arXiv:2211.04866v1 [math.AG] 9 Nov 2022

Banach halos and short isometries

Tomoki Mihara*
Frédéric Paugam†

November 10, 2022

Abstract

The aim of this article is twofold. First, we develop the notion of a Banach halo, similar to that of a Banach ring, except that the usual triangular inequality is replaced by the inequality

$$|a+b|_R \leq \|(|a|_R, |b|_R)\|_p$$

involving the ℓ^p-norm for some $p \in]0, +\infty]$, or by the inequality

$$|a+b|_R \leq C \max(|a|_R, |b|_R)$$

for $C \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. This allows us to put on Banach halos a natural $\mathbb{R}_+^*$-flow, and to propose a setting for normed algebra over bases such as $(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty^2)$ where $|\cdot|_\infty^2$ is the square of the usual archimedean norm. Then we define and study the groups $\mathrm{SIso}(C, \sigma, \|\cdot\|)$ of short isometries of normed involutive coalgebras over a base commutative Banach halo R. An aim of this theory is to define a representable group $K_n \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ whose points with values in $\mathbb{R}$ give $O_n(\mathbb{R})$, and whose points with values in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ give $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, giving to the classical analogy between these two groups a kind of geometric explanation. [1] [2]

*mihara@math.tsukuba.ac.jp
†frederic.paugam@imj-prg.fr

[1] **Keywords:** Algebras with involution, Compact analytic groups, Global analytic geometry.
[2] **MSC classification (2000):** 18D10, 14G22, 14G25, 11G35, 18C15.

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 Banach halos and their modules **4**
2.1 Normed sets and semi-normed abelian groups 4
2.2 Banach halos 6
2.3 Banach modules 9
2.3.1 Definitions 9
2.3.2 Endomorphism rings 10
2.3.3 Direct sums 11
2.3.4 Free modules 13
2.4 Direct sums, tensor products and symmetric algebras 18
2.5 Scalar extensions of modules 19
2.6 Finite colimits of algebras and converging power series 20

3 Short isometries and classical compact groups **21**
3.1 Short isometries of Lipschitz coalgebras 21
3.2 The global short isometry subgroup of GL_n 26
3.3 Global short isometry subgroups of other classical groups 28
3.4 Computation of p-adic and real points 30
3.5 Data availability statement 39
3.6 Conflict of interest 39

1 Introduction

A classical leitmotiv of analytic number theory, following Tate's thesis [Tat67], is to treat all places of a number field on equal footing, using adelic methods. Another possible way to interpret this idea, is to try to develop normed algebra and global analytic geometry (see [Ber90], [Poi07]) in a setting where one can define a natural $\mathbb{R}_+^*$-flow on Banach rings by setting

$$\sigma_t(R, |\cdot|_R) := (R, |\cdot|_R^t).$$

We propose here a table of analogies between geometry over $\mathbb{C}$, over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ and arithmetic geometry (D denotes a disc), to show the importance of this flow in analytic geometry:

Geometry	Over $\mathbb{C}$	Over $\mathbb{F}_p$	Arithmetic
Ring	$\mathbb{C}[z]$	$\mathbb{F}_p[t]$	$\mathbb{Z}$
Origin	(z)	(t)	(p)
Unit "disc" centered at the origin	$D(z=0,1)_{\mathbb{C}} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lvert z\rvert \leq 1\}$	$D(t=0,1)_{\mathbb{F}_p} := \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_p[t], \lvert\cdot\rvert_{triv})$	$D(p=0,1)_{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z}, \lvert\cdot\rvert_{triv})$
"Disc" of radius p centered at the origin	$D(z=0,p)_{\mathbb{C}} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lvert z\rvert \leq p\}$	$D(t=0,p)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_p[t], \lvert\cdot\rvert_{t,p})$	$D(p=0,p)_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z}, \lvert\cdot\rvert_{\infty})$
Affine space	$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,an} := \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}[z]) = \mathbb{C} =$	$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{1,an} := \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_p[t]) = \bigcup_{s\in[0,\infty)} D(t=0,p)_{\mathbb{F}_p}^s$	$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z}) := \bigcup_{s\in[0,\infty)} D(p=0,p)_{\mathbb{Z}}^s$
Compactification	$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,an} := \mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,an} \cup \{\infty\}$	$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{1,an} := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{1,an} \cup \{\infty\}$	$\overline{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z})} := \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z}) \cup \{\infty\}?$
Symmetries	$\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathbb{F}_p}$	$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cap O_n(\mathbb{R})$

The usual triangular inequality

$$|a+b|_R \leq |a|_R + |b|_R$$

is not stable by this flow on multiplicative semi-norms because (for example) the square $|\cdot|_\infty^2$ of the archimedean absolute value on $\mathbb{Z}$ doesn't fulfill it. A possible solution to this problem, proposed by Artin in [Art67] is to use the generalized triangular inequality

$$|a+b|_R \leq C \cdot \max(|a|_R, |b|_R)$$

for some $C \geq 1$. Developing normed algebra directly in this setting give quite different notions of what one usually gets when the usual triangular inequality is fulfilled. We propose here to also use the family of ℓ^p-norm triangular inequalities

$$|a+b|_R \leq \|(|a|_R, |b|_R)\|_p,$$

for varying $p \in]0, +\infty]$ because it is also indeed stable by the above $\mathbb{R}_+^*$-flow.

We thus define analogs of Banach rings in those two settings, called respectively short and Lipschitz Banach halos, and develop in Chapter 2 the basics of normed algebra over Banach halos. An interesting property of this setting is that there is a natural embedding of Banach halos in the category of monads on normed sets, that is compatible with the natural $\mathbb{R}_+^*$-flows on both categories. In Chapter 3, we study the groups of short involutions of Banach coalgebras with involutions and show that under some natural finiteness hypothesis, they are representable. We finish by applying this to the definition and study of the subgroups of short isometries of various classical groups over $(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty)$.

2 Banach halos and their modules

2.1 Normed sets and semi-normed abelian groups

We denote $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty[$ and $\mathbb{R}_+^* =]0, +\infty[$. Recall from [Pau14] the following definition and results.

Definition 1. A normed set is a pair $(X, |\cdot|_X)$ composed of a set X and a map $|\cdot|_X : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$. A map $f : (X, |\cdot|_X) \to (Y, |\cdot|_Y)$ between two normed sets is called

1. a Lipschitz map if there exists $C \geq 0$ with $|f(x)|_Y \leq C \cdot |x|_X$ for every $x \in X$.
2. a short map if $|f(x)|_Y \leq |x|_X$ for every $x \in X$, i.e., if it is Lipschitz with constant $C = 1$.

We denote $\mathrm{NSETS}_{\mathrm{short}}$ the category of normed sets with short maps, and $\mathrm{NSETS}_{\mathrm{Lipschitz}}$ the category of normed sets and Lipschitz maps.

The category $\mathrm{NSETS}_{\mathrm{short}}$ is complete and cocomplete, but the category $\mathrm{NSETS}_{\mathrm{Lipschitz}}$ is not. However, it will be useful for some purposes.

Proposition 1. *There is a natural multiplicative symmetric monoidal structure on normed sets given by setting $(X, |\cdot|_X) \otimes^m (Y, |\cdot|_Y)$ to be $X \times Y$ with the norm given by*

$$(x, y)_{X \otimes^m Y} := |x|_X \cdot |y|_Y.$$

The internal homomorphism object for this monoidal structure on $\mathrm{NSETS}_{\mathrm{short}}$ *is given by the set*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, Y) := \{f : X \to Y,\ \exists C \geq 0,\ |f(x)|_Y \leq C|x|_X \text{ for all } x \in X\}$$

of Lipschitz maps with the operator norm given by

$$\|f\| := \inf\{C \geq 0,\ ; |f(x)|_Y \leq C|x|_X \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

For each $p \in]0, +\infty]$, there is a symmetric monoidal structure $\oplus^p$ on normed sets given by setting $(X, |\cdot|_X) \oplus^p (Y, |\cdot|_Y)$ to be $X \times Y$ with the norm given by

$$(x, y)_{X \oplus^p Y} := \|(|x|_X, |y|_Y)\|_p = \sqrt[p]{|x|_X^p + |y|_Y^p}$$

if $p < +\infty$ and

$$(x, y)_{X \oplus^\infty Y} := \|(|x|_X, |y|_Y)\|_\infty = \max(|x|_X, |y|_Y).$$

The symmetric monoidal structures give variations of norms of the direct product of copies of a normed set. Since we will use them later, we prepare the convention here.

Definition 2. Let X be a normed set with the underlying set X_0, $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, and $p \in [1, \infty]$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{X,p} \colon X_0^n \to [0, \infty[$ the norm of $X^{\oplus^p n}$.

There is a natural $\mathbb{R}_+^*$-flow on normed sets given by

$$\sigma_t(X, |\cdot|_X) = (X, |\cdot|_X^t)$$

and it acts on both categories $\mathrm{NS}\textsc{ets}_{\mathrm{short}}$ and $\mathrm{NS}\textsc{ets}_{\mathrm{Lipschitz}}$ and stabilizes $\otimes^m$ and $\oplus^\infty$, but modifies $\oplus^p$.

Since ℓ^p norms will play an important role in this text, we recall here the following classical result, for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 1. *Let $0 < q \leq p \leq \infty$. There is a natural short inclusion*

$$\ell^q(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}_+) \subset \ell^p(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}_+).$$

Proof. Suppose given a sequence $x = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^q(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}_+)$. We want to prove the inequality

$$\|x\|_p \leq \|x\|_q.$$

If $x = 0$, it is clear. Suppose $x \neq 0$. Suppose first that $p < \infty$. We have

$$\frac{|x_k|}{\|x\|_p} \leq 1$$

so that

$$\frac{|x_k|^p}{\|x\|_p^p} \leq \frac{|x_k|^q}{\|x\|_p^q},$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and summing over k gives

$$1 = \frac{\|x\|_p^p}{\|x\|_p^p} \leq \frac{\|x\|_q^q}{\|x\|_p^q}.$$

This implies

$$\|x\|_p^q \leq \|x\|_q^q$$

and the desired inequality follows by taking q-th roots. If $p = \infty$, the inequality is also clear. □

Definition 3. A short semi-norm on an abelian group A is a norm $|\cdot|_A : A \to \mathbb{R}_+$ on the underlying set of A such that $|0|_A = 0$ and there exists $p \in]0, +\infty]$ such that the p-triangular inequality

$$|a - b|_A \leq \|(|a|_A, |b|_A)\|_p$$

is fulfilled for every $(a, b) \in A^2$. A Lipschitz semi-norm on an abelian group A is a norm $|\cdot|_A : A \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $|0|_A = 0$ and there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ such that the substraction map is C-Lipschitz, i.e.,

$$|a - b|_A \leq C \cdot \max(|a|, |b|).$$

A Lipschitz semi-normed abelian group is thus an abelian group object in the cartesian monoidal category $(\mathrm{NS\textsc{ets}}_{\mathrm{Lipschitz}}, \oplus^\infty)$, and a short semi-normed abelian group is an abelian group object in one of the monoidal categories $(\mathrm{NS\textsc{ets}}_{\mathrm{short}}, \oplus^p)$.

Remark 1. If $|\cdot|_A$ is a semi-norm on an abelian group A, there is a maximal $p \in]0, +\infty]$ such that

$$|a - b|_A \leq \|(|a|_A, |b|_A)\|_p$$

for every $(a, b) \in A^2$. We will denote it p_A^{max}.

To every semi-normed abelian group $(A, |\cdot|_A)$ one may associate the corresponding completion $(\hat{A}, |\cdot|_{\hat{A}})$, defined in the usual way as the quotient of Cauchy sequences by sequences with limit 0, equipped with the quotient norm, defined by

$$|\overline{(a_n)}|_{\hat{A}} := \lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n|_A.$$

A semi-normed abelian group is called a Banach abelian group if the natural morphism

$$(A, |\cdot|_A) \to (\hat{A}, |\cdot|_{\hat{A}})$$

is both a (short or Lipschitz) isomorphism of normed sets and of abelian groups. This is equivalent to having the fact that every Cauchy sequence in $(A, |\cdot|_A)$ converges.

2.2 Banach halos

A Banach halo is a ring that is a Banach abelian group with a sub-multiplicative semi-norm. More precisely, a short Banach halo is a ring object in the bimonoidal category $(\mathrm{NS\textsc{ets}}_{\mathrm{short}}, \otimes^m, \oplus^p)$ for some $p \in]0, +\infty]$, and a Lipschitz Banach halo is a ring object in the bimonoidal category $(\mathrm{NS\textsc{ets}}_{\mathrm{Lipschitz}}, \otimes^m, \oplus^\infty)$. Let us write all this explicitely.

Definition 4. A short Banach halo is a triad $(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R)$ composed of a ring R, a map $|\cdot|_R \colon R \to \mathbb{R}_+$, and a constant $p_R \in]0, +\infty]$ satisfying the following:

1. $|1|_R = 1$ unless $R = \{0\}$.
2. For any $f \in R, |f|_R = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$.

3. For any $f, g \in R, |f - g|_R \leq \|(|f|_R, |g|_R)\|_{p_R}$.

4. For any $f, g \in R, |fg|_R \leq |f|_R \cdot |g|_R$.

5. The semi-normed abelian group $(R, |\cdot|_R)$ is complete.

For a $p = (C, D) \in]0, \infty]^2$, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_p$ the seminorm on $\mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$\|(x, y)\|_p := C \cdot \max(|x|, |y|)$$

for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Although the convention redundantly refers to the unused datum D, using the same convention as in the short setting allows us to make parallel arguments. We note that we do not introduce a notation like $\|\cdot\|_{X,p}$ for a normed set X, because it leads confusion due to the fact that the ℓ^∞-norm rescaled by C does not give an appropriate norm for a direct sum of modules with suitable norms introduced in §2.3.3.

Definition 5. A Lipschitz Banach halo is a triad $(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R)$ composed of a ring R, a map $|\cdot|_R \colon R \to \mathbb{R}_+$, and a pair $p_R = (C_R, D_R)$ of two constants $C_R > 0$ and $D_R > 0$ satisfying the following:

1. $|1|_R = 1$ unless $R = \{0\}$.

2. For any $f \in R, |f|_R = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$.

3. For any $f, g \in R, |f - g|_R \leq \|(|f|_R, |g|_R)\|_{p_R}$.

4. For any $f, g \in R, |fg|_R \leq D_R \cdot |f|_R \cdot |g|_R$.

5. The semi-normed abelian group $(R, |\cdot|_R)$ is complete.

We equip $[0, \infty]^2$ with the direct product order of the opposite order of the usual order. Namely, $p_1 \leq p_2$ holds for a $p_1 = (C_1, D_1) \in [0, \infty]^2$ and a $p_2 = (C_2, D_2) \in [0, \infty]^2$ if and only if $C_2 \leq C_1$ and $D_2 \leq D_1$.

Let Σ denote either "short" or "Lipschitz". A Σ morphism $(R_1, |\cdot|_{R_1}, p_{R_1}) \to (R_2, |\cdot|_{R_2}, p_{R_2})$ between Σ Banach halos is a Σ ring homomorphism $(R_1, |\cdot|_{R_1}) \to (R_2, |\cdot|_{R_2})$ with $p_{R_1} \leq p_{R_2}$.

For a short Banach halo $(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R)$, we denote by $\mathrm{Lip}(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R)$ the triad $(R, |\cdot|_R, (2^{\frac{1}{p_R}}, 1))$, which forms a Lipschitz Banach halo because

$$\|(r, s)\|_{p_R} \leq (2 \cdot \max(r^{p_R}, s^{p_R}))^{\frac{1}{p_R}} = 2^{\frac{1}{p_R}} \cdot \max(r, s) = \|(r, s)\|_{(2^{\frac{1}{p_R}}, 1)}$$

for any $(r, s) \in [0, \infty[^2$. Then Lip is a functor from the category of short Banach halos and short morphisms to the category of Lipschitz Banach halos and Lipschitz morphisms.

Definition 6. A Σ Banach halo is called commutative if the underlying ring is commutative. An algebra over a Σ Banach halo R is a Σ morphism $R \to A$ between Σ Banach halos.

One motivation for introducing Banach halos is that they give a replacement of the notion of Banach ring that is stable by the natural $\mathbb{R}_+^*$-flow on normed sets. Indeed, one may define such a flow on the category of short Banach halos by the formula

$$\sigma_t(A) := (A, |\cdot|_A^t, p_A/t)$$

and on the category of Lipschitz Banach halos by the formula

$$\sigma_t(A) := (A, |\cdot|_A^t, (C_A^t, D_A^t)).$$

This flow is already well understood in the non-archimedean context, and the notion of Banach halo allows its extension to the archimedean context, because for example

$$\sigma_2(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1) = (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty^2, 1/2)$$

is not a Banach ring but is a Banach halo.

The Lipschitz case was already known to Artin, and the short case allows an easier treatment of convergent series.

Example 1. We now give various examples of short Banach halos.

1. Let $(R, |\cdot|_R)$ be a Banach ring in the usual sense. Then $(R, |\cdot|_R, 1)$ is a short Banach halo.

2. For example, suppose $n > 1$ and equip $V = \mathbb{Z}^n$ with the ℓ^2 norm on $(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty)$. Then the algebra $\underline{\mathrm{End}}(V)$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-linear endomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}$ with its operator norm and with constant 1 is a non-commutative short Banach halo (see the proof of Proposition 5).

3. Let $(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R)$ be a short Banach halo. Then there is a maximal $p \geq p_R$ such that $(R, |\cdot|_R, p)$ is a short Banach halo, denoted p_R^{max} and there is a natural morphism (defined using Lemma 1)

$$(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R) \to (R, |\cdot|_R, p_R^{max}).$$

   A short Banach halo of this form is called a maximal halo.

4. For example, the commutative short Banach halos $(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, $(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_0, \infty)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_p, |\cdot|_p, \infty)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty^2, 1/2)$ are all maximal.

5. There is no initial commutative short Banach halo. Indeed, the underlying ring would be $\mathbb{Z}$ and the norm on it should be the limit of all norms $|\cdot|_\infty^t$ for t going to ∞, that is not well defined. This problem may be solved by working with pro-Banach halos (or ind-Banach halos).

2.3 Banach modules

In this Subsection, Σ denotes either of the words "short" or "Lipschitz".

2.3.1 Definitions

Modules over Banach halos are essentially modules in the bimonoidal categories $(\mathrm{NS}\textsc{ets}_{\mathrm{short}}, \otimes^m, \oplus^p)$ and $(\mathrm{NS}\textsc{ets}_{\mathrm{Lipschitz}}, \otimes^m, \oplus^\infty)$ with bounded constants.

Definition 7. A module over a short Banach halo $(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R)$ is a triad $(M, |\cdot|_M, p_M)$ of an R-module M, a map $|\cdot|_M \colon M \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and a constant $p_M \in]0, +\infty]$ such that $p_M \geq p_R$ and satisfying the following:

1. For any $m \in M$, $|m|_M = 0$ if and only if $m = 0$.
2. For any $m, n \in M$, $|m - n|_M \leq \|(|m|_M, |n|_M)\|_{p_M}$
3. For any $f \in R$ and $m \in M, |fm|_M \leq |f|_R \cdot |m|_M$
4. The semi-normed abelian group $(M, |\cdot|_M)$ is complete.

A morphisms $f : M_1 \to M_2$ between modules M_1 and M_2 over R is a short R-linear map with $p_{M_1} \leq p_{M_2}$. We denote $\textsc{Mod}_R$ the category whose objects are R-modules and whose morphisms are short morphisms.

Definition 8. A module over a Lipschitz Banach halo $(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R)$ with $p_R = (C_R, D_R)$ is a triad $(M, |\cdot|_M, p_M)$ of an R-module M, a map $|\cdot|_M \colon M \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and a pair $p_M = (C_M, D_M) \in]0, \infty]^2$ of two constants C_M and D_M such that $p_M \geq p_R$ and satisfying the following:

1. For any $m \in M$, $|m|_M = 0$ if and only if $m = 0$.
2. For any $m, n \in M$, $|m - n|_M \leq \|(|m|_M, |n|_M)\|_{p_M}$
3. For any $f \in R$ and $m \in M, |fm|_M \leq D_M \cdot |f|_R \cdot |m|_M$
4. The semi-normed abelian group $(M, |\cdot|_M)$ is complete.

A Lipschitz (resp. short) morphisms $f : M_1 \to M_2$ between Lipschitz modules M_1 and M_2 over R is a Lipschitz (resp. short) R-linear map with $p_{M_1} \leq p_{M_2}$. We denote $\textsc{Mod}_R$ the category whose objects are Lischitz R-modules and whose morphisms are Lipschitz morphisms and $\mathrm{SM}\textsc{od}_R$ the category with the same objects and short morphisms.

For a module $(M, |\cdot|_M, p_M)$ over a short Banach halo $(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R)$, we denote by $\mathrm{Lip}(M, |\cdot|_M, p_M)$ the triad $(M, |\cdot|_M, (2^{\frac{1}{p_M}}, 1))$, which forms a Lipschitz module over $\mathrm{Lip}(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} |m-n|_M &\leq \|(|m|_M, |n|_M)\|_{p_M} \leq (2\max(|m|_M^{p_M}, |n|_M^{p_M}))^{1/p_M} \\ &= 2^{\frac{1}{p_M}} \max(|m|_M, |n|_M) = \|(|m|_M, |n|_M)\|_{(2^{\frac{1}{p_M}}, 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then Lip is a functor from the category of short modules over $(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R)$ and short morphisms to the category of Lipschitz modukes over $\mathrm{Lip}(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R)$ and Lipschitz morphisms.

Definition 9. For $p \geq p_R$, and R a short or a Lipschitz Banach halo, we denote MOD_R^p (resp. SMOD_R^p) the subcategory of MOD_R (resp. SMOD_R) whose objects are modules M with $p_M \geq p$.

2.3.2 Endomorphism rings

Let Σ denote either "short" or "Lipschitz". Let R be a Σ Banach halo with the underlying ring R_0, and M an R-module. We denote by $\mathrm{End}_R(M) \subset \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, M)$ the R_0-algebra of Lipschitz R_0-linear endomorphisms of M, equip it with the norm $|\cdot|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}$ the operator norm $|\cdot|_{op}$ in the case where Σ is "short" and the rescaled operator norm $D_M^{-1}|\cdot|_{op}$ in the case where Σ is "Lipschitz", and with the constant $p_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)} = p_M$.

Proposition 2. *The triad* $(\mathrm{End}_R(M), |\cdot|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}, p_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)})$ *forms an R-algebra, and M forms a module over it.*

Proof. The only non-trivial condition is the triangular inequality. Let $f, g \in \mathrm{End}_R(M)$. If Σ is "short", then for any $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(f-g)m|_M &= |fm - gm|_M \leq \|(|fm|_M, |gm|_M)\|_{p_M} \\ &\leq \|(|f|_{op}\,|m|_M, |g|_{op}\,|m|_M)\|_{p_M} \\ &= \|(|f|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}, |g|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)})\|_{p_M}\,|m|_M \\ &= \|(|f|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}, |g|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)})\|_{p_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}}\,|m|_M, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $|f-g|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)} = |f-g|_{op} \leq \|(|f|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}, |g|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)})\|_{p_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}}$. If Σ is "Lipschitz", then for any $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(f-g)m|_M &= |fm - gm|_M \leq \|(|fm|_M, |gm|_M)\|_{p_M} \\ &\leq \|(|f|_{op}\,|m|_M, |g|_{op}\,|m|_M)\|_{p_M} \\ &= D_M\|(|f|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}, |g|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)})\|_{p_M}\,|m|_M \\ &= D_M\|(|f|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}, |g|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)})\|_{p_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}}\,|m|_M, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $|f-g|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)} = D_M^{-1}|f-g|_{op} \leq \|(|f|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}, |g|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)})\|_{p_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}}$. □

2.3.3 Direct sums

Let R be a short Banach halo, and $(M_i)_{i\in I}$ a family of short modules over R. For each $i \in I$, we denote by $\underline{M_i}$ the underlying Abelian group of M_i. For an $m = (m_i)_{i\in I} \in \bigoplus_{i\in I} \underline{M_i}$ and a $p \in [p_R, \infty]$, we put $\|m\|_{I,p} := \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i\in I} |m_i|^p_{M_i}}$. We introduce a Lipschitz counterpart of $\|\cdot\|_{I,p}$. For this purpose, we introduce convention for binary trees.

Let S be a set. We denote by BT_S the set of finite binary trees whose leaves are coloured by S, i.e. the set defined in the following recursive way:

(i) $S \times \{0\} \subset BT_S$.

(ii) $BT_S^2 \subset BT_S$.

For a $t \in BT_S$, we denote by $\mathrm{leaves}(t)$ the set of leaves of t, i.e. the set defined in the following recursive way:

(i) If $t = (s, 0) \in S \times \{0\}$, then $\mathrm{leaves}(t) = \{s\}$.

(ii) If $t = (t_0, t_1) \in BT_S^2$, then $\mathrm{leaves}(t) = \bigcup_{i=0}^1 \mathrm{leaves}(t_i) \times \{i\}$.

For a $t \in BT_S$, we denote by $\mathrm{col}(t)$ the colouring of t, i.e. the map $\mathrm{leaves}(t) \to S$ defined in the following recursive way:

(i) If $t = (s, 0) \in S \times \{0\}$, then $\mathrm{col}(t)$ is the inclusion $\mathrm{leaves}(t) = \{s\} \hookrightarrow S$,

(ii) If $t = (t_0, t_1) \in BT_S^2$, then $\mathrm{col}(t)$ assigns $\mathrm{col}(t_i)(x) \in S$ to each $(x, i) \in \mathrm{leaves}(t)$.

For a map $f\colon S_0 \to S_1$ and $t \in BT_{S_0}$, we denote by $f \circ t \in BT_{S_1}$ the replacement of the colouring of t by the composition of f.

Let $C \in [0, \infty[$. For a $t \in BT_{[0,\infty[}$, we define $\|t\|_C \in [0, \infty[$ in the following recursive way:

(i) If $t = (s, 0) \in [0, \infty[\times\{0\}$, then $\|t\|_C = s$,

(ii) If $t = (t_0, t_1) \in BT_S^2$, then $\|t\|_C = C \cdot \max(\|t_0\|_C, \|t_1\|_C)$.

Let R be a Lispchitz Banach halo, and $(M_i)_{i\in I}$ a family of Lipschitz modules over R. For each $i \in I$, we denote by $\underline{M_i}$ the underlying Abelian group of M_i, and by X the normed set $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} M_i$ regarded as a subset of $\bigoplus_{i\in I} \underline{M_i}$. For an $m \in \bigoplus_{i\in I} \underline{M_i}$ and a $C \in]0, \infty[$, we put $BT(m) := \{t \in BT_X \mid \sum_{x\in \mathrm{leaves}(t)} \mathrm{col}(t)(x) = m\}$, and $\|m\|_{I,C} := \inf_{t\in BT(m)} \||\cdot|_X \circ t\|_C \in [0, \infty[$. For a $p = (C, D) \in]0, \infty]^2$, we put $\|\cdot\|_{I,p} = \|\cdot\|_{I,C}$.

Example 2. If the direct sum is of the form $M_1 \oplus M_2$ with $I = \{1, 2\}$ and $C = \inf(C_{M_1}, C_{M_2})$, then the Lipschitz norm is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(0,0)\|_{I,C} = 0 \\
&\|(m_1,0)\|_{I,C} = |m_1|_{M_1} \\
&\|(0,m_2)\|_{I,C} = |m_2|_{M_2} \\
&\|(m_1,m_2)\|_{I,C} = \\
&\inf \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
C \max(|m_1|_{M_1}, |m_2|_{M_2}), \\
\inf\{C \max(C \max(|m_{1,1}|_{M_1}, |m_2|_{M_2}), |m_{1,2}|_{M_1}) \mid (m_{1,j})_{j=1}^2 \in X_{1,2}\}, \\
\inf\{C \max(C \max(|m_1|_{M_1}, |m_{2,1}|_{M_2}), |m_{2,2}|_{M_2}) \mid (m_{2,j})_{j=1}^2 \in X_{2,2}\}, \\
\inf\limits_{(m_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2 \in X_{1,2} \times X_{2,2}} \{C \max(C \max(C \max(|m_{1,1}|_{M_1}, |m_{2,1}|_{M_2}), |m_{2,2}|_{M_2}), |m_{1,2}|_{M_1})\}, \\
\inf\limits_{(m_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2 \in X_{1,2} \times X_{2,2}} \{C \max(C \max(C \max(|m_{1,1}|_{M_1}, |m_{2,1}|_{M_2}), |m_{1,2}|_{M_1}), |m_{2,2}|_{M_2})\}, \\
\inf\limits_{(m_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2 \in X_{1,2} \times X_{2,2}} \{C \max(C \max(|m_{1,1}|_{M_1}, |m_{2,1}|_{M_2}), C \max(|m_{1,2}|_{M_1}), |m_{2,2}|_{M_2}))\}, \\
\vdots
\end{array} \right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for an $(m_1, m_2) \in (M_1 \setminus \{0\}) \times (M_2 \setminus \{0\})$, where

$$X_{i,n} \quad = \quad \left\{ (m_{i,j})_{j=1}^n \in M_i^n \middle| m_i = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{i,j} \right\}$$

for each $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. More explicitely, if $M_1 = M_2 = \mathbb{Z}$ with $C = 2$ and the usual archimedean norm $|\cdot|_\infty$ on $\mathbb{Z}$, then we get

$$\|2 \oplus 2\|_{I,2} = 2 \cdot \max(|2|_\infty, |2|_\infty) = 4.$$

Indeed, the expression

$$2 \oplus 2 = (2 \oplus 0) + (0 \oplus 2)$$

is the only expression of $2 \oplus 2$ as a binary sum of elements in the subset $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore all other sum expression of $2 \oplus 2$ are at least 3-ary sums. Then the value associated to those sum expression is at least $C^2 \times 1 = 4$, because the norm of non-zero elements of is at least 1. This proves that the norm of $2 \oplus 2$ is 4.

Let R be a Σ Banach halo, and let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of Σ modules over R. For each $i \in I$, we denote by $\underline{M_i}$ the underlying Abelian group of M_i. When Σ is "short", we put $p := \sup_{i \in I} p_{M_i}$. When Σ is "Lipschitz", we put $p := (\inf_{i \in I} C_{M_i}, \inf_{i \in I} D_{M_i})$. We have introduced a seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{I,p}$ on $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \underline{M_i}$, and denote by $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ its completion with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{I,p}$.

Proposition 3. *The above constructed sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ satisfies the universality of the colimit of $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ in the category SMOD_R of Σ modules over R and short morphisms.*

Here, the reader should be careful that we are considering short morphisms even when Σ is "Lipschitz".

2.3.4 Free modules

Let Σ denote either "short" or "Lipschitz". For a normed set X, we denote by $\ell^{p_R}(X,R)$ the Σ module $\bigoplus_{x\in X_+} R|x|_X$, where Rr for an $r\in]0,\infty]$ is the regular Σ module over R whose norm is rescaled by r. We give another description of $\ell^{p_R}(X,R)$ as a completion of $R^{(X)}$ in order to make its universality clearer.

Let Σ denote either "short" or "Lipschitz". When Σ is "short", for a $p\in]0,\infty]$, we denote by $|\cdot|_{X,p}$ the seminorm on $R^{(X)}$ given by

$$|\sum a_x\{x\}|_{X,p} := \|(|a_x|_R\cdot|x|_X)\|_p,$$

When Σ is "Lipschitz", for a $p=(C,D)\in]0,\infty]^2$, we denote by $|\cdot|_{X,p}$ the seminorm on $R^{(X)}$ given by

$$|\sum a_x\{x\}|_{X,p} := \inf\{r\in[0,\infty[|\ \forall t\in BT((a_x)_{x\in X}), \||\cdot|_Y\circ t\|_C\le r\},$$

where Y denotes $\bigsqcup_{x\in X} R|x|_X$ and Rr for an $r\in[0,\infty[$ denotes the seminormed Abelian group given as the regular Lipdchitz module over R rescaled by r. In both cases, $\ell^{p_R}(X,R)$ is naturally identified with the completion of the free module $R^{(X)}$ with respect to $|\cdot|_{X,p_R}$.

Proposition 4. *Let R be a Σ Banach halo. Then the forgetful functor $\mathrm{MOD}_R\to\mathrm{NSETS}_\Sigma$ has a left adjoint functor given by $X\mapsto\ell^{p_R}(X,R)$.*

Proof. Denote for simplicity $p=p_R$. The norm $|\cdot|_{I,p}$ fulfills the p-triangular inequality. Indeed, let $(a,b)\in R^{(X)}$ with $a=\sum_{x\in X}a_x\{x\}$ and $b=\sum_{x\in X}b_x\{x\}$. If Σ is "short", then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|a+b|_{X,p} &= \|(|a_x+b_x|_R\cdot|x|_X)_{x\in X}\|_p\\
&\le \|(\|(|a_x|_R,|b_x|_R)\|_p\cdot|x|_X)_{x\in X}\|_p\\
&= \sqrt[p]{\sum_{x\in X}(|a_x|_R^p+|b_x|_R^p)\cdot|x|_X^p}\\
&= \sqrt[p]{(\sum_{x\in X}|a_x|_R^p\cdot|x|_X^p)+(\sum_{x\in X}|b_x|_R^p\cdot|x|_X^p)}\\
&= \|(|a|_{X,p},|b|_{X,p})\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

If Σ is "Lipschitz", then for any $t=(t_0,t_1)\in BT((a_x)_{x\in X})\times BT((b_x)_{x\in X})$, we have $t\in BT((a_x+b_x)_{x\in X})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\||\cdot|_Y\circ t\|_C \le C\max(\||\cdot|_Y\circ t_0\|_C,\||\cdot|_Y\circ t_1\|_C)\le C\max(|a|_{X,p},|b|_{X,p})\\
=\ &\|(|a|_{X,p},|b|_{X,p})\|_p,
\end{aligned}$$

where Y denotes $\bigsqcup_{x\in X} R|x|_X$ as above. We already know that $X \mapsto R^{(X)}$ is a left adjoint in the algebraic setting. Suppose given an R-module M with $p_M \geq p$ and a Σ map $i : X \to M$. Then we may extend i by linearity to $\tilde{i} : R^{(X)} \to M$, and we have

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} \left|\tilde{i}(\sum_{x\in X} a_x\{x\})\right|_M & = & \left|\sum_{x\in X} a_x i(x)\right|_M & \leq & \|(|a_x|_R \cdot |i(x)|_M)_{x\in X}\|_{p_M} \\ & \leq & \|(|a_x|_R \cdot |i|_{op} \cdot |x|_X)_{x\in X}\|_{p_M} & \leq & |i|_{op}\|(|a_x|_R \cdot |x|_X)_{x\in X}\|_p \end{array}$$

by Lemma 1 because $p \leq p_M$. This shows that $\tilde{i}$ extends to the completion into a Σ morphism

$$\ell^p(X, R) \to M,$$

that is a morphism of modules if we further set $p_{\ell^p(X,R)} := p = p_R$. Since the restriction of the obtained map to X gives the original map, we have obtained a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MOD}_R}((\ell^{p_R}(X, R), |\cdot|_{p_R}, p_R), (M, |\cdot|_M, p_M)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{NSETS}_\Sigma}(X, M).$$

□

We will need an improvement of the above result, whose proof is more technical. First, let us prove a Lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let R be a Σ Banach halo. Then if $p \geq p_R$, there is an algebra R_p that is universal among algebras over R with constant greater than p.*

Proof. Let $(S, |\cdot|_S, p)$ be a triad of a ring S, a map $|\cdot|_S \colon S \to \mathbb{R}_+$, and a $p \in (0, \infty]$ (resp. $p = (C, D) \in (0, \infty]^2$) satisfying the following:

(i) $|1|_S = 1$ (resp. > 0) unless $S = \{0\}$

(ii) $|fg|_S \leq |f|_S \cdot |g|_S$ (resp.$D|f|_S \cdot |g|_S$) for any $f, g \in S$

By the conditions, we have $|1|_S \in \{0, 1\}$ (resp. $|1|_S \in (0, \infty)$). We denote by $|\cdot|_{S,p}$ the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S & \to & \mathbb{R}_+ \\ f & \mapsto & \inf\left\{\|(|f_i|_S)_{i=0}^{n-1}\|_{n,p} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, (f_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in S^n, \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i = f\right\}, \end{array}$$

where n is regarded as a normed set $\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i < n\}$ equipped with the norm given as the constant map with value 1, the sum of the empty sequence in S ($(f_i)_{i=1}^n$ in the case $n = 0$) is defined as $0 \in S$, and the ℓ^p-norm of the empty sequence in $[0, \infty)$ ($(|f_i|_S)_{i=1}^n$ in the case $n = 0$) is defined as $0 \in [0, \infty)$. Then the triad $(S, |\cdot|_{S,p}, p)$ satisfies the following properties:

(i) $|1|_{S,p} \in \{0, 1\}$ (resp. $(0, \infty)$).

(ii) $|0|_{S,p} = 0$.

(iii) For any $f, g \in S$, $|f - g|_{S,p} \leq \|(|f|_{S,p}, |g|_{S,p})\|_p$.

(iv) For any $f, g \in S$, $|fg|_{S,p} \leq |f|_{S,p}|g|_{S,p}$ (resp. $D|f|_{S,p}|g|_{S,p}$).

The condition (ii) immediately follows from the definitions related to the empty sequence. The condition (iii) follows from the definition of $|\cdot|_{S,p}$ using the infimum. The condition (i) follows from the condition (iv). We show the condition (iv). For simplicity, we only consider the case where Σ is "short" because the computation is quite similar. By the continuity of the multiplication on $\mathbb{R}$, it suffices to show $|fg|_{S,p} \leq (|f|_{S,p} + \epsilon)(|g|_{S,p} + \epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$. By the definition of $|f|_{S,p}$, there exists an $(f_i)_{i=1}^{n_f} \in S^{n_f}$ with $n_f \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n_f} f_i = f$, and $0 \leq \|(|f_i|_S)_{i=1}^{n_f}\|_p \leq (|f|_{S,p} + \epsilon)$. By the definition of $|g|_{S,p}$, there exists a $(g_j)_{j=1}^{n_g} \in S^{n_g}$ with $n_g \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{j=1}^{n_g} g_j = g$, and $0 \leq \|(|g_j|_S)_{j=1}^{n_g}\|_p \leq (|g|_{S,p} + \epsilon)$. By the definition of $|fg|_{S,p}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|fg|_{S,p} = \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n_f} f_i \sum_{j=1}^{n_g} g_j\right|_{S,p} &= \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n_f}\sum_{j=1}^{n_g} f_i g_j\right|_{S,p} \\
\leq \|((|f_i g_j|_S)_{i=1}^{n_f})_{j=1}^{n_g}\|_p &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_f}\sum_{j=1}^{n_g} |f_i g_j|_S^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_f}\sum_{j=1}^{n_g} |f_i|_S^p |g_j|_S^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_f} |f_i|_S^p \sum_{j=1}^{n_g} |g_j|_S^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_f} |f_i|_S^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_g} |g_j|_S^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \|(|f_i|_S)_{i=1}^{n_f}\|_p \|(|g_j|_S)_{j=1}^{n_g}\|_p \\
\leq (|f|_{S,p} + \epsilon)(|g|_{S,p} + \epsilon).&
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the condition (iv) holds.

We denote by R_p the completion of R with respect to the uniform structure associated to $|\cdot|_{R,p}$, i.e. the uniform structure generated by the basis $\{\{(f, g) \in R^2 \mid |f - g|_{R,p} < \epsilon\} \mid \epsilon \in (0, \infty)\}$. Since $|\cdot|_{R,p}$ satisfies the conditions (i) – (iv), its extension $|\cdot|_{R_p}$ to R_p also satisfies the conditions, and $(R, |\cdot|_R)_p := (R_p, |\cdot|_{R_p}, p)$ forms a Banach halo.

In addition, if $(R, |\cdot|_R, q)$ forms a Banach halo for a $q \leq p$, then $(R, |\cdot|_R)_p$ forms an $(R, |\cdot|_R, q)$-algebra, which is universal in $(R, |\cdot|_R, q)$-algebras whose constants are greater than or equal to p. □

Proposition 5. *Let R be a Σ Banach halo. For $p \geq p_R$, the forgetful functor $\mathrm{SMOD}_R^p \to \mathrm{NSETS}_\Sigma$ has a left adjoint.*

Proof. Let $X = (X, |\cdot|_X)$ be a normed set. We need to construct the free p-normed module on X. For this purpose, we will use Lemma 2 to enlarge p_R.

We recall that $\ell^{p_R}(X, R)$ the R-module is naturally identified with the completion of the free module $R^{(X)}$ on the underlying ring of R with respect to the uniform structure associated to $\|\cdot\|_{X,p_R}$. For any $p \geq p_R$, we denote by $\mathrm{Res}_R^{R_p}\ell^p(X, R_p)$ the restriction of scalar of the R_p-module $\ell^p(X, R_p)$ by the canonical homomorphism $(R, |\cdot|_R, p_R) \to (R, |\cdot|_R)_p$. Here, the restriction of scalar works by Lemma 1.

We show that $\mathrm{Res}_R^{R_p}\ell^p(-, R_p)$ gives the left adjoint functor to the forgetful functor

$$\mathrm{SMod}_R^p \to \mathrm{NSets}_\Sigma.$$

For this purpose, it suffices to show that for any normed set X, any Σ R-module $(M, |\cdot|_M, p_M)$ with $p_M \geq p$, and any short map $\varphi\colon X \to M$, the R-linear extension $R^{(\varphi)}\colon R^{(X)} \to M$ of φ uniquely extends to a short morphism $\mathrm{Res}_R^{R_p}\ell^p(X, R_p) \to M$ of Σ R-modules. Indeed, when Σ is "Lipschitz", the extension property for a Lipschitz morphism follows from that of a short morphism because the rescaling of a norm on X by a positive real number is an isomorphism in $\mathrm{NSets}_{\mathrm{Lipschitz}}$. When $p = p_R$, the assertion follows from the natural isomorphisms $R \cong R_p$ and $\mathrm{Res}_R^{R_p}\ell^p(X, R_p) \cong \ell^{p_R}(X, R)$. Therefore it suffices to show that the Σ R-module structure of M uniquely extends to a Σ R_p-module structure, because then the R_p-linear extension $R_p^{(\varphi)}\colon R_p^{(X)} \to M$ of φ uniquely extends to $\mathrm{Res}_R^{R_p}\ell^p(X, R_p)$.

By Lemma 1 and Proposition 2, $(\mathrm{End}_R(M), |\cdot|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}, p)$ forms an R-algebra and M forms a module over it. Therefore by the universality of R_p, $(\mathrm{End}_R(M), |\cdot|_{\mathrm{End}_R(M)}, p)$ forms an R_p-algebra, and M forms an R_p-module. □

There is a natural flow on monads on normed sets induced by the flow on normed sets, and defined (Following Nikolai Durov) by

$$\sigma_t\Sigma(X) := \sigma_t\Sigma(\sigma_{1/t}X).$$

Another motivation underlying the definition of Banach halos is given by the following result.

Corollary 1. *There is a natural fully faithful functor*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CBanhalos}_\Sigma & \to & \mathrm{Monads}(\mathrm{NSets}_\Sigma) \\ A & \mapsto & \Lambda_A \end{array}$$

from commutative Σ Banach halos to monads on normed sets defined by

$$\Lambda_A(X) := \ell^{p_A}(X, A).$$

This functor is compatible with the $\mathbb{R}_+^*$-flow.

Proof. In the short situation, the functoriality in A follows from Lemma 1. Indeed, if $f : R \to S$ is a morphism of Banach halos and $\sum a_x\{x\} \in \Lambda_R(X)$, then we have

$$|\sum f(a_x)\{x\}|_{p_S} = \|(|f(a_x)|_S \cdot |x|_X)_{x\in X}\|_{p_S} \leq \|(|a_x|_R \cdot |x|_X)_{x\in X}\|_{p_S} \leq \|(|a_x|_R \cdot |x|_X)_{x\in X}\|_{p_R}$$

because $p_R \leq p_S$, so that f induces a short map $\Lambda_R(X) \to \Lambda_S(X)$. The same result is also true in the Lipschitz setting. The full faithfulness of the functor follows from the identification

$$\Lambda_R(\{1_1\}) = R.$$

The fact that it is compatible with the flow, in the short setting, follows from $p_{\sigma_t R} = p_R/t$ and from the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum a_x\{x\}|_{p_{\sigma_t R}} &= \|(|a_x|_R \cdot |x|_X)\|_{p_{\sigma_t R}} \\ &= \left(\sum |a_x|_{\sigma_t R}^{p_{\sigma_t R}} \cdot |x|^{p_{\sigma_t R}}\right)^{1/p_{\sigma_t R}} \\ &= \left(\left(\sum (|a_x|_R^t)^{p_R/t} \cdot (|x|^{p_R})^{1/t}\right)^{1/p_R}\right)^t \\ &= \|(|a_x|_R \cdot |x|_X^{1/t})\|_{p_R}^t \end{aligned}$$

This compatibility with the flow is clear in the Lipschitz setting because the monoidal structure $\oplus^\infty$ on normed set is compatible with the flow. □

Let S be a Lipschitz Banach halo with the underlying ring S_0. It is notable that the notion of the freeness of an R-module is strictly stronger than the freeness of the underlying S_0-module even if it is of finite rank. For example, $\mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_0, \infty)$ is a $\mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$-module which is not free but whose underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank 1. Indeed, there exists no non-trivial Lipschitz $\mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$-linear homomorphism $\mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_0, \infty) \to \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$. In order to avoid such a pathlogic setting, we give a criterion for the boundedness of S_0-linear homomorphisms using $\|\cdot\|_{S,\infty}$ (cf. Definition 2).

Proposition 6. *Let M and N be S-modules. Suppose that the underlying S_0-module of M is a free S_0-module of rank $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with an S_0-linear basis $(e_j)_{j=1}^n \in M^n$ and there exists a $C \in [0, \infty[$ such that for any $(s_j)_{j=1}^n \in S_0^n$, $\|(s_j)_{j=1}^n\|_{S,\infty} \leq C|\sum_{j=1}^n s_j e_j|_M$. Then every S_0-linear homomorphism $f : M \to N$ is Lipschitz.*

Proof. Put $C_E = \max_{j=1}^n |e_j|_M \in]0, \infty[$ and $C_f = \max_{j=1}^n |f(e_j)|_N$. For any $(s_j)_{j=1}^n \in S_0^n$,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j e_j\right)\right|_N = \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j f(e_j)\right|_N \leq C_N^{n-1} \left\|(s_j f(e_j))_{j=1}^n\right\|_{N,\infty} \\
= \;& C_N^{n-1} \left\|(|s_j f(e_j)|_N)_{j=1}^n\right\|_\infty \leq C_N^{n-1} \left\|(D_N |s_j|_S |f(e_j)|_N)_{j=1}^n\right\|_\infty \\
\leq \;& C_N^{n-1} D_N C_f \left\|(|s_j|_S)_{j=1}^n\right\|_\infty = C_N^{n-1} D_N C_f \left\|(s_j)_{j=1}^n\right\|_{S,\infty} \\
\leq \;& C_N^{n-1} D_N C_f C \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j e_j\right|_M .
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that f is of operator norm $\leq C_N^{n-1} D_N C_f C$. □

2.4 Direct sums, tensor products and symmetric algebras

Let Σ denote either "short" or "Lipschitz".

Proposition 7. *Let R be a Σ Banach halo and fix $p \geq p_R$. Let $(M_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family of modules over R such that $\sup_{i\in I}(p_{M_i}) = p$. Then the coproduct of the family in both SMod_R^p and SMod_R, denoted $\bigoplus_{i\in I} M_i$ exists.*

Proof. One may write the direct sum as a quotient

$$\bigoplus_{i\in I} M_i := \mathrm{Res}_R^{R_p} \ell^p \left(\coprod_{i\in I} M_i, R_p\right) / \sim$$

of the free p-normed module of Proposition 5 by the closure of the appropriate direct sum relations. This will fulfill the desired universal property. □

Definition 10. Let $(M_i)_{i\in I}$ be a finite ordered family of modules over a Σ Banach halo R such that $\sup(p_{M_i}) = p$. Then we define the tensor product $\bigotimes_{i\in I} M_i$ as the quotient

$$\bigotimes_{i\in I} M_i = \mathrm{Res}_R^{R_p} \ell^p(\otimes_{m,i\in I} M_i, R_p)/\sim$$

of the free p-module of Proposition 5 on the multiplicative tensor product of the underlying normed sets by the appropriate bilinearity relations. The corresponding constant is fixed to be p.

Proposition 8. *Let $(M_i)_{i\in I}$ be a finite ordered family of modules over a Σ Banach halo R such that $\sup(p_{M_i}) = p$. Then the tensor product $\otimes_{i\in I} M_i$ represents the functor*

$$\mathrm{Multilin}((M_i)_{i\in I}, -) : \mathrm{Mod}_R^p \to \mathrm{NSets}_\Sigma$$

that sends a R-module M with $p_M \geq p$ to the set of R-multilinear Σ maps $f : \otimes_{m,i\in I} M_i \to M$ equipped with the operator norm.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4 and the classical construction of tensor product of modules on rings. □

Proposition 9. *The forgetful functor $F : \mathrm{CALG}_R \to \mathrm{SMOD}_R$ from commutative algebras over R to the category of R-modules with short morphisms has a left adjoint called the symmetric algebra functor and denoted $M \mapsto \mathrm{Sym}_R(M)$.*

Proof. One may describe explicitely the symmetric algebra by

$$\mathrm{Sym}_R(M) := \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M^{\otimes n}/I_c$$

where I_c is the closed two sided ideal generated by commutators $x \otimes y - y \otimes x$ in the free associative algebra $T_R(M) := \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M^{\otimes n}$ over R. This gives an adjoint because it is a composition of two adjoint functors: the adjoint to the forgetful functor from commutative R-algebras to associative R-algebras and the adjoint to the forgetful functor from associative R-algebras to R-modules. □

2.5 Scalar extensions of modules

Proposition 10. *Let $R \to S$ be a Σ-Banach halo morphism. Then the restriction of scalar functor $\mathrm{MOD}_S \to \mathrm{MOD}_R$ has an adjoint, denoted $\otimes_R S$, and called the scalar extension along $R \to S$.*

Proof. The scalar extension of an R-module M by a morphism $R \to S$ is given by the tensor product $M \otimes_R S$, seen as an S-module. Proposition 8 implies that it is an adjoint of the restriction of scalars. □

Example 3. We abbreviate $\|\cdot\|_{(\mathbb{R},|\cdot|_\infty,q)}$ to $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\ell^q}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{(\mathbb{Q}_p,|\cdot|_p,q)}$ to $\|\cdot\|_{p,\ell^q}$ for any $q \in [1,\infty)$. Let us consider the case where Σ is "short", $R = (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, $S = (\mathbb{Z}_p, |\cdot|_p, \infty)$, and $M = (\mathbb{Z}^n, |\cdot|_{\infty,\ell^q}, 1)$ for a $q \in [1,\infty)$. We have a natural S-linear isomorphism $M \otimes_R^{alg} S \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, and hence we identify them. Then $|\cdot|_{M\otimes_R S}$ is bounded by $|\cdot|_{p,\ell^\infty}$ by the definition of the left hand side using the infimum. On the other hand, $|\cdot|_{p,\ell^\infty}$ is known to satisfy the axiom of a module over S. Therefore for any $m \in M \otimes_R^{alg} S$ with a presentation $\sum_{i=0}^n m_i \otimes f_i = m$, we have

$$|m|_{p,\ell^\infty} \le \|(|m_i \otimes 1|_{p,\ell^\infty}, |f_i|_p)_{i=0}^n\|_\infty \le \|(|m_i|_{\infty,\ell^1}, |f_i|_p)_{i=0}^n\|_\infty = \|(|m_i|_M |f_i|_S)_{i=0}^n\|_{\rho_S}$$

Taking the infimum, we obtain $|m|_{p,\ell^\infty} \le |m|_{M\otimes_R S}$. Thus we obtain $|\cdot|_{p,\ell^\infty} = |\cdot|_{M\otimes_R S}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ is complete with respect to $|\cdot|_{p,\ell^\infty}$, its completion is isomorphic to itself, so that we get

$$(\mathbb{Z}^n, |\cdot|_{\infty,\ell^q}, 1) \otimes_{(\mathbb{Z},|\cdot|_\infty,1)} (\mathbb{Z}_p, |\cdot|_p, \infty) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p^n, |\cdot|_{p,\ell^\infty}, \infty).$$

We can also apply the completely same argument to other norms $|\cdot|'$ on $\mathbb{Z}^n$, as long as it satisfies the following two conditions:

1. The norm of a vector whose entries are 0 except for a single entry 1 is 1. (The condition is used to show that $|\cdot|_{M\otimes_R S}$ is bounded by $|\cdot|_{p,\ell^\infty}$.)
2. $(\mathbb{Z}^n, |\cdot|') \to (\mathbb{Z}_p^n, |\cdot|_{p,\ell^\infty})$ is short. (This condition is used to show $\|(|m_i\otimes 1|_{p,\ell^\infty}, |f_i|_p)_{i=0}^n\|_\infty \leq \|(|m_i|_{\infty,\ell^1}, |f_i|_p)_{i=0}^n\|_\infty$ above).

Example 4. In particular, the scalar extension of $M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n^2}$ equipped with the ℓ^2-operator norm along $(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1) \to (\mathbb{Z}_p, |\cdot|_p, \infty)$ is isomorphic to $M_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ equipped with the ℓ^∞ norm. Indeed, the first condition is clear, and the second follows from the following argument. Let $A \in M_n$. We denote by $A_{i,j}$ the (i,j)-entry of A for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then we have $A\delta_j = (A_{i,j})_{i=1}^n$ and hence $|A|_{\ell^2,op} = |A|_{\ell^2,op}|\delta_j|_{\infty,\ell^2} \geq |(A_{i,j})_{i=1}^n|_{\infty,\ell^2} \geq |(A_{i,j})_{i=1}^n|_{\infty,\ell^\infty}$ (where $|\cdot|_{\infty,\ell^q}$ denotes $\|\cdot\|_q$) for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore we obtain $|A|_{p,\ell^\infty} \leq |A|_{\infty,\ell^\infty} \leq |A|_{\ell^2,op}$ under the natural identification of $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{n^2}$.

2.6 Finite colimits of algebras and converging power series

Another good motivation for working with halos is given by the following result.

Proposition 11. *Let A be a commutative Σ Banach halo. Then finite coproducts exist in the category of commutative A-algebras.*

Proof. Let B and C be two A-algebras. The (B,C)-bimodule structure on $B \otimes C$ is given by Proposition 10 applied to the pair of B and the underlying A-module structure of C, and the pair of C and the underlying A-module structure of B, because of the symmetry of $\otimes$. It gives an A-bilinear map $B \otimes_m C \to \mathrm{End}_A(B \otimes C)$, which extends to $B \otimes C$ as an A-module homomorphism by Proposition 8. Thus $B \otimes C$ is equipped with a multiplication. The ring axiom immediately follows from the continuity of the operations and the ring axiom of the image of algebraic tensor product. □

Corollary 2. *Let A be a commutative Σ Banach halo. Finite colimits exist in the category* $\mathrm{CBANHALOS}_A$ *of A-algebras.*

Proof. The coequalizer of a pair $f, g : R \to S$ of parallel arrows is defined as the quotient of S by the closure of the ideal generated by $\{f(x) - g(x),\ x \in R\}$. It fulfills the universal property of the coequalizer. Since finite coproducts exist in the category of A-algebras, we get the desired result. □

Proposition 12. *Let A be a commutative Σ Banach halo. The adjoint to the forgetful functor* $\mathrm{ALG}_A \to \mathrm{NSETS}_\Sigma$ *exists and is denoted $X \mapsto A\langle X\rangle$. The A-algebra $A\langle X\rangle$ is called the A-algebra of converging power series on the normed set X.*

Proof. Let X be a normed set and $(X)_m^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the corresponding free multiplicatively normed monoid. Then

$$A\langle X\rangle := \ell^{p_A}((X)_m^{\mathbb{N}}, A)$$

is an A-algebra that fulfills the desired universal property. One may also use the composition of the symmetric algebra functor and of the free module construction to describe the same algebra. □

3 Short isometries and classical compact groups

3.1 Short isometries of Lipschitz coalgebras

Let R be a Lipschitz Banach halo. In this section, we abbreviate the tensor product $\otimes_R$ of Lipschitz R-modules, which naturally makes sense by extending the construction for modules over a short Banach halo using free modules, to $\otimes$ as long as there is no ambiguity.

Definition 11. A monoid (resp. comonoid) with involution (C, σ) over R is a pair composed of a monoid (resp. comonoid) object in the monoidal category of Lipschitz R-modules and of an involution σ that is a Lipschitz monoid (resp. comonoid) morphism $C \to C^{op}$.

For an R-module M, we denote by $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_R(M, R)$ the R-linear dual of M, i.e. the R-module given as the subset of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, R)$ consisting of Lipschitz R-linear homomorphisms equipped with the operator norm $|\cdot|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M,R)} = |\cdot|_{op}$ and the constant $p_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_R(M,R)} = p_M$. We abbreviate $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_R(M, R)$ to $M^\vee$ unless there is no ambiguity of R. Then we obtain a contravariant functor $\vee\colon \textsc{Mod}(R) \to \textsc{Mod}(R),\ M \mapsto M^\vee$.

Proposition 13. *Let (C, σ) be a comonoid with involution over R. Then $(C^\vee, \sigma^\vee)$ is a monoid with involution over R.*

Proof. For every R-module M, there is a natural evaluation homomorphism

$$M \otimes M^\vee \to R.$$

This gives an R-linear morphism

$$C^\vee \otimes C^\vee \otimes C \otimes C \to R.$$

This induces an R-linear morphism

$$C^\vee \otimes C^\vee \to (C \otimes C)^\vee.$$

Composing it with the dual of the comultiplication on C, we get a multiplication

$$C^\vee \otimes C^\vee \to C^\vee,$$

for which $C^\vee$ forms a monoid object for $\otimes$. This is equivalent to an R-algebra structure on A. □

Remark 2. We note that the dual statement of Proposition 13 is not necessarily true. In other words, the dual of a monoid with involution (A, σ) might not necessarily a comonoid with involution, as the dual of the multiplication $A \otimes A \to A$ is a morphism $A^\vee \to (A \otimes A)^\vee$ which might not factor through the natural morphism $A^\vee \otimes A^\vee \to (A \otimes A)^\vee$.

Let (C, σ) be a comonoid with involution over R. For a commutative R-algebra S and $(c, a) \in C \times (C^\vee \otimes S)$, we abbreviate to $a(c) \in S$ the image of $c \otimes a \in C \otimes C^\vee \otimes S$ by the scalar extension by S of the pairing $C \otimes C^\vee \to R$ associated to the R-bilinear map $C \otimes^m C^\vee \to R, (c, f) \mapsto f(c)$ by the universality of $\otimes$.

Definition 12. We denote by CALG_R be the category of commutative R-algebras and bounded R-algebra homomorphisms, and define the functor of short isometries of (C, σ), which will be denoted by $\mathrm{SIso}(C, \sigma)$, by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{CALG}_R & \to & \mathrm{GRP} \\ S & \mapsto & \left\{ a \in C^\vee \otimes S \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \exists D \in]0, \infty[, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (c_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in C^n, \\ |a(c_0) \cdots a(c_{n-1})|_S \leq D |c_0|_C \cdots |c_{n-1}|_C, \\ a\sigma_S(a) = \sigma_S(a) a = 1 \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

For a comonoid C in MOD_R and an R-algebra S, we denote by $\alpha_{C,S}$ the Lipshictz S-linear homomorphism

$$C^\vee \otimes_R S = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_R(C, R) \otimes_R S \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(C \otimes_R S, S)$$

assigning to each $a \in C^\vee \otimes_R S$ the Lipschitz S-linear homomorphism given as the S-linear extension of the evaluation map $C \to S,\ c \mapsto a(c)$.

Proposition 14. *Let (C, σ) be a comonoid with involution over R and suppose that for every R-algebra S, $\alpha_{C,S}$ is an isomorphism. Then the group of short isometries* $\mathrm{SIso}(C, \sigma)$ *is represented by the algebra*

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{SIso}(C, \sigma)) := \mathrm{Sym}_R(C) / \overline{(\{\nabla((1 \otimes \sigma)(\Delta(c))) - \eta(c) \mid c \in C\})}.$$

Proof. The comonoid structure (Δ, η) (resp. the involution σ) on C induces a comultiplication and a counit (resp. an involution σ) on the tensor R-algebra $(T_R(C), \nabla)$ given by

$$T_R(C) = \bigoplus_{n \in N} C^{\otimes n}$$

by the functoriality of $\oplus$ and $\otimes$, the universality of $\oplus$, and the symmetry of $\otimes$. Moreover, $T_R(C)$ satisfies the axioms of a bimonoid expect for the coassociativity with respect to the comultiplication by construction. Those operations induce operations on $\mathrm{Sym}_R(C)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{SIso}(C,\sigma))$, for which $\mathrm{Sym}_R(C)$ forms a bimonoid and $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{SIso}(C,\sigma))$ forms a Hopf monoid.

Let S be a commutative R-algebra. Then every R-algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{SIso}(C,\sigma)) \to S$ corresponds to an R-linear homomorphism $f : C \to S$ such that

$$\nabla((f \otimes f)((1 \otimes \sigma)(\Delta(c)))) = \eta(c)$$

for any $c \in C$. We denote $f_S : C \otimes S \to S$ the scalar extension of f to S. By hypothesis, f_S can be represented as $\alpha_{C,S}(a)$ for a unique $a \in C^\vee \otimes_R S$. By definition, the image of $c \otimes a$ and $c \otimes \sigma_S^\vee(a)$ by the natural pairing

$$C \otimes C^\vee \otimes S \to R \otimes S \cong S$$

coincides with $f(c)$ and $f(\sigma(c))$ respectively for any $c \in C$. We now show that $a \in \mathrm{SIso}(C,\sigma)(S)$.

The converging infinite sums may be permuted in a given halo. Take a presentation $\sum_{h\in H} a_h \otimes t_h$ of a. Let $c \in C \otimes S$. Take a presentation $\sum_{i\in I} c_i \otimes s_i$ of c. For each $i \in I$,

take a presentation $\sum_{j\in J_i} c_{i,j,1}\otimes c_{i,j,2}$ of $\Delta(c)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iota_S(a\sigma_S^\vee(a)-1)(c) = \iota_S(a\sigma_S^\vee(a)-1)\left(\sum_{i\in I} c_i\otimes s_i\right)\\
=\ & \sum_{h_1,h_2\in H}\sum_{i\in I}\iota_S(a_{h_1}\sigma^\vee(a_{h_2})\otimes t_{h_1}t_{h_2}-1)(c\otimes s_i)\\
=\ & \sum_{h_1,h_2\in H}\sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J_i}\iota_S(a_{h_1}\sigma^\vee(a_{h_2})\otimes t_{h_1}t_{h_2})(c_{i,j,1}\otimes c_{i,j,2}\otimes s_i)\\
& -\sum_{i\in I}\iota_S(\eta\otimes 1)(c\otimes s_i)\\
=\ & \sum_{h_1,h_2\in H}\sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J_i} a_{h_1}(c_{i,j,1})\sigma^\vee(a_{h_2})(c_{i,j,2})t_{h_1}t_{h_2}s_i-\sum_{i\in I}\eta(c)s_i\\
=\ & \sum_{h_1,h_2\in H}\sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in J_i} a_{h_1}(c_{i,j,1})a_{h_2}(\sigma(c_{i,j,2}))t_{h_1}t_{h_2}s_i-\sum_{i\in I}\eta(c)s_i\\
=\ & \sum_{i\in I} s_i\sum_{j\in J_i}\left(\sum_{h_1\in H} a_{h_1}(c_{i,j,1})t_{h_1}\right)\left(\sum_{h_2\in H} a_{h_2}(\sigma(c_{i,j,2}))t_{h_2}\right)-\sum_{i\in I}\eta(c)s_i\\
=\ & \sum_{i\in I} s_i\sum_{j\in J_i}\iota_S(a)(c_{i,j,1}\otimes 1)\iota_S(a)(\sigma(c_{i,j,2})\otimes 1)-\sum_{i\in I}\eta(c)s_i\\
=\ & \sum_{i\in I} s_i\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} f_S(c_{i,j,1}\otimes 1)f_S(\sigma(c_{i,j,2})\otimes 1)-\sum_{i\in I}\eta(c)s_i\\
=\ & \sum_{i\in I} s_i\sum_{j\in J_i} f(c_{i,j,1})f(\sigma(c_{i,j,2}))-\sum_{i\in I}\eta(c)s_i\\
=\ & \sum_{i\in I} s_i\nabla((1\otimes\sigma(\Delta(c)))-\sum_{i\in I}\eta(c)s_i\\
=\ & \sum_{i\in I} s_i\eta(c)-\sum_{i\in I}\eta(c)s_i\\
=\ & 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\iota_S(a\sigma_S^\vee(a)-1)=0$. Since ι_S is injective, we obtain $a\sigma_S^\vee(a)-1=0$, i.e. $a\in \mathrm{SIso}(C,\sigma)$. The opposite implication follows from the same computation. □

A Lipschitz Banach halo S is said to be *with submultiplicative norm* if $|fg|_S\le |f|_S|g|_S$ for any $(f,g)\in S^2$, and is said to be *uniform* if $|f^n|_S=|f|_S^n$ for any $(f,n)\in S\times\mathbb{N}$. For example, if $D_R\le 1$, then every R-algebra S is with submultiplicative norm by $D_S\le D_R=1$.

Proposition 15. *For any commutative R-algebra S with submultiplicative norm,*

$$\{a \in C^\vee \otimes S \mid \forall c \in C, |a(c)|_S \leq |c|_C, a\sigma_S(a) = \sigma_S(a)a = 1\}$$

is contained in $\mathrm{SIso}(C, \sigma)(S)$.

Proof. For any $(c_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in C^n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|a(c_0) \cdots a(c_{n-1})|_S \leq |a(c_0)|_S \cdots |a(c_{n-1})|_S \leq |c_0|_C \cdots |c_{n-1}|_C.$$

□

Proposition 16. *For any uniform commutative R-algebra S with submultiplicative norm, we have*

$$\mathrm{SIso}(C, \sigma)(S) = \{a \in C^\vee \otimes S \mid \forall c \in C, |a(c)|_S \leq |c|_C, a\sigma_S(a) = \sigma_S(a)a = 1\}.$$

Proof. The right hand side is contained in the left hand side by Proposition 15. Let $a \in \mathrm{SIso}(C, \sigma)(S)$. By the definition of $\mathrm{SIso}(C, \sigma)(S)$, there exists some $R \in]0, \infty[$ such that $|a(c_0) \cdots a(c_{n-1})|_S \leq R|c_0|_C \cdots |c_{n-1}|_C$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(c_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in C^n$. Let $c \in C$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|a(c)|_S^n = |a(c)^n|_S \leq R|c|_C^n$ by the uniformity of S, and hence $|a(c)|_S \leq R^{\frac{1}{n}}|c|_C$. It implies $|a(c)|_S \leq |c|_C$, as $\lim_{n\to\infty} R^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$. □

We finish this subsection by giving sufficient conditions for the assumption in Proposition 14.

Proposition 17. *Let C be a comonoid of Mod_R. If the underlying module of C over the underlying ring R_0 of R is free of finite rank and every R-linear homomorphism $C \to R$ is Lipschitz, then for every R-algebra S, the morphism*

$$\alpha_{C,S} : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_R(C, R) \otimes_R S \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(C \otimes_R S, S)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We denote by R_0 the underlying ring of R, and by S_0 the underlying ring of S. Take an R_0-linear basis $(c_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of the underlying R_0-module of C. Since every R-linear homomorphism $C \to R$ is Lipschitz, the underlying R_0-module of $C^\vee$ admits its dual basis $(\delta_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$, and hence the underlying S_0-module of $C^\vee \otimes_R S$ is a free S_0-module of rank n with the S_0-linear basis $(\delta_i \otimes 1)_{i=0}^{n-1}$. Put $C_0 \coloneqq \max_{i=0}^{n-1} |c_i|_C$ and $C_1 \coloneqq \max_{i=0}^{n-1} |\delta_i|_{C^\vee}$. The composite of the given morphism and the canonical embedding from $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(C \otimes_R S, S)$ into the S_0-module of all R-linear homomorphisms $C \to S$ is an S_0-linear isomorphism, every R-linear homomorphism $C \to S$ extends to a Lipschitz R-linear homomorphism $C \otimes_R S \to S$. This implies that the given morphism is also an S_0-linear isomorphism. Therefore it suffices to show that the inverse of the given morphism

is Lipschitz. For this purpose, it suffices to show that for any $d \in C^\vee \otimes_R S$, its image $d' \in \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(C \otimes_R S, S)$ is of norm $\geq |1|_S^{-1} C_0^{-1} C_1^{-1} C_S^{1-n} |d|_{C^\vee \otimes_R S}$.

Take a unique $(s_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in S_0^n$ with $d = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_i \otimes s_i$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |d|_{C^\vee \otimes_R S} &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_i \otimes s_i \right|_{C^\vee \otimes_R S} \leq C_S^{n-1} \left\| (|\delta_i|_{C^\vee} |s_i|_S)_{i=0}^{n-1} \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq C_S^{n-1} \left\| (|\delta_i|_{C^\vee})_{i=0}^{n-1} \right\|_\infty \left\| (|s_i|_S)_{i=0}^{n-1} \right\|_\infty = C_S^{n-1} C_1 \left\| (|s_i|_S)_{i=0}^{n-1} \right\|_\infty . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d'|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(C \otimes_R S, S)} = |d'|_{op} &\geq \frac{|d'(c_i \times 1)|_S}{|c_i \otimes 1|_{C \otimes_R S}} \\ = \frac{|s_i|_S}{|c_i \otimes 1|_{C \otimes_R S}} &\geq \frac{|s_i|_S}{|c_i|_C |1|_S} \geq |1|_S^{-1} C_0^{-1} |s_i|_S \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and hence

$$|d'|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(C \otimes_R S, S)} \geq |1|_S^{-1} C_0^{-1} \left\| (|s_i|_S) \right\|_\infty \geq |1|_S^{-1} C_0^{-1} C_1^{-1} C_S^{1-n} |d|_{C^\vee \otimes_R S}.$$

□

Corollary 3. *Let C be a comonoid of Mod_R. Suppose the underlying module of C over the underlying ring R_0 of R is free of rank $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with an R_0-linear basis $(c_i)_{i=1}^n$, and suppose further that there exists a constant $D \in]0, \infty[$ such that for any $(r_i)_{i=1}^n \in R^n$, the equality $|(r_i)_{i=1}^n|_{S,\infty} \leq D |\sum_{i=1}^n r_i c_i|_C$ holds. Then the morphism*

$$\alpha_{C,S} : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_R(C, R) \otimes_R S \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(C \otimes_R S, S)$$

is an isomorphism for any R-algebra S.

Proof. The assertion immediately follows from Proposition 6 and Proposition 17. □

3.2 The global short isometry subgroup of GL_n

The aim of this whole Section is to prove the following theorem, that is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *There exists an explicit Lipschitz coalgebra with involution (C, σ) over $\mathbf{Z} = \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$ whose short isometry group, denoted $K_n = \mathrm{SIso}(C, \sigma)$, is representable by a Lipschitz Banach halo $\mathcal{A}(K_n)$ and fulfils*

$$K_n(R) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$$

for every $R \in \mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ and such that, if we denote $\mathbf{R} = \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$ and $\mathbf{Q}_p = \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{Q}_p, |\cdot|_p, \infty)$, we have

1. $K_n(\mathbf{R}) \cong O_n(\mathbb{R})$,

2. $K_n(\mathbf{Q}_p) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$,

3. $K_n(\mathbf{Z}) \cong O_n(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \equiv \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\{\pm 1\}})$

Remark 3. We may change $\mathbf{Z}$ to $\sigma_t(\mathbf{Z})$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ without changing these results.

We fix a positive integer n. We set $R = (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$. Let S be a commutative R-algebra with the underlying ring S_0 with $p_S = 1$. We fix a $q \in [1, \infty]$. We denote by $S^n_{\ell q}$ the triad $(S_0^n, \|\cdot\|_{S,q}, 1)$ (cf. Definition 2), which forms an S-module by $1 \leq \min\{q, p_S\}$. We observe the structures of the endomorphism ring of $S^n_{\ell q}$ (cf. proposition 2).

Proposition 18. *(i) Every S_0-linear endomorphism of the S-modules $S^n_{\ell q}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(S^n_{\ell q}, S)$ is Lipschitz. In particular, for any $f \in \mathrm{End}_S(S^n_{\ell q})$, its S_0-linear dual $f^\vee$ belongs to $\mathrm{End}_S(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(S^n_{\ell q}, S))$.*

(ii) The map $\vee\colon \mathrm{End}_S(S^n_{\ell q}) \to \mathrm{End}_S(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(S^n_{\ell q}, S))$, $f \mapsto f^\vee$ is a Lipschitz S_0-linear isomorphism whose inverse is also Lipschitz.

Proof. The assertions immediately follow from Proposition 6, because we can choose E to be the canonical bases and C to be 1. □

We also denote by $\vee$ the inverse of $\vee$ in the assertion of Proposition 18 (ii). We denote by $M(n, S, q)$ the direct product $\mathrm{Lip}(S)$-algebra

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Lip}(S)}(\mathrm{Lip}(S^n_{\ell q})) \times \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Lip}(S)}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathrm{Lip}(S)}(\mathrm{Lip}(S^n_{\ell q}), \mathrm{Lip}(S))),$$

and equip it with the involution

$$\begin{aligned} t_{M(n,S,q)}\colon M(n,S,q) &\to M(n,S,q) \\ (f,g) &\mapsto (g^\vee, f^\vee), \end{aligned}$$

which is a monoid homomorphism $M(n, S, q) \to M(n, S, q)^{op}$. In particular, $M(n, S, q)$ is a monoid with involution over $\mathrm{Lip}(S)$, whose underlying S_0-algebra with involution represents $\mathrm{GL}_n \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \mathrm{Spec}(S_0)$.

We note that the underlying S_0-module of $M(n, S, q)$ is a free S_0-module of rank $2n^2$. Therefore its S_0-linear dual is also a free S_0-module of rank $2n^2$ and the multiplication of $M(n, S, q)$ induces a comultiplication on it. Contrary to Remark 2, the comultiplication is Lipschitz as a map

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathrm{Lip}(S)}(M(n,S,q), \mathrm{Lip}(S)) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathrm{Lip}(S)}(M(n,S,q), \mathrm{Lip}(S))^{\otimes_S 2}$$

by Proposition 6. Therefore $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathrm{Lip}(S)}(M(n, S, q), \mathrm{Lip}(S))$ forms a comonoid with involution over $\mathrm{Lip}(S)$ with respect to the dual involution of $t_{M(n,S,q)}$.

We denote by $(C(K_n), \sigma_{C(K_n)})$ the $\mathbf{Z}$-linear dual of the monoid with involution over $\mathbf{Z}$ given as $(M(n,R,2), t_{M(n,R,2)})$ except that the norm $|\cdot|_{M(n,R,2)}$ is replaced by the restriction of $|\cdot|_{M(n,(\mathbb{R},|\cdot|_\infty,1),2)}$ along the natural map

$$M(n,R,2) = M(n,(\mathbb{Z},|\cdot|_\infty,1),2) \to M(n,(\mathbb{R},|\cdot|_\infty,1),2).$$

Then $(C(K_n), \sigma_{C(K_n)})$ forms a comonoid with involution over $\mathbf{Z}$ again by Proposition 6.

Since $(C(K_n), \sigma_{C(K_n)})$ is a comonoid object in $\textsc{Mod}(\mathbf{Z})$ with an involution, we can apply SIso to it. The reader should be careful that the ℓ^2 operator norm on matrices over $\mathbb{Z}$ might not be reflexive, i.e. might not coincide with the second dual norm. That is why we use the restriction of $|\cdot|_{M(n,(\mathbb{R},|\cdot|_\infty,1),2)}$ instead of $|\cdot|_{M(n,R,2)}$ here.

Proposition 19. *The group of short isometries* $\mathrm{SIso}(C(K_n), \sigma_{C(K_n)})$ *is represented by* $\mathcal{A}(K_n) := \mathcal{A}(\mathrm{SIso}(C(K_n), \sigma_{C(K_n)}))$.

Proof. The assertion immediately follows from Proposition 14. Indeed, we will show in Proposition 22 that the dual basis of the canonical basis of $M(n,R,2)$ satisfies the assumption of Corollary 3 with respect to the constant $D = 1$. □

We will give an explicit computation of $|\cdot|_{C(K_n)}$ for the reader's convenience in §3.4.

3.3 Global short isometry subgroups of other classical groups

Let $V = \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the free module, that we first consider as a mere module. Recall from [KMRT98] the definition of the group of isometries of an algebra with involution (A, σ) over a base commutative ring R, i.e. a pair of an R-algebra A and an involution σ on A that is a ring homomorphism $A \to A^{op}$.

Definition 13. Let (A, σ) be an algebra with involution over a commutative ring R and S be a commutative R-algebra. Then the group of isometries of (A, σ) with values in S is

$$\mathrm{Iso}(A,\sigma)(S) := \{a \in A \otimes_R S \mid a\sigma(a) = \sigma(a)a = 1_A\}.$$

By abuse of notation, if R, S and A are Lipschitz Banach halos, we will also denote $\mathrm{Iso}(A,\sigma)(S)$ the corresponding multiplicative subgroup of the scalar extension $A \otimes_R S$. By Proposition 15 and Proposition 16, we obtain the following justification of the terminology of "the functor of short isometries" in §3.1:

Proposition 20. *Let R be a commutative Lipschitz Banach halo with $D_R = 1$, (C, σ) a coalgebra with involution over R, and S a commutative R-algebra. We have*

$$\{a \in \mathrm{Iso}(C^\vee, \sigma^\vee)(S) \mid \forall c \in C, |a(c)|_S \leq |c|_C\} \subset \mathrm{SIso}(C,\sigma)(S).$$

In addition, if S is uniform, then the inclusion is an equality.

We already know that GL_n is naturally identified with the isometry group $\mathrm{Iso}(A,\sigma)$ of an algebra with involution given by $A = \mathrm{End}(V) \times \mathrm{End}(V^\vee)$ with its transposition involution

$$\sigma(f,g) = (g^\vee, f^\vee),$$

where End denotes the algebraic endomorphism ring of a mere module. Let $\varphi : V \times V \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a non-degenerate bilinear pairing and consider the associated involution algebra $(\mathrm{End}(V), \sigma_\varphi)$, where

$$\sigma_\varphi(f) = (\varphi^\flat)^{-1} \circ f^\vee \circ \varphi^\flat,$$

with $\varphi^\flat : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^\vee$ the isomorphism induced by φ.

There is a natural injective morphism of involution algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{End}(V) & \to & \mathrm{End}(V) \times \mathrm{End}(V^\vee) \\ f & \mapsto & (f, [\sigma_\psi(f)]^\vee) \end{array}$$

that is compatible with the involutions σ and σ_φ and induces the natural map

$$\mathrm{Iso}(\mathrm{End}(V), \sigma_\varphi) \to \mathrm{GL}_n$$

between the classical group associated to the given non-degenerate bilinear form and GL_n.

By $\mathbb{Z}$-linear duality, we get a surjective morphism of comonoid with involution

$$[\mathrm{End}(V) \times \mathrm{End}(V^\vee)]^\vee \to \mathrm{End}(V)^\vee.$$

Since $\mathrm{End}(V) \times \mathrm{End}(V^\vee)$ is the underlying monoid with involution over $\mathbb{Z}$ of $M(2, (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1, 2)$, the source of the morphism precisely coincides with the underlying comonoid with involution over $\mathbb{Z}$ of the comonoid with involution $C(K_n)$ over $\textsc{Mod}(\mathbf{Z})$. We use this map to induce a quotient norm $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ on the target comonoid with involution $(\mathrm{End}(V)^\vee, \sigma_\varphi^\vee)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

This gives a comonoid $C(K_n(\varphi)) = (\mathrm{End}(V)^\vee, \|\cdot\|_\varphi, (2,1))$ with involution $\sigma_\varphi^\vee$ over $\mathbf{Z}$.

Definition 14. The short isometry group $\mathrm{SIso}(C(K_n(\varphi)), \sigma_\varphi^\vee)$ of the comonoid with involution $(C(K_n(\varphi)), \sigma_\varphi^\vee)$ is denoted $K_n(\varphi)$ and called the short isometry group of the given bilinear form.

The short surjective comonoid homomorphism

$$C(K_n) \to C(K_n(\varphi))$$

given by the quotient map

$$[\mathrm{End}(V) \times \mathrm{End}(V^\vee)]^\vee \to \mathrm{End}(V)^\vee$$

induces a natural embedding

$$K_n(\varphi) \to K_n \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$$

because of the compatibility of $\sigma_{C(K_n)}$ and σ_φ. By Proposition 16, this embedding induces a natural embedding

$$\begin{aligned} & K_n(\varphi)(S) = \mathrm{SIso}(C(K_n(\varphi)), \sigma_\varphi^\vee)(S) = \mathrm{SIso}(\mathrm{End}(V)^\vee, \|\cdot\|_\varphi, \sigma_\varphi^\vee)(S) \\ \to \quad & \mathrm{Iso}(\mathrm{End}(V)^{\vee\vee}, \sigma_\varphi^{\vee\vee})(S) \cong \mathrm{Iso}(\mathrm{End}(V), \sigma_\varphi)(S) \end{aligned}$$

of subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(S)$ for any uniform $\mathbf{Z}$-algebra S.

Remark 4. More generally, let B be a ring which is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of finite rank m, and γ be an involution of B that is a ring homomorphism $B \to B^{op}$. Fix a left B-module M which is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of finite rank n such that the canonical morphism $B \to \mathrm{End}(M)$ is injective. We have considered the specific setting $(B, M) = (\mathrm{End}(V), V)$, but B can be chosen arbitrarily because M can be taken as the regular left B-module.

Then we may embed B in

$$A = \mathrm{End}(M) \times \mathrm{End}(M^\vee)$$

by the left action on M and the dual action on $M^\vee$ twisted by γ, i.e. by $(b \cdot f)(m) := f(\gamma(b)m)$ for $(b, f, m) \in B \times M^\vee \times M$. A construction similar to the above one gives a quotient comonoid map

$$A^\vee \to B^\vee$$

that allows us to define a subgroup

$$K(B, \gamma) \subset K_n$$

of the standard subgroup K_n of $\mathrm{GL}_n \cong \mathrm{GL}(M)$ contained in $\mathrm{Iso}(B, \gamma)$.

3.4 Computation of p-adic and real points

Following the convention in §3.3, we demonstrate the computation of p-adic and real points of K_n. Throughout this subsection, let R denote the short Banach halo $(\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, and S denote a commutative R-algebra with undering ring S_0 and $p_S = 1$.

For an $A \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ (resp. $\mathrm{End}(V^\vee)$) and an $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $1 \le i, j \le n$, we denote by $A[i, j]$ the (i, j)-entry of A with respect to the matrix presentation for the canonical basis of V (resp. $V^\vee$). For an $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $1 \le i, j \le n$, we denote by $E_{i,j} \in \mathrm{End}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ the matrix whose (i, j)-entry is 1 and whose other entries are 0. For any $(i, j, b) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $1 \le i, j \le n$ and $b \le 1$, we denote by $E_{i,j,b} \in M(n, R, 2)$ the image of $E_{i,j}$ in $\mathrm{End}_R(R^n_{\ell^2})$ when $b = 0$ and in $\mathrm{End}_R((R^n_{\ell^2})^\vee)$ when $b = 1$. Then $((E_{i,j,b})^n_{i,j=1})^1_{b=0}$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear basis of the underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module of $M(n, R, 2)$. We denote by $((e_{i,j,b})^n_{i,j=1})^1_{b=0}$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear basis of the underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module of $C(K_n)$ dual to $((E_{i,j,b})^n_{i,j=1})^1_{b=0}$.

Proposition 21. *For any $(i, j, b) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $1 \le i, j \le n$ and $b \le 1$, the equality $|e_{i,j,b}|_{C(K_n)} = 1$ holds.*

Proof. Set $S = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$. For any $(B_0, B_1) \in M(n, R, 2)$ naturally regarded as an element of $M(n, S, 2)$, we have

$$|e_{i,j,b}(B_0, B_1)|_\infty = |B_b[i,j]|_\infty \leq |(B_0, B_1)|_{M(n,S,2)}.$$

This implies $|e_{i,j,b}|_{\mathcal{C}(K_n)} \leq 1$. On the other hand, we have $|e_{i,j,b}(E_{i,j,b})|_\infty = |1|_\infty = 1$. This implies $|e_{i,j,b}|_{C(K_n)} \geq 1$ by $|E_{i,j,b}|_{M(n,S,2)} = 1$. □

Proposition 22. *For any $((r_{i,j,b})_{i,j=1}^n)_{b=0}^1 \in ((R^n)^n)^2$, the equality $\|((|r_{i,j,b}|)_{i,j=1}^n)_{b=0}^1\|_\infty\| \leq |\sum_{b=0}^1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n r_{i,j,b} e_{i,j,b}|_{C(K_n)}$ holds.*

Proof. Set $S = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$. For any $(i_0, j_0, b_0) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $1 \leq i_0, j_0 \leq n$ and $b_0 \leq 1$, we have

$$\left| \left(\sum_{b=0}^{1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} r_{i,j,b} e_{i,j,b} \right) (E_{i_0,j_0,b_0}) \right|_R = |r_{i_0,j_0,b_0}|_R.$$

and hence

$$\left| \sum_{b=0}^{1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} r_{i,j,b} e_{i,j,b} \right|_R \geq \frac{|r_{i_0,j_0,b_0}|_R}{|E_{i_0,j_0,b_0}|_{M(n,S,2)}} = |r_{i_0,j_0,b_0}|_R.$$

This implies the assertion. □

Let $(f, s) \in C(K_n)^\vee \times S$. We denote by $i_S(f,s)_0 \in \mathrm{End}_{S_0}(S_0^n)$ the S_0-linear endomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} S_0^n &\to S_0^n \\ (t_j)_{j=1}^n &\mapsto \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} s f(e_{i,j,b}) t_j \right)_{i=1}^n, \end{aligned}$$

and by $i_S(f,s)_1 \in \mathrm{End}_{S_0}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{S_0}(S_0^n, S_0))$ the S_0-linear endomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{S_0}(S_0^n, S_0) &\to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{S_0}(S_0^n, S_0) \\ u &\mapsto \left((t_i)_{i=1}^n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} s t_i u\left((f(e_{i,j,b}))_{j=1}^n \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We put $i_S(f,s) = (i_S(f,s)_0, i_S(f,s)) \in \mathrm{End}_{S_0}(S_0^n) \times \mathrm{End}_{S_0}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{S_0}(S_0^n, S_0))$. By Proposition 2, we have $i_S(f,s) \in M(n,S,q)$ for any $q \in [1,\infty]$.

Proposition 23. *For any $(f, s, q) \in C(K_n)^\vee \times S_0 \times [1,\infty]$, the inequality $|i_S(f,s)|_{M(n,S,q)} \leq n^{1+\frac{1}{q}} |f|_{C(K_n)^\vee} |s|_S$ holds.*

Proof. For any $(t_i)_{i=1}^n \in S_0^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|i_S(f,s)_0((t_i)_{i=1}^n)\|_{S,q} &= \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n sf(e_{i,j,0})t_j \right)_{i=1}^n \right\|_{S,q} \\
&= \left\| \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n sf(e_{i,j,0})t_j \right|_S \right)_{i=1}^n \right\|_q \leq \left\| (\|(|sf(e_{i,j,0})t_j|_S)_{j=1}^n\|_1)_{i=1}^n \right\|_q \\
&\leq \left\| (\|(|s|_S|f|_{op}|e_{i,j,0}|_{\mathcal{C}(K_n)}|t_j|_S)_{j=1}^n \right\|_1)_{i=1}^n\|_q \\
&\leq |s|_S|f|_{op} \left\| (\|(|t_j|_S)_{j=1}^n\|_1)_{i=1}^n \right\|_q = n^{\frac{1}{q}}|s|_S|f|_{op}\|(|t_j|_S)_{j=1}^n\|_1 \\
&\leq n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}|s|_S|f|_{op}\|(|t_j|_S)_{j=1}^n\|_\infty \leq n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}|s|_S|f|_{op}\|(|t_j|_S)_{j=1}^n\|_q \\
&= n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}|s|_S|f|_{\mathcal{C}(K_n)^\vee}\|(t_j)_{j=1}^n\|_{S,q}
\end{aligned}$$

by $p_S = 1$ and Proposition 21. This implies

$$|i_S(f,s)_0|_{\mathrm{End}_S(S_{\ell q}^n)} \leq n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}|f|_{\mathcal{C}(K_n)^\vee}|s|_S.$$

For any $u \in \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{S_0}(S_0^n, S_0)$ and $(t_i)_{i=1}^n \in S_0^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|i_S(f,s)_1(u)((t_i)_{i=1}^n)|_S &= \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n st_i u\left((f(e_{i,j,1}))_{j=1}^n\right) \right) \right|_S \\
&\leq \left\| \left(\left| st_i u\left((f(e_{i,j,1}))_{j=1}^n\right)\right|_S \right)_{i=1}^n \right\|_1 \\
&\leq |s|_S|u|_{op} \left\| \left(|t_i|_S \left\|(f(e_{i,j,1}))_{j=1}^n\right\|_{S,q} \right)_{i=1}^n \right\|_1 \\
&\leq |s|_S|u|_{op} \left\| \left(|t_i|_S \left\|(|f(e_{i,j,1})|_S)_{j=1}^n\right\|_q \right)_{i=1}^n \right\|_1 \\
&\leq |s|_S|u|_{op} \left\| \left(|t_i|_S \left\|(|f|_{op}|e_{i,j,1}|_{\mathcal{C}(K_n)})_{j=1}^n\right\|_q \right)_{i=1}^n \right\|_1 \\
&\leq |s|_S|u|_{op} \left\| \left(|t_i|_S \left\|(|f|_{op})_{j=1}^n\right\|_q \right)_{i=1}^n \right\|_1 \leq n^{\frac{1}{q}}|s|_S|u|_{op}|f|_{op}\|(|t_i|_S)_{i=1}^n\|_1 \\
&\leq n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}|s|_S|u|_{op}|f|_{op}\|(|t_i|_S)_{i=1}^n\|_\infty \leq n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}|s|_S|u|_{op}|f|_{op}\|(|t_i|_S)_{i=1}^n\|_q \\
&= n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}|s|_S|f|_{\mathcal{C}(K_n)^\vee}|u|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(S_{\ell q}^n,S)}\|(t_i)_{i=1}^n\|_{S,q}
\end{aligned}$$

again by $p_S = 1$ and Proposition 21, and hence $|i_S(f,s)_1(u)|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(S_{\ell q}^n,S)} \leq n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}|s|_S|f|_{\mathcal{C}(K_n)^\vee}|u|_{\underline{\mathrm{H}}}$
This implies

$$|i_S(f,s)_1|_{\mathrm{End}_S(S_{\ell q}^n)} \leq n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}|f|_{\mathcal{C}(K_n)^\vee}|s|_S.$$

Thus we obtain $|i_s(f,s)|_{M(n,S,q)} \leq n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}|f|_{C(K_n)^\vee}|s|_S$. □

For any $q \in [1, \infty]$, we obtain the Lipschitz R-bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} i_S \colon C(K_n)^\vee \otimes^m S &\to M(n, S, q) \\ (f, t) &\mapsto i_S(f, t) \end{aligned}$$

whose Lipschitz constant is bounded by $n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}$ by Proposition 23, and it induces a bounded S_0-linear homomorphism

$$\iota_{S,q} \colon \mathcal{C}(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Lip}(S) \to M(n, S, q)$$

whose Lipschitz constant is bounded by $n^{1+\frac{1}{q}}$ by Proposition 8 applied to i_S.

Proposition 24. *When $S = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, the restriction of $\iota_{S,2}$ to*

$$\mathrm{SIso}(C(K_n), \sigma_{C(K_n)})(\mathbf{R}) \subset C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R} = C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Lip}(S)$$

is a bijective map onto $\{(U, U^{-1}) \mid U \in O_n(\mathbb{R})\}$.

In order to show Proposition 24, we prepare lemmata.

Lemma 3. *Set $S = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$. Let M be an S-module M whose underlying $\mathbb{R}$-vector space is of dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $V \subset M$ an R-submodule whose underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank d. Then the natural embedding $V^\vee \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M, S)$ is isometric.*

Proof. We denote by i the natural embedding in the assertion. We show $||f|_{op} - |i(f)|_{op}| < \epsilon$ for any $(f, \epsilon) \in V^\vee \times]0, \infty[$. By the definition of $|f|_{op}$, there exists a $v \in V$ such that $|f(v)|_V > (|f|_{op} - \epsilon)|v|_V$. We obtain

$$|i(f)(v)|_M = |f(v)|_V > (|f|_{op} - \epsilon)|v|_V = (|f|_{op} - \epsilon)|v|_M.$$

This implies $|i(f)|_{op} > |f|_{op} - \epsilon$. Let $m \in M$. Since the image of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is dense in M, there exists a $(v, s) \in V \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ such that $|m - s^{-1}v| < 2^{-1} \min\{|f|_{op}^{-1}, |i(f)|_{op}^{-1}\}|m|_M^{-1}\epsilon$. We have

$$i(f)(m) = i(f)(s^{-1}v) + i(f)(m - s^{-1}v) = s^{-1}f(v) + i(f)(m - s^{-1}v)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |i(f)(m)|_S = |s^{-1}f(v) + i(f)(m - s^{-1}v)|_S \leq |s^{-1}|_S |f|_{op}|v|_V + |i(f)|_{op}|m - s^{-1}v|_S \\ < \ & |s^{-1}|_S |f|_{op}|v|_V + 2^{-1}\epsilon = |s^{-1}|_S |f|_{op}|v|_M + 2^{-1}|m|_M\epsilon = |f|_{op}|s^{-1}v|_M + 2^{-1}|m|_M\epsilon \\ \leq \ & |f|_{op}(|m|_M + |m - s^{-1}v|_M) + 2^{-1}|m|_M\epsilon \\ < \ & |f|_{op}|m|_M + 2^{-1}|m|_M\epsilon + 2^{-1}|m|_M\epsilon = (|f|_{op} + \epsilon)|m|_M. \end{aligned}$$

It implies $|i(f)|_{op} \leq |f|_{op} + \epsilon$. □

Lemma 4. *When $S = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, the natural embedding $C(K_n) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S)$ is an isometry.*

Proof. The assertion immediately follows from Lemma 3 by the definition of $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{C}(K_n)}$ using the restriction of $|\cdot|_{M(n,S,2)}$ to $M(n,R,2)$. □

Lemma 5. *When $S = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, the natural embedding $C(K_n)^\vee \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2)$ is an isometry.*

Proof. The assertion immediately follows from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4. □

Lemma 6. *When $S = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, the composite of the scalar extension $C(K_n)^\vee \to C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R} = C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Lip}(S)$ and*

$$\iota_{S,2} \colon \mathcal{C}(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Lip}(S) \to M(n,S,2)$$

is an isometry.

Proof. By Lemma 5, the natural embedding

$$i_0 \colon \mathcal{C}(K_n)^\vee \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S),S)$$

is an isometry. We denote by i_1 the composite of the scalar multiplication $C(K_n)^\vee \to C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}$ and $\iota_{S,2}$. Since i_0 is an isometry and coincides with the composite of i_1 and the second dual embedding $M(n,S,2) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S),S)$, which is an isometry by the classical real analysis, i_1 is an isometry. □

Lemma 7. *When $S = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$ or $S = (\mathbb{Q}_p, |\cdot|_p, \infty)$, then for any $a \in C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Lip}(S)$, the equality*

$$\begin{array}{c} |a|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Lip}(S)} \\ \| \\ \inf \left\{ \| (|f_k|_{C(K_n)^\vee} |s_k|_S)_{k=1}^K \|_{\{1,\dots,K\},p_{\mathbf{R}}} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} K \in \mathbb{N}, (f_k)_{k=1}^K \in (C(K_n)^\vee)^K, \\ (s_k)_{k=1}^K \in S^K, a = \sum_{k=1}^K f_k \otimes s_k \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

holds.

Proof. In both cases, the composite of the inclusion

$$\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus (C(K_n)^\vee \times S)} \hookrightarrow \ell^{p_S}(C(K_n)^\vee \otimes^m S, R_{p_S})$$

and the canonical projection

$$\ell^{p_S}(C(K_n)^\vee \otimes^m S, R)_{p_S} \twoheadrightarrow C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Lip}(S)$$

has the dense image by construction, and hence is surjective by the classical fact that every subspace of a finite dimensional normed S-vector space is closed. Therefore the assertion follows from the definition of the quotient norm. □

Lemma 8. *When $S = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, the morphisme*

$$\iota_{S,2} \colon \mathcal{C}(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Lip}(S) \to M(n, S, 2)$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

Proof. Let $a \in C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}$. Let $\sum_{k=1}^K f_k \otimes s_k$ be a presentation of a with $K \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(|f_k|_{C(K_n)^\vee} |s_k|_S)_{k=1}^K\|_{\{1,\dots,K\},p_{\mathbf{R}}} = \|(|f_k|_{op} |s_k|_S)_{k=1}^K\|_{\{1,\dots,K\},(2,1)} \\
\leq\ & \|(|f_k|_{op}|s_k|_S)_{k=1}^K\|_1 = \|(|\iota_{S,2}(f_k \otimes 1)|_{M(n,S,2)} |s_k|_S)_{k=1}^K\|_1 \\
=\ & \|(|\iota_{S,2}(f_k \otimes s_k)|_{M(n,S,2)})_{k=1}^K\|_1 \geq \left| \sum_{k=1}^K \iota_{S,2}(f_k, s_k) \right|_{M(n,S,2)} \\
=\ & \left| \iota_{S,2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K f_k \otimes s_k \right) \right|_{M(n,S,2)} = |\iota_{S,2}(a)|_{M(n,S,2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7, we obtain $|a|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}} \geq |\iota_{S,2}(a)|_{M(n,S,2)}$.

Assume $|a|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}} > |\iota_{S,2}(a)|_{M(n,S,2)}$ and set

$$\epsilon := 2^{-1}(|a|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}} - |\iota_{S,2}(a)|_{M(n,S,2)}) > 0.$$

Since the algebraic tensor product $C(K_n)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is dense in $C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}$, there exists an $(f, s) \in C(K_n)^\vee \times \mathbb{Z}$ with $s \neq 0$ and $|a - f \otimes s^{-1}|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}} < \epsilon$. By Lemma 6, we have $|\iota_{S,2}(f \otimes 1)|_{M(n,S,2)} = |f|_{C(K_n)^\vee}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |a|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}} \leq |f \otimes s^{-1}|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}} + \epsilon \\
=\ & |s^{-1}|_\infty |f \otimes 1|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}} + \epsilon \leq |s^{-1}|_\infty |f|_{op} |1|_S + \epsilon \\
=\ & |s^{-1}|_\infty |f|_{C(K_n)^\vee} + \epsilon = |s^{-1}|_\infty |\iota_{S,2}(f \otimes 1)|_{M(n,S,2)} + \epsilon \\
=\ & |\iota_{S,2}(f \otimes s^{-1})|_{M(n,S,2)} + \epsilon \\
=\ & |\iota_{S,2}(a)|_{M(n,S,2)} + |\iota_{S,2}(a - f \otimes s^{-1})|_{M(n,S,2)} + \epsilon \\
\leq\ & |\iota_{S,2}(a)|_{M(n,S,2)} + |a - f \otimes s^{-1}|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}} + \epsilon \leq |\iota_{S,2}(a)|_{M(n,S,2)} + 2\epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

but it contradicts the definition of ϵ. It implies $|a|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}} = |\iota_{S,2}(a)|_{M(n,S,2)}$. Therefore $\iota_{S,2}$ is isometric. By

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}) &= \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\vee\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}) = 2n^2 \\
&= \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(M(n, S, 2))
\end{aligned}$$

we get that $\iota_{S,2}$ is bijective. □

Lemma 9. *When $S = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, an $a \in C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}$ satisfies $|a(c)|_S \leq |c|_{C(K_n)}$ for any $c \in C(K_n)$ if and only if $|\iota_{S,2}(a)|_{M(n,S,2)} \leq 1$.*

Proof. First, suppose $|\iota_{S,2}(a)|_{M(n,S,2)} \leq 1$. We show $|a(c)|_S \leq |c|_{C(K_n)}$ for any $c \in C(K_n)$. By Lemma 8, we have $|a|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{R}} \leq 1$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 7, there exists a presentation $\sum_{k=1}^K f_k \otimes s_k$ with $K \in \mathbb{N}$ of a satisfying $\|(|f_k|_{C(K_n)^\vee}|s_k|_S)_{k=1}^K\|_{\{1,\dots,K\},p_{\mathbf{R}}} < 1 + \epsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & |a(c)|_S = \left|\sum_{k=1}^K s_k f_k(c)\right|_S \leq \|(|s_k f_k(c)|_\infty)_{k=1}^K\|_{\{1,\dots,K\},p_{\mathbf{R}}} \\ \leq\ & \|(|f_k|_{C(K_n)^\vee}|s_k|_\infty |c|_{C(K_n)})_{k=1}^K\|_{\{1,\dots,K\},p_{\mathbf{R}}} \\ =\ & \|(|f_k|_{C(K_n)^\vee}|s_k|_\infty)_{k=1}^K\|_{\{1,\dots,K\},p_{\mathbf{R}}} |c|_{C(K_n)} \\ \leq\ & (1+\epsilon)|c|_{C(K_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

It implies $|a(c)|_S \leq |c|_{C(K_n)}$.

Next, suppose $|\iota_{S,2}(a)|_{M(n,S,2)} > 1$. Since every normed $\mathbb{R}$-vector space isometrically embeds into its second dual, there exists an $A \in \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S)$ such that $|A(\iota_{S,2}(a))|_\infty > |A|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S)}$. Put

$$\epsilon := 2^{-1}(A(\iota_{S,2}(a)) - |A|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S)}) > 0.$$

Take an $A' \in \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}^n) \times \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathbb{Q})), \mathbb{Q})$ naturally regarded as an element of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S)$ by the canonical bases of $S^n_{\ell^2}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(S^n_{\ell^2}, S)$ satisfying the following:

(i) $|(A - A')(\iota_{S,2}(a))|_\infty < \epsilon$

(ii) $|A - A'|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S)} < \epsilon$

Take an $s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $c := sA'$ is contained in the image of $[\mathrm{End}(V) \times \mathrm{End}(V^\vee)]^\vee$, which is the underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module of $C(K_n)$. By Lemma 4, we have $|c|_{C(K_n)} = |s|_\infty |A'|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S}$. Put $c = \sum_{b=0}^1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n B_{i,j,b} e_{i,j,b}$ with $((B_{i,j,b})_{i,j=1}^n)_{b=0}^1 \in (((\mathbb{Z}^n)^n)^2$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & a(c) = a\left(\sum_{b=0}^1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n B_{i,j,b} e_{i,j,b}\right) = \sum_{b=0}^1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n B_{i,j,b} a\left(e_{i,j,b}\right) \\ =\ & s \sum_{b=0}^1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n s^{-1} B_{i,j,b} a(e_{i,j,b}) \\ =\ & s \sum_{b=0}^1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n s^{-1} B_{i,j,b} (e_{i,j,b} \otimes 1_{\mathbf{R}})(i_{S,2}(a)) \\ =\ & s\left(\sum_{b=0}^1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n B_{i,j,b} e_{i,j,b} \otimes 1_{\mathbf{R}} s^{-1}\right)(i_{S,2}(a)) \\ =\ & sA'(\iota_{S,2}(a)) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |a(c)|_\infty = |sA'(\iota_{S,2}(a))|_\infty = |s|_\infty |A'(\iota_{S,2}(a))|_\infty \\ > \; & |s|_\infty (|A(\iota_{S,2}(a))|_\infty - \epsilon) = |s|_\infty (|A|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S)} + \epsilon) \\ > \; & |s|_\infty |A'|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S)} = |c|_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,2),S)} = |c|_{C(K_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists a $c \in C(K_n)$ satisfying $|a(c)|_S > |c|_{C(K_n)}$. □

Proof of Proposition 24. By

$$O_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{U \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid |U|_{\mathrm{End}_S(S^2_{\ell^2})} = |U^{-1}|_{\mathrm{End}_S(S^2_{\ell^2})} = 1\},$$

the assertion immediately follows from Proposition 16, Lemma 8, and Lemma 9. □

Proposition 25. *When $S = (\mathbb{Q}_p, |\cdot|_p, \infty)$, the restriction of*

$$\iota_{S,\infty} \colon \mathcal{C}(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Lip}(S) \to M(n, S, \infty)$$

to

$$\mathrm{SIso}(C(K_n), \sigma_{C(K_n)})(\mathbf{Q}_p) \subset C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p = C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Lip}(S)$$

is a bijective map onto $\{(U, U^{-1}) \mid U \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)\}$.

Lemma 10. *When $S = (\mathbb{Q}_p, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, $\iota_{S,\infty}$ is a short map.*

Proof. Let $(f, s) \in C(K_n) \times S$. For any $(t_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|i_S(f,s)_0((t_i)_{i=1}^n)\|_{S,\infty} = \left\| \left(\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^n sf(e_{i,j,0}) t_j \right)_{i=1}^n \right\|_{S,\infty} \\ = \; & \left\| \left(\left| \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^n sf(e_{i,j,0}) t_j \right|_p \right)_{i=1}^n \right\|_\infty \\ \leq \; & \left\| (\|(|sf(e_{i,j,0}) t_j|_p)_{j=1}^n\|_\infty)_{i=1}^n \right\|_\infty \\ = \; & \left\| (|sf(e_{i,j,0}) t_j|_p)_{i,j=1}^n \right\|_\infty = \left\| (|s|_p |f|_{op} |e_{i,j,0}|_{C(K_n)} |t_j|_p)_{i,j=1}^n \right\|_\infty \\ = \; & \left\| (|s|_p |f|_{op} |t_j|_p)_{i,j=1}^n \right\|_\infty = |s|_p |f|_{op} \left\| (|t_i|_p)_{i=1}^n \right\|_\infty \\ = \; & |s|_p |f|_{C(K_n)^\vee} \|(t_i)_{i=1}^n\|_{S,\infty} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 21, and hence $|i_S(f,s)_0|_{\mathrm{End}_S(S^n_{\ell^\infty})} \leq |s|_p |f|_{C(K_n)^\vee}$. Similarly, we have $|i_S(f,s)_1|_{\mathrm{End}_S(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(S^n_{\ell^\infty}, S))} \leq |s|_p |f|_{C(K_n)^\vee}$. Therefore i_S gives a short $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear map $C(K_n)^\vee \otimes^m S \to M(n, S, \infty)$. By Proposition 8, $\iota_{S,\infty}$ is a short map. □

Lemma 11. *When $S = (\mathbb{Q}_p, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, $\iota_{S,\infty}$ is an isometric isomorphism.*

Proof. We denote by $((\delta_{i,j,b})_{i,j=1}^n)_{b=0}^1$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear basis of the underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module of $C(K_n)^\vee$ dual to $((e_{i,j,b})_{i,j=1}^n)_{b=0}^1$, which coincides with the image of the canonical basis $((E_{i,j,b})_{i,j=1}^n)_{b=0}^1$ of $\mathrm{End}(V) \times \mathrm{End}(V^\vee)$. For any $(i,j,b) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $1 \leq i,j \leq n$ and $b \leq 1$, we have $|\delta_{i,j,b}|_{C(K_n)^\vee} = |E_{i,j,b}|_{M(n,S,\infty)} = 1$ by Lemma 5 and the fact from the classical p-adic analysis that the second dual embedding $M(n,S,\infty) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_S(M(n,S,\infty),S),S)$ is an isometry.

Let $a \in C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p$. By Lemma 10, we have

$$|a|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p} \geq |\iota_{S,\infty}(a)|_{M(n,S,\infty)}.$$

By $a = \sum_{b=0}^1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n a(e_{i,j,b})\delta_{i,j,b}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|a|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p} &= \left|\textstyle\sum_{b=0}^1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n a(e_{i,j,b})\delta_{i,j,b}\right|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p} \\
&\leq \|(\|(|a(e_{i,j,b})\delta_{i,j,b}|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p})_{i,j=1}^n\|_{\{1,\ldots,n\}^2,1})_{b=0}^1\|_{\{0,1\},1} \\
&= \|(\|(|a(e_{i,j,b})\delta_{i,j,b}|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p})_{i,j=1}^n\|_\infty)_{b=0}^1\|_\infty \\
&= \|(\|(|a(e_{i,j,b})|_S|\delta_{i,j,b} \otimes 1|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p})_{i,j=1}^n\|_\infty)_{b=0}^1\|_\infty \\
&\leq \|(\|(|a(e_{i,j,b})|_S|\delta_{i,j,b}|_{op}|1|_p)_{i,j=1}^n\|_\infty)_{b=0}^1\|_\infty \\
&\leq \|(\|(|a(e_{i,j,b})|_S)_{i,j=1}^n\|_\infty)_{b=0}^1\|_\infty \\
&= \left|\left(\textstyle\sum_{i,j=1}^n a(e_{i,j,0})E_{i,j,0}, \sum_{i,j=1}^n a(e_{i,j.1})E_{i,j,1}\right)\right|_{M(n,S,\infty)} \\
&= |\iota_{S,\infty}(a)|_{M(n,S,\infty)}
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 7. It implies $|a|_{C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p} = |\iota_{S,\infty}(a)|_{M(n,S,\infty)}$ Therefore $\iota_{S,\infty}$ is isometric. By

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p) &= \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\vee\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_p) = 2n^2 \\
&= \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M(n,S,\infty)),
\end{aligned}$$

$\iota_{S,\infty}$ is bijective. □

Lemma 12. *When $S = (\mathbb{Q}_p, |\cdot|_\infty, 1)$, an $a \in C(K_n)^\vee \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p$ satisfies $|a(c)|_S \leq |c|_{C(K_n)^\vee}$ for any $c \in C(K_n)$ if and only if $|\iota_{S,\infty}(a)|_{M(n,S,\infty)} \leq 1$.*

Proof. The opposite implication follows from the same argument as the proof of Lemma 9 except we apply Lemma 11 instead of Lemma 8 and use $p_{\mathbf{Q}_p} = (1,1)$ instead of $p_{\mathbf{R}} = (2,1)$. Suppose $|\iota_{S,\infty}(a)|_{M(n,S,\infty)} > 1$. Since the norm of $M(n,S,\infty)$ coincides with the ℓ^∞ norm of entries, there exists an $(i,j,b) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $1 \leq i,j \leq n$ and $b \leq 1$ such that the (i,j,b)-the entry of $\iota_{S,\infty}(a)|_{M(n,S,\infty)}$, which coincides with $a(e_{i,j,b})$ by the definition of $\iota_{S,\infty}$, is of p-adic norm > 1. Put $c := e_{i,j,b} \in C(K_n)$. We have $|a(c)|_p > 1 = |c|_{C(K_n)}$ by Proposition 21. □

Proof of Proposition 25. By

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{U \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid |U|_{\mathrm{End}_S(S_{\ell^\infty}^n)} = |U^{-1}|_{\mathrm{End}_S(S_{\ell^\infty}^n)} = 1\},$$

the assertion immediately follows from Proposition 16, Lemma 11, and Lemma 12. □

3.5 Data availability statement

Not applicable.

3.6 Conflict of interest

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

[Art67] Emil Artin. *Algebraic numbers and algebraic functions*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1967.

[Ber90] Vladimir G. Berkovich. *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields*, volume 33 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.

[KMRT98] Max-Albert Knus, Alexander Merkurjev, Markus Rost, and Jean-Pierre Tignol. *The book of involutions*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. With a preface in French by J. Tits.

[Pau14] F. Paugam. Overconvergent global analytic geometry. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2014.

[Poi07] Jérôme Poineau. *Espaces de Berkovich sur* $\mathbf{Z}$. Thèse. université de Rennes 1, 2007.

[Tat67] J. T. Tate. Fourier analysis in number fields, and Hecke's zeta-functions. In *Algebraic Number Theory (Proc. Instructional Conf., Brighton, 1965)*, pages 305–347. Thompson, Washington, D.C., 1967.