

Deviations to $\mu - \tau$ symmetry in a cobimaximal scenario

Diana C. Rivera-Agudelo^a, S. L. Tostado^a

^a*Universidad Santiago de Cali, Facultad de Ciencias Básicas, Campus Pampalinda, Calle 5 No. 62-00, Código Postal 76001, Santiago de Cali, Colombia*

Abstract

Within the different patterns of the neutrino mixing matrix, the cobimaximal mixing remains a plausible possibility for understanding the flavor structure of neutrinos as it is consistent with current experimental data. Such a pattern is related to a concrete form of the mass matrix, displaying a $\mu - \tau$ reflection symmetry, which has motivated many theoretical investigations in recent years. In this paper, we discuss the effects of the Majorana phases over a $\mu - \tau$ symmetric mass matrix obtained from a cobimaximal mixing matrix. We investigate how these phases could be restricted through deviations from the symmetric scenario and the most precise determinations of the mixing parameters. Some of our relations could be tested with future results.

1. Introduction

Neutrino mixing phenomena are resumed in the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrix [1, 2]. Global fits have reached the percent level in determining the mixing angles, confirming the massive nature of neutrinos. Also, there seem to be some hints leading to a non-zero CP phase [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8], but no major information can be obtained for the Majorana CP phases in oscillation experiments. Nevertheless, neutrinoless double beta decay experiments could be sensitive to the Majorana phases [9], which, in addition, could help elucidating the neutrino mass spectrum.

In the standard form, the PMNS matrix can be written in the following way

$$U_{\text{PMNS}} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} + c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{12}c_{23} + s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & -s_{23}c_{13} \\ -s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{12}s_{23} - c_{23}s_{12}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \times \text{diag} \left[1, e^{-i\frac{\beta_1}{2}}, e^{-i\frac{\beta_2}{2}} \right], \quad (1)$$

in the case of Majorana neutrinos. Here, s_{ij} and c_{ij} stand for $\sin \theta_{ij}$ and $\cos \theta_{ij}$, respectively, with θ_{ij} denoting the mixing angles θ_{12} , θ_{13} , and θ_{23} . The CP phases are then represented by δ_{CP} , β_1 and β_2 , for Dirac and Majorana phases, respectively.

Email addresses: diana.rivera11@usc.edu.co (Diana C. Rivera-Agudelo), sergio.tostado00@usc.edu.co (S. L. Tostado)

Within the theoretical efforts, a co-Bimaximal (*CBM*) mixing matrix has been motivated in the search of a symmetric structure in the masses and mixings of neutrinos [10, 11, 12, 13]. This form of the mixing matrix is obtained by considering $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\delta_{CP} = -\pi/2$ in Eq. (1), in addition with $\beta_{1,2} = 0$, *i.e.*

$$U_{CBM} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} c_{13} & s_{12} c_{13} & -i s_{13} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}(s_{12} - i c_{12} s_{13}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c_{12} + i s_{12} s_{13}) & \frac{-c_{13}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s_{12} + i c_{12} s_{13}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c_{12} - i s_{12} s_{13}) & \frac{c_{13}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Such a simple form is consistent with current determinations of θ_{23} and δ_{CP} within 3σ error intervals, leaving θ_{13} and θ_{12} free of taking the experimental values. We can observe that the second and third rows in Eq. (2) display the following relation $|U_{\mu i}| = |U_{\tau i}|$, also known as a $\mu - \tau$ symmetry. The neutrino mass matrix can be obtained from $M_\nu^0 = U_{CBM} \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3) U_{CBM}^T$, and can be resumed as [14]

$$M_\nu^0 = \begin{pmatrix} m_{ee} & m_{e\mu} & m_{e\mu}^* \\ m_{e\mu} & m_{\mu\mu} & m_{\mu\tau} \\ m_{e\mu}^* & m_{\mu\tau} & m_{\mu\mu}^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

which presents a reflection symmetry between the μ and τ labels. Such a symmetric form is then an imprint of considering a *CBM* mixing matrix in computing M_ν^0 , and could be considered as a starting point of any improved model of neutrinos masses and mixings, where the effective Majorana mass term remains invariant under the partially flavor changing *CP* transformations

$$\nu_{eL} \rightarrow (\nu_{eL})^c, \quad \nu_{\mu L} \rightarrow (\nu_{\tau L})^c, \quad \nu_{\tau L} \rightarrow (\nu_{\mu L})^c, \quad (4)$$

where $(\nu_{\alpha L})^c$ stands for the charge conjugate of $\nu_{\alpha L}$. Theoretically, the origin of this pattern may be diverse. It could be related to a non-abelian discrete symmetry, type A_4 , present at high energies, which should be broken at small energies to match as possible the experimental data (see for instance [13], and references therein). Furthermore, some corrections to this pattern have also been considered previously¹ to generate δ_{CP} and θ_{23} out of the maximal values [14, 15]. In most cases, any correction imposed to U_{CMB} would have direct consequences on the symmetric structure of M_ν^0 .

In this work, we follow a different approach by considering a *CBM* mixing matrix with Majorana *CP* phases included in the standard form. We should expect that such phases may modify, or break, the symmetry of the mass matrix without modifying the mixing angles and the Dirac phase. Hence, in Sec. 2, we investigate the effects of the Majorana phases on the entries of the neutrino mass matrix that break the underlying symmetry. We modulate the deviations from the symmetric structure with two perturbation parameters which could impose some restrictions on the Majorana *CP* phases. In Sec. 3, we follow a phenomenological approach to restrict the Majorana phases and study their effects in some physical observables. Finally, in Sec. 4 we present our main conclusions.

¹Such corrections may arise, for example, from the renormalization group equations and/or from the charged lepton sector.

2. Cobimaximal mixing and CP phases

Motivated by the experimental values of the mixing angles, let us assume in the following a *PMNS* mixing matrix of the form

$$U_{PMNS} = U_{CBM} \times \text{diag} \left[1, e^{-i\frac{\beta_1}{2}}, e^{-i\frac{\beta_2}{2}} \right], \quad (5)$$

where U_{CBM} is of the form in Eq. (2), and β_1 and β_2 the Majorana phases. The advantage of taking this parametrization is that it fulfills the current values of θ_{23} and δ_{CP} within 3σ or less, while θ_{12} and θ_{13} are free parameters, such that they can be easily accommodated within the 1σ experimental interval.

As can be expected, this form of the *PMNS* matrix allows the mass matrix to deviate from the symmetric pattern observed in Eq. (3), which is directly induced by the Majorana phases. It should be clear that in the limit $\beta_{1,2} = 0$, the symmetric pattern is restored.

Previous analysis [16, 17] has shown, in the case of a $\mu - \tau$ permutation symmetry, that departures from a symmetric mass matrix can be resumed by two parameters that measure the breaking of the equalities $m_{e\tau} = m_{e\mu}$ and $m_{\tau\tau} = m_{\mu\mu}$. In particular, some corrections to the Tri-Bi-Maximal² mixing matrix have been adopted [18, 19, 20], where the two breaking parameters of the $\mu - \tau$ permutation symmetry are related to correction angles. Then, we should anticipate that in the *CBM* case, we could follow a similar approach since the inclusion of the Majorana phases would contribute to spoiling the reflection symmetry of the mass matrix, which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been considered previously. However, deepen on the origin of these phases is out of the scope of the present work.

Let us then modulate the deviations from a symmetric mass matrix in the form

$$M_\nu = M_\nu^0 + \delta M(\delta, \epsilon), \quad (6)$$

where M_ν^0 follows the symmetric form in Eq. (3), displaying the imprints of the *CBM* mixing, whereas

$$\delta M(\delta, \epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \delta & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

modulates the deviations from the reflection symmetry. This matrix is written in terms of two breaking parameters, $\delta = m_{e\tau} - m_{e\mu}^*$ and $\epsilon = m_{\tau\tau} - m_{\mu\mu}^*$, where the mass matrix entries are now computed from $M_\nu = U_{PMNS} \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3) U_{PMNS}^T$ by using the Eq. (5). The novelty of our approach is then related to the fact of considering an underlying reflection symmetry instead of the permutation symmetry in [18], which, in addition to our particular choice of the *PMNS* matrix, lead to different expressions for the breaking parameters. It is worth noting that the corrected *CBM* mixing matrix breaks explicitly the equalities $m_{\tau\tau} = m_{\mu\mu}^*$ and $m_{e\tau} = m_{e\mu}^*$, thus, the most advantageous texture to parametrize the correction matrix, with the minimum number of parameters, is as in Eq. (7). However, the texture of the correction mass matrix could be written in a different way,

²The corrections to the Tri-Bi-Maximal mixing usually include additional mixing angles and/or *CP* phases.

for instance, by locating the breaking parameters in the $\delta M_{\mu\mu}$, $\delta M_{e\mu}$ and $\delta M_{\mu e}$ entries, but their values would not change if the modulus of these parameters is taken. We can also define the two dimensionless parameters in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\delta} &\equiv \frac{\delta}{m_{e\mu}^*} = \frac{-2}{1 - iC}, \\ \hat{\epsilon} &\equiv \frac{\epsilon}{m_{\mu\mu}^*} = \frac{-2}{1 - i\tilde{C}}.\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

It is direct to show that C and \tilde{C} are functions that can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}C &= \frac{m_3 c_{\beta_2} s_{13} - i m_1 c_{12} (s_{12} + i c_{12} s_{13}) + i m_2 c_{\beta_1} s_{12} (c_{12} - i s_{12} s_{13})}{m_3 s_{\beta_2} s_{13} + i m_2 s_{\beta_1} s_{12} (c_{12} - i s_{12} s_{13})}, \\ \tilde{C} &= \frac{m_3 c_{\beta_2} c_{13}^2 + m_1 (s_{12} + i c_{12} s_{13})^2 + m_2 c_{\beta_1} (c_{12} - i s_{12} s_{13})^2}{m_3 s_{\beta_2} c_{13}^2 + m_2 s_{\beta_1} (c_{12} - i s_{12} s_{13})^2},\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

where $s_{\beta_i} = \sin \beta_i$ and $c_{\beta_i} = \cos \beta_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, and $m_{1,2,3}$ the absolute values of neutrino masses. We can see from Eq. (8) that both parameters display the expected behavior as they depend on the Majorana CP phases through the functions in Eq. (9). It is straightforward to show that in the limit case where $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ such parameters vanish, restoring the symmetric pattern in Eq. (3). Hence, the size of the breaking of the reflection symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix is directly linked to the non-vanishing values of the Majorana phases. Small deviations from the symmetric pattern could be investigated by demanding $|\hat{\delta}|, |\hat{\epsilon}| \lesssim 1$ for the mass matrix, which is also called a slight or soft breaking of the $\mu - \tau$ symmetry [21, 22], and may help to resolve possible values of Majorana CP phases. In addition, functions C and \tilde{C} also show a dependence on the three neutrino absolute masses. We can turn these expressions in terms of the two squared mass differences, according to the neutrino mass ordering, and the lightest neutrino mass, such that some particular cases should be analyzed.

3. Numerics

Before presenting a full numerical analysis, let us consider, in the following, a semi-analytical approach and divide our discussion according to the neutrino mass ordering. For our numerical evaluations, we will follow the results in [6], but the same conclusions are obtained for other data sets.

Normal Ordering

In the case of the normal ordering (NO), we can adopt the approximate relations $|m_1| \ll |m_2| \approx \sqrt{\Delta m_{SOL}^2} \ll m_3 \approx \sqrt{\Delta m_{ATM}^2}$, being $m_1 = m_0$ the lightest neutrino mass, which we leave as a free parameter. The squared mass differences and the non-fixed angles are given at 1σ by $\Delta m_{SOL}^2 = (7.42^{+0.21}_{-0.20}) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{ATM}^2 = (2.510^{+0.027}_{-0.027}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = (3.04^{+0.12}_{-0.12}) \times 10^{-1}$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = (2.246^{+0.062}_{-0.062}) \times 10^{-2}$ [6].

A direct inspection in Eq. (9) shows that m_1 gives minor contributions to C and \tilde{C} as it is suppressed in the NO . Hence, it will not play a crucial role in determining $\hat{\delta}$ and $\hat{\epsilon}$, and can

be safely neglected in a first approximation. We can also see that, for example, in the special case where $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta$, we obtain $\hat{\delta} \approx \hat{\epsilon} \approx -2(1 - i \cot \beta)^{-1}$. It is also easy to show that the combination where one of the Majorana phases is fixed to zero leaves a similar expression for the non-zero phase. Hence, we can observe that values of β in the CP conserving limit ($0, \pm\pi$) recover the symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix of Eq. (6). On the other hand, the maximal CP violation case ($\pm\pi/2$) produces large deviations from the symmetric scenario since $|\hat{\delta}| \approx |\hat{\epsilon}| \approx 2$. We should then expect that, in the NO , if small deviations from the symmetric mass matrix are demanded, the Majorana phases should remain near the CP conserving values. This can be observed in the left plot in Fig. 1, which are obtained for the full expressions.

Inverted Ordering

For the inverted ordering (IO), we have the following relations: $|m_1| \approx \sqrt{\Delta m_{ATM}^2}$, $|m_2| \approx \sqrt{\Delta m_{SOL}^2 + \Delta m_{ATM}^2} \gg m_3$. In this case, $m_3 = m_0$ is the lightest neutrino mass, and $\Delta m_{SOL}^2 = (7.42_{-0.20}^{+0.21}) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{ATM}^2 = -(2.490_{-0.028}^{+0.026}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = (3.04_{-0.12}^{+0.13}) \times 10^{-1}$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = (2.241_{-0.062}^{+0.074}) \times 10^{-2}$ [6].

We observe in this case that, neglecting the lightest neutrino mass, the breaking parameters take the approximated form

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\delta} &\approx \frac{-2is_{\beta_1} e^{-i\beta_1}}{1 - e^{-i\beta_1} \left(\frac{c_{12}s_{12} + ic_{12}^2 s_{13}}{c_{12}s_{12} - is_{12}^2 s_{13}} \right)} + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\Delta m_{SOL}^2}{\Delta m_{ATM}^2}} \right), \\ \hat{\epsilon} &\approx \frac{-2is_{\beta_1} e^{-i\beta_1}}{1 + e^{-i\beta_1} \left(\frac{s_{12} + ic_{12}s_{13}}{c_{12} - is_{12}s_{13}} \right)^2} + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\Delta m_{SOL}^2}{\Delta m_{ATM}^2}} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

Within this approximation, we observe that the breaking parameters do not have a strong dependence on β_2 , such that we should expect that this phase will not be restricted in our analysis of the values of $\hat{\delta}$ and $\hat{\epsilon}$. It is also important to note that the squared mass differences, and the mixing angles, will play a sub-leading role in the determination of these parameters, with the main contribution coming from β_1 . Hence, we can verify that the exact symmetry limit is related to CP conserving values ($0, \pm\pi$) of β_1 , while deviations from the symmetric pattern are then governed in this limit by specific values of β_1 . For instance, for $\beta_1 \approx 0.1$ ($\sim 6^\circ$) we obtain $|\hat{\delta}| \approx |\hat{\epsilon}| \approx 0.2$. On the other hand, a maximal value of this phase ($\beta_1 = \pm\pi/2$) leads to a large deviation from the symmetric pattern, i.e., $|\hat{\delta}| \approx |\hat{\epsilon}| \approx 2$. The full numerical analysis is resumed in the right plot of Fig. 1.

Degenerate Ordering

Finally, we can also analyze the degenerate ordering, where absolute masses are the same order $|m_1| \approx |m_2| \approx |m_3|$. In this case, the breaking parameters show no strong dependence on the mass, but the limit is restricted to large values of the lightest neutrino mass, which is almost excluded from cosmological observations [23]. In this limit, the dependence of the breaking parameters on the mixing angles and CP phases can be directly obtained from Eq. (8). As in the previous cases,




Figure 1: Allowed regions of Majorana phases for *NO* (left) and *IO* (right). Small, medium, and large regions (green, orange, blue) correspond to $\text{Max}[|\hat{\delta}|, |\hat{\epsilon}|] \lesssim 0.1, 0.3, 0.5$, respectively.

the *CP* conserving limit is related to the null values of the breaking parameters. A numerical inspection shows that, when we take $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta$, these parameters will have a similar form to the first terms in Eq. (10), leading to $|\hat{\delta}|, |\hat{\epsilon}| \gtrsim 1$ for $(\beta = \pm\pi/2)$, which should be excluded in the search of small deviations. This pattern is also present in both *NO* and *IO*, but leaves open questions about other different combinations in the values of *CP* phases consistent with $|\hat{\delta}|, |\hat{\epsilon}| \lesssim 1$.

Our previous analysis may serve to confirm the expectations that the *CP* conserving values of Majorana phases are linked to the symmetric structure of neutrino mass matrix, but also shows that we should investigate other possible combinations of *CP* phases which may lead to small deviations from this symmetric pattern. To this aim, a full numerical analysis is also mandatory, considering different values of m_0 , the mass orderings, and the deviations in the mixing angles from their central values. We show in Fig. 1 the different allowed regions of Majorana phases for different values of breaking parameters. In these plots, we run the lightest mass from zero to 0.4 eV, in both mass orderings, and allowed the mixing angles to vary within the 3σ interval. We show, for comparison, three different cases: $|\hat{\delta}|, |\hat{\epsilon}| \lesssim 0.1$, $|\hat{\delta}|, |\hat{\epsilon}| \lesssim 0.3$, and $|\hat{\delta}|, |\hat{\epsilon}| \lesssim 0.5$. We can observe that other different combinations of Majorana phases are obtained, out of the *CP* conserving limit, which leads to small (or slight) deviations from the symmetric mass matrix. As we could anticipate, the size of the allowed region depends on the selected values of the breaking parameters. It is worth noting that, while for the *NO* both of the *CP* phases are restricted to lie near the *CP* conserving values, in the *IO*, the phase β_2 can reach a maximal value even for very small deviations. Such regions, and also the differences between different mass orderings, could be confronted with some physical observables as in the case of neutrinoless double beta decay.

In Fig. 2, we plot the matrix element of neutrinoless double beta decay ($|m_{ee}|$) depending on the lightest neutrino mass. We can observe that the combinations of Majorana phases obtained from the restrictions in $|\hat{\delta}|$ and $|\hat{\epsilon}|$ give specific regions in $|m_{ee}|$, which may be compared with forthcoming experimental observations. For comparison, we show in the left plot the allowed regions for the condition $|\hat{\delta}|, |\hat{\epsilon}| \lesssim 0.5$, which present a slight reduction compared to the case of totally free Majorana phases. In the right plot of Fig. 2, we present the region corresponding to the restriction $|\hat{\delta}|, |\hat{\epsilon}| \lesssim 0.1$. We observe, in this case, that some specific values of $|m_{ee}|$ would not be compatible with a slightly broken symmetry in the mass matrix.




Figure 2: Allowed regions of $|m_{ee}|$ for different lightest neutrino mass in the NO (cyan) and IO (magenta). Regions delimited by dotted lines represent the full region of NO and IO for nonrestricted CP phases. Regions excluded by cosmology and $0\nu\beta\beta$ experiments are delimited by solid lines [23, 24, 25, 26]. Left plot is for $\text{Max}[|\hat{\delta}|, |\hat{\epsilon}|] \lesssim 0.5$, and right plot for $\text{Max}[|\hat{\delta}|, |\hat{\epsilon}|] \lesssim 0.1$.

We have centered our analysis on an indirect determination of the Majorana phases, which seem to be very restricted when a $\mu - \tau$ reflection symmetry is demanded in the mass matrix. These regions may be extended when this last requirement is relaxed, giving place to the possibility of having different combinations of values consistent with small deviations. In the IO , it is also possible to have one of the Majorana phases with a maximal value even for an exact symmetry in the mass matrix. Some of our results could be of theoretical interest in the search for models of neutrino masses and mixings.

4. Summary

A CBM mixing matrix is of great theoretical interest as it is related to some discrete symmetries, which could help to understand the pattern of masses and mixings. We have shown, from a phenomenological approach, that CP nonconserving values of the Majorana phases may break the symmetric structure of the neutrino mass matrix, regardless of the symmetry in the mixing matrix. By modulating the deviations with two breaking parameters, we found different combinations of Majorana phases consistent with small departures from the symmetric scenario, which could be of interest in the search for a perturbative treatment of the neutrino mass matrix, preserving the CBM symmetry in the $PMNS$ matrix. In addition, we have also shown that simultaneous maximal values of Majorana phases are associated with large deviations from the symmetric limit, but, in the IO , it is possible to have one maximal Majorana phase consistent with the $\mu - \tau$ symmetry of the mass matrix. Restricted regions were obtained for the neutrinoless double beta decay amplitude, depending on the size of the deviations, which could be confronted with forthcoming results.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge funding from *Division General de Investigaciones* (DGI) of the Santiago de Cali University under grant 935-621121-3068.

References

- [1] B. Pontecorvo, “Mesonium and anti-mesonium,” *Sov. Phys. JETP* **6** (1957) 429. [*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 33,549(1957)].
- [2] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, and S. Sakata, “Remarks on the unified model of elementary particles,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **28** (1962) 870–880.
- [3] R. L. Workman *et al.* [Particle Data Group], “Review of Particle Physics,” *PTEP* **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
- [4] F. Capozzi, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, and A. Palazzo, “Current unknowns in the three neutrino framework,” *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **102** (2018) 48–72, [arXiv:1804.09678 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [5] P. F. de Salas, D. V. Forero, S. Gariazzo, P. Martínez-Miravé, O. Mena, C. A. Ternes, M. Tórtola and J. W. F. Valle, “2020 global reassessment of the neutrino oscillation picture,” *JHEP* **02** (2021) 071, [arXiv:2006.11237 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [6] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz and A. Zhou, “The fate of hints: updated global analysis of three-flavor neutrino oscillations,” *JHEP* **09** (2020) 178, [arXiv:2007.14792 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [7] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, “NuFIT: Three-Flavour Global Analyses of Neutrino Oscillation Experiments,” *Universe* **7** no.12 (2021) 459, [arXiv:2111.03086 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [8] DUNE Collaboration, B. Abi *et al.*, “The DUNE Far Detector Interim Design Report Volume 1: Physics, Technology and Strategies,” [arXiv:1807.10334 \[physics.ins-det\]](#).
- [9] M. J. Dolinski, A. W. P. Poon, and W. Rodejohann, “Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay: Status and Prospects,” *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **69**, 219 (2019), [arXiv:1902.04097 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [10] K. Fukuura, T. Miura, E. Takasugi and M. Yoshimura, “Maximal CP violation, large mixings of neutrinos and democratic type neutrino mass matrix,” *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 073002 (2000), [arXiv:hep-ph/9909415 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [11] T. Miura, E. Takasugi and M. Yoshimura, “Large CP violation, large mixings of neutrinos and the Z(3) symmetry,” *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 013001 (2001), [arXiv:hep-ph/0003139 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [12] P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, “ $\mu - \tau$ reflection symmetry in lepton mixing and neutrino oscillations,” *Phys. Lett. B* **547** (2002) 219–228, [arXiv:hep-ph/0210197 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [13] E. Ma, “Neutrino mixing: A_4 variations,” *Phys. Lett. B* **752**, 198–200 (2016), [arXiv:1510.02501 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [14] E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, “Cobimaximal neutrino mixing from A_4 and its possible deviation,” *EPL* **119**, no.3, 31001 (2017), [arXiv:1708.02208 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [15] Z. h. Zhao, “Breakings of the neutrino $\mu - \tau$ reflection symmetry,” *JHEP* **09**, 023 (2017), [arXiv:1703.04984 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [16] S. Gupta, A. S. Joshipura, and K. M. Patel, “How good is $\mu - \tau$ symmetry after results on non-zero θ_{13} ?,” *JHEP* **09** (2013) 035, [arXiv:1301.7130 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [17] D. C. Rivera-Agudelo and A. Pérez-Lorenzana, “Generating θ_{13} from sterile neutrinos in $\mu - \tau$ symmetric models,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92** no. 7, (2015) 073009, [arXiv:1507.07030 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [18] D. C. Rivera-Agudelo and A. Pérez-Lorenzana, “Leptonic CP phases near the $\mu - \tau$ symmetric limit,” *Phys. Lett. B* **760** (2016) 153–157, [arXiv:1603.02336 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [19] D. C. Rivera-Agudelo, S. L. Tostado, and A. Pérez-Lorenzana, “Deviations to Tri-Bi-Maximal mixing in the limit of $\mu - \tau$ symmetry,” *Phys. Lett. B* **794** (2019) 89–95, [arXiv:1903.05597 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] D. C. Rivera-Agudelo and S. L. Tostado, “Restricting Majorana phases in the Tri-Bi-Maximal limit,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **965**, (2021) 115359, [arXiv:2009.14391 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] Z.-z. Xing and Z.-h. Zhao, “A review of $\mu - \tau$ flavor symmetry in neutrino physics,” *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **79** no. 7, (2016) 076201, [arXiv:1512.04207 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [22] Z. z. Xing, “The $\mu - \tau$ reflection symmetry of Majorana neutrinos,” [arXiv:2210.11922 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [23] Planck Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade *et al.*, “Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **594** (2016) A13, [arXiv:1502.01589 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [24] EXO-200 Collaboration, M. Auger *et al.*, “Search for Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay in ^{136}Xe with EXO-200,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 032505, [arXiv:1205.5608 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [25] EXO-200 Collaboration, J. B. Albert *et al.*, “Search for Majorana neutrinos with the first two years of EXO-200 data,” *Nature* **510** (2014) 229–234, [arXiv:1402.6956 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [26] KamLAND-Zen Collaboration, A. Gando *et al.*, “Limit on Neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ Decay of ^{136}Xe from the First

Phase of KamLAND-Zen and Comparison with the Positive Claim in ^{76}Ge ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** no. 6, (2013) 062502, [arXiv:1211.3863 \[hep-ex\]](#).