

k -click percolation cluster in human structural connectome reveals a distributed boundary between interacting functional subnetworks

Vasilii Tiselko^{1,2}, Olesia Dogonasheva³, Artem Myshkin, and Olga Valba^{1,4,*}

¹*Laboratory of Complex Networks, Center for Neurophysics and Neuromorphic Technologies, Moscow, Russia*

²*Ioffe Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, St.Petersburg, Russia*

³*Institut de l'Audition, Institut Pasteur, Université de Paris Cité, Paris, France*

⁴*HSE University, Moscow, Russia*

⁴*National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia*

* ovalba@hse.ru

Abstract

The human structural connectome manifests complex internal community organization, characterized by a high degree of overlap and related to functional and cognitive phenomena. We investigated community organization in connectome networks and showed that k -clique percolation of an anomalously high order, up to $k = 8$, is characteristic of the human structural connectome. Considering the transition from the most individual to the most common connections, we observed the specific role of the former in the connectivity of densely structured communities and the formation of high-order cliques. We proposed a novel model for the emergence of high-order clique percolation with phase transition dynamics under specific constraints on connection length, characteristic of structural connectomes. We also examined the properties of several connection weight models, which have effects similar to edge confidence in dense structure community formation. Investigating the structural basis of functional subnetworks, we described the direct relation of their interaction to the clique cluster formation within their structural connections. We hypothesized that the percolating clique cluster is the distributed boundary between interacting functional subnetworks, showing the complex complementary nature of their structural connections.

1 Introduction

The anatomical and functional connection networks of the human brain are increasingly studied using network science approach based on graph theory techniques and terminology [1, 2, 3]. A structural connectome in this representation refers to the brain's anatomical connection network, where small regions of gray matter are referred to as nodes. Two nodes are connected by an edge, if a diffusion MRI based workflow identifies axon fibers connecting those small gray matter regions in the brain's white matter [4, 5].

Complex networks tend to exhibit meso-scale or global structural features. Certain networks exhibit community structure, in which densely connected communities of nodes exhibit sparse or weak inter-community connections [6, 7]. In the structural connectome, the intersection and interaction of such dense clusters provide cognitive phenomena [8, 9]. However, the organizational

principles of the community architecture of human brain networks are still poorly understood. In [10] the authors showed that structural connectomes are characterized by a high degree of community overlap, moreover, they found a correlation between the overlap and cognitive flexibility. They applied the Louvain community detection algorithm and the link community algorithm to structural connectome data.

Another way to analyze the overlapping community structure of networks is the clique percolation method. A more general phenomenon involves the percolation of k -clique [11, 12]. For the random Erdos-Renyi ensemble, the critical link probability for any k can be found analytically. However, for real networks, an estimation of the critical threshold for k -clique percolation is a nontrivial problem. In cognitive and semantic networks, the k -clique percolation has been recently discussed in [13, 14] related to the problem of aging in semantic memory and the capacity limit of working memory.

In this paper, we investigate the community organization of human connectomes, focusing on the data described in [15]. We suggest a new rule of network evolution that can be considered as the modification of exponential random graphs under metric constraints. This mechanism provides the desired value of the order of clique communities observed in real data. Drawing upon data from functional subnetworks characterized by high separability and reproducibility in the human connectome [16], we investigated the relation between the observed structural features and functional phenomena. The applied approach to analyzing the organization of structural communities revealed the intricate interplay between the observed structural features and the interaction of functional subnetworks of the human brain.

2 Methods

Data description Following the release of data from the Human Connectome Project [17], imaging data from people aged 22 to 35 years old was collected and converted into high-resolution structural connectomes [15].

Brain regions differ in their anatomical and functional properties. The division of subcortical structures in anatomical atlases is mostly congruent with the brain’s anatomical structure. However, depending on the approach, the parcellation of the neocortex into ROIs can vary [5, 4]. At the same time, some subcortical structures have connections to many different areas of the brain. As a result, in network representation, the corresponding vertices are often hubs. We investigated network structure in the context of hub selection and its relationship to anatomical regions. We identified a densely connected subnet, with all of its vertices representing hubs and belonging to subcortical structures. This densely connected subnetwork was excluded from further analyses for the entire ensemble of networks.

We used a methodology similar to that suggested in [2, 18] to determine the edge confidence. Regardless of a network, the weight of an edge was calculated as the probability of its occurrence in the entire set of networks. Edge weights are determined in this way to reflect the gradation from the most common connections in the brain network to the most individual ones. For networks in the set, the edge distribution with respect to the confidence value is broad and uniform, with a characteristic peak for the weakest connections, and a decline for the most common connections in the population (Fig. 1a).

To reveal the dependence of structural properties on the edge confidence we considered the process of *network decomposition*, i.e. we took a cutoff threshold τ for edge confidence and deleted all links with weights *less* than the threshold. We also considered the *inverse decomposition*, in

which we deleted all connections of weights *more or equal* than the value θ , i.e. analyzed a subgraph of weak (individual) links.

K -clique percolation We begin with a few definitions laying down the fundamentals of k -clique percolation [11, 12]. K -clique is a complete (fully connected) subgraph on k vertices. Two k -cliques are said to be *adjacent* if they have exactly $k - 1$ common vertices, i.e. they differ only in a single vertex. A subgraph, which is the union of a sequence of pairwise adjacent k -cliques, is called *k -clique chain*, and two k -cliques are *k -clique-connected*, if there exists at least one k -clique chain containing these two k -cliques. Finally, *k -clique percolation cluster* is defined as a maximal k -clique-connected subgraph, i.e. it is the union of all k -cliques that are k -clique-connected to a particular k -clique.

Erdosh-Renyi random graphs show a series of interesting transitions when the probability p of two nodes being connected is increased. For $k = 2$, the transition is well known and manifested by the appearance of a giant component in a network at critical probability $p_c(k = 2) = \frac{1}{N}$, where N is the number of nodes. For each k , one can find a certain threshold probability $p_c(k)$ above which the k -cliques organize into a giant community [12]:

$$p_c(k) = \frac{1}{[N(k - 1)]^{1/(k-1)}}.$$

Functional subnetworks analysis Using resting-state functional MRI connectivity 7 functional subnetworks were described with a high degree of separability and reproducibility [16]. Using the same parcellation atlas [19], we mapped the vertices of the structural connectomes into a set of described functional subnetworks. The resulting bijection from the vertices of the structural connectome to the functional subnetworks was further used to analyze the structure of these subnetworks.

3 Results

Investigating internal community structure in human connectomes, we present the results as follows. We start with the analysis of clique distribution and then show that high-order clique percolation is characteristic of the human structural connectome. To reveal the dependence of structural properties on the edge confidence, i.e. the probability of a connection occurring in a connectome set, we considered the process of network *decomposition* and *inverse decomposition* to analyze the network structure dynamics in transition from the most common to more individual connections. We then propose a novel model that satisfies both observed phenomena: k -clique percolation under specific limitations on connection length with second-order phase transition dynamics, and association of the edge confidence with community structure connectivity. In the last section, we analyze the relation of observed structural features to known functional subnetworks of human functional connectomes and reveal the complex nature of structural interaction between functional subnetworks.

Community structure and k -clique percolation in human structural connectomes The k -clique cluster analysis approach allows to study network communities with a complex structure and a high degree of overlap. By considering k -clique clusters of different orders k simultaneously with the distribution of maximal cliques, we explore the connectivity between dense structures and their internal organization, even if they are poorly separable. Figure 1b,c shows characteristic

clique size distributions in connectomes for different values of the τ and θ thresholds. For networks in the initial state, the characteristic clique size varies within 5 – 10 and reaches 17 in the heavy right tail of the distribution (Fig. 1a). In the decomposition process, the distribution shifts to a much smaller clique size ($k \approx 3 - 5$) with the simultaneous disappearance of heavy tails. In the inverse decomposition process, the reverse dynamics occur and the distribution is restored. The peculiarity of the heavy edges (i.e. more common) on the clique structures can be observed by the change in clique distribution when they appear/cut off. Adding the quarter of the heaviest edges (with edge confidence values closer to 1) during the inverse decomposition process leads to a sharp increase in the characteristic clique size, compared to the lighter edges (Fig.1c compares the orange and blue distributions). A similar effect on the distribution of clique sizes is observed in reverse decomposition, i.e. removing light connections has less effect on the characteristic size of cliques than removing heavy ones.




Figure 1: (a) Distribution of edge confidence values in individual human structural connectomes. (b-c) Distributions of characteristic clique sizes for different cutoff thresholds. (b) The τ threshold corresponds to the cutoff in the decomposition process, i.e. all edges are less than τ . (c) The θ value corresponds to the cutoff threshold for inverse decomposition, i.e. all edges are greater than θ . (d-e) The network share occupied by k -clique percolation clusters during network decomposition and inverse decomposition for different clique orders k . Solid lines correspond to the size of clusters when edges are cut by the threshold value, dotted lines correspond to the size of a cluster when removing/adding random edges in the same proportion. (f) Distributions of the threshold for critical destruction of a clique cluster for a sample of structural connectomes at different values of the clique order k .

Despite the conclusion that heavy connections play a special role in the formation of high-order cliques, the characteristic clique size distribution does not reveal their impact on the formation of connections between densely connected structures and the internal structure of communities.

We next analyze k -clique community clusters in structural connectomes for different clique orders. The observed hemispheric network density satisfies $p_c(3) < \rho < p_c(4)$. That is, if the connections within the network of hemispheres were formed randomly according to an architecture rule similar to the Erdős-Rényi model, then most of the vertices would be included in the percolation cluster at $k = 3$, and percolation clique clusters of higher order would not be formed. However, we observe the formation of percolation clique clusters of significantly higher orders, up to $k = 7$ (Fig.1d).

Edge confidence is associated with the sustainability of community structure connectivity in human structural connectome To reveal the dependence of clique community formation on the edge confidence, we analyzed the fraction of nodes included in the k -clique cluster of $k = 2, 3..7$ depending on the cutoff threshold τ in the process of network decomposition (Fig. 1d). We observe that k -clique clusters of higher order ($k = 5$ and $k = 6$) percolate only for the initial network state and almost disappear at small decomposition threshold values. Percolation clusters for $k = 3$ and $k = 4$ include most of the network volume up to sufficiently high threshold values, which is a consequence of density-dependent percolation as in random network models. Moreover, for $k = 3$ and $k = 4$, the percolation cluster in the initial state of the network includes vertices from both hemispheres, which implies hemispheric connections by cliques of order up to 3. For a percolation cluster at $k = 3$, the hemisphere binding structure decomposes at $\tau \approx 0.2$, while high-order percolation clusters initially appear only within the hemisphere (Fig. 1d).

To show the role of the *edge confidence* in the stability of a percolation cluster, we conducted a similar experiment on the same percolation clusters, but with randomly mixed values of the edge confidence (Fig. 1d, dotted lines). We repeated the procedure of cluster decomposition but with random removal of edges in the same proportion, as for the original networks on each simulation step. We observed that the percolation clique cluster decomposes much faster and loses connectivity even for small clique orders. In the *inverse decomposition* process, that is the gradual restoration of the network by adding connections that satisfy the threshold condition, for small orders ($k = 3$), most of the k -clique clusters appear already at low values of the θ -threshold, after which the cluster gradually grows to its initial size (Fig. 1e). However, for higher orders ($k \geq 4$), the size of the clique percolation cluster begins to grow noticeably only at large values of the threshold θ , that is, when sufficiently heavy connections start to appear. Interestingly, the addition of random edges is more effective in increasing the size of the clique percolation cluster in the inverse decomposition process, as can be seen from a comparison of the cluster growth dynamics (Fig.1e, solid and dotted lines).

From the observed difference in the decomposition dynamics of the original networks and networks with mixed weights, we can conclude that the heavier connections (i.e. more common in the connectomes set) have a greater impact on both community structure connectivity and the formation of high-order clique communities. At the same time, the majority of the clique cluster volume is initially formed by weak connections.

For k -clique percolation clusters of small orders, we observe a narrow scatter of destruction threshold values (calculated from the peak of the derivative) over the entire sample of networks (Fig. 1f). Considering the estimated characteristic network density of the connectome hemisphere, percolation of cliques of small orders is expected even for a random network. As the order k increases, the spread of the destruction threshold widens (Fig. 1f), which indicates the heterogeneity of dense community structures in the human connectome.

Model of high-order clique percolation emergence through structural phase transition under the limitation on connection length

We investigated a model in which both phenomena characteristic of human structural connectome are observed: high-order k -clique percolation under specific limitations on connection length with phase transition dynamics, and connection weights would be associated with the sustainability of community structure to decomposition.

Starting with a random network, we trigger the evolution of the network structure by random rewiring of connections, optimizing the network with the growth of transitivity with a given chemical potential. We also control a factor of the permissible connection length during edge rewiring, while in the initial network state, nodes are evenly distributed over a 3-dimensional sphere. The evolution of the network structure continued until a fixed transitivity value was reached or until the exponential growth of computational complexity of further small increments of network transitivity, i.e. the number of iterations required to increase transitivity by 0.05. In all further examples, the maximum transitivity was limited to 0.6 (unless otherwise specified), which is slightly higher than the typical transitivity for connectomes with a mean of 0.5.




Figure 2: k -clique cluster share for model networks for different clique orders k , depending on model parameters (each value is averaged over 10 networks). The networks were generated as a result of an evolutionary process with increasing network transitivity under restrictions on the connection length and a fixed value of the chemical potential. Percolation clique clusters of large order k , characteristic of the human structural connectome, are formed when the edge length is limited. At high chemical potential values, a densely connected cluster is formed. The cluster reaches its maximal density in a limited network volume everywhere, except for a narrow percolation parameter range under constraints on connection length.

Figure 2 shows the maximum network share occupied by the k -clique cluster for $k = 6, 7, 8$, depending on the chemical potential and the factor limiting the connection length. If we first consider the case without restrictions on the connection length (i.e., the large values of maximal edge length on Fig. 2) and with low chemical potential, high-order clique clusters are not forming. With small chemical potential values, edge rewiring occurs almost always regardless of changes in transitivity (Fig. 3a). In such a case, we observe the generation of a random graph on a fixed set of vertices almost at each step, since the transitivity condition does not affect the evolutionary process. Thus, we have an analytical estimate of the maximum order of the expected percolation k -clique cluster ρ_{oc} , and indeed, a high-order clique cluster is not formed.

At large chemical potentials, i.e. predominantly creating connections that increase transitivity, the network structure is divided into dense clusters that are not necessarily connected. The global optimum of such a process is a clustered network, where clusters do not occupy the majority of the

network volume and are packed as tightly as possible (Fig. 3b). Thus, k -clique percolation clusters are not formed for large k , while network transitivity reaches its maximal values (Fig. 3e).

When the permissible connection length is limited in a certain range, which is quantitatively dependent on the network density, a phase transition occurs in the network structure with the formation of the high-order k -cliques percolation cluster (Fig. 2, Fig. 3c). We observe the formation of percolation clusters up to order $k = 8$, whereas at such a network density, the formation of a percolation clique cluster of order greater than 4 is not expected. A limitation on the connection length is typical for structural connectomes, where predominantly short connections are observed, with a predominance for connections with high edge confidence. Figure 3f shows the distribution of connection lengths relative to edge confidence and Fig. 3d schematically illustrates the network restructuring when the permissible edge length is reduced.

Edge clustering coefficient and edge confidence, based on the sample of model networks, reproduce the relation to the clique community connectivity We further asked whether the consensus-like connection weight, i.e. edge confidence calculated for model networks with the same fixed coordinates of vertices, would be associated with the sustainability of community structures to decomposition and what other connection characteristics might have a similar property concerning the clique community structure.

We calculated the model edge confidence coefficient and edge clustering coefficient for the generated sample of model networks. The networks were generated by the previously described evolutionary process, in which their transitivity increased to a certain value of 0.4 under the fixed limitation on connection length and were built on the same fixed coordinates of vertices.

In both processes, decomposition and inverse decomposition of model networks, considered model weights have a similar effect as for connectomes (4a-d). The destruction of the networks occurs later compared to cutting off random connections, and edges with a large coefficient are associated with resistance to decomposition. In the process of inverse decomposition, the dynamics of cluster growth also coincide with the same in connectomes, i.e. earlier addition of heavy edges due to their random selection leads to faster recovery of the cluster volume (4b,d, dashed lines). Furthermore, as for connectomes, the dependence of community structure stability on both model edge weights grows with increasing clique order k , i.e. for k -clique clusters of large orders, edge confidence has a greater impact on connectivity. The critical threshold values and the dynamics of network destruction/restoration differ due to the differences in the distributions of calculated weights in networks. However, the described properties are characteristic of both model weights.

The emergence of high-order clique structures requires simultaneous interaction of several functional subnetworks Recently, based on intrinsic functional connectivity, several functionally connected subnetworks have been extracted with a high degree of separability in human connectomes [16]. Considering the same anatomical parcellation [20], we analyzed the structure of these subnetworks in the context of community organization and edge confidence impact on their formation.

We consider *internal connections*, i.e. edges in structural connectome with both forming vertices belonging to a functional subnetwork, and *external connections*, i.e. edges in structural connectome with only one of forming vertices belonging to a functional subnetwork. Note that part of external connections become internal when considering the union of two or more subnetworks.

When considering each of the subnetworks separately, the maximum order of a clique percolation cluster does not exceed $k = 3 - 4$, regardless of taking into account external connections




Figure 3: (a-c) The total share of the k -clique cluster in the process of model network evolution with growing network transitivity. Three variants of the evolution dynamics are observed, occurring before the end of the calculation (i.e. exponential growth of computational complexity of further small increments of transitivity, black dots with dotted lines). (a) At low chemical potential values and with allowed long connections, a constant restructuring of the network occurs without increasing transitivity after a critical value. A percolation clique cluster is not formed. (b) At large chemical potential values, the network tends to cluster with the formation of a dense structure that occupies only part of the network. A percolation clique cluster is not formed. (c) Under a certain limitation on the connection length, a percolation clique cluster is formed, occupying almost the entire volume of the network. (d) Illustration of the effect of the edge length constraint under continuous optimization with edge rewiring. A percolation clique cluster appears at a certain value of the allowed edge length. (e) The maximal achievable value of network transitivity in the evolutionary process when generating model networks, depending on the constraint on the connection length and chemical potential (each value is averaged over 10 networks). (f) Distribution of average fiber length and edge confidence in the human structural connectome. A limitation on the connection length is typical for the connectome, especially for connections with a large edge confidence value.

(5a-b). For all subnetworks, except for the *visual*, the number of external connections significantly exceeds the number of internal ones (5g). The highest network share of high-order clique structures is observed in *visual*, *DMN*, *somato-motor* and *fronto-parietal* subnetworks (5a-b). However, if we consider a pairwise union of subnetworks including only internal connections (note that the edges connecting subnetworks are also internal for their union), *DMN* and *somato-motor* are most complementary connected with all other subnetworks through clique structures (5c-d). For almost all combinations with *DMN*, the resulting share of k -clique clusters exceeds the initial for *DMN* and other subnetworks. In a separate visual subnetwork clique cluster that reaches the largest share (5a-b), this subnetwork is the only one that has more internal connections than external ones (5g). However, a combination of visual subnetwork with other networks leads to a drop in the total share




Figure 4: (a-c) k -clique cluster share for model networks. Solid lines correspond to the clique cluster size when edges are cut by the threshold, dotted lines correspond to the clique cluster when removing/adding random edges in the same proportion. The process of network decomposition with edge clustering coefficient weight (a) and generated sample-based edge confidence (c). The process of inverse decomposition with edge clustering coefficient weight (b) and generated sample-based edge confidence (d).

of the clique cluster.

Interestingly, with a sequential combination of subnetworks, the total share of the clique cluster for high clique orders k increases almost linearly (5e-f). A high-order clique cluster, characteristic of a human structural connectome (for example, $k = 6$ of (5e-f)), covers the entire network only when all subnetworks are combined. Moreover, this observation weakly depends on the order in which subnetworks were added (compare the curves for adding networks in order of increasing size and in random order). This observation suggests the critical role of interaction between subnetworks in maintaining the internal structure of clique communities. The complex structure of dense connectivity in the human connectome through cliques is ensured by the interaction of different functional subnetworks, and does not exist in subnetworks separately.

Edge confidence of external and internal connections of functional subnetworks in human connectome In the decomposition process, subnetworks retain their relative share until




Figure 5: (a-b) Share of k -clique cluster in individual subnetworks (a) excluding external connections, and (b) for all connections of the subnetwork, including external. (c-d) The share of a k -clique cluster in a pairwise merging of subnetworks for cliques of order (c) $k = 3$ and (d) $k = 4$. Each value in (a-d) is averaged over 100 networks. (e-f) The share of a k -clique cluster with a sequential merging of subnetworks (e) in order of subnetwork size and (f) random order. Clique cluster growth curves for 100 networks are shown. (g) The number of external and internal structural connections of functional subnetworks (averaging over the full connectome set).

the onset of critical collapse, when only the most common connections remain and the network loses connectivity (6a). The threshold for the onset of critical destruction of subnetworks lies in the range at which $k = 3..4$ -clique percolation clusters disintegrate in individual connectomes and random graphs with the same density.

The edge confidence distribution differs for internal and external connections of subnetworks (6b-h). There are more external connections with a small edge confidence, i.e. more individual edges, and their number typically decreases with edge confidence increasing for all subnetworks except visual. The distribution of internal connections by edge confidence is more uniform for all subnetworks, except for the visual. In the visual subnetwork, the number of internal connections with a large edge confidence significantly exceeds the number of external connections. For the DMN subnetwork, the edge confidence distribution for internal connections is also slightly shifted with the domination of more common edges. Let us recall that the number of external connections exceeds the number of internal ones for all subnetworks except the visual one (5g).

4 Discussion

We investigated the internal structure of densely connected communities in human structural connectomes. Using network science approaches, we showed the cliques percolation of phenomenally high order in connectome networks. Associating the weight of an edge with the probability of such a connection occurring in a connectome set allows one to explore their properties by consid-




Figure 6: (a) The share made up of subnetworks nodes during decomposition by edge confidence value. An individual node is no longer taken into account if there are no connected edges of the subnetwork left. (b-h) Distributions of edge confidence for external and internal connections of subnetworks.

ering the entire gradation from the most common connections of the brain network to the most individual ones. We have shown how more common structural connections play a decisive role in the formation of clique communities of high order and also make a specific contribution to their connectivity.

We then proposed a model for emerging high-order clique community structures characteristic of human connectomes. The observed order $k=8$ of the percolating clique cluster is anomalous for the characteristic connectome density, and we observed how such clique structure appear in the model under the limitation on the connection length during the formation of the model network. Indeed, connections of limited length are typical for the human structural connectome, which is especially characteristic for connections with high edge confidence. The emergence of a percolation clique cluster under a constraint on the allowed connection length is similar to a second-order structural phase transition.

Limiting the local edge density with constraints on the connection length during model network formation leads to the emergence of a distributed clique community. The model is based on the optimization of the network structure with growing "transitive" connections (when we expect the connection between vertices already connected through the 3rd node), which are characteristic of connectomes. Given the characteristic edge density of connectome networks, triangles' percolation is expected even in a random network with the same density and is a density-dependent phenomenon. However, without limitation on the physical length of connections when pushing the network to grow triangles, high-order clique clusters would not emerge. Saturation of the network with triangles leads to clustering, where the dense structure occupies only a small fraction of the network, reaching maximum density. The limitation on the connection length leads to a limitation of the maximum local edge density. In other words, it reduces possible combinations when reconnecting edges, as if pulling apart the emerging dense structure throughout the entire volume of the network. An anomalously high order k of the clique cluster is observed when the network is embedded in an Euclidean metric space with a limitation on the connection length (we model the anatomical constraint of the human connectome by considering an embedding of networks in a three-dimensional sphere). Thus, we observe a structural phase transition of a second-order, with the emergence of cliques percolation of a very high order (up to $k = 8$ in the human structural connectome, with the maximum expected at a similar density $k \approx 3$).

The frequency of structural connection occurrence in a population is associated with phenomena in individual networks In the model considered, the artificial edge confidence has the same properties concerning the formation and connectivity of communities, as in natural connectomes. We also showed that similar properties are characteristic of another weight associated with the local density of triangles – the edge clustering coefficient. Thus, we observe a simple effect: the connections with the greatest contribution to the characteristics associated with the local triangle density have the greatest impact on the formation of large cliques and the connectivity of the clique community.

Moreover, keeping in mind that the edge confidence reflects how likely a particular structural connection is in a population, it turns out that statistically frequent structural connections make the greatest contribution to the connectivity of high-order clique structures. When these connection properties are correlated with the frequency of their occurrence in the connectome set, while in individual connectomes the formation of these connections occurred throughout participants' lifetimes. In a sense, we can talk about similarities with the concept of ergodicity from physics, where observing a property of a system over time (averaging over time) is equivalent to observing a sample (averaging over a sample).

The observed structural clique clusters are the distributed structural boundary between interacting functional subnetworks For functional subnetworks, which identified with high separability and reproducibility in functional connectomes [16], we examined their structural basis and community organization. It turned out that clique communities are not observed in their structure when considering functional subnetworks separately. Clique clusters emerge only when subnetworks interact. The structural connections of subnetworks complement each other and, as a result, a distributed clique structure is formed. The observed clusters are the unifying boundary between interacting functional subnetworks. This clique community structure is distributed throughout the connectome, revealing the complementary nature of the structural connections of interacting functional subnetworks.

It also turns out that functionally separable subnetworks are not well-separable structural clusters. Moreover, the number of internal structural connections of each subnetwork is several times smaller than the number of external ones (i.e., connecting with other subnetworks). Thus, the structural organization of a functional subnetwork consists primarily of external connections between the subnetworks, while internal connections do not form dense structures. Also interesting is the difference between the edge confidence distributions for internal and external connections of subnetworks. External connections do not have the characteristic growth in distribution with weakening edge confidence; the distribution is almost uniform. Thus, internal connections are more repetitive and common for the connectome sample, regardless of whether their number is inferior to external ones.

References

- [1] Marco Guerra et al. “Homological scaffold via minimal homology bases”. In: *Scientific reports* 11.1 (2021), pp. 1–17.
- [2] Balázs Szalkai et al. “The Budapest reference connectome server v2. 0”. In: *Neuroscience Letters* 595 (2015), pp. 60–62.
- [3] E. Christopher Zeeman. “Topology of the brain”. In: 1965.
- [4] Nathalie Tzourio-Mazoyer et al. “Automated anatomical labeling of activations in SPM using a macroscopic anatomical parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain”. In: *Neuroimage* 15.1 (2002), pp. 273–289.
- [5] Lingzhong Fan et al. “The human brainnetome atlas: a new brain atlas based on connectional architecture”. In: *Cerebral cortex* 26.8 (2016), pp. 3508–3526.
- [6] M. Girvan and M. E. J. Newman. “Community structure in social and biological networks”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99.12 (2002), pp. 7821–7826. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.122653799.
- [7] “Community detection in graphs”. In: *Physics Reports* 486.3 (2010), pp. 75–174. ISSN: 0370-1573.
- [8] Ed Bullmore and Olaf Sporns. “The economy of brain network organization”. In: *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 13 (2012), pp. 336–349.
- [9] Richard F Betzel Olaf Sporns. “Modular brain networks”. In: *Annu Rev Psychol* 67 (2016), pp. 613–640.
- [10] Hongjun Chang Byeongwook Lee Uiryeong Kang and Kwang-Hyun Cho. “The hidden community architecture of human brain networks”. In: *Scientific reports* 12 (2022), p. 3540.
- [11] Imre Derényi, Gergely Palla, and Tamás Vicsek. “Cliques percolation in random networks”. In: *Physical review letters* 94.16 (2005), p. 160202.
- [12] Gergely Palla, Imre Derényi, and Tamás Vicsek. “The critical point of k-Clique percolation in the Erdős–Rényi graph”. In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 128.1 (2007), pp. 219–227.
- [13] Abigail L Cosgrove et al. “Quantifying flexibility in thought: The resiliency of semantic networks differs across the lifespan”. In: *Cognition* 211 (2021), p. 104631.
- [14] O. Valba and A. Gorsky. “K-clique percolation in free association networks and the possible mechanism behind the 7 ± 2 law”. In: *Scientific reports* 12 (2022), p. 5540.

- [15] Csaba Kerepesi et al. “The braingraph. org database of high resolution structural connectomes and the brain graph tools”. In: *Cognitive Neurodynamics* 11.5 (2017), pp. 483–486.
- [16] BT Thomas Yeo et al. “The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity”. In: *Journal of neurophysiology* (2011).
- [17] Jennifer A McNab et al. “The Human Connectome Project and beyond: initial applications of 300 mT/m gradients”. In: *Neuroimage* 80 (2013), pp. 234–245.
- [18] Balázs Szalkai et al. “Parameterizable consensus connectomes from the human connectome project: the budapest reference connectome server v3. 0”. In: *Cognitive neurodynamics* 11.1 (2017), pp. 113–116.
- [19] Cammoun L et al. “Mapping the human connectome at multiple scales with diffusion spectrum MRI”. In: *J Neurosci Methods* (2012).
- [20] Leila Cammoun et al. “Mapping the human connectome at multiple scales with diffusion spectrum MRI”. In: *Journal of neuroscience methods* 203.2 (2012), pp. 386–397.