

# White dwarfs as a probe of light QCD axions

Reuven Balkin,<sup>1,\*</sup> Javi Serra,<sup>2,3,†</sup> Konstantin Springmann,<sup>2,‡</sup> Stefan Stelzl,<sup>2,4,§</sup> and Andreas Weiler<sup>2,¶</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Physics Department, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 3200003, Israel*

<sup>2</sup>*Physik-Department, Technische Universität München, 85748 Garching, Germany*

<sup>3</sup>*Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Madrid 28049, Spain*

<sup>4</sup>*Institute of Physics, Theoretical Particle Physics Laboratory,*

*École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*

(Dated: November 8, 2022)

We study the effects of light QCD axions on the stellar configuration of white dwarfs. At finite baryon density, the non-derivative coupling of the axion to nucleons displaces the axion from its in-vacuum minimum which implies a reduction of the nucleon mass. This dramatically alters the composition of stellar remnants. In particular, the modifications of the mass-radius relationship of white dwarfs allow us to probe large regions of unexplored axion parameter space without requiring it to be a significant fraction of dark matter.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recent years have seen a resurgence of interest in the physics of the QCD axion, driven by a thriving experimental program in sync with a burst of novel theoretical ideas. One instance is the possibility of relaxing the standard relation between the axion potential and its defining couplings to gluons. Arguably the most exciting outcome of these models is the new set of signals they give rise to beyond the canonical QCD-axion phenomena. Here we present a novel implication associated with light QCD axions: white dwarfs (WDs) of a certain size should not exist.

We consider models of the QCD axion, a pseudo-scalar field  $\phi$  with a coupling to gluons

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \frac{\phi}{f} G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where  $g_s$  is the strong coupling,  $f$  is the axion decay constant and  $G_{\mu\nu}$  is the gluon field strength. Below the QCD scale the axion obtains the potential [1]

$$V \simeq -\epsilon m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \left[ \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f}\right) - 1 \right], \quad (2)$$

where  $m_\pi \simeq 135$  MeV is the pion mass and  $f_\pi \simeq 93$  MeV is the pion decay constant. A parameter  $\epsilon \leq 1$  is introduced to tune the axion lighter than naively expected [2]. For a symmetry based realization, see e.g. [3–6]. In vacuum, the axion is stabilized at the origin, thereby solving the strong CP problem.

The coupling to gluons Eq. (1) also induces an isospin-symmetric coupling to nucleons

$$\mathcal{L} \simeq -\sigma_N \bar{N} N \left[ \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f}\right) - 1 \right], \quad (3)$$

where  $\sigma_N \simeq 50$  MeV is the pion-nucleon sigma term. Together with the observed mass,  $m_N \simeq 939$  MeV, this coupling can be interpreted as an effective  $\phi$  dependent nucleon mass,  $m_N^*(\phi) \leq m_N$ .

A finite nucleon number density,  $\rho_N \equiv \langle \bar{N} \gamma^0 N \rangle$ , implies a non-zero expectation value of  $\langle \bar{N} N \rangle$ . In the non-relativistic limit, these two quantities are approximately equal,  $\rho_N \simeq \langle \bar{N} N \rangle$ .

Interestingly, a finite  $\rho_N$  can destabilize the axion from its in-vacuum minimum as soon as  $\sigma_N \langle \bar{N} N \rangle > \epsilon m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2$ , with new minima appearing at  $\pm \pi f$ . Furthermore, once the axion sits in its new minimum, the neutron mass is reduced by

$$\delta m_N \simeq 32 \text{ MeV} \left( \frac{\sigma_N}{50 \text{ MeV}} \right). \quad (4)$$

Reducing the constituent mass acts as additional binding energy in compact objects.

The sourcing of  $\phi$  happens in non-relativistic systems of size  $R$  when

$$R \gtrsim \lambda_\phi \simeq 10^4 \text{ km} \left( \frac{f}{10^{16} \text{ GeV}} \right) \left( \frac{10^{-4} \text{ MeV}^3}{\rho_N} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\lambda_\phi \sim m_\phi^{-1}(\rho_N)$  is the typical length scale of the axion at finite density [2, 7], see also Eq. (13). Typical WD densities fall in the range  $\rho_{\text{WD}} \simeq (10^{-4} - 1 \text{ MeV})^3$ . This implies that for systems with a small characteristic length scale, such as single nuclei, the axion remains stabilized at  $\phi = 0$  and therefore does not significantly affect forces between nucleons.

However, for large and dense systems such as stellar remnants, the sourcing of  $\phi$  implies dramatic changes in their composition and hence their mass-radius ( $M - R$ ) relation. A particularly clean and well-studied example of stellar remnants are WDs. The modifications of their  $M - R$  relation allow us to probe large regions in the light axion parameter space. For an exploratory study of axions and other particles influencing the stellar structure of neutron stars in the same manner, see [8, 9].

\* reuven.b@campus.technion.ac.il

† javi.serra@ift.csic.es

‡ konstantin.springmann@tum.de

§ stefan.stelzl@epfl.ch

¶ andreas.weiler@tum.de




FIG. 1. Constraints and future projections on the axion parameter space. Exclusions from modifications of the white dwarf  $M$ - $R$  relation are shown in red. The observation of WDs close to the Chandrasekhar limit can further probe the parameter space until the orange dashed line. The solid black line shows the QCD axion with  $m_\phi f = m_\pi f_\pi$ . For reference, we plot  $f = M_P$  in gray. Further bounds originate from the sourcing in the Sun [2] (blue), the supernova 1987A (green), and black hole superradiance [10] (yellow). Finally, we show which parameters lead to a new ground state accessible in neutron stars (dot-dashed purple); for more details see [9].

## II. WHITE DWARF MASS-RADIUS RELATION

WDs balance the force of gravity with the degeneracy pressure of electrons, while almost their entire mass comes from light but non-relativistic nuclei. Due to charge neutrality, the number density of electrons is related to the energy density of nucleons,  $\varepsilon_\psi \simeq Y_e m_N \rho_e$ , where  $Y_e = A/Z$  is the ratio of nucleons per electron. Since WDs are composed of light nuclei, ranging from helium  ${}^4\text{He}$  to magnesium  ${}^{24}\text{Mg}$ , the ratio of nucleons per electron is well approximated by  $Y_e \simeq 2$ .

### A. Non-interacting gas of electrons and nuclei

The equation of state (EOS) for a degenerate WD can be described by a Fermi gas of non-interacting electrons together with a gas of nuclei. For simplicity, we take positively charged non-relativistic nuclei, which we denote by  $\psi$ , with twice the nucleon mass  $m_\psi = 2m_N$ . The pressure is dominated by the electron contribution,  $p = p_e + p_\psi \simeq p_e$ , while the nuclei constitute, to a good approximation, the entire energy density,  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_e + \varepsilon_\psi \simeq \varepsilon_\psi$ . Due to charge neutrality,  $\rho_\psi = \rho_e \equiv \rho$ , we relate the electron Fermi momentum to the energy density as  $k_F = (3\pi^2 \varepsilon_\psi / Y_e m_N)^{1/3}$ , and derive the EOS

$$p(\varepsilon) = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^{k_F(\varepsilon)} \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{k^2 + m_e^2}}. \quad (6)$$

We work in the limit  $T \rightarrow 0$ , which is justified since




FIG. 2. White dwarf  $M$ - $R$  relation with light QCD axions. Free Fermi gas of nuclei and electrons without an axion (black) and the two branches with an axion for  $\varepsilon = 10^{-11}$  in the limit  $R_{\text{WD}} \gg \lambda_\phi$  (orange). The meta-stable branch follows the free Fermi gas line at large radii, while the new ground state phase has much smaller radii. Data points are taken from [11] (turquoise), [12] (blue), [13] (pink), [14, 15] (red), [16] (green) and [17] (gray). One can clearly see the gap in the predicted  $M$ - $R$  relation that is incompatible with data.

$T/\mu_e \ll 1$  for typical WDs, where  $\mu_e$  is the electron chemical potential. Temperature shifts the  $M$ - $R$  relation up to higher masses, an effect most relevant for the largest and most dilute WDs, see Refs. [16, 18–23] as well as Ref. [24] for a review.

The EOS completes the set of equations that describe the balance between the electron degeneracy pressure and gravity, the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equations [25, 26],

$$p' = -\frac{GM\varepsilon}{r^2} \left[1 + \frac{p}{\varepsilon}\right] \left[1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right]^{-1} \left[1 + \frac{4\pi r^3 p}{M}\right], \quad (7a)$$

$$M' = 4\pi r^2 \varepsilon, \quad (7b)$$

where  $G = M_P^{-2}$  is Newton's constant,  $M(r)$  the enclosed mass and all derivatives are taken with respect to the radial coordinate. Solutions with varying central pressures lead to a  $M$ - $R$  relationship that agrees well with current data, as shown in Fig. 2.

### B. The axion WD system: a new ground state

In the presence of the axion, the full system is described by a free Fermi gas of electrons, an ideal gas of nuclei with a  $\phi$ -dependent mass [27],  $m_\psi^*(\phi) = 2m_N^*(\phi)$ , the gravitational field  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , and the axion  $\phi$ . The gravitational field is sourced by an energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^{\psi\phi} + T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{grad}}. \quad (8)$$

The first term takes the form of an ideal fluid,  $T_{\mu\nu}^{\psi\phi} = \text{Diag}(\varepsilon, -p, -p, -p)$ , with

$$p(\phi, \rho) = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^{k_F(\rho)} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{k^2 + m_e^2}} - V(\phi), \quad (9)$$

$$\varepsilon(\phi, \rho) = m_\psi^*(\phi)\rho + \varepsilon_e(\rho) + V(\phi), \quad (10)$$

where we neglected the sub-leading contributions to the pressure  $p_\psi(\phi, \rho) \ll p_e(\rho)$ . The second term in Eq. (8) contains the contribution of the axion gradient

$$(T^{\text{grad}})^{\mu}_{\nu} = \frac{(\phi')^2}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right] (\delta_{\nu}^{\mu} - 2\delta_r^{\mu}\delta_r^{\nu}). \quad (11)$$

Using Einstein's and the scalar equations of motion, we find the following set of coupled differential equations

$$\phi'' \left[ 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right] + \frac{2}{r} \phi' \left[ 1 - \frac{GM}{r} - 2\pi G r^2 (\varepsilon - p) \right] = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} + \rho \frac{\partial m_\psi^*(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \equiv U(\phi, \rho), \quad (12a)$$

$$p' = -\frac{GM\varepsilon}{r^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right]^{-1} \left[ 1 + \frac{4\pi r^3}{M} \left( p + \frac{(\phi')^2}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right\} \right) \right] - \phi' U(\phi, \rho), \quad (12b)$$

$$M' = 4\pi r^2 \left[ \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right) (\phi')^2 \right]. \quad (12c)$$

Eq. (12a) is the static axion equation of motion coupled to gravity, while Eqs. (12b) and (12c) are the TOV equations in the presence of an axion. Note that, we recover the ordinary TOV equations, Eq. (7), in the limit  $\phi = 0$ . While it is possible to numerically solve Eq. (12) using the shooting method, there exists a limit in which these equations simplify dramatically.

The displacement of the axion at sufficiently high densities costs gradient energy and therefore it only occurs if balanced by the gain in potential energy. This leads to the typical scale on which the axion is displaced

$$\lambda_\phi(\rho) \simeq \frac{\pi f}{\sqrt{2(\delta m_N \rho - \epsilon m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2)}}, \quad (13)$$

to be evaluated at typical WD densities.

For  $R_{\text{WD}} \gg \lambda_\phi$ , the field essentially tracks the minimum of the effective in-density potential on stellar scales and is given by the solution to

$$U(\phi, \rho) = 0. \quad (14)$$

At the same time, the gradient terms in Eqs. (12b) and (12c) are confined to a small transition shell, where the field does not follow its minimum. However, this localized contribution is negligible as long as  $\frac{\lambda_\phi}{R_{\text{WD}}} \frac{\delta m_N}{m_N} \ll 1$ , which is trivially fulfilled in this case.

Therefore, for large systems we can neglect the axion gradient  $\phi' \simeq 0$ . As a result, Eq. (12) decouples to give the regular TOV equations, Eq. (7), in addition to Eq. (14). Note that the latter is the same condition as the minimization of the energy density  $\varepsilon(\phi, \rho)$  with respect to  $\phi$ . Solutions  $\phi(\rho)$  describe a thermodynamically stable EOS used to solve the regular TOV equations.

Interestingly, if the axion is destabilized in a WD, the energy per particle of the light nuclei  $\varepsilon(\rho)/\rho$  is not minimized when the nuclei are infinitely separated ( $\rho \rightarrow 0$ ), but rather

at some finite density  $\rho^*$ , which can be found numerically. This implies the existence of an energetically favored state of matter at  $\rho^*$ , where the axion is at  $\langle \phi \rangle = \pm \pi f$ . This new ground state is in fact reminiscent to strange quark matter [28]. Note that the density of the new ground state is slightly larger than the density at which the destabilization occurs,  $\rho^* > \rho_c \equiv \epsilon m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 / 2\sigma_N$ .

For low densities  $\rho < \rho_c$ , matter is in a meta-stable state where the classical sourcing of the axion is not preferable. Once  $\langle \phi \rangle = \pm \pi f$ , there is a range  $\rho_c < \rho < \rho^*$ , where the energy per particle decreases  $\partial_\rho(\varepsilon(\rho)/\rho) < 0$ , implying a negative pressure. At densities slightly above  $\rho_c$ , the total pressure turns negative due to the onset of the the axion potential  $p = p_e - V < 0$ . As  $\rho$  increases,  $V$  stays constant while  $p_e$  increases, until finally the system stabilizes at  $p = p_e(\rho^*) - V = 0$ , see Fig. 3. In this unstable phase the system contracts until it stabilizes in the new ground state.

This instability leads to a *gap* in the predicted  $M$ - $R$  relationship as seen in Fig. 2. The position of this gap is  $\epsilon$  dependent; the smaller  $\epsilon$  is, the more the gap is shifted towards small masses and large radii. We use the position of this gap to probe the existence of light QCD axions.

Note that the simplified discussion above is only valid for  $R_{\text{WD}} \gg \lambda_\phi$ . For  $R_{\text{WD}} \sim \lambda_\phi$  we numerically solve the full coupled system in Eq. (12) and find that for large values of the axion decay constant,  $f \gtrsim 10^{13}$  GeV, and small  $\epsilon$ , the position of the gap is  $\epsilon$  independent. This is understood as follows: on the stable branch, the gradient pressure, which is controlled by  $f$ , is relevant. If gravity is subdominant, this pressure fixes the central density of the star,  $\rho(r=0) > \rho^*$ . The maximal radius is then achieved when the gravitational pressure equals the gradient pressure. For the meta-stable branch, the minimum radius is set by  $R \sim \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_\phi$ .

Finally, in the limit  $R_{\text{WD}} \ll \lambda_\phi$ , the gradient energy




FIG. 3. The energy per particle  $\epsilon/\rho$  as a function of number density (left) and the EOS (right). At low densities  $\rho < \rho_c$ , the system is in its meta-stable  $\phi = 0$  phase (dark blue). For  $\rho_c < \rho < \rho^*$ , the system is unstable i.e.  $p < 0$  (dashed line in left panel). At larger densities  $\rho^* < \rho$ , the system is in its  $\phi = \pi f$  phase (light blue), with a new ground state at  $\epsilon^*$  where  $p = 0$ .

is so large that the field cannot move away from its in-vacuum minimum and therefore has no influence on the structure of WDs.

### C. Confrontation with Observational Data

There are large data sets available containing masses and radii of WDs (see e.g. [11–17, 29–35]). However, not all of these data-sets can be used to probe the  $M - R$  relation. In some catalogs, (see [29–33]), the  $M - R$  relation is used as an input to significantly reduce observational error. On the other hand, there are sets (e.g. [11–17, 34, 35]) that systematically test the  $M - R$  relation using observational data. While in [16] the determination of the mass and radius is completely independent of WD models, most other works depend on an atmospheric model to determine the radius. Nevertheless, we combine the data sets [11–17] and show them in Fig. 2.

The data of measured WD masses and radii is scattered broadly between radii of (5000–40000 km), which matches reasonably well with the free Fermi gas description. The notable deviation in mass found at large radii in Fig. 2 is due to finite temperature effects;  $\mu_e$  in these dilute stars is typically smaller, increasing the relevance of  $T/\mu_e$  corrections. Finite temperature effects lead to modifications of the EOS and to a slight modification of masses and radii, but still predict a continuous  $M - R$  curve. The same holds for other well-known corrections to the EOS, such as different compositions, electrostatic corrections, or nuclear reactions, see e.g. Refs. [24, 36]. As there is no gap in radii in the data, we are able to place novel constraints on models of light QCD axions. If for any given  $f$  and  $\epsilon$ , there are  $\mathcal{O}(\text{few})$  WDs within the region of the predicted gap, we exclude the corresponding point in the parameter space.

For large values of  $\epsilon$ , the most massive WDs set the most stringent limits, and we exclude all points in the axion parameter space that cannot predict a WD with a radius smaller than around  $\sim 6000$  km on the meta-stable branch. For  $R_{\text{WD}} \gg \lambda_\phi$ , this translates to an exclusion of all  $\epsilon \lesssim 10^{-8}$ , as shown in Fig. 1. On the other hand, in the same limit, the smallest values of  $\epsilon$  that we can probe are dictated by the largest, extremely low-mass WDs [17], probing  $\epsilon \gtrsim 3 \times 10^{-20}$ . The stable branch for smaller values of  $\epsilon$  already covers the range of radii smaller than  $\sim 35000$  km, which is consistent with observations. Note however that this region is already ruled out by requiring that no sourcing occurs in our sun [2]. For larger  $\lambda_\phi$ , i.e. larger  $f$ , we use solutions of the coupled system, Eq. 12, to place the corresponding bounds. Due to finite  $f$  effects, the sourcing stops at around  $f \sim 10^{16}$  GeV, and for large  $\epsilon$  at slightly smaller values of  $f$ . As discussed above, due to finite  $f$  effects, for  $f \gtrsim 10^{13}$  GeV and small  $\epsilon$ , the gap is independent of  $\epsilon$  and within the observed radii range of WDs. This allows us to place a bound for arbitrarily small axion masses for  $10^{13} \text{ GeV} \lesssim f \lesssim 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ . We stress again that we do not expect our results to strongly depend on finite temperature effects.

## III. CONCLUSIONS

The mass-radius relationship of white dwarfs is well-understood and has been observationally tested with increasing accuracy in recent years. We showed how light QCD axions change the structure of WDs, thus predicting the presence of a gap. We used existing data to place novel bounds on their parameter space.

The QCD axion generically predicts a non-derivative coupling to nucleons. At finite baryon density this coupling can destabilize the axion from its in-vacuum minimum. If sourced, the non-zero axion expectation value reduces the mass of nucleons. For a large region of the parameter space, this leads to a new ground state of matter, which has less energy per particle than infinitely separated nucleons. If accessible in WDs, this drastically changes their  $M - R$  relation. Since the axion is sourced by the WD, this does not rely on the axion contributing to the dark matter relic abundance.

More precise tests of the WD  $M - R$  curve using the recent Gaia DR3 are expected in the near future and will further probe the parameter space of light QCD axions.

As a consequence of the new ground state of matter, we predict new small self-bound objects held together by the gradient pressure of the axion. These objects could give rise to novel signatures of light QCD axions down to the QCD axion line.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of JS, KS, SS and AW has been partially supported by the Collaborative Research Center SFB1258,

the Munich Institute for Astro- and Particle Physics (MIAPP), and by the Excellence Cluster ORIGINS, which is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy – EXC-2094-390783311. The work of JS is supported by the grant RYC-2020-028992-I funded by MCIN/AEI /10.13039/501100011033 and by “ESF Investing in your future”. JS also acknowledges the support of the Spanish Agencia Estatal de Investigación through the

grant “IFT Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa CEX2020-001007-S”. The work of SS is additionally supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation under contract 200020-18867. The work of RB is supported by grants from the NSF-BSF (No. 2018683), the ISF (No. 482/20), the BSF (No. 2020300) and by the Azrieli foundation. KS would like to thank the elementary particle physics group at the University of Maryland for their hospitality during the final stages of this work.

- [1] In Eqs. (2) and (3) we show approximated expressions using  $\cos(\phi/f)$ . In practice, we used the more accurate expressions [37]

$$V = -\epsilon m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\phi}{2f} \right)} - 1 \right),$$

and

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sigma_N \bar{N} N \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\phi}{2f} \right)} - 1 \right).$$

- [2] A. Hook and J. Huang, Probing axions with neutron star inspirals and other stellar processes, *JHEP* **06**, 036, arXiv:1708.08464 [hep-ph].
- [3] A. Hook, Solving the Hierarchy Problem Discretely, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 261802 (2018), arXiv:1802.10093 [hep-ph].
- [4] L. Di Luzio, B. Gavela, P. Quilez, and A. Ringwald, An even lighter QCD axion, *JHEP* **05**, 184, arXiv:2102.00012 [hep-ph].
- [5] L. Di Luzio, B. Gavela, P. Quilez, and A. Ringwald, Dark matter from an even lighter QCD axion: trapped misalignment, *JCAP* **10**, 001, arXiv:2102.01082 [hep-ph].
- [6] A. Banerjee, J. Eby, and G. Perez, From axion quality and naturalness problems to a high-quality  $\mathbb{Z}_{4N}$  QCD relaxion, (2022), arXiv:2210.05690 [hep-ph].
- [7] R. Balkin, J. Serra, K. Springmann, S. Stelzl, and A. Weiler, Density Induced Vacuum Instability, (2021), arXiv:2105.13354 [hep-ph].
- [8] C. Gao and A. Stebbins, Structure of stellar remnants with coupling to a light scalar, *JCAP* **07** (07), 025, arXiv:2110.07012 [hep-ph].
- [9] R. Balkin, J. Serra, K. Springmann, S. Stelzl, and A. Weiler, Heavy Neutron Stars from Light Scalars, (to appear).
- [10] A. Arvanitaki, M. Baryakhtar, and X. Huang, Discovering the QCD Axion with Black Holes and Gravitational Waves, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 084011 (2015), arXiv:1411.2263 [hep-ph].
- [11] A. Bédard, P. Bergeron, and G. Fontaine, Measurements of Physical Parameters of White Dwarfs: A Test of the Mass–Radius Relation, *The Astrophysical Journal* **848**, 11 (2017).
- [12] P.-E. Tremblay, N. Gentile-Fusillo, R. Raddi, S. Jordan, C. Besson, B. T. Gänsicke, S. G. Parsons, D. Koester, T. Marsh, R. Bohlin, J. Kalirai, and S. Deustua, The Gaia DR1 mass–radius relation for white dwarfs, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **465**, 2849 (2016), eprint: <https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-pdf/465/3/2849/8485664/stw2854.pdf>.
- [13] S. R. G. Joyce, M. A. Barstow, S. L. Casewell, M. R. Burleigh, J. B. Holberg, and H. E. Bond, Testing the white dwarf mass-radius relation and comparing optical and far-UV spectroscopic results with Gaia DR2, HST, and FUSE, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **479**, 1612 (2018), arXiv:1806.00061 [astro-ph.SR].
- [14] H. E. Bond, R. L. Gilliland, G. H. Schaefer, P. Demarque, T. M. Girard, J. B. Holberg, D. Gudehus, B. D. Mason, V. Kozhurina-Platais, M. R. Burleigh, M. A. Barstow, and E. P. Nelan, Hubble Space Telescope Astrometry of the Procyon System, *The Astrophysical Journal* **813**, 106 (2015).
- [15] H. E. Bond, G. H. Schaefer, R. L. Gilliland, J. B. Holberg, B. D. Mason, I. W. Lindenblad, M. Seitz-McLeese, W. D. Arnett, P. Demarque, F. Spada, P. A. Young, M. A. Barstow, M. R. Burleigh, and D. Gudehus, The Sirius System and Its Astrophysical Puzzles: Hubble Space Telescope and Ground-based Astrometry, *The Astrophysical Journal* **840**, 70 (2017).
- [16] S. G. Parsons, B. T. Gänsicke, T. R. Marsh, R. P. Ashley, M. C. P. Bours, E. Breedt, M. R. Burleigh, C. M. Copperwheat, V. S. Dhillon, M. Green, L. K. Hardy, J. J. Hermes, P. Irawati, P. Kerry, S. P. Littlefair, M. J. McAllister, S. Rattanasoon, A. Rebassa-Mansergas, D. I. Sahman, and M. R. Schreiber, Testing the white dwarf mass–radius relationship with eclipsing binaries, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **470**, 4473 (2017), <https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-pdf/470/4/4473/19179437/stx1522.pdf>.
- [17] W. R. Brown, M. Kilic, A. Kosakowski, J. J. Andrews, C. O. Heinke, M. A. Agüeros, F. Camilo, A. Gianninas, J. J. Hermes, and S. J. Kenyon, The ELM survey. VIII. ninety-eight double white dwarf binaries, *The Astrophysical Journal* **889**, 49 (2020).
- [18] S. i. P. Nunes, J. D. V. Arbañil, and M. Malheiro, The Structure and Stability of Massive Hot White Dwarfs, *Astrophys. J.* **921**, 138 (2021), arXiv:2108.08238 [astro-ph.SR].
- [19] S. M. de Carvalho, M. Rotondo, J. A. Rueda, and R. Ruffini, Relativistic Feynman-Metropolis-Teller treatment at finite temperatures, *Phys. Rev. C* **89**, 015801 (2014).
- [20] G. Shaviv and A. Kovetz, The Thermodynamics of White Dwarf Matter II., **16**, 72 (1972).
- [21] A. Kovetz and G. Shaviv, The Thermodynamics of White Dwarf Matter, **8**, 398 (1970).
- [22] D. Q. J. Lamb, *Evolution of pure  $^{12}\text{C}$  white dwarfs*, Tech. Rep. (United States, 1974).
- [23] D. Q. Lamb and H. M. van Horn, Evolution of crystallizing pure  $^{12}\text{C}$  white dwarfs., *Astrophys. J.* **200**, 306 (1975).

- [24] D. Koester and G. Chanmugam, REVIEW: Physics of white dwarf stars, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **53**, 837 (1990).
- [25] J. R. Oppenheimer and G. M. Volkoff, On massive neutron cores, *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 374 (1939).
- [26] R. C. Tolman, Static solutions of Einstein's field equations for spheres of fluid, *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 364 (1939).
- [27] The interesting case of a scalar coupled non-derivatively to electrons inducing a  $\phi$  dependent mass  $m_e^*(\phi)$  is left for a separate publication [38].
- [28] E. Witten, Cosmic Separation of Phases, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 272 (1984).
- [29] N. P. G. Fusillo, P.-E. Tremblay, E. Cukanovaite, A. Vorontseva, R. Lallement, M. Hollands, B. T. Gänsicke, K. B. Burdge, J. McCleery, and S. Jordan, A catalogue of white dwarfs in Gaia EDR3, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **508**, 3877 (2021).
- [30] Madej, J., Nalezyty, M., and Althaus, L. G., Mass distribution of DA white dwarfs in the First Data Release of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, *A&A* **419**, L5 (2004).
- [31] Nalezyty, M. and Madej, J., A catalogue of isolated massive white dwarfs, *A&A* **420**, 507 (2004).
- [32] Koester, D. and Kepler, S. O., Carbon-rich (DQ) white dwarfs in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, *A&A* **628**, A102 (2019).
- [33] F. M. Jiménez-Esteban, S. Torres, A. Rebassa-Mansergas, G. Skorobogatov, E. Solano, C. Cantero, and C. Rodrigo, A white dwarf catalogue from Gaia-DR2 and the Virtual Observatory, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **480**, 4505 (2018), arXiv:1807.02559 [astro-ph.SR].
- [34] P. Bergeron, P. Dufour, G. Fontaine, S. Coutu, S. Blouin, C. Genest-Beaulieu, A. Bédard, and B. Rolland, On the measurement of fundamental parameters of white dwarfs in the Gaia era, *The Astrophysical Journal* **876**, 67 (2019).
- [35] C. Genest-Beaulieu and P. Bergeron, A comprehensive spectroscopic and photometric analysis of DA and DB white dwarfs from SDSS and Gaia, *The Astrophysical Journal* **871**, 169 (2019).
- [36] S. L. Shapiro and S. A. Teukolsky, *Black holes, white dwarfs, and neutron stars: The physics of compact objects* (1983).
- [37] G. Grilli di Cortona, E. Hardy, J. Pardo Vega, and G. Villadoro, The QCD axion, precisely, *JHEP* **01**, 034, arXiv:1511.02867 [hep-ph].
- [38] R. Balkin, J. Serra, K. Springmann, S. Stelzl, and A. Weiler, White dwarfs as a probe of non-derivative scalar electron couplings, (to appear).