

Arithmetic progressions among powerful numbers

Tsz Ho Chan

Abstract

In this paper, we study k -term arithmetic progressions $N, N+d, \dots, N+(k-1)d$ of powerful numbers. Under the abc -conjecture, we obtain $d \gg_\epsilon N^{1/2-\epsilon}$. On the other hand, there exist infinitely many 3-term arithmetic progressions of powerful numbers with $d \ll N^{1/2}$ unconditionally. We also prove some partial results when $k \geq 4$ and pose some open questions.

For any integer $k \geq 1$, a non-trivial k -term arithmetic progression (abbreviated as k -AP) is a sequence of the form

$$N, N+d, N+2d, \dots, N+(k-1)d$$

with initial term N and common difference $d > 0$. Clearly, any one or two members in a general sequence form an arithmetic progression. So, we will assume $k \geq 3$ from now on. It is well-known that there are infinitely many 3-term arithmetic progressions among perfect squares (e.g. 1, 25, 49) but there is no 4-term arithmetic progressions of perfect squares (discovered by Fermat). One may ask about the existence of k -AP among other interesting arithmetic and polynomial sequences. A recent breakthrough result of this sort is that there are arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions among the prime numbers by Green and Tao [4]. In this paper, we are interested in studying arithmetic progressions of powerful numbers which are square-like.

Definition 1 A number n is *powerful* if $p^2 | n$ whenever $p | n$ (i.e. its prime factorization $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ satisfies $a_i \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.)

For example, $72 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2$ is powerful but $24 = 2^3 \cdot 3$ is not. Another common name for powerful number is *squarefull* number. A closely related concept is *squarefree* number.

Definition 2 A number n is *squarefree* if $p^2 \nmid n$ for all prime $p | n$ (i.e. its prime factorization $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ satisfies $a_i = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.)

For example, $30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ is squarefree but $24 = 2^3 \cdot 3$ is not. By unique prime factorization, one can show that any positive integer can be factored uniquely as $n = a^2 b$ and any powerful number can be written uniquely as $n = a^2 b^3$ for some integer $a \geq 1$ and squarefree number $b \geq 1$. Unlike perfect squares, there are arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions among powerful numbers.

Theorem 1 For any integer $k \geq 3$, there is a k -term arithmetic progression of powerful numbers.

For $k = 3$, there is a folklore conjecture concerning 3-AP of powerful numbers which seems to be first posed by Erdős [2].

Conjecture 1 There is no three consecutive powerful numbers. i.e. $N, N+1, N+2$ cannot all be powerful.

Later, Mollin and Walsh [5] and Granville [3] reiterated the same conjecture and provided evidence and some interesting consequences. Currently, we are far from being able to prove it. However, the above conjecture follows from the famous abc -conjecture.

Conjecture 2 (abc -conjecture) For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that, for any integers a, b, c with $a + b = c$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, the bound

$$\max\{|a|, |b|, |c|\} \leq C_\epsilon \kappa(abc)^{1+\epsilon}$$

holds where

$$\kappa(m) := \prod_{p|m} p$$

stands for the squarefree kernel or radical of m .

In other words, there is no 3-AP of powerful numbers with common difference $d = 1$ under the *abc*-conjecture. Recently, the author studied powerful numbers in short intervals, and it can be deduced from [1] that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is no 3-AP of powerful numbers with $d \leq N^{1/4-\epsilon}$ for sufficiently large N under the *abc*-conjecture. On the other hand, one can easily check that, for integers $m \geq 1$,

$$(2m^2 - 1)^2, (2m^2 + 2m + 1)^2, (2m^2 + 4m + 1)^2 \quad (1)$$

form a 3-AP of perfect squares with common difference $d = 8m^3 + 12m^2 + 4m$. Hence, there are infinitely many 3-AP of powerful numbers with $d \leq 6N^{3/4}$. Thus, we are led to the following natural question.

Question 1 We say that $0 < \theta < 1$ is an admissible exponent if there exists $C_\theta > 0$ such that there are infinitely many 3-AP of powerful numbers $N, N + d, N + 2d$ with common difference $d \leq C_\theta N^\theta$. Find the infimum of all such admissible exponents and call it θ_3 .

The above discussion yields $\frac{1}{4} \leq \theta_3 \leq \frac{3}{4}$. We shall prove the following optimal result.

Theorem 2 Assuming the *abc*-conjecture, we have $\theta_3 = \frac{1}{2}$.

Analogously, one can define θ_k for k -AP of powerful numbers when $k \geq 4$. We have the following partial results.

Theorem 3 Assuming the *abc*-conjecture, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta_4 \leq \frac{4}{5}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \theta_5 \leq \frac{9}{10}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \theta_k \leq 1 - \frac{1}{10 \cdot 3^{k-5}}$$

for $k \geq 5$.

Note that the upper bounds in Theorems 2 and 3 hold unconditionally and it is their lower bounds that are conditional on the *abc*-conjecture.

It would be interesting to see if one can prove $\theta_4 > 1/2$ under the *abc*-conjecture. Another future direction would be narrowing the above ranges for θ_k when $k \geq 4$. One can also ask if it is possible to construct infinitely many 3-AP of powerful numbers with common difference $d = o(\sqrt{N})$.

Notation. Throughout the paper, N, k, m, n and d stand for positive integers while p, p_{ij} and $q_{ij'}$ stand for prime numbers. The symbol $a|b$ means that a divides b , the symbol $a \nmid b$ means that a does not divide b , and the symbol $p^n || a$ means that $p^n | a$ but $p^{n+1} \nmid a$. The function $\nu_p(a)$ stands for the p -adic valuation of a (i.e. $\nu_p(a) = n$ where $p^n || a$). The symbols $f(x) \ll g(x)$ and $g(x) \gg f(x)$ are equivalent to $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ for some constant $C > 0$. $f(x) \ll_\lambda g(x)$ and $g(x) \gg_\lambda f(x)$ mean that the implicit constant may depend on λ . Finally, $f(x) = o(g(x))$ means that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)/g(x) = 0$.

1 Proof of Theorem 1: Long AP among powerful numbers

We apply induction on k . The base case $k = 3$ follows from (1) on 3-AP among perfect squares. Suppose, for some $k \geq 3$, there is a k -AP among powerful numbers, say

$$a_1^2 b_1^3 < a_2^2 b_2^3 < \cdots < a_k^2 b_k^3 \quad \text{with common difference } d \geq 1.$$

Consider the number $a_k^2 b_k^3 + d = a^2 b$ for some integer a and squarefree number b . Then

$$a_1^2 b_1^3 b^2 < a_2^2 b_2^3 b^2 < \cdots < a_k^2 b_k^3 b^2 < a^2 b^3$$

is a $(k+1)$ -AP of powerful numbers with common difference db^2 . This finishes the induction proof.

2 Proof of Theorem 2: 3-AP upper bound

For the upper bound $\theta_3 \leq 1/2$, we first consider the following 3-AP:

$$x^2 - 2x - 1, \quad x^2, \quad x^2 + 2x + 1.$$

The last two terms are perfect squares. We want the first term to contain a large square factor. Consider the Pell equation

$$X^2 - 2Y^2 = -1$$

which has infinitely many integer solutions given by

$$X_m + \sqrt{2}Y_m = (1 + \sqrt{2})^{2m+1} \quad \text{with integer } m \geq 1.$$

By setting $n = X$ and $\frac{x-1}{2} = Y$, the generalized Pell equation

$$(x-1)^2 - 2n^2 = 2 \tag{2}$$

has infinitely many integer solutions given by

$$x-1 = 2Y_m \quad \text{and} \quad n = X_m.$$

Then, (2) gives us infinitely many integers x such that $x^2 - 2x - 1 = 2n^2$ for some integer n . Therefore, we have infinitely many 3-AP of powerful numbers, namely

$$N = 2^2(x^2 - 2x - 1) = 2^3n^2, \quad N + d = 2^2x^2 = (2x)^2, \quad N + 2d = 2^2(x^2 + 2x + 1) = (2(x+1))^2$$

with common difference

$$d = 2^2(2x+1) = 8x+4 \leq 3\sqrt{N}.$$

Hence, $\theta_3 \leq 1/2$.

3 Proof of Theorem 2: 3-AP lower bound

First, we need a simple observation.

Lemma 1 *Suppose a and b are positive integers and $p^\delta | a^2b^3$ for some prime p and integer $\delta \geq 1$. Then $\nu_p(ab) \geq \delta/3$.*

Proof: From the definitions of divisibility and valuation, we have $\delta \leq 2\nu_p(a) + 3\nu_p(b)$. Dividing everything by 3, we have $\delta/3 \leq 2\nu_p(a)/3 + \nu_p(b) \leq \nu_p(a) + \nu_p(b) = \nu_p(ab)$.

Consider 3-AP of powerful numbers $N, N+d, N+2d$ with

$$N = a_1^2b_1^3, \quad N + d = a_2^2b_2^3, \quad \text{and} \quad N + 2d = a_3^2b_3^3$$

for some integers a_1, a_2, a_3 and squarefree numbers b_1, b_2, b_3 . If there is some prime p dividing b_1, b_2 and b_3 , then we can consider the reduced 3-AP of powerful numbers

$$\frac{N}{p^3}, \quad \frac{N}{p^3} + \frac{d}{p^3}, \quad \frac{N}{p^3} + \frac{2d}{p^3}.$$

If one could prove a lower bound $d/p^3 \geq C_\theta(N/p^3)^\theta$ with some $0 < \theta < 1$ and $C_\theta > 0$ for the reduced 3-AP, one would also have $d \geq C_\theta N^\theta$ for the original 3-AP. Hence, we may reduce the situation to $\gcd(b_1, b_2, b_3) = 1$.

Since $(N + d)^2 = N(N + 2d) + d^2$, we have $a_2^4 b_2^6 = a_1^2 b_1^3 a_3^2 b_3^3 + d^2$. Let $D^2 = \gcd(a_2^4 b_2^6, d^2)$ which also equals $\gcd(a_2^4 b_2^6, a_1^2 b_1^3 a_3^2 b_3^3)$ and $\gcd(a_1^2 b_1^3 a_3^2 b_3^3, d^2)$. Note that since $D|a_2^2 b_2^3$ and $D|d$, we also have $D|a_1^2 b_1^3$ and $D|a_3^2 b_3^3$. Dividing everything by D^2 , we have the equation

$$\left(\frac{a_2^2 b_2^3}{D}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{a_1^2 b_1^3}{D} \frac{a_3^2 b_3^3}{D}\right) + \left(\frac{d}{D}\right)^2$$

where the three terms are pairwise relatively prime. By the *abc*-conjecture,

$$\frac{N^2}{D^2} \leq \left(\frac{a_2^2 b_2^3}{D}\right)^2 \leq C_\epsilon \left(\kappa\left(\frac{a_1^2 b_1^3}{D} \frac{a_3^2 b_3^3}{D} \frac{a_2^2 b_2^3}{D}\right) \kappa\left(\frac{d}{D}\right)\right)^{1+\epsilon} \quad (3)$$

as $\kappa(mn) \leq \kappa(m)\kappa(n)$. If one simply bounds the right-hand side of (3) by $\leq C_\epsilon(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3 d/D)^{1+\epsilon} \ll C_\epsilon(N^{3/2}d/D)^{1+\epsilon}$, solves for d and applies $D \leq d$ as in [1, Theorem 1.6], one would get $d \gg_\epsilon N^{1/4-\epsilon}$ and hence the lower bound $\theta_3 \geq 1/4$ only. So, in order to prove Theorem 2, we need a finer analysis. We claim that

$$\kappa\left(\frac{a_1^2 b_1^3}{D} \frac{a_2^2 b_2^3}{D} \frac{a_3^2 b_3^3}{D}\right) \leq \frac{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3}{D} \quad (4)$$

which follows from

$$\nu_p\left(\frac{a_1^2 b_1^3}{D} \frac{a_2^2 b_2^3}{D} \frac{a_3^2 b_3^3}{D}\right) \leq \nu_p(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3) - \nu_p(D) \quad (5)$$

for any prime p . Firstly, if a prime p does not divide $a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3$, then (5) is true as both sides are 0. Secondly, if a prime $p|a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3$ but $p \nmid D$, then left hand side of (5) is exactly 1 while the right-hand side of (4) is $\geq 1 - 0$. So, (5) is true for such primes. Thus, it remains to consider those primes p which divide both $a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3$ and D . Notice that the left-hand side of (5) is at most 1 for such primes. Suppose we have the following prime factorizations:

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= p_{11} \cdots p_{1r_1}, & a_1 &= p_{11}^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots p_{1r_1}^{\alpha_{1r_1}} \cdot q_{11}^{\beta_{11}} \cdots q_{1s_1}^{\beta_{1s_1}} \\ b_2 &= p_{21} \cdots p_{2r_2}, & a_2 &= p_{21}^{\alpha_{21}} \cdots p_{2r_2}^{\alpha_{2r_2}} \cdot q_{21}^{\beta_{21}} \cdots q_{2s_2}^{\beta_{2s_2}} \\ b_3 &= p_{31} \cdots p_{3r_3}, & a_3 &= p_{31}^{\alpha_{31}} \cdots p_{3r_3}^{\alpha_{3r_3}} \cdot q_{31}^{\beta_{31}} \cdots q_{3s_3}^{\beta_{3s_3}} \end{aligned}$$

for some integers $r_1, r_2, r_3, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0$, $\alpha_{ij} \geq 0$, $\beta_{ij'} \geq 1$ and primes $p_{ij}, q_{ij'}$ with $q_{ij'} \neq p_{ij}$. Now consider a fixed prime $p|D$ with $\delta := \nu_p(D)$. Note that p does not divide all of the b_1, b_2, b_3 as $\gcd(b_1, b_2, b_3) = 1$.

Case 1: p does not divide any of the b_1, b_2, b_3 . Since $D|a_i^2 b_i^3$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, we must have $p|a_1, a_2, a_3$ and $p = q_{1j_1} = q_{2j_2} = q_{3j_3}$ for some $1 \leq j_m \leq s_m$ for $m = 1, 2, 3$. As $p^{2\delta}||D^2 = \gcd(a_2^4 b_2^6, a_1^2 b_1^3 a_3^2 b_3^3)$ and $\gcd(p, b_1 b_2 b_3) = 1$, we must have $2\delta = \min(4\beta_{2j_2}, 2(\beta_{1j_1} + \beta_{3j_3})) \geq 4$. Thus, $2 \leq \delta = \min(2\beta_{2j_2}, \beta_{1j_1} + \beta_{3j_3})$. Hence,

$$\nu_p(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3) - \nu_p(D) \geq \beta_{1j_1} + \beta_{2j_2} + \beta_{3j_3} - (\beta_{1j_1} + \beta_{3j_3}) = \beta_{2j_2} \geq 1.$$

Case 2: p divides exactly one of the b_1, b_2, b_3 .

Subcase 1: δ is even. Without loss of generality, suppose $p|b_1, p \nmid b_2, p \nmid b_3$ as the other cases are similar. Then $p|a_2, a_3$ and $p = q_{2j_2} = q_{3j_3}$ for some $1 \leq j_2 \leq s_2$ and $1 \leq j_3 \leq s_3$. As $p^\delta|a_2^2 b_2^3, a_3^2 b_3^3$, we have $\delta/2 \leq \beta_{2j_2}$ and $\delta/2 \leq \beta_{3j_3}$. Hence, by Lemma 1,

$$\nu_p(a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3) - \nu_p(D) \geq \max\left(\frac{\delta}{3}, 1\right) + \beta_{2j_2} + \beta_{3j_3} - \delta \geq \begin{cases} 1 + \delta/2 + \delta/2 - \delta \geq 1, & \text{when } \delta = 2; \\ \delta/3 + \delta/2 + \delta/2 - \delta > 1, & \text{when } \delta \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Subcase 2: δ is odd. If $p|b_1, p \nmid b_2, p \nmid b_3$, then $p|b_1, a_2, a_3$. Hence, $\nu_p(a_2^4 b_2^6) \geq 4$ and $\nu_p(a_1^2 b_1^3 a_3^2 b_3^3) \geq 5$ which gives $\delta \geq 3$ as $p^{2\delta}||D^2 = \gcd(a_2^4 b_2^6, a_1^2 b_1^3 a_3^2 b_3^3)$ and δ is odd. If $p|b_2, p \nmid b_1, p \nmid b_3$, then $p|b_2, a_1, a_3$. Hence, $\nu_p(a_2^4 b_2^6) \geq 6$ and $\nu_p(a_1^2 b_1^3 a_3^2 b_3^3) \geq 4$ which also gives $\delta \geq 3$ by similar reasoning. If $p|b_3, p \nmid b_1, p \nmid b_2$, we also have $\delta \geq 3$ as it is similar to $p|b_1, p \nmid b_2, p \nmid b_3$. Therefore, $\delta \geq 3$ in all circumstances.

Suppose $p \nmid b_i, b_{i'}$ for some $1 \leq i < i' \leq 3$. Then $p|a_i, a_{i'}$ and $p = q_{ij_i} = q_{i'j_{i'}}$ for some $1 \leq j_i \leq s_i$ and $1 \leq j_{i'} \leq s_{i'}$. Thus, $3 \leq \delta \leq 2\beta_{ij_i} - 1$ and $3 \leq \delta \leq 2\beta_{i'j_{i'}} - 1$ as δ is odd. This implies $\beta_{ij_i}, \beta_{i'j_{i'}} \geq \delta/2 + 1/2$. Hence,

$$\nu_p(a_1b_1a_2b_2a_3b_3) - \nu_p(D) \geq 1 + \beta_{ij_i} + \beta_{i'j_{i'}} - \delta > 1.$$

Case 3: p divides exactly two of the b_1, b_2, b_3 .

Subcase 1: δ is even. Without loss of generality, suppose $p|b_1, p|b_2, p \nmid b_3$ as the other cases are similar. Then $p|a_3$ and $p = p_{1j_1} = p_{2j_2} = q_{3j_3}$ for some $1 \leq j_1 \leq r_1, 1 \leq j_2 \leq r_2$ and $1 \leq j_3 \leq s_3$. As $p^\delta | a_3^2 b_3^3$, we have $\delta/2 \leq \beta_{3j_3}$. Also, as $p^\delta | a_1^2 b_1^3, a_2^2 b_2^3$, we have $\delta \leq 2\alpha_{1j_1} + 2$ and $\delta \leq 2\alpha_{2j_2} + 2$ since δ is even. Hence,

$$\nu_p(a_1b_1a_2b_2a_3b_3) - \nu_p(D) \geq (\alpha_{1j_1} + 1) + (\alpha_{2j_2} + 1) + \beta_{3j_3} - \delta \geq \beta_{3j_3} \geq 1.$$

Subcase 2: δ is odd. If $p|b_1, p|b_2, p \nmid b_3$, then $p|b_1, b_2, a_3$. Hence, $\nu_p(a_2^4 b_2^6) \geq 6$ and $\nu_p(a_1^2 b_1^3 a_3^2 b_3^3) \geq 5$ which gives $\delta \geq 3$ as $p^{2\delta} || D^2 = \gcd(a_2^4 b_2^6, a_1^2 b_1^3 a_3^2 b_3^3)$ and δ is odd. If $p|b_1, p \nmid b_2, p|b_3$, then $p|b_1, a_2, b_3$. Hence, $\nu_p(a_2^4 b_2^6) \geq 4$ and $\nu_p(a_1^2 b_1^3 a_3^2 b_3^3) \geq 6$ which also gives $\delta \geq 3$ by similar reasoning. If $p \nmid b_1, p|b_2, p|b_3$, we also have $\delta \geq 3$ as it is similar to $p|b_1, p|b_2, p \nmid b_3$. Therefore, $\delta \geq 3$ in all circumstances.

Suppose $p \nmid b_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then $p|a_i$ and $p = q_{ij_i}$ for some $1 \leq j_i \leq s_i$. Thus, $3 \leq \delta \leq 2\beta_{ij_i} - 1$ as δ is odd. This implies $\beta_{ij_i} \geq \delta/2 + 1/2$. Hence, by Lemma 1,

$$\nu_p(a_1b_1a_2b_2a_3b_3) - \nu_p(D) \geq \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} + \beta_{ij_i} - \delta \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{6} \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{6} = 1.$$

Consequently, the left-hand side of (5) is at least 1 in all of the above cases. Therefore, (5) and, hence, (4) are true. Putting (4) into (3), we have

$$\frac{N^2}{D^2} \leq C_\epsilon \left(\frac{a_1b_1a_2b_2a_3b_3d}{D^2} \right)^{1+\epsilon} \ll C_\epsilon \left(\frac{N^{3/2}d}{D^2} \right)^{1+\epsilon}$$

as $a_1^2 b_1^3, a_2^2 b_2^3, a_3^2 b_3^3 \ll N$. This and $D \geq 1$ imply $d \gg_\epsilon N^{1/2-2\epsilon}$ which gives $\theta_3 \geq 1/2$ as ϵ can be arbitrarily small.

4 Proof of Theorem 3

For $k \geq 4$, the lower bound $\theta_k \geq 1/2$ follows from the observation that $\theta_k \geq \theta_3$ and $\theta_3 \geq 1/2$ from Theorem 2 under the *abc*-conjecture.

For the upper bound $\theta_4 \leq 4/5$, we construct 4-AP of powerful numbers as follows. With positive integer a , consider

$$(x-a)^3(x+a)^2, (x-a)^2x(x+a)^2, (x-a)^2(x+a)^3, (x-a)^2(x+a)^2(x+2a) \quad (6)$$

which form an arithmetic progression with common difference $d = a(x-a)^2(x+a)^2$. Note that the first and third terms give powerful numbers for any integer x . If x and $x+2a$ are powerful, then all four polynomials would result in powerful numbers. We can pick $a = 2$. Note that the Pell equation

$$X^2 - 2Y^2 = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad 2X^2 - 4Y^2 = 2 \quad \text{or} \quad 4X^2 = 8Y^2 + 4$$

has solutions

$$X_m + \sqrt{2}Y_m = (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^m \quad \text{for positive integer } m.$$

So, we can pick $x = 8Y_m^2$ and $x + 2a = x + 4 = 4X_m^2$. These will make (6) the desired 4-AP of powerful numbers. Observe that the common difference

$$d = 2(x - 2)^2(x + 2)^2 \leq 3((x - 2)^3(x + 2)^2)^{4/5} = 3N^{4/5}$$

for large enough m (and hence N) which gives $\theta_4 \leq 4/5$.

For the upper bound $\theta_5 \leq 9/10$, one can build upon our 3-AP and 4-AP constructions. With positive integer a , consider

$$(y - 2a)(y - a)^2(y + a)^2, (y - a)^3(y + a)^2, (y - a)^2y(y + a)^2, (y - a)^2(y + a)^3, (y - a)^2(y + a)^2(y + 2a) \quad (7)$$

which form an arithmetic progression with common difference $d = a(y - a)^2(y + a)^2$. Note that the second and fourth terms give powerful numbers for any integer y . If $y - 2a$, y and $y + 2a$ are powerful, then all five terms would be powerful. From our 3-AP construction, we can find infinitely 3-AP of powerful numbers

$$y - 2a = 2^2(x^2 - 2x - 1) = 2^3n^2, \quad y = 2^2x^2 = (2x)^2, \quad y + 2a = 2^2(x^2 + 2x + 1) = (2(x + 1))^2$$

with

$$2a = 2^2(2x + 1) = 8x + 4.$$

With these, we get the desired 5-AP of powerful numbers with common difference

$$d = (4x + 2)(4x^2 - 4x - 2)^2(4x^2 + 4x + 2)^2 \leq 3\left((4x^2 - 8x - 4)(4x^2 - 4x - 2)^2(4x^2 + 4x + 2)^2\right)^{9/10} = 3N^{9/10}$$

for large enough x (and hence N). Thus, $\theta_5 \leq 9/10$.

For the general upper bound $\theta_k \leq 1 - \frac{1}{10 \cdot 3^{k-5}}$, we use induction on $k \geq 5$ similar to Theorem 1. The base case $\theta_5 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{10 \cdot 3^{5-5}}$ is true from above. Suppose, for some $k \geq 5$, there are infinitely many k -APs among powerful numbers with $d \leq C_k N^{1 - \frac{1}{10 \cdot 3^{k-5}}}$. Say one such AP is

$$N = a_1^2 b_1^3 < a_2^2 b_2^3 < \dots < a_k^2 b_k^3 \quad \text{with common difference } 1 \leq d \leq C_k N^{1 - \frac{1}{10 \cdot 3^{k-5}}}.$$

Consider the number $a_k^2 b_k^3 + d = a^2 b$ for some integer a and squarefree number b . Multiply everything by b^2 ,

$$N_1 := Nb^2 = a_1^2 b_1^3 b^2 < a_2^2 b_2^3 b^2 < \dots < a_k^2 b_k^3 b^2 < a^2 b^3$$

form a $(k + 1)$ -AP of powerful numbers with common difference db^2 . Note that

$$b \leq a^2 b = N + kd \leq (1 + kC_k)N.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} db^{\frac{2}{10 \cdot 3^{k-4}}} &\leq d(1 + kC_k)^{\frac{2}{10 \cdot 3^{k-4}}} N^{\frac{2}{10 \cdot 3^{k-4}}} \\ &\leq C_k(1 + kC_k)^{\frac{2}{10 \cdot 3^{k-4}}} N^{1 - \frac{1}{10 \cdot 3^{k-5}} + \frac{2}{10 \cdot 3^{k-4}}} = C_k(1 + kC_k)^{\frac{2}{10 \cdot 3^{k-4}}} N^{1 - \frac{1}{10 \cdot 3^{k-4}}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$db^2 \leq C_k(1 + kC_k)^{\frac{2}{10 \cdot 3^{k-4}}} (Nb^2)^{1 - \frac{1}{10 \cdot 3^{k-4}}} =: C_{k+1} N_1^{1 - \frac{1}{10 \cdot 3^{(k+1)-5}}}$$

which completes the induction.

References

- [1] T.H. Chan, A note on powerful numbers in short intervals, *Bull. Australian Math. Soc.* <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972722000995>, 8 pp.
- [2] P. Erdős, Consecutive integers, *Eureka* **38** (1975-76), 3–8.
- [3] A. Granville, Powerful numbers and Fermat’s last theorem, *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada* **8** (1986), 215–218.
- [4] B.J. Green and T.C. Tao, The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **167** (2008), no. 2, 481–547.
- [5] R.A. Mollin and P.G. Walsh, A note of powerful numbers, quadratic fields and the Pellian, *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada* **8** (1986), 109–114.

Mathematics Department
Kennesaw State University
Marietta, GA 30060
tchan4@kennesaw.edu