

STRESS-TUNED OPTICAL TRANSITIONS IN LAYERED 1T-MX₂ (M= Hf, Zr, Sn; X= S, Se) CRYSTALS

A PREPRINT

Miłosz Rybak¹ , Tomasz Woźniak^{1,*} , Magdalena Birowska² , Filip Dybała¹, Alfredo Segura³,
Konrad J. Kapcia^{4,5} , Paweł Scharoch¹ and Robert Kudrawiec¹

¹ Department of Semiconductor Materials Engineering, Faculty of Fundamental Problems of Technology Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland; milosz.rybak@pwr.edu.pl (M.R.); filip.dybala@pwr.edu.pl (F.D.); pawel.scharoch@pwr.edu.pl (P.S.); robert.kudrawiec@pwr.edu.pl (R.K.)

² Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Pasteura St. 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland; birowska@fuw.edu.pl

³ Departamento de Física Aplicada-ICMUV, Malta-Consolider Team, Universitat de València, 46100 Burjassot, Spain; alfredo.segura@uv.es

⁴ Institute of Spintronics and Quantum Information, Faculty of Physics, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań, ul. Uniwersytetu Poznańskiego 2, 61-614 Poznań, Poland; konrad.kapcia@amu.edu.pl

⁵ Center for Free-Electron Laser Science CFEL, Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

* correspondence: tomasz.wozniak@pwr.edu.pl

ABSTRACT

Optical measurements under externally applied stresses allow us to study the materials' electronic structure by comparing the pressure evolution of optical peaks obtained from experiments and theoretical calculations. We examine the stress-induced changes in electronic structure for the thermodynamically stable 1T polytype of selected MX₂ compounds (M=Hf, Zr, Sn; X=S, Se), using the density functional theory. We demonstrate that considered 1T-MX₂ materials are semiconducting with indirect character of the band gap, irrespective to the employed pressure as predicted using modified Becke–Johnson potential. We determine energies of direct interband transitions between bands extrema and in band-nesting regions close to Fermi level. Generally, the studied transitions are optically active, exhibiting in-plane polarization of light. Finally, we quantify their energy trends under external hydrostatic, uniaxial, and biaxial stresses by determining the linear pressure coefficients. Generally, negative pressure coefficients are obtained implying the narrowing of the band gap. The semiconducting-to-metal transition are predicted under hydrostatic pressure. We discuss these trends in terms of orbital composition of involved electronic bands. In addition, we demonstrate that the measured pressure coefficients of HfS₂ and HfSe₂ absorption edges are in perfect agreement with our predictions. Comprehensive and easy-to-interpret tables containing the optical features are provided to form the basis for assignation of optical peaks in future measurements.

Keywords MX₂ · DFT · bulk · band structure · pressure coefficients · transition metal dichalcogenides

1 Introduction

Among the large family of van der Waals (vdW) crystals, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have attracted a great deal of interest owing to their unique combination of direct band gap, significant spin-orbit coupling and exceptional electronic and mechanical properties, making them attractive for both fundamental studies and applications [1, 2]. In particular, their semiconducting nature opens a door to potential optoelectronic, photonic and sensing devices such as light emitting diodes, microlasers, solar cells, transistors or light detectors [3, 4, 5, 6].

Optoelectronic properties of vdW materials can be tuned by multiple external factors. One of them is an effective strain engineering. Recent theoretical and experimental reports have demonstrated flexible control over their electronic states via applying external strains [7, 8, 9]. For instance, applying an uniaxial tensile strain to monolayer of MoS₂ may result in direct-to-indirect band gap transition [10], whereas applying a biaxial strain gives rise to a semiconductor-to-metal phase transition [11]. Meanwhile, the prominent mechanical strength of TMDs [12], compared with conventional 3D semiconductors, allows to use large strains for band structure engineering. For instance, combined studies by means of density functional theory (DFT) calculations and atomic force microscopy measurements have reported that the fracture stress of a freely suspended MoS₂ [12, 13] approaches the theoretical limit of this quantity for defect-free elastic crystal (one-ninth its Young's modulus) [14]. In addition, numerous nondestructive optical techniques, including Raman, absorption, photoreflectance, and photoluminescence experiments, can be readily employed to quantitatively determine strain-tuned optical properties. In addition, high-pressure measurements are highly desirable for detailed band structure information as well as give useful benchmark to test DFT calculations. Such techniques also provide a direct way to probe interlayer interaction in the layered structures. In particular, recent experimental reports have demonstrated that the energies of various optical transitions in TMDs exhibit significant pressure dependence [15, 16, 17, 18], which allows for the identification of the optical peaks, making them attractive for applications in pressure-sensing devices [19, 20, 21]. Generally, the unique mechanical flexibility and strength of TMDs make them an ideal platform for band gap engineering by strain, thus, enabling enhancement of their optical properties.

The chemical formula of hexagonal TMDs is MX₂, where M stands for a transition metal element, and X is a chalcogene element (S, Se or Te). TMDs exhibit several structural polytypes of which two most common are trigonal prismatic (2H) and octahedral (1T) ones (see Figure 1). The difference between 2H and 1T polytypes can be viewed in different arrangement of atomic planes sequence within the monolayer. Namely, 2H polytype corresponds to an ABA arrangement, whereas 1T polytype is characterised by ABC sequence order [22]. Although 2H polytype of TMDs, based on Mo and W, have been extensively studied, the octahedral 1T MX₂ compounds containing the M=Hf, Zr and Sn, X=S, Se elements have been less examined. The latter ones are indirect-gap semiconductors with band gaps ranging from visible to near-infrared wavelengths [23]. The earlier studies on 1T-MX₂ compounds have predicted very high electron mobility and sheet current density in HfS₂, superior to MoS₂ [24, 25], which makes ultrathin HfS₂ phototransistors appealing for optoelectronics [26]. Thin SnSe₂ flakes were shown to exhibit high photoresponsivity [27]. ZrS₂ nanosheets were found suitable as anodes for sodium ion batteries [28]. These findings motivate further studies of electronic properties of 1T-MX₂ crystals in 1L and bulk form. Despite some works reporting pressure evolution of Raman spectra [29, 30, 31], as well as X-ray diffraction and transport measurements [32], optical measurements under pressure are largely missing for 1T-MX₂ compounds.

In this work, we systematically investigate the impact of external stress on the basic features of the band structure of MX₂ (M=Hf, Zr, Sn; X=S, Se) in the 1T bulk polytype by DFT calculations. For each compound, we identify the dominant direct electronic transitions in BZ. As the structural anisotropy of in-plane and out-of plane directions in layered systems may result in different response to the strain, we study the evolution of the band structure upon applying stress types that are most frequently realized in experiments, i.e., compressive isotropic (hydrostatic), biaxial, and uniaxial stress. We quantify the energy trend for each transition between ambient and band gap closing pressure by determining the linear pressure coefficients. In addition, we examine the effect of light polarization for optically active direct transitions using dipole selection rules. Our predicted pressure coefficients and polarization of transitions can serve for identification of the features in measured optical spectra. Meanwhile, we explain the observed chemical trends by the orbital composition of electronic bands involved in the transitions. Finally, we compare our calculated results to the pressure trends of absorption edges positions measured in HfS₂ and HfSe₂ crystals, finding an excellent agreement. It corroborates that our adopted computational strategy is accurate at the quantitative level.




Figure 1: Top and side views of the (a) trigonal prismatic (2H) and (b) octahedral (1T) polytypes of MX₂. (c) The first Brillouin zone (BZ) with high-symmetry k-points and lines denoted in blue.

2 Methods and Materials

The DFT calculations have been performed in Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package [33]. The electron-ion interaction was modeled using projector-augmented-wave technique [34]. In the case of tin (Sn) atom, the 4d¹⁰ states were included in valence shell, for hafnium and zircon, additional s states were taken (4s² for Zr, 5s² for Hf). The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) [35] exchange–correlation (XC) functional was employed. A plane-wave basis cutoff of 500 eV and a 12 × 12 × 8 Monkhorst-Pack [36] k-point grid for BZ integrations were set. These values assured the convergence of the lattice constants and the electronic gaps were within precision of 0.001 Å and 0.001 eV, respectively. A Gaussian smearing of 0.02 eV was used for integration in reciprocal space. It is well known that standard exchange correlation functionals are insufficient to describe a non-local nature of dispersive forces, crucial to obtain a proper interlayer distance for layered structures [37, 38]. Thus, the semi-empirical Grimme’s correction with Becke–Johnson damping (D3-BJ) [39] was employed to properly describe the weak vdW forces. The spin–orbit (SO) interaction was taken into account.

It is well established that the standard approximations to the XC functional lead to a severe underestimation of the electronic band gap and the lack of inclusion excitonic effects. In this regard, DFT is inaccurate for identification of optical transitions based on their absolute energy values. This issue can be partly improved by using more advanced techniques such as hybrid functionals or GW method [40, 41], but their computational costs often make the calculations unfeasible for systems containing more than few atoms. The modified Becke–Johnson (mBJ) potential is an alternative approach to improve the band gaps with relatively low computational cost [42, 43, 44]. Recent report shave shown that mBJ provides reasonable results for identifying the optical transitions in ReS₂ and ReSe₂ bulk crystals [16]. It also yields pressure coefficients of optical transitions in excellent agreement with experimental values [15, 45]. Therefore, we employ mBJ potential for band structure calculations, on top of the optimized geometry obtained within the PBE+D3-BJ+SO approach. The direct interband momentum matrix elements were computed from the wave function derivatives using density functional perturbation theory [46].

3 Results

3.1 Theoretical Analysis

We start our research by considering the geometry and electronic structure for the unstrained systems. The optimized lattice parameters, provided in Table 1, are in perfect agreement with experimental values. Similarly to 2H-TMDs, lattice constants are mostly governed by chalcogene atoms [15]. As it is expected for heavier atoms, the selenium (Se) compounds possess larger lattice parameters than sulfur (S) ones. The electronic band structures calculated under ambient conditions are presented in Figure 2. The band edges are located at the same high symmetry k-points for all studied systems. Namely, the valence band maximum (VBM) and conduction band minimum (CBM) are located at Γ and L k-points, respectively. Note that the VBM of SnSe_2 at ambient pressure is not located exactly at Γ point, but between the Γ and K points (on the Γ -M path the local maximum is 2 meV lower). Under biaxial stress the VBM shifts to A point, but under hydrostatic and uniaxial stress the position and shape of VBM remain unchanged. This type of pressure behavior has already been observed in InSe crystals, where VBM exhibits toroidal shape [47, 48]. The toroidal shape has consequences in transport and optical properties and would require further investigations, which are beyond the scope of our work. The calculated fundamental gaps exhibit indirect character with values systematically lower by 30–50% with respect to experimental values (see Table 1). The systems containing Se atoms exhibit reduced size of the energy gaps in comparison to S-containing systems.

The underestimation of the band gap is related to the geometrical structure—a better agreement is obtained with the use of experimental lattice constants, as shown in Ref. [49] and discussed in Appendix B for ZrSe_2 . In our study, we focus, however, not on the absolute value of the band gap, but rather on the pressure dependence of optical transitions, which requires a full optimization of geometry. Further, the discrepancies between theoretical and experimental bands gaps stem from the systematic underestimation of the band gap and the lack of including excitonic effects in our theoretical approach. On the other hand, the quasi-two-dimensional character of layered crystal leads to exciton binding energies on the order of tens or hundreds of meV [50, 51, 52, 53, 54], which redshifts the optical energies from their band-to-band values. Incidentally, it can improve the agreement with experiments, but this is fortuitous result and strongly material-dependent. In contrast to the absolute energy of transition, variation of its energy with respect to pressure, quantified by a linear pressure coefficient, demonstrates to be in good agreement with measured value [15, 16, 17]. Additionally, the dependence of the exciton binding energies upon the pressure can be neglected, whenever the exciton binding energy is much smaller than the transition energy [45, 55]. Aforementioned suggest that the pressure coefficients obtained using mBJ might provide reasonable values and enable proper identification of the measured optical peaks on a quantitative level. Therefore, in order to compare the optical experimental results with our theoretical outcomes, the pressure coefficients are computed.

Table 1: Calculated and measured lattice constants and fundamental band gaps of all the compounds.

System	$a^{\text{DFT}}(\text{\AA})$	$c^{\text{DFT}}(\text{\AA})$	$E_g^{\text{DFT}}(\text{eV})$	$a^{\text{exp}}(\text{\AA})$	$c^{\text{exp}}(\text{\AA})$	$E_g^{\text{exp}}(\text{eV})$
HfS_2	3.59	5.75	1.50	3.63 [56]	5.86 [56]	1.96 [57], 1.80 [58], 1.87 [59]
HfSe_2	3.70	6.08	0.71	3.67 [56]	6.00 [56]	1.13 [57], 1.15 [58]
ZrS_2	3.63	5.72	1.12	3.66 [57]	5.82 [57]	1.68 [57], 1.70[60], 1.78 [61]
ZrSe_2	3.74	6.04	0.33	3.77 [57]	6.14 [57]	1.20 [60], 1.10 [62], 1.18 [63]
SnS_2	3.67	5.80	2.14	3.65 [64]	5.90 [64]	2.88 [65]
SnSe_2	3.84	6.00	1.10	3.82 [64]	6.14 [64]	1.63 [65]

Although structural phase transitions under pressure were reported for our compounds [31, 30, 66, 67, 68, 69], they are out of the scope of this work and we consider only the 1T phase under hydrostatic pressures up to metallization limit. In our mBJ-PBE+SO calculations they occur at pressures of: 266 kbar for SnS_2 , 188 kbar for HfS_2 , 128 kbar for ZrS_2 , 84 kbar for SnSe_2 , 65 kbar for HfSe_2 and 26 kbar for ZrSe_2 . We also apply uniaxial and biaxial stresses, as depicted on Figure 4, which result from reducing the lattice parameters by up to 8% (see Appendix B). Figure 3 presents the band structures of HfS_2 and SnSe_2 under hydrostatic, uniaxial, and biaxial stress, as representatives of $(\text{Hf,Zr})\text{X}_2$ and SnX_2 groups. Note, that the indirect character of the band gaps is preserved, irrespective of the pressure applied. The band edges positions are located at the same k-points as for unstrained samples, except for SnX_2 systems under hydrostatic pressure, where CBM moves from L to M point, and biaxial strains, where VBM moves from Γ to A point. Note that, the application of compressive uniaxial strains result in reduction in the band gaps. Notably, the impact of hydrostatic or biaxial pressures is non-trivial and more complicated. In particular, for SnX_2 compounds, the biaxial stresses initially increase the energy gap and move the VBM from Γ to A point (see Figure 3b and the Appendix B for a detailed discussion).




Figure 2: The electronic structure of bulk MS_2 ($M=\text{Hf, Zr, Se}$) for (a) and MSe_2 for (b) high symmetry lines in BZ obtained using mBJ potential on the top of PBE+D3-BJ+SO geometry optimization. The VBM and CBM are denoted in blue circles.

On the basis of the calculated electronic band structures, we identify direct electronic transitions with energies below 4.5 eV that might be optically active. For that, we calculate the energy differences between three uppermost valence bands (VB, VB-1, and VB-2) and three lowermost conduction bands (CB, CB+1, and CB+2) and plot them along the k-path, as presented in Figure 4c,d. The minima and plateaus in these plots denote the regions of BZ, where transitions occur between bands extrema at high-symmetry k-points or between parallel bands between high-symmetry k-points, called band-nesting regions. Both types of transitions contribute to van Hove's singularities, that give rise to measurable optical signal. Figure 4c,d reveal multiple of such transitions in HfS_2 and SnSe_2 . Additionally, in order to be optically active a transition must have a finite dipole strength, or intensity. Their calculated values are presented in Figure 4e,f, distinguishing the in-plane and out-of-plane components. In case the former (latter) one has a non-zero value, the emitted light propagates perpendicular (parallel) to the layers' plane. Basing on these two conditions, we identify the optically active transitions in all studied compounds and depict the exemplary ones for HfS_2 and SnSe_2 with arrows in Figure 4a,b.




Figure 3: The electronic band structure for unstressed (grey lines) and stressed samples under hydrostatic pressure (blue lines), uniaxial stress (green lines), biaxial stress (violet lines), respectively, for (a) HfS_2 and (b) SnSe_2 . The uniaxial and biaxial stresses correspond to -8% and -2% strains, respectively. Zero in energy is rigidly set to the VBM.

A significant difference between the systems containing transition metal (Hf, Zr) compared to the p -block metal (Sn) is visible in the orbital composition of their conduction bands. The lack of the d -type orbitals close to the Fermi level for Sn compounds substantially affects the curvature of the bands. Note that, for SnS_2 and SnSe_2 systems CBM is separated from other conduction bands by several hundred meV (see Figure 2a,b). Such separation reflects an intermediate character of the CBM, thus in turn, significantly decreases the number of optical transitions potentially visible in experiments. In particular, two transitions with highest intensities and the different polarization of light are located at Γ (from VB to CB, out-of plane polarization) and M (from VB to CB+1, in-plane polarization) k-points, respectively, (see Figure 4f,b). However, the latter one is less plausible due to much higher energy difference. Considering the Hf, Zr contained systems, their conduction bands are mainly composed of $4d$ states, and many bands appeared in CB, resulting in a higher number of plausible transitions (see Appendix D).




Figure 4: Electronic band structures with orbital projections for (a) HfS_2 , (b) SnSe_2 . The arrows in (a,b) mark the optically active transitions with highest intensities. The color of the arrow reflects the position from which the transition occurs. For instance, the blue arrow denotes the transition from VB-1 to CB, whereas the light green—from VB to CB. (c,d) graphs show energy of direct transition between selected bands (the other pairs of bands are omitted for the sake of clarity), and (e,f) demonstrate the corresponding dipole strengths and polarizations of transitions.

After selecting the direct transitions at high symmetry points and band-nesting regions with non-zero intensities, we discuss the pressure coefficients assigned to them and collected in Table 2. Note that, the transition energies exhibit nonlinear trends in the whole pressure range, as exemplified on Figure 5a,c for VB-CB transition in HfS_2 and SnSe_2 . Thus, for each compound we divide the whole pressure range into three ranges, denoted I, II, and III, where the energy trends are approximately linear (for the complete list see Table 3). Figure 5b,d present the dispersion of the pressure coefficient for VB-CB transition in HfS_2 and SnSe_2 . In HfS_2 , the pressure coefficients in II and III are similar and significantly different from I at Γ , K, L, and H points. In SnSe_2 the differences are even larger. For example, the VB-CB transition at Γ exhibits a negative pressure dependence for low pressures (0–25 kbar). In range II (25–60 kbar) the energy is nearly constant and increases at higher pressures (>60 kbar).

The five lowermost optically active transitions for each compound, are collected in Table 2. The full list of all possible transitions up to 4.5 eV upon various stresses, with corresponding pressure coefficient are presented in Tables 4–7. Most of the selected transitions are located at high symmetry k-points, with a few band-nesting transitions present on Γ -A and A-L paths. Most of transitions exhibit in-plane polarization of the light. The Se-based compounds display higher pressure coefficients than S-based ones. In particular, for the lowermost optical transition the uniaxial coefficients have in the former ones have at least two times larger absolute values than in the latter ones.

The fundamental difference between the systems containing transition metal atoms and Sn atoms is observed in the sign of pressure coefficients. For the first ones, most of the predicted pressure coefficients are negative, whereas for the systems with Sn atoms, the positive values are obtained in the case of hydrostatic and biaxial stresses (see Figures 2 and 6). In addition for SnX_2 the largest pressure coefficients are obtained for uniaxial stresses (one order of magnitude larger than the rest ones), while for the rest structures it is not conclusive.




Figure 5: The energy transition under the hydrostatic pressure at Γ point for (a) HfS₂ (c) SnSe₂ bulk structures. Three linear ranges have been selected (I, II, III), different for both systems. Corresponding pressure coefficients for HfS₂ and SnSe₂, are presented in (b,d), respectively, along the entire k-path.

3.2 Comparison with Experiment

To benchmark our results, we compare them to the pressure trends of absorption edge positions measured in HfS₂ and HfSe₂. For that purpose the commercial HfS₂ and HfSe₂ crystals were used, grown using the state-of-art flux zone technique at 2D Semiconductors company. In order to measure the pressure dependence of absorption spectra, crystals were exfoliated to bulk-like flakes and enclosed in a diamond anvil cell (DAC). A helium-filled membrane was used to control the thrust on the diamonds and the pressure inside the chamber. The value of pressure was determined by measuring the red shift of R1 photoluminescence line from ruby spheres using the high resolution Ocean Optics HR2000+ fiber spectrometer with 1800 g/mm grating and a silicon CCD detector. Light from standard halogen lamp was focused onto the sample with reflective objectives. The absorption spectra from the sample were measured by a second spectrometer of the same type but equipped with 300 g/mm grating.

Figure 6a,b show the pressure dependence of absorption spectra measured at room temperature for HfS₂ and HfSe₂, respectively. In order to extract the absorption edge, the square of absorption was plotted and extrapolated to zero. The obtained pressure dependence of absorption edge is plotted by solid circles in Figure 6c,d for HfS₂ and HfSe₂, respectively, together with the linear fit. The respective pressure coefficients are equal to -5.13 and -7.89 meV/kbar. According to theoretical calculations the observed absorption edge can be attributed to the direct optical transition at the Γ point of Brillouin zone. The pressure coefficient for this transition in HfS₂ and HfSe₂ determined from DFT are equal, respectively, to -5.49 and -7.34 meV/kbar. Note, that these theoretical values are different than those collected in Table 2, due to different pressure ranges for linear fitting. The experimental and theoretical values are in excellent agreement, confirming a quantitative accuracy of our calculations. Basing on that we predict, with high level of credibility, that it applies also to other compounds, not investigated experimentally yet.




Figure 6: Pressure dependence of absorption spectra measured at room temperature for (a) HfS₂ and (b) HfSe₂. Inset shows the absorption edge determined from the absorption spectra (solid circles) together with the linear fit (red lines). Pressure dependencies of the absorption edge energy (black points) and calculated VB-CB transition at Γ (green) and fundamental indirect transition Γ -L (violet) as a linear fit of points on the same range and derived pressure coefficients (meV/kbar) for (c) HfS₂ and (d) HfSe₂.

4 Summary

This work reports an extensive DFT investigation of band structure evolution and electronic features upon applying various pressures for the bulk MX₂ compounds (M=Hf, Zr, Sn; X=S, Se) in 1T polytype. We study the trends of the fundamental indirect band gap and direct transitions energies upon application of hydrostatic, uniaxial and biaxial stresses, up to semiconductor-to-metal transition. We provide the values of pressure coefficients in the ranges of linear behavior of transitions energies. Additionally, dipole strengths and polarizations of direct transitions are computed. The observed chemical trends are discussed in terms of orbital composition of involved electronic bands. In general, the negative pressure coefficients have been determined, except the (M=Sn; X=Se,S) structures under hydrostatic and biaxial stresses. The largest pressure coefficients are predicted under uniaxial stresses for Sn containing structures. We compare the calculated pressure coefficients to the experimental values for the absorption edges of HfS₂ and HfSe₂, obtaining an excellent agreement. It corroborates, that our computational strategy (PBE+D3-BJ+SO for geometry optimization, mBJ+SO for band structure calculations), yields a quantitative precision for identification of optical peaks in 1T-MX₂, based on their pressure evolution. Our work provides easy-to-interpret tables with electronic band structure features under applying stress. Such results provide an indispensable and complete aid for materials characterization, as they enable assignment of measured optical peaks to specific transitions in the electronic band structure.

Table 2: Direct optical transitions for particular high symmetry k-points for all employed compounds. The $n.XY$ represents the nesting bands transition between the X and Y k-points, whereas the v (valence band) and c (conduction band) indicate positions for which the transition occurs. The E , $P_{\parallel}^2$ and $P_{\perp}^2$ denote the energy of transition, intensity of in-plane and out-of plane polarization of light (given in $eV^2\text{\AA}^2$), respectively. The last nine columns indicate the pressure coefficients (given in $meV/kbar$), where the lower index denotes the pressure regions defined in Appendix C, and the upper index indicates the type of pressure: h—hydrostatic, u—uniaxial, and b—biaxial.

Point	v	c	E	$P_{\parallel}^2$	$P_{\perp}^2$	a_I^h	a_{II}^h	a_{III}^h	a_I^u	a_{II}^u	a_{III}^u	a_I^b	a_{II}^b	a_{III}^b
HfS₂														
L	VB	CB	2.076	21	11	-7.61	-5.45	-5.05	-5.16	-2.91	-1.72	-5.49	-6.99	-7.68
Γ	VB	CB	2.448	0	3	-6.64	-3.56	-3.78	-12.83	-9.51	-8.00	1.08	-6.29	-9.26
n. Γ A	VB	CB	2.687	67	0	-4.19	-1.71	-0.96	-9.16	-7.96	-7.03	-1.71	-2.07	-2.98
Γ	VB-1	CB	2.690	66	0	0.87	0.66	0.66	-0.21	0.14	0.25	-1.63	-6.74	-9.75
L	VB-1	CB	2.781	30	2	-2.63	-1.26	-0.94	1.06	2.63	3.50	-6.89	-4.89	-4.98
HfSe₂														
Γ	VB	CB	1.699	52	0	-9.04	-6.57	-5.79	-10.77	-8.70	-7.94	-8.15	-13.52	-14.72
Γ	VB-1	CB	1.716	5	5	-4.02	-4.06	-4.79	0.84	1.03	1.13	-4.34	-12.03	-13.93
L	VB	CB	1.758	14	14	-10.18	-8.11	-6.97	-3.32	-2.02	-1.26	-8.63	-9.98	-9.97
Γ	VB-1	CB+1	1.811	22	0	-2.92	-2.33	-1.96	0.34	0.71	0.94	0.40	-2.10	-2.58
A	VB	CB	1.962	68	0	1.32	1.02	0.79	4.02	3.63	3.57	-3.06	-3.65	-4.49
ZrS₂														
Γ	VB	CB+1	1.777	4	0	-5.41	-2.53	-1.69	-11.51	-8.27	-7.22	-3.73	-3.09	-2.86
L	VB	CB	1.949	10	20	-7.64	-5.70	-5.30	-5.17	-2.70	-1.52	-1.68	-1.01	-0.60
Γ	VB-1	CB+2	2.073	11	0	-4.41	-4.44	-4.10	3.65	4.20	4.69	1.18	1.57	1.86
Γ	VB-2	CB+1	2.164	41	0	-1.37	-0.96	-0.78	-0.16	0.23	0.40	-0.05	0.09	0.16
A	VB	CB	2.209	61	0	1.36	1.11	1.13	2.96	2.67	2.63	0.96	1.00	1.04
ZrSe₂														
Γ	VB	CB+1	1.151	14	0	-6.76	-3.50	-2.46	-8.29	-5.75	-4.92	-2.92	-3.48	0.60
n. Γ A	VB	CB	1.252	54	0	-5.31	-2.36	-1.46	-10.08	-7.87	-7.06	-3.62	-10.30	-12.88
Γ	VB-1	CB	1.252	53	0	-2.87	-2.88	-5.39	1.34	1.38	1.34	-1.65	-12.33	-9.91
L	VB	CB	1.320	23	6	-10.03	-7.75	-7.10	-3.25	-1.86	-0.98	-9.22	-10.43	-10.32
A	VB	CB	1.460	60	0	1.64	1.33	0.89	4.46	3.91	3.87	-2.94	-3.10	-3.34
SnS₂														
Γ	VB	CB	2.595	0	61	-4.73	0.22	1.28	-28.59	-25.78	-24.78	13.08	1.03	-1.09
L	VB-2	CB	3.786	3	35	2.20	4.21	8.71	-4.56	-1.89	-0.30	4.32	1.38	-2.14
H	VB	CB	3.871	4	0	3.01	3.00	2.85	-2.63	-3.11	-3.71	3.84	2.21	1.42
H	VB-1	CB	3.889	7	0	2.89	3.01	2.85	-2.48	-2.99	-3.60	3.78	2.16	1.38
K	VB	CB	3.953	20	0	3.87	2.52	1.65	1.38	0.96	0.55	2.64	1.52	0.96
SnSe₂														
Γ	VB	CB	1.446	8	67	-5.31	-0.15	1.17	-34.07	-31.48	-32.20	11.54	-0.14	-2.98
Γ	VB-2	CB	1.959	112	0	3.18	8.11	8.06	-6.45	-5.74	2.35	4.45	0.08	-2.75
H	VB	CB	2.969	3	3	2.74	3.00	2.56	-4.41	-5.21	-6.68	4.16	2.25	1.21
L	VB-2	CB	2.986	14	14	4.16	4.95	4.96	1.36	5.21	8.35	1.66	-2.95	-4.30
K	VB	CB	3.072	22	0	4.17	3.24	1.43	0.47	-0.52	-1.76	2.73	1.42	0.69

Author contributions

Conceptualization, M.R. and T.W.; methodology, M.R., T.W., and A.S.; software, M.R.; validation, M.B.; formal analysis, M.R. and F.D.; investigation, M.R. and F.D.; resources, M.R., A.S., and K.J.K.; data curation, M.R. and F.D.; writing—original draft preparation, M.R.; writing—review and editing, T.W., M.B., and P.S; visualization, M.R.; supervision, R.K., P.S., and K.J.K.; project administration, M.R., R.K., P.S., and K.J.K.; funding acquisition, R.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

K.J.K. thanks the Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange for funding in the frame of the Bekker programme (PPN/BEK/2020/1/00184). K.J.K. is also grateful for the funding from the scholarships of the Minister of Science and Higher Education (Poland) for outstanding young scientists (2019 edition, No. 821/STYP/14/2019).

Acknowledgments

M.R., T.W., K.J.K., P.S., and R.K. thank Przemysław Piekarczyk for helpful discussions. M.B. acknowledges support by the University of Warsaw within the project “Excellence Initiative-Research University” programme. Access to computing facilities of PL-Grid Polish Infrastructure for Supporting Computational Science in the European Research Space and of the Interdisciplinary Center of Modeling (ICM), University of Warsaw are gratefully acknowledged. M.R. acknowledges the hospitality of the Henryk Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics (of the Polish Academy of Sciences) in Kraków during his student internship.

Appendices

A Appendix Band Gap Dependence on Geometrical Parameters

Previously, a comparison of mBJ and experimental band gaps for Hf and Zr-based compounds was reported by Jiang [49]. The better agreement obtained by Jiang is related to the use of experimental geometrical parameters. In Figure 7, we compare the band structures of ZrSe_2 calculated on top of geometrical structures from various optimization schemes. Depending on the scheme, band gap varies from 0.33 to 0.95 eV. Particularly, following Jiang’s approach (experimental lattice constants and relaxed atomic positions) we obtain a band gap of 0.65 eV, very close to his value of 0.68 eV. It should also be noted, that the best agreement with experimental band gap is obtained from PBE optimization without vdW correction. However, this scheme is known to fail for pressure/stress calculations [15, 29].




Figure 7: Band structures of ZrSe_2 calculated with mBJ on top of geometry obtained with full PBE optimization (a) with vdW D3-BJ correction, (b) without vdW correction, and experimental lattice constants (c) with relaxed atomic positions and (d) with fixed atomic positions.

B Appendix Stress vs. Strain Dependencies

In order to determine the stress coefficients of the systems, the modifications of the in-plane lattice constant a were made in the case of biaxial stresses, and the out-of-plane lattice constant c in the case of uniaxial stresses. After optimizing the remaining degrees of freedom, the applied deformations led to non-zero stress tensor components as can be seen in Figure 8.




Figure 8: Stresses ($\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ for biaxial, σ_3 for uniaxial) due to (a) biaxial ($\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$) (b) uniaxial (ε_3) strain for all system, applied as illustrated in (c,d), respectively.

Analyzing the chemical trends, we see that in the case of biaxial strains the stress caused by deformation is clearly higher for sulfur-based compounds and depends mainly on chalcogene atoms, while in the case of uniaxial strains, the resulting stress is similar for sulfur and selenium, but significantly different depending on whether we have transition metal or p-block metal, which is confirmed by the values of elastic constants obtained in the literature for these systems [40, 70, 71].

C Appendix Indirect Transition

The fundamental band gaps of all the studied compounds are indirect. At ambient pressure, the VBM and CBM are located at Γ and L points, respectively. The pressure/stress behavior of the indirect band gaps is presented in Figure 9.




Figure 9: Stress/pressure dependence of the fundamental indirect transition energy for all studied compounds. The values of pressure coefficients from linear fitting in selected pressure ranges are provided.

As in Section 3, the pressure ranges are divided into arbitrary sections, where the dependencies can be approximated linearly. Tabulated values of linear pressure coefficients with the corresponding ranges are summarized in Table 3. Only for compounds with tin there is a change of vertices from Γ -L to Γ -K (for SnSe₂ A-K). For hydrostatic pressure, this

transition takes place between 20 and 40 kbar for SnS_2 and 10–20 kbar for SnSe_2 . For uniaxial stresses it is around 20 kbar for the sulfur compound and slightly larger 5 kbar for the material with selenium. For biaxial stresses, the discussed transition in SnS_2 occurs at around 40 kbar, but for the selenium counterpart, the transition from the Γ to L points changes into A to K already at 10 kbar. Negative values in Figure 3 mean further energy difference between these points, after exceeding band gap closing pressure.

Table 3: Pressure ranges and linear pressure coefficients of fundamental indirect transitions in all studied compounds.

	pressure range of a_n^h (kbar)		stress range of a_n^u (kbar)		stress range of a_n^b (kbar)	
	I	II	I	II	I	II
HfS_2	[0–60]	[60–180]	[0–23.5]	[23.5–60.9]	[0–58.5]	[58.5–239.5]
HfSe_2	[0–40]	[40–60]	[0–24.5]	[24.5–61.3]	[0–62.9]	
ZrS_2	[0–60]	[60–120]	[0–23.2]	[23.2–53.01]	[0–53.8]	[53.8–169.0]
ZrSe_2		[0–20]	[0–25.5]	[25.5–63.5]		[0–27.56]
SnS_2	[0–180]		[0–20.6]	[20.6–29.5]	[0–32.3]	[50.5–169.8]
SnSe_2	[0–80]		[0–5.26]	[8.2–25.2]	[0–11.8]	[25.6–129.2]
n	a_n^h (meV/kbar)		a_n^u (meV/kbar)		a_n^b (meV/kbar)	
	I	II	I	II	I	II
HfS_2	–10.66	–6.75	–9.40	–4.63	–3.78	–8.33
HfSe_2	–12.61	–9.37	–8.90	–4.95	–10.95	
ZrS_2	–10.57	–7.19	–9.04	–3.94	–4.23	–8.53
ZrSe_2		–13.67	–8.06	–3.84		–8.56
SnS_2	–8.52		–11.92	–22.53	3.71	–4.51
SnSe_2	–7.19		–13.70	–32.98	2.43	–7.38

D Appendix Tables with Pressure Coefficients

The pressure coefficients of direct transitions under hydrostatic, uniaxial, and biaxial stresses for Hf, Zr, and Sn-based compounds are collected in Tables 4–7, respectively. The first column contains the position of the transition in the BZ. The next two columns indicate the valence v and conduction c bands between which the optical transition occurs. The ambient pressure energy E and polarization σ of the transition are provided in fourth and fifth column. The last nine columns contain the pressure coefficients assigned for hydrostatic, uniaxial, and biaxial stress in the selected pressure ranges.

Table 4: Pressure coefficients of Hf-based compounds.

Point	v	c	E	σ	a_I^h	a_{II}^h	a_{III}^h	a_I^u	a_{II}^u	a_{III}^u	a_I^b	a_{II}^b	a_{III}^b
HfS₂													
L	VB	CB	2.076	$\parallel/\perp$	-7.61	-5.45	-5.05	-5.16	-2.91	-1.72	-5.49	-6.99	-7.68
Γ	VB	CB	2.448	$\perp$	-6.64	-3.56	-3.78	-12.83	-9.51	-8.00	1.08	-6.29	-9.26
n. Γ A	VB	CB	2.687	$\parallel$	-4.19	-1.71	-0.96	-9.16	-7.96	-7.03	-1.71	-2.07	-2.98
Γ	VB-1	CB	2.690	$\parallel$	0.87	0.66	0.66	-0.21	0.14	0.25	-1.63	-6.74	-9.75
L	VB-1	CB	2.781	$\parallel/\perp$	-2.63	-1.26	-0.94	1.06	2.63	3.50	-6.89	-4.89	-4.98
A	VB	CB	2.833	$\parallel$	0.98	0.84	0.80	2.44	2.34	2.23	-1.56	-1.85	-2.06
Γ	VB-2	CB+1	2.842	$\parallel$	-1.54	-1.06	-1.06	-0.26	0.33	0.54	-0.73	2.32	2.52
A	VB-1	CB+1	2.955	$\parallel$	0.86	0.85	0.85	2.42	2.37	2.28	-1.85	-1.84	-2.18
M	VB	CB	3.120	$\parallel$	-0.89	-0.91	-0.93	5.29	4.73	4.44	-6.07	-5.50	-5.59
Γ	VB	CB+2	3.134	$\perp$	-8.26	-5.23	-3.57	-8.88	-5.68	-4.29	-4.98	-4.13	-2.76
Γ	VB-1	CB+2	3.356	$\parallel$	-4.00	-3.50	-2.55	3.10	3.57	3.65	-6.57	-3.70	-2.70
n.AL	VB-2	CB+1	3.486	$\perp$	0.03	1.07	1.38	-6.03	-5.59	-5.65	4.10	2.86	2.07
A	VB	CB+2	3.841	$\parallel$	0.84	0.44	0.32	3.97	3.43	3.16	-3.82	-4.60	-5.40
A	VB-1	CB+2	4.082	$\perp$	0.74	0.37	0.25	3.97	3.45	3.18	-3.92	-4.67	-5.47
HfSe₂													
Γ	VB	CB	1.699	$\parallel$	-9.04	-6.57	-5.79	-10.77	-8.70	-7.94	-8.15	-13.52	-14.72
Γ	VB-1	CB	1.716	$\parallel/\perp$	-4.02	-4.06	-4.79	0.84	1.03	1.13	-4.34	-12.03	-13.93
L	VB	CB	1.758	$\parallel/\perp$	-10.18	-8.11	-6.97	-3.32	-2.02	-1.26	-8.63	-9.98	-9.97
Γ	VB-1	CB+1	1.811	$\parallel$	-2.92	-2.33	-1.96	0.34	0.71	0.94	0.40	-2.10	-2.58
A	VB	CB	1.962	$\parallel$	1.32	1.02	0.79	4.02	3.63	3.57	-3.06	-3.65	-4.49
Γ	VB-1	CB+2	2.140	$\perp$	-5.78	-4.69	-3.47	3.35	3.89	4.34	-3.46	-2.85	-2.77
Γ	VB	CB+2	2.161	$\parallel$	-10.81	-7.20	-4.47	-8.26	-5.84	-4.72	-7.27	-4.35	-3.57
L	VB-1	CB	2.183	$\parallel/\perp$	-9.48	-7.63	-6.59	-1.24	1.46	3.00	-5.95	-5.51	-5.32
Γ	VB-2	CB	2.256	$\perp$	-5.25	-4.32	-4.75	-1.68	0.11	0.64	-3.39	-5.52	-5.64
A	VB-1	CB	2.317	$\parallel$	1.35	1.05	0.81	3.93	3.52	3.47	-2.93	-3.52	-4.36
A	VB-1	CB+1	2.318	$\parallel$	1.09	0.86	0.69	3.79	3.45	3.44	-2.92	-3.07	-2.89
Γ	VB-2	CB+1	2.341	$\parallel$	-4.15	-2.60	-1.92	-2.18	-0.21	0.45	1.34	4.41	5.71
M	VB	CB	2.476	$\parallel$	-0.15	-0.65	-0.82	6.80	5.87	5.79	-7.30	-7.71	-7.66
Γ	VB-2	CB+1	2.673	$\parallel/\perp$	-7.02	-4.96	-3.41	0.83	2.97	3.85	-2.52	3.66	5.52
A	VB	CB+2	2.728	$\parallel$	1.41	0.86	0.54	5.45	4.57	4.38	-5.38	-7.07	-7.29
A	VB-1	CB+2	3.055	$\parallel$	1.45	0.89	0.57	5.35	4.46	4.27	-5.25	-6.94	-7.15
n.AL	VB-2	CB	3.433	$\perp$	2.28	2.00	1.83	-0.26	-0.81	-1.32	-2.76	-7.23	-7.53

Table 5: Pressure coefficients of Zr-based compounds.

Point	v	c	E	σ	a_I^h	a_{II}^h	a_{III}^h	a_I^u	a_{II}^u	a_{III}^u	a_I^b	a_{II}^b	a_{III}^b
ZrS₂													
Γ	VB	CB+1	1.777	$\parallel$	-5.41	-2.53	-1.69	-11.51	-8.27	-7.22	-3.73	-3.09	-2.86
L	VB	CB	1.949	$\parallel/\perp$	-7.64	-5.70	-5.30	-5.17	-2.70	-1.52	-1.68	-1.01	-0.60
Γ	VB-1	CB+2	2.073	$\parallel$	-4.41	-4.44	-4.10	3.65	4.20	4.69	1.18	1.57	1.86
Γ	VB-2	CB+1	2.164	$\parallel$	-1.37	-0.96	-0.78	-0.16	0.23	0.40	-0.05	0.09	0.16
A	VB	CB	2.209	$\parallel$	1.36	1.11	1.13	2.96	2.67	2.63	0.96	1.00	1.04
L	VB-1	CB+1	2.259	$\parallel$	0.83	1.32	1.45	-2.60	-2.09	-2.03	-0.84	-0.78	-0.80
A	VB-1	CB+1	2.299	$\parallel$	1.40	1.15	1.15	2.93	2.67	2.64	0.95	1.00	1.05

Table 6: *Cont.*

Point	v	c	E	σ	a_I^h	a_{II}^h	a_{III}^h	a_I^u	a_{II}^u	a_{III}^u	a_I^b	a_{II}^b	a_{III}^b
A	VB-1	CB+2	2.331		1.32	0.64	0.48	5.45	4.53	4.34	1.76	1.69	1.72
Γ	VB	CB+2	2.547	$\perp$	-8.45	-5.98	-5.00	5.45	-4.29	-2.90	-2.51	-1.61	-1.15
M	VB	CB	2.702		-0.07	-0.45	-0.82	6.52	5.76	5.73	2.11	2.15	2.27
Γ	VB-1	CB	2.834		-1.38	-1.03	-1.55	-0.16	0.16	0.29	-0.05	0.06	0.11
Γ	VB-2	CB+2	2.927		-4.41	-4.42	-4.08	3.58	4.21	4.72	1.16	1.57	1.87
M	VB-1	CB+1	3.366		5.93	3.13	3.71	2.94	0.01	-1.80	0.95	0.01	-0.71
n.AL	VB-2	CB	3.400	$\perp$	-2.42	-0.46	-0.35	-6.41	-4.89	-4.47	-2.07	-1.83	-1.77
A	VB	CB+2	3.486		1.33	0.64	0.49	5.47	4.53	4.34	1.77	1.69	1.72
M	VB-2	CB	3.894	/ $\perp$	-1.71	-2.81	-2.88	-2.25	-2.04	-1.70	-0.73	-0.76	-0.67
L	VB	CB+1	4.272		-0.01	1.55	1.90	-9.14	-7.58	-7.38	-2.96	-2.83	-2.93
ZrSe₂													
Γ	VB	CB+1	1.151		-6.76	-3.50	-2.46	-8.29	-5.75	-4.92	-2.92	-3.48	0.60
n. Γ A	VB	CB	1.252		-5.31	-2.36	-1.46	-10.08	-7.87	-7.06	-3.62	-10.30	-12.88
Γ	VB-1	CB	1.252		-2.87	-2.88	-5.39	1.34	1.38	1.34	-1.65	-12.33	-9.91
L	VB	CB	1.320	/ $\perp$	-10.03	-7.75	-7.10	-3.25	-1.86	-0.98	-9.22	-10.43	-10.32
A	VB	CB	1.460		1.64	1.33	0.89	4.46	3.91	3.87	-2.94	-3.10	-3.34
A	VB	CB+1	1.465		1.68	1.35	0.90	4.49	3.92	3.87	-2.95	-3.01	-3.11
Γ	VB	CB+2	1.513	$\perp$	-11.36	-7.40	-3.97	-5.66	-2.54	-1.15	-10.02	-3.77	0.50
Γ	VB-1	CB+2	1.604		-7.28	-5.86	-3.40	3.19	4.04	4.75	-6.41	-1.67	-2.53
L	VB-1	CB	1.653		-9.60	-7.59	-6.93	-0.65	2.10	3.65	-6.73	-5.97	-5.78
Γ	VB-2	CB+1	1.663		-3.23	-1.94	-1.76	0.42	0.65	0.79	0.23	3.85	5.16
A	VB-1	CB+1	1.798		1.82	1.47	1.02	4.37	3.79	3.72	-2.70	-2.77	-2.88
Γ	VB-2	CB+2	2.031	/ $\perp$	-7.83	-5.84	-3.27	3.04	3.86	4.55	-6.87	3.56	5.06
M	VB	CB	2.133		0.49	-0.12	-0.51	7.90	6.75	6.63	-7.60	-7.90	-7.85
A	VB	CB+2	2.379		1.63	0.92	0.45	7.07	5.78	5.53	-6.55	-8.38	-9.36
A	VB-1	CB+2	2.663		1.78	1.05	0.57	6.95	5.65	5.37	-6.30	-8.13	-9.12
L	VB-1	CB+1	3.939		0.52	1.86	2.21	-4.54	-2.68	-2.17	7.12	8.78	8.82
L	VB	CB+2	4.107		1.98	3.16	3.19	-7.75	-7.38	-7.74	6.45	4.05	2.07
M	VB	CB+1	4.272		9.62	8.37	7.57	5.71	4.46	4.00	4.14	4.85	4.91
H	VB-1	CB	4.372	/ $\perp$	2.58	-0.12	0.03	-5.76	-5.51	-5.96	2.37	0.99	0.32
L	VB-1	CB+2	4.413		2.41	3.33	3.36	-5.16	-3.42	-3.12	8.93	8.51	6.61
K	VB-1	CB	4.500	/ $\perp$	5.25	4.87	4.64	-4.69	-4.92	-5.46	9.90	9.73	1.37

Table 7: Pressure coefficients of Sn-based compounds.

Point	v	c	E	σ	a_I^h	a_{II}^h	a_{III}^h	a_I^u	a_{II}^u	a_{III}^u	a_I^b	a_{II}^b	a_{III}^b
SnS₂													
Γ	VB	CB	2.595	$\perp$	-4.73	0.22	1.28	-28.59	-25.78	-24.78	13.08	1.03	-1.09
L	VB-2	CB	3.786	/ $\perp$	2.20	4.21	8.71	-4.56	-1.89	-0.30	4.32	1.38	-2.14
H	VB	CB	3.871		3.01	3.00	2.85	-2.63	-3.11	-3.71	3.84	2.21	1.42
H	VB-1	CB	3.889		2.89	3.01	2.85	-2.48	-2.99	-3.60	3.78	2.16	1.38
K	VB	Cb	3.953		3.87	2.52	1.65	1.38	0.96	0.55	2.64	1.52	0.96
L	VB-1	CB	3.960		11.38	9.33	3.81	14.60	18.29	20.07	1.79	1.56	1.23
A	VB-2	CB	4.439		13.55	9.42	3.37	9.98	8.16	7.35	6.32	4.01	2.43
SnSe₂													
Γ	VB	CB	1.446	/ $\perp$	-5.31	-0.15	1.17	-34.07	-31.48	-32.20	11.54	-0.14	-2.98
Γ	VB-2	CB	1.959		3.18	8.11	8.06	-6.45	-5.74	2.35	4.45	0.08	-2.75
H	VB	CB	2.969	/ $\perp$	2.74	3.00	2.56	-4.41	-5.21	-6.68	4.16	2.25	1.21
L	VB-2	CB	2.986	/ $\perp$	4.16	4.95	4.96	1.36	5.21	8.35	1.66	-2.95	-4.30
H	VB-1	CB	3.056		2.92	3.12	2.66	-3.91	-4.71	-6.15	4.02	2.13	1.10
K	VB	CB	3.072		4.17	3.24	1.43	0.47	-0.52	-1.76	2.73	1.42	0.69
K	VB-1	CB	3.158		3.56	2.52	2.16	-0.38	-1.65	-3.34	2.75	1.42	0.67
A	VB-2	CB	3.620		16.97	12.85	5.78	14.57	12.49	11.58	5.25	2.93	0.86
A	VB	CB+1	3.676		-0.08	0.27	5.86	-6.28	-6.90	-8.13	4.06	3.08	2.62
A	VB-1	CB+1	3.937		0.01	0.33	5.92	-6.45	-7.13	-8.36	4.29	3.29	2.83
Γ	VB-1	CB+1	3.955		6.62	1.84	1.78	6.22	7.03	-0.44	7.55	2.74	2.37
M	VB-2	CB	4.092		16.77	12.96	11.78	24.12	24.44	26.34	-2.00	-2.70	-3.50
K	VB-2	CB	4.445	$\perp$	-10.08	-6.11	-5.83	-25.72	-26.06	-29.05	6.28	3.92	2.70

References

- [1] Karim Khan, Ayesha Khan Tareen, Muhammad Aslam, Renheng Wang, Yupeng Zhang, Asif Mahmood, Zhengbiao Ouyang, Han Zhang, and Zhongyi Guo. Recent developments in emerging two-dimensional materials and their

- applications. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 8:387–440, 2020.
- [2] Qiaoliang Bao and Hui Ying Hoh. *2D materials for photonic and optoelectronic applications*. Elsevier, 2020.
 - [3] Naifeng Li, Yue Wang, Haifeng Sun, Junjie Hu, Maoyuan Zheng, Sihao Ye, Qi Wang, Yingtao Li, Deyan He, Jiatai Wang, Guangan Zhang, and Jing Qi. Resistive switching behaviors and mechanisms of HfS_2 film memory devices studied by experiments and density functional theory calculations. *Applied Physics Letters*, 116(6):063503, 2020.
 - [4] Jinde Yin, Fangxiang Zhu, Jintao Lai, Hao Chen, Mengyu Zhang, Jiaqiang Zhang, Jintao Wang, Tingchao He, Bo Zhang, Jianping Yuan, Peiguang Yan, and Shuangchen Ruan. Hafnium sulfide nanosheets for ultrafast photonic device. *Advanced Optical Materials*, 7(5):1801303, 2019.
 - [5] Sreedevi Gedi, Vasudeva Reddy Minnam Reddy, Babu Pejjai, Chinho Park, Chan-Wook Jeon, and Tulasi Ramakrishna Reddy Kotte. Studies on chemical bath deposited SnS_2 films for Cd-free thin film solar cells. *Ceramics International*, 43(4):3713–3719, 2017.
 - [6] Tianci Feng, Dan Zhang, Xiaohui Li, Qyyum Abdul, ZhaoJiang Shi, Jiangbo Lu, Penglai Guo, Ying Zhang, Jishu Liu, and Qi Jie Wang. SnS_2 nanosheets for Er-doped fiber lasers. *ACS Applied Nano Materials*, 3(1):674–681, Jan 2020.
 - [7] Keliang He, Charles Poole, Kin Fai Mak, and Jie Shan. Experimental demonstration of continuous electronic structure tuning via strain in atomically thin mos_2 . *Nano Letters*, 13(6):2931–2936, Jun 2013.
 - [8] Hongliang Shi, Hui Pan, Yong-Wei Zhang, and Boris I. Yakobson. Quasiparticle band structures and optical properties of strained monolayer MoS_2 and WS_2 . *Phys. Rev. B*, 87:155304, Apr 2013.
 - [9] Hiram J. Conley, Bin Wang, Jed I. Ziegler, Richard F. Haglund, Sokrates T. Pantelides, and Kirill I. Bolotin. Bandgap engineering of strained monolayer and bilayer MoS_2 . *Nano Letters*, 13(8):3626–3630, Aug 2013.
 - [10] Emilio Scalise, Michel Houssa, Geoffrey Pourtois, Valery Afanas’ev, and André Stesmans. Strain-induced semiconductor to metal transition in the two-dimensional honeycomb structure of MoS_2 . *Nano Research*, 5(1):43–48, Jan 2012.
 - [11] M. Ghorbani-Asl, S. Borini, A. Kuc, and T. Heine. Strain-dependent modulation of conductivity in single-layer transition-metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B*, 87:235434, Jun 2013.
 - [12] Andres Castellanos-Gomez, Vibhor Singh, Herre S. J. van der Zant, and Gary A. Steele. Mechanics of freely-suspended ultrathin layered materials. *Annalen der Physik*, 527(1-2):27–44, 2015.
 - [13] Simone Bertolazzi, Jacopo Brivio, and Andras Kis. Stretching and breaking of ultrathin mos_2 . *ACS Nano*, 5(12):9703–9709, Dec 2011.
 - [14] A. A. V. I. Griffith. The phenomena of rupture and flow in solids. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A*, 221:163–198, Aug 1921.
 - [15] F. Dybala, M. P. Polak, J. Kopaczek, P. Scharoch, K. Wu, S. Tongay, and R. Kudrawiec. Pressure coefficients for direct optical transitions in MoS_2 , MoSe_2 , WS_2 , and WSe_2 crystals and semiconductor to metal transitions. *Scientific Reports*, 6:26663, 5 2016.
 - [16] Robert Oliva, Magdalena Laurien, Filip Dybala, Jan Kopaczek, Ying Qin, Sefaattin Tongay, Oleg Rubel, and Robert Kudrawiec. Pressure dependence of direct optical transitions in ReS_2 and ReSe_2 . *npj 2D Materials and Applications*, 3:20, 12 2019.
 - [17] R. Oliva, T. Woźniak, F. Dybala, A. Tołłoczko, J. Kopaczek, P. Scharoch, and R. Kudrawiec. Valley polarization investigation of ges under high pressure. *Physical Review B*, 101, 6 2020.
 - [18] R. Oliva, T. Woźniak, F. Dybala, J. Kopaczek, P. Scharoch, and R. Kudrawiec. Hidden spin-polarized bands in semiconducting 2H-MoTe_2 . *Materials Research Letters*, 8:75–81, 2 2020.
 - [19] Xing Pang, Qi Zhang, Yiwei Shao, Mingjie Liu, Dongliang Zhang, and Yulong Zhao. A flexible pressure sensor based on magnetron sputtered mos_2 . *Sensors*, 21(4):1130, 2021.
 - [20] Chunqing Yang, Dongzhi Zhang, Dongyue Wang, Xiaoya Chen, and Huixin Luan. Ultra-sensitive, stretchable, and bidirectional wearable strain sensor for human motion detection. *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 10:7076–7086, 2022.
 - [21] Jaesung Lee and Philip X. L. Feng. Atomically-thin MoS_2 resonators for pressure sensing. In *2014 IEEE INTERNATIONAL FREQUENCY CONTROL SYMPOSIUM (FCS)*, IEEE International Frequency Control Symposium, pages 276–279. IEEE; UFFC; Asia Pacific Metrol Programme; Minist Sci & Technol; NAR Labs; Instrument Technol Res Ctr; Sensors & Actuators Tech; Tsing Hua Univ, 2014.
 - [22] Sajede Manzeli, Dmitry Ovchinnikov, Diego Pasquier, Oleg V. Yazyev, and Andras Kis. 2d transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nature Reviews Materials*, 2(8):17033, Jun 2017.

- [23] Inturu Omkaram, Young Ki Hong, and Sunkook Kim. Transition metal dichalcogenide photodetectors. In Pramoda Kumar Nayak, editor, *Two-dimensional Materials for Photodetector*, chapter 2. IntechOpen, Rijeka, 2017.
- [24] Cheng Gong, Hengji Zhang, Weihua Wang, Luigi Colombo, Robert M. Wallace, and Kyeongjae Cho. Band alignment of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides: Application in tunnel field effect transistors. *Applied Physics Letters*, 103(5):053513, 2013.
- [25] Kai Xu, Zhenxing Wang, Feng Wang, Yun Huang, Fengmei Wang, Lei Yin, Chao Jiang, and Jun He. Ultrasensitive phototransistors based on few-layered HfS_2 . *Advanced Materials*, 27(47):7881–7887, 2015.
- [26] Gianluca Fiori, Francesco Bonaccorso, Giuseppe Iannaccone, Tomás Palacios, Daniel Neumaier, Alan Seabaugh, Sanjay K. Banerjee, and Luigi Colombo. Electronics based on two-dimensional materials. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 9(10):768–779, oct 2014.
- [27] Xing Zhou, Lin Gan, Wenming Tian, Qi Zhang, Shengye Jin, Huiqiao Li, Yoshio Bando, Dmitri Golberg, and Tianyou Zhai. Ultrathin SnSe_2 flakes grown by chemical vapor deposition for high-performance photodetectors. *Advanced Materials*, 27(48):8035–8041, 2015.
- [28] Eunjeong Yang, Hyunjun Ji, and Yousung Jung. Two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers as promising sodium ion battery anodes. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 119(47):26374–26380, 2015.
- [29] J Ibáñez, T Woźniak, F Dybala, R Oliva, S Hernández, and R Kudrawiec. High-pressure Raman scattering in bulk HfS_2 : comparison of density functional theory methods in layered MS_2 compounds ($\text{M} = \text{Hf}, \text{Mo}$) under compression. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1):12757, dec 2018.
- [30] M. Grzeszczyk, J. Gawraczyński, T. Woźniak, J. Ibáñez, Z. Muhammad, W. Zhao, M.R. Molas, and A. Babiński. Pressure-Driven Phase Transitions in Bulk HfS_2 . *Acta Physica Polonica A*, 141(2):95–98, feb 2022.
- [31] Meiling Hong, Lidong Dai, Haiying Hu, Xinyu Zhang, Chuang Li, and Yu He. High-pressure structural phase transitions and metallization in layered HfS_2 under different hydrostatic environments up to 42.1 gpa. *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 10:10541–10550, 2022.
- [32] S. Rahman, H. Saqib, X. Liang, D. Errandonea, A.S. Resta, A. Molina-Sanchez, G. Gao, L. Wang, Y. Tian, and H-K. Mao. Pressure-induced metallization and robust superconductivity in pristine 1T- HfSe_2 . *Materials Today Physics*, 25:100698, 2022.
- [33] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller. Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Computational Materials Science*, 6(1):15–50, 1996.
- [34] N. A. W. Holzwarth, A. R. Tackett, and G. E. Matthews. A projector augmented wave (paw) code for electronic structure calculations, part i: atom paw for generating atom-centered functions. *Comput. Phys. Comm.*, 135:329–347, 2001.
- [35] Joachim Paier, Robin Hirschl, Martijn Marsman, and Georg Kresse. The perdew–burke–ernzerhof exchange–correlation functional applied to the g2-1 test set using a plane-wave basis set. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 122(23):234102, 2005.
- [36] H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack. Special points for brillouin-zone integrations. *Phys. Rev. B*, 13:5188–5192, 1976.
- [37] M. Birowska, K. Milowska, and J. A. Majewski. Van Der Waals Density Functionals for Graphene Layers and Graphite. *Acta Physica Polonica A*, 120:845–848, 2011.
- [38] Magdalena Birowska, Maciej E. Marchwiany, Claudia Draxl, and Jacek A. Majewski. Assessment of approaches for dispersive forces employing semihydrogenated graphene as a case study. *Computational Materials Science*, 186:109940, 2021.
- [39] Stefan Grimme, Stephan Ehrlich, and Lars Goerigk. Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 32(7):1456–1465, 2011.
- [40] Qiyi Zhao, Yaohui Guo, Keyu Si, Zhaoyu Ren, Jintao Bai, and Xinlong Xu. Elastic, electronic, and dielectric properties of bulk and monolayer ZrS_2 , ZrSe_2 , HfS_2 , HfSe_2 from van der waals density-functional theory. *physica status solidi (b)*, 254(9):1700033, 2017.
- [41] Lars Hedin. On correlation effects in electron spectroscopies and the gw approximation. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 11(42):R489–R528, oct 1999.
- [42] Axel D. Becke and Erin R. Johnson. A simple effective potential for exchange. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 124(22):221101, 2006.
- [43] Fabien Tran and Peter Blaha. Accurate band gaps of semiconductors and insulators with a semilocal exchange–correlation potential. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 102:226401, Jun 2009.

- [44] Julia Gusakova, Xingli Wang, Li Lynn Shiau, Anna Krivosheeva, Victor Shaposhnikov, Victor Borisenko, Vasili Gusakov, and Beng Kang Tay. Electronic properties of bulk and monolayer tmds: Theoretical study within dft framework (gvj-2e method). *physica status solidi (a)*, 214(12):1700218, 2017.
- [45] Robert Oliva, Tomasz Wozniak, Paulo E. Faria, Filip Dybala, Jan Kopaczek, Jaroslav Fabian, Paweł Scharoch, and Robert Kudrawiec. Strong substrate strain effects in multilayered WS₂ revealed by high-pressure optical measurements. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 14:19857–19868, 5 2022.
- [46] M. Gajdo, K. Hummer, G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, and F. Bechstedt. Linear optical properties in the projector-augmented wave methodology. *Phys. Rev. B*, 73:045112, Jan 2006.
- [47] F. J. Manjón, A. Segura, V. Muñoz Sanjosé, G. Tobías, P. Ordejón, and E. Canadell. Band structure of indium selenide investigated by intrinsic photoluminescence under high pressure. *Phys. Rev. B*, 70:125201, Sep 2004.
- [48] D. Errandonea, A. Segura, F. J. Manjón, A. Chevy, E. Machado, G. Tobias, P. Ordejón, and E. Canadell. Crystal symmetry and pressure effects on the valence band structure of γ -InSe and ϵ -GaSe: Transport measurements and electronic structure calculations. *Phys. Rev. B*, 71:125206, Mar 2005.
- [49] Hong Jiang. Band gaps from the Tran-Blaha modified Becke-Johnson approach: A systematic investigation. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 138(13):134115, apr 2013.
- [50] A R Beal, J C Knights, and W Y Liang. Transmission spectra of some transition metal dichalcogenides. II. group VIA: trigonal prismatic coordination. *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, 5(24):3540–3551, dec 1972.
- [51] Nihit Saigal, Vasam Sugunakar, and Sandip Ghosh. Exciton binding energy in bulk MoS₂: A reassessment. *Applied Physics Letters*, 108(13):132105, 2016.
- [52] Ashish Arora, Matthias Drüppel, Robert Schmidt, Thorsten Deilmann, Robert Schneider, Maciej R Molas, Philipp Marauhn, Steffen Michaelis de Vasconcellos, Marek Potemski, Michael Rohlfing, and Rudolf Bratschitsch. Interlayer excitons in a bulk van der Waals semiconductor. *Nature Communications*, 8(1):639, dec 2017.
- [53] Eilho Jung, Jin Cheol Park, Yu-Seong Seo, Ji-Hee Kim, Jungseek Hwang, and Young Hee Lee. Unusually large exciton binding energy in multilayered 2H-MoTe₂. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1):4543, dec 2022.
- [54] Magdalena Birowska, Paulo E. Faria Junior, Jaroslav Fabian, and Jens Kunstmann. Large exciton binding energies in MnPS₃ as a case study of a van der waals layered magnet. *Phys. Rev. B*, 103:L121108, Mar 2021.
- [55] Mauro Brotons-Gisbert, Alfredo Segura, Roberto Robles, Enric Canadell, Pablo Ordejón, and Juan F. Sánchez-Royo. Optical and electronic properties of 2H-MoS₂ under pressure: Revealing the spin-polarized nature of bulk electronic bands. *Phys. Rev. Materials*, 2:054602, May 2018.
- [56] David T. Hodul and Angelica M. Stacy. Anomalies in the properties of Hf(S_{2-x}Te_x)_{1-y} and Hf(Se_{2-x}Te_x)_{1-y} near the metal-insulator transition. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*, 54(3):438–446, 1984.
- [57] D.L. Greenaway and R. Nitsche. Preparation and optical properties of group iv–vi₂ chalcogenides having the CdI₂ structure. *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids*, 26(9):1445–1458, 1965.
- [58] K. Terashima and I. Imai. Indirect absorption edge of ZrS₂ and HfS₂. *Solid State Communications*, 63(4):315–318, 1987.
- [59] Christof Gaiser, Thorsten Zandt, Alica Krapf, Ralf Serverin, Christoph Janowitz, and Recardo Manzke. Band-gap engineering with hfs_xse_{2-x}. *Phys. Rev. B*, 69:075205, Feb 2004.
- [60] P.A. Lee, G. Said, R. Davis, and T.H. Lim. On the optical properties of some layer compounds. *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids*, 30(12):2719–2729, 1969.
- [61] Larbi Roubi and Cosmo Carlone. Resonance raman spectrum of hfs₂ and zrs₂. *Phys. Rev. B*, 37:6808–6812, Apr 1988.
- [62] H I Starnberg, H E Brauer, and H P Hughes. Photoemission studies of the conduction band filling in and cs-intercalated and. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 8(9):1229–1234, feb 1996.
- [63] Mohamed Moustafa, Thorsten Zandt, Christoph Janowitz, and Recardo Manzke. Growth and band gap determination of the zrs_xse_{2-x} single crystal series. *Phys. Rev. B*, 80:035206, Jul 2009.
- [64] C. Julien, M. Eddrief, I. Samaras, and M. Balkanski. Optical and electrical characterizations of SnSe, SnS₂ and SnSe₂ single crystals. *Materials Science and Engineering: B*, 15(1):70 – 72, 1992.
- [65] C. Y. Fong and Marvin L. Cohen. Electronic energy-band structure of SnS₂ and SnSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B*, 5:3095–3101, Apr 1972.
- [66] Xueting Zhang, Bo Liu, Shuang Liu, Juanying Li, Ran Liu, Peng Wang, Qing Dong, Shujia Li, Hui Tian, Quanjun Li, and Bingbing Liu. Semiconductor-to-metal transition in HfSe₂ under high pressure. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 867:158923, 2021.

- [67] Hang Zhai, Zhen Qin, Dan Sun, Jianyun Wang, Chang Liu, Nan Min, and Quan Li. Pressure-induced phase transition, metallization and superconductivity in ZrS_2 . *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 20:23656–23663, 2018.
- [68] Edoardo Martino, David Santos-Cottin, Florian Le Mardelé, Konstantin Semeniuk, Michele Pizzochero, Kristians Čerņevičs, Benoît Baptiste, Ludovic Delbes, Stefan Klotz, Francesco Capitani, Helmuth Berger, Oleg V. Yazyev, and Ana Akrap. Structural phase transition and bandgap control through mechanical deformation in layered semiconductors 1T-ZrX_2 ($X = \text{S}, \text{Se}$). *ACS Materials Letters*, 2(9):1115–1120, Sep 2020.
- [69] Xinyu Zhang, Lidong Dai, Haiying Hu, Meiling Hong, and Chuang Li. Pressure-induced coupled structural–electronic transition in SnS_2 under different hydrostatic environments up to 39.7 gpa. *RSC Adv.*, 12:2454–2461, 2022.
- [70] Zhi-Qiang Zhen and Hai-Yan Wang. Density functional study of the electronic, elastic, and lattice dynamic properties of SnS_2 . *Acta Physica Polonica A*, 137:1095–1100, 06 2020.
- [71] Y. Javed, Sikander M. Mirza, and M. A. Rafiq. Effect of pressure on mechanical and thermal properties of SnSe_2 . *International Journal of Thermophysics*, 42(10):146, Jul 2021.