors. The mean-field Hamiltonian and the Green's functions of charge  $4e$  are constructed. The physical properties including the density of states, gauge invariance, flux quantization and Josephson effects are systematically studied. All these results form a microscopic description of the charge  $4e$  SC and can be served as the hallmarks for experimental verifications.

The BCS theory of superconductivity is one of milestones in condensed matter physics, which successfully unveils the nature of this macroscopic quantum phenomenon at a microscopic level [1–5]. Now, we know the essential ingredients for any superconductors (SCs) are the two-electron Cooper pairs and their phase coherence [2], where electrons bind together two by two and condense to form a coherent quantum state, as illustrated in Fig.1(a). And this Cooper pairs principle is also not limited to the electron-phonon coupling driven BCS superconductors. For example, the high-temperature cuprate SCs and iron-based SCs are still consisted of two-electron Cooper pairs, although their underline mechanisms are far beyond BCS theory [6, 7]. On the other hand, condensing two-electron Cooper pairs is not the only way to achieve superconductivity. Theoretically, it was proposed that the four-electron Cooper pairs can also condensate to form an SC, namely the charge  $4e$  superconductor, as illustrated in Fig.1(b) [8–13].

Experimentally, how to realize or stabilize this charge  $4e$  superconducting state is a challenging problem. Berg, Fradkin, and Kivelson proposed that thermal melting of pair-density-wave (PDW) order in certain cuprate SCs could lead to this charge  $4e$  quasi-long-range order [10, 14–17]. Similarly, melting the nematic superconductors and multicomponent nematic pairing is also suggested to achieve charge  $4e$  SC [12, 13]. Other scenarios of realizing charge  $4e$  pairing are including interactions that favor quartetting rather than pairing [8, 9, 18] and condensing charge  $4e$  skyrmions in quadratic-band-touching systems etc. [19, 20]. Interestingly, a possible evidence for charge  $4e$  and even charge  $6e$  pairings are resolved recently from the Kagome superconductor  $\text{CsV}_3\text{Sb}_5$  [21, 22]. Using Little-Park oscillation measurement,  $\frac{\Phi_0}{2}$  and  $\frac{\Phi_0}{3}$  oscillations are found in  $\text{CsV}_3\text{Sb}_5$ , which was thought coming from the PDW evidence from scanning electron microscope [23].

Although there is much progress in this field, how to describe this charge  $4e$  SC microscopically and what are the electronic properties of this charge  $4e$  SC remain open questions. For instance, whether this SC is gapped and whether the flux quanta is  $\frac{\Phi_0}{2}$  are not fully understood. The first attempt to solve these issues microscopically is from a quantum Monte Carlo study [11]. They introduced a four-electron interacting model to describe the charge  $4e$  SC, whose properties are then




FIG. 1. (a) Charge  $2e$  SC and its BCS wavefunction  $|BCS\rangle$ . Charge  $2e$  SC is formed by two-electron Cooper pairs, whose condensation leads to the superconductivity. (b) Charge  $4e$  SC and its wavefunction  $|GS\rangle$ . Charge  $4e$  SC is formed by four-electron Cooper pairs in a similar fashion.

investigated using the sign-problem-free Majorana quantum Monte Carlo. Motivated by this numerical study and recent progress, we address the general microscopic properties of the charge  $4e$  SC from a wavefunction approach.

It is widely known that the final step toward BCS theory was achieved by Schrieffer, who constructed the wavefunction for the BCS pairing Hamiltonian [1, 3]. This wavefunction is namely the BCS wavefunction as

$$|BCS\rangle = \prod_k (u_k + v_k e^{i\phi} c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{-k\downarrow}^\dagger) |0\rangle \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi$  is the phase of SC,  $|0\rangle$  is vacuum and  $u_k, v_k$  are the variational factors determined by the energy minimization of BCS Hamiltonian [1, 3]. This BCS wavefunction faithfully describes the essential physical properties of superconductors, especially Cooper pairs and their phase coherence. On the other hand, another straightforward way toward the BCS ground state is the mean-field treatment of BCS Hamiltonian by Bogoliubov–Valatin transformation [24, 25]. However, the microscopic Hamiltonian for charge  $4e$  SC involves at least four-fermion interaction terms, which seems to be an impossible task from the mean-field treatment [8, 9, 11].

In order to study the physical properties of charge  $4e$  SC, we address this question from a different perspective. We ask whether we can construct a ground state wavefunction  $|GS\rangle$  for the charge  $4e$  SC. Inspired by the BCS wavefunction, this wavefunction should take a similar structure of BCS wavefunction as

$$|GS\rangle = \prod_k (u_k + v_k e^{i\phi} c_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger) |0\rangle \quad (2)$$

Here, we introduce the orbit index 1, 2 to fulfill the Pauli exclusion principle. This orbital index can be any charge  $4e$  index, like real atom orbitals, the PDW order momentum  $\pm Q$ , valley degree of freedom, etc. Besides the four electron pairing term  $c_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger$ , the most important ingredient of  $|GS\rangle$  is the phase factor  $e^{i\phi}$ . This factor is the crucial U(1) spontaneously symmetry breaking term leading to the phase coherence of charge  $4e$  condensates as in the BCS wavefunction, which leads to the Meissner effect, Josephson effect and other SC quantum properties. To simplify our discussion, we first choose this  $\phi = 0$  without losing the generality. And the translation symmetry of  $|GS\rangle$  is imposed through the  $k$  direct product state.

Equipped with this wavefunction  $|GS\rangle$ , the next step is to find the mean-field Hamiltonian for this wavefunction and determine the unknown factors  $u_k, v_k$ . It is easy to prove that this wavefunction is the eigenstate of following charge  $4e$  mean-field Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{4e} = & \sum_{k\alpha} \xi_{\alpha k} (c_{\alpha k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\alpha k\uparrow} + c_{\alpha-k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\alpha-k\downarrow}) \\ & - \sum_k (\Delta_k c_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger + h.c.) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha = 1, 2$ . We also choose  $\xi_{\alpha k} = \xi_k$  for two degenerate orbitals and leave the general cases in the supplemental materials (SMs). The ground state energy is found to be

$$E_G = \langle GS | \hat{H} | GS \rangle = \sum_k 2\xi_k - E_k \quad (4)$$

where  $E_k = \sqrt{4\xi_k^2 + \Delta_k^2}$ . And the  $u_k, v_k$  take the similar factors as the Bogoliubov-Valatin transformation treatment of BCS theory

$$u_k^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{2\xi_k}{E_k} \right) \quad (5)$$

$$v_k^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2\xi_k}{E_k} \right) \quad (6)$$

$$2u_k v_k = \frac{\Delta_k}{E_k} \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, the excited states can be constructed from the  $|GS\rangle$  wavefunction and  $\hat{H}_{4e}$  Hamiltonian. For example, the single particle excited state is

$$|1q\rangle = c_{1q\uparrow}^\dagger \prod_{k \neq q} (u_k + v_k c_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger) |0\rangle. \quad (8)$$




FIG. 2. (a) Normal Green's function  $G$  and anomalous two-particle Green's function  $\Gamma_F$  of the charge  $4e$  SC. (b) U-shape DOS for charge  $4e$   $s$ -wave pairing  $\Delta_k = \Delta$  on the continuum model (black line) and the square lattice (red line). (c) V-shape DOS for charge  $4e$   $d$ -wave pairing  $\Delta_k = \Delta(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)$  on the square lattice. The DOS is numerically calculated with normal state dispersion  $\xi_k = -2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) - \mu$ . The parameters are set as  $t = 1.0$ ,  $\mu = -3.0$ ,  $\Delta = 0.2$ ,  $\eta = 0.01$ .

The energy of  $|1q\rangle$  compared to  $|GS\rangle$  is found to be  $E_{1q} - E_G = E_q - \xi_q$ . The pair excited state is constructed as

$$|1p\rangle = c_{1q\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-q\downarrow}^\dagger \prod_{k \neq q} (u_k + v_k c_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger) |0\rangle \quad (9)$$

The energy of  $|1p\rangle$  compared to  $|GS\rangle$  is  $E_{1p} - E_G = E_q$ . Also there are triple particle excited states (or single hole excitation) whose excited energy is  $E_q + \xi_q$ . Moreover the  $4e$  pair excited state can be constructed as

$$\begin{aligned} |4e\rangle = & (u_q c_{1q\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2q\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2-q\downarrow}^\dagger - v_q) \times \\ & \prod_{k \neq q} (u_k + v_k c_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger) |0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

whose excited energy is  $2E_q$ . Since  $E_q \geq \xi_q$ , the  $|GS\rangle$  is indeed the ground state of  $\hat{H}_{4e}$ . And whether this charge  $4e$  superconductor is gapped is fully determined by  $\Delta_k$  as in the BCS cases.

After constructing all these wavefunctions, we can analysis this charge  $4e$  from Green's functions. The Green's functions of charge  $4e$  SC can be calculated using the Lehmann representation [26, 27]. We start from the single particle retard Green's function  $G^R(k, t)_{\alpha\beta} = -i\theta(t)\langle \{c_{\alpha k\sigma}(t), c_{\beta k\sigma}^\dagger(0)\} \rangle_{GS}$ . After the Fourier transform, it is obtained as

$$G^R(k\sigma, \omega)_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{u_k^2}{\omega + i\eta - (E_k - \xi_k)} + \frac{v_k^2}{\omega + i\eta + (E_k + \xi_k)} \quad (11)$$

The more detailed calculations can be found in SMs. However, since the  $2e$  condensation is absence, the anomalous Green's function  $F(k, t)_{\alpha\beta} = -i\langle \hat{T}_t c_{\alpha-k\sigma}^\dagger(t) c_{\beta k\sigma}^\dagger(0) \rangle_{GS}$  is always zero. The charge  $4e$  condensation relevant anomalous Green's function is the two-particle anomalous Green's function  $\Gamma_F = -\langle \hat{T}_t c_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger(t_1) c_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger(t_2) c_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger(t_3) c_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger(t_4) \rangle_{GS}$ , whose diagram is shown in Fig. 1(a). The Fourier transform is expressed as  $\Gamma_F(k, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$  whose explicit form can be found in SM.

From the Green's functions, we can determine the density of states (DOS) of this system from

$$\rho(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi V} \sum_{\alpha k \sigma} \text{Im} G^R(k\sigma, \omega)_{\alpha\alpha}, \quad (12)$$

where  $V$  is the volume of the system. For a constant pairing potential  $\Delta_k = \Delta$ , an analytical expression is obtained in the SMs Eq.S6. We can find this system is a full gap system as expected. At  $\omega = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\Delta$ , different from  $\omega = \pm\Delta$  in the 2e case, the DOS shows square root divergence. To compare with d-wave pairing with  $\Delta_k = \Delta(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)$ , we also carry out a numerical calculation on the square lattice. The DOS for s-wave SC  $\Delta_k = \Delta$  is plotted in Fig.2(b) with U-shape DOS. The DOS for d-wave SC is plotted in Fig.2(c) with V-shape DOS. Hence, the charge  $4e$  is gapped or not is determined by the gap function  $\Delta_k$  in our two orbital degenerate limit. In the following discussion, we always choose a constant pairing  $\Delta$  to capture the main feature of charge  $4e$  superconductivity.

Regarding to the phase coherence of SC, an important prediction is the flux quantization. To prove this, we need to put the dynamic terms with gauge field  $\mathbf{A}$  into account. Then, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\hat{H}_{4e} = \int d\mathbf{x} \{ \psi_{\alpha\sigma}^\dagger [ \frac{1}{2m} (-i\hbar\nabla - e\mathbf{A})^2 - \mu ] \psi_{\alpha\sigma} \quad (13)$$

$$- \Delta e^{i\phi} \psi_{1\uparrow}^\dagger \psi_{1\downarrow}^\dagger \psi_{2\uparrow}^\dagger \psi_{2\downarrow}^\dagger - \Delta e^{-i\phi} \psi_{2\downarrow} \psi_{2\uparrow} \psi_{1\downarrow} \psi_{1\uparrow} \} \quad (14)$$

where  $\psi_{\alpha\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$  is the field operator for  $c_{\alpha\sigma}$ .  $\mu$  is the chemical potential,  $m$  is the effective mass. The Einstein summation rule is used for both spin and orbital indices. The spacial indices are omitted for convenience. The above Hamiltonian is invariant under the gauge transformations [3, 27]

$$\psi_{\alpha\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow e^{i\chi(\mathbf{x})} \psi_{\alpha\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\hbar}{e} \nabla \chi(\mathbf{x}) \quad (16)$$

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \phi(\mathbf{x}) + 4\chi(\mathbf{x}) \quad (17)$$

Hence, the gauge charge for  $\Delta$  becomes  $4e$ . And the free energy for charge  $4e$  SC must contain the gauge invariant terms as

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{\rho_s}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} (\nabla\phi(x) - \frac{4e}{\hbar} \mathbf{A}(x))^2 + F_0 \quad (18)$$

where  $\rho_s$  is the superfluid density and  $F_0$  is the other irrelevant term [27]. The current density can be found

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\mathbf{A}} = \rho_s \left( \frac{4e}{\hbar} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\hbar}{4e} \nabla\phi(x) - \mathbf{A}(x) \right) \quad (19)$$

From Eq.19, we can prove the flux quantization. If we consider a superconducting material is not simply connected, such as a superconducting ring with a magnetic field through its hole in Fig.3(a), we choose a loop  $C$  as in Fig.3(a) without any current  $\mathbf{j} = 0$ . Then, the loop integral of Eq.19 shows

$$\Phi = \oint_C \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \frac{\hbar}{4e} \oint_C \nabla\phi(x) d\mathbf{l} = \frac{\hbar}{4e} n = \frac{\Phi_0}{2} n \quad (20)$$

Hence, the flux trapped in this ring is now integer quantized as  $\frac{\hbar}{4e} n = \frac{\Phi_0}{2} n$ , where  $n$  is the integer number and  $\Phi_0$  is the magnetic flux quantum [4, 5]. This quantization condition provides a direct ‘‘smoking gun’’ for charge  $4e$  SC. The common experimental measurements to justify this conclusion include




FIG. 3. (a) Superconducting ring with a magnetic field  $\vec{B}$  through the hole. A loop  $C$  (red line) is chosen for the flux quantization. The current  $\mathbf{j}$  is zero along the  $C$  loop. (b) The paramagnetic current-current correlation Feynman diagram. The vertex is the current operator. (c) The Josephson tunneling Feynman diagram. The vertex is the tunneling operator. (d) AC Josephson effect for charge  $4e$  SC with  $I(t) = I_c \sin(\omega_0 t + \phi_0)$ , where  $\omega_0 = \frac{4e}{\hbar} V$  is a hallmark for charge  $4e$  SC.

the flux in superconducting ring [28, 29], the Little-Park oscillation [4, 5, 30] and vortex quantization [4, 5].

Although we use the gauge invariant principle to get flux quantization without calculation, the superfluid density in Eq.19 can be obtained from the linear response theory [26, 31].

$$J_\mu = \sum_\nu \left[ (-e^2) \Pi_{\mu\nu} - \frac{e^2 \rho}{m} \delta_{\mu\nu} \right] A_\nu. \quad (21)$$

where  $\rho$  is the electron density. The  $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  is the paramagnetic current-current correlation function, which is obtained from the Kubo formula as

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(q, \omega) = -\frac{i}{V\hbar} \int_0^\infty dt e^{i\omega t} \langle [J_\mu(q, t), J_\nu(-q, 0)] \rangle. \quad (22)$$

The calculation can be done from the Feynman diagram in Fig.3(b). The  $-\frac{e^2 \rho}{m} \delta_{\mu\nu}$  is the diamagnetic contribution. Using this Kubo formula, we find the superfluid electron density  $\rho_s = 3\rho/4$  at zero temperature, which is different from  $\rho_s = \rho$  in charge  $2e$  superconductors. This is because the diagram contribution from the anomalous Green’s function  $F$  vanishes here.

Besides the flux quantization, another key prediction of BCS theory is the Josephson effect, where Cooper pairs can tunnel between two SCs sandwiched by a tunneling barrier. To describe this process, we use the tunneling Hamiltonian approach between a left SC (L) and a right SC (R) [26, 32]. The total Hamiltonian for this Josephson junction can be written as  $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{4e}^L + \hat{H}_{4e}^R + \hat{H}_T$ .  $\hat{H}_{4e}^{L/R}$  is the charge  $4e$  Hamiltonian for L/R respectively.  $\hat{H}_T$  describes the tunneling process.

$$\hat{H}_T = \sum_{kp} t_{kp} c_{ak\sigma}^\dagger f_{ap\sigma} + t_{kp}^* f_{ap\sigma}^\dagger c_{ak\sigma} \quad (23)$$

$$\hat{H}_{4e}^L = \sum_{k\alpha\sigma} \xi_{ak} c_{ak\sigma}^\dagger c_{ak\sigma} - (\Delta e^{-i\phi_L} c_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger + h.c.)$$

$$\hat{H}_{4e}^R = \sum_{k\alpha\sigma} \xi_{ak} f_{ak\sigma}^\dagger f_{ak\sigma} - (\Delta e^{-i\phi_R} f_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger f_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger f_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger f_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger + h.c.)$$

where the  $c$  are electron operators for L and  $f$  are electron operators for R. The  $t_{kp}$  is also assumed to be constant as  $t_{kp} = t$ . Then, we apply a gauge transformation to remove phase factors in the pairing terms as

$$c_{ak\sigma} \rightarrow e^{i\frac{\phi_L}{4}} c_{ak\sigma} \quad (24)$$

$$f_{ak\sigma} \rightarrow e^{i\frac{\phi_R}{4}} f_{ak\sigma} \quad (25)$$

Hence, the  $\hat{H}_T$  hopping  $t$  will acquire a new phase factor as

$$t \rightarrow te^{-i\frac{\phi_L - \phi_R}{4}} = e^{-i\frac{\phi}{4}} t \quad (26)$$

where we define the phase difference as  $\phi = \phi_L - \phi_R$ . The Josephson current can be calculated from

$$I = -4e \left\langle \frac{\partial H_L}{\partial \phi} \right\rangle \quad (27)$$

The DC Josephson current relation can be obtained from perturbation as

$$I = I_c \sin(\phi) \quad (28)$$

where  $I_c$  can be formally calculated from Feynman diagram in Fig.3(c). Notice here, there are only four-electron Cooper pairs can tunnel through the junction since  $2e$  condensates are zero.

More importantly, the gauge invariance also requires that  $\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{4e}{\hbar} V$ . The AC Josephson effect with voltage bias  $V$  must be

$$I(t) = I_c \sin\left(\frac{4e}{\hbar} Vt + \phi_0\right) \quad (29)$$

where  $\phi_0$  is the phase difference at  $V = 0$ . From this expression, we can find that the AC Josephson effect measurement can be served as another hallmark for charge  $4e$  SC, where the current frequency  $\omega_0$  is equal to the  $\frac{4e}{\hbar} V$  [11], as shown in Fig.3(d).

Finally, a natural question arises: whether we can have the coexistence of charge  $2e$  and charge  $4e$  SCs. Then, the wavefunction for this case can be written as

$$|GS\rangle_{2e-4e} = \prod_k (u_k + v_{1k} c_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger + v_{2k} c_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger + v_{4k} c_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger) |0\rangle \quad (30)$$

This  $|GS\rangle_{2e-4e}$  should be the ground state wavefunction for the charge  $2e/4e$  mixed hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{2e-4e}$  as

$$\hat{H}_{2e-4e} = \sum_{k\alpha} \xi_{\alpha k} (c_{\alpha k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\alpha k\uparrow} + c_{\alpha-k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\alpha-k\downarrow}) \quad (31)$$

$$- \sum_{k\alpha} (\Delta_k^{2e} c_{\alpha k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\alpha-k\downarrow}^\dagger + h.c.) \quad (32)$$

$$- \sum_k (\Delta_k^{4e} c_{1k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1-k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2-k\downarrow}^\dagger + h.c.) \quad (33)$$

where  $u_k, v_{1/2/4k}$  factors can be calculated numerically. The corresponding Green's functions and physical properties can be obtained following above procedures.

In summary, we construct a wavefunction  $|GS\rangle$  for the charge  $4e$  SC, which faithfully describes the four-electron pairing and the phase coherence. The mean-field Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{4e}$  for this charge  $4e$  SC is also constructed, whose ground state is  $|GS\rangle$ . Using this  $\hat{H}_{4e}, |GS\rangle$  and other excited states, the Green's functions are calculated. Comparing to charge  $2e$  SC, the one-particle anomalous Green's function  $F$  vanishes while the two-particle anomalous Green's function  $\Gamma_F$  describes the four-electron pairing. Furthermore, the DOS is calculated to determine the gap nature of charge  $4e$  SC. The flux quantization and the Meissner effect are proved from the free energy and the linear response theory. The Josephson effect is also discussed from the tunneling Hamiltonian approach. The flux measurements, including Little-Park oscillation and vortex quantization, AC Josephson junction can be served as the "smoking gun" for charge  $4e$  pairing. All these findings provide a microscopic description of charge  $4e$  SC. We hope our work could stimulate the investigation of charge  $4e$  SC and call for further experimental tests.

*Acknowledgement* We thank Yi Zhou, Hong Yao and Zixiang Li for insightful discussions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. NSFC-11888101, No. NSFC-12174428), the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB28000000), and the Chinese Academy of Sciences through the Youth Innovation Promotion Association (Grant No. 2022YSBR-048).

\* jiangkun@iphy.ac.cn

† jphu@iphy.ac.cn

- [1] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, "Theory of superconductivity," *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1175–1204 (1957).
- [2] Leon N. Cooper, "Bound electron pairs in a degenerate fermi gas," *Phys. Rev.* **104**, 1189–1190 (1956).
- [3] J.R. Schrieffer, *Theory of Superconductivity* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1964).
- [4] P. G. de Gennes, *Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys* (Benjamin, New York, 1966).
- [5] M. Tinkham, *Introduction to Superconductivity* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975).
- [6] Patrick A. Lee, Naoto Nagaosa, and Xiao-Gang Wen, "Doping a mott insulator: Physics of high-temperature superconductivity," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 17–85 (2006).
- [7] Pengcheng Dai, Jiangping Hu, and Elbio Dagotto, "Magnetism and its microscopic origin in iron-based high-temperature superconductors," *Nature Physics* **8**, 709–718 (2012).
- [8] S. A. Kivelson, V. J. Emery, and H. Q. Lin, "Doped antiferromagnets in the weak-hopping limit," *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 6523–6530 (1990).
- [9] Congjun Wu, "Competing orders in one-dimensional spin-3/2 fermionic systems," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 266404 (2005).
- [10] Erez Berg, Eduardo Fradkin, and Steven A. Kivelson, "Charge-4e superconductivity from pair-density-wave order in certain high-temperature superconductors," *Nature Physics* **5**, 830–833 (2009).
- [11] Yi-Fan Jiang, Zi-Xiang Li, Steven A. Kivelson, and Hong Yao, "Charge-4e superconductors: A majorana quantum monte carlo

- study,” *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 241103 (2017).
- [12] Rafael M. Fernandes and Liang Fu, “Charge- $4e$  superconductivity from multicomponent nematic pairing: Application to twisted bilayer graphene,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 047001 (2021).
- [13] Shao-Kai Jian, Yingyi Huang, and Hong Yao, “Charge- $4e$  superconductivity from nematic superconductors in two and three dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 227001 (2021).
- [14] Erez Berg, Eduardo Fradkin, and Steven A. Kivelson, “Theory of the striped superconductor,” *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 064515 (2009).
- [15] D. F. Agterberg and H. Tsunetsugu, “Dislocations and vortices in pair-density-wave superconductors,” *Nature Physics* **4**, 639–642 (2008).
- [16] Eduardo Fradkin, Steven A. Kivelson, and John M. Tranquada, “Colloquium: Theory of intertwined orders in high temperature superconductors,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 457–482 (2015).
- [17] Daniel F. Agterberg, J.C. Séamus Davis, Stephen D. Edkins, Eduardo Fradkin, Dale J. Van Harlingen, Steven A. Kivelson, Patrick A. Lee, Leo Radzihovsky, John M. Tranquada, and Yuxuan Wang, “The physics of pair-density waves: Cuprate superconductors and beyond,” *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **11**, 231–270 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031119-050711>.
- [18] Meng Zeng, Lun-Hui Hu, Hong-Ye Hu, Yi-Zhuang You, and Congjun Wu, “Phase-fluctuation Induced Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking Normal State,” arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2102.06158 (2021), [arXiv:2102.06158 \[cond-mat.supr-con\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.06158).
- [19] Eun-Gook Moon, “Skyrmions with quadratic band touching fermions: A way to achieve charge  $4e$  superconductivity,” *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 245123 (2012).
- [20] Tarun Grover and T. Senthil, “Topological spin hall states, charged skyrmions, and superconductivity in two dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 156804 (2008).
- [21] Jun Ge, Pinyuan Wang, Ying Xing, Qiangwei Yin, Hechang Lei, Ziqiang Wang, and Jian Wang, “Discovery of charge- $4e$  and charge- $6e$  superconductivity in kagome superconductor CsV<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>5</sub>,” arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2201.10352 (2022), [arXiv:2201.10352 \[cond-mat.supr-con\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.10352).
- [22] Kun Jiang, Tao Wu, Jia-Xin Yin, Zhenyu Wang, M Zahid Hasan, Stephen D Wilson, Xianhui Chen, and Jiangping Hu, “Kagome superconductors  $av_3sb_5(a=k, rb, cs)$ ,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.10809 (2021).
- [23] Hui Chen, Haitao Yang, Bin Hu, Zhen Zhao, Jie Yuan, Yuqing Xing, Guojian Qian, Zihao Huang, Geng Li, Yuhan Ye, Sheng Ma, Shunli Ni, Hua Zhang, Qiangwei Yin, Chunsheng Gong, Zhijun Tu, Hechang Lei, Hengxin Tan, Sen Zhou, Chengmin Shen, Xiaoli Dong, Binghai Yan, Ziqiang Wang, and Hong-Jun Gao, “Roton pair density wave in a strong-coupling kagome superconductor,” *Nature* **599**, 222–228 (2021).
- [24] N. N. Bogoliubov, “On a new method in the theory of superconductivity,” *Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965)* **7**, 794–805 (1958).
- [25] J. G. Valatin, “Comments on the theory of superconductivity,” *Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965)* **7**, 843–857 (1958).
- [26] Henrik Bruus and Karsten Flensberg, *Many-body quantum theory in condensed matter physics: an introduction* (OUP Oxford, 2004).
- [27] Piers Coleman, *Introduction to many-body physics* (Cambridge University Press, 2015).
- [28] Bascom S. Deaver and William M. Fairbank, “Experimental evidence for quantized flux in superconducting cylinders,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **7**, 43–46 (1961).
- [29] R. Doll and M. Näbauer, “Experimental proof of magnetic flux quantization in a superconducting ring,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **7**, 51–52 (1961).
- [30] W. A. Little and R. D. Parks, “Observation of quantum periodicity in the transition temperature of a superconducting cylinder,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **9**, 9–12 (1962).
- [31] Tao Xiang and Congjun Wu, *D-wave Superconductivity* (Cambridge University Press, 2022).
- [32] Gerald D Mahan, *Many-particle physics* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013).