arXiv:2211.03926v2 [astro-ph.HE] 21 Apr 2023

Exact formulations of relativistic electrodynamics and magnetohydrodynamics with helically coupled scalar field

Jai-chan Hwang[1], Hyerim Noh[2]
[1] *Particle Theory and Cosmology Group, Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon, 34051, Republic of Korea*
[2] *Theoretical Astrophysics Group, Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute, Daejeon, Republic of Korea*

We present the general relativistic electrodynamics and magnetohydrodynamics with a helically coupled scalar field. We consider three component system with the fluid, scalar field and electromagnetic fields with the helical coupling. We derive three exact formulations: the covariant formulation, the ADM formulation, and the fully nonlinear and exact perturbation formulation. We also derive the weak-gravity limit with fully relativistic fluid and fields. The latter two formulations are presented in the cosmological context.

Contents

I. Introduction 1

II. Covariant (1+3) formulation 2
A. Maxwell equations 2
B. Equation of motion 3
C. Fluid quantities 3
D. Fluid conservation equations 4

III. ADM (3+1) formulation 6
A. Maxwell equations 6
B. Equation of motion 7
C. Fluid quantities 7
D. Fluid conservation equations 8

IV. FNLE formulation 9
A. Maxwell equations 10
B. Equation of motion 12
C. Fluid quantities 12
D. Fluid conservation equations 14

V. Weak-gravity approximation 15

VI. MHD 16
A. Covariant formulation 17
B. ADM formulation 17
C. FNLE formulation 18
1. Weak-gravity limit 18
2. Slow-motion limit 18
D. Induction equation 19

VII. Discussion 19

A. Covariant (1+3) formulation 20
1. Covariant equations 21

B. ADM (3+1) formulation 23
1. ADM equations 24
2. Weyl equations 25

C. FNLE formulation 26
1. FNLE equations 27

References 29

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic fields are ubiquitous in celestial environments. The relativistic processes involving magnetic field are also widespread in astronomical objects. The scalar field appearing in high-energy physics is now main ingredients in cosmological processes, playing essential roles in driving inflation, dark matter and dark energy. For the scalar field, relativistic treatment is necessary. The combination of the magnetic field and the scalar field naturally leads to a helical electromagnetism [1] which disappears without the scalar field coupling.

The electromagnetic field helically coupled with the scalar field (the axion as an example) naturally leads to α-dynamo term [2] causing exponential growth of magnetic field in the linear stage and to magnetic helicity generation which has important implications in enhancing the large-scale magnetic field through the inverse cascade, propagating the magnetic field to larger scales [3, 4]. Although the origin and evolution of the cosmic magnetic field are largely unknown in astrophysics and cosmology, the helically coupled scalar field may have important roles in understanding the subject, see [5–10] for reviews.

Relativistic electrodynamics (ED) and magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) are important in many aspects of relativistic astrophysics and cosmology. Here, we present three exact relativistic formulations and the weak-gravity approximation of ED and MHD of the three component system including the helical coupling: the fluid (FL), the scalar field (SF) and the electromagnetic (EM) field. We consider a Lagrangian density

$$L = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G}(R-2\Lambda) - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{;c}\phi_{,c} - V(\phi) + L_{\rm m} - \frac{1}{16\pi}F_{ab}F^{ab} - \frac{g_{\phi\gamma}}{4}f(\phi)F_{ab}F^{*ab} + \frac{1}{c}J^a_{\rm em}A_a, \quad (1)$$

where $L_{\rm m}$ is the fluid part, R the scalar curvature, Λ the cosmological constant, ϕ the SF, F_{ab} the EM tensor and

the other symbols will be explained later. The $g_{\phi\gamma}$ term is the helical coupling.

In ED, the presence of helical-coupling causes extra charge and current densities and provides windows for direct detection of the axion particles by EM means [1, 11]. In MHD, the helical coupling causes the α-dynamo term in MHD treatment: for expressions in the four formulations, see Sec. VI D.

The covariant $(1+3)$ and the ADM (Arnowitt-Deser-Misner, $3+1$) formulations are reformulations of Einstein's gravity without any restriction on the geometry and the energy-momentum contents. The fully nonlinear and exact perturbation (FNLE) formulation is based on nonlinear and exact perturbations in the Friedmann background. Here, for simplicity, we ignore the tensor-type perturbation and impose the spatial gauge conditions. The formulation is general without imposing the temporal gauge (hypersurface, slicing) condition.

The weak-gravity approximation is a limiting case of the FNLE formulation in the limit of weak gravity but with fully relativistic fluid and fields. The formulation is possible in the uniform-expansion gauge (maximal slicing in the flat spacetime background). By taking the nondynamic background, the equations of FNLE and weak-gravity formulations are valid for general energy-momentum configuration.

Previously, in [12] we presented the weak-gravity and slow-motion limit of the system and studied gravitational and magnetic instability in the case of the massive scalar field with $f \propto \phi^2$ coupling. Here, we present the fully relativistic and nonlinear ED and MHD of the system without the restrictions used in [12]. As we consider general potential V and coupling f, here we do not handle the axion case with massive scalar field. For axion, together with $f \propto \phi^2$, the Klein and the Madelung transformations are available with proper non-relativistic limit. In the absence of the $g_{\phi\gamma}$-coupling, the covariant formulation and the post-Newtonian approximation of the axion were studied in [13]. For a general potential $V(\phi)$, the SF does not have Newtonian limit, thus the post-Newtonian approximation is not available.

The complete sets of equations in the three exact formulations of ED are derived in Secs. II-IV. The weak-gravity approximation of the FNLE formulation of ED is derived in Sec. V. MHDs in the four formulations are derived in Sec. VI. In three appendices we derive the covariant, ADM and FNLE formulations for a general single-component fluid. Being a general fluid, the formulations is valid in the multi-component fluids and fields with the fluid quantities interpreted as collective ones. As the main purpose of this work is deriving the formulations, we will present some details needed for the derivation.

II. COVARIANT (1+3) FORMULATION

The covariant $(1+3)$ formulation [14–18] is derived in the Appendix A for a most general imperfect fluid. The fundamental set of equations are Eqs. (A15)-(A32). The formulation in the Appendix A, although u_a is used as the four-vector, is based on a generic time-like four-vector U_a. From now on, we will use U_a for the generic four-vector, reserving u_a for the fluid four-vector and n_a for the normal four-vector. The U_a can be the FL comoving (u_a), the collective-matter comoving, the SF comoving, the normal (n_a), etc.

In the presence of multiple component fluids and fields, we may regard the fluid quantities in the Appendix A as the ones for the collective fluids and fields. Thus, our task in this section is to derive the conservation equations for the FL, the Maxwell equations for the EM field, the equation of motion for the SF, and the collective fluid quantities. Einstein's equations in (A21)-(A32) together with the collective fluid quantities provide the gravity part.

A. Maxwell equations

The EM tensor is decomposed as [16]

$$F_{ab} \equiv U_a E_b - U_b E_a - \eta_{abcd} U^c B^d, \tag{2}$$

with $E_a U^a \equiv 0 \equiv B_a U^a$; U_a is a generic time-like four-vector normalized with $U^c U_c \equiv -1$; E_a and B_a are based on the four-vector. In terms of the EM four-potential A_a, we have

$$F_{ab} \equiv \nabla_a A_b - \nabla_b A_a, \tag{3}$$

where ∇_a is the covariant derivative. The dual tensor is

$$\begin{aligned} F^{*ab} &\equiv \frac{1}{2}\eta^{abcd} F_{cd} = U^a B^b - U^b B^a + \eta^{abcd} U_c E_d, \\ F_{ab} &= -\frac{1}{2}\eta_{abcd} F^{*cd}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

thus

$$E_a \equiv F_{ab} U^b, \quad B_a \equiv F^*_{ab} U^b. \tag{5}$$

We have two invariants

$$\begin{aligned} F^{ab} F_{ab} &= -2\left(E^2 - B^2\right) = -F^{*ab} F^*_{ab}, \\ F^{ab} F^*_{ab} &= -4 E^a B_a, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $E^2 \equiv E^a E_a$; $F_{ab} F^{*ab}$ is parity-odd and leads to asymmetry between the two circular polarization states, thus helical.

For fluid quantities and the electric and magnetic field strengths based on the generic four-vector U_a, we can take either the normal-frame (which is closer to the laboratory-frame) with $U_a = n_a$ or the comoving frame. In the presence of the FL, the SF and the EM field,

the comoving can be fluid-comoving, the scalar field-comoving, etc. The fluid quantities of the FL are often expressed in the fluid-comoving frame, and the EM fields are often expressed in the normal-frame. Expression of the SF is simplified in the SF-comoving frame. We reserve $U_a = u_a$ for the fluid-comoving frame (thus, u_a is the fluid four-vector).

Variation with respect to A_a gives the Maxwell equations

$$F^{ab}{}_{;b} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\left(J^a_{\rm em} - cg_{\phi\gamma}f_{,b}F^{*ab}\right),$$
$$F^{*ab}{}_{;b} = 0 \quad (\text{or} \quad F_{[ab;c]} = 0), \tag{7}$$

with

$$J^a_{\rm em} \equiv \varrho_{\rm em}cU^a + j^a, \quad j_aU^a \equiv 0, \quad J^a_{{\rm em};a} = 0, \tag{8}$$

where semicolon indicates four-dimensional covariant derivative. Thus

$$\varrho_{\rm em}c = -J^a_{\rm em}U_a, \quad j^a = h^a_bJ^b_{\rm em}, \tag{9}$$

where $\varrho_{\rm em}$ and j^a are the charge and current densities, respectively, based on U_a; $h_{ab} \equiv g_{ab} + U_aU_b$ is the projection tensor. From these we can derive the four Maxwell equations [16]

$$E^a{}_{;b}h^b_a = 4\pi\left(\varrho_{\rm em} - g_{\phi\gamma}f_{,a}B^a\right) - 2\omega^aB_a, \tag{10}$$
$$h^a_b\widetilde{\dot{E}}^b = \left(\eta^a{}_{bcd}U^d\omega^c + \sigma^a{}_b - \frac{2}{3}\delta^a_b\theta\right)E^b + \eta^{abcd}U_d\left(a_bB_c - B_{b;c}\right) - \frac{4\pi}{c}\left[j^a + cg_{\phi\gamma}f_{,b}\left(U^bB^a - \eta^{abcd}U_cE_d\right)\right], \tag{11}$$
$$B^a{}_{;b}h^b_a = 2\omega^aE_a, \tag{12}$$
$$h^a_b\widetilde{\dot{B}}^b = \left(\eta^a{}_{bcd}U^d\omega^c + \sigma^a{}_b - \frac{2}{3}\delta^a_b\theta\right)B^b - \eta^{abcd}U_d\left(a_bE_c - E_{b;c}\right), \tag{13}$$

where $\widetilde{\dot{E}}^a \equiv E^a{}_{;b}U^b$. Equations (10)-(13) follow, respectively, from

$$U_aF^{ab}{}_{;b} = \ldots, \quad h^a_cF^{cb}{}_{;b} = \ldots,$$
$$U_a\eta^{abcd}F_{bc;d} = 0, \quad h^a_e\eta^{ebcd}F_{bc;d} = 0. \tag{14}$$

Apparently, the $g_{\phi\gamma}$-coupling can be interpreted as introducing extra charge and current densities [1, 19]. We have

$$J^a_{\rm ax} = -cg_{\phi\gamma}f_{,b}F^{*ab}, \quad \varrho_{\rm ax} = -g_{\phi\gamma}f_{,a}B^a,$$
$$j^a_{\rm ax} = cg_{\phi\gamma}f_{,b}\left(U^bB^a - \eta^{abcd}U_cE_d\right). \tag{15}$$

These are axion (SF) induced electric charge and current densities.

We can derive

$$\left(B^2\right)^{\widetilde{\cdot}} = 2\sigma_{ab}B^aB^b - \frac{4}{3}\theta B^2 - 2\eta^{abcd}U_dB_a\left(a_bE_c + E_{b;c}\right). \tag{16}$$

The current conservation $J^a_{{\rm em};a} = 0$ gives

$$c\left(\widetilde{\dot{\varrho}}_{\rm em} + \theta\varrho_{\rm em}\right) = -j^a{}_{;a} = -h^b_aj^a{}_{;b} - j^aa_a, \tag{17}$$

which is also valid for $J^a_{\rm ax}$.

B. Equation of motion

Variation with respect to ϕ gives the equation of motion

$$\Box\phi = V_{,\phi} + \frac{g_{\phi\gamma}}{4}f_{,\phi}F_{ab}F^{*ab}. \tag{18}$$

Using $F_{ab}F^{*ab} = -4E^aB_a$ which is frame-invariant, we have

$$-\Box\phi + V_{,\phi} = \widetilde{\ddot{\phi}} + \theta\widetilde{\dot{\phi}} + V_{,\phi} - h^b_a\left(h^{ac}\phi_{,c}\right)_{;b} - h^b_a\phi_{,b}a^a = g_{\phi\gamma}f_{,\phi}E^aB_a, \tag{19}$$

based on the generic four-vector U_a.

C. Fluid quantities

In the presence of all three components, T_{ab} can be separated into three components as $T_{ab} = T^{\rm FL}_{ab} + T^{\rm SF}_{ab} + T^{\rm EM}_{ab}$ with each component in Eqs. (21)-(23). The fluid quantities in the Appendix A can be regarded as the collective ones with $\mu = \mu^{\rm FL} + \mu^{\rm SF} + \mu^{\rm EM}$, etc; for individual fluid quantities, see Eq. (24) for FL in the fluid-frame, and Eqs. (29) and (32) for the SF and the EM field, respectively, in the generic frame. Thus, the fluid quantities used in Einstein's equations in (A15)-(A32) are collective ones based on the generic frame. The energy-momentum conservation in Eqs. (A17) and (A18) also apply for the collective fluid quantities. In the following, unless mentioned otherwise, the fluid quantities μ etc. are in the fluid-comoving frame

In the multi-component case, it is convenient to introduce the individual conservation equations. The conservation equations of individual component are constrained by

$$T^{ab}{}_{;b} = (T^{ab}_{\rm FL} + T^{ab}_{\rm SF} + T^{ab}_{\rm EM})_{;b} = 0. \tag{20}$$

For the FL, we additionally have mass conservation equation in (A19). For the EM field, we additionally have the Maxwell equations in Eq. (7); in the covariant form decomposed using U_a, see Eqs. (10)-(13). For the SF, we have the equation of motion in Eq. (18); in the covariant form decomposed using U_a, see (19).

Variation with respect to g_{ab} gives Einstein's equation and the energy-momentum tensor, with $\delta(\sqrt{-g}L) =$

$\frac{1}{2}T^{ab}\sqrt{-g}\delta g_{ab}$. We have $T_{ab}=T^{\rm FL}_{ab}+T^{\rm SF}_{ab}+T^{\rm EM}_{ab}$ with

$$T^{\rm FL}_{ab}=\mu u_a u_b+ph_{ab}+q_a u_b+q_b u_a+\pi_{ab}, \quad (21)$$

$$T^{\rm SF}_{ab}=\phi_{,a}\phi_{,b}-\left(\frac{1}{2}\phi^{;c}\phi_{,c}+V\right)g_{ab}, \quad (22)$$

$$T^{\rm EM}_{ab}=\frac{1}{4\pi}\left(F_{ac}F_b{}^c-\frac{1}{4}g_{ab}F_{cd}F^{cd}\right), \quad (23)$$

where $\mu=\mu^{\rm FL}$, etc. In T_{ab}, the fluid and the two fields are clearly separated. The $g_{\phi\gamma}$-coupling term does not contribute to the energy-momentum tensor, thus to the fluid quantities. The $g_{\phi\gamma}$-coupling occurs only in the Maxwell equations and the equation of motion. The EM coupling with the fluid appears in the energy and momentum conservation equations.

Fluid quantities of the FL in Eq. (21) are defined based on the fluid four-vector $U_a=u_a$, with

$$\mu=T^{\rm FL}_{ab}u^a u^b, \quad p=\frac{1}{3}T^{\rm FL}_{ab}h^{ab}, \quad q_a=-T^{\rm FL}_{cd}u^c h^d_a,$$
$$\pi_{ab}=T^{\rm FL}_{cd}h^c_a h^d_b-ph_{ab}, \quad (24)$$

where we often do not distinguish the fluid quantities of the fluid by index FL. For a single component fluid, the fluid-frame condition implies vanishing flux $q_a\equiv 0$.

The fluid quantities based on the generic U_a-frame are similarly introduced as

$$T_{ab}=\mu U_a U_b+p(g_{ab}+U_aU_b)+q_aU_b+q_bU_a+\pi_{ab}, \quad (25)$$

thus,

$$\mu=T_{ab}U^aU^b, \quad p=\frac{1}{3}T_{ab}h^{ab}, \quad q_a=-T_{cd}U^ch^d_a,$$
$$\pi_{ab}=T_{cd}h^c_ah^d_b-ph_{ab}, \quad (26)$$

with the conditions in Eq. (A13); here, $\mu=\mu^{(U)}$ etc. and $h_{ab}\equiv g_{ab}+U_aU_b$; we do not distinguish $h^{(U)}_{ab}$, $h^{(u)}_{ab}$, etc. by superscript, which can be understood in the context. In the above relations, T_{ab} can be $T^{\rm EM}_{ab}$, $T^{\rm SF}_{ab}$ or the collective one $T^{\rm tot}_{ab}$.

The energy-momentum tensors of the SF and the EM field in Eqs. (22) and (23) are introduced without reference to the four-vector; T_{ab} is frame invariant. Using the generic four-vector U_a, we can expand

$$T^{\rm SF}_{ab}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\dot\phi}^2+V+\frac{1}{2}h^{ab}\phi_{,a}\phi_{,b}\right)U_aU_b$$
$$+\left(\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\dot\phi}^2-V-\frac{1}{2}h^{ab}\phi_{,a}\phi_{,b}\right)h_{ab}+h^c_ah^d_b\phi_{,c}\phi_{,d}$$
$$-\widetilde{\dot\phi}\left(U_ah^c_b\phi_{,c}+U_bh^c_a\phi_{,c}\right), \quad (27)$$

$$T^{\rm EM}_{ab}=\frac{1}{4\pi}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(E^2+B^2\right)(U_aU_b+h_{ab})-E_aE_b\right.$$
$$\left.-B_aB_b+(U_a\eta_{bcde}+U_b\eta_{acde})E^cB^dU^e\right], \quad (28)$$

where we used $\phi_{,a}=h^b_a\phi_{,b}-\widetilde{\dot\phi}U_a$ with $\widetilde{\dot\phi}\equiv\phi_{,c}U^c$ for the SF, and Eq. (2) for the EM field.

For the scalar field, from Eqs. (22) and (26), we have

$$\mu^{\rm SF}=\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\dot\phi}^2+V+\frac{1}{2}h^{ab}\phi_{,a}\phi_{,b},$$
$$p^{\rm SF}=\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\dot\phi}^2-V-\frac{1}{6}h^{ab}\phi_{,a}\phi_{,b}, \quad q^{\rm SF}_a=-\widetilde{\dot\phi}h^b_a\phi_{,b},$$
$$\pi^{\rm SF}_{ab}=h^c_a\phi_{,c}h^d_b\phi_{,d}-\frac{1}{3}h_{ab}h^{cd}\phi_{,c}\phi_{,d}, \quad (29)$$

using the generic four-vector U_a; thus, here $\mu^{\rm SF}=\mu^{\rm SF}_{(U)}$, etc. The SF-comoving frame is defined as $q^{\rm SF}_a\equiv 0$. In this frame we have $h^b_a\phi_{,b}=0$, thus

$$\mu^{\rm SF}=\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\dot\phi}^2+V, \quad p^{\rm SF}=\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\dot\phi}^2-V, \quad \pi^{\rm SF}_{ab}=0, \quad (30)$$

and Eq. (19) simplifies to

$$-\Box\phi+V_{,\phi}=\widetilde{\ddot\phi}+\theta\widetilde{\dot\phi}+V_{,\phi}=g_{\phi\gamma}f_{,\phi}E^aB_a, \quad (31)$$

where the four-vector is defined as $U_a\widetilde{\dot\phi}\equiv-\phi_{,a}$ or $\widetilde{\dot\phi}h^b_a\phi_{,b}=0$ where U_a is the SF-comoving frame; for the axion with time-average involved, the latter case applies and we have to use Eqs. (19) and (29), [13].

For the EM field, from Eqs. (23) and (26), we have

$$\mu^{\rm EM}=\frac{1}{8\pi}\left(E^2+B^2\right)=3p^{\rm EM},$$
$$q^{\rm EM}_a=\frac{1}{4\pi}\eta_{abcd}E^bB^cU^d,$$
$$\pi^{\rm EM}_{ab}=-\frac{1}{4\pi}\left[E_aE_b+B_aB_b-\frac{1}{3}h_{ab}\left(E^2+B^2\right)\right] \quad (32)$$

using the general four-vector U_a; thus, here $\mu^{\rm SF}=\mu^{\rm SF}_{(U)}$, etc. The EM field is often evaluated in the fluid-comoving frame u_a and the normal frame n_a. Later we will introduce notations distinguishing the EM field in the two frames, see Eq. (42).

D. Fluid conservation equations

For the fluid, the energy-momentum tensor and fluid quantities are given in Eqs. (21) and (24). From Eq. (21) we have

$$T^{ab}_{{\rm FL};b}u_a=-\left[\widetilde{\dot\mu}+(\mu+p)\,\theta+\pi^{ab}\sigma_{ab}+q^a{}_{;a}+q^aa_a\right], \quad (33)$$

$$T^{cb}_{{\rm FL};b}h^a_c=(\mu+p)\,a_a+h^b_a\left(p_{,b}+\pi^c_{b;c}+\widetilde{\dot q}_b\right)$$
$$+\left(\omega_{ab}+\sigma_{ab}+\frac{4}{3}\theta h_{ab}\right)q^b. \quad (34)$$

As the contraction with u_a indicates, here we are using the fluid four-vector, and all the kinematic quantities θ, etc. and the projection tensor are associated with u_a. We can instead contract Eq. (21) using the arbitrary frame

four-vector U_a and $h^{(U)}_{ac} \equiv g_{ac}+U_aU_c$, and the results are mixture of the above two conservation equations; when $U_a = n_a$, we have the ADM energy and momentum conservation equations.

For the EM field, from Eq. (23), using Eq. (7), we have

$$T^{ab}_{\rm EM;b} = -\frac{1}{c}F^{ab}J^{\rm em}_b + g_{\phi\gamma}F^{ab}F^*_{bc}f^{;c}. \tag{35}$$

Thus, using Eqs. (2) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^{ab}_{\rm EM;b}U_a &= \frac{1}{c}E^a j_a + g_{\phi\gamma}E^a B_a \widetilde{\dot{f}}, \\ T^{cb}_{\rm EM;b}h^a_c &= -\varrho_{\rm em}E^a - \frac{1}{c}\eta^{abcd}j_b B_c U_d \\ &\quad + g_{\phi\gamma}E^c B_c h^{ab}f_{,b}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

For the scalar field, from Eq. (22), using Eq. (18), we have

$$T^{ab}_{\rm SF;b} = \phi^{;a}\left(\Box\phi - V_{,\phi}\right) = -g_{\phi\gamma}f^{;a}E^b B_b. \tag{37}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} T^{ab}_{\rm SF;b}U_a &= -g_{\phi\gamma}E^a B_a \widetilde{\dot{f}}, \\ T^{cb}_{\rm SF;b}h^a_c &= -g_{\phi\gamma}E^b B_b h^{ac}f_{,c}. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Combining the two field contributions, we have

$$\left(T^{ab}_{\rm SF} + T^{ab}_{\rm EM}\right)_{;b}U_a = \frac{1}{c}E^a j_a, \tag{39}$$

$$\left(T^{cb}_{\rm SF} + T^{cb}_{\rm EM}\right)_{;b}h^a_c = -\varrho_{\rm em}E^a - \frac{1}{c}\eta^{abcd}j_b B_c U_d, \tag{40}$$

where the $g_{\phi\gamma}$ interaction terms have canceled. The collective energy and momentum conservation equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \left(T^{ab}_{\rm FL} + T^{ab}_{\rm SF} + T^{ab}_{\rm EM}\right)_{;b}U_a &= 0, \\ \left(T^{cb}_{\rm FL} + T^{ab}_{\rm SF} + T^{cb}_{\rm EM}\right)_{;b}h^a_c &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

In the following we indicate the electric and magnetic fields in the fluid-comoving and normal-frames, respectively, as

$$\begin{aligned} &e_a \equiv E^{(u)}_a, \quad b_a \equiv B^{(u)}_a; \quad E_a \equiv E^{(n)}_a, \quad B_a \equiv B^{(n)}_a, \\ &\varrho_{\rm em} \equiv \varrho^{(n)}_{\rm em}, \quad j_a \equiv j^{(n)}_a. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

In the fluid-comoving (u_a) frame, the fluid conservation equations give

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\dot{\mu}} + (\mu+p)\,\theta + \pi^{ab}\sigma_{ab} + q^a{}_{;a} + q^a a_a = \frac{1}{c}e^a j^{(u)}_a \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{c}\gamma E^a j_a - \varrho_{\rm em}E^a u_a - \frac{1}{c}\eta^{abcd}j_a u_b n_c B_d, \qquad (43) \\ &(\mu+p)\,a_a + h^b_a\left(p_{,b} + \pi^c_{b;c} + \widetilde{\dot{q}}_b\right) \\ &\quad + \left(\omega_{ab} + \sigma_{ab} + \frac{4}{3}\theta h_{ab}\right)q^b \\ &\quad = \varrho^{(u)}_{\rm em}e_a + \frac{1}{c}\eta_{abcd}j^b_{(u)}b^c u^d \\ &\quad = \varrho_{\rm em}(E_a + u_a E_b u^b) + \frac{1}{c}(n_a - \gamma u_a)j^b E_b \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{c}\eta_{abcd}\left[j^b(\gamma B^c + n^c B_e u^e)u^d + u^b n^c B^d j_e u^e\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The $g_{\phi\gamma}$ interaction term does not affect the fluid conservation equations. In the second steps we expressed the EM contributions using the normal-frame quantities. The relations follow from Eqs. (5) and (8) as

$$\begin{aligned} e_a &= \gamma E_a + n_a E_b u^b - \eta_{abcd}u^b n^c B^d, \\ b_a &= \gamma B_a + n_a B_b u^b + \eta_{abcd}u^b n^c E^d, \\ \varrho^{(u)}_{\rm em} &= \gamma\varrho_{\rm em} - \frac{1}{c}j_a u^a, \\ j^{(u)}_a &= j_a + u_a j_b u^b + \varrho_{\rm em}c(n_a - \gamma u_a), \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where we set $\varrho_{\rm em} \equiv \varrho^{(n)}_{\rm em}$ and $j_a \equiv j^{(n)}_a$.

Mass conservation follows from $J^a{}_{;a} = 0$ with $J^a \equiv \overline{\varrho}u^a$, thus

$$\widetilde{\dot{\overline{\varrho}}} + \theta\overline{\varrho} = 0. \tag{46}$$

We have

$$\mu \equiv \varrho c^2, \quad \varrho \equiv \overline{\varrho}\left(1 + \Pi/c^2\right), \tag{47}$$

where $\overline{\varrho}$ is the mass density, and $\overline{\varrho}\Pi$ is the internal energy density; $\Pi = \varepsilon$ in the Appendix.

Here we summarize the complete set of equations of the covariant formulation. The gravity (with the metric and kinematic variable associated with the generic four-vector U_a) is determined by Eqs. (A21)-(A27); the fluid quantities are collective ones including the FL, SF and EM field in Eqs. (24), (29), and (32) like $\mu = \mu^{\rm FL} + \mu^{\rm SF} + \mu^{\rm EM}$, etc.; as the fluid quantities of the FL is defined in the fluid-comoving frame, it is convenient to consider the collective fluid quantities in the fluid-comoving frame as well. The Maxwell equations are given in Eqs. (10)-(13). The charge density and current density are provided externally. The scalar field equation is in Eq. (19). Three fluid conservation equations (the energy, momentum and mass conservations determining μ, u_a and $\overline{\varrho}$, respectively) are given in Eqs. (43), (44) and (46); here, the fluid quantities are for the fluid only given in Eq. (24). Equation of state may provide Π, p, q_a and π_{ab}. For the SF and EM field we still have a freedom to take the four-vector: like the fluid four-vector u_a, or the normal four-vector n_a, etc.

III. ADM (3+1) FORMULATION

The ADM equations are derived in the Appendix B. From this section, we indicate the spacetime covariant quantities by overtildes like $\widetilde{u}_a$, $\widetilde{E}_a$, etc.

The ADM metric and its inverse are [20, 21]

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{g}_{00} \equiv -N^2 + N^i N_i, \quad \widetilde{g}_{0i} \equiv N_i, \quad \widetilde{g}_{ij} \equiv h_{ij},\\ &\widetilde{g}^{00} = -N^{-2}, \quad \widetilde{g}^{0i} = N^{-2}N^i,\\ &\widetilde{g}^{ij} = h^{ij} - N^{-2}N^i N^j,\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where h^{ij} is an inverse of the three-space intrinsic metric h_{ij}

$$h^{ik}h_{jk} \equiv \delta^i_j, \tag{49}$$

and the index of N_i is raised and lowered by h_{ij} and its inverse metric h^{ij}. Thus,

$$h_{ij} = \widetilde{g}_{ij}, \quad N_i = \widetilde{g}_{0i}, \quad N = 1/\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}^{00}}. \tag{50}$$

We have

$$\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}} = N\sqrt{h}, \quad \widetilde{g} \equiv \det(\widetilde{g}_{ab}), \quad h \equiv \det(h_{ij}). \tag{51}$$

The connection and curvature in terms of the ADM metric are presented in the Appendix B. The ADM fluid quantities are

$$\begin{aligned}&E \equiv \widetilde{n}_a\widetilde{n}_b\widetilde{T}^{ab}, \quad J_i \equiv -\widetilde{n}_b\widetilde{T}^b_i, \quad S_{ij} \equiv \widetilde{T}_{ij},\\ &S \equiv h^{ij}S_{ij}, \quad \overline{S}_{ij} \equiv S_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}S,\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where indices of J_i and S_{ij} are raised and lowered by h_{ij} as the metric and its inverse. The fundamental set of ADM equations for a general imperfect fluid are Eqs. (B15)-(B20). In the multiple component fluids and fields, the fluid quantities in these equations and above can be regarded as the collective ones. In our three component system we have $E = E^{\rm FL} + E^{\rm SF} + E^{\rm EM}$, etc., and all we additionally need are conservation equations for the FL, the Maxwell equations for the EM field, equation of motion for the SF, and the collective fluid quantities all in the ADM notation. We will derive these in this section.

For the normal four-vector $\widetilde{n}_a$, we have

$$\widetilde{n}_i \equiv 0, \quad \widetilde{n}_0 = -N, \quad \widetilde{n}^i = -\frac{N^i}{N}, \quad \widetilde{n}^0 = \frac{1}{N}. \tag{53}$$

For the fluid velocity vector we have a couple of alternative expressions of often used in the literature. These are

$$\begin{aligned}&V^i \equiv \frac{\widetilde{h}^{(n)i}_{\ \ b}\widetilde{u}^b}{-\widetilde{n}_c\widetilde{u}^c} = \frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\widetilde{u}^i}{\widetilde{u}^0} + N^i\right) = \frac{1}{N}\left(\overline{V}^i + N^i\right),\\ &\overline{V}^i \equiv \frac{\widetilde{u}^i}{\widetilde{u}^0} = \frac{dx^i}{dx^0} = NV^i - N^i,\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where indices of V^i and $\overline{V}^i$ are raised and lowered by h_{ij} and its inverse; V_i is the fluid three-velocity measured by the Eulerian observer with $\widetilde{n}_a$ [22], and $\overline{V}_i$ is the fluid coordinate three-velocity [23, 24]. We use V_i in this work, and the relation to $\overline{V}_i$ is simple as given above. Thus, our fluid four-vector is

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{u}_i = \gamma V_i, \quad \widetilde{u}_0 = \gamma\left(N_iV^i - N\right),\\ &\widetilde{u}^i \equiv \gamma\left(V^i - \frac{1}{N}N^i\right) \equiv \frac{\gamma}{N}\overline{V}^i, \quad \widetilde{u}^0 = \frac{1}{N}\gamma,\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

with the Lorentz factor

$$\gamma \equiv -\widetilde{n}_c\widetilde{u}^c = N\widetilde{u}^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - V^kV_k}}. \tag{56}$$

A. Maxwell equations

We introduce the EM field in the ADM notation. From $\widetilde{b}_a\widetilde{u}^a \equiv 0$, using Eq. (55), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{b}_i \equiv b_i, \quad \widetilde{b}_0 = b_i\left(N^i - NV^i\right),\\ &\widetilde{b}^i = b^i - \frac{N^i}{N}b_jV^j, \quad \widetilde{b}^0 = \frac{1}{N}b_iV^i,\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

and similarly for $\widetilde{e}_a$ and $\widetilde{j}^{(u)}_a$ with $\widetilde{e}_i \equiv e_i$ and $\widetilde{j}^{(u)}_i \equiv j^{(u)}_i$; indices of e_i, b_i, and $j^{(u)}_i$ are raised and lowered by h_{ij} and its inverse. Similarly, from $\widetilde{B}_a\widetilde{n}^a \equiv 0$, using Eq. (53), we have

$$\widetilde{B}_i \equiv B_i, \quad \widetilde{B}_0 = B_iN^i, \quad \widetilde{B}^i = B^i, \quad \widetilde{B}^0 = 0, \tag{58}$$

and similarly for $\widetilde{E}_a$ and $\widetilde{j}_a$ with $\widetilde{E}_i \equiv E_i$ and $\widetilde{j}_i \equiv j_i$; indices of E_i, B_i, and j_i are raised and lowered by h_{ij} and its inverse. In the two frames we have

$$\widetilde{J}_a = \varrho^{\rm em}_{(u)}c\widetilde{u}_a + \widetilde{j}^{(u)}_a = \varrho^{\rm em}_{(n)}c\widetilde{n}_a + \widetilde{j}^{(n)}_a, \tag{59}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\varrho_{\rm em} = \gamma\varrho^{(u)}_{\rm em} + \frac{1}{c}V^ij^{(u)}_i, \quad \varrho^{(u)}_{\rm em} = \gamma\varrho_{\rm em} - \gamma\frac{1}{c}V^ij_i,\\ &j_i = j^{(u)}_i + c\varrho^{(u)}_{\rm em}\gamma V_i,\\ &j^{(u)}_i = j_i + \gamma^2V_iV_jj^j - c\varrho_{\rm em}\gamma^2V_i,\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where we set $\varrho_{\rm em} \equiv \varrho^{(n)}_{\rm em}$ and $j_i \equiv j^{(n)}_i$.

Now, we derive relations of EM field between the normal and comoving frames. From $\widetilde{b}_a \equiv \widetilde{F}^*_{ab}\widetilde{u}^b$ and $\widetilde{e}_a \equiv \widetilde{F}_{ab}\widetilde{u}^b$, evaluating the EM tensor in the normal-frame, and using Eqs. (2), (4), (55) and (B6), we have

$$b_i = \gamma\left(B_i - \overline{\eta}_{ijk}V^jE^k\right), \quad e_i = \gamma\left(E_i + \overline{\eta}_{ijk}V^jB^k\right). \tag{61}$$

Similarly, from $\widetilde{B}_a \equiv \widetilde{F}^*_{ab}\widetilde{n}^b$ and $\widetilde{E}_a \equiv \widetilde{F}_{ab}\widetilde{n}^b$, evaluating the EM tensor in the fluid-frame, and using Eqs. (2), (4), (53) and (B6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&B_i = \gamma\left(b_i - V_iV_jb^j + \overline{\eta}_{ijk}V^je^k\right),\\ &E_i = \gamma\left(e_i - V_iV_je^j - \overline{\eta}_{ijk}V^jb^k\right).\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

The EM tensor becomes

$$\widetilde{F}_{ij}=\overline{\eta}_{ijk}B^k,\quad \widetilde{F}_{0i}=-NE_i-(\mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{B})_i\,;\quad \widetilde{F}^{ij}=-\frac{N^i}{N}E^j+\frac{N^j}{N}E^i+\overline{\eta}^{ijk}B_k,\quad \widetilde{F}^{0i}=\frac{1}{N}E^i;$$
$$\widetilde{F}^i{}_j=-\frac{N^i}{N}E_j+\overline{\eta}^i{}_{jk}B^k,\quad \widetilde{F}^i{}_0=-\frac{N^i}{N}E_jN^j+NE^i+\overline{\eta}^{ijk}N_jB_k,\quad \widetilde{F}^0{}_i=\frac{1}{N}E_i,\quad \widetilde{F}^0{}_0=\frac{1}{N}E_iN^i,\quad (63)$$

where the vector product is associated with $\overline{\eta}_{ijk}$ and h_{ij}; bold face letters indicate the three-space vectors, $\mathbf{N}=N^i$ and $\mathbf{B}=B^i$, etc., with the location of the index depending on the context. In a matrix form, we have

$$\widetilde{F}_{ab}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -NE_x-(\mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{B})_x & -NE_y-(\mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{B})_y & -NE_z-(\mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{B})_z \\ NE_x+(\mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{B})_x & 0 & \overline{\eta}_{xyz}B^z & -\overline{\eta}_{xyz}B^y \\ NE_y+(\mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{B})_y & -\overline{\eta}_{xyz}B^z & 0 & \overline{\eta}_{xyz}B^x \\ NE_z+(\mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{B})_z & \overline{\eta}_{xyz}B^y & -\overline{\eta}_{xyz}B^x & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\quad (64)$$

In the normal-frame, the Maxwell equations in Eqs. (10)-(13), using Eqs. (53) and (B8), become

$$E^i{}_{:i}=4\pi\left(\varrho_{\rm em}-g_{\phi\gamma}B^if_{,i}\right),\quad (65)$$
$$E^i{}_{,0}=NKE^i+E^i{}_{:j}N^j-E^jN^i{}_{:j}+\overline{\eta}^{ijk}(NB_k)_{:j}-\frac{4\pi}{c}N\left[j^i+cg_{\phi\gamma}\left(B^i\widehat{\dot{f}}-\overline{\eta}^{ijk}E_jf_{,k}\right)\right],\quad (66)$$
$$B^i{}_{:i}=0,\quad (67)$$
$$B^i{}_{,0}=NKB^i+B^i{}_{:j}N^j-B^jN^i{}_{:j}-\overline{\eta}^{ijk}(NE_k)_{:j},\quad (68)$$

where

$$\widehat{\dot{\phi}}\equiv\phi_{,a}\widetilde{n}^a=\frac{1}{N}\left(\partial_0-N^i\nabla_i\right)\phi.\quad (69)$$

The colon and ∇_i indicate the covariant derivative associated with the intrinsic metric h_{ij}.

In the vector notation, using Eq. (B3), we have

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E}=4\pi\left(\varrho_{\rm em}-g_{\phi\gamma}\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla f\right),\quad (70)$$
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}(\sqrt{h}\mathbf{E})_{,0}=-\nabla\times(\mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{E})+\mathbf{N}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E}+\nabla\times(N\mathbf{B})-\frac{4\pi}{c}N\left[\mathbf{j}+cg_{\phi\gamma}\left(\mathbf{B}\widehat{\dot{f}}-\mathbf{E}\times\nabla f\right)\right],\quad (71)$$
$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B}=0,\quad (72)$$
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}(\sqrt{h}\mathbf{B})_{,0}=-\nabla\times(\mathbf{N}\times\mathbf{B})-\nabla\times(N\mathbf{E}),\quad (73)$$

where the curl and divergence operators are associated with $\overline{\eta}_{ijk}$ and h_{ij}.

B. Equation of motion

From Eq. (18), we have

$$-\Box\phi+V_{,\phi}=\widehat{\ddot{\phi}}-K\widehat{\dot{\phi}}-\frac{1}{N}\left(N\phi^{:i}\right)_{:i}+V_{,\phi}=g_{\phi\gamma}f_{,\phi}E^iB_i.\quad (74)$$

C. Fluid quantities

The FL parts of ADM fluid quantities can be derived from Eqs. (52), using the following ADM notation for the fluid part. The fluid quantities based on the fluid four-vector $\widetilde{u}_a$ are introduced as

$$\widetilde{\mu}=\mu,\quad \widetilde{p}=p,\quad \widetilde{q}_i\equiv Q_i,\quad \widetilde{\pi}_{ij}\equiv\Pi_{ij},\quad (75)$$

where indices of Q_i and Π_{ij} are raised and lowered using h_{ij} and its inverse. The ADM fluid quantities can be expressed in terms of the fluid quantities in the comoving frame, using Eq. (55), as

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{\rm FL} &= \mu + (\mu+p)\left(\gamma^2-1\right) + 2\gamma V^i Q_i + V^i V^j \Pi_{ij},\\
S^{\rm FL} &= 3p + (\mu+p)\left(\gamma^2-1\right) + 2\gamma V^i Q_i + V^i V^j \Pi_{ij},\\
J_i^{\rm FL} &= (\mu+p)\,\gamma^2 V_i + \gamma\left(Q_i + V_i V^j Q_j\right) + V^j \Pi_{ij},\\
S_{ij}^{\rm FL} &= (\mu+p)\,\gamma^2 V_i V_j + p h_{ij} + \Pi_{ij} + \gamma\left(Q_i V_j + Q_j V_i\right),\\
\overline{S}_{ij}^{\rm FL} &= \Pi_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}\Pi^k_k + (\mu+p)\left[\gamma^2 V_i V_j - \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}\left(\gamma^2-1\right)\right] + \gamma\left(Q_i V_j + Q_j V_i - \frac{2}{3}h_{ij}Q^k V_k\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

where $\Pi^i_i = V^i V^j \Pi_{ij}$. The ADM fluid quantities, being defined as in Eq. (52), are frame independent. For a single component fluid, by following the fluid, we may set $Q_i \equiv 0$.

The SF parts of ADM fluid quantities can be derived from Eqs. (52) and (22)

$$\begin{aligned}
&E^{\rm SF} = \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\dot\phi}^2 + V + \frac{1}{2}\phi^{:k}\phi_{,k}, \quad J_i^{\rm SF} = -\phi_{,i}\widehat{\dot\phi}, \quad S_{ij}^{\rm SF} = \phi_{,i}\phi_{,j} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\dot\phi}^2 - V - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{:k}\phi_{,k}\right)h_{ij},\\
&S^{\rm SF} = 3\left(\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\dot\phi}^2 - V - \frac{1}{6}\phi^{:k}\phi_{,k}\right), \quad \overline{S}_{ij}^{\rm SF} = \phi_{,i}\phi_{,j} - \frac{1}{3}\phi^{:k}\phi_{,k}h_{ij}.
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

The EM parts of ADM fluid quantities can be derived from Eqs. (52) and (28) in the normal-frame

$$\begin{aligned}
&E^{\rm EM} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\left(E^2+B^2\right), \quad J_i^{\rm EM} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B})_i, \quad S_{ij}^{\rm EM} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\left[E_iE_j + B_iB_j - \frac{1}{2}h_{ij}\left(E^2+B^2\right)\right],\\
&S^{\rm EM} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\left(E^2+B^2\right), \quad \overline{S}_{ij}^{\rm EM} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\left[E_iE_j + B_iB_j - \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}\left(E^2+B^2\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

For the EM parts of the fluid quantities in the fluid-frame, thus evaluating Eq. (32) in the u_a-frame, and using Eq. (61), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^{\rm EM} &= 3p^{\rm EM} = \frac{\gamma^2}{8\pi}\left[\left(2-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right)\left(E^2+B^2\right) + 4\overline{\eta}_{ijk}E^iV^jB^k - 2(E_iV^i)^2 - 2(B_iV^i)^2\right],\\
Q_i^{\rm EM} &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\left\{\overline{\eta}_{ijk}E^jB^k + E_iE_jV^j + B_iB_jV^j - \gamma^2(E^2+B^2)V_i - 2\gamma^2V_i\overline{\eta}_{jk\ell}E^jV^kB^\ell + \gamma^2V_i\left[(E_jV^j)^2 + (B_jV^j)^2\right]\right\},\\
\Pi_{ij}^{\rm EM} &= -\frac{1}{4\pi}\Big\{E_iE_j + B_iB_j + (E_i\overline{\eta}_{jk\ell} + E_j\overline{\eta}_{ik\ell})V^kB^\ell - (B_i\overline{\eta}_{jk\ell} + B_j\overline{\eta}_{ik\ell})V^kE^\ell + \overline{\eta}_{ik\ell}\overline{\eta}_{jmn}V^kV^m(E^\ell E^n + B^\ell B^n)\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{3}\gamma^2(h_{ij}+\gamma^2V_iV_j)\left[\left(2-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right)\left(E^2+B^2\right) + 4\overline{\eta}_{k\ell m}E^kV^\ell B^m - 2(E_kV^k)^2 - 2(B_kV^k)^2\right]\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Evaluating these in the normal-frame, we have

$$\mu^{{\rm EM}(n)} = E^{\rm EM} = S^{\rm EM} = 3p^{{\rm EM}(n)}, \quad Q_i^{{\rm EM}(n)} = J_i^{\rm EM}, \quad \Pi_{ij}^{{\rm EM}(n)} = \overline{S}_{ij}^{\rm EM}. \tag{80}$$

D. Fluid conservation equations

In the presence of three components, the conservation equations in Eqs. (B19) and (B20) are valid for collective fluid quantities, like $E = E^{\rm FL} + E^{\rm EM} + E^{\rm SF}$, etc. These are also consistent with the Maxwell equation and equation of motion for the EM field and the SF. Using the ADM fluid quantities for the two fields in Eqs. (78) and (77), we can show, for the EM part

$$E^{\rm EM}_{,0}N^{-1} + \cdots = -\frac{1}{c}E^ij_i - g_{\phi\gamma}E^iB_i\widehat{\dot f}, \quad J^{\rm EM}_{i,0}N^{-1} + \cdots = -\varrho_{\rm em}E_i - \frac{1}{c}\overline{\eta}_{ijk}j^jB^k + g_{\phi\gamma}E^jB_jf_{,i}, \tag{81}$$

where we used the Maxwell equations in Eqs. (65)-(68); ellipsis $(\dots)$ indicates that the left-hand-side is the same as the original ADM conservation equations in Eqs. (B19) and (B20) with the fluid quantities replaced for the individual component, here the EM part. For the SF part, we have

$$E^{\rm SF}_{,0}N^{-1} + \cdots = g_{\phi\gamma}E^iB_i\widehat{\dot f}, \quad J^{\rm SF}_{i,0}N^{-1} + \cdots = -g_{\phi\gamma}E^jB_jf_{,i}, \tag{82}$$

where we used the equation of motion in Eq. (74) in the first relation. Thus, we have

$$(E^{\rm EM}+E^{\rm SF})_{,0}N^{-1}+\cdots=-\frac{1}{c}E^i j_i, \quad (J_i^{\rm EM}+J_i^{\rm SF})_{,0}N^{-1}+\cdots=-\varrho_{\rm em}E_i-\frac{1}{c}\overline{\eta}_{ijk}j^jB^k, \tag{83}$$

where the $g_{\phi\gamma}$ interaction terms have canceled. Therefore, the fluid parts of the ADM conservation equations, with $E=E^{\rm FL}$, etc., give

$$E_{,0}N^{-1}-E_{,i}N^iN^{-1}-K\left(E+\frac{1}{3}S\right)-\overline{S}^{ij}\overline{K}_{ij}+N^{-2}\left(N^2J^i\right)_{:i}=\frac{1}{c}E^i j_i, \tag{84}$$

$$J_{i,0}N^{-1}-J_{i:j}N^jN^{-1}-J_jN^j{}_{:i}N^{-1}-KJ_i+EN_{,i}N^{-1}+S^j_{i:j}+S^j_iN_{,j}N^{-1}=\varrho_{\rm em}E_i+\frac{1}{c}\overline{\eta}_{ijk}j^jB^k, \tag{85}$$

with no $g_{\phi\gamma}$ interaction terms appearing in the conservation equations for the fluid; in these two equations $E=E^{\rm FL}$, etc. These can be written in conservative forms as

$$\left(\sqrt{h}E\right)_{,0}+\left[\sqrt{h}\left(NJ^i-N^iE\right)\right]_{,i}=\sqrt{h}\left(NS^{ij}K_{ij}-N_{,i}J^i\right)+\frac{1}{c}\sqrt{h}NE^ij_i, \tag{86}$$

$$\left(\sqrt{h}J_i\right)_{,0}+\left[\sqrt{h}\left(NS^j_i-N^jJ_i\right)\right]_{,j}=\sqrt{h}\left(\frac{1}{2}NS^{jk}h_{jk,i}+J_jN^j{}_{,i}-EN_{,i}\right)+\sqrt{h}N\left(\varrho_{\rm em}E_i+\frac{1}{c}\overline{\eta}_{ijk}j^jB^k\right). \tag{87}$$

The continuity equation, $(\overline{\varrho}\widetilde{u}^c)_{;c}\equiv 0$, gives

$$\left(\sqrt{h}D\right)_{,0}+\left[\sqrt{h}D\left(NV^i-N^i\right)\right]_{,i}=0, \tag{88}$$

where we define

$$D\equiv\gamma\overline{\varrho}, \quad \mu\equiv\varrho c^2, \quad \varrho\equiv\overline{\varrho}\left(1+\frac{\Pi}{c^2}\right). \tag{89}$$

Using

$$\mathcal{E}\equiv E-Dc^2, \tag{90}$$

the energy conservation equation can be written as

$$\left(\sqrt{h}\mathcal{E}\right)_{,0}+\left[\sqrt{h}\left(NJ^i-Dc^2NV^i-N^i\mathcal{E}\right)\right]_{,i}=\sqrt{h}\left(NS^{ij}K_{ij}-N_{,i}J^i\right)+\frac{1}{c}\sqrt{h}NE^ij_i, \tag{91}$$

where $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}^{\rm FL}$, etc.; the same equations without the EM parts are valid for $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}^{\rm tot}$, etc.

Here we summarize the complete set of equations of the ADM formulation. The gravity (with the metric variables N, N_i and h_{ij}) is determined by Eqs. (B15)-(B18). The fluid quantities are collective ones including the FL, SF and EM field in Eqs. (76)-(78). The Maxwell equations are given in Eqs. (70)-(73). The charge density and current density are provided externally, for example, by Ohm's law. The scalar field equation (determining ϕ) is in Eq. (74). Three fluid conservation equations (the energy, momentum and mass conservations determining E, J_i and D, respectively) are given in Eqs. (84)-(88) and (91); here, the fluid quantities are for the fluid only given in Eq. (76). Equation of state may provide S and S_{ij}.

IV. FNLE FORMULATION

The FNLE equations are derived in the Appendix C. From this section, we indicate the ADM fluid quantities of the FL and EM field by overlines like $\overline{Q}_i$, $\overline{\Pi}_{ij}$, $\overline{E}_i$, $\overline{B}_i$, $\overline{j}_i$, etc., and indicate the covariant quantities by overtildes like $\widetilde{u}_a$, $\widetilde{E}_a$, etc. Different notations are inevitable as, for example, even for the magnetic field B_i, we have $\widetilde{B}_i$, $\overline{B}_i$, and a plain B_i. The first one indicates the i-component of a spacetime covariant four-vector $\widetilde{B}_a$, the index of the second one is associated with the intrinsic metric, h_{ij}, and the index of the final one is raised and lowered by δ_{ij} and its inverse; the latter two do not have the temporal index. To minimize the notational complication, we omitted the overtildes in Sec. II and Appendix A and overlines in Sec. III and Appendix B.

The FNLE metric is [25]

$$\widetilde{g}_{00}=-a^2\left(1+2\alpha\right), \quad \widetilde{g}_{0i}=-a\chi_i,$$
$$\widetilde{g}_{ij}=a^2\left(1+2\varphi\right)\delta_{ij}. \tag{92}$$

The index 0 indicates the conformal time η with $ad\eta\equiv cdt$ and the spatial index of χ_i is raised and lowered by δ_{ij} and its inverse δ^{ij}. In the FNLE formulation, we introduced the metric (of vector and tensor-type perturbations) and fluid variables (like velocity and stress) with spatial indices associated with the comoving part of three-space metric of the Friedmann background [26, 27]; for a flat Friedmann background, the three-space metric becomes δ_{ij}. This is a basic assumption used for the formulation. The FNLE equations are exact but not covariant. Here we consider a flat Friedmann background.

In our metric convention we have only five degrees of freedom; we *ignored* the transverse-tracefree tensor-type perturbation (losing two physical degrees of freedom), and imposed a spatial gauge condition (three gauge de-

grees of freedom) without losing any generality or convenience; these make the spatial part of the metric tensor simple as above. We still have not imposed the temporal gauge condition. After imposing the temporal gauge condition, we have four physical degrees of freedom, two for scalar-type perturbation and two for vector-type perturbation; we may decompose the vector variables into the longitudinal and transverse parts as $\chi_i \equiv \chi_{,i} + \chi_i^{(v)}$ and $v_i \equiv -v_{,i} + v_i^{(v)}$ with $\chi^{(v)i}{}_{,i} \equiv 0$ and $v^{(v)i}{}_{,i} \equiv 0$ where v_i is defined in Eq. (94); such a decomposition is possible even to fully nonlinear order while couplings occur in equations from the nonlinear order. Extension of the formulation including the tensor mode and without imposing the spatial gauge condition is presented in [28].

As the temporal gauge condition we can impose $\alpha \equiv 0$ (synchronous gauge), $\varphi \equiv 0$ (uniform-curvature gauge), $\chi \equiv 0$ (zero-shear gauge), $\kappa \equiv 0$ (uniform-expansion gauge) with κ defined in Eq. (C6), $v \equiv 0$ (comoving gauge). Except for synchronous gauge, where we have remnant gauge mode even after imposing the condition, the other fundamental gauge conditions, together with the spatial gauge condition we already imposed, completely fix (temporal and spatial) gauge degrees of freedom. The remaining variables after imposing such gauge conditions are free from the gauge degrees of freedom and have unique gauge invariant combination. Thus, the variables can be regarded as equivalently gauge-invariant [29]. These comments on the gauge issue apply to fully nonlinear orders in perturbation [25, 30].

The fundamental set of FNLE equations for a general imperfect fluid are Eqs. (C8)-(C17). In the multiple component fluids and fields, the fluid quantities in these equations can be regarded as the collective ones, like $E = E^{\rm FL} + E^{\rm EM} + E^{\rm SF}$, etc., and all we additionally need are conservation equations for the FL, the Maxwell equations for the EM field, equation of motion for the SF, and the collective fluid quantities all in the FNLE notation. We will derive these in this section.

The normal four-vector is

$$\widetilde{n}_i \equiv 0, \quad \widetilde{n}_0 = -a\mathcal{N}, \\ \widetilde{n}^i = \frac{\chi^i}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}, \quad \widetilde{n}^0 = \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}}, \tag{93}$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is defined in Eq. (C2). The fluid four-vector is

$$\widetilde{u}_i \equiv a\gamma\frac{v_i}{c}, \quad \widetilde{u}_0 = -\gamma\left(a\mathcal{N} + \frac{\chi^i}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v_i}{c}\right), \\ \widetilde{u}^i = \frac{\gamma}{a(1+2\varphi)}\left(\frac{v^i}{c} + \frac{\chi^i}{a\mathcal{N}}\right), \quad \widetilde{u}^0 = \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}}\gamma, \tag{94}$$

with the Lorentz factor

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \tag{95}$$

where $v^2 \equiv v^k v_k$. Compared with the ADM fluid velocities in Eqs. (54) we have

$$V_i \equiv a\frac{v_i}{c}, \quad \overline{V}_i \equiv a^2\mathcal{N}\frac{\overline{v}_i}{c}, \quad \overline{v}^i = v^i + \frac{c\chi^i}{a\mathcal{N}}, \tag{96}$$

where indices of v_i and $\overline{v}_i$ are raised and lowered by δ_{ij} and its inverse; $\overline{v}_i$ is used in the post-Newtonian study of [31].

A. Maxwell equations

We introduce the EM field in the FNLE notation. From $\widetilde{b}_a\widetilde{u}^a = 0$, we have

$$\widetilde{b}_i \equiv ab_i, \quad \widetilde{b}_0 = -\frac{a\mathcal{N}}{1+2\varphi}\left(\frac{v^i}{c} + \frac{\chi^i}{a\mathcal{N}}\right)b_i, \\ \widetilde{b}^i = \frac{1}{a(1+2\varphi)}\left(b^i + \frac{\chi^i}{a\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\frac{v^j}{c}b_j\right), \\ \widetilde{b}^0 = \frac{1}{a\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\frac{v^i}{c}b_i, \tag{97}$$

and similarly for $\widetilde{e}_a$ and $\widetilde{j}_a^{(u)}$ with $\widetilde{e}_i \equiv ae_i$ and $\widetilde{j}_i^{(u)} \equiv aj_i^{(u)}$. Indices of b_i, e_i and $j_i^{(u)}$ are raised and lowered by the metric δ_{ij} and its inverse δ^{ij}. Similarly, from $\widetilde{B}_a\widetilde{n}^a = 0$, we have

$$\widetilde{B}_i \equiv aB_i, \quad \widetilde{B}_0 = -\frac{\chi^i}{1+2\varphi}B_i, \\ \widetilde{B}^i = \frac{1}{a(1+2\varphi)}B^i, \quad \widetilde{B}^0 = 0, \tag{98}$$

and similarly for $\widetilde{E}_a$ and $\widetilde{j}_i^{(n)}$ with $\widetilde{E}_i \equiv aE_i$ and $\widetilde{j}_i^{(n)} \equiv aj_i^{(n)}$. Indices of B_i, E_i and $j_i^{(n)}$ are raised and lowered by the metric δ_{ij} and its inverse δ^{ij}. In the two frames we have

$$\widetilde{J}_a = \varrho_{(u)}^{\rm em}c\widetilde{u}_a + \widetilde{j}_a^{(u)} = \varrho_{(n)}^{\rm em}c\widetilde{n}_a + \widetilde{j}_a^{(n)}, \tag{99}$$

thus

$$\varrho_{\rm em} = \gamma\varrho_{\rm em}^{(u)} + \frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\frac{1}{c}\frac{v^i}{c}j_i^{(u)}, \\ \varrho_{\rm em}^{(u)} = \gamma\varrho_{\rm em} - \frac{\gamma}{1+2\varphi}\frac{1}{c}\frac{v^i}{c}j_i, \quad j_i = j_i^{(u)} + \varrho_{\rm em}^{(u)}\gamma v_i, \\ j_i^{(u)} = j_i + \frac{\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v_iv_j}{c^2}j^j - \gamma^2\varrho_{\rm em}v_i, \tag{100}$$

where we set $\varrho_{\rm em} \equiv \varrho_{\rm em}^{(n)}$ and $j_i \equiv j_i^{(n)}$.

Compared with the ADM notation, we have

$$\overline{B}_i \equiv aB_i, \quad \overline{B}^i = \frac{B^i}{a(1+2\varphi)}, \tag{101}$$

and similarly for $\overline{E}_i$, $\overline{j}_i$, $\overline{b}_i$, etc. We have

$$\widetilde{B}^2 = \overline{B}^2 = \frac{B^2}{1+2\varphi}, \tag{102}$$

where $\widetilde{B}^2 \equiv \widetilde{B}^c\widetilde{B}_c$, $\overline{B}^2 \equiv \overline{B}^i\overline{B}_i$, and $B^2 \equiv B^iB_i$.

We derive relations of EM field between the two frames. From $\widetilde{b}_a \equiv \widetilde{F}^*_{ab}\widetilde{u}^b$ and $\widetilde{e}_a \equiv \widetilde{F}_{ab}\widetilde{u}^b$, and evaluating the EM tensor in the normal-frame, we have

$$b_i = \gamma\left(B_i - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\eta_{ijk}\frac{v^j}{c}E^k\right),$$
$$e_i = \gamma\left(E_i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\eta_{ijk}\frac{v^j}{c}B^k\right), \qquad (103)$$

and from $\widetilde{B}_a \equiv \widetilde{F}^*_{ab}\widetilde{n}^b$ and $\widetilde{E}_a \equiv \widetilde{F}_{ab}\widetilde{n}^b$, and evaluating the EM tensor in the fluid-frame, we have

$$B_i = \gamma\left(b_i - \frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v_iv_j}{c^2}b^j + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\eta_{ijk}\frac{v^j}{c}e^k\right),$$
$$E_i = \gamma\left(e_i - \frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v_iv_j}{c^2}e^j - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\eta_{ijk}\frac{v^j}{c}b^k\right). \qquad (104)$$

These also follow from Eqs. (61) and (62), using Eqs. (96), (C7) and (101).

In the FNLE formulation the Maxwell equations in Eqs. (65)-(68) become

$$\frac{1}{a(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}}\left(\sqrt{1+2\varphi}E^i\right)_{,i} = 4\pi\left(\varrho_{\rm em} - g_{\phi\gamma}\frac{B^i\nabla_i f}{a(1+2\varphi)}\right), \qquad (105)$$

$$\frac{a}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{E_i}{a(1+2\varphi)}\right) = -\frac{\mathcal{N}}{1+2\varphi}\frac{3H-\kappa}{c}E_i + \frac{1}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\left[-\left(\frac{E_i}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,j}\chi^j + \left(\frac{\chi_i}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,j}E^j\right]$$
$$+\frac{1}{a(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}}\eta_{ijk}\nabla^j\left(\mathcal{N}B^k\right) - \frac{4\pi}{c}\frac{\mathcal{N}}{1+2\varphi}\left\{j_i + g_{\phi\gamma}\left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}B^i\left(\dot{f} + \frac{c\chi^j\nabla_j f}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\right) - \frac{c\eta^{ijk}E_j\nabla_k f}{a\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right]\right\}, \qquad (106)$$

$$\left(\sqrt{1+2\varphi}B^i\right)_{,i} = 0, \qquad (107)$$

$$\frac{a}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{B_i}{a(1+2\varphi)}\right) = -\frac{\mathcal{N}}{1+2\varphi}\frac{3H-\kappa}{c}B_i + \frac{1}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\left[-\left(\frac{B_i}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,j}\chi^j + \left(\frac{\chi_i}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,j}B^j\right]$$
$$-\frac{1}{a(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}}\eta_{ijk}\nabla^j\left(\mathcal{N}E^k\right). \qquad (108)$$

Using Eq. (C8), Eqs. (106) and (108) simplify, and in the vector notation we have

$$\frac{\nabla\cdot(\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{E})}{a(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}} = 4\pi\left(\varrho_{\rm em} - g_{\phi\gamma}\frac{\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla f}{a(1+2\varphi)}\right), \qquad (109)$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(a^2\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{E}\right) = \nabla\times\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\vec{\chi}\times\mathbf{E}\right) + a\nabla\times(\mathcal{N}\mathbf{B}) - \vec{\chi}\frac{\nabla\cdot(\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{E})}{1+2\varphi}$$
$$-\frac{4\pi}{c}a^2\mathcal{N}\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\left\{\mathbf{j} + g_{\phi\gamma}\left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\mathbf{B}\left(\dot{f} + \frac{c\vec{\chi}\cdot\nabla f}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\right) - \frac{c\mathbf{E}\times\nabla f}{a\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right]\right\}, \qquad (110)$$

$$\nabla\cdot\left(\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{B}\right) = 0, \qquad (111)$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(a^2\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{B}\right) = \nabla\times\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\vec{\chi}\times\mathbf{B}\right) - a\nabla\times(\mathcal{N}\mathbf{E}). \qquad (112)$$

The $g_{\phi\gamma}$ coupling causes extra charge density and current densities [19].

Equation (111) shows divergence of $\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{B}$ vanishes instead of $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B}$. This is partly because of our somewhat arbitrary definition of B_i in Eq. (98) which leads to relations in Eq. (102). The other factor is caused because we are using divergence operator of flat space while we are in a curved space.

B. Equation of motion

From Eq. (74) we have

$$-\Box\phi + V_{,\phi} = \widehat{\ddot{\phi}} + \frac{1}{c}\left(3H - \kappa\right)\widehat{\dot{\phi}} - \frac{(\mathcal{N}\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\phi^{,i})_{,i}}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}} + V_{,\phi} = g_{\phi\gamma}f_{,\phi}\frac{E^iB_i}{1+2\varphi}, \tag{113}$$

where

$$\widehat{\dot{\phi}} \equiv \phi_{,a}\widetilde{n}^a = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\chi^i}{a(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_i\right)\phi. \tag{114}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ddot{\phi} + \left(3H\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}\kappa - \frac{\dot{\mathcal{N}}}{\mathcal{N}} - \frac{c\chi^i\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\right)\dot{\phi} + \frac{2c\chi^i}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\dot{\phi}_{,i} - \frac{c^2}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\left(\mathcal{N}^2\delta^{ij} - \frac{\chi^i\chi^j}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\right)\phi_{,ij} \\
&\quad + \Bigg[-\frac{\mathcal{N}^2c^2}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\left(\frac{\mathcal{N}^{,i}}{\mathcal{N}} + \frac{\varphi^{,i}}{1+2\varphi}\right) + \left(3H\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}\kappa - \frac{\dot{\mathcal{N}}}{\mathcal{N}} - \frac{c\chi^k\mathcal{N}_{,k}}{a^2\mathcal{N}c^2(1+2\varphi)}\right)\frac{c\chi^i}{a^2(1+2\varphi)} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{c\chi^i}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\right)^{\cdot} + \frac{c\chi^k}{a^4(1+2\varphi)}\left(\frac{c\chi^i}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,k}\Bigg]\phi_{,i} + c^2\mathcal{N}^2V_{,\phi} = \mathcal{N}^2c^2g_{\phi\gamma}f_{,\phi}\frac{E^iB_i}{1+2\varphi}.
\end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

Ignoring the metric perturbations, thus in the Friedmann background, we have

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} - c^2\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\phi + c^2V_{,\phi} = c^2g_{\phi\gamma}f_{,\phi}\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{B}, \tag{116}$$

where $F_{ab}\widetilde{F}^{ab} = -4\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{B}$ is related to the time derivative of the magnetic helicity, $\int_V \mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{B}d^3x$ with $\mathbf{B} \equiv \nabla\times\mathbf{A}$ [32].

C. Fluid quantities

The fluid quantities in the FNLE formulation, E, S, m_i and m_{ij}, are defined using the ADM fluid quantities in Eq. (C1). For the fluid part, from Eq. (76), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{\rm FL} &= \mu + (\mu+p)\left(\gamma^2-1\right) + \frac{2\gamma}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v^i}{c}\frac{Q_i}{c} + \frac{1}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\Pi_{ij}\frac{v^iv^j}{c^2}, \\
S^{\rm FL} &= 3p + (\mu+p)\left(\gamma^2-1\right) + \frac{2\gamma}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v^i}{c}\frac{Q_i}{c} + \frac{1}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\Pi_{ij}\frac{v^iv^j}{c^2}, \\
J_i^{\rm FL} &= a\left[(\mu+p)\,\gamma^2\frac{v_i}{c} + \gamma\left(\delta_i^j + \frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v^jv_i}{c^2}\right)\frac{Q_j}{c} + \frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\Pi_i^j\frac{v_j}{c}\right] \equiv acm_i^{\rm FL}, \\
S_{ij}^{\rm FL} &= a^2\left[(1+2\varphi)\,p\delta_{ij} + (\mu+p)\,\gamma^2\frac{v_iv_j}{c^2} + \frac{\gamma}{c^2}\left(Q_iv_j + Q_jv_i\right) + \Pi_{ij}\right] \equiv a^2(1+2\varphi)m_{ij}^{\rm FL},
\end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

where we introduced

$$\overline{Q}_i \equiv a\frac{Q_i}{c}, \quad \overline{Q}^i = \frac{Q^i}{ac(1+2\varphi)}, \quad \overline{\Pi}_{ij} \equiv a^2\Pi_{ij}, \quad \overline{\Pi}_j^i = \frac{\Pi_j^i}{1+2\varphi}, \quad \overline{\Pi}^{ij} = \frac{\Pi^{ij}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^2}, \tag{118}$$

with the indices of Q_i and Π_{ij} raised and lowered by δ_{ij} and its inverse; μ, p, Q_i and Π_{ij} are fluid quantities in the fluid-frame $\widetilde{u}_a$. We have

$$\Pi_k^k = \frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\Pi_{ij}\frac{v^iv^j}{c^2}, \tag{119}$$

and $\overline{m}_{ij}^{\rm FL} \equiv m_{ij}^{\rm FL} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}m^{{\rm FL}k}{}_k$ with $m^{{\rm FL}k}{}_k = S^{\rm FL}$.

For the SF part, from Eq. (77), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\rm SF} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}c}\dot{\phi}+\frac{\chi^i\phi_{,i}}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\right)^2+V+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\phi^{,i}\phi_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)},\\
S^{\rm SF} &= \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}c}\dot{\phi}+\frac{\chi^i\phi_{,i}}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\right)^2-3V-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\phi^{,i}\phi_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)},\\
J_i^{\rm SF} &= -\phi_{,i}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}c}\dot{\phi}+\frac{\chi^j\phi_{,j}}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\right)\equiv acm_i^{\rm SF},\\
S_{ij}^{\rm SF} &= \phi_{,i}\phi_{,j}+\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}c}\dot{\phi}+\frac{\chi^k\phi_{,k}}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\right)^2-V-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\phi^{,k}\phi_{,k}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\right]a^2(1+2\varphi)\delta_{ij}\equiv a^2(1+2\varphi)m_{ij}^{\rm SF},\\
\overline{S}_{ij}^{\rm EM} &= \phi_{,i}\phi_{,j}-\frac{1}{3}\phi^{,k}\phi_{,k}\delta_{ij}\equiv a^2(1+2\varphi)\overline{m}_{ij}^{\rm SF}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{120}
$$

For the EM part, from Eq. (78), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\rm EM} = S^{\rm EM} &= \frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(E^2+B^2\right),\quad J_i^{\rm EM}=\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{a}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\eta_{ijk}E^jB^k\equiv acm_i^{\rm EM},\\
S_{ij}^{\rm EM} &= -\frac{1}{4\pi}a^2\left[E_iE_j+B_iB_j-\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\left(E^2+B^2\right)\right]\equiv a^2(1+2\varphi)m_{ij}^{\rm EM},\\
\overline{S}_{ij}^{\rm EM} &= -\frac{1}{4\pi}a^2\left[E_iE_j+B_iB_j-\frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\left(E^2+B^2\right)\right]\equiv a^2(1+2\varphi)\overline{m}_{ij}^{\rm EM}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{121}
$$

We can derive covariant fluid quantities of the EM field. From Eq. (32) evaluated in the fluid-frame, and transforming to the fields variables to the normal-frame ones using Eq. (103), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{\rm EM} &= 3p^{\rm EM}\\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\left\{\left(2-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right)\left(E^2+B^2\right)+\frac{4}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\eta_{ijk}E^i\frac{v^j}{c}B^k-\frac{2}{1+2\varphi}\left[\left(\frac{v^i}{c}E_i\right)^2+\left(\frac{v^i}{c}B_i\right)^2\right]\right\},\\
\frac{1}{c}Q_i^{\rm EM} &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\left\{\eta_{ijk}E^jB^k+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\left[E_i\frac{v^j}{c}E_j+B_i\frac{v^j}{c}B_j-\gamma^2\frac{v_i}{c}\left(E^2+B^2\right)\right]\right.\\
&\quad\left.-\frac{2\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v_i}{c}\eta_{jk\ell}E^j\frac{v^k}{c}B^\ell+\frac{\gamma^2}{(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}}\frac{v_i}{c}\left[\left(\frac{v^j}{c}E_j\right)^2+\left(\frac{v^j}{c}B_j\right)^2\right]\right\},\\
\Pi_{ij}^{\rm EM} &= -\frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi}\left\{E_iE_j+B_iB_j+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\left(E_i\eta_{jk\ell}+E_j\eta_{ik\ell}\right)\frac{v^k}{c}B^\ell-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\left(B_i\eta_{jk\ell}+B_j\eta_{ik\ell}\right)\frac{v^k}{c}E^\ell\right.\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\eta_{ik\ell}\eta_{jmn}\frac{v^kv^m}{c^2}(E^\ell E^n+B^\ell B^n)-\frac{1}{3}\left(\delta_{ij}+\frac{\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v_iv_j}{c^2}\right)\\
&\quad\left.\times\left\{\left(2-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right)\left(E^2+B^2\right)+\frac{4}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\eta_{k\ell m}E^k\frac{v^\ell}{c}B^m-\frac{2}{1+2\varphi}\left[\left(\frac{v^k}{c}E_k\right)^2+\left(\frac{v^k}{c}B_k\right)^2\right]\right\}\right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{122}
$$

Einstein equation parts of the FNLE equations are presented in Eqs. (C8)-(C12) where we have $E=E^{\rm FL}+E^{\rm SF}+E^{\rm EM}$ and similarly for S, m_i, m_{ij} and $\overline{m}_{ij}$.

D. Fluid conservation equations

The fluid parts of the ADM conservation equations in conservative forms, Eqs. (86) and (87), give

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\left[a^3\left(1+2\varphi\right)^{3/2}E\right]^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{a}\left[\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\left(\mathcal{N}c^2m^i+\frac{c}{a}\chi^iE\right)\right]_{,i}=-\frac{1}{a}\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathcal{N}_{,i}c^2m^i$$
$$-\sqrt{1+2\varphi}m^{ij}\left[\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}+\dot{\varphi}+2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\varphi\right)\delta_{ij}+\frac{c}{a^2}\chi_{i,j}-\frac{c}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\left(2\chi_i\varphi_{,j}-\delta_{ij}\chi^k\varphi_{,k}\right)\right]$$
$$+\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathcal{N}\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{j}, \tag{123}$$

$$\frac{1}{a^4}\left[a^4\left(1+2\varphi\right)^{3/2}m_i\right]^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{a}\left[\left(1+2\varphi\right)^{3/2}\left(\mathcal{N}m_i^j+\frac{c\chi^jm_i}{a(1+2\varphi)}\right)\right]_{,j}$$
$$=\frac{1}{a}\left(1+2\varphi\right)^{3/2}\left[\frac{\mathcal{N}\varphi_{,i}}{1+2\varphi}S-\mathcal{N}_{,i}E-\frac{c}{a}\left(\frac{\chi^j}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,i}m_j\right]+\left(1+2\varphi\right)^{3/2}\mathcal{N}\left(\varrho_{\rm em}E_i+\frac{(\mathbf{j}\times\mathbf{B})_i}{c\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right). \tag{124}$$

The mass conservation in Eq. (88) gives

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\left[a^3\left(1+2\varphi\right)^{3/2}D\right]^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{a}\left[\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\left(\mathcal{N}v^i+\frac{c}{a}\chi^i\right)D\right]_{,i}=0, \tag{125}$$

where $D\equiv\overline{\varrho}\gamma$. In another conservative form, using $\mathcal{E}\equiv E-Dc^2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\left[a^3\left(1+2\varphi\right)^{3/2}\mathcal{E}\right]^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{a}\left\{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\left[\mathcal{N}c^2(m^i-Dv^i)+\frac{c}{a}\chi^i\mathcal{E}\right]\right\}_{,i}=-\frac{c^2}{a}\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathcal{N}_{,i}m^i$$
$$-\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\left[\left(H+\dot{\varphi}+2H\varphi\right)S-\frac{c}{a^2}\frac{\chi^i\varphi_{,i}}{1+2\varphi}S+\frac{c}{a^2}m^{ij}\left(\chi_{i,j}-\frac{2\chi_i\varphi_{,j}}{1+2\varphi}\right)\right]+\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathcal{N}E^ij_i. \tag{126}$$

In Eqs. (123)-(126) we have $E=E^{\rm FL}$, etc.; the same equations without the EM parts are valid for $E=E^{\rm tot}$, etc.

The covariant equations (43) and (44) lead to another form of conservation equations. Ignoring q_a and π_{ab}, for simplicity, we have

$$\dot{\mu}+\frac{1}{a(1+2\varphi)}\left(\mathcal{N}v^i+\frac{c}{a}\chi^i\right)\mu_{,i}$$
$$+(\mu+p)\left[\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\gamma}(3H-\kappa)+\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\gamma}+\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\gamma a}\left(\frac{\gamma v^i}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,i}+\frac{c\chi^i\gamma_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)\gamma}+\frac{\mathcal{N}}{a(1+2\varphi)}\left(\frac{\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{\mathcal{N}}+\frac{3\varphi_{,i}}{1+2\varphi}\right)v^i\right]$$
$$=\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\gamma}\widetilde{e}^a\widetilde{j}^{(u)}_a=\frac{\mathcal{N}}{(1+2\varphi)\gamma}e^i\left(j^{(u)}_i-\frac{v_iv^j}{c^2(1+2\varphi)}j^{(u)}_j\right)=\frac{\mathcal{N}}{1+2\varphi}\left(E^i+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\eta^{ijk}\frac{v_j}{c}B_k\right)(j_i-\varrho_{\rm em}v_i) \tag{127}$$

$$\frac{\mu+p}{c^2}\left[\frac{1}{a\gamma}\left(a\gamma v_i\right)^{\cdot}+\frac{c^2}{a}\mathcal{N}_{,i}+\frac{c}{a^2}\left(\frac{\chi^j}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,i}v_j+\frac{(\gamma v_i)_{,j}}{a(1+2\varphi)\gamma}\left(\mathcal{N}v^j+\frac{c}{a}\chi^j\right)+\frac{\mathcal{N}}{a\gamma^2}p_{,i}\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{1}{c^2}\dot{p}v_i+\frac{v_ip_{,j}}{a(1+2\varphi)c^2}\left(\mathcal{N}v^j+\frac{c}{a}\chi^j\right)\right]=\frac{\mathcal{N}}{a\gamma^2}\left(\varrho^{(u)}_{\rm em}\widetilde{e}_i+\frac{1}{c}\widetilde{\eta}_{ibcd}\widetilde{j}^b_{(u)}\widetilde{b}^c\widetilde{u}^d\right)$$
$$=\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\gamma^2}\left[\varrho^{(u)}_{\rm em}e_i+\frac{1}{c\gamma\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\left(\eta_{ijk}+\frac{\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v_iv^\ell}{c^2}\eta_{jk\ell}\right)j^j_{(u)}b^k\right]$$
$$=\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\gamma^2}\left[\varrho_{\rm em}E_i-\frac{\gamma^2v_i}{(1+2\varphi)c^2}E_j\left(j^j-\varrho_{\rm em}v^j\right)+\frac{1}{c\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\left(\eta_{ijk}+\frac{\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v_iv^\ell}{c^2}\eta_{jk\ell}\right)j^jB^k\right]. \tag{128}$$

In the Friedmann background, thus ignoring metric perturbations, we have

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\left(a^3E\right)^{\cdot}+\frac{\dot{a}}{a}S+\frac{c^2}{a}m^i{}_{,i}=\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{j}, \tag{129}$$
$$\frac{1}{a^4}\left(a^4m_i\right)^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{a}m^j_{i,j}=\left[\varrho_{\rm em}E_i+\frac{1}{ac}(\mathbf{j}\times\mathbf{B})_i\right], \tag{130}$$
$$\frac{1}{a^3}\left(a^3D\right)^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{a}\left(Dv^i\right)_{,i}=0, \tag{131}$$
$$\frac{1}{a^3}\left(a^3\mathcal{E}\right)^{\cdot}+\frac{\dot{a}}{a}S+\frac{c^2}{a}(m^i-Dv^i)_{,i}=\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{j}, \tag{132}$$

where $E = E^{\rm FL}$, etc.; the same equations without the EM parts are valid for $E = E^{\rm tot}$, etc. The above equations are valid for general fluid with Q_i and Π_{ij}.

Here we summarize the complete set of equations of the FNLE formulation. The gravity (with the metric variables α, φ, χ_i and κ) is determined by Eqs. (C8)-(C12). The fluid quantities are collective ones including the FL, SF and EM field in Eqs. (117), (120), and (121). The Maxwell equations (determining $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$) are given in Eqs. (109)-(112). The charge density and current density are provided externally, for example, by Ohm's law. The scalar field equation (determining ϕ) is in Eq. (115). Three fluid conservation equations (the energy, momentum and mass conservations determining μ, v_i and $\overline{\varrho}$, respectively) are given in Eqs. (123)-(128); here, the fluid quantities are for the fluid only given in Eq. (117). Equation of state may provide p and Π_{ij}. In this work we do not consider the radiation process, and we may ignore Q_i. We have not imposed temporal gauge condition, and the available gauge conditions are summarized below Eq. (92).

V. WEAK-GRAVITY APPROXIMATION

We can formulate the weak-gravity approximation by taking the weak gravity limit in the FNLE formulation. For this purpose it is important to take a suitable temporal gauge condition. The weak-gravity formulation was derived in [33, 34] for a single fluid and ordinary MHD in non-expanding medium. Here we extend to the expanding background with the SF and EM field.

We set

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{\Phi}{c^2}, \quad \varphi \equiv -\frac{\Psi}{c^2}, \quad \chi_i \equiv a\frac{P_i}{c^3}. \tag{133}$$

The weak gravity approximation is a limit with four conditions

$$\frac{\Phi}{c^2} \ll 1, \quad -\frac{\Psi}{c^2} \ll 1,$$
$$\gamma^2\frac{t_\ell^2}{t_g^2} \ll 1, \quad \frac{G\varrho a^2}{c^2\Delta} \sim \frac{a^2H^2}{c^2\Delta} \sim \frac{\ell^2}{\ell_H^2} \ll 1, \tag{134}$$

where the third one is the action-at-a-distance condition; $t_\ell \equiv \ell/c$ with ℓ a characteristic scale, and $t_g \equiv 1/\sqrt{G\varrho}$ the gravitational time-scale. The fourth condition is the sub-horizon limit with $\ell_H \equiv ct_H$, $t_H \equiv 1/H$ and $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ the Hubble-Lemaître paramater.

A consistent weak gravity formulation, with the matter and field parts kept fully relativistic and nonlinear, is possible in uniform-expansion gauge condition setting $\kappa \equiv 0$; κ is defined in Eq. (C8), and in non-expanding background it is the same as the maximal slicing setting the trace of extrinsic curvature equals to zero as the hypersurface condition. An alternative temporal gauge condition available is zero-shear gauge setting the longitudinal part of χ_i equals to zero, but this leads to an inconsistency with the exact result known in spherical geometry [35, 36], by missing a pressure term in the Poisson's equation [33]. For a consistent weak gravity formulation with fully relativistic matter and field, it is essential to keep χ_i term properly.

Using the weak gravity condition and imposing uniform-expansion gauge, Eq. (C10) gives

$$\frac{1}{a^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\chi_i + \frac{1}{6}\chi^k{}_{,ki}\right) = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^3}am_i, \tag{135}$$

where m_i can be read from Eqs. (117), (120) and (121). Decomposing χ_i into the longitudinal and transverse parts, we have

$$\frac{1}{a}\chi = -\frac{12\pi G}{c^3}a^2\Delta^{-2}m^i{}_{,i}, \tag{136}$$
$$\frac{1}{a}\chi_i^{(v)} = -\frac{16\pi G}{c^3}a^2\Delta^{-1}\left(m_i - \nabla_i\Delta^{-1}m^j{}_{,j}\right) \tag{137}$$

Assuming $m_i \sim \varrho\gamma^2 v_i$, i.e., assuming the other contributions from FL, SF and EM field are smaller than or comparable to this term, considering the action-at-a-distance condition, we have

$$\frac{1}{a}\chi_{,i}, \ \ \frac{1}{a}\chi_i^{(v)} \ll \frac{v_i}{c}. \tag{138}$$

Fluid conservation equations in Eqs. (123)-(126) become

$$\dot{E} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}(3E+S) + \frac{c^2}{a}m^i{}_{,i} = -\frac{1}{a}(2\Phi-\Psi)_{,i}m^i + \mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{j}, \tag{139}$$
$$\frac{1}{a^4}(a^4m_i)^{\cdot} + \frac{1}{a}m^j_{i,j} = -\frac{1}{ac^2}(\Phi_{,i}E + \Psi_{,i}S) + \varrho_{\rm em}E_i + \frac{1}{c}(\mathbf{j}\times\mathbf{B})_i, \tag{140}$$
$$\frac{1}{a^3}(a^3D)^{\cdot} + \frac{1}{a}(Dv^i)_{,i} = 0, \tag{141}$$
$$\dot{\mathcal{E}} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}(3\mathcal{E}+S) + \frac{c^2}{a}(m^i - Dv^i)_{,i} = -\frac{1}{a}(2\Phi-\Psi)_{,i}m^i + \mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{j}, \tag{142}$$

where

$$E = \varrho c^2 + \left(\varrho c^2 + p\right)\left(\gamma^2-1\right) + 2\gamma\frac{v^iQ_i}{c^2} + \Pi_{ij}\frac{v^iv^j}{c^2},$$
$$S = 3p + \left(\varrho c^2 + p\right)\left(\gamma^2-1\right) + 2\gamma\frac{v^iQ_i}{c^2} + \Pi_{ij}\frac{v^iv^j}{c^2},$$
$$m_i = \left(\varrho + \frac{p}{c^2}\right)\gamma^2 v_i + \gamma\left(\delta_i^j + \frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\frac{v^jv_i}{c^2}\right)\frac{Q_j}{c^2} + \Pi_i^j\frac{v_j}{c^2},$$
$$m_{ij} = p\delta_{ij} + \left(\varrho + \frac{p}{c^2}\right)\gamma^2 v_iv_j + \frac{\gamma}{c^2}\left(Q_iv_j + Q_jv_i\right) + \Pi_{ij},$$
$$D \equiv \gamma\overline{\varrho}, \quad \mathcal{E} \equiv E - Dc^2 \quad \varrho \equiv \overline{\varrho}\left(1 + \frac{\Pi}{c^2}\right). \tag{143}$$

In Eqs. (139)-(143) the fluid quantities are only for the fluid with $E = E^{\rm FL}$, etc. We note that the potential terms in Eqs. (139) and (142) are negligible compared with the $m^i{}_{,i}$ term by the weak-gravity condition. Similarly, $\Psi_{,i}S$ term in Eq. (140) is also negligible compared with $m^j_{i,j}$ term by the same condition.

Maxwell's equations in Eqs. (109)-(112) become

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E} = 4\pi a\left(\varrho_{\rm em} - g_{\phi\gamma}\frac{1}{a}\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla f\right), \tag{144}$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(a^2\mathbf{E}\right) = a\nabla\times\mathbf{B} - \frac{4\pi a^2}{c}\left[\mathbf{j} + g_{\phi\gamma}\left(\mathbf{B}\dot{f} - \frac{c}{a}\mathbf{E}\times\nabla f\right)\right], \tag{145}$$

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B} = 0, \tag{146}$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(a^2\mathbf{B}\right) = -a\nabla\times\mathbf{E}. \tag{147}$$

Thus, all metric perturbations disappear, and these are the same as the Maxwell equations in the Friedmann background.

The equation of motion in Eq. (115) gives

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} - c^2\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\phi + (c^2 + 2\Phi)V_{,\phi} = c^2 g_{\phi\gamma}f_{,\phi}\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{B} \tag{148}$$

where we kept Φ term as the mass term of the SF has c^2 order in the potential, $V = \frac{m^2c^2}{2\hbar^2}\phi^2$.

The remaining ones are Einstein's equation determining gravity in Eqs. (C8)-(C12). Equation (C10) is already used above and the other ones give

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\Psi = \frac{4\pi G}{c^2}(E - E_b), \tag{149}$$

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\Phi = \frac{4\pi G}{c^2}(E + S - E_b - S_b), \tag{150}$$

$$\frac{c}{a^3}\left\{a\left[2(m^i{}_{,j} + m_j{}^{,i}) - (\nabla^i\nabla_j + \delta^i_j\Delta)\Delta^{-1}m^k{}_{,k}\right]\right\}^{\cdot} = \frac{1}{a^2}\left(\nabla^i\nabla_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\Delta\right)(\Phi - \Psi) + \frac{8\pi G}{c^2}\overline{m}^i_j, \tag{151}$$

$$3\dot{\Psi} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\Phi = -12\pi G a\Delta^{-1}m^i{}_{,i}, \tag{152}$$

where the subindex b indicates the background order, and we used Eqs. (136) and (137). The fluid quantities in these equations are the collective one with $E = E^{\rm FL} + E^{\rm SF} + E^{\rm EM}$, etc., thus,

$$E = E^{\rm FL} + \frac{1}{2c^2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V + \frac{1}{2a^2}\phi^{,i}\phi_{,i} + \frac{1}{8\pi}(E^2 + B^2),$$
$$S = S^{\rm FL} + \frac{3}{2c^2}\dot{\phi}^2 - 3V - \frac{1}{2a^2}\phi^{,i}\phi_{,i} + \frac{1}{8\pi}(E^2 + B^2),$$
$$m_i = m_i^{\rm FL} - \frac{1}{ac^2}\dot{\phi}\phi_{,i} + \frac{1}{4\pi c}(\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B})_i, \tag{153}$$

where $E^{\rm FL}$, $S^{\rm FL}$ and $m_i^{\rm FL}$ are given in Eq. (143).

To *prove* the consistency of the weak-gravity formulation we can show the validity of remaining two equations in Eqs. (151) and (152). By taking $\nabla_i\nabla^j$ in Eq. (151) and using Eqs. (149), (150) and the momentum conservation equation for collective fluid [the same as Eq. (140) without the EM terms], we can show its validity; $\Phi_{,i}E$ and $\Psi_{,i}S$ terms are negligible by the sub-horizon and the weak-gravity conditions, respectively. Finally, Eq. (152) is naturally valid by using Eqs. (136), (149), (150) and the energy conservation equation for collective fluid [the same as Eq. (139) without the EM term]; $(2\Phi - \Psi)_{,i}m^i$ term is negligible by the weak-gravity condition. This *proves* the consistency of the weak-gravity approximation with fully relativistic consideration of the fluid and fields.

Equations (139)-(150) are the complete set in the weak-gravity limit.

VI. MHD

In this section, we consider MHD approximations of the four formulations. MHD treats the evolution of magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ coupled with hydrodynamic variables ϱ and $\mathbf{v}$. Here, we additionally have the helically coupled scalar field. The Maxwell equations are replaced to the induction equation which is Faraday's equation with the electric field determined in terms of the electric current by using certain forms of Ohm's law, and the electric current determined using Ampère's law. Thus, only the Maxwell equations and the EM contribution to the fluid quantities are modified. As modifications in the fluid quantity are simple (replacing the electric field using Ohm's law and Ampère's law), here we consider the Maxwell equations only.

Although the conducting fluid is often composed of the mixture of charged fluids with electrons and ions, MHD handles the case as a single fluid approximation with Ohm's law. MHD usually *takes* as Ohm's law a simple relation between the current and the electric field in the comoving frame of the fluid [37–39]

$$\widetilde{j}_a^{(u)} = \sigma\widetilde{e}_a, \tag{154}$$

where σ is the isotropic electrical conductivity. Whether this simple prescription is valid in relativistic regime, in particular, for relativistic velocity, is an open issue; here, we take this relation as one of the MHD assumption. MHD approximation further *assumes* large conductivity with [40]

$$4\pi\sigma \gg 1/T, \tag{155}$$

where T the characteristic time scale involved in the system with $\partial/(\partial t) \sim 1/T$. The *ideal* MHD considers $\sigma\to\infty$ limit with finite current, thus $\widetilde{e}_a = 0$. Keeping finite σ gives the *resistive* MHD. For ideal MHD, the Joule heating term disappears in the covariant energy conservation in Eq. (127), whereas the term survives in the conservative forms in Eqs. (123) and (126).

A. Covariant formulation

Using Eq. (45), Ohm's law in Eq. (154) gives

$$j_a + u_a j_b u^b + \varrho_{\rm em} c(n_a - \gamma u_a) = \sigma\left(\gamma E_a + n_a E_b u^b - \eta_{abcd} u^b n^c B^d\right). \quad (156)$$

In this subsection we omitted overtilde indicating the spacetime covariant quantities. In the ideal MHD limit, we have

$$\left(\gamma\delta^b_a + n_a u^b\right) E_b = \eta_{abcd} u^b n^c B^d. \quad (157)$$

Using the MHD condition in Eq. (155) in Gauss' law in Eq. (10), the convective current term, $\varrho_{\rm em} c(n_a - \gamma u_a)$, is negligible; we use $E^a{}_{;b} h^b_a \sim E_a/L$ and $c(n_a - \gamma u_a) \sim L/T$, and assume the axion contribution is of the similar order as $\varrho_{\rm em}$; L is the characteristic length scale. Thus, Ohm's law in MHD approximation is

$$j_a + u_a j_b u^b = \sigma\left(\gamma E_a + n_a E_b u^b - \eta_{abcd} u^b n^c B^d\right). \quad (158)$$

Now, we can set up the covariant MHD formulation.

Ohm's law in Eq. (158) determines E_a

$$\gamma E_a = \eta_{abcd} u^b n^c B^d + \frac{1}{\sigma}\left[j_a + (u_a - \gamma n_a) j_b u^b\right]. \quad (159)$$

Gauss' law in Eq. (10) determines $\varrho_{\rm em}$

$$\varrho_{\rm em} = \frac{1}{4\pi} E^a{}_{;b} h^b_a + g_{\phi\gamma} f_{,a} B^a, \quad (160)$$

where h_{ab} is associated with n_a and $\omega_{ab} = 0$ for the normal-frame. Ampère's law in Eq. (11) determines j^a

$$j^a = \frac{c}{4\pi}\left[\left(\sigma^a{}_b - \frac{2}{3}\delta^a_b\theta\right) E^b + \eta^{abcd} n_d \left(a_b B_c - B_{b;c}\right) - h^a_b \widetilde{\dot{E}}^b\right] - c g_{\phi\gamma} f_{,b}\left(n^b B^a - \eta^{abcd} n_c E_d\right), \quad (161)$$

where we kept the displacement current term ($\widetilde{\dot{E}}^b$-term). Combining Eqs. (158) and (161), the condition in Eq. (155) does imply that the displacement current can be ignored compared with the conductive current. Thus, the displacement current disappears in the induction equation using Ohm's law and Ampère's law. However, the current term also appears in the fluid conservation equations without a direct combination with Ohm's law. Thus, we keep the displacement current term in Ampère's law in Eqs. (161), (169), and (177).

Faraday's law in Eq. (13) gives induction equation for B^a

$$h^a_b \widetilde{\dot{B}}^b = \left(\sigma^a{}_b - \frac{2}{3}\delta^a_b\theta\right) B^b - \eta^{abcd} n_d \left(a_b E_c - E_{b;c}\right), \quad (162)$$

and the no-monopole condition in Eq. (12) gives

$$B^a{}_{;b} h^b_a = 0. \quad (163)$$

Equations (159)-(163) provide Maxwell equations determining the magnetic field in MHD approximation. The EM variables E_a, $\varrho_{\rm em}$ and j_a are determined by Eqs. (159)-(161). We note that E_a and j_a are coupled in Eqs. (159) and (161). The other equations determining the gravity, fluid and the scalar field are the *same* as summarized below Eq. (47).

B. ADM formulation

Ohm's law in Eq. (154), using Eqs. (60) and (61), gives

$$\overline{\mathbf{j}} + \gamma^2 \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{j}} - \varrho_{\rm em}\gamma^2 c\mathbf{V} = \sigma\gamma\left(\overline{\mathbf{E}} + \mathbf{V}\times\overline{\mathbf{B}}\right), \quad (164)$$

where the curl operation is associated with $\overline{\eta}_{ijk}$. Using the MHD condition in Eq. (155) in Gauss' law in Eq. (70), the convective current term, $c\varrho_{\rm em}\gamma^2\mathbf{V}$, is negligible; we use $\nabla\cdot\overline{\mathbf{E}} \sim \overline{\mathbf{E}}/L$ and $c\mathbf{V} \sim L/T$, and assume the axion contribution is of the similar order as $\varrho_{\rm em}$. Thus, Ohm's law in MHD approximation gives

$$\overline{\mathbf{j}} + \gamma^2 \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{j}} = \sigma\gamma\left(\overline{\mathbf{E}} + \mathbf{V}\times\overline{\mathbf{B}}\right). \quad (165)$$

For an ideal MHD we have

$$\overline{\mathbf{E}} = -\mathbf{V}\times\overline{\mathbf{B}}. \quad (166)$$

Now, we can set up the ADM MHD formulation.

Ohm's law determines $\overline{\mathbf{E}}$ as

$$\overline{\mathbf{E}} = -\mathbf{V}\times\overline{\mathbf{B}} + \frac{1}{\sigma\gamma}\left(\overline{\mathbf{j}} + \gamma^2\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{j}}\right). \quad (167)$$

Gauss' law in Eq. (70) determines $\varrho_{\rm em}$

$$\varrho_{\rm em} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\overline{\nabla}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{E}} + g_{\phi\gamma}\overline{\mathbf{B}}\cdot\overline{\nabla} f. \quad (168)$$

Ampère's law in Eq. (71) determines $\overline{\mathbf{j}}$

$$\overline{\mathbf{j}} = \frac{c}{4\pi N}\left[-\overline{\nabla}\times(\mathbf{N}\times\overline{\mathbf{E}}) + \overline{\nabla}\times(N\overline{\mathbf{B}}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}(\sqrt{h}\mathbf{E})_{,0}\right] - c g_{\phi\gamma}\left(\overline{\mathbf{B}}\widehat{\dot{f}} - \overline{\mathbf{E}}\times\overline{\nabla} f\right), \quad (169)$$

where we used Eq. (B3). Faraday's law in Eq. (73), and Eq. (72) give

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}(\sqrt{h}\overline{\mathbf{B}})_{,0} = -\overline{\nabla}\times(\mathbf{N}\times\overline{\mathbf{B}}) - \overline{\nabla}\times(N\overline{\mathbf{E}}), \quad (170)$$

$$\overline{\nabla}\cdot\overline{\mathbf{B}} = 0. \quad (171)$$

Equations (167)-(171) provide Maxwell equations determining the magnetic field in MHD approximation. The EM variables $\overline{\mathbf{E}}$, $\varrho_{\rm em}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{j}}$ are determined by Eqs. (167)-(169). We note that $\overline{\mathbf{E}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{j}}$ are coupled in Eqs. (167) and (169). The other equations determining the gravity, fluid and the scalar field are the *same* as summarized below Eq. (91).

C. FNLE formulation

Ohm's law in Eq. (154), using Eqs. (100) and (103), gives

$$\mathbf{j}+\frac{\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\frac{1}{c^2}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{j}-\varrho_{\rm em}\gamma^2\mathbf{v} =\sigma\gamma\left(\mathbf{E}+\frac{\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}}{c\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right), \tag{172}$$

where the curl operation is associated with η_{ijk}. Using the MHD condition in Eq. (155) in Gauss' law in Eq. (109), the convective current term, $\varrho_{\rm em}\gamma^2\mathbf{v}$, is negligible; we use $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E}\sim\mathbf{E}/L$ and $\mathbf{v}\sim L/T$, and assume the axion contribution is of the similar order as $\varrho_{\rm em}$. Thus, Ohm's law in MHD approximation gives

$$\mathbf{j}+\frac{\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\frac{1}{c^2}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{j}=\sigma\gamma\left(\mathbf{E}+\frac{\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}}{c\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right). \tag{173}$$

In the ideal MHD limit, we have

$$\mathbf{E}=-\frac{\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}}{c\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}. \tag{174}$$

Now, we can set up the FNLE MHD formulation.

Ohm's law in Eq. (173) determines $\mathbf{E}$

$$\mathbf{E}=-\frac{\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}}{c\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}+\frac{1}{\sigma\gamma}\left(\mathbf{j}+\frac{\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\frac{1}{c^2}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{j}\right). \tag{175}$$

Gauss' law in Eq. (109) determines $\varrho_{\rm em}$

$$\varrho_{\rm em}=\frac{\nabla\cdot(\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{E})}{4\pi a(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}}+g_{\phi\gamma}\frac{\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla f}{a(1+2\varphi)}. \tag{176}$$

Ampère's law in Eq. (110) determines $\mathbf{j}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{j}=&\frac{c}{4\pi a\mathcal{N}\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\left[\nabla\times(\mathcal{N}\mathbf{B})+\frac{1}{a}\nabla\times\left(\frac{\vec{\chi}\times\mathbf{E}}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right)\right.\\&\left.-\vec{\chi}\frac{1}{a}\frac{\nabla\cdot(\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{E})}{1+2\varphi}-\frac{1}{ac}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(a^2\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{E})\right]\\&-g_{\phi\gamma}\left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\mathbf{B}\left(\dot{f}+\frac{c\vec{\chi}\cdot\nabla f}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\right)-\frac{c\mathbf{E}\times\nabla f}{a\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{177}$$

where we used Eq. (176). Faraday's law in Eq. (112), and Eq. (111) give

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(a^2\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{B}\right)\\&\quad=-a\nabla\times(\mathcal{N}\mathbf{E})+\nabla\times\left(\frac{\vec{\chi}\times\mathbf{B}}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{178}$$

$$\nabla\cdot\left(\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{B}\right)=0. \tag{179}$$

Equations (175)-(179) provide the Maxwell equations determining the magnetic field in MHD approximation. The EM variables $\mathbf{E}$, $\varrho_{\rm em}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are determined by Eqs. (175)-(177). We note that $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are coupled in Eqs. (175) and (177).

In MHD limit, fundamental variables are the fluid variables (ϱ, $\mathbf{v}$, etc.) with the magnetic field ($\mathbf{B}$); in our case we also have the equation of motion for the scalar field (ϕ) and equations for the gravity (α, φ, κ and $\vec{\chi}$). The fundamental equations without imposing temporal gauge condition are the following. The equation of motion for the scalar field remains *the same* as in Eq. (115) with $\mathbf{E}$ determined by Eq. (175). Notice that for an ideal MHD, as $\mathbf{E}$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{B}$, the EM contribution to the equation of motion disappears. Under our MHD condition without assuming the slow-motion, all the fluid conservation equations in Eqs. (123)-(126) remain *the same*. Similarly, for the gravity part, Eqs. (C8)-(C12) remain the same with the fluid quantities in Eqs. (117), (120) and (121). The weak-gravity limit and slow-motion limit will further simplify some parts in the EM contributions as we show below.

1. *Weak-gravity limit*

In the weak-gravity limit the complete equations are in Eqs. (139)-(150). In MHD, the Maxwell equations in Eqs. (144)-(147) should be replaced by Eqs. (175)-(179). In the weak field limit, these become

$$\mathbf{E}=-\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}+\frac{1}{\sigma\gamma}\left(\mathbf{j}+\gamma^2\frac{1}{c^2}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{j}\right), \tag{180}$$

$$\varrho_{\rm em}=\frac{1}{4\pi a}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E}+g_{\phi\gamma}\frac{1}{a}\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla f, \tag{181}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{j}=&\frac{c}{4\pi a}\nabla\times\mathbf{B}-\frac{1}{4\pi a^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(a^2\mathbf{E})\\&-g_{\phi\gamma}\left(\mathbf{B}\dot{f}-\frac{c}{a}\mathbf{E}\times\nabla f\right),\end{aligned} \tag{182}$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(a^2\mathbf{B}\right)=-a\nabla\times\mathbf{E}, \tag{183}$$

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B}=0. \tag{184}$$

The other equations in Eqs. (139)-(143) and (148)-(150) remain the same.

2. *Slow-motion limit*

In the slow-motion limit with $v^2/c^2\ll 1$, the only change in Eqs. (175)-(179) occurs in Ohm's law in Eq. (175) which simplifies to

$$\mathbf{E}=-\frac{\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}}{c\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}+\frac{1}{\sigma}\mathbf{j}. \tag{185}$$

Further simplifications occur in the fluid quantities of the FL and EM field, and in the fluid momentum conservation equation. First, we can set $\gamma=1$ and ignore v^iv^j/c^2 in Eq. (117) and conservation equations. For an *ideal* MHD, using Eq. (174), E^2 and E_iE_j in Eq. (121) can

be ignored compared with B^2 and B_iB_j. Finally, in Eq. (124), using Eqs. (174) and (177), the the first $\varrho_{\rm em}E_i$ term can be ignored compared with the second Lorentz force term. The remaining equations are the same.

The weak-gravity and slow-motion limit was studied in [12].

D. Induction equation

Replacing $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ using Ohm's law and Ampère's law, Faraday's law gives the induction equation. In the weak-gravity approximation, using Eqs. (180) and (182), Eq. (183) gives

$$\frac{1}{a^2}\left(a^2\mathbf{B}\right)^{\cdot}-\frac{1}{a}\nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B})-\frac{c^2\Delta\mathbf{B}}{4\pi\sigma a^2}=\frac{cg_{\phi\gamma}}{\sigma\gamma a}\nabla \times\left[\left(\mathbf{I}+\gamma^2\frac{1}{c^2}\mathbf{vv}\right)\cdot\left(\dot{f}\mathbf{B}-\frac{c}{a}\mathbf{E}\times\nabla f\right)\right], \quad (186)$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ is δ^i_j and we assumed a constant σ. The displacement current term in Ampère's law can be ignored in induction equation using the MHD condition in Eq. (155). The second term in the left-hand side is the flux conserving induction term (in the absence of the other terms the magnetic field is frozen-in with the fluid), and the third term is the diffusion. The right-hand side is a contribution from the helical coupling and can work as the scalar field source for the magnetic field; in the slow-motion limit, the first term with $\dot{f}\mathbf{B}$ can work as the α-dynamo [2] with $\alpha=cg_{\phi\gamma}\dot{f}/\sigma$ [41, 42]. The α-dynamo term causes exponential growth of the magnetic field in the linear stage, see below. For an ideal MHD ($\sigma\to\infty$), the $g_{\phi\gamma}$-coupling disappears.

Corresponding induction equations can be derived in the three exact formulations as well. In the covariant formulation, we can use Eqs. (159) and (161) to remove E_a and j_a in Eq. (162). In the ADM formulation, we can use Eqs. (167) and (169) to remove $\overline{\mathbf{E}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{j}}$ in Eq. (170). In the FNLE formulation, we can use Eqs. (175) and (177) to remove $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ in Eq. (178), and we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{a^2}\left(a^2\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{B}\right)^{\cdot}-\frac{1}{a}\nabla\left(\mathcal{N}\frac{\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right)\\&\quad+\frac{c^2}{4\pi a^2}\nabla\times\left[\frac{1}{\sigma\gamma}\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\frac{1}{c^2}\mathbf{vv}\right)\cdot\frac{\nabla\times(\mathcal{N}\mathbf{B})}{\mathcal{N}\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right]\\&\quad=\frac{c}{a^2}\nabla\times\left(\frac{\vec{\chi}\times\mathbf{B}}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right)\\&\quad-\frac{c^2}{4\pi a^3}\nabla\times\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma\gamma\mathcal{N}\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\frac{1}{c^2}\mathbf{vv}\right)\right.\\&\quad\left.\cdot\left[\nabla\times\left(\frac{\vec{\chi}\times\mathbf{E}}{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right)-\vec{\chi}\frac{\nabla\cdot(\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathbf{E})}{1+2\varphi}\right]\right\}\\&\quad+\frac{c}{a}g_{\phi\gamma}\nabla\times\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma\gamma}\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{\gamma^2}{1+2\varphi}\frac{1}{c^2}\mathbf{vv}\right)\right.\\&\quad\left.\cdot\left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\mathbf{B}\left(\dot{f}+\frac{c\vec{\chi}\cdot\nabla f}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\right)-\frac{c\mathbf{E}\times\nabla f}{a\sqrt{1+2\varphi}}\right]\right\}. \quad (187)\end{aligned}$$

To the linear order, this gives

$$\frac{1}{a^2}\left(a^2\mathbf{B}\right)^{\cdot}-\frac{c^2\Delta\mathbf{B}}{4\pi a^2\sigma}=\frac{cg_{\phi\gamma}}{\sigma a}\dot{f}\nabla\times\mathbf{B}, \quad (188)$$

which coincides with the one from the weak-gravity approximation in Eq. (186). In Fourier space with $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k},t)=\int d^3x e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x},t)$, and using the orthonormal helicity (circular polarization) basis [39] $(\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_+,\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_-,\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_3)$ with $\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_\pm\equiv(\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_1\pm i\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_2)/\sqrt{2}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_3\equiv\mathbf{k}/k$, and $\mathbf{B}\equiv B_+\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_+ + B_-\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_- + B_3\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_3$, we have

$$\frac{1}{a^2}(a^2B_\pm)^{\cdot}=\frac{c^2}{4\pi\sigma}\left(-\frac{k^2}{a^2}\pm\frac{4\pi}{c}\frac{k}{a}g_{\phi\gamma}\dot{f}\right)B_\pm, \quad (189)$$

with solutions [41–43]

$$B_\pm=B_{\pm i}\frac{a_i^2}{a^2}\exp\left[\int_{t_i}^{t}\frac{c^2}{4\pi\sigma}\left(-\frac{k^2}{a^2}\pm\frac{4\pi}{c}\frac{k}{a}g_{\phi\gamma}\dot{f}\right)dt\right], \quad (190)$$

and B_3 pure decaying. The first term is diffusion damping. The second term causes exponential growth of the magnetic field for small enough k and steady $\dot{f}/(\sigma a)$, with maximum growth rate for $k=\frac{2\pi a}{c}|g_{\phi\gamma}\dot{f}|$, and the system tends toward to maximal helicity state [41, 42, 44]. The maximal helicity state can cause inverse cascade of the magnetic energy to larger scales [3, 4, 6].

VII. DISCUSSION

We derived three exact formulations (the covariant, the ADM and the FNLE formulations) and weak-gravity formulation of the general relativistic ED and MHD including the helically coupled scalar field. The latter two formulations are presented in the cosmological context. The complete set of equations for each formulation is summarized in the final paragraph of each section.

The covariant and the ADM formulations are reformulations of Einstein's gravity using the time-like four-vector U_a (thus, $1+3$) and the intrinsic metric h_{ij} (thus, $3+1$), respectively. In the covariant formulation the ED and MHD without the helical coupling was studied in [45]. The EM field in that work, however, is somehow confined to the comoving-frame ($\widetilde{u}_a$), thus, they only used $\widetilde{e}_a$, $\widetilde{b}_a$, etc. Whereas, the conventional EM fields in the ED and MHD are the ones measured in the normal frame ($\widetilde{n}_a$), thus, using $\widetilde{E}_a$, $\widetilde{B}_a$, etc. as we expressed throughout this work.

The FNLE formulation is designed as exact perturbations in the Friedmann background spacetime. The resulting FNLE equations are fully nonlinear and exact with the metric perturbation variables explicitly visible in the equations, see Eqs. (C8)-(C17). Compared with the covariant and the ADM formulations where the inverse metric g^{ab} and h^{ij} are assumed to exist, in the FNLE formulation these are exactly derived in terms of the metric tensor g_{ab} [25, 28].

In Minkowski background the FNLE equations are generally valid in any configuration and the energy-momentum content, just like Newtonian hydrodynamic equations are valid for any arbitrary matter configuration; still, one important restriction (not in physics though) is that the FNLE formation is based on explicitly building the general exact perturbations in the Friedmann or Minkowski background spacetime, thus in the isotropic background. The FNLE formulation is provided without fixing the temporal gauge condition. For simplicity, here we ignored the tensor-type perturbation and imposed a spatial gauge condition; formulation without these restrictions is possible [28].

The weak-gravity approximation takes weak gravity limit in the FNLE equations while keeping the energy-momentum part including the fluid and fields fully relativistic. The formulation is consistent in uniform-expansion gauge (maximal slicing in Minkowski background). Relativistic corrections appear, in particular, in the two Poisson's equations in Eqs. (149) and (150). We do not address the post-Newtonian approximation [31, 46] in this work because it demands proper Newtonian limit which is not available for the general scalar field potential we are considering. Situation changes as we consider the axion as a massive scalar field.

The axion is a case of massive scalar field with $V = \frac{m^2c^2}{2\hbar^2}\phi^2$. The coherent oscillation stage of axion can work as the cold or fuzzy dark matter depending on the mass scale [47–50]. In such a field we have the Klein and the Madelung transformations which transform the SF to the Schrödinger equation and conservation equations with proper Newtonian (nonrelativistic) limit. In the presence of $g_{\phi\gamma}$-coupling, however, in [12] we found that these two transformations are possible *only* for $f \propto \phi^2$ which is not a favored form in the axion physics [1].

For a massive scalar field with $f = \frac{1}{2}\phi^2$ coupling, under the Klein transformation [51]

$$\phi(\mathbf{x},t) \equiv \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m}}\left[\psi(\mathbf{x},t)e^{-i\omega_c t} + \psi^*(\mathbf{x},t)e^{+i\omega_c t}\right], \quad (191)$$

the equation of motion leads to the Schrödinger equation with ψ the complex wave function; $\omega_c \equiv mc^2/\hbar$ is the Compton frequency [52]. By further applying the Madelung transformation [53]

$$\psi \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\varrho_\phi}{m}}e^{imu/\hbar}, \quad (192)$$

the Schrödinger equation leads to continuity and momentum conservation equations with ϱ_ϕ and u interpreted as the mass density and velocity potential ($\mathbf{u}_\phi = \frac{1}{a}\nabla u$), and with a characteristic quantum stress term encompassing the quantum nature [54]. In [12] we studied the weak-gravity and slow-motion limit of this case, and studied gravitational instability caused by MHD and magnetic instability caused by the helically coupled field. For the same V and f these two transformations can be applied in the three exact formulations as well, but we do not pursue the subject here; in the absence of the FL and EM field, see [13] for the covariant formulation and the post-Newtonian approximation of the axion.

The exact equations of the helically coupled ED and MHD in the ADM and FNLE formulations will be useful to implement in numerical simulations. The ADM formulation of ED and MHD without the helical coupling was implemented in numerical relativity [24]. The helically coupled scalar field as the (cold or fuzzy) dark matter or the dark energy will be the interesting subject to explore in the context of origin and evolution of the cosmic magnetic field.

The weak-gravity formulation in Minkowski background will be useful in many astrophysical situations where relativistic effects of fluid and fields are important while the gravity is weak. Indeed, in nearly all astrophysical situations, except for nearby compact objects like the black holes, neutron stars and white dwarfs, the gravitational fields are extremely weak with $\Phi/c^2 \sim GM/(Rc^2) \lesssim 10^{-5}$ where M and R are characteristic mass and length scales. Even in cosmology, the gravitational field is similarly extremely weak in nearly all observable cosmic scales including the cosmic microwave background radiation. Equations in the weak-gravity approximation are similar to Newtonian hydrodynamic equations and will be much easier for numerical implementation compared with numerical relativity which is a complicated constrained system.

Acknowledgments

We thank the referee for many valuable suggestions and questions. H.N. was supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea funded by the Korean Government (No. 2018R1A2B6002466 and No. 2021R1F1A1045515). J.H. was supported by IBS under the project code, IBS-R018-D1, and by the NRF of Korea funded by the Korean Government (No. NRF-2019R1A2C1003031).

Appendix A: Covariant (1+3) formulation

The 1+3 covariant decomposition is based on the timelike normalized ($u^a u_a \equiv -1$) four-vector field u_a introduced in all spacetime points [14–17]. The expansion (θ), the acceleration (a_a), the rotation (ω_{ab}), and the shear (σ_{ab}) are kinematic quantities of the projected covariant derivative of flow vector u_a introduced as

$$\begin{aligned} h_a^c h_b^d u_{c;d} &= h_{[a}^c h_{b]}^d u_{c;d} + h_{(a}^c h_{b)}^d u_{c;d} \equiv \omega_{ab} + \theta_{ab} \\ &= u_{a;b} + a_a u_b, \quad \sigma_{ab} \equiv \theta_{ab} - \frac{1}{3}\theta h_{ab}, \\ \theta &\equiv u^a{}_{;a}, \quad a_a \equiv \widetilde{u}_a \equiv u_{a;b}u^b, \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

where $h_{ab} \equiv g_{ab} + u_a u_b$ is the projection tensor with $h_{ab}u^b = 0$ and $h_a^a = 3$; an overdot with tilde $\widetilde{\ }$ indicates

a covariant derivative along u^a; indices surrounded by () and [] are the symmetrization and anti-symmetrization symbols, respectively, with $A_{(ab)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(A_{ab}+A_{ba})$ and $A_{[ab]} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(A_{ab}-A_{ba})$. Thus

$$u_{a;b} = \omega_{ab} + \sigma_{ab} + \frac{1}{3}\theta h_{ab} - a_a u_b. \tag{A2}$$

We introduce

$$\omega^a \equiv \frac{1}{2}\eta^{abcd}u_b\omega_{cd}, \quad \omega_{ab} = \eta_{abcd}\omega^c u^d,$$
$$\omega^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}\omega^{ab}\omega_{ab} = \omega^a\omega_a, \quad \sigma^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{ab}\sigma_{ab}, \tag{A3}$$

where ω^a is a *vorticity vector* which has the same information as the vorticity tensor ω_{ab}. We have

$$\omega_{ab}u^b = \omega_a u^a = \sigma_{ab}u^b = a_a u^a = 0, \quad u^b u_{b;a} = 0. \tag{A4}$$

We have the antisymmetric tensor $\eta^{abcd} = \eta^{[abcd]}$ with $\eta^{0123} = 1/\sqrt{-g}$, thus

$$\eta^{abcd} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\epsilon^{abcd}, \quad \eta_{abcd} = -\sqrt{-g}\epsilon_{abcd}, \tag{A5}$$

where ϵ^{abcd} is an antisymmetric symbol with $\epsilon^{0123} = \epsilon_{0123} = +1$. We have

$$\eta^{abcd}\eta_{efgh} = -4!\delta^a_{[e}\delta^b_f\delta^c_g\delta^d_{h]} = -\begin{vmatrix} \delta^a_e & \delta^a_f & \delta^a_g & \delta^a_h \\ \delta^b_e & \delta^b_f & \delta^b_g & \delta^b_h \\ \delta^c_e & \delta^c_f & \delta^c_g & \delta^c_h \\ \delta^d_e & \delta^d_f & \delta^d_g & \delta^d_h \end{vmatrix},$$
$$\eta^{abcd}\eta_{efgd} = -3!\delta^a_{[e}\delta^b_f\delta^c_{g]} = -\begin{vmatrix} \delta^a_e & \delta^a_f & \delta^a_g \\ \delta^b_e & \delta^b_f & \delta^b_g \\ \delta^c_e & \delta^c_f & \delta^c_g \end{vmatrix},$$
$$\eta^{abcd}\eta_{efcd} = -4\delta^a_{[c}\delta^b_{d]} = -2\begin{vmatrix} \delta^a_e & \delta^a_f \\ \delta^b_e & \delta^b_f \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= -2\left(\delta^a_e\delta^b_f - \delta^a_f\delta^b_e\right),$$
$$\eta^{abcd}\eta_{ebcd} = -6\delta^a_e, \quad \eta^{abcd}\eta_{abcd} = -24. \tag{A6}$$

Our convention of the Riemann curvature and Einstein's equation are:

$$u_{a;bc} - u_{a;cb} = u_d R^d{}_{abc}, \tag{A7}$$
$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{ab}. \tag{A8}$$

The Weyl (conformal) curvature is introduced as

$$C_{abcd} \equiv R_{abcd} - \frac{1}{2}(g_{ac}R_{bd} + g_{bd}R_{ac} - g_{bc}R_{ad}$$
$$-g_{ad}R_{bc}) + \frac{R}{6}\left(g_{ac}g_{bd} - g_{ad}g_{bc}\right). \tag{A9}$$

The electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl curvature are introduced as [18]

$$E_{ab} \equiv C_{acbd}u^c u^d, \quad H_{ab} \equiv C^*_{abcd}u^c u^d,$$
$$C^{abcd} = \left(-\eta^{ab}{}_{pq}\eta^{cd}{}_{rs} + g^{ab}{}_{pq}g^{cd}{}_{rs}\right)u^p u^r E^{qs}$$
$$-\left(\eta^{ab}{}_{pq}g^{cd}{}_{rs} + g^{ab}{}_{pq}\eta^{cd}{}_{rs}\right)u^p u^r H^{qs}, \tag{A10}$$

where $C^*_{abcd} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ac}{}^{ef}C_{efbd}$. We have $E_{ab} = E_{ba}$ and $E_{ab}u^b = 0$, and similarly for H_{ab}. Similarly as in EM in Eq. (6), we have two invariants

$$C^{abcd}C_{abcd} = 8(E^{ab}E_{ab} - H^{ab}H_{ab}) = C^{*abcd}C^*_{abcd},$$
$$C^{abcd}C^*_{abcd} = 16E^{ab}H_{ab}, \tag{A11}$$

with $C^{abcd}C_{abcd} = R^{abcd}R_{abcd} - 2R^{ab}R_{ab} + \frac{1}{3}R^2$.

The energy-momentum tensor is decomposed into fluid quantities based on the four-vector field u^a as

$$T_{ab} \equiv \mu u_a u_b + p\left(g_{ab} + u_a u_b\right) + q_a u_b + q_b u_a + \pi_{ab}, \tag{A12}$$

where

$$u^a q_a = 0 = u^a \pi_{ab}, \quad \pi_{ab} = \pi_{ba}, \quad \pi^a_a = 0. \tag{A13}$$

The variables μ, p, q_a and π_{ab} are the energy density, the isotropic pressure (including the entropic one), the energy flux and the anisotropic pressure (stress) based on u_a-frame, respectively. We have

$$\mu \equiv T_{ab}u^a u^b, \quad p \equiv \frac{1}{3}T_{ab}h^{ab}, \quad q_a \equiv -T_{cd}u^c h^d_a,$$
$$\pi_{ab} \equiv T_{cd}h^c_a h^d_b - p h_{ab}. \tag{A14}$$

The kinematic and fluid quantities and the electric and magnetic parts of Weyl tensor depend on the frame four-vector u_a. Although the fluid quantities of the real fluid are defined in the fluid-comoving frame where u_a is the fluid four-vector, here, we may consider u_a as arbitrary time-like four vector.

1. Covariant equations

The specific (per mass) entropy S can be introduced by $TdS = c^2 d\varepsilon + p_T dv$ where ε is specific internal energy with $\mu = \overline{\varrho}(c^2+\varepsilon)$, p_T the thermodynamic pressure, $v \equiv 1/\overline{\varrho}$ the specific volume, and T the temperature. We have the isotropic pressure $p = p_T + e$ where e is the entropic pressure (isotropic stress). Using eqs. (A17) and (A19) below we can show

$$\overline{\varrho}T\widetilde{\dot{S}} = -\left(e\theta + \pi^{ab}\sigma_{ab} + q^a{}_{;a} + q^a a_a\right). \tag{A15}$$

Thus, we notice that e, π^{ab} and q^a generate the entropy. Using a four-vector $S^a \equiv \overline{\varrho}u^a S + \frac{1}{T}q^a$ which is termed the entropy flow density [14] we can derive

$$S^a{}_{;a} = -\frac{1}{T}\left(\frac{T_{,a}}{T} + a_a\right)q^a - \frac{1}{T}\left(e\theta + \pi^{ab}\sigma_{ab}\right). \tag{A16}$$

We derive the covariant $(1+3)$ set of equations in the following, see [14–18].

The energy and the momentum conservation equations follow from $u_a T^{ab}{}_{;b} = 0$ and $h^c_a T^{ab}{}_{;b} = 0$, respectively

$$\widetilde{\dot{\mu}} + (\mu+p)\,\theta + \pi^{ab}\sigma_{ab} + q^a{}_{;a} + q^a a_a = 0, \tag{A17}$$
$$(\mu+p)\,a_a + h^b_a\left(p_{,b} + \pi^c_{b;c} + \widetilde{\dot{q}}_b\right)$$
$$+\left(\omega_{ab} + \sigma_{ab} + \frac{4}{3}\theta h_{ab}\right)q^b = 0. \tag{A18}$$

The mass conservation follows from $j^a \equiv \overline{\varrho} u^a$ and $j^a{}_{;a} = 0$ as

$$\widetilde{\dot{\overline{\varrho}}} + \theta \overline{\varrho} = 0. \tag{A19}$$

By applying u^b on Eq. (A7) we have

$$\left(u_{a;c}\right)^{\widetilde{}} - a_{a;c} + u_{a;b} u^b{}_{;c} + R_{abcd} u^b u^d = 0. \tag{A20}$$

By applying g^{ac} on Eq. (A20) we have the Raychaudhuri equation

$$\widetilde{\dot{\theta}} + \frac{1}{3}\theta^2 - a^a{}_{;a} + 2\left(\sigma^2 - \omega^2\right) + \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\left(\mu + 3p\right) - \Lambda = 0. \tag{A21}$$

By applying $\eta^{acst} u_s$ on Eq. (A20) we have the vorticity propagation equation

$$h^a_b \widetilde{\omega}^b + \frac{2}{3}\theta\omega^a = \sigma^a_b \omega^b + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{abcd} u_b a_{c;d}. \tag{A22}$$

An equivalent equation can be derived by applying $h^a_{[d} h^c_{e]}$ on Eq. (A20)

$$h^c_a h^d_b \left(\widetilde{\omega}_{cd} - a_{[c;d]}\right) + \frac{2}{3}\theta\omega_{ab} = 2\sigma^e_{[a}\omega_{b]c}. \tag{A23}$$

By applying $h^a_{(d} h^c_{e)}$ on Eq. (A20) we have the shear propagation equation

$$h^c_a h^d_b \left(\widetilde{\dot{\sigma}}_{cd} - a_{(c;d)}\right) - a_a a_b + \omega_a\omega_b + \sigma_{ac}\sigma^c_b + \frac{2}{3}\theta\sigma_{ab} - \frac{1}{3}h_{ab}\left(\omega^2 + 2\sigma^2 - a^c{}_{;c}\right) + E_{ab} - \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\pi_{ab} = 0. \tag{A24}$$

By applying $g^{ac}h^{be}$ on Eq. (A7) we have

$$h_{ab}\left(\omega^{bc}{}_{;c} - \sigma^{bc}{}_{;c} + \frac{2}{3}\theta^{;b}\right) + \left(\omega_{ab} + \sigma_{ab}\right) a^b = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} q_a. \tag{A25}$$

From Eq. (A7) we have $u_{[a;bc]} = 0$. Thus, from $\eta^{abcd} u_d u_{a;bc} = 0$ we have

$$\omega^a{}_{;a} = 2\omega^b a_b. \tag{A26}$$

By applying $h^g_{(e} h^a_{f)} \eta_{gh}{}^{bc} u^h$ on Eq. (A7) we have

$$H_{ab} = 2a_{(a}\omega_{b)} - h^c_a h^d_b \left(\omega_{(c}{}^{e;f} + \sigma_{(c}{}^{e;f}\right)\eta_{d)gef} u^g. \tag{A27}$$

From the Bianchi identity $R_{ab[cd;e]} = 0$ we have

$$C^{abcd}{}_{;d} = R^{c[a;b]} - \frac{1}{6} g^{c[a} R^{;b]}, \tag{A28}$$

using C^{abcd} in Eq. (A10) we can derive the four quasi-Maxwellian equations

$$h^a_b h^c_d E^{bd}{}_{;c} - \eta^{abcd} u_b \sigma^e_c H_{de} + 3H^a_b \omega^b = \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\left(\frac{2}{3}h^{ab}\mu_{,b} - h^a_b \pi^{bc}{}_{;c} - 3\omega^a{}_b q^b + \sigma^a_b q^b + \pi^a_b a^b - \frac{2}{3}\theta q^a\right), \tag{A29}$$

$$h^a_b h^c_d H^{bd}{}_{;c} + \eta^{abcd} u_b \sigma^e_c E_{de} - 3E^a_b \omega^b = \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\left\{2\left(\mu + p\right)\omega^a + \eta^{abcd} u_b \left[q_{c;d} + \pi_{ce}\left(\omega^e{}_d + \sigma^e{}_d\right)\right]\right\}, \tag{A30}$$

$$h^a_c h^b_d \widetilde{\dot{E}}^{cd} + \left(H^f_{d;e} h^{(a}_f - 2a_d H^{(a}_e\right)\eta^{b)cde} u_c + h^{ab}\sigma^{cd} E_{cd} + \theta E^{ab} - E^{(a}_c\left(3\sigma^{b)c} + \omega^{b)c}\right) = \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\Big[-\left(\mu + p\right)\sigma^{ab} - 2a^{(a} q^{b)} - h^{(a}_c h^{b)}_d \left(q^{c;d} + \widetilde{\dot{\pi}}^{cd}\right) - \left(\omega_c{}^{(a} + \sigma^{(a}_c\right)\pi^{b)c} - \frac{1}{3}\theta\pi^{ab} + \frac{1}{3}\left(q^c{}_{;c} + a_c q^c + \pi^{cd}\sigma_{cd}\right)h^{ab}\Big], \tag{A31}$$

$$h^a_c h^b_d \widetilde{\dot{H}}^{cd} - \left(E^f_{d;e} h^{(a}_f - 2a_d E^{(a}_e\right)\eta^{b)cde} u_c + h^{ab}\sigma^{cd} H_{cd} + \theta H^{ab} - H^{(a}_c\left(3\sigma^{b)c} + \omega^{b)c}\right) = \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\left[\left(q_e \sigma^{(a}_d - \pi^f_{d;e} h^{(a}_f\right)\eta^{b)cde} u_c + h^{ab}\omega_c q^c - 3\omega^{(a} q^{b)}\right]. \tag{A32}$$

These follow, respectively, from

$$-u_b u_c C^{abcd}{}_{;d}, \quad -\frac{1}{2}h^g_e u_f \eta^{ef}{}_{ab} u_c C^{abcd}{}_{;d}, \quad -h^{(e}_a h^{f)}_c u_b C^{abcd}{}_{;d}, \quad -\frac{1}{2}h^{(g}_e h^{h)}_c u_f \eta^{ef}{}_{ab} u_c C^{abcd}{}_{;d}, \tag{A33}$$

where we used the momentum conservation and the energy conservation equations in Eq. (A29) and (A31), respectively. Notice the analogy with Maxwell equations in Eqs. (10)-(13).

We note that the time-like four-vector used above is generic one. We also note that in the presence of multiple components like several components of FL, SF, and the EM field, etc., T_{ab} is the sum of each component, and consequently the fluid quantities (μ, p, q_a and π_{ab}) are also the collective ones defined in Eq. (A14). As we explain in Sec. II, in such a case, equations governing the individual component should be supplemented, like conservation equations for individual fluid component, the equation of motion for the SF, and the Maxwell equations for the EM field, etc.

Appendix B: ADM (3+1) formulation

In the following, we use tildes in order to clearly distinguish covariant quantities. The ADM notations [20, 21] of the metric and fluid quantities are presented in Eqs. (48) and (52). The extrinsic curvature is defined as

$$K_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2N}\left(N_{i:j} + N_{j:i} - h_{ij,0}\right), \quad K \equiv h^{ij}K_{ij}, \quad \overline{K}_{ij} \equiv K_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}K, \tag{B1}$$

where the indices of K_{ij} are raised and lowered by h_{ij} and its inverse, and $K \equiv K^i_i$. A colon indicates a covariant derivative associated with h_{ij} where the connection is

$$\Gamma^{(h)i}_{\ \ jk} \equiv \frac{1}{2}h^{i\ell}\left(h_{\ell j,k} + h_{\ell k,j} - h_{jk,\ell}\right), \quad \Gamma^{(h)k}_{\ \ ik} = \frac{1}{2}h^{k\ell}h_{k\ell,i} = \frac{\sqrt{h}_{,i}}{\sqrt{h}}, \quad h \equiv \det(h_{ij}). \tag{B2}$$

Thus

$$K = \frac{1}{N}\left(N^i{}_{:i} - \frac{1}{2}h^{ij}h_{ij,0}\right) = \frac{1}{N}\left(N^i{}_{:i} - \frac{\sqrt{h}_{,0}}{\sqrt{h}}\right). \tag{B3}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&h_{ij,0} = -2NK_{ij} + N_{i:j} + N_{j:i}, \quad \frac{\sqrt{h}_{,0}}{\sqrt{h}} = -NK + N^i{}_{:i}, \quad h^{ij}{}_{,0} = -h^{ik}h^{j\ell}h_{k\ell,0} = 2NK^{ij} - N^{i:j} - N^{j:i},\\
&\Gamma^{(h)k}_{\ \ ij,0} = \left(-2NK^k_{(i} + N^k{}_{:(i} + N_{(i}{}^{:k}\right)_{:j)} + \left(NK_{ij} - N_{(i:j)}\right)^{:k}, \quad \Gamma^{(h)j}_{\ \ ij,0} = \left(-NK + N^j{}_{:j}\right)_{:i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

The intrinsic curvature $R^{(h)i}{}_{jk\ell}$ is the Riemann curvature tensor associated with h_{ij} as the metric tensor

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{(h)i}{}_{jk\ell} \equiv \Gamma^{(h)i}_{\ \ j\ell,k} - \Gamma^{(h)i}_{\ \ jk,\ell} + \Gamma^{(h)m}_{\ \ j\ell}\Gamma^{(h)i}_{\ \ km} - \Gamma^{(h)m}_{\ \ jk}\Gamma^{(h)i}_{\ \ \ell m},\\
&R^{(h)}_{ij} \equiv R^{(h)k}{}_{ikj}, \quad R^{(h)} \equiv h^{ij}R^{(h)}_{ij} = R^{(h)i}_{\ \ i}, \quad \overline{R}^{(h)}_{ij} \equiv R^{(h)}_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}R^{(h)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B5}$$

We have antisymmetric tensor

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\eta}^{0ijk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}}\epsilon^{0ijk} = \frac{1}{N\sqrt{h}}\epsilon^{0ijk} \equiv \frac{1}{N}\overline{\eta}^{ijk}, \quad \widetilde{\eta}_{0ijk} = -\sqrt{-\widetilde{g}}\epsilon_{0ijk} = -N\sqrt{h}\epsilon_{0ijk} = -N\overline{\eta}_{ijk};\\
&\overline{\eta}_{ijk} \equiv \widetilde{\eta}_{ijkd}\widetilde{n}^d = -\frac{1}{N}\widetilde{\eta}_{0ijk}, \quad \overline{\eta}^{ijk} \equiv \widetilde{\eta}^{ijkd}\widetilde{n}_d = N\widetilde{\eta}^{0ijk},
\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

where indices of $\overline{\eta}_{ijk}$ are raised and lowered by h_{ij} and its inverse. We have

$$\overline{\eta}^{ijk}\overline{\eta}_{\ell mn} = 3!\delta^i_{[\ell}\delta^j_m\delta^k_{n]} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^i_\ell & \delta^i_m & \delta^i_n \\ \delta^j_\ell & \delta^j_m & \delta^j_n \\ \delta^k_\ell & \delta^k_m & \delta^k_n \end{vmatrix}, \quad \overline{\eta}^{ijm}\overline{\eta}_{k\ell m} = 2!\delta^i_{[k}\delta^j_{\ell]} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^i_k & \delta^i_\ell \\ \delta^j_k & \delta^j_\ell \end{vmatrix} = \left(\delta^i_k\delta^j_\ell - \delta^i_\ell\delta^j_k\right), \quad \overline{\eta}^{ik\ell}\overline{\eta}_{jk\ell} = 2\delta^i_j. \tag{B7}$$

The ADM equations can be derived in a conventional way [20, 23, 24]. One other way is by using the covariant equations based on the normal-frame $\widetilde{n}_a$. Here we derive the set directly from Einstein's equation by brute force. We will also present the conformal tensors and the quasi-Maxwellian equations in the ADM notation.

The connection gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\Gamma}^0_{00} = \frac{1}{N}\left(N_{,0} + N_{,i}N^i - K_{ij}N^iN^j\right), \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}^0_{0i} = \frac{1}{N}\left(N_{,i} - K_{ij}N^j\right), \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}^0_{ij} = -\frac{1}{N}K_{ij},\\
&\widetilde{\Gamma}^i_{00} = \frac{1}{N}N^i\left(-N_{,0} - N_{,j}N^j + K_{jk}N^jN^k\right) + NN^{:i} + N^i{}_{,0} - 2NK^{ij}N_j + N^{i:j}N_j,\\
&\widetilde{\Gamma}^i_{0j} = -\frac{1}{N}N_{,j}N^i - NK^i_j + N^i{}_{:j} + \frac{1}{N}N^iN^kK_{jk}, \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}^i_{jk} = \Gamma^{(h)i}_{\ \ jk} + \frac{1}{N}N^iK_{jk},
\end{aligned} \tag{B8}$$

thus,

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}^c_{c0} = \frac{1}{N}N_{,0} - NK + N^i{}_{:i} = \frac{1}{N}N_{,0} + \frac{\sqrt{h}_{,0}}{\sqrt{h}}, \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}^c_{ci} = \Gamma^{(h)k}{}_{ki} + \frac{1}{N}N_{,i}. \tag{B9}$$

Riemann curvature tensor gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{R}^0{}_{00i} &= -\frac{1}{N}K_{ij,0}N^j - \frac{1}{N}N_{,i:j}N^j + \frac{1}{N}K_{jk:i}N^jN^k + \frac{1}{N}K_{jk}N^k{}_{:i}N^j + \frac{1}{N}K_{ik}N^k{}_{:j}N^j - K_{ij}K^{jk}N_k,\\
\widetilde{R}^0{}_{0ij} &= \frac{1}{N}\left(K^k_{i:j} - K^k_{j:i}\right)N_k,\\
\widetilde{R}^0{}_{i0j} &= -\frac{1}{N}K_{ij,0} - \frac{1}{N}N_{,i:j} - K^k_iK_{jk} + \frac{1}{N}K^k_{i:j}N_k + \frac{1}{N}K_{ik}N^k{}_{:j} + \frac{1}{N}K_{jk}N^k{}_{:i}, \quad \widetilde{R}^0{}_{ijk} = \frac{1}{N}\left(K_{ij:k} - K_{ik:j}\right),\\
\widetilde{R}^i{}_{00j} &= R^{(h)i}{}_{k\ell j}N^kN^\ell - N\left(K^i_{j,0} + K^i_{j:k}N^k\right) - NN^{:i}{}_j - K^i_jK_{k\ell}N^kN^\ell + NK^k_j\left(NK^i_k - N^i{}_{:k}\right)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{N}N^i\left(N^kK_{jk,0} + N_{,k:j}N^k - K_{k\ell:j}N^kN^\ell - K_{k\ell}N^kN^\ell{}_{:j} + NK_{jk}K^{k\ell}N_\ell - K_{jk}N^{k:\ell}N_\ell\right)\\
&\quad + N\left(K^{ik}{}_{:j} + K_{jk}{}^{:i}\right)N_k + NK^{ik}N_{k:j} + K_{jk}K^i_\ell N^kN^\ell,\\
\widetilde{R}^i{}_{0jk} &= 2NK^i_{[j:k]} - 2N^i{}_{:[jk]} - 2\frac{1}{N}N^iN^\ell K_{\ell[j:k]} + 2N^\ell K^i_{[j}K_{k]\ell},\\
\widetilde{R}^i{}_{j0k} &= R^{(h)i}{}_{j\ell k}N^\ell + \frac{1}{N}N^iK_{jk,0} + K^{i\ell}N_\ell K_{jk} + \frac{1}{N}N_{,j:k}N^i - \frac{1}{N}N^iN^\ell K_{j\ell:k} - \frac{1}{N}N^i\left(N^\ell{}_{:k}K_{j\ell} + N^\ell{}_{:j}K_{k\ell}\right)\\
&\quad - K^i_kK_{j\ell}N^\ell + N^iK^\ell_jK_{k\ell} + N\left(K_{kj}{}^{:i} - K^i_{k:j}\right), \quad \widetilde{R}^i{}_{jk\ell} = R^{(h)i}{}_{jk\ell} + 2K^i_{[k}K_{\ell]j} - 2\frac{1}{N}N^iK_{j[k:\ell]},
\end{aligned} \tag{B10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{R}_{0i0j} &= R^{(h)}_{ikj\ell}N^kN^\ell + N\left[K_{ij,0} + K_{ij:k}N^k + N_{,i:j} - \left(K_{ki:j} + K_{kj:i}\right)N^k - \left(K_{ki}N^k{}_{:j} + K_{kj}N^k{}_{:i}\right)\right]\\
&\quad + N^2K^k_iK_{jk} + \left(K_{ij}K_{k\ell} - K_{ik}K_{j\ell}\right)N^kN^\ell,\\
\widetilde{R}_{0ijk} &= N^\ell\left(R^{(h)}_{\ell ijk} - 2K_{i[j}K_{k]\ell}\right) - 2NK_{i[j:k]}, \quad \widetilde{R}_{ijk\ell} = R^{(h)}_{ijk\ell} + 2K_{i[k}K_{\ell]j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B11}$$

Ricci tensor gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{R}_{00} &= N\left(K_{,0} + K_{,i}N^i + N^{:i}{}_i - NK^{ij}K_{ij} - 2N_jK^{ij}{}_{:i}\right)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{N}N^iN^j\left(-K_{ij,0} - N_{,i|j} + K_{jk|i}N^k + 2K_{jk}N^k{}_{|i} + NKK_{ij} - 2NK^k_iK_{jk} + NR^{(h)}_{ij}\right),\\
\widetilde{R}_{0i} &= NK_{,i} - NK^j_{i:j} + R^{(h)}_{ij}N^j - \frac{1}{N}K_{ij,0}N^j + \frac{1}{N}K_{ij:k}N^jN^k + K_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{N}N^j{}_{|k}N^k + KN^j\right)\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{N}N_{,i:j}N^j + \frac{1}{N}K_{jk}N^jN^k{}_{:i} - 2K_{ij}K^j_kN^k,\\
\widetilde{R}_{ij} &= R^{(h)}_{ij} - \frac{1}{N}K_{ij,0} - \frac{1}{N}N_{,i:j} + KK_{ij} - 2K_{ik}K^k_j + \frac{1}{N}\left(K_{ik}N^k{}_{:j} + K_{jk}N^k{}_{:i}\right) + \frac{1}{N}K_{ij:k}N^k,
\end{aligned} \tag{B12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{R}^0_0 &= -\frac{1}{N}\left(K_{,0} + N^{:i}{}_i - K^j_{i:j}N^i - NK^{ij}K_{ij}\right), \quad \widetilde{R}^0_i = \frac{1}{N}\left(K^j_{i:j} - K_{,i}\right),\\
\widetilde{R}^i_j &= R^{(h)i}{}_j - \frac{1}{N}\left(K^i_{j,0} - K^i_{j:k}N^k\right) - \frac{1}{N}N^{:i}{}_j + KK^i_j + \frac{1}{N}\left(K^i_kN^k{}_{:j} - K^k_jN^i{}_{:k}\right) + \frac{N^i}{N}\left(K_{,j} - K^k_{j:k}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{B13}$$

Scalar curvature gives

$$\widetilde{R} = R^{(h)} + K_{ij}K^{ij} + K^2 - \frac{2}{N}\left(K_{,0} - K_{,i}N^i + N^{:i}{}_i\right). \tag{B14}$$

The electric and magnetic parts of conformal tensors will be presented later in Eqs. (B26) and (B27).

1. ADM equations

The ADM equations can be derived directly from Einstein equations. The $\widetilde{G}^0_i$ component of Einstein's equation gives

$$\overline{K}^j_{i:j} - \frac{2}{3}K_{,i} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}J_i. \tag{B15}$$

The $\widetilde{G}^0_0$ component of Einstein's equation using Eq. (B15) gives

$$R^{(h)} = \overline{K}_{ij}\overline{K}^{ij} - \frac{2}{3}K^2 + \frac{16\pi G}{c^4}E + 2\Lambda. \tag{B16}$$

The trace of Einstein's equation using Eqs. (B15) and (B16) gives

$$\frac{1}{N}\left(K_{,0} - K_{,i}N^i\right) + \frac{1}{N}N^{:i}{}_{i} - \overline{K}_{ij}\overline{K}^{ij} - \frac{1}{3}K^2 - \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\left(E+S\right) + \Lambda = 0. \tag{B17}$$

A tracefree combination of Einstein'sequation $\widetilde{R}^i_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\widetilde{R}^k_k$, using Eq. (B15) gives

$$\frac{1}{N}\left(\overline{K}^i_{j,0} - \overline{K}^i_{j:k}N^k + \overline{K}_{jk}N^{i:k} - \overline{K}^i_k N^k{}_{:j}\right) = K\overline{K}^i_j - \frac{1}{N}\left(N^{:i}{}_{j} - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j N^{:k}{}_{k}\right) + \overline{R}^{(h)i}{}_{j} - \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\overline{S}^i_j. \tag{B18}$$

From $\widetilde{n}_a\widetilde{T}^{ab}{}_{;b} = 0$ and $\widetilde{T}^b_{i;b} = 0$, we have the energy and momentum conservation equations

$$\frac{1}{N}\left(E_{,0} - E_{,i}N^i\right) - K\left(E + \frac{1}{3}S\right) - \bar{S}^{ij}\bar{K}_{ij} + \frac{1}{N^2}\left(N^2J^i\right)_{:i} = 0. \tag{B19}$$

$$\frac{1}{N}\left(J_{i,0} - J_{i:j}N^j - J_jN^j{}_{:i}\right) - KJ_i + \frac{1}{N}EN_{,i} + S^j_{i:j} + \frac{1}{N}N_{,j}S^j_i = 0. \tag{B20}$$

Equations (B15)-(B20) are a complete set of ADM equations. The continuity equation is based on the fluid four vector; $(\overline{\varrho}\widetilde{u}^c)_{;c} \equiv 0$ gives

$$\left(\sqrt{h}D\right)_{,0} + \left[\sqrt{h}D\left(NV^i - N^i\right)\right]_{,i} = 0. \tag{B21}$$

Equations (B19)-(B21) can be written in conservative forms as

$$\left(\sqrt{h}E\right)_{,0} + \left[\sqrt{h}\left(NJ^i - N^iE\right)\right]_{,i} = \sqrt{h}\left(NS^{ij}K_{ij} - N_{,i}J^i\right), \tag{B22}$$

$$\left(\sqrt{h}J_i\right)_{,0} + \left[\sqrt{h}\left(NS^j_i - N^jJ_i\right)\right]_{,j} = \sqrt{h}\left(\frac{1}{2}NS^{jk}h_{jk,i} + J_jN^j{}_{,i} - EN_{,i}\right). \tag{B23}$$

Using

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv E - Dc^2, \tag{B24}$$

the energy conservation equation can be written as

$$\left(\sqrt{h}\mathcal{E}\right)_{,0} + \left[\sqrt{h}\left(NJ^i - Dc^2NV^i - N^i\mathcal{E}\right)\right]_{,i} = \sqrt{h}\left(NS^{ij}K_{ij} - N_{,i}J^i\right). \tag{B25}$$

In the presence of multiple component of fluids and fields, the fluid quantities in the ADM equations are collective ones with $E = E^{\rm FL} + E^{\rm SF} + E^{\rm EM}$, etc. Instead of the conservation equations for collective component in Eqs. (B19), (B20), (B22)-(B25) we can also use the ones for individual component. For the fluid, we have Eqs. (84)-(87) and (91). For the EM field, we have the Maxwell equations in (65)-(68). For the SF we have the equation of motion in Eq. (74).

2. Weyl equations

The electric and magnetic parts of conformal tensors based on the normal-frame are

$$\widetilde{E}^{(n)i}{}_{j} \equiv \overline{E}^i_j = \frac{1}{2N}\left(\overline{K}^i_{j,0} - \overline{K}^i_{j:k}N^k + \overline{K}^k_jN^i{}_{:k} - \overline{K}^i_kN^k{}_{:j} + N^{:i}{}_{j} - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_jN^{:k}{}_{k}\right)$$
$$-\frac{1}{6}K\overline{K}^i_j - \overline{K}^i_k\overline{K}^k_j + \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\overline{K}^k_\ell\overline{K}^\ell_k + \frac{1}{2}\overline{R}^{(h)i}{}_{j}, \tag{B26}$$

$$\widetilde{H}^{(n)i}{}_{j} \equiv \overline{H}^i_j = \overline{\eta}^{ik\ell}\left[K_{jk:\ell} + \frac{1}{2}h_{j\ell}\left(K_{,k} - K^m_{k:m}\right)\right]. \tag{B27}$$

Using Eqs. (B16), (B18) and (B15), these become

$$\overline{E}^i_j = \overline{R}^{(h)i}{}_j + \frac{1}{3}K\overline{K}^i_j - \left(\overline{K}^i_k\overline{K}^k_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\overline{K}^k_\ell\overline{K}^\ell_k\right) - \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\overline{S}^i_j$$
$$= R^{(h)i}{}_j + KK^i_j - K^i_kK^k_j - \left(\frac{16\pi G}{3c^4}E + \frac{2}{3}\Lambda\right)\delta^i_j - \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\overline{S}^i_j, \tag{B28}$$

$$\overline{H}^i_j = \overline{\eta}^{ik\ell}\left(K_{jk:\ell} - \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}h_{j\ell}J_k\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{\eta}^{ik\ell}K_{jk:\ell} + \overline{\eta}_j{}^{k\ell}K^i_{k:\ell}\right). \tag{B29}$$

Equations (A29)-(A32) give the quasi-Maxwellian equations expressed in terms of the electric and magnetic parts of Weyl tensor in the normal-frame

$$\overline{E}^j_{i:j} + \overline{\eta}_i{}^{jk}\overline{K}^\ell_j\overline{H}_{k\ell} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left[\frac{1}{3}E_{,i} - \frac{1}{2}\left(K_{ij} - Kh_{ij}\right)J^j - \frac{1}{2}\overline{S}^j_{i:j}\right], \tag{B30}$$

$$\overline{H}^j_{i:j} = \overline{\eta}_i{}^{jk}\left(K^\ell_jR^{(h)}_{k\ell} + \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}J_{j:k}\right), \tag{B31}$$

$$\frac{1}{N}\left(\overline{E}_{ij,0} - \overline{E}_{ij:k}N^k\right) - 2K\overline{E}_{ij} + \left(5K^k_{(i} - \frac{2}{N}N^k{}_{:(i}\right)\overline{E}_{j)k} - h_{ij}K^k_\ell\overline{E}^\ell_k + \overline{\eta}_{(i}{}^{k\ell}\left(\overline{H}_{j)k:\ell} - \frac{2}{N}N_{,k}\overline{H}_{j)\ell}\right)$$
$$= \frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\left\{\left(E + \frac{1}{3}S\right)\overline{K}_{ij} - J_{(i:j)} - \frac{2}{N}N_{,(i}J_{j)} - \frac{1}{N}\left(\overline{S}_{ij,0} - \overline{S}_{ij:k}N^k\right) - \left(K^k_{(i} - \frac{2}{N}N^k{}_{:(i}\right)\overline{S}_{j)k}\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}\left(J^k{}_{:k} + \frac{2}{N}N_{,k}J^k - K^k_\ell\overline{S}^\ell_k\right)\right\}, \tag{B32}$$

$$\frac{1}{N}\left(\overline{H}_{ij,0} - \overline{H}_{ij:k}N^k\right) - 2K\overline{H}_{ij} + \left(5K^k_{(i} - \frac{2}{N}N^k{}_{:(i}\right)\overline{H}_{j)k} - h_{ij}K^k_\ell\overline{H}^\ell_k - \overline{\eta}_{(i}{}^{k\ell}\left(\overline{E}_{j)k:\ell} - \frac{2}{N}N_{,k}\overline{E}_{j)\ell}\right)$$
$$= -\frac{4\pi G}{c^4}\overline{\eta}_{(i}{}^{k\ell}\left(K_{j)k}J_\ell + \overline{S}_{j)k:\ell}\right). \tag{B33}$$

Using Eq. (B29), Eq. (B30) can be written as

$$\overline{E}^j_{i:j} = K^{jk}\left(K_{jk:i} - K_{ij:k}\right) + \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\left[\frac{1}{3}E_{,i} - \left(K_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}Kh_{ij}\right)J^j - \frac{1}{2}\overline{S}^j_{i:j}\right]. \tag{B34}$$

Equations (B30) and (B34) give the Bianchi identity $R^{(h)j}{}_{i:j} = \frac{1}{2}R^{(h)}_{,i}$, and Eq. (B31) follows from Eq. (B29).

Appendix C: FNLE formulation

The metric is in Eq. (92). The FNLE fluid quantities are defined in terms of the ADM fluid quantities as

$$E, \quad S, \quad J_i \equiv acm_i, \quad S_{ij} \equiv a^2(1+2\varphi)m_{ij}, \quad \overline{S}_{ij} \equiv a^2(1+2\varphi)\overline{m}_{ij}, \tag{C1}$$

where indices of m_i and m_{ij} are raised and lowered using δ_{ij} as the metric and its inverse; we introduce $\overline{m}_{ij} \equiv m_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}m^k_k$ with $m^k_k = S$.

We use the ADM formulation. The ADM metric quantities can be expressed in terms of the FNLE notation as

$$N \equiv -\left(\widetilde{g}^{00}\right)^{-1/2} = a\sqrt{1 + 2\alpha + \frac{\chi^k\chi_k}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}} \equiv a\mathcal{N}, \quad N_i \equiv \widetilde{g}_{0i} = -a\chi_i, \quad N^i = -\frac{\chi^i}{a(1+2\varphi)},$$
$$h_{ij} \equiv \widetilde{g}_{ij} = a^2\left(1+2\varphi\right)\delta_{ij}, \quad h^{ij} = \frac{1}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\delta^{ij}. \tag{C2}$$

The inverse metric, three-space connection and curvatures are given by

$$\widetilde{g}^{00}=-\frac{1}{a^2\mathcal{N}^2},\quad \widetilde{g}^{0i}=-\frac{\chi^i}{a^3\mathcal{N}^2(1+2\varphi)},\quad \widetilde{g}^{ij}=\frac{1}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\left(\delta^{ij}-\frac{\chi^i\chi^j}{a^2\mathcal{N}^2(1+2\varphi)}\right), \tag{C3}$$

$$\Gamma^{(h)i}_{\ \ jk}=\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\varphi_{,j}\delta^i_k+\varphi_{,k}\delta^i_j-\varphi^{,i}\delta_{jk}\right),\quad \Gamma^{(h)k}_{\ \ ik}=\frac{3\varphi_{,i}}{1+2\varphi}, \tag{C4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}R^{(h)i}_{\ \ jk\ell}&=\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\varphi_{,jk}\delta^i_\ell-\varphi^{,i}_{\ \ k}\delta_{j\ell}-\varphi_{,j\ell}\delta^i_k+\varphi^{,i}_{\ \ \ell}\delta_{jk}\right)\\&\quad+\frac{1}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\left(-3\varphi_{,k}\varphi_{,j}\delta^i_\ell+3\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,k}\delta_{j\ell}+3\varphi_{,j}\varphi_{,\ell}\delta^i_k-3\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,l}\delta_{jk}-\varphi^{,m}\varphi_{,m}\delta^i_k\delta_{j\ell}+\varphi^{,m}\varphi_{,m}\delta^i_\ell\delta_{jk}\right),\\R^{(h)}_{ij}&=-\frac{\varphi_{,ij}}{1+2\varphi}+3\frac{\varphi_{,i}\varphi_{,j}}{(1+2\varphi)^2}-\left(\frac{\varphi^{,k}_{\ \ k}}{1+2\varphi}-\frac{\varphi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\right)\delta_{ij},\\R^{(h)}&=\frac{2}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\left(-2\varphi^{,k}_{\ \ k}+3\frac{\varphi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}}{1+2\varphi}\right),\\\overline{R}^{(h)i}_{\ \ j}&\equiv R^{(h)i}_{\ \ j}-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_jR^{(h)}=\frac{1}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\left[-\varphi^{,i}_{\ \ j}+3\frac{\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,j}}{1+2\varphi}-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\left(-\varphi^{,k}_{\ \ k}+3\frac{\varphi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}}{1+2\varphi}\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{C5}$$

We have the extrinsic curvature as

$$\begin{aligned}K_{ij}&=-\frac{a^2}{\mathcal{N}}\left[\frac{1}{c}\left(H+\dot{\varphi}+2H\varphi\right)\delta_{ij}+\frac{1}{2a^2}\left(\chi_{i,j}+\chi_{j,i}\right)-\frac{1}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\left(\chi_i\varphi_{,j}+\chi_j\varphi_{,i}-\chi^k\varphi_{,k}\delta_{ij}\right)\right],\\K&=-\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\left[\frac{3}{c}\left(H+\dot{\varphi}+2H\varphi\right)+\frac{1}{a^2}\chi^k_{\ \ ,k}+\frac{\chi^k\varphi_{,k}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\right]\equiv\frac{1}{c}\left(-3H+\kappa\right),\\\overline{K}^i_j&\equiv K^i_j-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_jK=-\frac{1}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi^i_{\ \ ,j}+\chi_j^{\ \ ,i}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\chi^k_{\ \ ,k}-\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\chi^i\varphi_{,j}+\chi_j\varphi^{,i}-\frac{2}{3}\delta^i_j\chi^k\varphi_{,k}\right)\right],\\\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i&=\frac{1}{a^4\mathcal{N}^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\chi^{i,j}\left(\chi_{i,j}+\chi_{j,i}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\chi^i_{\ \ ,i}\chi^j_{\ \ ,j}\right.\\&\quad\left.-\frac{4}{1+2\varphi}\left[\frac{1}{2}\chi^i\varphi^{,j}\left(\chi_{i,j}+\chi_{j,i}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\chi^i_{\ \ ,i}\chi^j\varphi_{,j}\right]+\frac{2}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\left(\chi^i\chi_i\varphi^{,j}\varphi_{,j}+\frac{1}{3}\chi^i\chi^j\varphi_{,i}\varphi_{,j}\right)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{C6}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\eta}^{0ijk}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\eta^{ijk}=\frac{1}{a^4\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}}\eta^{ijk},\quad \widetilde{\eta}_{0ijk}=-\sqrt{-g}\eta_{ijk}=-a^4\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}\eta_{ijk};\\&\overline{\eta}^{ijk}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\eta^{ijk}=\frac{1}{a^3(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}}\eta^{ijk},\quad \overline{\eta}_{ijk}=\sqrt{h}\eta_{ijk}=a^3(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}\eta_{ijk};\\&\eta^{ijk}\eta_{\ell mn}=6\delta^i_{[\ell}\delta^j_m\delta^k_{n]},\quad \eta^{ijk}\eta_{i\ell m}=2\delta^j_{[\ell}\delta^k_{m]},\quad \eta^{ijk}\eta_{ij\ell}=2\delta^k_\ell,\end{aligned} \tag{C7}$$

where indices of η_{ijk} are raised and lowered by the metric δ_{ij} and its inverse.

1. FNLE equations

The ADM equations give the following. Trace of extrinsic curvature in Eq. (C6):

$$\kappa\equiv 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\right)-\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\left[3\dot{\varphi}+\frac{c}{a^2}\left(\chi^k_{\ \ ,k}+\frac{\chi^k\varphi_{,k}}{1+2\varphi}\right)\right]. \tag{C8}$$

ADM energy constraint in Eq. (B16):

$$-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}-\frac{8\pi G}{3c^2}E-\frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}\right)+\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\kappa+\frac{c^2\Delta\varphi}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^2}=\frac{1}{6}\kappa^2+\frac{3}{2}\frac{c^2\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,i}}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^3}-\frac{c^2}{4}\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i. \tag{C9}$$

ADM momentum constraint in Eq. (B15):

$$\frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{c}\kappa_{,i}+\frac{1}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\chi_i+\frac{1}{6}\chi^k{}_{,ki}\right)=\frac{1}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\Bigg\{\left(\frac{\mathcal{N}_{,j}}{\mathcal{N}}-\frac{\varphi_{,j}}{1+2\varphi}\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi^j{}_{,i}+\chi_i{}^{,j}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\delta_i^j\chi^k{}_{,k}\right]$$
$$-\frac{\varphi^{,j}}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\left(\chi_i\varphi_{,j}+\frac{1}{3}\chi_j\varphi_{,i}\right)+\frac{\mathcal{N}}{1+2\varphi}\nabla_j\left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\chi^j\varphi_{,i}+\chi_i\varphi^{,j}-\frac{2}{3}\delta_i^j\chi^k\varphi_{,k}\right)\right]\Bigg\}-\frac{8\pi G}{c^3}am_i. \tag{C10}$$

Trace of ADM propagation in Eq. (B17):

$$-3\left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^{\cdot}+\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}+\frac{4\pi G}{3c^2}(E+S)-\frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}\right]+\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\dot{\kappa}+2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\kappa+\frac{c^2\Delta\mathcal{N}}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}$$
$$=\frac{1}{3}\kappa^2-\frac{c}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\left(\chi^i\kappa_{,i}+c\frac{\varphi^{,i}\mathcal{N}_{,i}}{1+2\varphi}\right)+c^2\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i. \tag{C11}$$

Tracefree ADM propagation in Eq. (B18):

$$\ddot{h}^i_j+3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{h}^i_j-c^2\frac{\Delta}{a^2}h^i_j+\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\kappa+\frac{c\chi^k}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}\nabla_k\right)\Bigg\{\frac{c}{a^2\mathcal{N}(1+2\varphi)}$$
$$\times\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi^i{}_{,j}+\chi_j{}^{,i}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\chi^k{}_{,k}-\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\chi^i\varphi_{,j}+\chi_j\varphi^{,i}-\frac{2}{3}\delta^i_j\chi^k\varphi_{,k}\right)\right]\Bigg\}$$
$$-\frac{c^2}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\left[\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\nabla^i\nabla_j-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\Delta\right)\varphi+\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\nabla^i\nabla_j-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\Delta\right)\mathcal{N}\right]$$
$$=-\frac{c^2}{a^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\left[\frac{3}{1+2\varphi}\left(\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,j}-\frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j\varphi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}\right)+\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\left(\varphi^{,i}\mathcal{N}_{,j}+\varphi_{,j}\mathcal{N}^{,i}-\frac{2}{3}\delta^i_j\varphi^{,k}\mathcal{N}_{,k}\right)\right]$$
$$+\frac{c^2}{a^4\mathcal{N}^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi^{i,k}\chi_{j,k}-\chi_{k,j}\chi^{k,i}\right)+\frac{1}{1+2\varphi}\left(\chi^{k,i}\chi_k\varphi_{,j}-\chi^{i,k}\chi_j\varphi_{,k}+\chi_{k,j}\chi^k\varphi^{,i}-\chi_{j,k}\chi^i\varphi^{,k}\right)$$
$$+\frac{2}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\left(\chi^i\chi_j\varphi^{,k}\varphi_{,k}-\chi^k\chi_k\varphi^{,i}\varphi_{,j}\right)\Bigg]+\frac{8\pi G}{c^2}\overline{m}^i_j, \tag{C12}$$

where we introduced a transverse-tracefree part of the spatial metric h_{ij} only to the linear order; we introduce $g_{ij}=a^2[(1+2\varphi)\delta_{ij}+2h_{ij}]$ where $h^i_i=0=h^j_{i,j}$ with indices of h_{ij} raised and lowered by δ_{ij} and its inverse. For FNLE formulation including the transverse-tracefree mode to fully nonlinear and exact order, see [28]. We have

$$\overline{K}^i_j\overline{K}^j_i=\frac{1}{a^4\mathcal{N}^2(1+2\varphi)^2}\Bigg\{\frac{1}{2}\chi^{i,j}\left(\chi_{i,j}+\chi_{j,i}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\chi^i{}_{,i}\chi^j{}_{,j}-\frac{4}{1+2\varphi}\left[\frac{1}{2}\chi^i\varphi^{,j}\left(\chi_{i,j}+\chi_{j,i}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\chi^i{}_{,i}\chi^j\varphi_{,j}\right]$$
$$+\frac{2}{(1+2\varphi)^2}\left(\chi^i\chi_i\varphi^{,j}\varphi_{,j}+\frac{1}{3}\chi^i\chi^j\varphi_{,i}\varphi_{,j}\right)\Bigg\}. \tag{C13}$$

The ADM energy, momentum and mass conservation equations follow from Eqs. (B21)-(B23)

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\left[a^3(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}E\right]^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{a}\left[(1+2\varphi)^{1/2}\left(\mathcal{N}c^2m^i+\frac{c}{a}\chi^iE\right)\right]_{,i}=-\frac{1}{a}(1+2\varphi)^{1/2}\mathcal{N}_{,i}c^2m^i$$
$$-(1+2\varphi)^{1/2}\left[\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}+\dot{\varphi}+2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\varphi\right)\delta^{ij}+\frac{c}{a^2}\chi^{i,j}-\frac{c}{a^2(1+2\varphi)}\left(2\chi^i\varphi^{,j}-\delta^{ij}\chi^k\varphi_{,k}\right)\right]m_{ij}, \tag{C14}$$

$$\frac{1}{a^4}\left[a^4(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}m_i\right]^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{a}\left[(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}\left(\mathcal{N}m^j_i+\frac{c\chi^jm_i}{a(1+2\varphi)}\right)\right]_{,j}$$
$$=\frac{1}{a}(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}\left[\frac{\mathcal{N}\varphi_{,i}}{1+2\varphi}S-\mathcal{N}_{,i}E-\frac{c}{a}\left(\frac{\chi^j}{1+2\varphi}\right)_{,i}m_j\right], \tag{C15}$$

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\left[a^3(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}D\right]^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{a}\left[\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\left(\mathcal{N}v^i+\frac{c}{a}\chi^i\right)D\right]_{,i}=0, \tag{C16}$$

where $D\equiv\overline{\varrho}\gamma$. Using $\mathcal{E}\equiv E-Dc^2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\left[a^3(1+2\varphi)^{3/2}\mathcal{E}\right]^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{a}\left\{\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\left[\mathcal{N}c^2(m^i-Dv^i)+\frac{c}{a}\chi^i\mathcal{E}\right]\right\}_{,i}=-\frac{1}{a}\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\mathcal{N}_{,i}c^2m^i$$
$$-\sqrt{1+2\varphi}\left[(H+\dot{\varphi}+2H\varphi)S-\frac{c}{a^2}\frac{\chi^i\varphi_{,i}}{1+2\varphi}S+\frac{c}{a^2}m^{ij}\left(\chi_{i,j}-\frac{2\chi_i\varphi_{,j}}{1+2\varphi}\right)\right]. \tag{C17}$$

The ADM related fluid quantities E, S, m_i and m_{ij} will be determined in terms of the fluid quantities in the comoving frame for FL, the SF and the EM field in Eqs. (117), (120) and (121), respectively.

To the background order, Eqs. (C9), (C11), (C14) and (C16), respectively, give

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^2}E + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}, \quad \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3c^2}(E+S) + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}, \quad \dot{E} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}(E+S) = 0, \quad \dot{\overline{\varrho}} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\overline{\varrho} = 0, \tag{C18}$$

where, from Eqs. (117), (120) and (121), we have

$$E = \mu + \frac{1}{2c^2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V, \quad S = 3\left(p + \frac{1}{2c^2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V\right), \tag{C19}$$

with $\mu \equiv \overline{\varrho}\left(c^2 + \Pi\right)$. The EM field cannot be accommodated in the Friedmann background.

[1] P. Sikivie, Experimental tests of the invisible axion, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1415 (1983).

[2] F. Krause and K.-H., Rädler, *Mean-Field Magnetohydrodynamics and Dynamo Theory* (Pergamon Press, 1980); H.K. Moffatt, *Magnetic field generation in electrically conducting fluids* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983); A.R. Choudhuri, *The physics of fluids and plasmas* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998).

[3] U. Frisch, A. Pouquet, J. Leórat and A. Mazure, Possibility of an inverse cascade of magnetic helicity in magnetohydrodynamic turbulence, J. Fluid Mech. **68**, 769 (1975).

[4] A. Brandenburg and K. Subramanian, Astrophysical magnetic fields and nonlinear dynamo theory, Phys. Rep. **417**, 1 (2005).

[5] D. Grasso and H.R. Rubinstein, Magnetic fields in the early universe, Phys. Rep. **348**, 163 (2001).

[6] L.M. Widrow, Origin of galactic and extragalactic magnetic fields, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 775 (2002).

[7] M. Giovannini, The magnetized universe, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **13**, 391 (2004).

[8] R. Durrer and A. Neronov, Cosmological magnetic fields: their generation, evolution and observation, Astron. Astrophys. Rev. **21**, 62 (2013).

[9] K. Subramanian, The origin, evolution and signatures of primordial magnetic fields, Rep. Prog. Phys. **79**, 076901 (2016).

[10] T. Vachaspati, Progress on cosmological magnetic fields, Rep. Prog. Phys., **84**, 074901, (2021).

[11] I.G. Irastorza and J. Redondo, New experimental approaches in the search for axion-like particles, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **102**, 89 (2018); P. Sikivie, Invisible axion search methods, Rev. Mod. Phys. **93**, 015004 (2021).

[12] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Axion electrodynamics and magnetohydrodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **106**, 023503 (2022).

[13] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Axion cosmology with post-Newtonian corrections, Phys. Rev. D **??**, ?? (2023).

[14] J. Ehlers, Contributions to the relativistic mechanics of continuous medis, Proceedings of the mathematical-natural science of the Mainz academy of science and literature, Nr. **11**, 792 (1961), translated in Gen. Rel. Grav. **25**, 1225 (1993).

[15] G.F.R. Ellis, Relativistic cosmology, in *General relativity and cosmology, Proceedings of the international summer school of physics Enrico Fermi course 47*, edited by R.K. Sachs (Academic Press, New York, 1971), Republished in Gen. Rel. Grav. **41**, 581 (2009).

[16] G.F.R. Ellis, Relativistic cosmology, in *Cargese Lectures in Physics*, edited by E. Schatzmann (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1973).

[17] S.W. Hawking, Perturbations of an expanding universe, Astrophys. J. **145**, 544 (1966).

[18] E. Bertschinger, Cosmological dynamics, astro-ph/9503125 (1995).

[19] F. Wilczek, Two applications of axion electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1799 (1987).

[20] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C.W. Misner, The dynamics of general relativity, in Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research, edited by L. Witten (Wiley, New York, 1962), p.227.

[21] J.M. Bardeen, Gauge-invariant cosmological perturbations, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 1882 (1980).

[22] F. Banyuls, et al., Numerical $\{3+1\}$ general relativistic hydrodynamics: a local characteristic approach, Astrophys. J. **476**, 221 (1997).

[23] J.R. Wilson and G.J. Mathews, *Relativistic numerical hydrodynamics*, (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003).

[24] T.W. Baumgarte and S.L. Shapiro, *Numerical relativity: solving Einstein's equations on the computer* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010); M. Shibata, *Numerical Relativity* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2015).

[25] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Fully non-linear and exact perturbations of the Friedmann world model, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **433**, 3472 (2013).

[26] H. Noh, Fully nonlinear and exact perturbations of the Friedmann world model: non-flat background, JCAP. **07**, 037 (2014).

[27] J. Hwang, H. Noh and C.-G. Park, Fully non-linear cosmological perturbations of multicomponent fluid and field systems, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **461**, 3239 (2016).

[28] J.-O. Gong, et al., Exact non-linear equations for cosmological perturbations, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **10**, 027 (2017).

[29] J.M. Bardeen, Cosmological perturbations, from quantum fluctuations to large scale structure, in L. Fang and A. Zee, eds., *Particle Physics and Cosmology*, (Gordon and Breach, London, 1988).

[30] H. Noh and J. Hwang, Second-order perturbations of the Friedmann world model, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 104011 (2004).

[31] S. Chandrasekhar, The post-Newtonian equations of hydrodynamics in general relativity, Astrophys. J., **142**, 1488 (1965); J. Hwang, H. Noh, and D. Puetzfeld, Cosmological non-linear hydrodynamics with post-Newtonian corrections, JCAP, **03**, 010 (2008).

[32] E.G. Blackman, Magnetic Helicity and Large Scale Magnetic Fields: A Primer, Space Sci. Rev. **188**, 59 (2015).

[33] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Special relativistic hydrodynamics with gravitation, Astrophys. J. **833**, 180 (2016).

[34] H. Noh, J. Hwang and M. Bucher, Special relativistic magnetohydrodynamics with gravitation, Astrophys. J. **877**, 124 (2019).

[35] R.C. Tolman, Static solutions of Einstein's field equations for spheres of fluid, Phys. Rev. **55**, 364 (1939).

[36] J.R. Oppenheimer and G.M. Volkoff, On massive neutron cores, Phys. Rev. **55**, 374 (1939).

[37] H. Weyl, *Space, Time, Matter* (Dover, 1922) Section 23, Eq. (45).

[38] C. Eckart, The thermodynamics of irreversible processes III. Relativistic theory of the simple fluid, Phys. Rev. **58**, 919 (1940).

[39] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 2nd ed. (New York, Wiley, 1975).

[40] B.V. Somov, *Fundamentals of Cosmic Electrodynamics* (Springer, Dordrecht, 1994); *Cosmic Plasma Physics* (Springer, Dordrecht, 2000); *Plasma Astrophysics, Part I, Fundamentals and Practice*, 2nd edition (Springer, New York, 2012).

[41] G.B. Field and S.M. Carroll, Cosmological magnetic fields from primordial helicity, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 103008 (2000).

[42] L. Campanelli and M. Giannotti, Magnetic helicity generation from the cosmic axion field, Phys. Rev. D, **72**, 123001 (2005).

[43] A.J. Long and T. Vachaspati, Implications of a primordial magnetic field for magnetic monopoles, axions,and Dirac neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 103522 (2015).

[44] W.D. Garreston, G.B. Field and S.M. Carroll, Primordial magnetic fields from pseudo Goldstone bosons, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 5346 (1992).

[45] J.D. Barrow, R. Maarten and C.G. Tsagas, Cosmology with inhomogeneous magnetic fields, Phys. Rep. **449**, 13 (2007).

[46] E. Poisson and C.M. Will, *Gravity: Newtonian, Post-Newtonian, Relativistic* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014).

[47] J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, Cosmology of the invisible axion, Phys. Lett. B **120**, 127 (1983); L.F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, A cosmological bound on the invisible axion, Phys. Lett. B **120**, 133 (1983); M. Dine and W. Fischler, The not so harmless axion, Phys. Lett. B **120**, 137 (1983).

[48] M.Y. Khlopov, B.A. Malomed, and Y.B. Zeldovich, Gravitational instability of scalar fields and formation of primordial black holes, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc., **215**, 575 (1985); M. Bianchi, D. Grasso, and R. Ruffini, Jeans mass of a cosmological coherent scalar field, Astron. Astrophys., **231**, 301, (1990); P. Sikivie and Q. Yang, Bose-Einstein condensation of dark matter axions, Phys. Rev. Lett., **103**, 111301 (2009); J. Hwang and H. Noh, Axion as a cold dark matter candidate, Phys. Lett. B, **680**, 1 (2009); H. Noh, J. Hwang, and C-G. Park, Axion as a cold dark matter candidate: Proof to fully nonlinear order, Astrophy. J. **846**, 1 (2017).

[49] W. Hu, R. Barkana and A. Gruzinov, Cold and fuzzy dark matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1158 (2000).

[50] D.J.E. Marsh, Axion cosmology, Phys. Rep. **643**, 1 (2016); J.C. Niemeyer, Small-scale structure of fuzzy and axion-like dark matter, Prog. Particle Nucl. Phys. **113**, 103787 (2020); E.G.M. Ferreira, Ultra-light dark matter, Astron. Astrophys. Rev. **29**, 7 (2020); L. Hui, Wave dark matter, Ann. Rev. of Astron. Astrophys. **59**, 247 (2021).

[51] O. Klein, Quantentheorie und fünfdimensionale Relativitätstheorie (in German), Z. Phys. **37**, 895 (1926).

[52] J. Hwang and H. Noh, Axion as a fuzzy dark matter candidate: Proofs in different gauges, JCAP, **03**, 001 (2022).

[53] E. Madelung, Quantentheorie in hydrodynamischer Form (in German), Z. Phys. **40**, 322 (1927).

[54] D. Bohm, A suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of "hidden" variables. I and II, Phys. Rev. **85**, 166 and 180 (1952).