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#### Abstract

We define the Dyson diffusion process on a curved smooth closed contour in the plane and derive the Fokker-Planck equation for probability density. Its stationary solution is shown to be the Boltzmann weight for the logarithmic gas confined on the contour.


## 1 Introduction

In the pioneering paper [1] Dyson defined a diffusion process for eigenvalues of a unitary matrix confined to the unit circle. In the present paper we introduce a more general diffusion process for $N$ interacting particles in an external potential confined to a smooth closed contour of arbitrary shape in the plane. This is done with the help of $N$ copies of the standard Brownian motion $B_{i}(t)$.

The time evolution of the probability density is described by the Fokker-Planck equation. We derive this equation and find its stationary solution which is the Boltzmann weight for the logarithmic gas in the external potential. The logarithmic gases were introduced by Dyson in papers [2], where it was shown that eigenvalues of random matrices can be represented as a statistical ensemble of charged particles with 2D Coulomb (logarithmic) interaction. A comprehensive presentation of the theory of logarithmic gases can be found in [3]. In our case we obtain the Boltzmann weight for the logarithmic gas on the curved contour at inverse temperature $\beta$ studied in [4]. In section 4 we present the results for the large $N$ expansion of the free energy of this gas.

## 2 The diffusion process on a curved contour

Let $\Gamma$ be a smooth non-self-intersecting closed contour in the plane. We consider a system of $N$ interacting particles with complex coordinates $z_{i} \in \Gamma$ moving along the contour and subject to Gaussian random forces.

[^0]To describe the diffusion process, we introduce $N$ copies of the standard Brownian motion $B_{i}(t)$ such that $B_{i}(0)=0$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle B_{i}(t)\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle\left(B_{i}(t)-B_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(B_{j}(t)-B_{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\left\langle\dot{B}_{i}(t) \dot{B}_{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\delta_{i j} \delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) .
$$

For $d B_{i}(t)=B_{i}(t+d t)-B_{i}(t)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d B_{i}(t)\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle d B_{i}(t) d B_{j}(t)\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { for } i \neq j, \quad\left\langle\left(d B_{i}(t)\right)^{2}\right\rangle=d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we should consider $\left(d B_{i}\right)^{2}$ as a first order quantity in $d t$.
Below we use the standard notions and facts from stochastic calculus and the theory of stochastic differential equations [5, 6]. We can write

$$
d z_{i}(t)=z_{i}(t+d t)-z_{i}(t)
$$

where both points $z_{i}(t)$ and $z_{i}(t+d t)$ belong to $\Gamma$. For $z \in \Gamma$, let $\tau(z)$ and $\nu(z)$ be respectively the unit tangential and normal vectors to $\Gamma$ at the point $z$ represented as complex numbers. We assume that the tangential vector looks in the counterclockwise direction and the normal vector is directed to the exterior of the contour, so we have $\tau=i \nu$. For $z=z_{i}$ we will write $\tau\left(z_{i}\right)=\tau_{i}, \nu\left(z_{i}\right)=\nu_{i}$ for brevity. Then $\tau_{i}\left|d z_{i}\right|$ is the tangent vector at $z_{i}$ with length $\left|d z_{i}\right|$. However, for the needs of stochastic calculus we can not write simply $d z_{i}=\tau_{i}\left|d z_{i}\right|$ because it is necessary to expand up to the second order. It is easy to see that the second order correction is $-\nu_{i}\left|d z_{i}\right| \frac{d \vartheta_{i}}{2}$, where $\vartheta(z)=\arg \tau(z)$. By definition, $k(z)=d \vartheta(z) /|d z|$ is the curvature of the curve $\Gamma$ at the point $z$, so we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z_{i}=\tau_{i}\left|d z_{i}\right|-\frac{1}{2} \nu_{i} k_{i}\left|d z_{i}\right|^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the diffusion process by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|d z_{i}\right|=\partial_{s_{i}} E d t+\sqrt{\kappa} d B_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=2 \sum_{i<j} \log \left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|+\sum_{i} W\left(z_{i}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W(z)$ is an external potential, $\partial_{s}=\tau \partial_{z}+\bar{\tau} \partial_{\bar{z}}$ means the tangential derivative and $\kappa$ is a parameter characterizing strength of the random force. The real-valued function $W$ is defined in a strip-like neighborhood of the contour and depends on both $z$ and $\bar{z}$ (we write $W=W(z)$ just for simplicity of the notation). Explicitly, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{s_{i}} E=\tau_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{z_{i}-z_{j}}+\tau_{i} \partial_{z_{i}} W\left(z_{i}\right)+\text { c.c. } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4) into equation (3), we define the diffusion process on $\Gamma$ as the following stochastic differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z_{i}=\tau_{i} \partial_{s_{i}} E d t-\frac{\kappa}{2} \nu_{i} k_{i}\left(d B_{i}\right)^{2}+\sqrt{\kappa} \tau_{i} d B_{i} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the right hand side, we keep only terms which are of the first order in $d t$.
Let us note that equation (7) agrees with the familiar diffusion process on the unit circle, where $z_{j}=e^{i \theta_{j}}$ (see [1). In terms of $\theta_{j}$ 's, the stochastic differential equation (the Langevin equation) is of the standard form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \theta_{j}=\partial_{\theta_{j}} E d t+\sqrt{\kappa} d B_{j} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the Itô formula

$$
d f\left(\theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{j}} d \theta_{j}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \theta_{j}^{2}}\left(d B_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

to the function $f(\theta)=e^{i \theta}$, we can rewrite equation (8) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z_{j}=i z_{j} \partial_{\theta_{j}} E d t-\frac{\kappa}{2} z_{j}\left(d B_{j}\right)^{2}+i z_{j} \sqrt{\kappa} d B_{j} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agrees with (7) since for the unit circle $\tau_{j}=i z_{j}$ and $k_{j}=1$. Equation (7) is a generalization of (9) for curved contours of arbitrary shape.

Let us now derive a stochastic differential equation for an arbitrary (smooth enough) function $f=f\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right)$. As is customary in the Itô calculus, the differential $d f$ should contain not only first order terms in $d z_{i}$ but also the second order terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f=\sum_{i}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{i}} d z_{i}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}} d \bar{z}_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial z_{i}^{2}}\left(d z_{i}\right)^{2}+\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{2}}\left(d \bar{z}_{i}\right)^{2}+2 \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{i}}\left|d z_{i}\right|^{2}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (7) and taking into account that $\nu_{i} \partial_{z_{i}} f+\bar{\nu}_{i} \partial_{\bar{z}_{i}} f=\partial_{n_{i}} f$ is the normal derivative of the function $f$ at the point $z_{i} \in \Gamma$ (the normal vector looks to the exterior of $\Gamma$ ), we have from (10):

$$
\begin{align*}
d f=\sum_{i} \partial_{s_{i}} E \partial_{s_{i}} f d t+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{i}\left(-k_{i} \partial_{n_{i}} f+\tau_{i}^{2} \partial_{z_{i}}^{2} f\right. & \left.+\bar{\tau}_{i}^{2} \partial_{\bar{z}_{i}}^{2} f+2 \partial_{z_{i}} \partial_{\bar{z}_{i}} f\right)\left(d B_{i}\right)^{2}  \tag{11}\\
& +\sqrt{\kappa} \sum_{i} \partial_{s_{i}} f d B_{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

According to the rules of Itô calculus, one should put $\left(d B_{i}\right)^{2}=d t$. In this way, we obtain the stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f=\sum_{i}\left(\partial_{s_{i}} E \partial_{s_{i}} f+\frac{\kappa}{2}\left(-k_{i} \partial_{n_{i}} f+\tau_{i}^{2} \partial_{z_{i}}^{2} f+\bar{\tau}_{i}^{2} \partial_{\bar{z}_{i}}^{2} f+2 \partial_{z_{i}} \partial_{\bar{z}_{i}} f\right)\right) d t+\sqrt{\kappa} \sum_{i} \partial_{s_{i}} f d B_{i} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to simplify the right hand side, we write for a function $f=f(z)$ :

$$
\partial_{s}^{2} f=\partial_{s}\left(\tau \partial_{z} f\right)+\partial_{s}\left(\bar{\tau} \partial_{\bar{z}} f\right)=\partial_{s} \tau \cdot \partial_{z} f+\tau \partial_{s}\left(\partial_{z} f\right)+\text { с. с. }
$$

Taking into account that $\partial_{s} \tau=i \tau k$, we have:

$$
\partial_{s}^{2} f=-k\left(\nu \partial_{z} f+\bar{\nu} \partial_{\bar{z}} f\right)+\tau\left(\tau \partial_{z}^{2} f+\bar{\tau} \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} f\right)+\bar{\tau}\left(\tau \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} f+\bar{\tau} \partial_{\bar{z}}^{2} f\right)
$$

or, finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{s}^{2} f=-k \partial_{n} f+\tau^{2} \partial_{z}^{2} f+\bar{\tau}^{2} \partial_{\bar{z}}^{2} f+2 \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} f \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this into the right hand side of (12), we obtain the stochastic differential equation in the simple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f=\sum_{i}\left(\frac{\kappa}{2} \partial_{s_{i}}^{2} f+\partial_{s_{i}} E \partial_{s_{i}} f\right) d t+\sqrt{\kappa} \sum_{i} \partial_{s_{i}} f d B_{i} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the derivatives in the tangential direction only.

## 3 The Fokker-Planck equation and its stationary solution

Let $\hat{A}$ be the differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}=\sum_{i}\left(\frac{\kappa}{2} \partial_{s_{i}}^{2}+\partial_{s_{i}} E \partial_{s_{i}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then equation (14) can be written as

$$
d f=\hat{A} f+\sqrt{\kappa} \sum_{i} \partial_{s_{i}} f d B_{i} .
$$

As is known, the Fokker-Planck equation for the time evolution of the probability density $P=P\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right)$ is

$$
\partial_{t} P=\hat{A}^{*} P
$$

where $\hat{A}^{*}$ is the operator adjoint to $\hat{A}$. Explicitly, in our case the Fokker-Planck equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} P=\sum_{i}\left(\frac{\kappa}{2} \partial_{s_{i}}^{2} P-\partial_{s_{i}} E \partial_{s_{i}} P-\partial_{s_{i}}^{2} E P\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The similarity transformation of the operator $\hat{A}^{*}$ with the function $e^{E / \kappa}$ eliminates the first order derivatives. With the help of this procedure, we obtain the "Hamiltonian" $\hat{H}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=e^{-E / \kappa} \hat{A}^{*} e^{E / \kappa}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}\left(\kappa \partial_{s_{i}}^{2}-\kappa^{-1}\left(\partial_{s_{i}} E\right)^{2}-\partial_{s_{i}}^{2} E\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if the contour $\Gamma$ is the unit circle and $W=0$, then $\hat{H}$ is the Hamiltonian of the Calogero-Sutherland model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{\kappa}{2}\left(\sum_{i} \partial_{\theta_{i}}^{2}-\frac{2}{\kappa}\left(\frac{2}{\kappa}-1\right) \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2}\left(\left(\theta_{i}-\theta_{j}\right) / 2\right)}\right)+\text { const. } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stationary solution of (16), $P_{0}$, such that $\partial_{t} P_{0}=0$ is the zero mode of the operator $\hat{A}^{*}, \hat{A}^{*} P_{0}=0$. It is easy to check that it has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=\exp \left(\frac{2}{\kappa} E\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{2}{\kappa} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting equation (5) for $E$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right)=\exp \left(2 \beta \sum_{i<j} \log \left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|+\beta \sum_{i} W\left(z_{i}\right)\right)=\prod_{i<j}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2 \beta} \prod_{k} e^{\beta W\left(z_{k}\right)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the Boltzmann weight for the logarithmic gas in the external potential $W$ at the inverse temperature $\beta$. The Boltzmann weight (21) defines the $\beta$-ensemble of $N$ particles on a simple closed contour $\Gamma$ in the plane [4]. An early reference on this problem is [7] (section 7). A similar problem having support in the complex plane, the 2D Dyson gas, had been considered in [8, 9, 10, 11].

## 4 Free energy of the logarithmic gas: large $N$ asymptotics

For completeness, we present here the results for the large $N$ asymptotics of the free energy of the logarithmic gas obtained in [4] (see also [12] for the rigorous proof for $\beta=1)$. We also give a new interpretation of the $O(1)$-contribution.

The partition function is the $N$-fold integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=\oint_{\Gamma} \ldots \oint_{\Gamma} P_{0}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right) d s_{1} \ldots d s_{N} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P_{0}$ given by (21), where $d s_{i}=\left|d z_{i}\right|$ is the line element along the contour. As $N \rightarrow \infty$, the partition function is known to behave as

$$
Z_{N}=N!N^{(\beta-1) N} e^{F^{(N)}}
$$

where the free energy $F_{N}$ has the expansion in integer powers of $N$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{(N)}=N^{2} F_{0}+N F_{1}+F_{2}+O(1 / N) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The non-vanishing contributions as $N \rightarrow \infty, F_{0}, F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, are of primary interest.
The curve $\Gamma$ divides the plane into the interior domain, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{int}}$, and the exterior domain, $D_{\text {ext }}$, containing $\infty$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $0 \in D_{\text {int }}$. The results for $F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}$ are expressed in terms of conformal maps $w_{\text {int }}(z), w_{\text {ext }}(z)$ of the domains $\mathrm{D}_{\text {int }}, \mathrm{D}_{\text {ext }}$ to the interior and exterior of the unit circle respectively. We normalize the conformal maps as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{\text {int }}(0)=0, \quad w_{\text {int }}^{\prime}(0)>0  \tag{24}\\
& w_{\text {ext }}(\infty)=\infty, \quad w_{\text {ext }}^{\prime}(\infty)=1 / r>0
\end{align*}
$$

The quantity $r$ is called the conformal radius of the domain $\mathrm{D}_{\text {ext }}$. It is also convenient to use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\text {int }}(z)=\log \left|w_{\text {int }}^{\prime}(z)\right|, \quad \psi_{\text {ext }}(z)=\log \left|w_{\text {ext }}^{\prime}(z)\right| \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\psi_{\text {int }}, \psi_{\text {ext }}$ are harmonic functions in the domains $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{int}}, \mathrm{D}_{\text {ext }}$ respectively.

In [4] it was shown that the leading and sub-leading contributions to the free energy are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{0}=\beta \log r \\
& F_{1}=\frac{\beta}{2 \pi} \oint_{\Gamma}\left|w_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\prime}\right| W d s-(\beta-1) \log \frac{e r}{2 \pi \beta}+\log \frac{2 \pi}{\Gamma(\beta)} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

The result for $F_{2}$ is most interesting and non-trivial. The contribution $F_{2}$ can be naturally divided into two parts, "classical" and "quantum": $F_{2}=F_{2}^{(c l)}+F_{2}^{(q)}$. The "classical" part has the electrostatic nature while the "quantum" part is due to fluctuations of the particles. The result for the "classical" part is expressed through the Neumann jump operator $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ associated with the contour. This operator takes a function $f$ on $\Gamma$ to the jump of normal derivative of its harmonic continuations $f_{H}$ to $\mathrm{D}_{\text {int }}$ and $f^{H}$ to $\mathrm{D}_{\text {ext }}$ :

$$
\hat{\mathcal{N}} f=\partial_{n} f_{H}-\partial_{n} f^{H}
$$

where the normal vector looks to the exterior of $\Gamma$ in both cases. The result for $F_{2}^{(c l)}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}^{(c l)}=\frac{\beta}{8 \pi} \oint_{\Gamma}\left(\left(1-\beta^{-1}\right) \psi_{\mathrm{ext}}+W\right) \hat{\mathcal{N}}\left(\left(1-\beta^{-1}\right) \psi_{\mathrm{ext}}+W\right) d s \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result for $F_{2}^{(q)}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}^{(q)}=\frac{1}{24 \pi} \oint_{\Gamma}\left(\psi_{\mathrm{int}} \partial_{n} \psi_{\mathrm{int}}-\psi_{\mathrm{ext}} \partial_{n} \psi_{\mathrm{ext}}\right) d s+\frac{1}{6}\left(\psi_{\mathrm{ext}}(\infty)-\psi_{\mathrm{int}}(0)\right)+\log \sqrt{\beta} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarkably, the quantity in the right hand side of (28) is $\frac{1}{24}$ times the Loewner energy $I^{L}(\Gamma)$ of the curve $\Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}^{(q)}=\frac{1}{24} I^{L}(\Gamma)+\log \sqrt{\beta}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{L}(\Gamma)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{int}}}\left|\nabla \psi_{\mathrm{int}}\right|^{2} d^{2} z+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ext}}}\left|\nabla \psi_{\mathrm{ext}}\right|^{2} d^{2} z+4\left(\psi_{\mathrm{ext}}(\infty)-\psi_{\mathrm{int}}(0)\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [13]). The notion of Loewner energy was recently actively discussed in the literature in the context of the Schramm-Loewner evolution (SLE), see, e.g., the survey [14] and references therein. The quantity (30) is also known as the universal Liouville action [15].

## 5 Concluding remarks

In this paper we have defined the diffusion process for $N$ interacting particles on a smooth closed contour $\Gamma$ in the plane. Each particle is subject to action of a random force represented by the Brownian process $B(t)$ such that $\left\langle(d B(t))^{2}\right\rangle=\kappa d t$, where the coefficient $\kappa$ characterizes strength of the random force. We have derived the FokkerPlanck equation for the corresponding probability density. The stationary solution of the Fokker-Planck equation was shown to be given by the Boltzmann weight for the $N$ particles confined on the contour interacting via the 2D Coulomb (logarithmic) potential. The temperature of this logarithmic gas is $\kappa / 2$. This model was studied in the paper [4],
where the non-vanishing contributions to the free energy as $N \rightarrow \infty$ were found. The leading term is of order $O\left(N^{2}\right)$ and it has the electrostatic nature. The most interesting quantity is the "quantum" part (i.e., the part which is entirely due to fluctuations) of the $O(1)$-contribution to the free energy. Although the result (28) for it was already obtained in [4], in that paper it was not noticed that it is actually $\frac{1}{24}$ of the Loewner energy $I^{L}(\Gamma)$ of the contour $\Gamma$. We make this explicit in the present paper.
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