arXiv:2210.00072v2 [hep-ph] 10 Oct 2022

Probing Ultra-light Primordial Black Holes as a Dark Matter Candidate

Anupam Ray

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai, 400005, India
anupam.ray@theory.tifr.res.in

Abstract. Dark Matter (DM) is omnipresent in our universe. Despite its abundance, the microscopic identity of DM still remains a mystery. Primordial black holes (PBHs), possibly formed via gravitational collapse of large density perturbations in the early universe, are one of the earliest proposed and viable DM candidates. Recent studies indicate that PBHs can make up a large or even entire fraction of the DM density for a wide range of masses. Here, we briefly review the observational constraints on PBHs as DM, concentrating on the ultra-light mass window. Ultra-light PBHs emit particles via Hawking radiation and can be probed by observing such Hawking evaporated particles in various space as well as ground based detectors. We also outline how next-generation gamma ray telescopes can set a stringent exclusion limit on ultra-light PBH DM by probing low energy photons.

Keywords: Primordial Black Holes, Hawking Radiation, Dark Matter

1 Introduction

Cosmological observations provide unambiguous evidence of a non-relativistic, collision-less, and weakly interacting non-baryonic matter, commonly known as dark matter (DM), as a dominant component of the Universe [1]. Many well-motivated DM candidates have been proposed, and decades of experimental searches have been conducted to hunt for these mysterious DM particles, yet the microscopic identity remains unknown. Primordial black holes (PBHs), possibly formed via gravitational collapse of large overdensities in the very early universe are one of the earliest proposed and viable DM candidates [2,3,4].

PBHs have a wide range of masses depending on their time of formation, and can constitute a large or even entire fraction of the present day DM density in the mass range of $\sim 10^{17} - 10^{23}$ g. The idea of PBHs as DM has recently received a renewed attention with the detection of a BH merger by the LIGO-Virgo collaboration and the subsequent proposals that the BH merger can be primordial in origin [5,6,7]. Several techniques have been implemented in order to probe the PBH fraction of DM, yields a multitude of observational constraints [8,9,10]. Here, we briefly review the current status of PBHs as DM, mostly concentrating on the ultra-light mass window.

2 Ultra-light PBHs as Dark Matter

PBHs evaporate via Hawking radiation and the evaporation timescale is proportional to the cube of their masses. The lifetime of non-rotating (maximally rotating) PBHs lighter than 5×10^{14} g (7×10^{14} g) is less than the age of our universe, hence, they cannot contribute to the present day DM density, setting the lower mass limit of ultra-light PBHs as DM [11,12]. Heavier PBHs i.e. PBHs with masses in between 5×10^{14} g to 2×10^{17} g are typically probed via searching their evaporation products. Non-observation of such Hawking evaporated neutrinos [13], photons [14,15,16,17,18], and electrons/positrons [13,19,20,21] provide the leading exclusions on the PBH fraction of dark matter. Fig. 1 provides a consolidated view of the existing constraints for the ultra-light evaporating PBHs in the mass range of $10^{15} - 10^{17}$ g.




Fig. 1. Consolidated upper limit on the fraction of DM composed of ultra-light evaporating PBHs, $f_{\rm PBH}$, from non-observations of Hawking radiated particles in various space as well as ground based detectors. Non-rotating PBHs with a monochromatic mass distribution is considered for this plot, and the figure is adapted from Ref. [10].

Neutrino derived exclusions: Ref. [13] shows that non-observation of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ MeV neutrinos in the diffuse supernovae neutrino background (DSNB) searches by an underground neutrino observatory Super-Kamiokande sets stringent constraints on ultra-light PBHs as DM. For a monochromatic mass distribution, it excludes non-rotating (maximally rotating) PBHs to form the sole component of DM upto 5×10^{15} g (10^{16} g), and for an extended mass distribution (e.g. log-normal mass distribution with a width of $\sigma = 1.0$), it even excludes upto $\sim 10^{17}$ g.

Photon derived exclusions: Observations of extra-Galactic gamma ray background (EGRB) via several space based telescopes such as COMPTEL, FERMI LAT, SMM exclude monochromatic, non-rotating PBHs to form the sole component of DM up to $\sim 10^{17}$ g [14]. The exclusion limits cover more mass window for rotating PBHs, and allowing maximum rotation can extend the constraints upto 6×10^{17} g for a monochromatic mass distribution [15]. Ref. [16] shows that astrophysical modeling of the EGRB sources can significantly improve the photon derived exclusions. Galactic Center gamma-ray measurements by the space based telescope INTEGRAL also provides stringent constraints on the PBH fraction of DM [17]. For a monochromatic mass distribution, it excludes non-rotating PBHs to form solitary component of DM upto $\sim 2 \times 10^{17}$ g, and for maximally rotating PBHs, it excludes upto 10^{18} g. Ref. [18] obtains a similar result by using the Galactic Center gamma-ray measurements by COMPTEL.

Electron/Positron derived exclusions: Measurement of the $e^{\pm}$ flux by the spacecraft Voyager 1 significantly constrains the fraction of DM composed of ultra-light PBHs [19]. Refs. [20,21] show that measurement of the 511 keV gamma-ray line also provide stringent exclusions on ultra-light PBHs as DM. It excludes non-rotating, monochromatic PBHs to form the sole component of DM upto $\sim 10^{17}$ g. However, the positron derived constraints crucially depend on various astrophysical uncertainties, such as unknown positron propagation distance as well as choice of DM density profiles, and can vary by almost an order of magnitude due to these uncertainties. Ref. [13] extends the positron derived analysis for PBHs that have rotation, and shows that allowing maximal rotation along with extended mass distributions of the PBHs make the constraints more stringent, and can even extend them upto $\sim 10^{19}$ g.

Photon derived projected exclusions: Non-rotating, monochromatic PBHs in the mass range of $\sim 10^{17}$– 10^{23} g can make up the entirety of the present day DM density as the existing exclusion limits from Hawking evaporation cease at $\sim 10^{17}$ g and the existing exclusion limits from optical microlensings start from $\sim 10^{23}$ g. Ref. [22] shows that measurement of the low energy ($\sim$ MeV) photons from the Galactic Center with the imminent telescopes such as AMEGO can probe this entirely unexplored mass window. AMEGO can exclude non-rotating (maximally rotating) monochromatic PBHs as a sole component of DM upto 7×10^{17} g (4×10^{18}) g by assuming no signal is present in the data. Ref. [18] also obtains similar results by using a future telescope GECCO.

Summary: Non-rotating monochromatic PBHs with masses $\leq 10^{17}$ g can not form the solitary component of DM because of the non-observations of Hawking evaporated particles in various space as well as ground based detectors. For PBHs that have rotation, or which follow extended mass distributions, these exclusion limits probe more mass windows. However, non-rotating monochromatic PBHs in the mass range of $\sim 10^{17}$– 10^{23} g can form the entirety of the present day DM density as the exclusion limits in that mass window are invalidated by several recent studies. Measurements of the low energy photons from the Galactic Center by upcoming soft gamma-ray telescopes such as AMEGO can close this mass window by almost an order of magnitude.

References

1. Planck collaboration, N. Aghanim et al., Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO], Astron.Astrophys. 641 (2020) A6.
2. Y. B. Zel'dovich and I. D. Novikov, The Hypothesis of Cores Retarded during Expansion and the Hot Cosmological Model, Astronomicheskii Zhurnal 43 (1966) 758.
3. S. Hawking, Gravitationally collapsed objects of very low mass, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 152 (1971) 75.
4. G. F. Chapline, Cosmological effects of primordial black holes, Nature 253 (1975) 251.
5. S. Bird, I. Cholis, J. B. Muñoz, Y. Ali-Haimoud, M. Kamionkowski, E. D. Kovetz et al., Did LIGO detect dark matter?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (2016).
6. S. Clesse and J. Garcia-Bellido, The clustering of massive Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter: measuring their mass distribution with Advanced LIGO, Phys. Dark Univ. 15 (2017) 142 [1603.05234].
7. M. Sasaki, T. Suyama, T. Tanaka and S. Yokoyama, Primordial Black Hole Scenario for the Gravitational-Wave Event GW150914, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 061101 [1603.08338].
8. B. Carr, K. Kohri, Y. Sendouda, and J. Yokoyama, Constraints on Primordial Black Holes, arXiv:2002.12778 [astro-ph.CO].
9. B. Carr and F. Kuhnel, Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter: Recent Developments, arXiv:2006.02838 [astro- ph.CO], Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 70 (2020) 355-394.
10. A. M. Green and B. J. Kavanagh, Primordial Black Holes as a dark matter candidate, arXiv:2007.10722 [astro-ph.CO], J.Phys.G 48 (2021) 4, 043001.
11. D. N. Page, Particle Emission Rates from a Black Hole: Massless Particles from an Uncharged, Nonrotating Hole, Phys. Rev. D13 (1976) 198.
12. D. N. Page, Particle Emission Rates from a Black Hole. 2. Massless Particles from a Rotating Hole, Phys. Rev. D14 (1976) 3260.
13. B. Dasgupta, R. Laha and A. Ray, Neutrino and positron constraints on spinning primordial black hole dark matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 101101 [1912.01014].
14. B. Carr, K. Kohri, Y. Sendouda and J. Yokoyama, New cosmological constraints on primordial black holes, Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 104019 [0912.5297].
15. A. Arbey, J. Auffinger and J. Silk, Constraining primordial black hole masses with the isotropic gamma ray background, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 023010 [1906.04750].
16. G. Ballesteros, J. Coronado-Blazquez and D. Gaggero, X-ray and gamma-ray limits on the primordial black hole abundance from Hawking radiation, Phys. Lett. B 808 (2020) 135624 [1906.10113].
17. R. Laha, J. B. Munoz and T. R. Slatyer, INTEGRAL constraints on primordial black holes and particle dark matter, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 123514 [2004.00627].
18. A. Coogan, L. Morrison and S. Profumo, Direct Detection of Hawking Radiation from Asteroid-Mass Primordial Black Holes, 2010.04797.
19. M. Boudaud and M. Cirelli, Voyager 1 $e^{\pm}$ Further Constrain Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 041104 [1807.03075].
20. W. DeRocco and P. W. Graham, Constraining Primordial Black Hole Abundance with the Galactic 511 keV Line, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 251102 [1906.07740].
21. R. Laha, Primordial Black Holes as a Dark Matter Candidate Are Severely Constrained by the Galactic Center 511 keV γ -Ray Line, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 251101 [1906.09994].
22. A. Ray, R. Laha, J. B. Muñoz, and Regina Caputo, Closing the gap: Near future MeV telescopes can discover asteroid-mass primordial black hole dark matter, arXiv:2102.06714 [astro-ph.CO].