

# $A_\infty$ RELATIONS IN ORIENTOR CALCULUS ON MODULI OF STABLE DISK MAPS

OR KEDAR AND JAKE P. SOLOMON

ABSTRACT. Let  $L \subset X$  be a not necessarily orientable relatively *Pin* Lagrangian submanifold in a symplectic manifold  $X$ . Evaluation maps of moduli spaces of  $J$ -holomorphic disks with boundary in  $L$  may not be relatively orientable. To deal with this problem, we introduce the notion of an orientor. Interactions between the natural operations on orientors are governed by orientor calculus. Orientor calculus gives rise to a family of orientors on moduli spaces of  $J$ -holomorphic stable disk maps with boundary in  $L$  that satisfy natural relations. In a sequel, we use these orientors and relations to construct the Fukaya  $A_\infty$  algebra of  $L$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Overview	2
1.2. Previous developments	3
1.3. Orientors	3
1.4. Orientors for moduli spaces of stable maps	4
1.5. Base change	9
1.6. Outline	11
1.7. Acknowledgments	11
2. Conventions	11
2.1. Notations	11
2.2. Graded algebra	11
2.3. Orbifolds with corners	13
2.4. Orientation conventions	15
2.4.1. Local diffeomorphism	16
2.4.2. Composition	16
2.4.3. Relative orientation of boundary	17
2.4.4. Essential fiber product	17
2.4.5. Relatively oriented commutative diagram	18
2.4.6. Base extension	18
2.4.7. Quotient	19
2.5. Orientations calculus	20
2.6. Proofs of results in orientations calculus	21
3. Orientors	28
3.1. Orientors	28
3.1.1. Definition and basic examples	29
3.1.2. Composition	30

---

*Date:* Nov. 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D37, 53D40 (Primary) 55N25, 53D12, 58J32 (Secondary).

*Key words and phrases.*  $A_\infty$  algebra, Lagrangian,  $J$ -holomorphic, stable map, Maslov class, non-orientable, local system, orientor, symplectic fibration.

3.1.3.	Pullback along a relatively oriented map	30
3.1.4.	Orientors restoration	31
3.1.5.	Pullback along a fiber-product	32
3.1.6.	Pullback along a relatively oriented commutative diagram	35
3.1.7.	Base extension	36
3.1.8.	Base extension and relatively oriented pullback	37
3.1.9.	Quotient orientors	38
3.1.10.	Boundary orientors	39
3.1.11.	Miscellanea	42
3.2.	Extension to essential subspaces	43
3.3.	Extension to arbitrary commutative rings	44
4.	Moduli Spaces	44
4.1.	Open stable maps	44
4.2.	Families	45
5.	Orientors and the gluing map	51
5.1.	Conventions and notations of one moduli space	51
5.2.	Cauchy-Riemann $Pin$ boundary problems	53
5.3.	Orientors on moduli spaces	54
5.4.	Gluing map signs	59
5.5.	Conventions and notations for boundary components	60
5.6.	Proof for $k \geq 0$	61
5.7.	Proof for $k = -1$	66
5.8.	Base change	68
6.	Orientors of local systems of algebras	71
6.1.	On the Lagrangian	71
6.2.	On disk moduli spaces	73
6.3.	Proof for $k \geq 0$	74
6.4.	Proof for $k = -1$	80
6.5.	Cyclic symmetry	81
6.6.	Base change	83
	References	84

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Overview.** Let  $L \subset X$  be a not necessarily orientable relatively  $Pin^\pm$  Lagrangian submanifold in a symplectic manifold  $(X, \omega)$ . It is of fundamental importance in symplectic geometry to associate to  $L$  a Fukaya  $A_\infty$  algebra, which encodes the geometry of the moduli space of  $J$ -holomorphic stable disk maps with boundary in  $L$ . Previous work in the non-orientable case is limited, and in particular, it applies only over fields of characteristic 2 or when  $L$  is orientable relative to a local system on  $X$ . See Section 1.2. The present paper and its sequel [26] construct a Fukaya  $A_\infty$  algebra for  $L$  over the field  $\mathbb{R}$  in a way that does not require  $L$  to be orientable.

The main difficulty in our construction is the relative non-orientability of the evaluation maps of moduli spaces of  $J$ -holomorphic stable disk maps with boundary in  $L$ . This leads us to introduce the notion of an orientor of a map between manifolds, to which the present paper is devoted. To use orientors effectively, we first study the properties of pull-back orientors and induced orientors on boundaries and quotients. These properties are then used to construct a family of orientors  $Q_{k,l}^\beta$  of the evaluation maps of moduli spaces of

$J$ -holomorphic stable disk maps with  $k+1$  boundary marked points and  $l$  interior marked points. The orientors  $Q_{k,l}^\beta$  satisfy a series of equations resembling the  $A_\infty$  relations and the cyclic property of the structure of maps of an  $A_\infty$  algebra. See Theorems 1, 3 and 4. The orientors  $Q_{k,l}^\beta$  for  $\beta$  fixed and  $k, l$ , varying are related by forgetful maps, as formulated in Theorem 2. In [26] we establish relevant properties of pushforward of differential forms along an orientor and use the orientors  $Q_{k,l}^\beta$  to construct a cyclic unital  $A_\infty$  algebra structure on differential forms on  $L$  with values in a local system of rings. We expect that the orientors  $Q_{k,l}^\beta$  can also be used to construct  $A_\infty$  algebra structures on the Morse or singular co-chains of  $L$ . Furthermore, we expect the orientors  $Q_{k,l}^\beta$  can be generalized to construct from  $L$  an object of the Fukaya category of  $X$ .

Building on the work of [28, 40, 43, 42], we plan to use the  $A_\infty$  algebra of  $L$  to define open Gromov-Witten invariants for  $L$  and to study the structure of these invariants. When  $L$  is fixed by an anti-symplectic involution, and  $\dim L = 2$ , we expect the open Gromov-Witten invariants of  $L$  to recover Welschinger's real enumerative invariants [44]. When  $\dim L > 2$  or when  $L$  is not fixed by anti-symplectic involution, it appears that  $A_\infty$  algebra of  $L$  plays an essential role in the definition of invariants.

Lagrangian submanifolds arise naturally as the real points of smooth complex projective varieties that are invariant under complex conjugation. Natural constructions in algebraic geometry, such as blowups and quotients, give rise to non-orientable Lagrangians. Examples of computations of open Gromov-Witten-Welschinger invariants for non-orientable Lagrangian submanifolds of dimension 2 appear in [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23].

**1.2. Previous developments.** In [7], a construction of the Fukaya  $A_\infty$  algebra structure on a version of singular chains of  $L$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$  coefficients is provided when  $L$  is orientable. In [8], the construction of the Fukaya  $A_\infty$  algebra structure on singular chains is extended to the non-orientable case when  $X$  is spherically positive, using coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . The spherically positive assumption is used to force stable maps with automorphisms into sufficiently high codimension that they do not lead to denominators when pushing-forward chains by the evaluation maps of moduli spaces. Since the order of automorphism groups can be even, such denominators are not allowable when working with  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  coefficients. However, for planned applications to open Gromov-Witten theory, it is essential to work over a field of characteristic zero.

Given a local system of 1-dimensional vector spaces  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $X$ , it should be possible to construct a version of the Fukaya category in which objects arise from Lagrangian submanifolds  $L \subset X$  that are relatively oriented with respect to  $\mathcal{T}$ . By relative orientation, we mean an isomorphism from  $\mathcal{T}|_L$  to the orientation local system of  $L$ . In [35], such a construction is carried out when the first Chern class  $c_1(X)$  is 2-torsion, for a local system  $\mathcal{T}$  that arises naturally in the context of gradings. The relative orientation of  $L$  with respect to  $\mathcal{T}$  forces the Maslov index  $\mu : H_2(X, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  to take on only even values. It follows that the evaluation maps of moduli spaces of  $J$ -holomorphic disks are relatively orientable [38], so the main difficulties in the construction of the present work do not arise.

In the construction of Floer homology for two orientable Lagrangians  $L_1, L_2$ , that intersect cleanly given in [7, Section 3.7.5], a local system arises when the intersection  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is not orientable. The symplectic topology of non-orientable Lagrangians has been studied extensively in [2, 3, 4, 11, 31, 30, 33, 34, 36, 37].

**1.3. Orientors.** Fix a commutative ring  $\mathbb{A}$ . Throughout the paper, a local system of graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -modules will be called simply a local system. For a graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -module  $V$  and an

orbifold with corners  $M$ , denote by  $\underline{V}$  the globally constant sheaf over  $M$  with fiber  $V$ . Moreover, write  $\widetilde{M}$  for the orientation double cover of  $M$ , considered as a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  principle bundle. For a map  $f : M \rightarrow P$ , define

$$\mathcal{K}_f := \text{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\widetilde{M}, f^*\widetilde{P}) \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \mathbb{A}.$$

In other words,  $\mathcal{K}_f$  is the relative orientation local system of  $f$ , concentrated in degree  $-\dim M + \dim P$ . Let  $Q, K$  be local systems over  $M, P$ , respectively. An  $f$ -orientor  $F$  of  $Q$  to  $K$  is a morphism

$$F : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_f \otimes f^*K.$$

A few examples of orientors are in place. A relative orientation  $\mathcal{O}^f$  of  $f$  may be regarded as an  $f$ -orientor  $\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f}$  of  $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$  to  $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$ . See Definition 3.3. Any morphism of local systems over  $M$  is an  $\text{Id}_M$ -orientor.

We list a few important operations on orientors. The precise statements appear in Section 3.1. If  $g : P \rightarrow N$  is another smooth map,  $R$  is a local system over  $N$  and  $G$  is a  $g$ -orientor of  $K$  to  $R$ , then  $F, G$  may be composed into a  $g \circ f$ -orientor  $G \bullet F$  of  $Q$  to  $R$  as in Definition 3.7. The composition is associative, as shown in Lemma 3.8. If  $A, B$  are local systems over  $P$ , the orientor  $F$  extends to an  $f$ -orientor  ${}^A F^B$  of  $f^*A \otimes Q \otimes f^*B$  to  $A \otimes Q \otimes B$ . If  $f$  is a relatively oriented map, with relative orientation  $\mathcal{O}^f$ , then  $G$  may be pulled back to a  $g \circ f$ -orientor denoted  $(f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond G$ , given by

$$(f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond G = G \bullet \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f}.$$

See Definition 3.11. Moreover, consider a pullback diagram of orbifolds as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times_N P & \xrightarrow{r} & P \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

A  $g$ -orientor  $G$  may be pulled back to a  $q$ -orientor denoted  $(r/f)^\diamond G$  as in Definition 3.17. Finally, if  $g : P \rightarrow M$  and  $B \subset \partial_g P \xrightarrow{\iota} P$  is a closed and open subset of the vertical boundary of  $P$  relative to  $g$ , there exists an  $\iota|_B$ -orientor  $\partial_g$ , which induces a notion of boundary of a  $g$ -orientor  $G$ , which is the  $g \circ \iota|_B$ -orientor  $\partial G$ , given by

$$(-1)^{|G|} G \bullet \partial_g.$$

See Definition 3.44.

**1.4. Orientors for moduli spaces of stable maps.** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold and  $L \subset X$  be a connected relatively  $\text{Pin}^\pm$  Lagrangian. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a relative  $\text{Pin}^\pm$  structure on  $L$ . Denote by  $\mu : H_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  the Maslov index. See [1] for a review on the Maslov index. Denote by  $\omega : H_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the symplectic volume. Let  $J$  be an  $\omega$ -tame complex structure on  $X$ . Let  $\Pi$  be a quotient of  $H_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z})$  by a possibly trivial subgroup contained in the kernel of the map  $\mu \oplus \omega : H_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, the homomorphisms  $\mu, \omega$  descend to  $\Pi$ . Denote by  $\beta_0 \in \Pi$  the zero element.

In fact, throughout the paper we work in a generalized setting of a symplectic fibration  $\pi^X : X \rightarrow \Omega$  over a manifold with corners  $\Omega$  and a relatively  $\text{Pin}^\pm$  exact Lagrangian subfibration  $L \subset X$ . The group  $\Pi$  is generalized accordingly. See Section 4.2 for details. The freedom of having arbitrary  $\Omega$  allows, among others, a natural construction of pseudoisotopies of  $A_\infty$  algebras as well as higher pseudoisotopies. Allowing general  $\Omega$  should also prove useful in the context Lefschetz fibrations as developed, for example, in [35].

In what follows, one may assume that  $\Omega$  is a point. Occasionally, we clarify in parentheses what is meant in the case of general  $\Omega$ . Let  $\pi^L := \pi^X|_L : L \rightarrow \Omega$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{L}_L$  the relative orientation local system of  $\pi^L$  concentrated in degree  $-1$ . Set

$$\mathcal{R}_L := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_L^{\otimes j}.$$

Here, negative powers correspond to the dual local system. Denote by

$$m : \mathcal{R}_L \otimes \mathcal{R}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_L, \quad 1_L : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_L$$

the tensor product and the inclusion in degree 0, respectively, which provide  $\mathcal{R}_L$  the structure of a local system of unital graded non-commutative  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebras.

Let  $(k, l, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \Pi$ . Let  $J$  be an  $\omega$ -tame (vertical) almost complex structure on  $X$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) := \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(X, L, J, \beta)$  the moduli space of (vertical)  $J$ -holomorphic genus-zero stable maps with boundary, of degree  $\beta$ , with  $k+1$  boundary marked points and  $l$  interior marked points. For  $0 \leq i \leq k$ , denote by

$$ev_i^{(k,l,\beta)} : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \rightarrow L$$

the evaluation at the  $i$ th boundary point. We often omit part or all of the superscripts  $(k, l, \beta)$  when they are clear from the context. By vertical stable map, we mean a map that maps entirely to one fiber of  $\pi^X : X \rightarrow \Omega$ , that is, whose composition with  $\pi^X : X \rightarrow \Omega$  is constant. So, we obtain a map

$$\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)} : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \rightarrow \Omega$$

that forgets everything except the fiber of  $\pi^X : X \rightarrow \Omega$  to which a vertical  $J$ -holomorphic stable map maps. To streamline the exposition, we will assume that  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$  is a smooth orbifold with corners and  $ev_0^\beta$  is a submersion. These assumptions hold in a range of important examples [40, Example 1.5]. Our construction of  $ev_0$ -orientors applies to arbitrary symplectic manifolds and Lagrangian submanifolds by the theory of the virtual fundamental class being developed by several authors [5, 9, 10, 12, 13] as explained in Section 4.2. The orientor analogs of the unit and divisor axioms of Gromov-Witten theory given in Theorem 2 require compatibility of the virtual fundamental class with the forgetful map of interior marked points. This has not yet been fully worked out in the Kuranishi structure formalism.

Set

$$ev := ev^\beta := (ev_1, \dots, ev_k) : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \rightarrow \underbrace{L \times_\Omega \cdots \times_\Omega L}_{k \text{ times}}$$

$$E := E^k := E_L^k := ev^* \left( \bigboxtimes_{j=1}^k \mathcal{R} \right).$$

In Section 6.1, we construct a family of maps covering  $ev_0^{(k,l,\beta)}$  of  $E_L^k$  to  $\mathcal{R}_L$  of degree  $2 - k - 2l$

$$Q_{k,l}^\beta := Q_{k,l}^{(X,L,J;\beta)} : E_L^k \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{ev_0} \otimes (ev_0)^* \mathcal{R}_L$$

indexed by

$$(k, l, \beta) \in \left( \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \Pi \right) \setminus \left\{ (0, 0, \beta_0), (1, 0, \beta_0), (2, 0, \beta_0), (0, 1, \beta_0) \right\}.$$

In the exceptional cases, the moduli spaces are empty. These orientors are used in [26] to construct operators  $\mathbf{m}_k$  which give an  $A_\infty$  deformation of the differential graded algebra of differential forms on  $L$  with values in  $\mathcal{R}_L$  when  $\mathbb{A}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra. Similar arguments should allow the construction of  $A_\infty$  algebras deforming the Morse or singular co-chain

complex of  $L$  with  $\mathcal{R}_L$  local coefficients. The orientors  $Q_{k,l}^\beta$  are surjective for  $k \geq 1$  and injective for  $k = 0, 1$ . Moreover, we prove the following theorems.

Let  $k \geq -1, l \geq 0, \beta \in \Pi$ . Let  $\partial\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$  denote the boundary and denote by  $\iota : \partial\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$  the canonical map. Fix partitions  $k_1 + k_2 = k + 1, \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$  and  $I \dot{\cup} J = [l]$ , such that  $k_1 > 0$  if  $k \geq 0$ . When  $k \geq 0$ , let  $0 < i \leq k_1$ . When  $k = -1$  let  $i = 0$ . Let  $B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}(\beta_1, \beta_2) \subset \partial\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$  denote the closure of the locus of two component stable maps, described as follows. One component has degree  $\beta_1$  and the other component has degree  $\beta_2$ . The first component carries the boundary marked points labeled  $0, \dots, i-1, i+k_2, \dots, k$ , and the interior marked points labeled by  $I$ . The second component carries the boundary marked points labeled  $i, \dots, i+k_2-1$  and the interior marked points labeled by  $J$ . The two components are joined at the  $i$ th boundary marked point on the first component and the 0th boundary marked point on the second. There exists a canonical local diffeomorphism, called the gluing map,

$$\vartheta_{i,k_1,k_2,\beta_1,\beta_2,I,J} : \mathcal{M}_{k_1+1,I}(\beta_1)_{\text{ev}_i^{\beta_1}} \times_{\text{ev}_0^{\beta_2}} \mathcal{M}_{k_2+1,J}(\beta_2) \rightarrow B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}(\beta_1, \beta_2),$$

which we abbreviate as  $\vartheta$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_c^\vartheta$  the canonical relative orientation of  $\vartheta$  as a local diffeomorphism. Denote by  $p_1, p_2$  the projections on the first and second factors of the fiber product, respectively.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k, l \geq 0$  and  $\beta \in \Pi$ . Let  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$  be such that  $k_1 + k_2 = k + 1$ , let  $I \dot{\cup} J = [l]$  and let  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$ . Set*

$$E_1 = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{i-1} \left( \text{ev}_j^{\beta_1} \right)^* \mathcal{R}_L, \quad E_3 = \bigotimes_{j=i+k_2}^k \left( \text{ev}_j^{\beta_1} \right)^* \mathcal{R}_L.$$

*The following equation of  $(\text{ev}_0^\beta \circ \iota \circ \vartheta)$ -orientors of  $\vartheta^* \iota^* \text{ev}^{\beta*} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \mathcal{R}_L \right)$  to  $\mathcal{R}_L$  holds.*

$$(\vartheta, \mathcal{O}_c^\vartheta)^\diamond (\partial Q_{k,l}^\beta) = (-1)^s Q_{k_1,I}^{\beta_1} \bullet^{E_1} \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev}_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond Q_{k_2,J}^{\beta_2} \right)^{E_3}$$

Here,

$$s = i + ik_2 + k + \delta\mu(\beta_1)\mu(\beta_2),$$

where  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  is 0 if exactly when  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a relative  $\text{Pin}^+$  structure.

Theorem 1 is proven in Section 6.3. The theorem can be visualized in the following diagram. An arrow corresponding to a map  $f$  and an  $f$ -orientor  $F$  is labeled by a vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} F \\ f \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& (ev^\beta \circ \iota \circ \vartheta)^* \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \mathcal{R}_L \right) & \xrightarrow{p_2} & (ev^{\beta_2})^* \left( \bigotimes_{j=i}^{i+k_2-1} \mathcal{R}_L \right) \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& \mathcal{M}_{k_1+1,I}(\beta_1) \times_L \mathcal{M}_{k_2+1,J}(\beta_2) & & \mathcal{M}_{k_2+1,J}(\beta_2) \\
& \downarrow \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \varphi \circ \vartheta_c \\ \vartheta \end{smallmatrix} \right) & & \downarrow \\
& \left( E_1 \left( (p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1})^\diamond Q_{k_2,J}^{\beta_2} \right)^{E_3} \right) & & \left( Q_{k_2,J}^{\beta_2} \right) \\
& \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow \left( \begin{smallmatrix} Q_{k_2,J}^{\beta_2} \\ evb_0^{\beta_2} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \\
& (ev^{\beta_1})^* \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^{k_1} \mathcal{R}_L \right) & \xrightarrow{evb_i^{\beta_1}} & \mathcal{R}_L \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow L \\
& \mathcal{M}_{k_1+1,I}(\beta_1) & & \\
& \downarrow & & \\
& \left( Q_{k_1,I}^{\beta_1} \right) & & \\
& \downarrow \left( \begin{smallmatrix} Q_{k_1,I}^{\beta_1} \\ evb_0^{\beta_1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) & & \\
& \downarrow & & \\
& \mathcal{R}_L & & \\
& \downarrow L & & \\
& L & &
\end{array}$$

**Proposition 1.** *In case  $(k, l, \beta) \in \{(2, 0, \beta_0), (0, 1, \beta_0)\}$ , the map*

$$evb_0^{\beta_0} = \dots = evb_k^{\beta_0}$$

*is a diffeomorphism, and we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{2,0}^{\beta_0} &= \left( evb_0^{\beta_0} \right)^\diamond m, \\
Q_{0,1}^{\beta_0} &= \left( evb_0^{\beta_0} \right)^\diamond 1_L.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Proposition 1 is immediate from Lemma 6.17.

Extend the indices of the boundary marked points to  $\mathbb{Z}$  cyclicly. In particular,  $evb_{k+1} = evb_0$ . Let  $c_{ij} : (evb_j)^* \mathcal{R}_L \rightarrow (evb_i)^* \mathcal{R}_L$  be the isomorphism arising from parallel transport along the boundary of the disk in the direction of the orientation induced from the complex orientation. See Definition 5.3 for details. Let  $Fi := Fi_{k+1,l}^\beta : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l+1}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$  denote the map that forgets the  $l+1$ st interior marked point, and stabilizes the resulting map. Similarly, let  $Fb := Fb_{k+1,l}^\beta : \mathcal{M}_{k+2,l}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$  denote the map that forgets the  $k+1$ st boundary marked point, and stabilizes the resulting map. The maps  $Fi, Fb$ , have canonical relative orientations  $\mathcal{O}^{Fi}, \mathcal{O}^{Fb}$ , respectively. See Definition 5.11 and Remark 5.12.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k \geq -1, l \geq 0$  and  $\beta \in \Pi$ . The following equation of  $evb_0^{l+1}$ -orientors holds.*

$$Q_{k,l+1}^\beta = (Fi, \mathcal{O}^{Fi})^\diamond Q_{k,l}^\beta.$$

Additionally, for  $k \geq 0$ , the following equation of  $ev_0^{k+1}$ -orientors of  $E^{k+1}$  to  $\mathcal{R}$  holds.

$$Q_{k+1,l}^\beta = m \bullet \left( (Fb_{k+1,l})^\diamond Q_{k,l}^\beta \right)^\mathcal{R} \bullet^{Fb^* E^k} (c_{k+2,k+1})$$

Theorem 2 is proven in Remark 6.19.

Let  $\mathbb{E}_L := \pi_*^L \mathcal{R}_L$  the sheaf pushforward along  $\pi^L$ , considered as a local system over  $\Omega$ . We construct a surjective  $L$ -orientor of  $\mathcal{R}_L$  to  $\mathbb{E}_L$  of degree  $1 - n$ ,

$$O := O^L : \mathcal{R}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_L \otimes (\pi^L)^* \mathbb{E}_L.$$

Informally,  $O$  splits off one copy of  $\mathcal{L}_L$ , shifts it by degree  $1 - n$  to  $\mathcal{K}_L$ , and maps the remaining tensor products to  $\mathbb{E}_L$  depending on whether they admit a (vertical) section. See Definition 6.13 for the precise definition. Denote by  $O_{\text{odd}}$  the map that equals to  $O$  on the odd parts of  $\mathcal{R}_L$  and vanishes on the even parts of  $\mathcal{R}_L$ . The orientors  $O, O_{\text{odd}}$  are used to define integration of forms on  $L$  with values in  $\mathcal{R}_L$ . In particular,  $O_{\text{odd}}$  is used to define the cyclic structure on the  $A_\infty$  algebra in [26, Section 5].

Denote by  $f : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$  the map that cyclicly shifts the boundary points  $(z_0, \dots, z_k)$  as follows  $z_k \rightarrow z_{k-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z_0 \rightarrow z_k$ . The map  $f$  is a diffeomorphism. Set

$$ev^{cyc} := (ev_1, \dots, ev_k, ev_0) : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \rightarrow \underbrace{L \times_\Omega \dots \times_\Omega L}_{k+1 \text{ times}},$$

$$E^{cyc} := (ev^{cyc})^* \mathcal{R}_L^{\boxtimes k+1}.$$

Let  $T$  be the  $f$ -orientor of  $E^{cyc}$  to  $E^{cyc}$  given as follows.

$$T(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \otimes) = (-1)^{|a_0| \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k |\alpha_j|} \mathcal{O}_c^f \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \otimes a_0,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_c^f$  is the canonical relative orientation of  $f$  as a local diffeomorphism. See Section 2.4.1.

**Theorem 3.** *The following equation of  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)}$ -orientors of  $E^{cyc}$  to  $\mathbb{E}_L$  holds.*

$$O_{\text{odd}} \bullet m \bullet (Q_{k,l}^\beta \otimes Id) \bullet T = (-1)^k O_{\text{odd}} \bullet m \bullet (Q_{k,l}^\beta \otimes Id)$$

Theorem 3 is proven in Section 6.5. The theorem can be visualized in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \begin{pmatrix} T \\ f \end{pmatrix} & \\ \begin{array}{c} E^{cyc} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{array}{c} E^{cyc} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} Q_{k,l}^\beta \otimes Id \\ ev_0 \end{array} \right) \downarrow \\ \mathcal{R}_L \otimes \mathcal{R}_L \\ \downarrow \\ L \end{array} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} Q_{k,l}^\beta \otimes Id \\ ev_0 \end{array} \right) \downarrow \\ \mathcal{R}_L \otimes \mathcal{R}_L \\ \downarrow \\ L \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} O_{\text{odd}} \bullet m \\ \pi^L \end{array} \right) \searrow \\ \mathbb{E}_L \\ \downarrow \\ \Omega \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} O_{\text{odd}} \bullet m \\ \pi^L \end{array} \right) \swarrow \\ \mathbb{E}_L \\ \downarrow \\ \Omega \end{array} \end{array}$$

In Section 6.1, we construct a family of  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,l}(\beta)}$ -orientors of  $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$  to  $\mathbb{E}_L$  of degree  $4 - n - 2l$

$$Q_{-1,l}^\beta : \underline{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,l}(\beta)}} \otimes (\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,l}(\beta)})^* \mathbb{E}_L$$

indexed by

$$(l, \beta) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \Pi) \setminus \left\{ (0, \beta_0), (1, \beta_0) \right\}.$$



In the exceptional cases, the moduli spaces are empty. In [26] these orientors are used to construct an element of the Novikov ring  $\mathfrak{m}_{-1}$  and the following theorem gives rise to a relation involving  $\mathfrak{m}_{-1}$ , the cyclic pairing and  $\mathfrak{m}_0$ . In the case that  $L$  is orientable, the element  $\mathfrak{m}_{-1}$  plays an important role in the definition of open Gromov-Witten invariants given in [6, 40]. We plan to use the element  $\mathfrak{m}_{-1}$  defined here along with the  $A_\infty$  structure to define open Gromov-Witten invariants for non-orientable  $L$ .

Fix  $l \geq 0$  and  $\beta \in \Pi$ . Fix partitions  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$  and  $I \dot{\cup} J = [l]$ . Recall the boundary component  $B_{-1,I,J}(\beta_1, \beta_2) := B_{0,0,0,I,J}(\beta_1, \beta_2) \subset \partial \mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta)$ , and the gluing map  $\vartheta : \mathcal{M}_{1,I}(\beta_1)_{\text{ev}_0^{\beta_1}} \times_{\text{ev}_0^{\beta_2}} \mathcal{M}_{1,J}(\beta_2) \rightarrow B_{-1,I,J}(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_c^\vartheta$  denote the canonical relative orientation of  $\vartheta$  as a local diffeomorphism. Let  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta_1) \times_L \mathcal{M}_{0,J}(\beta_2)}$  denote the composition of  $\pi^L$  and the canonical map  $\mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta_1) \times_L \mathcal{M}_{0,J}(\beta_2) \rightarrow L$  given by the fiber product.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $l \geq 0$  and  $\beta \in \Pi$ . Let  $I \dot{\cup} J = [l]$  and  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$ . The following equation of  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta_1) \times_L \mathcal{M}_{0,J}(\beta_2)}$ -endo-orientors of  $\mathbb{A}$  holds.*

$$(\vartheta, \mathcal{O}_c^\vartheta)^\diamond \left( \partial Q_{-1,l}^\beta \right) = -O \bullet m \bullet \left( Q_{0,I}^{\beta_1} \right)^{\mathcal{R}_L} \bullet \left( p_2 / \text{ev}_0^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond Q_{0,J}^{\beta_2}$$

Theorem 4 is proven in Section 6.4. The theorem can be visualized in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& \mathbb{A} & & \mathbb{A} & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& \mathcal{M}_{1,I}(\beta_1) \times_L \mathcal{M}_{1,J}(\beta_2) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \mathcal{M}_{1,J}(\beta_2) & \\
& \downarrow \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \varphi \mathcal{O}_c^\vartheta \\ \vartheta \end{smallmatrix} \right) & & \downarrow \left( \begin{smallmatrix} Q_{0,J}^{\beta_2} \\ \text{ev}_0^{\beta_2} \end{smallmatrix} \right) & \\
& \mathbb{A} & \xleftarrow{\left( \begin{smallmatrix} p_1 / \text{ev}_0^{\beta_1} \\ p_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)^\diamond Q_{0,I}^{\beta_1}} & \mathbb{A} & \\
& \downarrow \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \partial_{\pi^M} \\ \iota \end{smallmatrix} \right) & & \downarrow \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{R}_L \\ L \end{smallmatrix} \right) & \\
& \mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta) & & \mathcal{R}_L & \\
& & \downarrow \left( \begin{smallmatrix} (\text{ev}_0^{\beta_1})^* \mathcal{R}_L \\ \mathcal{M}_{1,I}(\beta_1) \\ \downarrow \left( \begin{smallmatrix} Q_{0,I}^{\beta_1} \\ \text{ev}_0^{\beta_1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mathcal{R}_L \end{smallmatrix} \right) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0^{\beta_1}} & \downarrow \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{R}_L \\ L \end{smallmatrix} \right) \\
& & \mathcal{R}_L \otimes \mathcal{R}_L & & \downarrow \left( \begin{smallmatrix} O \bullet m \\ \pi^L \end{smallmatrix} \right) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & L & & \mathbb{E}_L \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & \mathbb{A} & & \Omega
\end{array}$$

**1.5. Base change.** Recall that our results hold for a general symplectic fibration  $\pi^X : X \rightarrow \Omega$  and an exact relatively  $\text{Pin}^\pm$  Lagrangian subfibration, with an appropriate group  $\Pi$  to which the degrees of stable maps belong. Fix a smooth map  $\xi : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$ . For any submersion  $\pi^M : M \rightarrow \Omega$  we abbreviate  $\xi^* M := \Omega'_\xi \times_{\pi^M} M$ , so we have a pullback diagram as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\xi^* M & \xrightarrow{\xi^M} & M \\
\pi^{\xi^* M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^M \\
\Omega' & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \Omega.
\end{array}
\tag{1.1}$$

It holds that  $\pi^{\xi^*X} : \xi^*X \rightarrow \Omega'$  is a symplectic fibration, and  $\xi^*L \subset \xi^*X$  is a relatively  $Pin^\pm$  exact Lagrangian subfibration. See Corollary 4.6. Moreover, there exist canonical identifications

$$\xi^*\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(X, L, J, \beta) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^*X, \xi^*L, \xi^*J, \beta)$$

that respect the evaluation maps  $evb_i, evi_j$  and the maps  $Fb, Fi, f$ .

According to Definition 2.32 applied to diagram (1.1) with  $M = L$ , there exists a canonical isomorphism of local systems of  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebras

$$\xi_{\mathcal{R}}^* : (\xi^L)^* \mathcal{R}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\xi^*L}.$$

See Section 6.6. Set

$$\xi_{\mathbb{E}}^* := \pi_*^L \xi_{\mathcal{R}}^* : \xi^*\mathbb{E}_L \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\xi^*L}.$$

We think of  $\xi_{\mathcal{R}}^*$  and  $\xi_{\mathbb{E}}^*$  as  $\text{Id}_{\xi^*L}$  and  $\text{Id}_{\Omega'}$ -orientors, respectively.

**Proposition 2.** *With the above notations, the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi^*\mathcal{R}_L & \xrightarrow{\xi_{\mathcal{R}}^*} & \mathcal{R}_{\xi^*L} \\ \xi^\diamond O^L \downarrow & & \downarrow O^{\xi^*L} \\ \mathcal{K}_{\pi^{\xi^*L}} \otimes \xi^*\mathcal{E}_L & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes (\pi^L)^* \xi_{\mathbb{E}}^*} & \mathcal{K}_{\xi^*L} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\xi^*L} \end{array}$$

That is, the following equation of  $\pi^{\xi^*L}$ -orientors holds.

$$\xi_{\mathbb{E}}^* \bullet \xi^\diamond O^L = O^{\xi^*L} \bullet \xi_{\mathcal{R}}^*.$$

Let  $k \geq 0, l \geq 0$  and  $\beta \in \Pi$ . Abbreviate

$$\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(X, L, J, \beta), \quad \mathcal{M}' := \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^*X, \xi^*L, \xi^*J, \beta).$$

Let

$$\xi_E^* := \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \left( evb_j^\beta \right)^* \xi_{\mathcal{R}}^* : \xi^{\mathcal{M}^*} E_L^k \rightarrow E_{\xi^*L}^k.$$

We think of  $\xi_E^*$  as an  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}'}$ -orientor.

**Theorem 5.** *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi^{\mathcal{M}^*} E_L^k & \xrightarrow{\xi_E^*} & E_{\xi^*L}^k \\ \xi^\diamond Q_{k+1,l}^{(X,L,J;\beta)} \downarrow & & \downarrow Q_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*X, \xi^*L, \xi^*J;\beta)} \\ \mathcal{K}_{evb_0^{\Omega'}} \otimes \xi^{\mathcal{M}^*} (evb_0^\Omega)^* \mathcal{R}_L & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes evb_0^*(\xi_{\mathcal{R}}^*)} & \mathcal{K}_{evb_0^{\Omega'}} \otimes (evb_0^{\Omega'})^* \mathcal{R}_{\xi^*L} \end{array}$$

That is, the following equation of orientors holds.

$$\xi_{\mathcal{R}}^* \bullet \xi^\diamond Q_{k+1,l}^{(X,L,J;\beta)} = Q_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*X, \xi^*L, \xi^*J;\beta)} \bullet \xi_E^*.$$

Moreover, the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F} & & \\ \xi^\diamond Q_{-1,l}^{(X,L,J;\beta)} \downarrow & \searrow Q_{-1,l}^{(\xi^*X, \xi^*L, \xi^*J;\beta)} & \\ \mathcal{K}_{\pi^{\mathcal{M}'}} \otimes \pi^{\mathcal{M}'} \xi^*\mathbb{E}_L & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \pi^{\mathcal{M}'} \xi_{\mathbb{E}}^*} & \mathcal{K}_{\pi^{\mathcal{M}'}} \otimes \pi^{\mathcal{M}'} \mathbb{E}_{\xi^*L} \end{array}$$

That is, the following equation of orientors holds.

$$\xi_{\mathbb{E}}^* \bullet \xi^\diamond Q_{-1,l}^{(X,L,J;\beta)} = Q_{-1,l}^{(\xi^*X, \xi^*L, \xi^*J;\beta)}$$

Theorem 5 and Proposition 2 are proven in Section 6.6.

**1.6. Outline.** In Section 2 we review algebraic notations, orbifolds background and orientation conventions. Section 3 develops the general theory of orientors and orientor calculus. Section 4 is devoted to the discussion of families of Lagrangian submanifolds in symplectic manifolds and related moduli spaces of stable maps. Sections 5.1-5.4 construct basic  $evb_0^\beta$ -orientors and state relations between them for different triplets  $(k, l, \beta)$ . The proofs of these relations appear in Sections 5.5-5.8. Section 6 builds on the results of Section 5 and extends the basic  $evb_0^\beta$ -orientors to  $evb_0^\beta$ -orientors which resemble  $A_\infty$  operators.

**1.7. Acknowledgments.** The authors are grateful to M. Abouzaid, E. Kosloff, P. Seidel and S. Tukachinsky, for helpful conversations. The authors were partially funded by ERC starting grant 337560 as well as ISF grants 569/18 and 1127/22.

## 2. CONVENTIONS

**2.1. Notations.** In the following sections we work in the category of orbifolds with corners, indicated by the Latin capital letters  $M, N, P, X, Y$ , and smooth amps between them, indicated by  $f, g, h$  etc. For a comprehensive guide for the category of orbifolds with corners, we recommend [41]. Throughout this paper, we fix a commutative ring  $\mathbb{A}$ .

**Notation 2.1** (Abuse of notation in equations of natural numbers). Let  $M, N$  be manifolds and  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map. Let  $Q, S$  be graded local systems over  $M$  and let  $F : Q \rightarrow S$  be a morphism of degree  $\deg F$  and let  $q \in Q$  be of degree  $\deg q$ . As stated in the introduction, a local system of graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -modules will be referred to as a local system. A morphism of local systems might be referred to as a map. Let  $\alpha \in A(M; Q)$  be a differential form. Let  $\beta$  be a homology class of a symplectic manifold  $X$  relative to a Lagrangian  $L$ . In integral expressions (mostly used as exponents of the number  $-1$ ):

- (a) we write  $m$  (or  $M$ ) for the dimension of the corresponding capital-letter orbifold  $M$ ;
- (b) we write  $f$  for  $\text{rdim } f = \dim M - \dim N$ , the relative dimension of  $f$ ;
- (c) we write  $q$  for  $\deg q$  and we write  $F$  for  $\deg F$ ;
- (d) we write  $\alpha$  for  $|\alpha|$  which is the degree of  $\alpha$ ;
- (e) we write  $\beta$  for the Maslov Index  $\mu(\beta)$ .

**2.2. Graded algebra.** Throughout the paper we write  $x =_2 y$  to denote  $x \equiv y \pmod{2}$ .

**Definition 2.2** (Tensor product). Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a ring. Let  $A, B, C, D$  be graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -modules with valuations (or local systems of graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -modules over an orbifold with corners). Let  $F : A \rightarrow C, G : B \rightarrow D$  be linear maps of degrees  $|F|, |G|$ . Let  $a, b$  be homogeneous elements of  $A, B$ , respectively.

- (a) The sign  $\otimes$  means the completed tensor product with respect to the valuations.
- (b) The tensor product of differential graded algebras with valuations is again a differential graded algebra with valuation in the standard way. For

$$a \in A, b \in B$$

the differential is

$$d_{A \otimes B}(a_0 \otimes b_0) = (d_A a_0) \otimes b_0 + (-1)^{a_0} a_0 \otimes d_B b_0,$$

and for

$$\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in A, \{b_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in B,$$

the valuation is defined as follows

$$\nu_{A \otimes B} \left( \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes b_j \right) = \inf_{a_i \otimes b_j \neq 0} (\nu(a_i) + \nu(b_j)).$$

(c) The symmetry isomorphism  $\tau_{A,B}$  is given by

$$A \otimes B \xrightarrow{\tau_{A,B}} B \otimes A, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto (-1)^{ab} b \otimes a.$$

(d) *Tensor product of  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebras:*

If  $A, B$  are graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebras (or local systems of graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebras) with multiplication  $(\cdot_A, \cdot_B)$  then the graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebra  $A \otimes B := A \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} B$  is defined as the graded tensor product, with multiplication

$$(a_1 \otimes b_1) \cdot (a_2 \otimes b_2) = (-1)^{b_1 a_2} (a_1 \cdot_A a_2) \otimes (b_1 \cdot_B b_2).$$

(e) *Functoriality of tensor product:*

The tensor product of two maps is given by

$$F \otimes G : A \otimes B \rightarrow C \otimes D, \quad F \otimes G(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{|G|a} F a \otimes G b.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $A, B, C$  be graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -modules. Then as maps  $A \otimes B \otimes C \rightarrow B \otimes C \otimes A$  the following equation holds.*

$$\tau_{A, B \otimes C} = (Id_B \otimes \tau_{A,C}) \circ (\tau_{A,B} \otimes Id)$$

*Proof.* Let  $a \in A, b \in B, c \in C$  be of homogeneous degree. Then

$$\tau_{A, B \otimes C}(a \otimes b \otimes c) = (-1)^{a(b+c)} b \otimes c \otimes a,$$

and

$$(Id_B \otimes \tau_{A,C}) \circ (\tau_{A,B} \otimes Id)(a \otimes b \otimes c) = (-1)^{ab} (Id_B \otimes \tau_{A,C})(b \otimes a \otimes c) = (-1)^{ab+ac} b \otimes c \otimes a.$$

□

**Proposition 2.4** (Koszul signs). *With the previous notation, if  $F' : C \rightarrow C', G' : D \rightarrow D'$  are maps leaving  $C, D$  respectively, of degrees  $|F'|, |G'|$ , then*

$$(2.1) \quad (G \otimes F) \circ \tau_{A,B} = (-1)^{|F||G|} \tau_{C,D} \circ (F \otimes G).$$

$$(2.2) \quad (F' \otimes G') \circ (F \otimes G) = (-1)^{|F||G'|} (F' \circ F) \otimes (G' \circ G).$$

*Proof.* We evaluate at  $a, b \in A \otimes B$ . For the first equality, we have

$$G \otimes F \circ \tau_{A,B}(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{ab} G \otimes F(b \otimes a) = (-1)^{(F+a)b} G b \otimes F a,$$

and

$$\tau_{C,D} \circ F \otimes G(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{Ga} \tau_{C,D} F a \otimes G b = (-1)^{Ga+(F+a)(G+b)} G b \otimes F a.$$

However,

$$(F+a)b + Ga + (F+a)(G+b) =_2 FG.$$

For the second equality,

$$(F' \otimes G') \circ (F \otimes G)(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{Ga} (F' \otimes G') F a \otimes G b = (-1)^{Ga+G'(F+a)} F' F a \otimes G' G b,$$

and

$$(F' \circ F) \otimes (G' \circ G)(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{(G'+G)a} F' F a \otimes G' G b.$$

However,

$$Ga + G'(F+a) + (G'+G)a =_2 FG'.$$

□

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i$  be a graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -module. **The dual space  $A^\vee$  of  $A$**  is given by

$$A^\vee := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i^\vee,$$

where  $A_i^\vee$  is the space of linear maps from  $A_i$  to  $\mathbb{A}$ . Denote by  $\nu_A : A \otimes A^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  the pairing  $a \otimes a^\vee \rightarrow a^\vee(a)$ .

*Remark 2.6.* Treating  $\mathbb{A}$  as the free module of dimension one over  $\mathbb{A}$ ,  $\mathbb{A}^\vee \simeq \mathbb{A}$ . The pairing  $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  is an isomorphism.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $T, K$  be graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -modules and let  $S$  be a graded  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebra. Assume that  $\mu : S \otimes K \rightarrow K$  is a module-structure. We define **the left (resp. right)  $T$ -extension of  $\mu$**  to be a module-structure of  $T \otimes K$  (resp.  $K \otimes T$ ) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} {}^T\mu(s \otimes t \otimes k) &= (-1)^{st} t \otimes \mu(s \otimes k), \\ \mu^T(s \otimes k \otimes t) &= \mu(s \otimes k) \otimes t. \end{aligned}$$

We further define an  $S$ -module structure on  $K^\vee$  by

$$(\mu^\vee(s \otimes v^\vee)) \otimes v = (-1)^{sv^\vee} v^\vee (\mu(s \otimes v)).$$

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a graded linear map. **The dual map  $F^\vee$  of  $F$**  is the graded linear map

$$\begin{aligned} F^\vee : Y^\vee &\rightarrow X^\vee \\ (F^\vee y^\vee)(x) &= (-1)^{|F||x|} y^\vee(Fx). \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 2.9.* Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a graded linear map. Then the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes Y^\vee & \xrightarrow{F \otimes \text{Id}} & Y \otimes Y^\vee \\ \downarrow \text{Id} \otimes F^\vee & & \downarrow \nu_Y \\ X \otimes X^\vee & \xrightarrow{\nu_X} & \mathbb{A} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Use the Koszul signs of Lemma 2.4. □

For a set  $A$ , denote the constant map by  $\pi^A : A \rightarrow *$ . For two sets  $A, B$ , we denote their product and corresponding projections as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B & \xrightarrow{\pi_B^{A \times B}} & B \\ \pi_A^{A \times B} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_B \\ A & \xrightarrow{\pi^A} & * \end{array}$$

When it causes no confusion, we might write  $\pi_A, \pi_B$  for the projections.

For two lists  $B_1 = (v_1, \dots, v_n), B_2 = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ , denote by  $B_1 \circ B_2$  the concatenation  $(v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_m)$ .

**2.3. Orbifolds with corners.** We use the definition of orbifolds with corners from [41]. We also use the definitions of smooth maps, strongly smooth maps, boundary and fiber products of orbifolds with corners given there. In particular, for  $M$  an orbifold with corners,  $\partial M$  is again an orbifold with corners, and it comes with a natural map  $\iota_M : \partial M \rightarrow M$ . In the special case of manifolds with corners, our definition of boundary coincides with [24, Definition 2.6], our smooth maps coincide with weakly smooth maps in [25, Definition 2.1(a)], and our strongly smooth maps are as in [25, Definition 2.1(e)],

which coincides with smooth maps in [24, Definition 3.1]. We say a map of orbifolds is a submersion if it is a strongly smooth submersion in the sense of [41]. In the special case of manifolds with corners, our submersions coincide with submersions in [24, Definition 3.2(iv)] and with strongly smooth horizontal submersions in [41, Definition 19(a)]. For a strongly smooth map  $f : M \rightarrow N$ , we use the notion of vertical boundary  $\partial_f M \subset \partial M$  defined in [41, Section 2.1.1], which extends to orbifolds with corners the definition of [24, Section 4] for manifolds with corners. We write  $\iota_f : \partial_f M \rightarrow M$  for the restriction of  $\iota$  to  $\partial_f M$ . When  $f$  is a submersion, the vertical boundary is the fiberwise boundary, that is,  $\partial_f M = \coprod_{y \in N} \partial(f^{-1}(y))$ . If  $\partial N = \emptyset$ , then  $\partial_f M = \partial M$ . A strongly smooth map of orbifolds  $f : M \rightarrow N$  induces a strongly smooth map  $f|_{\partial_f M} = f \circ \iota_f : \partial_f M \rightarrow N$ , called the restriction to the vertical boundary. If  $f$  is a submersion, then the restriction  $f|_{\partial_f M}$  is also a submersion. As usual, diffeomorphisms are smooth maps with a smooth inverse. We use the notion of transversality from [41, Section 3], which is induced from transversality of maps of manifolds with corners as defined in [24, Definition 6.1]. In particular, any smooth map is transverse to a submersion. Weak fiber products of strongly smooth transverse maps exist by [41, Lemma 5.3]. Below, we omit the adjective ‘weak’ for brevity.

Extending the definition of corners of [24] to corners over a map, we continue with the following definition.

**Definition 2.10** (Vertical corners). Let  $r \geq 0$ , and write  $S_r$  for the symmetric group on  $r$  elements, the group of bijections  $\sigma : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a strongly smooth map. By abuse of notation, in the current definition, we also denote by  $f$  the restriction of  $f$  to  $\partial^r M$ . There is a natural free action of  $S_r$  on  $\partial_f^r M$  by permuting the order of local vertical boundary components. We define the **vertical  $r$ -corners**  $C_f^r(M)$  of  $M$  to be

$$C_f^r(M) := \partial_f^r M / S_r.$$

**Definition 2.11** (Orbifold over a manifold). Let  $\Omega$  be a manifold with corners. An **orbifold (resp. manifold) with corners over  $\Omega$**  is an orbifold (resp. manifold) with corners  $A$  and a submersion  $\pi^A : A \rightarrow \Omega$ . An **orbifold (resp. manifold) over  $\Omega$**  is an orbifold (resp. manifold) with corners  $A$  and a submersion  $\pi^A : A \rightarrow \Omega$  with empty vertical boundary  $\partial_{\pi^A} A = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$  be such that  $g \circ f$  is a proper submersion. In particular,  $g$  is a proper submersion. we say  $f$  **factorizes through the boundary of  $g$**  if there exists a map  $\iota_g^* f$  such that the following diagram is a fiber-product.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial_{g \circ f} M & \xrightarrow{\iota_{g \circ f}} & M \\ \iota_g^* f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \partial_g P & \xrightarrow{\iota_g} & P \end{array}$$

*Remark 2.13.* If  $f$  is a (proper) submersion, and  $f$  factorizes through the boundary of  $g$ , then  $\iota_g^* f$  is also a (proper) submersion.

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $M$  be a topological space. A subset  $M' \subset M$  is called **essential** if it is open, dense and for any  $x \in M$  there exists a local basis consisting of open sets  $U$  such that the inclusion map  $\iota : U \cap M' \rightarrow U$  induces a bijection on connected components.

*Remark 2.15.* If  $M$  is locally connected, then the local basis in the above definition may be taken to consist of connected open sets  $U$ , and thus  $U \cap M'$  is connected.

*Example 2.16.* Let  $M$  be an orbifold with corners and let  $D \subset M$  denote either a sub-orbifold of codimension  $\geq 2$ , or the image of the inclusion of the boundary  $\iota : \partial M \rightarrow M$ . Then  $M \setminus D$  is essential.

**Definition 2.17.** Consider a square diagram of orbifolds with corners, as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{r} & P \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

$S$  is called an **essential fiber-product of  $M, P$  over  $N$**  if there exist essential subsets  $S', M', P', N'$  of  $S, M, P, N$ , respectively, such that the images of  $S', M', P'$  under  $q, r, f, g$  are in  $M', P', N'$ , and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S' & \xrightarrow{r} & P' \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ M' & \xrightarrow{f} & N' \end{array}$$

is a fiber-product.

**Definition 2.18.** we say  $f$  **essentially factorizes through the boundary of  $g$**  if Definition 2.12 holds with an *essential fiber-product*.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial_{g \circ f} M & \xrightarrow{\iota_{g \circ f}} & M \\ \downarrow \iota_g^* f & & \downarrow f \\ \partial_g P & \xrightarrow{\iota_g} & P \end{array}$$

We make an extensive use of the following lemma in Section 5, to allow orientation calculations on essential subsets of the moduli spaces.

**Lemma 2.19** (Unique extension of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -maps). *Let  $M$  be a locally connected topological space, and let  $P, Q$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $M$ . Let  $M' \subset M$  be an essential subset. Let  $f : P|_{M'} \rightarrow Q|_{M'}$  be a map of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  bundles over  $M'$ . Then  $f$  extends uniquely to a map  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  of bundles over  $M$ .*

*In particular, if  $f, g : P \rightarrow Q$  satisfy  $f|_{M'} = g|_{M'}$  then  $f = g$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M \setminus M'$  be a point. There exists a connected neighborhood  $x \in U \subset M$  such that  $P|_U, Q|_U$  are trivial and that the intersection  $U \cap M'$  is connected. Therefore, under trivializations of  $P|_U, Q|_U$ , the map  $f|_{U \cap M'}$  is constant. Therefore, it extends uniquely to  $U$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.20.* By Lemma 2.19, in what follows all Lemmas that concern fiber-products or boundary factorization hold for essential fiber-products and essential boundary factorization.

**Notation 2.21.** generally, for a set  $X$  and a topological space  $M$ , we write  $\underline{X}$  for the trivial bundle over  $M$  with fiber  $X$ .

**2.4. Orientation conventions.** We follow the conventions of [41] concerning manifolds with corners. In particular, we relatively orient boundary and fiber products as detailed in the following. For an orbifold with corners  $M$ , we consider the orientation double cover  $\widetilde{M}$  as a graded  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundle, concentrated in degree  $\deg \widetilde{M} = \dim M$ .

**Definition 2.22.** Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} N$  be a map. We define the **relative orientation bundle** of  $f$  to be the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundle over  $M$  given by

$$\mathbb{K}_f := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\widetilde{M}, f^*\widetilde{N}).$$

A **local relative orientation** is a section  $\mathcal{O} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_f|_U$  over an open subset  $U \subset M$ . A **relative orientation** is a global section  $\mathcal{O} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_f$ .

Note that it is concentrated in degree  $-\text{rdim } f = -m + n$ .

**Definition 2.23.** The **orientation bundle** of an orbifold  $M$  is defined to be the relative orientation bundle of the constant map  $M \rightarrow pt$ ,

$$\mathbb{K}_M := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\widetilde{M}, \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}) = \widetilde{M}^\vee,$$

A **(local) orientation** for  $M$  is (local) orientation relative to the constant map  $M \rightarrow pt$ .

Note that it is concentrated in degree  $-\dim M$ .

We now relatively-orient chosen operations on orbifolds.

#### 2.4.1. Local diffeomorphism.

**Definition 2.24.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a local diffeomorphism. The differential  $df$  is regarded as a bundle map  $df : TM \rightarrow f^*TN$ . Its exterior power induces a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundle map  $[\Lambda^{\text{top}} df] : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow f^*\widetilde{N}$ . It can be thought of as a section  $\mathcal{O}_c^f \in \text{Hom}(\widetilde{M}, f^*\widetilde{N})$  called **the canonical relative orientation of  $f$** . In particular,  $\mathbb{K}_f$  is canonically trivial.

Moreover, given a map  $g : N \rightarrow P$ , there is a **pullback map**

$$f^* : f^*\mathbb{K}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{g \circ f}$$

given by composition on the right with  $\mathcal{O}_c^f$ .

#### 2.4.2. Composition.

**Definition 2.25.** Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$  be two maps. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^*\mathbb{K}_g \simeq \mathbb{K}_{g \circ f}$$

called the **composition isomorphism** given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\widetilde{M}, f^*\widetilde{P}) \otimes f^*\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\widetilde{P}, g^*\widetilde{N}) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\widetilde{M}, (g \circ f)^*\widetilde{N}), \\ \mathcal{O}^f \otimes f^*\mathcal{O}^g &\mapsto f^*\mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}^f. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 2.26.* If  $f, g$  are local diffeomorphisms, then by the chain rule

$$\mathcal{O}_c^{g \circ f} = f^*\mathcal{O}_c^g \circ \mathcal{O}_c^f.$$

That is  $f^* \circ g^* = (g \circ f)^*$ .

**Notation 2.27.** By abuse of notation, we may omit the pullback notation  $f^*$  if it causes no confusion, such as

$$\mathcal{O}^f \otimes \mathcal{O}^g = \mathcal{O}^f \otimes f^*\mathcal{O}^g, \quad \mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}^f = f^*\mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}^f.$$

*Remark 2.28.* In the setting of Definition 2.4.2, if  $t : T \rightarrow M$  is a local diffeomorphism, then the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a^*\mathbb{K}_f \otimes t^*f^*\mathbb{K}_g & \xrightarrow{\text{comp.}} & t^*\mathbb{K}_{g \circ f} \\ t^* \otimes \text{Id} \downarrow & & \downarrow t^* \\ \mathbb{K}_{f \circ t} \otimes (f \circ t)^*\mathbb{K}_g & \xrightarrow{\text{comp.}} & \mathbb{K}_{g \circ f \circ t} \end{array}$$

This follows from the associativity of composition.



**Notation 2.29.** To ease notations, we notate this isomorphism as equality.

**2.4.3. Relative orientation of boundary.** Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} N$  be a proper submersion. As explained in Section 2.3, the boundary of  $M$  can be divided into horizontal and vertical components with respect to  $f$ . Let  $p \in \partial_f M$  be a point in the vertical boundary and  $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \in T_p \partial_f M$  be a basis, such that

$$df_{\iota_f(p)} \circ (d\iota_f)_p(x_i) = 0, \quad i = n+1, \dots, m-1.$$

Let  $x_1^\vee, \dots, x_{m-1}^\vee$  be the dual basis. Let  $\nu_{out}$  be an outwards-pointing vector in  $T_p M$ . We define the **canonical relative orientation of the boundary** to be

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_f}|_p := \left[ x_1^\vee \wedge \dots \wedge x_{m-1}^\vee \bigotimes (d\iota_f)_p(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (d\iota_f)_p(x_n) \wedge \right. \\ \left. \wedge \nu_{out} \wedge (d\iota_f)_p(x_{n+1}) \wedge \dots \wedge (d\iota_f)_p(x_{m-1}) \right].$$

*Remark 2.30.* Consider the constant map  $pt : M \rightarrow pt$ . The total boundary  $\partial M$  is the same as  $\partial_{pt} M$ . Therefore, we get a relative orientation for the inclusion of the total boundary  $\iota_M : \partial M \rightarrow M$ . By virtue of Definition 2.4.1, it is possible to relatively orient  $\partial_f M$  as an open subset of  $\partial M$ . That is indeed done in [41]: with the previous notations, the relative orientation that is used there is

$$o_c^{\iota_f}|_p := \left[ x_1^\vee \wedge \dots \wedge x_{m-1}^\vee \bigotimes \nu_{out} \wedge (d\iota_f)_p(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (d\iota_f)_p(x_{m-1}) \right].$$

These relative orientations satisfy

$$o_c^{\iota_f} = (-1)^N \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_f}.$$

*Remark 2.31.* Given a relative orientation  $\mathcal{O}^f \in \mathbb{K}_f|_p$  at point  $p \in M$ , for vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \in T_p \partial_f M$  that form a basis, we have

$$\mathcal{O}^f \circ \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_f}(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{m-1}) = (-1)^{f-1} \mathcal{O}^f \left( (d\iota_f)_p(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (d\iota_f)_p(x_{m-1}) \wedge \nu_{out} \right)$$

**2.4.4. Essential fiber product.**

**Definition 2.32.** Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} N \xleftarrow{g} P$  be transversal smooth maps of orbifolds with corners. Consider the following fiber product diagram.

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \times_N P & \xrightarrow{r} & P \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

There is a canonical isomorphism from the relative orientation bundle of  $q$  to the pullback of the relative orientation bundle of  $g$ . It is called **the pullback by  $r$  over  $f$**  and denoted

$$(r/f)^* : r^* \mathbb{K}_g \simeq \mathbb{K}_q.$$

It is given as follows. Let  $(m, p) \in M \times P$  be such that  $f(m) = g(p)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}^N, \mathcal{O}^M, \mathcal{O}^g$  be local orientations of  $N, M, g$  in neighborhoods of  $f(m), m, p$ , respectively. Define  $\mathcal{O}^P := \mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}^g$ . By the transversality assumption,

$$F := df_m \oplus -dg_p : T_m M \oplus T_p P \rightarrow T_{f(m)} N$$

is surjective, and by definition of fiber product, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\psi := dq_{(m,p)} \oplus dr_{(m,p)} : T_{(m,p)}(M \times_N P) \rightarrow \ker(F).$$

Therefore, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{(m,p)}(M \times_N P) \xrightarrow{\psi} T_m M \oplus T_p P \xrightarrow{F} T_{f(m)} N \longrightarrow 0.$$

Splitting the short exact sequence, we get an isomorphism

$$T_m M \oplus T_p P \xrightarrow{\Psi} T_{(m,p)}(M \times_N P) \oplus T_{f(m)} N.$$

We define a local orientation  $\mathcal{O}^M \times \mathcal{O}^g$  of  $M \times_N P$  at  $(m, p)$  to be the orientation for which  $\Psi$  has sign  $(-1)^{NP}$ , and subsequently we define a local orientation  $(r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}^g)$  of  $q$  to satisfy the following equation.

$$\mathcal{O}^M \times \mathcal{O}^g = \mathcal{O}^M \circ (r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}^g).$$

**Definition 2.33.** If

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{r} & P \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

is an essential fiber product, the pullback by  $r$  over  $f$  that is defined on the essential part extends uniquely to a map  $\mathbb{K}_q \rightarrow r^*\mathbb{K}_g$  by Lemma 2.19. This map is called the **pullback by  $r$  over  $f$** .

2.4.5. *Relatively oriented commutative diagram.*

**Definition 2.34.** Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O & \xrightarrow{r} & P \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram such that  $r, f$  are relatively oriented, with relative orientations  $\mathcal{O}^r, \mathcal{O}^f$ . There exists a canonical isomorphism from the relative orientation bundle of  $q$  to the pullback of the relative orientation bundle of  $g$ , with respect to  $\mathcal{O}^r, \mathcal{O}^f$ . It is called **the pullback by  $r$  over  $f$  (with respect to  $\mathcal{O}^r, \mathcal{O}^f$ )**, and denoted

$$(r/f)^* : r^*\mathbb{K}_g \simeq \mathbb{K}_q.$$

It is given as follows. Let  $\mathcal{O}^g$  be a local section of  $r^*\mathbb{K}_g$ . The pullback  $(r/f)^*\mathcal{O}^g$  is the unique local relative orientation of  $q$  satisfying

$$\mathcal{O}^f \circ (r/f)^*\mathcal{O}^g = (-1)^{fg} \mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}^r.$$

Note that we omit the relative orientations of  $r, f$  in the notation, as they will be fixed and declared in any situation in which we use this notation.

*Remark 2.35.* In the case the above diagram is a pullback diagram, and  $\mathcal{O}^r = (q/g)^*\mathcal{O}^f$ , Lemma 2.43 implies that both definitions coincide.

2.4.6. *Base extension.* The following definition is an important example of Definition 2.32

**Definition 2.36.** Let  $\Omega$  be a manifold with corners, let  $A, X, Y$  be orbifolds with corners over  $\Omega$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map over  $\Omega$ . Consider the following fiber product diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times_{\Omega} X & \xrightarrow{\pi_X^{A \times X}} & X \\ \downarrow \text{Id} \times f & & \downarrow f \\ A \times_{\Omega} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y^{A \times Y}} & Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{\Omega} A & \xrightarrow{\pi_X^{X \times A}} & X \\ \downarrow f \times \text{Id} & & \downarrow f \\ Y \times_{\Omega} A & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y^{Y \times A}} & Y \end{array}$$

Assume  $f$  is relatively oriented. Then  $\text{Id} \times f$  and  $f \times \text{Id}$  are relatively oriented, and we denote the pullbacks by  $\pi_X^{A \times X}$  over  $\pi_Y^{A \times Y}$  and by  $\pi_X^{X \times A}$  over  $\pi_Y^{Y \times A}$  of a relative orientation  $\mathcal{O}^f$  of  $f$  by

$$1 \times \mathcal{O}^f := (\pi_X^{A \times X} / \pi_Y^{A \times Y})^* \mathcal{O}^f, \quad \mathcal{O}^f \times 1 := (\pi_X^{X \times A} / \pi_Y^{Y \times A})^* \mathcal{O}^f,$$

respectively.

**2.4.7. Quotient.** Let  $G$  be an oriented Lie group with orientation  $\mathcal{O}^G$  and Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{g} := \mathcal{O}^G|_1$  the orientation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  at the unit element  $1 \in G$ . For a point  $g \in G$ , denote by  $R_g : G \rightarrow G$  be multiplication on the right by  $g$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}^G|_g = R_{g^{-1}}^* \mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $M$  be an orbifold with corners. Let  $\Phi : M \times G \rightarrow M$  be a smooth proper right-action with finite stabilizers and let  $q : M \rightarrow M/G$  be the quotient. The map  $\pi_M : M \times G \rightarrow M$  carries the pullback orientation

$$\mathcal{O}_c^\pi := (\pi_G^{M \times G} / \pi^M)^* \mathcal{O}^G.$$

For a point  $p \in M$ , there is a short exact sequence

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_p M \xrightarrow{dq_p} T_{q(p)}(M/G) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, the following diagram is a fiber-product.

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \times G & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & M \\ \downarrow \pi_M & & \downarrow q \\ M & \xrightarrow{q} & M/G \end{array}$$

**Definition 2.37.** The canonical relative orientation  $\mathcal{O}_c^q$  of the quotient map  $q$  is defined to be the relative orientation provided by the short exact sequence (2.5), with respect to the orientation  $\mathcal{O}^G|_1$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . One may check with methods analog to the proofs that appear in Section 2.6 that

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{O}_c^\pi = (\Phi/q)^* \mathcal{O}_c^q.$$

The canonical relative orientation of the action  $\Phi$  is defined to be the pullback

$$\mathcal{O}_c^\Phi := (-1)^G (\pi_M/q)^* \mathcal{O}_c^q.$$

*Remark 2.38.* Let  $\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{K}_\mathfrak{g}$  be an orientation of  $G$ . The orientation  $\mathcal{O}_c^q$  is calculated as follows. Choose a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_g \in \mathfrak{g}$ , such that  $\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{g} = [v_1^\vee \wedge \dots \wedge v_g^\vee]$  where  $v_1^\vee, \dots, v_g^\vee$  is the dual basis. For a point  $p \in M$  and tangent vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_{m-g} \in T_p M$  such that

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{m-g}, d\Phi_p(v_1), \dots, d\Phi_p(v_g))$$

is a basis of  $T_p M$ , we denote the dual basis as  $x_1^\vee, \dots, x_{m-g}^\vee, d\Phi_p(v_1)^\vee, \dots, d\Phi_p(v_g)^\vee$ . Then

$$\mathcal{O}_c^q|_p := \left[ x_1^\vee \wedge \dots \wedge x_{m-g}^\vee \wedge d\Phi_p(v_1)^\vee \wedge \dots \wedge d\Phi_p(v_g)^\vee \bigotimes dq_p(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge dq_p(x_{m-g}) \right].$$

One may check the following remark using methods analog to the proofs that appear in Section 2.6. The first equation is simply equation (2.7).

*Remark 2.39.* Let  $\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{K}_\mathfrak{g}$  be an orientation of  $G$ . The relative orientations  $\mathcal{O}_c^\pi, \mathcal{O}_c^\Phi$  are calculated as follows. Let  $\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{g} \in \mathbb{K}_\mathfrak{g}$  be an orientation of the Lie algebra. Choose a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_g \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathcal{O}^\mathfrak{g} = [v_1^\vee \wedge \dots \wedge v_g^\vee]$  where  $v_1^\vee, \dots, v_g^\vee$  is the dual basis. For a point  $(p, g) \in M \times G$  and a basis  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in T_p M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_c^\pi|_{(p,g)}(x_1, \dots, x_m, v_1, \dots, v_g) &= (d\pi_M(x_1), \dots, d\pi_M(x_m)), \\ \mathcal{O}_c^\Phi|_{(p,g)}(x_1, \dots, x_m, v_1, \dots, v_g) &= (d\Phi_g(x_1), \dots, d\Phi_g(x_m)). \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi_g : M \rightarrow M$  is multiplication by  $g$  on the right.

**2.5. Orientations calculus.** The following lemmas describe, in particular, how to orient complex structures from simpler ones. The proofs are provided in Section 2.6

**Lemma 2.40.** *In the setting of Definition 2.32, assume  $f$  is a local diffeomorphism. Then  $r$  is a local diffeomorphism as well, and if  $a : N \rightarrow A$  is a map, then the following diagram is commutative.*

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} r^*\mathbb{K}_g \otimes q^*f^*\mathbb{K}_a & \xrightarrow{\text{comp.}} & r^*\mathbb{K}_{a \circ g} \\ (r/f)^* \otimes q^*f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow r^* \\ \mathbb{K}_q \otimes q^*\mathbb{K}_{a \circ f} & \xrightarrow{\text{comp.}} & \mathbb{K}_{a \circ g \circ r} \end{array}$$

**Lemma 2.41.** *In the setting of Definition 2.32, assume  $g$  is a local diffeomorphism. Then  $q$  is a local diffeomorphism as well, and*

$$(2.9) \quad (r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}_c^g) = \mathcal{O}_c^q.$$

*Remark 2.42.* As a consequence of Lemma 2.41, in the setting of Definition 2.36, the following equations hold.

$$1 \times \mathcal{O}_c^f = \mathcal{O}_c^{\text{Id} \times f}, \quad \mathcal{O}_c^f \times 1 = \mathcal{O}_c^{f \times \text{Id}}.$$

**Lemma 2.43.** *In the setting of Definition 2.32, let  $\mathcal{O}^f, \mathcal{O}^g$  be local orientations of  $f, g$  at points  $m, p$  in  $M, P$ , respectively. Then*

$$\mathcal{O}^f \circ (r/f)^*\mathcal{O}^g = (-1)^{fg}\mathcal{O}^g \circ (q/g)^*\mathcal{O}^f.$$

**Lemma 2.44.** *Assume that the squares in the following diagram are pullbacks.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M \times_N P & \xrightarrow{r} & P & \xrightarrow{t} & T \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow b \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{a} & K \end{array}$$

*Then, the rectangle is also a pullback diagram, and*

$$((t \circ r)/(a \circ f))^* = (r/f)^* \circ r^*(t/a)^*.$$

**Lemma 2.45.** *Assume that the squares in the following diagrams are pullbacks.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times_N P & \xrightarrow{r} & P \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ \downarrow t & & \downarrow a \\ T & \xrightarrow{b} & K \end{array}$$

*Then the rectangle is also a pullback diagram, and the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} r^*\mathbb{K}_{a \circ g} & \xrightarrow{(r/b)^*} & \mathbb{K}_{t \circ q} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ r^*\mathbb{K}_g \otimes r^*g^*\mathbb{K}_a & \xrightarrow{(r/f)^* \otimes (f/b)^*} & \mathbb{K}_q \otimes q^*\mathbb{K}_t \end{array}$$

**Lemma 2.46** (Boundary factorization). *Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$  be such that  $f$  and  $g \circ f$  are proper submersions. Assume that  $f$  factorizes through the boundary of  $g$  in the sense of Definition 2.12. That is, we have the following pullback diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial_{g \circ f} M & \xrightarrow{\iota_{g \circ f}} & M \\ \iota_g^* f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \partial_g P & \xrightarrow{\iota_g} & P \end{array}$$

Then

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_g} \circ (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^*(\mathcal{O}^f) = \mathcal{O}^f \circ \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_{g \circ f}}.$$

**Lemma 2.47.** *Let  $A, X, Y$  be orbifolds with corners over  $\Omega$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a submersion over  $\Omega$ . Consider the following pullback diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial_{(Id \times f)}(A \times_{\Omega} X) & \xrightarrow{\iota_f^* \pi_X} & \partial_f X \\ \iota_{(Id \times f)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_f \\ A \times_{\Omega} X & \xrightarrow{\pi_X} & X \\ Id \times f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A \times_{\Omega} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

Then

$$(\iota_f^* \pi_X / \pi_X)^* \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_f} = \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_{(Id \times f)}}.$$

## 2.6. Proofs of results in orientations calculus.

*Proof of Lemma 2.40.* The commutativity of diagram (2.8) amounts to showing that if  $\mathcal{O}^g$  and  $\mathcal{O}^a$  are local relative orientations of  $g$  and  $a$ , respectively, then

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{O}^a \circ \mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}_c^r = \mathcal{O}^a \circ \mathcal{O}_c^f \circ (r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}^g).$$

It is enough to show that

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}_c^r = \mathcal{O}_c^f \circ (r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}^g),$$

which proof follows.

Note that by assumption,  $df_m$  is an isomorphism. There is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : \ker(F) &\rightarrow T_p P, \\ (x, y) &\mapsto y, \end{aligned}$$

whose inverse is  $(df_m^{-1}(dg_p(y)), y) \mapsto y$ . Note that

$$\eta \circ \psi = dr_{(m,p)},$$

which suggests a splitting as follows.

$$T_m M \oplus T_p P \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & dr^{-1} \\ df & -dg \end{pmatrix}} T_{(m,p)}(M \times_N P) \oplus T_{f(m)} N$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}^N, \mathcal{O}^g$  be local orientations of  $N, g$ . Providing  $M$  and  $M \times_N P$  with the local orientations

$$f^*(\mathcal{O}^N) = \mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}_c^f \quad , \quad r^*(\mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}^g) = \mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}_c^r,$$

results in  $\text{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & dr^{-1} \\ df & -dg \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{NP}$ . Therefore,

$$(\mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}_c^f) \times \mathcal{O}^g = \mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}_c^r.$$

Therefore,  $(r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}^g)$  is given by the equation

$$\mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}_c^f \circ (r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}^g) = \mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}_c^r.$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{O}_c^f \circ (r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}^g) = \mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}_c^r,$$

which was to be shown.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.41.* Note that by assumption,  $dg_p$  is an isomorphism. There is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : \ker(F) &\rightarrow T_m M, \\ (x, y) &\mapsto x, \end{aligned}$$

whose inverse is  $(x, dg_p^{-1}(df_m(x))) \mapsto x$ . Note that

$$\eta \circ \psi = dq_{(m,p)},$$

which suggests a splitting as follows.

$$T_m M \oplus T_p P \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} dq^{-1} & 0 \\ df & -dg \end{pmatrix}} T_{(m,p)}(M \times_N P) \oplus T_{f(m)} N$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}^N, \mathcal{O}^M$  be local orientations of  $N, M$  at  $m, f(m)$ , respectively. Equipping  $P, M \times_N P$  with the local orientations

$$g^*(\mathcal{O}^N) = \mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}_c^g, \quad q^*(\mathcal{O}^M) = \mathcal{O}^M \circ \mathcal{O}_c^q,$$

results in  $\text{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} dq^{-1} & 0 \\ df & -dg \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^N = (-1)^{NP}$  since  $\dim N = \dim P$ . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{O}^M \times \mathcal{O}_c^g = \mathcal{O}^M \circ \mathcal{O}_c^q.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.43.* Let  $\mathcal{O}^N$  be a local orientation of  $N$  and let  $\mathcal{O}^M = \mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}^f, \mathcal{O}^P = \mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}^g$  be local orientations of  $M, P$ , respectively. Assume

$$TM \oplus TP \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ df & -dg \end{pmatrix}} T(M \times_N P) \oplus TN$$

is a splitting used in the definition of the pullback by  $r$  over  $f$ . Then

$$TP \oplus TM \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha \\ dg & -df \end{pmatrix}} TM \times_N P \oplus TN$$

is a splitting used in the definition of the pullback by  $q$  over  $g$ , and with respect to the orientation  $\mathcal{O}^M \times \mathcal{O}^g$  of  $M \times_N P$ ,

$$\text{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha \\ dg & -df \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{N+MP} \text{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ df & -dg \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{N+NP+MP}.$$

On the other hand, with respect to the orientation  $\mathcal{O}^P \times \mathcal{O}^f$  of  $M \times_N P$ , by definition,

$$\text{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha \\ dg & -df \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{MN}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}^g \circ (q/g)^* \mathcal{O}^f = \mathcal{O}^P \times \mathcal{O}^f = (-1)^s \mathcal{O}^M \times \mathcal{O}^g = (-1)^s \mathcal{O}^N \circ \mathcal{O}^f \circ (r/f)^* \mathcal{O}^g,$$

where

$$s = N + NP + MP + NM \equiv_2 (M - N)(P - N) = fg.$$

□

*Proof of Lemma 2.44.* Assume there are the following splittings.

$$A : \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ da & -db \end{pmatrix} : TN \oplus TT \rightarrow TP \oplus TK, \quad x \circ dg + y \circ dt = \text{Id}_{TP}$$

$$B := \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ df & -dg \end{pmatrix} : TM \oplus TP \rightarrow T(M \times_N P) \oplus TN, \quad z \circ dq + w \circ dr = \text{Id}_{T(M \times_N P)}$$

Then, there is the following splitting,

$$C := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ da \circ df & -db \end{pmatrix} : TM \oplus TT \rightarrow T(M \times_N P) \oplus TK$$

where

$$\alpha = z + w \circ x \circ df, \quad \beta = w \circ y.$$

Indeed,

$$\alpha \circ dq + \beta \circ dt \circ dr = z \circ dq + w \circ (x \circ dg + y \circ dt) \circ dr = z \circ dq + w \circ dr = \text{Id}_{T(M \times_N P)}.$$

We want to relate the determinant of  $C$  to those of  $A$  and  $B$ . However, there is no natural composition possible to make, and therefore we turn to a space on which all  $A, B$  and  $C$  act. Consider the following maps

$$TN \oplus TP \oplus TM \oplus TT \rightarrow TN \oplus TP \oplus T(M \times_N P) \oplus TK$$

given by the matrices

$$X := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z + w \circ x \circ df & w \circ y \\ 0 & 0 & da \circ df & -db \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Y := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -dg & df & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & w & z & 0 \\ da & 0 & 0 & -db \end{pmatrix}.$$

We show that the  $Y$  follows from the  $X$  by elementary rows/columns actions.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z + w \circ x \circ df & w \circ y \\ 0 & 0 & da \circ df & -db \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{C3- = C1 \circ df \\ C4- = C2 \circ y}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & df & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & z + w \circ x \circ df & w \circ y \\ 0 & 0 & da \circ df & -db \end{pmatrix} \\
& \xrightarrow{\substack{R4- = da \circ R1 \\ R3- = w \circ R2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & df & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & w & z + w \circ x \circ df & 0 \\ da & 0 & 0 & -db \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{C3- = C2 \circ x \circ df} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & df & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & x \circ df & y \\ 0 & w & z & 0 \\ da & 0 & 0 & -db \end{pmatrix} \\
& \xrightarrow{R2- = x \circ R1} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & df & 0 \\ x & -1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & w & z & 0 \\ da & 0 & 0 & -db \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{C2+ = C1 \circ dg + C4 \circ dt} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -dg & df & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & w & z & 0 \\ da & 0 & 0 & -db \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}^K, \mathcal{O}^N, \mathcal{O}^M, \mathcal{O}^b$  be local orientations of  $K, N, M, b$ , respectively. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}^T &:= \mathcal{O}^K \circ \mathcal{O}^b, \\
\mathcal{O}^P &:= \mathcal{O}^N \circ (t/a)^* \mathcal{O}^b, \\
\mathcal{O}^{M \times_N P} &:= \mathcal{O}^M \circ (r/f)^* (t/a)^* \mathcal{O}^b.
\end{aligned}$$

We investigate  $\text{sgn}(X)$  with respect to these orientations. By definition of  $X$ , it follows that  $\text{sgn}(X) = (-1)^{N+P} \cdot \text{sgn}(C)$ . Since  $X$  is carried to  $Y$  by elementary transformations, we know that  $\text{sgn}(X) = \text{sgn}(Y)$ . Denote by  $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and let

$$Z := \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ D & B \end{pmatrix} : TN \oplus TT \oplus TM \oplus TP \rightarrow TP \oplus TK \oplus T(M \times_N P) \oplus TN$$

Then the following equation holds.

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot Z \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recalling that  $\text{sgn}(A) = (-1)^{KT}$  and  $\text{sgn}(B) = (-1)^{PN}$ , we obtain that  $\text{sgn}(Y) = (-1)^s$ , with

$$\begin{aligned}
s &\equiv_2 \underline{TM + TP} + MP + \cancel{NP} + NK + \underbrace{N(M \times_N P) + K(M \times_N P)} + KT + \cancel{PN} \\
&\equiv_2 \underline{T(M \times_N P) - TN} + NK + KT + MP + \underbrace{(N + K)(M \times_N P)} \\
&\equiv_2 (T + N + K)(M + N + P) + TK + N(T + K) + MP \\
&\equiv_2 P(\cancel{M} + N + P) + TK + N(T + K) + \cancel{MP} \\
&\equiv_2 (P + N)(T + K) + TK \equiv_2 (T + K)(T + K) + TK = T + K + TK.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{sgn}(C) = (-1)^{N+P} \text{sgn}(Y) = (-1)^{N+P+T+K+TK} = (-1)^{TK}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{O}^M \times \mathcal{O}^b = \mathcal{O}^M \circ (r/f)^* (t/a)^* \mathcal{O}^b,$$



i.e.

$$(r/f)^*\mathcal{O}^b = (r/f)^*(t/a)^*\mathcal{O}^b.$$

This concludes the proof. □

*Proof of Lemma 2.45.* Assume there are the following maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TM \times_N P & \xleftarrow{w} & TP \\ \uparrow z & & \downarrow dg \\ TM & \xleftarrow{y} & TN \\ \uparrow x & & \downarrow da \\ TT & \xrightarrow{db} & TK \end{array}$$

Assume that the following maps are the required splittings.

$$\begin{aligned} TT \oplus TN & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ db & -da \end{pmatrix}} TM \oplus TK, & x \circ dt + y \circ df &= \text{Id}_{TM} \\ TM \oplus TP & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ df & -dg \end{pmatrix}} T(M \times_N P) \oplus TN, & z \circ dq + w \circ dr &= \text{Id}_{T(M \times_N P)} \end{aligned}$$

Then there is the following splitting,

$$TT \oplus TP \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ db & -da \circ dg \end{pmatrix}} T(M \times_N P) \oplus TK$$

where

$$\alpha = z \circ x, \quad \beta = z \circ y \circ dg + w.$$

Indeed,

$$\alpha \circ dt \circ dq + \beta \circ dr = z \circ (x \circ dt \circ dq + y \circ df \circ dq) + w \circ dr = z \circ dq + w \circ dr = \text{Id}_{T(M \times_N P)}.$$

Consider the following maps between the spaces

$$TM \oplus TN \oplus TT \oplus TP \rightarrow TM \oplus TN \oplus T(M \times_N P) \oplus TK,$$

given by the matrices

$$X := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & db & -da \circ dg \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y & x & 0 \\ df & 1 & 0 & -dg \\ z & 0 & 0 & w \\ 0 & -da & db & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We show that the  $Y$  follows from the  $X$  by elementary rows/columns actions.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & db & -da \circ dg \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{C1+=C3 \circ dt \circ dq \circ z + C4 \circ dr \circ z} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ z & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & db & -da \circ dg \end{pmatrix} \\
& \xrightarrow{\substack{C3-=C1 \circ x \\ C4-=C1 \circ y \circ dg - C2 \circ dg}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & x & y \circ dg \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -dg \\ z & 0 & 0 & w \\ 0 & 0 & db & -da \circ dg \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R4-=da \circ R2 \\ R1+=y \circ R2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & y & x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -dg \\ z & 0 & 0 & w \\ 0 & -da & db & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
& \xrightarrow{C1+=C3 \circ dt + C2 \circ df} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y & x & 0 \\ df & 1 & 0 & -dg \\ z & 0 & 0 & w \\ 0 & -da & db & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ db & -da \end{pmatrix}, \quad B := \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ df & -dg \end{pmatrix}, \quad C := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ db & -da \circ dg \end{pmatrix},$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}^K, \mathcal{O}^T, \mathcal{O}^a, \mathcal{O}^g$  be local relative orientations of  $K, T, a, g$ , respectively. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}^M &:= \mathcal{O}^T \circ (f/b)^*(\mathcal{O}^a), \\
\mathcal{O}^{M \times_N P} &:= \mathcal{O}^M \circ (r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}^g) = \mathcal{O}^T \circ (f/b)^*(\mathcal{O}^a) \circ (r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}^g)
\end{aligned}$$

We investigate the sign of the map  $X$  with respect to these orientations. By definition of  $X$ , it follows that  $\text{sgn}(X) = (-1)^M \cdot \text{sgn}(C)$ . Since  $X$  is carried to  $Y$  by elementary transformations, we know that  $\text{sgn}(X) = \text{sgn}(Y)$ . Denote by  $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and set

$$Z := \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ D & A \end{pmatrix} : TM \oplus TP \oplus TT \oplus TN \rightarrow T(M \times_N P) \oplus TN \oplus TM \oplus TK.$$

Then the following equation holds.

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 & \\ & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot Z \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \end{pmatrix}$$

Recalling that  $\text{sgn}(A) = (-1)^{KN}$  and  $\text{sgn}(B) = (-1)^{NP}$ , we obtain that  $\text{sgn}(Y) = (-1)^s$ , for

$$\begin{aligned}
s &= NT + \cancel{NP} + TP + MN + M(M \times_N P) + N(\cancel{M} \times_N P) + KN + \cancel{NP} \\
&= NT + TP + MN + M + MP + N + NP + KN \\
&= (N + P)(T + M) + M + NP + N + KN \\
&= (\cancel{N} + P)(K + N) + M + NP + \cancel{N} + \cancel{KN} \\
&= PK + M.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain that  $\text{sgn}(C) = (-1)^{PK}$ , and thus

$$\mathcal{O}^T \times (\mathcal{O}^a \circ \mathcal{O}^g) = \mathcal{O}^T \circ (f/b)^*(\mathcal{O}^a) \circ (r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}^g).$$

Therefore,

$$(r/b)^*(\mathcal{O}^a \circ \mathcal{O}^g) = (f/b)^*(\mathcal{O}^a) \circ (r/f)^*(\mathcal{O}^g),$$

which was to be shown.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.46.* Since  $f$  is a submersion, so is  $\iota^*f$ . Let  $y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}$  be a basis for  $T\partial_{g \circ f}M$  at a point, such that  $x_i = d(\iota^*f)(y_i), i = 1, \dots, p-1$  form a basis of  $T\partial_g P$ . Define a section

$$s : T\partial_g P \rightarrow T\partial_{g \circ f}M, \\ \sum \alpha_i x_i \mapsto \sum \alpha_i y_i.$$

Note that  $(d\iota_{g \circ f}(y_i))_{i=1}^{m-1}$  together with  $\nu_{out}$  form a basis of  $TM$ , and define a map

$$t : TM \rightarrow T\partial M \\ \sum \beta_j d\iota_{g \circ f}(y_j) + \gamma \nu_{out} \mapsto \sum \beta_j y_j.$$

Note that if  $(x, z) \in \ker(F)$ , then  $z \in \text{Im}(d\iota_{g \circ f})$ , and thus  $z = d\iota_{g \circ f}(t(z))$ . further,

$$d\iota_g \circ d(\iota^*f)(s(x) - t(z)) = d\iota_g(x) - df(z) = 0,$$

and therefore  $s(x) - t(z) \in \ker d(\iota^*f)$ . Consider the map

$$C := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ d\iota_g & -df \end{pmatrix} : T\partial P \oplus TM \rightarrow T\partial M \oplus TP.$$

It is injective. Indeed, if

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ d\iota_g & -df \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ z \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

then  $(x, z) \in \ker(F)$ , and thus

$$\ker(d\iota^*f) \ni s(x) - t(z) = s(x),$$

which implies

$$0 = d(\iota^*f)s(x) = x.$$

Therefore,  $z \in \ker(df)$  and thus  $z \in \text{Im}(d\iota_{g \circ f})$ . So

$$z = d\iota_{g \circ f}(t(z)) = d\iota_{g \circ f}(0) = 0.$$

Since the dimensions of the source and target of  $C$  are the same, it is an isomorphism, and in fact, a splitting of

$$0 \longrightarrow T\partial M \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} d(\iota^*f) \\ d\iota_{g \circ f} \end{pmatrix}} T\partial P \oplus TM \xrightarrow{(d\iota_g, -df)} TP \longrightarrow 0$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}^P, \mathcal{O}^f$  be local orientations of  $P, f$ , respectively. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}^M &= \mathcal{O}^P \circ \mathcal{O}^f, \\ \mathcal{O}^{\partial P} &= \mathcal{O}^P \circ \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_g}, \\ \mathcal{O}^{\partial M} &= \mathcal{O}^M \circ \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_{g \circ f}}. \end{aligned}$$

With these orientations, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} A : \mathbb{R} \oplus T\partial M &\rightarrow TM, & (\alpha, z) &\mapsto \alpha \nu_{out} + d\iota_{g \circ f}(z) \\ B : \mathbb{R} \oplus T\partial P &\rightarrow TP, & (\beta, x) &\mapsto \beta \nu_{out} + d\iota_g(x) \end{aligned}$$

have  $\text{sgn}(A) = \text{sgn}(B) = (-1)^N$ . Note that  $A$  and  $B$  are isomorphisms, and

$$B^{-1}(y) = y - d\iota_{g \circ f}(t(y)), t(y).$$

Therefore, the map

$$D := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R} \oplus T\partial P \oplus TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus T\partial M \oplus TP,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & y - d\iota_{g \circ f}(t(y)) \\ 0 & 0 & t(y) \\ \nu_{out} & d\iota_g & -df \end{pmatrix}$$

has  $\text{sgn}(C) = \text{sgn}(D) = 1 = (-1)^{P \cdot \partial P}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{O}^{\partial P} \times \mathcal{O}^f = \mathcal{O}^M \circ \mathcal{O}^{\iota_g \circ f},$$

which breaks down to the equation

$$\mathcal{O}^P \circ \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_g} \circ (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^* \mathcal{O}^f = \mathcal{O}^P \circ \mathcal{O}^f \circ \mathcal{O}^{\iota_g \circ f}.$$

The lemma follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.47.* For ease, we assume  $\Omega$  is a point. The general case is similar. We identify  $\partial_{(\text{Id} \times f)}(A \times_\Omega X)$  with  $A \times_\Omega \partial_f X$ , such that  $\iota_f^* \pi_X$  reduces to the projection  $\pi_{\partial_f X}$  and  $\iota_{(\text{Id} \times f)}$  reduces to the inclusion  $\text{Id} \times \iota_f$ . Consider the map

$$C := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & d\iota_f \end{pmatrix} : TA \oplus TX \oplus T\partial_f X \rightarrow TA \oplus T\partial_f X \oplus TX$$

which splits the following sequence.

$$0 \rightarrow \oplus T\partial_f X \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d\iota_f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} TA \oplus TX \oplus T\partial_f X \xrightarrow{(0 \ 1 \ -d\iota_f)} TX \rightarrow 0$$

With whichever orientations chosen for  $A, X, \partial_f X$ , we have  $\text{sgn}(C) = (-1)^{X \cdot \partial_f X}$ . But  $C$  can be interpreted as a map

$$T(A \times X) \oplus T\partial_f X \rightarrow T(\partial_{(\text{Id} \times f)}(A \times X)) \oplus TX.$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}^X, \mathcal{O}^A$  be local orientations of  $X, A$ , respectively. Set

$$\mathcal{O}^{\partial X} := \mathcal{O}^X \circ \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_f},$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{A \times X} := \mathcal{O}^A \times \mathcal{O}^X,$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{\partial(A \times X)} := (\mathcal{O}^A \times \mathcal{O}^X) \circ \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_{(\text{Id} \times f)}}.$$

With these orientations, the identifying map

$$T\partial_{(\text{Id} \times f)}(A \times X) \rightarrow TA \oplus T\partial_f X$$

is orientation preserving. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{O}^A \times \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_f} = (\mathcal{O}^A \times \mathcal{O}^X) \circ \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_{(\text{Id} \times f)}},$$

which implies by definition that

$$\mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_{(\text{Id} \times f)}} = (\iota_f^* \pi_X / \pi_X)^* (\mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_f}).$$

$\square$

### 3. ORIENTORS

#### 3.1. Orientors.

3.1.1. *Definition and basic examples.* In this paper, we will concentrate mostly on bundle maps of the following form.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $g : M \rightarrow N$  be a map and let  $Q, K$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  bundles over  $M, N$ , respectively. A  **$g$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $K$**  is a graded bundle map

$$G : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} g^*K.$$

Its **degree** is the usual degree as a bundle map, where  $\mathbb{K}_g \otimes g^*K$  is, as usual, the graded tensor product and  $\mathbb{K}_g$  is concentrated in degree  $-\text{reldim } g$ . A  $g$ -endo-orientor of  $K$  is a  $g$ -orientor of  $g^*K$  to  $K$ .

**Terminology 3.2.** if  $g = \pi^M : M \rightarrow *$  is the constant map, then we say  $M$ -orientor for  $g$ -orientor.

**Definition 3.3** (Orientation as an orientor). Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a relatively orientable map of orbifolds with corners. The section  $\mathcal{O}^f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_f$  can be extended uniquely to a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  equivariant map

$$\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} : \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_f$$

which satisfies

$$\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f}(1) = \mathcal{O}^f.$$

The map  $\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f}$  can be considered as an  $f$ -endo-orientor of  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ . If  $f$  is a local diffeomorphism, we denote by

$$\varphi_f := \varphi^{\mathcal{O}_c^f}.$$

If  $N = *$  and  $M$  is oriented with orientation  $\mathcal{O}^M$ , then we abbreviate

$$\varphi_M := \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^M}.$$

*Example 3.4.* Let  $A, B$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  vector bundles over an orbifold  $M$ . The symmetry operator  $\tau_{A,B} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$  of Definition 2.2 may be considered as an  $\text{Id}_M$ -orientor. More generally, any bundle map of bundles over an orbifold  $M$  may be considered as an  $\text{Id}_M$ -orientor.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $M, N, g, Q, K, G$  be as in Definition 3.1 and let  $T$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  bundle over  $N$ . Then the **right  $T$  extension of  $G$**  is the  $g$ -orientor of  $Q \otimes g^*T$  to  $K \otimes T$  given by

$$Q \otimes g^*T \xrightarrow{G \otimes \text{Id}} \mathbb{K}_g \otimes g^*(K \otimes T).$$

It is denoted by  $G^T$ . Similarly, the **left  $T$  extension of  $G$**  is the  $g$ -orientor of  $g^*T \otimes Q$  to  $T \otimes K$  given by

$$g^*T \otimes Q \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes G} g^*T \otimes \mathbb{K}_g \otimes g^*K \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes \text{Id}} \mathbb{K}_g \otimes g^*(T \otimes K).$$

It is denoted by  ${}^TG$ .

*Remark 3.6* (Repeated extension). In the previous setting, if  $T_1, T_2$  are  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  bundles over  $N$ , then

$$(G^{T_1})^{T_2} = G^{T_1 \otimes T_2}, \quad T_2(T_1 G) = T_2 \otimes T_1 G.$$

### 3.1.2. Composition.

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$  be maps and let  $Q, K, R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  bundles over  $M, P, N$ , respectively. Let  $F : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^*K$  be a  $f$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $K$  and  $G : K \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_g \otimes g^*R$  be a  $g$ -orientor of  $K$  to  $R$ . **The composition**  $G \bullet F$  is the  $g \circ f$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $R$  given as follows.

$$Q \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^*K \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes f^*G} \mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^*\mathbb{K}_g \otimes f^*g^*R \stackrel{\text{comp.}}{=} \mathbb{K}_{g \circ f} \otimes (g \circ f)^*R$$

**Lemma 3.8.** *The composition of orientors is associative.*

*Proof of Lemma 3.8.* In addition to the data in Definition 3.7, let  $h : N \rightarrow L$  be a map, let  $T$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  bundle over  $L$  and let  $H$  be a  $h$ -orientor of  $R$  to  $T$ . Then the equality

$$H \bullet (G \bullet F) = (H \bullet G) \bullet F$$

can be seen from the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{G \bullet F} & \mathbb{K}_{g \circ f} \otimes (g \circ f)^*R \\ \downarrow F & \nearrow \text{Id} \otimes f^*G & \downarrow \text{Id} \otimes (g \circ f)^*H \\ \mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^*K & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes f^*(H \bullet G)} & \mathbb{K}_{h \circ g \circ f} \otimes (h \circ g \circ f)^*T. \end{array}$$

□

### 3.1.3. Pullback along a relatively oriented map.

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$  be maps, and let  $\mathcal{O}^f, \mathcal{O}^g$  be relative orientations of  $f, g$ , respectively. Then*

$$\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^g} \bullet \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} = (-1)^{fg} \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}^f}.$$

*Remark 3.10.* In particular, if  $f, g$  are local diffeomorphisms then Remark 2.26 implies

$$\varphi_g \bullet \varphi_f = \varphi_{g \circ f}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.9.* We calculate

$$\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^g} \bullet \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f}(1) \stackrel{\text{Def. 3.7}}{=} (1_{\mathbb{K}_f} \otimes \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^g})(\mathcal{O}^f \otimes 1) \stackrel{\text{Koszul 2.4}}{=} (-1)^{fg} \mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}^f.$$

□

**Definition 3.11.** Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$ , and suppose that  $f$  is relatively oriented with relative orientation  $\mathcal{O}^f$ . Let  $K, R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $P, N$ , respectively. Let  $G$  be a  $g$ -orientor of  $K$  to  $R$ . The **pullback of  $G$  by  $(f, \mathcal{O}^f)$**  is the  $g \circ f$ -orientor of  $f^*K$  to  $R$ , given by

$$(f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond G = (-1)^{fG} G \bullet (\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f})^K,$$

where  $\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f}$  is the orientor from Definition 3.3. If  $f$  is a local diffeomorphism, we write

$$f^\diamond G = (f, \mathcal{O}_c^f)^\diamond G = G \bullet (\varphi_f)^K.$$

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N \xrightarrow{h} L$  be maps, let  $\mathcal{O}^f, \mathcal{O}^g$  be relative orientations of  $f, g$ , respectively, and let  $H$  be an  $h$ -orientor. Then*

$$(f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond (g, \mathcal{O}^g)^\diamond H = (g \circ f, \mathcal{O}^g \circ \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond H.$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Definition 3.11 and Lemma 3.9. □

### 3.1.4. Orientors restoration.

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$ , and let  $Q, K, R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $M, P, N$ , respectively. Let  $H$  be an  $g \circ f$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $R$ , and  $G$  be an  $g$ -orientor of  $K$  to  $R$ . Assume  $G$  is invertible as a bundle map*

$$G : K \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_g \otimes g^* R.$$

*Then there exists a unique  $f$ -orientor  $F$  of  $Q$  to  $K$  satisfying*

$$H = G \bullet F.$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.13.* Assume such  $F$  exists. Then

$$(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_f} \otimes f^* G) \circ F = H.$$

Since  $G$  is invertible, this equation defines  $F$  uniquely.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$ , and assume that  $f$  is relatively oriented, surjective submersion with connected fibers and with relative orientation  $\mathcal{O}^f$ . Let  $K, R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $P, N$ , respectively. Set  $h = g \circ f$  and let  $H$  be an  $h$ -orientor of  $f^* K$  to  $R$ . Then there exists a unique  $g$ -orientor of  $K$  to  $R$ , denoted by  $G$  such that*

$$H = (f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond G.$$

The connectedness of the fibers of  $f$  in the previous lemma is necessary, as is shown in the following examples.

*Example 3.15.* In the situation of Lemma 3.14, let  $M = P = N = S^1$  modeled as the group of unit elements in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Let  $f(z) = z^2$  and  $g(z) = z$ . Let  $R = \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  and let  $K$  be a nontrivial double-cover of  $P$ . Since  $f$  is a local diffeomorphism, it is relatively oriented. Then  $f^* K$  is trivial,  $\mathbb{K}_{f \circ g}$  is trivial and  $f^* g^* R$  is trivial. Therefore, there exists an  $g \circ f$ -orientor of  $f^* K$  to  $R$ . However, there does not exist a  $g$ -orientor of  $K$  to  $R$  since  $K$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1$  while  $R$  is homeomorphic to two copies of  $S^1$ .

*Example 3.16.* In the situation of Lemma 3.14, let  $M = \{0, 1\}, P = N = \{0\}$ . Let  $R = K = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . The map  $pt : M \rightarrow P$  is a local diffeomorphism. Let

$$F : \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{pt} \otimes \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

be the map that over a point  $x \in M$  sends

$$k \mapsto k + x.$$

Then under any identification  $\mathbb{K}_{pt} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$ , the set map  $F$  has two fixed points, while  $(pt)^\diamond G$  has either four fixed points or no fixed points, for any equivariant map  $G : \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Therefore,  $F$  cannot be written as  $(pt)^\diamond G$  for any  $\text{Id}_{pt}$ -endo-orientor  $G$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.14.* Under the adjunction of the functors  $f^*$  and  $f_*$  of sheaves, the map  $H$  corresponds to a map

$$\tilde{H} : K \rightarrow f_*(\mathbb{K}_h \otimes h^* R).$$

By the composition isomorphism of Definition 2.25 and the projection formula for sheaves,

$$f_*(\mathbb{K}_h \otimes h^* R) = f_* \mathbb{K}_f \otimes \mathbb{K}_g \otimes g^* R.$$

However, since the fibers of  $f$  are connected,  $f_* \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2} = \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  and thus the map  $f_* \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} : \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow f_* \mathbb{K}_f$  is an isomorphism. Therefore, there exists a unique  $g$ -orientor  $G$  of  $K$  to  $R$  satisfying

$$\tilde{H} = f_* \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \otimes G.$$

By the abovementioned adjunction, it satisfies

$$H = (f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond G.$$

□

### 3.1.5. Pullback along a fiber-product.

**Definition 3.17.** Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times_N P & \xrightarrow{r} & P \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

be a pullback square. Let  $K, R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $P, N$  respectively, and let  $G$  be a  $g$ -orientor from  $K$  to  $R$ . **The pullback of  $G$  by  $r$  over  $f$**  is the  $q$ -orientor of  $r^*K$  to  $f^*R$  given by the following composition.

$$r^*K \xrightarrow{r^*G} r^*\mathbb{K}_g \otimes r^*g^*R \xrightarrow{(r/f)^*\otimes \text{Id}} \mathbb{K}_q \otimes q^*f^*R.$$

It is denoted by  $(r/f)^\diamond G$ .

*Example 3.18.* Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a map,  $Q, K$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $N$  and let  $G : Q \rightarrow K$  be a  $\text{Id}_N$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $K$ . Consider the following pullback diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ \text{Id}_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Id}_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

Then under the canonical isomorphism  $\mathbb{K}_{\text{Id}_X} \simeq \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  it holds that

$$(f/f)^\diamond G = f^*G.$$

This follows from Lemma 2.41.

**Lemma 3.19.** Consider the following diagram, in which the square is a pullback square.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M \times_N P & \xrightarrow{r} & P & & \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g & & \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{h} & L \end{array}$$

Let  $K, R, T$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $P, N, L$ , respectively. Assume  $G : K \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_g \otimes g^*R$  and  $H : R \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_h \otimes h^*T$  are orientors. Let  $\mathcal{O}^f$  be a relative orientation of  $f$ . Set  $\mathcal{O}^r := (q/g)^*\mathcal{O}^f$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}^r$  is a relative orientation of  $r$  and it follows that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} r^*K & \xrightarrow{(r/f)^\diamond(G)} & \mathbb{K}_q \otimes q^*f^*R \\ & \searrow (r, \mathcal{O}^r)^\diamond(H \bullet G) & \downarrow \text{Id} \otimes q^*(f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond(H) \\ & & \mathbb{K}_q \otimes q^*\mathbb{K}_{h \circ f} \otimes q^*f^*a^*T \end{array}$$

That is,

$$(3.1) \quad (r, \mathcal{O}^r)^\diamond(H \bullet G) = (f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond(H) \bullet (r/f)^\diamond(G).$$



*Proof.* Recalling Definition 3.11, this lemma amounts to

$$(H \bullet G) \bullet (\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^r})^K = (-1)^{fG} \left( H \bullet (\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f})^R \right) \bullet (r/f)^\diamond(G).$$

Using the Associativity Lemma 3.8, it is enough to prove that

$$G \bullet (\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^r})^K = (-1)^{fG} \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \right)^R \bullet (r/f)^\diamond(G).$$

To see that, let  $k, t, \mathcal{O}^g$  be sections of  $K, R, \mathbb{K}_g$ , respectively, such that  $G(k) = \mathcal{O}^g \otimes g^*t$ . Then

$$G \bullet (\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^r})^K (r^*k) = (\text{Id} \otimes r^*G) (\mathcal{O}^r \otimes r^*k) = (-1)^{rG} \mathcal{O}^r \otimes r^* \mathcal{O}^g \otimes r^*g^*t,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \right)^R \bullet (r/f)^\diamond(G) (r^*k) &= \left( \text{Id} \otimes q^* \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \right) ((r/f)^* \mathcal{O}^g \otimes r^*g^*t) \\ &= (-1)^{fg} ((r/f)^* \mathcal{O}^g \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}^f \otimes r^*g^*t). \end{aligned}$$

However, by Lemma 2.43 it follows that

$$\mathcal{O}^r \otimes r^* \mathcal{O}^g = (-1)^{fg} (r/f)^* \mathcal{O}^g \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}^f,$$

and the lemma follows, since  $\dim f = \dim r$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.20.* In the setting of Lemma 3.19, if  $f$  is a local diffeomorphism, then  $r$  is a local diffeomorphism, and

$$r^\diamond(H \bullet G) = f^\diamond(H) \bullet (r/f)^\diamond G.$$

*Example 3.21.* Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$  be maps,  $K, R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $P, N$ , respectively, and let  $G$  be a  $g$ -orientor of  $K$  to  $R$ . Assume  $f$  is a diffeomorphism. Consider the following pullback diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & P \\ \downarrow g \circ f & & \downarrow g \\ N & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & N \end{array}$$

By applying Remark 3.20 to the preceding diagram extended with  $h = \text{Id}_N$  and  $H = \text{Id}_R$ , we get

$$(f/\text{Id})^\diamond G = f^\diamond G.$$

**Lemma 3.22.** *Consider the following fiber-product diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times_N P & \xrightarrow{r} & P \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N. \end{array}$$

Let  $Q, K, R, T$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $N$ . Let  $F$  be  $f$ -orientor of  $f^*Q$  to  $R$  and let  $G$  be a  $g$ -orientor of  $g^*K$  to  $T$ . Then we have the following equality of  $(f \circ q)$ -orientors of  $(f \circ q)^*(K \otimes Q)$  to  $T \otimes R$ .

$${}^T F \bullet (r/f)^\diamond G^{f^*Q} = (-1)^{FG} G^R \bullet {}^{g^*K} (q/g)^\diamond F$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.22.* Let  $\mathcal{O}^f, \mathcal{O}^g$  be local orientations of  $f, g$ , respectively. Let  $q, k, r, t$  be local sections of  $Q, K, R, T$ , respectively. Assume

$$F(f^*q) = \mathcal{O}^f \otimes f^*r, G(g^*k) = \mathcal{O}^g \otimes g^*t.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} {}^T F \bullet (r/f)^\diamond G^{f^*Q} ((f \circ q)^*(k \otimes q)) &= (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_q} \otimes q^*({}^T F)) ((r/f)^* \mathcal{O}^g \otimes q^* f^*(t \otimes q)) \\ &= (-1)^{Fg + (F-f)t} \mathcal{O}^f \circ (r/f)^* \mathcal{O}^g \otimes q^* f^*(t \otimes r). \end{aligned}$$

There is no sign from passing  $\mathcal{O}^f$  by  $\mathcal{O}^g$  by the definition of the composition isomorphism 2.25. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} G^R \bullet {}^{g^*K} (q/g)^\diamond F ((g \circ r)^*(k \otimes q)) &= (-1)^{(F-f)k} (1_{\mathbb{K}_r} \otimes r^* (G^R)) ((q/g)^* \mathcal{O}^f \otimes r^* g^*(k \otimes r)) \\ &= (-1)^{Gf + (F-f)k} \mathcal{O}^g \circ (q/r)^* \mathcal{O}^f \otimes r^* g^*(t \otimes r). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.43 we see that

$${}^T F \bullet (r/f)^\diamond G^{f^*Q} = (-1)^s G^R \bullet {}^{g^*K} (q/g)^\diamond F,$$

with

$$s = Fg + (F - f)t + Gf + (F - f)k + fg.$$

However,  $G + k \equiv_2 g + t$ , and thus,

$$s \equiv_2 Fg + (F - f)(G - g) + Gf + fg \equiv_2 FG.$$

□

**Lemma 3.23.** Assume that the squares in the following diagram are pullbacks.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M \times_N P & \xrightarrow{r} & P & \xrightarrow{t} & T \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow b \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{a} & K \end{array}$$

Then the rectangle is also a pullback diagram, and

$$((t \circ r)/(a \circ f))^\diamond = (r/f)^\diamond \circ r^*(t/a)^\diamond.$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.23.* This is a consequence of Lemma 2.44. □

**Lemma 3.24.** Assume that the squares in the following diagrams are pullbacks.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times_N P & \xrightarrow{r} & P \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ \downarrow t & & \downarrow a \\ T & \xrightarrow{b} & K \end{array}$$

Then the rectangle is also a pullback diagram. Let  $Q, R, S$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $P, N, K$ , respectively. Assume  $G$  is a  $g$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $R$ , and  $A$  is a  $a$ -orientor of  $R$  to  $S$ . Then

$$(r/b)^\diamond (A \bullet G) = ((f/b)^\diamond A) \bullet ((r/f)^\diamond G).$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.24.* This is a consequence of Lemma 2.45. □

### 3.1.6. Pullback along a relatively oriented commutative diagram.

**Definition 3.25.** Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O & \xrightarrow{r} & P \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram such that  $r, f$  are relatively oriented, with relative orientations  $\mathcal{O}^r, \mathcal{O}^f$ . Let  $K, R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $P, N$ , respectively, and let  $G$  be a  $g$ -orientor from  $K$  to  $R$ . **The pullback of  $G$  by  $r$  over  $f$**  is the unique  $q$ -orientor of  $r^*K$  to  $f^*R$ , denoted  $(r/f)^\diamond G$ , given by the following equation.

$$(r, \mathcal{O}^r)^\diamond G = \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \right)^R \bullet (r/f)^\diamond G.$$

Its existence and uniqueness are guaranteed by Lemma 3.13.

*Remark 3.26.* Recall the isomorphism  $(r/f)^* : r^*\mathbb{K}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_q$  from Definition 2.34. It is easy to check by hand that the composite map

$$r^*K \xrightarrow{r^*G} r^*\mathbb{K}_g \otimes r^*g^*R \xrightarrow{(r/f)^*\otimes 1} \mathbb{K}_q \otimes q^*f^*R$$

satisfies the defining property of  $(r/f)^\diamond G$ .

*Remark 3.27.* In addition to the above remark, if the diagram is a fiber-product and  $\mathcal{O}^r = (q/g)^*\mathcal{O}^f$ , then both definitions of  $(r/f)^\diamond G$  coincide. This follows by the uniqueness in the above definition and Lemma 3.19 applied to the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} O & \xrightarrow{r} & P & & \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g & & \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_N} & N \end{array}$$

noting that  $(f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond \text{Id}_R = \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \right)^R$ .

**Lemma 3.28.** Consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} O & \xrightarrow{r} & P & & \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g & & \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{h} & L \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}^f, \mathcal{O}^r$  be relative orientations of  $f, r$ . Let  $K, R, T$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $P, N, L$ , respectively. Assume  $G : K \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_g \otimes g^*R$  and  $H : R \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_h \otimes h^*T$  are orientors. Let  $\mathcal{O}^f$  be a relative orientation of  $f$ . Set  $\mathcal{O}^r := (q/g)^*\mathcal{O}^f$ . Then

$$(3.2) \quad (r, \mathcal{O}^r)^\diamond (H \bullet G) = (-1)^{(r-f)H} (f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond (H) \bullet (r/f)^\diamond (G).$$

**Lemma 3.29.** Assume that the squares in the following diagram are commutative, and that the horizontal arrows are relatively oriented, with relative orientations  $\mathcal{O}^r, \mathcal{O}^f, \mathcal{O}^t, \mathcal{O}^a$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} O & \xrightarrow{r} & P & \xrightarrow{t} & T \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow b \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{a} & K \end{array}$$

Then

$$((t \circ r)/(a \circ f))^\diamond = (-1)^{a(r-f)} (r/f)^\diamond \circ r^*(t/a)^\diamond.$$

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the uniqueness in Definition 3.25, applying Lemma 3.9.  $\square$

### 3.1.7. Base extension.

**Definition 3.30.** Let  $\Omega$  be a manifold with corners. Let  $A, X, Y$  be orbifolds with corners over  $\Omega$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map over  $\Omega$ . Let  $Q, K$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $X, Y$ , respectively, and let  $F$  be a  $f$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $K$ . Consider the following Cartesian diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times_{\Omega} X & \xrightarrow{\pi_X^{A \times X}} & X \\ \text{Id} \times f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A \times_{\Omega} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y^{A \times Y}} & Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{\Omega} A & \xrightarrow{\pi_X^{X \times A}} & X \\ f \times \text{Id} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y \times_{\Omega} A & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y^{Y \times A}} & Y \end{array}$$

We denote the pullbacks

$$F^A := (\pi_X^{A \times X} / \pi_Y^{A \times Y})^{\diamond} F, \quad {}^A F := (\pi_X^{X \times A} / \pi_Y^{Y \times A})^{\diamond} F.$$

**Lemma 3.31.** Let  $\Omega$  be a parameter space, let  $A, B, X, Y$  be orbifolds with corners over  $\Omega$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map over  $\Omega$ . If  $Q, K$  are  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $X, Y$ , respectively,  $F$  is an  $f$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $K$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} F^{A \times_{\Omega} B} &= (F^B)^A, \\ {}^{A \times_{\Omega} B} F &= {}^B ({}^A F). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.31.* This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.23 applied to the following diagrams of fiber-products.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times_{\Omega} B \times_{\Omega} X & \xrightarrow{\pi_{B \times X}} & B \times_{\Omega} X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} X \\ \text{Id} \times \text{Id} \times f \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Id} \times f \quad \downarrow f \\ A \times_{\Omega} B \times_{\Omega} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_{B \times Y}} & B \times_{\Omega} Y \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{\Omega} A \times_{\Omega} B & \xrightarrow{\pi_{X \times A}} & X \times_{\Omega} A \xrightarrow{\pi_X} X \\ f \times \text{Id} \times \text{Id} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \times \text{Id} \quad \downarrow f \\ Y \times_{\Omega} A \times_{\Omega} B & \xrightarrow{\pi_{Y \times A}} & Y \times_{\Omega} A \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} Y \end{array}$$

$\square$

**Lemma 3.32.** Let  $A, X, Y, Z$  be orbifolds with corners over a parameter space  $\Omega$ , and  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$  be maps over  $\Omega$ . If  $Q, K, R$  are  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $X, Y, Z$ , respectively,  $F$  is an  $f$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $K$  and  $G$  is a  $g$ -orientor of  $K$  to  $R$ , then

$$(G \bullet F)^A = G^A \bullet F^A, \quad {}^A (G \bullet F) = {}^A G \bullet {}^A F.$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.32.* This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.24 applied to the following diagrams of fiber-products.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times_{\Omega} X & \xrightarrow{\pi_X} & X \\ \text{Id} \times f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A \times_{\Omega} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y \\ \text{Id} \times g \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ A \times_{\Omega} Z & \xrightarrow{\pi_Z} & Z \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{\Omega} A & \xrightarrow{\pi_X} & X \\ f \times \text{Id} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y \times_{\Omega} A & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y \\ g \times \text{Id} \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Z \times_{\Omega} A & \xrightarrow{\pi_Z} & Z \end{array}$$

$\square$

### 3.1.8. Base extension and relatively oriented pullback.

**Lemma 3.33.** *In the setting of Definition 3.30, if  $\mathcal{O}^f$  is a relative orientation of  $f$ , then  $1 \times \mathcal{O}^f$  and  $\mathcal{O}^f \times 1$  are relative orientations for  $\text{Id} \times f$  and  $f \times \text{Id}$ , respectively, and*

$$\varphi^{1 \times \mathcal{O}^f} = \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \right)^A, \quad \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f \times 1} = {}^A \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \right).$$

*Remark 3.34.* In the setting of Definition 3.30, if  $f$  is a local diffeomorphism, then  $\text{Id} \times f$  and  $f \times \text{Id}$  are local diffeomorphisms and

$$\varphi_{\text{Id} \times f} = (\varphi_f)^A, \quad \varphi_{f \times \text{Id}} = {}^A(\varphi_f).$$

This follows at once from Lemmas 3.33, 2.42.

*Proof of Lemma 3.33.* For the first equation, by definition, both sides are given by

$$\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2} \ni \underline{1} \mapsto 1 \times \mathcal{O}^f = \left( \pi_X^{A \times X} / \pi_Y^{A \times Y} \right)^* \mathcal{O}^f \in \mathbb{K}_{1 \times \mathcal{O}^f}.$$

For the second equation, by definition, both sides are given by

$$\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2} \ni \underline{1} \mapsto \mathcal{O}^f \times 1 = \left( \pi_X^{X \times A} / \pi_Y^{Y \times A} \right)^* \mathcal{O}^f \in \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{O}^f \times 1}.$$

□

**Lemma 3.35.** *With the setting of Lemma 3.32, assume  $\mathcal{O}^f$  is a relative orientation of  $f$ . The  $1 \times \mathcal{O}^f$  and  $\mathcal{O}^f \times 1$  are relative orientations of  $\text{Id} \times f$  and  $f \times \text{Id}$ , respectively. Let  $G$  be a  $g$ -orientor. Then*

$$\left( (f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond G \right)^A = (\text{Id} \times f, 1 \times \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond (G^A), \quad {}^A \left( (f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond G \right) = (f \times \text{Id}, \mathcal{O}^f \times 1)^\diamond ({}^A G),$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.35.* We show the first equality. The second equality is left to the reader. First, observe,

$$(3.3) \quad \left( \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \right)^K \right)^A = \left( \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \right)^A \right)^{\pi_Y^* K} \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.33}}{=} \left( \varphi^{1 \times \mathcal{O}^f} \right)^{\pi_Y^* K}.$$

Therefore, the lemma is obtained by the following calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} ((f, \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond G)^A &\stackrel{\text{Def. 3.11}}{=} (-1)^{fG} \left( G \bullet \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \right)^K \right)^A \\ &\stackrel{\text{Rem. 3.32}}{=} (-1)^{fG} G^A \bullet \left( \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^f} \right)^K \right)^A \\ &\stackrel{\text{eq. (3.3)}}{=} (-1)^{fG} G^A \bullet \left( \varphi^{1 \times \mathcal{O}^f} \right)^{\pi_Y^* K} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 3.11}}{=} (\text{Id} \times f, 1 \times \mathcal{O}^f)^\diamond (G^A). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.36.** *With the setting of Lemma 3.32, assume  $f$  is a local diffeomorphism. Then,  $\text{Id} \times f$  and  $f \times \text{Id}$  are local diffeomorphisms. Let  $G$  be a  $g$ -orientor. Then,*

$$(f^\diamond G)^A = (\text{Id} \times f)^\diamond (G^A), \quad {}^A(f^\diamond G) = (f \times \text{Id})^\diamond ({}^A G).$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.36.* This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.35 and Lemma 2.41. □

The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of Lemma 3.35 and thus is omitted.

**Lemma 3.37.** Consider the following diagram in which all faces are fiber products.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
A \times_{\Omega} M \times_N P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \times_{\Omega} P & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
& M \times_N P & \xrightarrow{r} & P & \\
& \downarrow q & & \downarrow g & \\
A \times_{\Omega} M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \times_{\Omega} N & & \\
& \searrow & \searrow & & \\
& M & \xrightarrow{f} & N &
\end{array}$$

Let  $G$  be an  $g$ -orientor. Then

$$((r/f)^{\diamond} G)^A = (Id_A \times r / Id_A \times f)^{\diamond} (G^A).$$

**3.1.9. Quotient orientors.** For this section, fix an oriented Lie group  $G$  with orientation  $\mathcal{O}^G$ , and an orbifold with corners  $M$  with a right free proper  $G$  action  $\Phi : M \times G \rightarrow M$ . Denote by  $q : M \rightarrow M/G$  the quotient map and by  $\pi : M \times G \rightarrow M$  the projection. Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_c^{\Phi}, \mathcal{O}_c^q, \mathcal{O}_c^{\pi}$  the relative orientations of  $\Phi, q, \pi$  from Definition 2.37 with respect to  $\mathcal{O}^G$ . Let  $G', \mathcal{O}^{G'}, M', \Phi', q', \pi'$ , etc. be another collection as the above. Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  be a homomorphism of groups.

**Definition 3.38.** With the above setting, a map  $h : M \rightarrow M'$  is called **equivariant** if

$$h \circ \Phi = \Phi' \circ (h \times \varphi).$$

That is, the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M \times G & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & M \\
\downarrow h \times \varphi & & \downarrow h \\
M' \times G' & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & M'
\end{array}$$

Consider also the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M \times G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \\
\downarrow h \times \varphi & & \downarrow h \\
M' \times G' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & M'
\end{array}$$

For a  $G$ -equivariant map  $h : M \rightarrow M'$  and bundles  $K, R$  over  $M/G, M'/G'$ , respectively, an  $h$ -orientor  $H$  of  $q^*K$  to  $q'^*R$  is said to be  $G$ -**equivariant** if

$$(\Phi/\Phi')^{\diamond} H = (\pi/\pi')^{\diamond} H.$$

*Remark 3.39.* An equivariant map  $h : M \rightarrow M'$  descends to the quotient  $\bar{h} : M/G \rightarrow M'/G'$ . That is, there exists a unique map  $\bar{h} : M/G \rightarrow M'/G'$  such that  $q' \circ h = \bar{h} \circ q$ . In diagrammatic form, the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M & \xrightarrow{q} & M/G \\
\downarrow h & & \downarrow \bar{h} \\
M' & \xrightarrow{q'} & M'/G'
\end{array}$$

Let  $K, R$  be bundles over  $M/G, M'/G'$ , respectively. Let  $\overline{H}$  be an  $\overline{h}$ -orientor of  $q^*K$  to  $q'^*R$ . Then  $(q/q')^\diamond \overline{H}$  is  $G$ -equivariant. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi/\Phi')^\diamond (q/q')^\diamond \overline{H} &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.29}}{=} (-1)^{G'(G-G')} ((q \circ \Phi)/(q' \circ \Phi'))^\diamond \overline{H} \\ &= (-1)^{G'(G-G')} ((q \circ \pi_M)/(q' \circ \pi_{M'}))^\diamond \overline{H} \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.29}}{=} (\pi/\pi')^\diamond (q/q')^\diamond \overline{H}. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that every  $G$ -equivariant orientor is of the form  $(q/q')^\diamond \overline{H}$  for some  $\overline{H}$  is formalized in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.40.** *Let  $h : M \rightarrow M'$  be a  $G$ -invariant map, let  $K, R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  bundles over  $M/G, M'/G'$ , respectively, and let  $H$  be a  $G$ -equivariant  $h$ -orientor of  $q^*K$  to  $q'^*R$ . Let  $\overline{h} : M/G \rightarrow M'/G'$  be the map satisfying  $q' \circ h = \overline{h} \circ q$ . There exists a unique  $\overline{h}$ -orientor  $\overline{H}$  of  $K$  to  $R$  satisfying*

$$H = (q/q')^\diamond \overline{H}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.14. For a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  with a  $G$ -action, denote by  $\mathcal{F}^G \subset \mathcal{F}$  its invariants. Since  $h$  is equivariant,  $G$  acts on  $q_*(\mathbb{K}_h \otimes h^*q'^*R)$ . Since  $H$  is equivariant, under the adjunction of  $q^*$  and  $q_*$  it corresponds to a map

$$\tilde{H} : K \rightarrow (q_*(\mathbb{K}_h \otimes h^*q'^*R))^G = \left( q_* \left( \mathbb{K}_h \otimes q^*\overline{h}^*R \right) \right)^G.$$

By the composition isomorphism of Definition 2.25 and the projection formula for sheaves,

$$\left( q_* \left( \mathbb{K}_h \otimes q^*\overline{h}^*R \right) \right)^G = \left( q_* \mathbb{K}_h \otimes \overline{h}^*R \right)^G = (q_* \mathbb{K}_h)^G \otimes \overline{h}^*R.$$

Consider the map  $(q/q')_* : \mathbb{K}_{\overline{h}} \rightarrow (q_* \mathbb{K}_h)^G$  corresponding to  $(q/q')^* : q^* \mathbb{K}_{\overline{h}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_h$  under the adjunction of  $q^*$  and  $q_*$ . Since  $G$  acts transitively on the fibers of  $q$ ,  $(q/q')_*$  is an isomorphism. Denote by  $\overline{H}$  the unique  $\overline{h}$ -orientor of  $K$  to  $R$  satisfying

$$\tilde{H} = ((q/q')_* \otimes 1) \circ \overline{H}.$$

By the naturality of the adjunction it follows that

$$H = ((q/q')^* \otimes 1) \circ q^* \overline{H}.$$

Recalling Remark 3.26, this is exactly

$$H = (q/q')^\diamond \overline{H}.$$

□

### 3.1.10. Boundary orientors.

**Definition 3.41.** The **boundary orientor** is the  $\iota_f$ -endo-orientor of  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$

$$\partial_f : \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\iota_f} \otimes \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

given by

$$\partial_f(1) = (-1)^f \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_f}.$$

*Remark 3.42.* The composition of  $\partial_f^{\mathbb{K}_f}$  and the composition isomorphism,

$$\iota_f^* \mathbb{K}_f \xrightarrow{\partial_f^{\mathbb{K}_f}} \mathbb{K}_{\iota_f} \otimes \iota_f^* \mathbb{K}_f \stackrel{comp.}{=} \mathbb{K}_{f \circ \iota_f},$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{O}^f \mapsto (-1)^f \mathcal{O}^f \circ \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_f}.$$

By abuse of notation, we often denote this composition by  $\partial_f^{\mathbb{K}_f}$ . Explicitly, it is given by the contraction with  $-\nu_{out}$  on the right. Indeed, if  $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \in T_p \partial_f M$  form a basis, then Remark 2.31 gives

$$\partial_f^{\mathbb{K}_f} \mathcal{O}^f(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{m-1}) = -\mathcal{O}^f((d\iota_f)_p x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge (d\iota_f)_p x_{m-1} \wedge \nu_{out}).$$

**Definition 3.43.** Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$  be such that  $g \circ f$  is a surjective submersion. Assume that  $f$  factorizes through the boundary of  $g$  in the sense of Definition 2.12. That is, we have the following pullback diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial_{g \circ f} M & \xrightarrow{\iota_{g \circ f}} & M \\ \iota_g^* f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \partial_g P & \xrightarrow{\iota_g} & P \end{array}$$

Let  $Q, K$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $M, P$  respectively, and let  $F$  be a  $f$ -orientor from  $Q$  to  $K$ . The **restriction of  $F$  to the boundary** is  $(\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^\diamond F$ , that is, the  $\iota_g^* f$ -orientor of  $\iota_{g \circ f}^* Q$  to  $\iota_g^* K$

$$\iota_{g \circ f}^* Q \xrightarrow{\iota_{g \circ f}^* F} \iota_{g \circ f}^* \mathbb{K}_f \otimes \iota_{g \circ f}^* f^* K \xrightarrow{(\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^* \otimes \text{Id}} \mathbb{K}_{\iota_g^* f} \otimes (\iota_g^* f)^* \iota_g^* K.$$

**Definition 3.44.** Let  $M, N, g, Q, K, G$  be as in Definition 3.1. Recall that the  $\iota_g$ -endo-orientor  $\partial_g^Q : \iota_g^* Q \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\iota_g} \otimes \iota_g^* Q$  is the  $Q$ -extension from Definition 3.5 of the boundary orientor from Definition 3.41. The **boundary of  $G$**  is the  $\mathbb{K}_{g \circ \iota_g}$ -orientor of  $\iota_g^* Q$  to  $K$ ,

$$\partial G = (-1)^{|G|} G \bullet \partial_g^Q.$$

*Remark 3.45.* Recall that the degree of the boundary operator is  $|\partial_g| = 1$ . Thus, if the degree of  $G$  is  $|G|$ , then the degree of  $\partial G$  is  $|G| + 1$ .

*Example 3.46* (Boundary of orientation). Let  $(M, \mathcal{O}^M)$  be an oriented orbifold with corners. Recall the  $M$ -endo-orientor of  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  denoted by  $\varphi^{\mathcal{O}^M}$  from Definition 3.3. Then

$$\partial \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^M} = \varphi^{\mathbb{K}_M^M}(\mathcal{O}^M) = \partial_M^{\mathbb{K}_M} \circ \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^M},$$

as  $\partial M$ -endo-orientors of  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ . Indeed, acting on the section  $\underline{1}$  of  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^M}(\underline{1}) &= (-1)^M \left( \text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_\iota} \otimes \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^M} \right) (\partial_M(\underline{1})) \\ &= \left( \text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_\iota} \otimes \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^M} \right) (\mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_M} \otimes \underline{1}) \stackrel{\text{Kozsul}}{=} (-1)^M \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_M} \otimes \mathcal{O}^M, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\partial_M^{\mathbb{K}_M} \circ \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^M}(\underline{1}) = \partial_M^{\mathbb{K}_M}(\mathcal{O}^M) = (-1)^M \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_M} \otimes \mathcal{O}^M.$$

**Lemma 3.47.** Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$  be such that  $g \circ f$  is a surjective submersion and let  $Q, K, R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  bundles over  $M, P, N$ , respectively. Let  $F : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^* K$  be a  $f$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $K$  and  $G : K \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_g \otimes g^* R$  be a  $g$ -orientor of  $K$  to  $R$ . Assume that  $f$  factorizes through the boundary of  $g$  as  $\iota_g^* f$ , as in Definition 2.12. Then we have an equality of  $g \circ f \circ \iota_{g \circ f}$ -orientor of  $\iota_{g \circ f}^* Q$  to  $R$ ,

$$\iota_{g \circ f}^* Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}_{g \circ f \circ \iota_{g \circ f}} \otimes (g \circ f \circ \iota_{g \circ f})^* R$$

as follows.

$$(3.4) \quad \partial(G \bullet F) = \partial G \bullet (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^\diamond F$$



The proof of Lemma 3.47 requires the following remark.

*Remark 3.48.* Let  $M \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} N$  be such that  $g \circ f$  is a surjective submersion. Assume that  $f$  factorizes through the boundary of  $g$  in the sense of Definition 2.12. That is, we have the following pullback diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial_{g \circ f} M & \xrightarrow{\iota_{g \circ f}} & M \\ \downarrow \iota_g^* f & & \downarrow f \\ \partial_g P & \xrightarrow{\iota_g} & P \end{array}$$

With the notion of the boundary orientor, Lemma 2.46 can be rephrased as the commutativity of the following diagram.

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \iota_{g \circ f}^* \mathbb{K}_f \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 & \\ \swarrow (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^* \otimes (\iota_g^* f)^* \partial_g & & \searrow \partial_{g \circ f}^{\mathbb{K}_f} \\ \mathbb{K}_{\iota_g^* f} \otimes (\iota_g^* f)^* \mathbb{K}_{\iota_g} & & \mathbb{K}_{\iota_{g \circ f}} \otimes \iota_{g \circ f}^* \mathbb{K}_f \\ \parallel \text{comp.} & & \parallel \text{comp.} \\ & \mathbb{K}_{\iota_g \circ \iota_g^* f} = \mathbb{K}_{f \circ \iota_{g \circ f}} & \end{array}$$

That is,

$$(3.6) \quad \partial_{g \circ f}^{\mathbb{K}_f} = (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^* \otimes (\iota_g^* f)^* \partial_g.$$

*Proof.* With the notations of Lemma 2.46, let  $\mathcal{O}^g$  be a local relative orientation of  $g$ . Recall the notation

$$\mathcal{O}^{\iota_g^* f} = (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^* (\mathcal{O}^f).$$

By the Koszul sign convention and since  $\deg \partial_g = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} ((\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^* \otimes (\iota_g^* f)^* \partial_g) (\mathcal{O}^f \otimes \text{Id}) &= (-1)^f (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^* (\mathcal{O}^f) \otimes (\iota_g^* f)^* \partial_g (1) \\ &= (-1)^{f+g} \mathcal{O}^{\iota_g^* f} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_g}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{comp.}}{=} (-1)^{f+g} \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_g} \circ \mathcal{O}^{\iota_g^* f} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 2.46}}{=} (-1)^{f+g} \mathcal{O}^f \circ \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_{g \circ f}} = \partial_{g \circ f}^{\mathbb{K}_f} (\mathcal{O}^f). \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Lemma 3.47.* First, we show that

$$(3.7) \quad ((\text{Id} \otimes \iota_{g \circ f}^* F) \circ \partial_{g \circ f}^Q) = (-1)^{|F|} (\partial_{g \circ f}^{\mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^* K} \circ \iota_{g \circ f}^* F).$$

Indeed, let  $q$  be a local section of  $\iota_{g \circ f}^* Q$ . It follows from the Koszul signs of Proposition 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} ((\text{Id} \otimes \iota_{g \circ f}^* F) \circ \partial_{g \circ f}^Q) (q) &= (-1)^f (\text{Id} \otimes \iota_{g \circ f}^* F) (\mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_{g \circ f}} \otimes q) = (-1)^{f+|F|} (\mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_{g \circ f}} \otimes F(q)), \\ (\partial_{g \circ f}^{\mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^* K} \circ \iota_{g \circ f}^* F) (q) &= \partial_{g \circ f}^{\mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^* K} (F(q)) = (-1)^f \mathcal{O}_c^{\iota_{g \circ f}} \otimes F(q). \end{aligned}$$

Second, we show that there is an equality of maps

$$\iota_{g \circ f}^* Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}_{f \circ \iota_{g \circ f}} \otimes (f \circ \iota_{g \circ f})^* K = \mathbb{K}_{\iota_g \circ (\iota_g^* f)} \otimes (\iota_g \circ (\iota_g^* f))^* K$$

as follows.

$$(3.8) \quad F \bullet \partial_{g \circ f}^Q = (-1)^{|F|} \partial_g^K \bullet (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^\diamond F$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
F \bullet \partial_{g \circ f}^Q &= (\text{Id} \otimes \iota_{g \circ f}^* F) \circ \partial_{g \circ f}^Q \\
&\stackrel{\text{eq. (3.7)}}{=} (-1)^{|F|} \partial_{g \circ f}^{\mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^* K} \circ \iota_{g \circ f}^* F \\
&\stackrel{\text{Rmk. 3.48}}{=} (-1)^{|F|} [(\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^* \otimes (\iota_g^* f)^* \partial_g^K] \circ \iota_{g \circ f}^* F \\
&\stackrel{\text{Koszul 2.4}}{=} (-1)^{|F|} [(\text{Id} \otimes (\iota_g^* f)^* \partial_g^K) \circ ((\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^* \otimes \text{Id})] \circ \iota_{g \circ f}^* F \\
&\stackrel{\text{Def. 3.43}}{=} (-1)^{|F|} (\text{Id} \otimes (\iota_g^* f)^* \partial_g^K) \circ (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^\diamond F \\
&\stackrel{\text{Def. 3.7}}{=} (-1)^{|F|} \partial_g^K \bullet (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^\diamond F.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (3.4), we compile the definitions and use the above equation, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial(G \bullet F) &\stackrel{\text{Def. 3.44}}{=} (-1)^{|G|+|F|} (G \bullet F) \bullet \partial_{g \circ f}^Q \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.8}}{=} (-1)^{|G|+|F|} G \bullet (F \bullet \partial_{g \circ f}^Q) \\
&\stackrel{\text{eq. (3.8)}}{=} (-1)^{|G|} G \bullet (\partial_g^K \bullet (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^\diamond F) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.8}}{=} (-1)^{|G|} (G \bullet \partial_g^K) \bullet (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^\diamond F \\
&\stackrel{\text{Def. 3.44}}{=} \partial G \bullet (\iota_{g \circ f} / \iota_g)^\diamond F.
\end{aligned}$$

□

There is a canonical identification of  $\partial_{(\text{Id} \times f)}(A \times X) \simeq A \times \partial_f X$ . That is,  $\pi_X$  factorizes through the boundary of  $f$  as in Definition 2.12.

**Lemma 3.49.** *Consider the following diagram of Cartesian squares.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial_{(\text{Id} \times f)}(A \times X) & \xrightarrow{\iota_f^* \pi_X} & \partial_f X \\
\downarrow \iota_{(\text{Id} \times f)} & & \downarrow \iota_f \\
A \times X & \xrightarrow{\pi_X} & X \\
\downarrow \text{Id} \times f & & \downarrow f \\
A \times Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y
\end{array}$$

Let  $Q, K$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $X, Y$ , respectively, and let  $F$  be a  $f$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $K$ . Under the identification  $\partial_{(\text{Id} \times f)}(A \times X) \simeq A \times \partial_f X$ , it holds that

$$(\partial F)^A = \partial(F^A).$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.49.* Notice that

$$(\iota_f^* \pi_X / \pi_Y)^\diamond \partial_f = \partial_{(\text{Id} \times f)},$$

as they are both given by contraction by  $-\nu_{\text{out}}$  on the right, as explained in Remark 3.42. Therefore, recalling Definition 3.30 and Definition 3.44, the lemma follows by applying Lemma 3.24 to the above diagram. □

3.1.11. *Miscellanea.*

**Lemma 3.50.** *With the setting of Definition 3.7, let  $T$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundle over  $N$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(G \bullet F)^T &= G^T \bullet F^{g^* T}, \\
{}^T(G \bullet F) &= {}^T G \bullet {}^{g^* T} F.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.50.* For the first equation, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (G \bullet F)^T &= (G \bullet F) \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*g^*T} = ((\text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_f} \otimes f^*G) \circ F) \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*g^*T} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Koszul } 2.4}{=} (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_f} \otimes f^*G \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*g^*T}) \circ (F \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*g^*T}) = G^T \bullet F^{g^*T}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the second equation, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} {}^T(G \bullet F) &= (\tau_{T, \mathbb{K}_{g \circ f}} \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*g^*R}) \circ (\text{Id}_{f^*g^*T} \otimes (G \bullet F)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lem. } 2.3}{=} (((\text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_f} \otimes \tau_{T, \mathbb{K}_g}) \circ (\tau_{T, \mathbb{K}_f} \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*\mathbb{K}_g})) \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*g^*R}) \circ \\ &\quad \circ (\text{Id}_{f^*g^*T} \otimes ((\text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_f} \otimes f^*G) \circ F)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Koszul } 2.4}{=} (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_f} \otimes \tau_{T, \mathbb{K}_g} \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*g^*R}) \circ (\tau_{T, \mathbb{K}_f} \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*\mathbb{K}_g} \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*g^*R}) \circ \\ &\quad \circ (\text{Id}_{f^*g^*T} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_f} \otimes f^*G) \circ (\text{Id}_{f^*g^*T} \otimes F) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Koszul } 2.4}{=} (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_f} \otimes \tau_{T, \mathbb{K}_g} \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*g^*R}) \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_f} \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*g^*T} \otimes f^*G) \circ \\ &\quad \circ (\tau_{T, \mathbb{K}_f} \otimes \text{Id}_{f^*K}) \circ (\text{Id}_{f^*g^*T} \otimes F) \\ &= (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}_f} \otimes f^*({}^TG)) \circ {}^{g^*T}F = {}^TG \bullet {}^{g^*T}F. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.51.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a map of orbifolds with corners, and let  $A, B$  be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles over  $M$  and  $C$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundle over  $N$ . If  $F$  is an  $f$ -orientor of  $B$  to  $C$ , then the following diagrams are commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{{}^AF} & \mathbb{K}_f \otimes A \otimes f^*C \\ \downarrow \tau_{A,B} & & \downarrow \text{Id} \otimes \tau_{A, f^*C} \\ B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{{}^FA} & \mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^*C \otimes A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{{}^FA} & \mathbb{K}_f \otimes f^*C \otimes A \\ \downarrow \tau_{B,A} & & \downarrow \text{Id} \otimes \tau_{f^*C, A} \\ A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{{}^AF} & \mathbb{K}_f \otimes A \otimes f^*C \end{array}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{A, f^*C} \bullet {}^AF &= {}^FA \bullet \tau_{A,B}, \\ \tau_{f^*C, A} \bullet {}^FA &= {}^AF \bullet \tau_{B,A}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.51.* The second equation is obtained by the first one by composing with  $\tau_{B,A}$  on the right and  $\tau_{f^*C, A}$  on the left. Assume  $a, b, c$  are local sections of  $A, B, C$  and  $\mathcal{O}^f$  is a local relative orientation of  $f$ , such that  $F(b) = \mathcal{O}^f \otimes f^*c$ . Then

$$\tau_{A, f^*C} \bullet {}^AF(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{(F-f)a} (\text{Id} \otimes \tau_{A, f^*C})(\mathcal{O}^f \otimes a \otimes f^*c) = (-1)^{(F-f+c)a} \mathcal{O}^f \otimes f^*c \otimes a,$$

while

$${}^FA \bullet \tau_{A,B}(b \otimes a) = (-1)^{ab} {}^FA(b \otimes a) = (-1)^{ab} \mathcal{O}^f \otimes f^*c \otimes a.$$

However,  $F + b \equiv_2 f + c$  by the equality of the degrees of  $F(b) = \mathcal{O}^f \otimes f^*c$ . This proves the commutativity of the first diagram. □

**3.2. Extension to essential subspaces.** By Lemma 2.19, All Lemmas of the above section that concern fiber-products or boundary factorization hold for essential fiber-products and essential boundary factorization.

### 3.3. Extension to arbitrary commutative rings.

**Definition 3.52.** Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a commutative ring. Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a map. Consider the  $\mathbb{A}$ -representation of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  given by negation,  $(-1) \cdot a = -a$  for  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ . Then the  $\mathbb{A}$ -**relative orientation bundle**  $\mathcal{K}_f \rightarrow M$  is the local system associated to the negation representation,

$$\mathcal{K}_f = \mathbb{K}_f \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \mathbb{A}.$$

*Remark 3.53.* As  $\mathbb{K}_f$  is concentrated in degree  $-\dim f$ , so is  $\mathcal{K}_f$ .

If  $Q, K$  are local systems over  $M, N$  and  $g : M \rightarrow N$  is a map, then a  **$g$ -orientor of  $Q$  to  $K$**  is a morphism of local systems over  $M$

$$G : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_g \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} g^* K.$$

All definitions, equations and lemmas about  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -orientors extend naturally to orientors of local systems over  $\mathbb{A}$ .

## 4. MODULI SPACES

**4.1. Open stable maps.** Let  $(X_0, \omega_0)$  be a symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$  and let  $L_0 \subset X_0$  be a Lagrangian. Let  $\mu_0 : H_2(X_0, L_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be the Maslov index [1]. The symplectic form  $\omega_0$  induces a map  $\omega_0 : H_2(X_0, L_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by integration,  $\beta \mapsto \int_{\beta} \omega_0$ . Let  $\Pi_0$  be a quotient of  $H_2(X_0, L_0; \mathbb{Z})$  by a subgroup that is contained in the kernel of  $(\mu_0, \omega_0) : H_2(X_0, L_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . Thus,  $\mu_0, \omega_0$  descend to  $\Pi_0$ . Let  $J_0$  be an  $\omega_0$ -tame almost target structure on  $X_0$ . A  $J_0$ -holomorphic genus-0 open stable map to  $(X_0, L_0)$  of degree  $\beta \in \Pi_0$  with one boundary component,  $k+1$  boundary marked points, and  $l$  interior marked points, is a quadruple  $\mathbf{u} := (\Sigma, u, \vec{z}, \vec{w})$  as follows. The domain  $\Sigma$  is a genus-0 nodal Riemann surface with boundary consisting of one connected component. The map of pairs

$$u : (\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow (X_0, L_0)$$

is continuous, and  $J_0$ -holomorphic on each irreducible component of  $\Sigma$ , satisfying

$$u_*([\Sigma, \partial\Sigma]) = \beta.$$

The boundary marked points and the interior marked points

$$\vec{z} = (z_0, \dots, z_k), \quad \vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_l),$$

where  $z_j \in \partial\Sigma, w_j \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ , are distinct from one another and from the nodal points. The labeling of the marked points  $z_j$  respects the cyclic order given by the orientation of  $\partial\Sigma$  induced by the complex orientation of  $\Sigma$ . Stability means that if  $\Sigma_i$  is an irreducible component of  $\Sigma$ , then either  $u|_{\Sigma_i}$  is non-constant or it satisfies the following requirement: If  $\Sigma_i$  is a sphere, the number of marked points and nodal points on  $\Sigma_i$  is at least 3; if  $\Sigma_i$  is a disk, the number of marked and nodal boundary points plus twice the number of marked and nodal interior points is at least 3. An **isomorphism of open stable maps**

$$\varphi : (\Sigma, u, \vec{z}, \vec{w}) \rightarrow (\Sigma', u', \vec{z}', \vec{w}')$$

is a homeomorphism  $\varphi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ , biholomorphic on each irreducible component, such that

$$u = u' \circ \varphi, \quad z'_j = \varphi(z_j), \quad j = 0, \dots, k, \quad w'_j = \varphi(w_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, l.$$

We denote  $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{u}'$  if there exists an isomorphism of open stable maps  $\varphi : \mathbf{u} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}'$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(X_0, L_0, J_0; \beta)$  the moduli space of  $J_0$ -holomorphic genus-0 open stable maps to  $(X_t, L_t)$  of degree  $\beta$  with one boundary component,  $k+1$  marked boundary points and  $l$  marked interior points.

**4.2. Families.** Let  $\Omega$  be a manifold with corners. An orbifold with corners  $M$  over  $\Omega$  is a submersion  $\pi^M : M \rightarrow \Omega$ . We denote by  $T^v M = \ker(d\pi^M)$  the vertical tangent bundle along  $\pi^M$ . Let  $\pi^N : N \rightarrow \Omega$  be another orbifold with corners over  $\Omega$  and  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a map over  $\Omega$ . Let  $\xi : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$  be a smooth map of manifolds with corners. As  $\pi^M$  is a submersion, the fiber product  $\xi^* M := \Omega'_\xi \times_{\pi^M} M$  exists. We also get an induced map  $\xi^* f : \xi^* M \rightarrow \xi^* N$ . The situation is summed up in the following diagram.

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \xi^* M & \xrightarrow{\xi^M} & M \\ \xi^* f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \xi^* N & \xrightarrow{\xi^N} & N \\ \xi^* \pi^N \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^N \\ \Omega' & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \Omega \end{array}$$

Moreover, for a fiber-product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times_\Omega P & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^P \\ M & \xrightarrow{\pi^M} & \Omega \end{array}$$

of orbifolds with corners over  $\Omega$ , we write  $\pi_M^{M \times P}$ ,  $\pi_P^{M \times P}$  for the corresponding projections. For many purposes, one may assume  $\Omega$  is a point.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a map of smooth manifolds. A **vector field along**  $f$  is a section  $u$  of the bundle  $f^* TN \rightarrow M$ . A vector field  $u$  along  $f$  determines a linear map

$$i_u : A^k(N) \rightarrow A^{k-1}(M)$$

$$i_u \rho(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})|_{x \in M} = \rho_{f(x)}(u(x), df_x(v_1(x)), \dots, df_x(v_{k-1}(x))).$$

called interior multiplication.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\pi^M : M \rightarrow \Omega$  be a manifold over  $\Omega$ . A differential form  $\xi \in A^*(M)$  is called **horizontal** with respect to  $\pi^M$  if its restriction to vertical vector fields vanishes.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $\pi^M : M \rightarrow \Omega$  be a manifold over  $\Omega$  and let  $\omega \in A^2(M)$ . The submersion  $\pi^M : M \rightarrow \Omega$  is called **exact** with respect to  $\omega$  if  $\omega$  is horizontal with respect to  $\pi^M$  and for every vector field  $u$  on  $\Omega$  there exists a function  $f_u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for all vector fields  $\tilde{u}$  on  $M$  such that  $d\pi^M(\tilde{u}) = u$ , the 1-form

$$i_{\tilde{u}}\omega - df_u$$

is horizontal with respect to  $\pi^M$ .

*Remark 4.4.* When checking whether a submersion is exact with respect to a horizontal 2-form, given a vector field  $u$  on  $\Omega$ , it suffices to construct a lift  $\tilde{u}$  of  $u$  to  $M$ , and a function  $f_u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $i_{\tilde{u}}\omega - df_u$  is horizontal. It follows that for any lift  $\tilde{u}'$ , the form  $i_{\tilde{u}'}\omega - df_u$  is horizontal. Indeed,

$$i_{\tilde{u}'}\omega - i_{\tilde{u}}\omega = i_{(\tilde{u}' - \tilde{u})}\omega$$

is horizontal.

**Lemma 4.5.** Consider the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M' & \xrightarrow{\xi^M} & M \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ \Omega' & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \Omega \end{array}$$

where  $\pi, \pi'$  are submersions. Denote by  $\xi^*(d\pi) : \xi^*TM \rightarrow \xi^*T\Omega$  the map given by

$$(\xi^*(d\pi))(v)|_x = d\pi_{\xi^M(x)}(v(x)).$$

Assume  $\pi$  is exact with respect to the form  $\omega \in A^2(M)$ . Then for every vector field  $u$  along  $\xi$ , there exists a function  $f_u : M' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for all vector fields  $\tilde{u}$  along  $\xi^M$  satisfying  $(\xi^*d\pi)(\tilde{u}) = u \circ \pi'$ , it holds that the 1-form  $i_{\tilde{u}}\omega - df_u \in A^1(M')$  is horizontal.

*Proof.* By a standard partition of unit argument, we may assume that  $T\Omega$  admits a frame  $(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $f_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the functions corresponding to  $u_i$  according to Definition 4.3. Let  $u$  be a vector field along  $\xi$ . There exist unique functions  $\alpha_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  such that

$$u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(x) \cdot u_i(\xi^M(x)).$$

Define

$$f_u := \left( \sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i \circ \pi') \cdot (f_i \circ \xi^M) \right).$$

Similarly to Remark 4.4, it is enough to prove that  $i_{\tilde{u}}\omega - df_u$  is horizontal for a specific  $\tilde{u}$ . We proceed to construct  $\tilde{u}$  for which we can compute  $i_{\tilde{u}}\omega$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $\tilde{u}_i$  be vector fields on  $M$  such that  $d\pi(\tilde{u}_i) = u_i$ . Then the vector field  $\tilde{u}$  along  $\xi^M$  defined by

$$\tilde{u}(x) := \sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i \circ \pi')(x) \cdot \tilde{u}_i(\xi^M(x))$$

satisfies

$$(\xi^*d\pi)(\tilde{u}) = u.$$

We calculate, using the Leibniz's rule,

$$i_{\tilde{u}}\omega - df_u = \sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i \circ \pi') \cdot (\xi^{M*}(i_{u_i}\omega - df_i)) - \sum_{i=1}^k d(\alpha_i \circ \pi') \cdot (f_i \circ \xi^M).$$

Keeping in mind that pullbacks of horizontal forms are horizontal, all the forms on the right are horizontal. □

**Corollary 4.6.** Recall the notation from diagram (4.1). Let  $\omega \in A^2(M)$  and assume  $\pi^M$  is exact with respect to  $\omega$ . It holds that  $\xi^*\pi^M$  is exact with respect to  $(\xi^M)^*\omega \in A^2(\xi^*M)$ .

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $\pi^X : X \rightarrow \Omega$  be a manifold with corners over  $\Omega$ , and let  $\omega$  be a closed 2-form on  $X$ .  $\pi^X$  is called a **symplectic fibration** if it is a locally trivial fibration such that, for all  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $(\pi^{-1}(t), \omega|_{\pi^{-1}(t)})$  is a symplectic manifold and the vertical boundary with respect to  $\pi^X$  is empty. Let  $L \subset X$  be a subfibration, that is, the restriction  $\pi^L := \pi^X|_L$  is a locally trivial fibration. We say that  $L$  is a **Lagrangian subfibration** if  $\omega|_L$  is horizontal with respect to  $\pi^L$ . That is, the fibers of  $\pi^L$  are Lagrangian submanifolds in the fibers of  $\pi^X$ . A Lagrangian subfibration is called **exact** if  $\pi^L := \pi^X|_L$  is exact with respect to  $\omega|_L$ .

For a vector bundle  $V \rightarrow B$ , define the characteristic classes  $p^\pm(V) \in H^2(B; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  by

$$p^+(V) = w_2(V), \quad p^-(V) = w_2(V) + w_1(V)^2.$$

According to [27],  $p^\pm(V)$  is the obstruction to the existence of a  $Pin^\pm$  structure on  $V$ . See [27] for a detailed discussion of the definition of the groups  $Pin^\pm$  and the notion of  $Pin^\pm$  structures. We say that the fibration  $X \supset L \rightarrow \Omega$  is **relatively**  $Pin^\pm$  if  $p^\pm(T^v L) \in \text{Im}(i^* : H^2(X) \rightarrow H^2(L))$ , and  $Pin^\pm$  if  $p^\pm(T^v L) = 0$ . A **relative**  $Pin^\pm$  **structure** **p on**  $L$  is a relative  $Pin^\pm$  structure on  $T^v L$ .

*Remark 4.8.* The condition that the vertical boundary with respect to  $\pi^X$  is empty may be replaced with an appropriate convexity property.

We fix a symplectic fibration  $(X, \omega, \Omega, \pi^X)$  with an exact Lagrangian subfibration  $L$  whose fibers are connected. For  $t \in \Omega$ , we write  $X_t, L_t$  for the fibers of  $\pi^X, \pi^L$ , respectively, and  $\omega_t$  for the restriction of  $\omega$  to  $X_t$ . Set

$$\mathbb{L}_L := \mathbb{K}_{\pi^L}[1 - n].$$

**Definition 4.9.** We say that the fibration  $L$  is **vertically orientable** if  $(\pi_*^L \mathbb{L}_L) \neq \emptyset$ . This is equivalent to the fiber being orientable.

**Definition 4.10.** Let  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We define a sheaf on  $\Omega$

$$\mathcal{X}_L^b := \pi_*^L (\mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes b}).$$

**Definition 4.11.**  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  is called **an exponent for**  $L$  if  $\mathcal{X}_L^b$  is nonempty. In this case, the canonical map  $\pi_L^* \mathcal{X}_L^b \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes b}$  is an isomorphism, since both are  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  local systems.

*Remark 4.12.*  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  is an exponent for  $L$  if and only if  $b$  is even or  $L$  is vertically oriented.

**Definition 4.13.** Let  $\underline{H}_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z})$  be the sheaf over  $\Omega$  given by sheafification of the presheaf with sections over an open set  $U \subset \Omega$  given by  $H_2\left((\pi^X)^{-1}(U), (\pi^L)^{-1}(U); \mathbb{Z}\right)$ .

The sheaf  $\underline{H}_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z})$  is the local system with fiber  $H_2(X_t, L_t; \mathbb{Z})$  for  $t \in \Omega$ , with the Gauss Manin connection. Let  $\underline{\mu} : \underline{H}_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the bundle map given by the fiberwise Maslov index. Moreover, let  $\underline{\omega} : \underline{H}_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{R}}$  be the bundle map given over  $t \in \Omega$  by

$$\underline{\omega}|_t(\beta_t) = \int_{\beta_t} i_t^* \omega,$$

where  $i_t : X_t \rightarrow X$  is the inclusion.

**Lemma 4.14.** *The bundle maps  $\underline{\mu}, \underline{\omega}$  are constant on local sections of  $\underline{H}_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\underline{\mu}$ , the claim is clear since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is discrete. For  $\underline{\omega}$ , it is enough to show that the map is constant on any path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ . Let  $s \in [0, 1]$  denote the parameter. Let  $\beta$  be a section of  $\gamma^* \underline{H}_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z})$ , and let

$$\sigma : (\Sigma \times [0, 1], \partial \Sigma \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow (X, L)$$

be such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Sigma \times [0, 1], \partial \Sigma \times [0, 1]) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & (X, L) \\ \downarrow \pi_{[0, 1]} & & \downarrow \pi^X \\ [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \Omega \end{array}$$

and  $[\sigma|_{\Sigma \times \{s\}}] = \beta|_{\gamma(s)}$ . Let  $\xi = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s}$ . Recall that  $L$  is an exact Lagrangian subfibration. By Lemma 4.5 applied to the above diagram with  $u = \partial_s$  and  $\tilde{u} = \xi$ , there exists a function  $f : \partial\Sigma \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $i_\xi \omega - df$  is horizontal with respect to  $\pi_{[0,1]}$ . Since  $[0, 1]$  is one dimensional and  $\pi_{[0,1]}^* ds$  is a horizontal 1-form, it follows that

$$(4.2) \quad \pi_{[0,1]}^* ds \wedge (i_\xi \omega - df) = 0.$$

Since  $d\omega = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\beta|_{\gamma(1)}} \omega|_{\gamma(1)} - \int_{\beta|_{\gamma(0)}} \omega|_{\gamma(0)} &\stackrel{\text{Stokes}}{=} \int_{\partial\Sigma \times [0,1]} \sigma^* \omega = \int_{\partial\Sigma \times [0,1]} (\pi_{[0,1]})^* ds \wedge i_\xi \omega \\ &\stackrel{\text{eq. (4.2)}}{=} \int_{\partial\Sigma \times [0,1]} (\pi_{[0,1]})^* ds \wedge df. \end{aligned}$$

However, by Stoke's theorem again,

$$\int_{\partial\Sigma \times [0,1]} (\pi_{[0,1]})^* ds \wedge df = - \int_{\partial\Sigma \times [0,1]} d(f \cdot (\pi_{[0,1]})^* ds) = - \int_{\partial\Sigma \times \{0,1\}} f \cdot (\pi_{[0,1]})^* ds = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\beta|_{\gamma(1)}} \omega|_{\gamma(1)} = \int_{\beta|_{\gamma(0)}} \omega|_{\gamma(0)}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 4.15.** A **target** is an octuple  $\mathcal{T} := (\Omega, X, \omega, L, \pi^X, \mathfrak{p}, \underline{\Upsilon}, J)$  as follows.

- (a)  $\Omega$  is manifold with corners.
- (b)  $\pi^X : X \rightarrow \Omega$  is a symplectic fibration with respect to  $\omega$ .
- (c)  $L \subset X$  is an exact Lagrangian subfibration with a relative  $Pin^\pm$  structure  $\mathfrak{p}$ .
- (d)  $\underline{\Upsilon} \subset \ker(\underline{\mu} \oplus \underline{\omega})$  is a sub-bundle such that the quotient  $\underline{H}_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z})/\underline{\Upsilon}$  is a globally constant sheaf.
- (e)  $J = \{J_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$  is a  $\omega$ -tame almost complex structure on  $T^v X$ .

The dimension of  $\mathcal{T}$  is defined to be  $\dim \mathcal{T} := \dim \pi^X$ .

**Definition 4.16.** Let  $\mathcal{T} := (\Omega, X, \omega, L, \pi^X, \mathfrak{p}, \underline{\Upsilon}, J)$  be a target. The **group of degrees of  $\mathcal{T}$**  which we denote by  $\Pi := \Pi(\mathcal{T})$  is the fiber of  $\underline{H}_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z})/\underline{\Upsilon}$ . Lemma 4.14 implies that the bundle-maps  $\underline{\mu}, \underline{\omega}$  descend to maps  $\mu : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\omega : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . A degree  $\beta \in \Pi$  is called **admissible** if  $\mu(\beta) + 1$  is an exponent for  $L$ . Denote by  $\Pi^{ad} \subset \Pi$  the admissible degrees.

*Example 4.17.* Consider  $\mathbb{R}P^1$  as lines in the  $yz$  plane and  $S^2$  as the unit vectors in the  $xyz$  space. For  $t \in \mathbb{R}P^1$  and a vector  $\vec{v} \in S^2$ , we denote  $\vec{v} \perp t$  if  $\vec{v}$  is perpendicular to  $t$ . Set  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}P^1$  and  $X = \mathbb{R}P^1 \times S^2$ . Denote by  $\pi : X \rightarrow \Omega$  and  $p : X \rightarrow S^2$  the projections. Let  $\omega = p^* \omega_0$  and  $J = p^* J_0$  where  $\omega_0, J_0$  are the standard symplectic form and complex structure on  $S^2$ , respectively. Let

$$L = \{(t, \vec{v}) \in X \mid \vec{v} \perp t\}.$$

Namely,  $L$  is a circle rotating on its diameter. Note that  $\omega|_L = 0$ . In particular,  $L \subset X$  is an exact Lagrangian subfibration. It is both relatively  $Pin^+$  and relatively  $Pin^-$ . This may be seen as follows.  $L$  is the Klein bottle and  $T^v L \simeq (\pi^L)^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}P^1}(-1)$ . By the naturality of the characteristic classes  $p^\pm$ , it follows that  $L$  is both  $Pin^+$  and  $Pin^-$  as a fibration. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be any  $Pin^\pm$  structure on  $L$ . The fibration  $L$  is vertically orientable, yet the map  $\pi^L$  is not relatively orientable. Moreover, we have

$$H_2(X_t, L_t; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$



and parallel transporting  $(x, y) \in H_2(X_t, L_t; \mathbb{Z})$  along the loop  $\mathbb{R}P^1$  we get  $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$ . Let  $\underline{\Upsilon} = \ker(\underline{\mu} \oplus \underline{\omega})$  which is the Möbius  $\mathbb{Z}$  bundle over  $\mathbb{R}P^1$ . Then

$$\mathcal{T}_0 := (\Omega, X, \omega, L, \pi^X, \mathfrak{p}, \underline{\Upsilon}, J)$$

is a target. It holds that  $\Pi(\mathcal{T}_0) = \mathbb{Z}$ . Alternatively, we can take  $\underline{\Upsilon} = 2 \cdot \ker(\underline{\mu} \oplus \underline{\omega})$  and then  $\Pi = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ .

**Definition 4.18.** Let  $\mathcal{T} := (\Omega, X, \omega, L, \pi^X, \mathfrak{p}, \underline{\Upsilon}, J)$  be a target. Let  $\Omega'$  be a manifold with corners and  $\xi : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$  be a smooth map. By Corollary 4.6,  $\xi^*L \subset \xi^*X$  is exact with respect to  $\xi^{X^*}\omega$ . Thus, the octuple

$$\xi^*\mathcal{T} = (\Omega', \xi^*X, \xi^*\omega, \xi^*L, \xi^*\pi^X, \xi^*\mathfrak{p}, \xi^*\underline{\Upsilon}, \xi^*J)$$

is a target. Since pullback of sheaves is an exact functor, the canonical map

$$\underline{H}_2(\xi^*X, \xi^*L; \mathbb{Z})/\xi^*\underline{\Upsilon} \rightarrow \xi^*(\underline{H}_2(X, L; \mathbb{Z})/\underline{\Upsilon})$$

is an isomorphism, so  $\xi^*\mathcal{T}$  is indeed a target. In particular, the canonical map

$$\xi^* : \Pi(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \Pi(\xi^*\mathcal{T})$$

is an isomorphism.

**Definition 4.19.** Fix a target  $\mathcal{T} = (\Omega, X, \omega, L, \pi^X, \mathfrak{p}, \underline{\Upsilon}, J)$ . For  $k \geq -1, l \geq 0$  and  $\beta \in \Pi$ , denote by

$$\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) := \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\mathcal{T}; \beta) := \{(t, \mathbf{u}) \mid t \in \Omega, \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(X_t, L_t, J_t; \beta_t)\}.$$

Denote by  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \rightarrow \Omega$  the map  $(t, \mathbf{u}) \mapsto t$ . Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} evb_j^{(k,l,\beta)} : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) &\rightarrow L, & j = 0, \dots, k, \\ evi_j^{(k,l,\beta)} : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) &\rightarrow X, & j = 1, \dots, l, \end{aligned}$$

the evaluation maps given by

$$\begin{aligned} evb_j^{(k,l,\beta)}(t, (\Sigma, u, \vec{z}, \vec{w})) &= (t, u(z_j)), \\ evi_j^{(k,l,\beta)}(t, (\Sigma, u, \vec{z}, \vec{w})) &= (t, u(w_j)). \end{aligned}$$

We may omit part or all superscripts  $(k, l, \beta)$  when they are clear from the context.

To streamline the exposition, we assume that  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$  are smooth orbifolds with corners and  $evb_0^\beta$  are submersions for  $\beta \in \Pi$ . These assumptions hold in a range of important examples [40, Example 1.5].

In general, the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$  are only metrizable spaces. They can be highly singular and have varying dimension. Nonetheless, the theory of the virtual fundamental class being developed by several authors [5, 9, 10, 12, 13] allows one to perturb the  $J$ -holomorphic map equation to obtain moduli spaces that are weighted branched orbifolds with corners and evaluation maps that are smooth. Thus, we may consider  $evb_0$ -orientors. Furthermore, by averaging over continuous families of perturbations, one can make  $evb_0^\beta$  behave like a submersion. So, fiber-products along  $evb_0^\beta$  exist. See [5, 9, 10]. When the unperturbed moduli spaces are smooth of expected dimension and  $evb_0^\beta$  is a submersion, one can choose the perturbations to be trivial. Furthermore, as explained in [5, 9], one can make the perturbations compatible with forgetful maps of boundary marked points. The compatibility of perturbations with forgetful maps of interior marked points has not yet been fully worked out in the Kuranishi structure formalism.

*Remark 4.20.* If  $\xi : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$  is a map,  $\mathcal{T} := (\Omega, X, \omega, L, \pi^X, \mathfrak{p}, \underline{\mathfrak{T}}, J)$  is a target and  $\beta \in \Pi(\mathcal{T})$ , then

$$\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^*\mathcal{T}; \xi^*(\beta)) = \xi^*\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\mathcal{T}; \beta).$$

Moreover, for  $i \leq k$  and  $j \leq l$ ,

$$evb_i^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T})} = \xi^*(evb_i^{\mathcal{T}}), \quad evi_j^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T})} = \xi^*(evi_j^{\mathcal{T}}).$$

For a finite list of indices  $I$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta)$  denote the moduli space diffeomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,|I|}(\beta)$  with interior marked points labeled by  $I$ . It carries evaluation maps  $evb_i^\beta$  for indices  $i = 0, \dots, k$  and  $evi_j^\beta$  for indices  $j \in I$ .

The orbifold structure of  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta)$  arises from the automorphisms of open stable maps. Vertical corners of codimension  $r$  along the map  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}}$  consist of open stable maps  $(\Sigma, u, \vec{z}, \vec{w})$  where  $\Sigma$  has  $r$  boundary nodes. For  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  denote by

$$\mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta)^{(r)} \subset \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta)$$

the dense open subset consisting of stable maps with no more than  $r$  boundary nodes and no interior nodes. Each of these subspaces is an essential subset of  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta)$ . A precise description of the vertical corners in the case  $r = 1$  is given in terms of gluing maps, as follows.

Let  $k \geq -1, l \geq 0, \beta \in \Pi$ . Fix partitions  $k_1 + k_2 = k + 1, \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$  and  $I \dot{\cup} J = [l]$ , where  $k_1 > 0$  if  $k + 1 > 0$ . When  $k + 1 > 0$ , let  $0 < i \leq k_1$ . When  $k = -1$  let  $i = 0$ . Let

$$B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}^{(1)}(\beta_1, \beta_2) \subset \partial\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}^{(1)}(\beta)$$

denote the locus of two component stable maps, described as follows. One component has degree  $\beta_1$  and the other component has degree  $\beta_2$ . The first component carries the boundary marked points labeled  $0, \dots, i-1, i+k_2, \dots, k$ , and the interior marked points labeled by  $I$ . The second component carries the boundary marked points labeled  $i, \dots, i+k_2-1$  and the interior marked points labeled by  $J$ . The two components are joined at the  $i$ th boundary marked point on the first component and the 0th boundary marked point on the second. Let

$$\overline{B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}(\beta_1, \beta_2)} := \overline{B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}^{(1)}(\beta_1, \beta_2)} \subset \partial\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$$

denote the closure. Denote by

$$\iota_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}^{\beta_1,\beta_2} : B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}(\beta_1, \beta_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$$

the inclusion of the boundary.

There is a canonical gluing map

$$\vartheta_{i,k_1,k_2,\beta_1,\beta_2,I,J} : \mathcal{M}_{k_1+1,I}(\beta_1)_{evb_i^{\beta_1}} \times_{evb_0^{\beta_2}} \mathcal{M}_{k_2+1,J}(\beta_2) \rightarrow B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}(\beta_1, \beta_2).$$

This map is a diffeomorphism, unless  $k = -1, I = \emptyset = J$  and  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ . In the exceptional case,  $\vartheta$  is a 2 to 1 local diffeomorphism in the orbifold sense. The dense open subset

$$\mathcal{M}_{k_1+1,I}^{(0)}(\beta_1) \times_L \mathcal{M}_{k_2+1,J}^{(0)}(\beta_2)$$

is carried by  $\vartheta_{i,k_1,k_2,\beta_1,\beta_2,I,J}$  onto  $B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}^{(1)}(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ . We abbreviate

$$\vartheta^\beta = \vartheta_{i,k_1,k_2,\beta_1,\beta_2,I,J}$$

when it creates no ambiguity. The images of all such  $\vartheta^\beta$  intersect only in codimension 2, and cover the vertical boundary of  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$ , unless  $k = -1$  and  $\beta \in \text{Im}(\Gamma(\underline{H}_2(X; \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \Pi)$ . In the exceptional case, there might occur another phenomenon of bubbling, which will not be relevant to this paper.

## 5. ORIENTORS AND THE GLUING MAP

Fix a target  $\mathcal{T} = (\Omega, X, \omega, L, \pi^X, \mathfrak{p}, \underline{\gamma}, J)$ . In the rest of this section we generalize the result of [7, Proposition 8.3.3], which discusses the orientation of the gluing map  $\vartheta^\beta$  of Section 4.1. In [7, Proposition 8.3.3] the moduli spaces are canonically oriented, and it is determined whether  $\vartheta^\beta$  preserves orientation. In our case, the moduli spaces may be non-orientable. There is only an  $evb_0$ -orientor of a bundle given in terms of the bundle  $\mathbb{L}_{\pi^L}$ , see Definition 5.19 for the construction of the orientors. As a diffeomorphism, the map  $\vartheta^\beta$  induces a map

$$\vartheta^{\beta*} : \vartheta^{\beta*} \mathbb{K}_{evb_0^\beta \circ \iota^\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{evb_0^{\beta_1} \circ p_1}$$

where  $p_1 : \mathcal{M}_{k_1+1, I}(\beta_1) \times_L \mathcal{M}_{k_2+1, J}(\beta_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k_1+1, I}(\beta_1)$  is the projection. In this section we write a formula for  $\vartheta^{\beta*}$  in terms of the bundle  $\mathbb{L}_L$ . See Theorem 5.25.

**5.1. Conventions and notations of one moduli space.** We identify  $D^2$  with the unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$  and identify  $S^1$  with  $\partial D^2$ . In particular,  $D^2$  carries the induced orientation of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $S^1$  carries the induced orientation of the boundary of  $D^2$ , which is counterclockwise. In particular, for points  $z_0, z_1 \in \partial D^2$  there is a notion of oriented segment  $[z_0, z_1]$ .

Following [7, Section 8.3] we fix an orientation for  $\text{Aut}(D^2) \simeq PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$  as follows. Recall that  $\text{Aut}(D^2)$  acts from the left on  $D^2$  and  $S^1$  by Möbius transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

We let it act from the right on  $D^2$  and  $S^1$  by  $(z, g) \mapsto g^{-1} \cdot z$ .

**Definition 5.1.** The **canonical orientation**  $\mathcal{O}_c^{\text{Aut}(D^2)}$  of  $\text{Aut}(D^2)$  is given as follows. We pick three points  $z_0, z_1, z_2 \in S^1$  ordered counterclockwise. We embed  $\text{Aut}(D^2)$  as an open subset of  $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$  by

$$g \mapsto (g^{-1} \cdot z_0, g^{-1} \cdot z_1, g^{-1} \cdot z_2)$$

and we equip  $\text{Aut}(D^2)$  with the induced orientation.

For a finite set  $I$ , set

$$\mathcal{W}_{k+1, I} = \mathcal{M}_{k+1, I}(\beta = 0; X = \Omega, L = \Omega).$$

Set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}_{k+1, I}} := \Omega \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (z_0, \dots, z_k) \in (S^1)^{k+1}, \\ \{w_j\}_{j \in I} \in (\text{int } D^2)^I \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \forall j_1, j_2 \leq k, \quad j_1 \neq j_2 \implies z_{j_1} \neq z_{j_2}, \\ (z_0, \dots, z_k) \text{ is cyclically ordered,} \\ \forall j_1, j_2 \in I, \quad j_1 \neq j_2 \implies z_{j_1} \neq z_{j_2} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The moduli space  $\mathcal{W}_{k+1, I}$  is nonempty only if  $k + 2|I| \geq 2$ , since constant maps are unstable unless the condition on the number of marked points holds. If it is nonempty, then

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{W}_{k+1, I}^{(0)} = \widehat{\mathcal{W}_{k+1, I}} / \text{Aut}(D^2).$$

**Definition 5.2.** Let

$$U_{k+1, I} : \mathcal{M}_{k+1, I}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{k+1, I}$$

denote the map that forgets the holomorphic map and contracts unstable components. For  $k \geq 0$ , define

$$Ev_{k+1, I}^\beta : \mathcal{M}_{k+1, I}(\beta) \rightarrow L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_{k+1, I}, \quad Ev_{k+1, I}^\beta = (evb_0^\beta, U_{k+1, I}).$$

Assume that  $I \subset [l]$ . Denote  $\hat{I} = I \cup \{l+1\}$ . Let

$$Fi_{k+1,I}^\beta : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,\hat{I}}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta)$$

denote the map that forgets the  $|I|+1$ st interior point and contracts unstable components. Moreover, denote by

$$Fi_{k+1,I} : \mathcal{W}_{k+1,\hat{I}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I}$$

the forgetful map when  $X = L = \Omega$ .

Similarly, let

$$Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta : \mathcal{M}_{k+2,I}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta)$$

denote the map that forgets the  $k+1$ st boundary point and contracts unstable components. Denote by

$$Fb_{k+1,I} : \mathcal{W}_{k+2,I} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I}$$

the forgetful map when  $X = L = \Omega$ .

Moreover, for  $k \geq 0$ , let  $f^\beta : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$  be given by

$$f^\beta(\Sigma, u, (z_0, \dots, z_k), \vec{w}) = (\Sigma, u, (z_1, \dots, z_k, z_0), \vec{w}),$$

the cyclic map. Denote by  $f$  the map  $f^\beta$  when  $X = L = \Omega$ .

It holds that

$$evi_j \circ f^\beta = evi_j, \quad evb_k \circ f^\beta = evb_0, \quad evb_j \circ f^\beta = evb_{j+1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

Assume  $k+2|I| \geq 2$ . The following diagrams are essential pullbacks.

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{k+1,\hat{I}}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{Fi_{k+1,I}^\beta} & \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta) \\ U_{k+1,\hat{I}} \downarrow & & \downarrow U_{k+1,I} \\ \mathcal{W}_{k+1,\hat{I}} & \xrightarrow{Fi_{k+1,I}} & \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{k+2,I}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta} & \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta) \\ U_{k+2,I} \downarrow & & \downarrow U_{k+1,I} \\ \mathcal{W}_{k+2,I} & \xrightarrow{Fb_{k+1,I}} & \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} \end{array}$$

Moreover, for  $k \geq 0$  the following diagram is a pullback diagram.

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{f^\beta} & \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \\ U_{k+1,l}^\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow U_{k+1,l}^\beta \\ \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l} \end{array}$$

For  $k \geq 0$ , we extend the boundary marked points from the set of indices  $\{0, \dots, k\}$  to the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  cyclicly. For example,  $z_{k+1} = z_0$ , and  $z_{-1} = z_k$ .

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $k \geq 0$ . For  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the **boundary transport** map is the degree 0 isomorphism

$$c_{ij} : (evb_j^\beta)^* \mathbb{L}_L \xrightarrow{\sim} (evb_i^\beta)^* \mathbb{L}_L,$$

given as follows. Define  $c_{ii}$  to be the identity. Assume  $i < j$ . We construct  $c_{ij}$  at a point  $(t, \mathbf{u}) = (t, (\Sigma, u, \vec{z}, \vec{w})) \in \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta)$ . We then define  $c_{ji} = c_{ij}^{-1}$ . The complex structure of  $\Sigma$  provides  $\partial\Sigma = \sum_r S^1 / \sim$  with the boundary orientation. Consider the unique up to homotopy oriented arc  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \partial\Sigma$  from  $z_i$  to  $z_j$ , that passes through  $z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{j-1}$  in order, and switches components whenever it reaches a nodal point. Then  $u \circ \gamma$  defines a path in  $L_t$  from  $u(z_i)$  to  $u(z_j)$ . Trivializing  $(u \circ \gamma)^* \mathbb{L}_L|_t$ , as  $[0, 1]$  is contractible, we get an isomorphism

$$c_{ij}^u : \mathbb{L}_L|_{t,u(z_j)} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_L|_{t,u(z_i)}.$$

If  $\varphi : \mathbf{u} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}'$  is an isomorphism of stable maps, then since  $\varphi$  preserves orientation and marked points,

$$c_{ij}^{\mathbf{u}} = c_{ij}^{\mathbf{u}'}$$

Moreover, since  $c_{ij}^{\mathbf{u}}$  depends continuously on  $(t, \mathbf{u})$  in the Gromov topology, we get a continuous map

$$c_{ij} : (evb_j^\beta)^* \mathbb{L}_L \rightarrow (evb_0^\beta)^* \mathbb{L}_L$$

on  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1, I}(\beta)$ .

*Remark 5.4.* We treat the maps  $c_{ij}$  as  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_{k+1, I}(\beta)}$ -orientors of  $(evb_j^\beta)^* \mathbb{L}_L$  to  $(evb_0^\beta)^* \mathbb{L}_L$ .

The following remarks imply that  $c_{ij}$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  is determined by  $c_{0l}$  for  $l \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ .

*Remark 5.5* (Full circle). The isomorphism  $c_{0, k+1}$  gives the involution  $(-1)^{\mu(\beta)}$  of  $evb_0^{\beta*} \mathbb{L}_L$ .

*Remark 5.6* (Group-like). Let  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be indices. Then

$$c_{ik} = c_{ij} \circ c_{jk}.$$

The proof of the following lemma is left to the reader.

**Lemma 5.7** (Properties of boundary transport). *Let  $k, l \geq 0$ . Then the following equations hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} (Fb_{k+1, I}^\beta)^* c_{0j} &= c_{0j}, & 0 \leq j \leq k, \\ (Fi_{k+1, I}^\beta)^* c_{0j} &= c_{0j}, & 0 \leq j \leq k, \\ (f^\beta)^* c_{0j} &= c_{1, j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

**5.2. Cauchy-Riemann *Pin* boundary problems.** We recall here a number of definitions and results from [38]. See also [7, Chapter 8] and [39]. In the following, given a vector bundle over a manifold  $V \rightarrow M$ , we denote by  $\Gamma(V)$  an appropriate Banach space completion of the smooth sections of  $V$ .

**Definition 5.8.** A **Cauchy-Riemann *Pin* boundary value problem** is a quintuple  $\underline{D} = (\Sigma, E, F, \mathfrak{p}, D)$  where

- $\Sigma$  is a Riemann surface.
- $E \rightarrow \Sigma$  is a complex vector bundle.
- $F \rightarrow \partial\Sigma$  is a totally real sub-bundle of  $E|_{\partial\Sigma}$  with an orientation over every component of  $\partial\Sigma$  where it is orientable.
- $\mathfrak{p}$  is a *Pin* structure on  $F$ .
- $D : \Gamma((\Sigma, \partial\Sigma), (E, F)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma, \Omega^{0,1}(E))$  is a linear partial differential operator satisfying, for  $\xi \in \Gamma((\Sigma, \partial\Sigma), (E, F))$  and  $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$D(f\xi) = fD\xi + (\bar{\partial}f)\xi.$$

Such a  $D$  is called a **real linear Cauchy-Riemann operator**.

**Definition 5.9.** The **determinant line** of a Fredholm operator  $D$  is the one-dimensional vector space

$$\det(D) = \Lambda^{\max}(\ker D) \otimes \Lambda^{\max}(\text{coker } D).$$

The determinant lines of a continuously varying family of Fredholm operators fit together to form a determinant line bundle [29, Appendix A]. It is well-known that real linear Cauchy-Riemann operators are Fredholm [29, Appendix C]. The following restates Proposition 2.8 and Lemma 2.9 of [38].

**Lemma 5.10.** *The determinant of the real linear Cauchy-Riemann operator of a Cauchy-Riemann Pin boundary value problem carries a canonical orientation, which has the following properties:*

- (a) *The orientation varies continuously in families and thus defines an orientation of the associated determinant line bundle.*
- (b) *Reversing the orientation of the boundary condition over one component of the boundary reverses the canonical orientation of the determinant line.*

### 5.3. Orientors on moduli spaces.

**Definition 5.11.** The map  $f^\beta$  is a diffeomorphism, and thus has a canonical orientation  $\mathcal{O}_c^f$ . Moreover, the maps  $Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta$  and  $Fi_{k+1,I}^\beta$  have canonical orientations, denoted  $\mathcal{O}^{Fb}, \mathcal{O}^{Fi}$ , originating in the complex orientation of the domains of the  $J$ -holomorphic maps and the induced orientation on the boundary.

*Remark 5.12.* Consider the following essential pullback diagram.

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{k+2,\hat{I}}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{Fb_{k+1,\hat{I}}^\beta} & \mathcal{M}_{k+1,\hat{I}}(\beta) \\ Fi_{k+2,I}^\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow Fi_{k+1,I}^\beta \\ \mathcal{M}_{k+2,I}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta} & \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta) \end{array}$$

Then

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{O}^{Fi_{k+1}} \circ \mathcal{O}^{Fb_{\hat{I}}} = \mathcal{O}^{Fb_I} \circ \mathcal{O}^{Fi_{k+2}}.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{O}^{Fi^\beta} := (U_{k+1,\hat{I}}/U_{k+1,I})^* \mathcal{O}^{Fi}, \quad \mathcal{O}^{Fb^\beta} := (U_{k+2,I}/U_{k+1,I})^* \mathcal{O}^{Fb},$$

where the pullbacks are taken according to diagrams (5.2).

**Notation 5.13.** As this causes no confusion, we write  $(Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta)^\diamond$  for  $(Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta, \mathcal{O}^{Fb})^\diamond$ , and similarly for  $Fi$ .

**Lemma 5.14.** *There exists a collection of  $\pi^{\mathcal{W}_{k+1,I}}$ -endo-orientors  $\varphi_{k+1,I}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  labeled by  $k \geq -1, l \geq 0, I \subset [l]$  such that  $k+2|I| \geq 2$ , with the following properties:*

- (a) *In the zero dimensional cases  $(k, |I|) \in \{(0,1), (2,0)\}$ , in which the projection maps  $\pi^{\mathcal{W}_{k+1,I}} : \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} \rightarrow \Omega$  are diffeomorphisms, the orientors are the canonical orientors from Definition 3.3*

$$\varphi_{k+1,I} = \varphi_{\pi^{\mathcal{W}_{k+1,I}}}.$$

- (b) *The orientors are well behaved under cyclic permutations of the boundary points, that is*

$$f^\diamond \varphi_{k+1,I} = (-1)^k \varphi_{k+1,I}.$$

- (c) *The orientors are well behaved under arbitrary relabeling of interior points, that is, if  $I, J \subset [l]$  and  $a : I \rightarrow J$  is a bijection, the induced map  $\tilde{a} : \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{k+1,J}$  satisfies*

$$\tilde{a}^\diamond \varphi_{k+1,J} = \varphi_{k+1,I}.$$

- (d) *The orientors are well behaved under forgetting boundary points, that is*

$$(Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta)^\diamond \varphi_{k+1,I} = \varphi_{k+2,I}.$$

(e) The orientors are well behaved under forgetting interior points, that is

$$(Fi_{k+1,I})^\diamond \varphi_{k+1,I} = \varphi_{k+1,\hat{I}}.$$

Properties (a), (d) and (e) determine the orientors  $\varphi_{k+1,I}$  uniquely.

*Proof.* We follow the proof of [32, Lemma 2.24]. A direct calculation shows that

$$(Fb_{2,1})^\diamond (Fb_{1,1})^\diamond \varphi_{1,1} = (Fi_{3,0})^\diamond \varphi_{3,0}.$$

See [32, Figure 2]. Equation (5.5) and Lemma 3.12 imply that for any  $k, I$  and any  $\pi^{\mathcal{W}_{k+1,I}}$ -orientor  $\varphi$  we have

$$(Fi_{k+2,I}^\beta)^\diamond (Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta)^\diamond \varphi = (Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta)^\diamond (Fi_{k+1,I}^\beta)^\diamond \varphi.$$

Therefore, we can define  $\varphi_{k+1,I}$  recursively, according to properties (a), (d) and (e).

For property (b) to hold, it suffices to check that  $f$  changes orientation by  $(-1)^k$ . This follows by Lemma 3.40 since  $\mathcal{W}_{k+1,I}^{(0)} = \widehat{\mathcal{W}_{k+1,I}}/\text{Aut}(D^2)$  and the diffeomorphism of  $\widehat{\mathcal{W}_{k+1,I}}$  which cyclicly permutes the  $S^1$  components changes orientation by  $(-1)^k$ .

Similarly, for property (c) to hold, it suffices to check that  $\tilde{a}$  does not change orientation. This is parallel to the proof of property (b), only that the diffeomorphism does not change orientation because  $\dim(D^2) = 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.15.** *Let  $k + 2|I| \geq 0$ . For  $k \geq 0$ , the relative  $\text{Pin}^\pm$  structure  $\mathfrak{p}$  determines a canonical  $U_{k+1,I}^\beta$ -orientor of  $(\text{ev}b_{z_0}^\beta)^* \left( \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)} \right)$  to  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  of degree  $1 - n$*

$$\tilde{I}_{k+1,I}^\beta : (\text{ev}b_{z_0}^\beta)^* \left( \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)} \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{U_{k+1,I}^\beta}.$$

*For  $k = -1, \beta \in \Pi^{ad}$ , the relative  $\text{Pin}^\pm$  structure  $\mathfrak{p}$  determines a canonical  $U_{0,I}^\beta$ -orientor of  $(\pi^\mathcal{M})^* \mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1}$  to  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  of degree  $1 - n$*

$$\tilde{I}_{0,I}^\beta : (\pi^\mathcal{M})^* \mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{U_{0,I}^\beta}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.19, it is enough to construct  $\tilde{I}_{k+1,I}^\beta$  on  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}^{(0)}(\beta)$ . Recall Definition 4.19. To an arbitrary point  $(t, \mathbf{u}) = (t, D^2, u, \vec{z}, \vec{w}) \in \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}^{(0)}(\beta)$ , associate the Cauchy-Riemann  $\text{Pin}$  boundary value problem  $\underline{D}_{\mathbf{u}}$  over the disk, with

$$E_{\mathbf{u}} = u^*TX, \quad F_{\mathbf{u}} = u^*TL,$$

with the  $\text{Pin}$  structure  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{u}}$  on  $F_{\mathbf{u}}$  pulled-back from the corresponding structure on  $L$ , and  $D_{\mathbf{u}}$  the linearization of the  $J_t$ -holomorphic map operator.

We show that there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\ker(D_{\mathbf{u}}) \simeq \ker dU_{k+1,I}|_{(t,\mathbf{u})},$$

which will imply, since  $D_{\mathbf{u}}$  and  $dU_{k+1,I}|_{(t,\mathbf{u})}$  are surjective, a canonical isomorphism

$$(5.6) \quad \mathbb{K}_{U_{k+1,I}}|_{(t,\mathbf{u})} \simeq \det(\underline{D}_{\mathbf{u}}).$$

Denote by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}}(\beta) := \left\{ (u, t) \mid \begin{array}{c} t \in \Omega, \\ u: (D^2, \partial D^2) \rightarrow (X, L) \text{ } J_t\text{-holomorphic,} \\ u_*([D^2, \partial D^2]) = \beta \end{array} \right\} \times_\Omega \widehat{\mathcal{W}_{k+1,I}}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}^{(0)}(\beta) = \widehat{\mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}}(\beta) / \text{Aut}(D^2).$$

To see the existence of the canonical isomorphism (5.6), we examine the following commutative diagram, of which the columns and bottom rows are exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker D_u & \longrightarrow & \ker dU_{k+1,I}|_{(t,u)} & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
(5.7) \quad 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & T_u \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1,I}(\beta) & \longrightarrow & T_u \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & T_u \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{k+1,I} & \longrightarrow & T_u \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 
\end{array}$$

By the nine lemma, the top row is exact as well, and thus the canonical isomorphism (5.6) exists.

Consider the case  $k \geq 0$ . In [38, Section 3] a canonical isomorphism

$$\det(D_u) \simeq (\mathbb{L}_L|_{u(z_0)})^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)}$$

is constructed, which depends continuously on  $\mathbf{u}$ . Globally, under the identification (5.6), this isomorphism implies an isomorphism of bundles

$$\tilde{I}_{k+1,l}^\beta : (ev_0^\beta)^* \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{U_{k+1,I}}.$$

This isomorphism is continuous due to Lemma 5.10. Note that  $\dim U_{k+1,I}^\beta = n + \mu(\beta)$ , and  $\deg \mathbb{L}_L = -1$ , which implies  $\deg \tilde{I}_{k+1,l}^\beta = 1 - n$ .

Consider the case  $k = -1$ .  $F_u$  is orientable exactly if  $\mu(\beta)$  is even. Thus, recalling Remark 4.12, the admissibility of  $\beta$  implies that either  $F_u$  is non-orientable, if  $\mu(\beta) \equiv_2 1$ , or  $L$  is vertically oriented and thus  $F_u$  is equipped with the pullback orientation. By Lemma 5.10,  $\det(D_u)$  is canonically oriented. In case  $L$  is vertically orientable, reversing the orientation of  $L$  results in reversing the orientation of  $F_u$ . By Lemma 5.10, the latter reverses the canonical orientation of  $\det(D_u)$ . Thus, we get an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1}|_t \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{U_{0,I}^\beta}|_{(t,u)}.$$

Moreover, the canonical orientation is continuous in  $(t, \mathbf{u})$ . Globally, this implies an isomorphism of bundles

$$\tilde{I}_{0,I}^\beta : (\pi^\mathcal{M})^* \mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{U_{0,I}^\beta}.$$

Its degree is  $1 - n$ . □

*Remark 5.16.* Let  $k \geq 0, I \subset [l]$  be such that  $k + 2|I| \geq 2$ . Let  $\hat{I} = I \dot{\cup} \{l+1\}$ . Consider the essential pullback diagrams (5.2). The proof of Lemma 5.15 implies the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
(Fi_{k+1,I}^\beta / Fi_{k+1,I})^\diamond \tilde{I}_{k+1,I}^\beta &= \tilde{I}_{k+1,\hat{I}}^\beta, \\
(Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta / Fb_{k+1,I})^\diamond \tilde{I}_{k+1,I}^\beta &= \tilde{I}_{k+2,I}^\beta
\end{aligned}$$



Moreover, let  $k = -1, I \subset [l]$  be such that  $|I| \geq 2$ . Let  $\hat{I} = I \dot{\cup} \{l+1\}$ . Consider the essential pullback diagram (5.2). We have

$$(5.8) \quad \left( Fi_{0,I}^\beta / Fi_{0,I} \right)^\diamond \tilde{I}_{0,I}^\beta = \tilde{I}_{0,\hat{I}}^\beta.$$

*Remark 5.17.* Recall Definition 5.3. The map  $\tilde{I}_{k+1,I}^\beta$  is independent on the point  $z_0$ . This is incorporated in the following equation of  $U_{k+1,I}^\beta$ -orientor of  $evb_1^{\beta*} \left( \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)} \right)$  to  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ ,

$$(f^\beta / f)^\diamond \tilde{I}_{k+1,I}^\beta = \tilde{I}_{k+1,I}^\beta \bullet c_{01}^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)},$$

where the pullback is taken with respect to the pullback diagram (5.3).

**Definition 5.18.** Let

$$e := e_L : \mathbb{L}_L \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_L$$

be the  $\pi^L$ -orientor of  $\mathbb{L}_L$  to  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ , of degree  $1 - n$ , given by level-translation.

Recall the map  $Ev_{k+1,I}^\beta$  from Definition 5.2.

**Definition 5.19.** For  $k \geq 0$ , let

$$(5.9) \quad \tilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta : (evb_{z_0}^\beta)^* \left( \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \mu(\beta)} \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{K}_{Ev_{k+1,I}^\beta}$$

be the unique  $Ev_{k+1,I}^\beta$ -orientor of  $(evb_{z_0}^\beta)^* \left( \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \mu(\beta)} \right)$  to  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ , satisfying

$$\tilde{I}_{k+1,I}^\beta = \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} e \bullet \mathbb{L} \tilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta.$$

Existence and uniqueness is guaranteed by Lemma 3.13.

Consider  $k = -1, \beta \in \Pi^{ad}$ . Let

$$(5.10) \quad \tilde{J}_{0,I}^\beta : (\pi^{\mathcal{M}})^* \mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{K}_{U_{0,I}^\beta}$$

be the  $U_{0,I}^\beta$ -orientor of  $(\pi^{\mathcal{M}})^* \mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1}$  to  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  given by

$$\tilde{J}_{0,I}^\beta := \tilde{I}_{0,I}^\beta.$$

*Remark 5.20.* For  $(k, l) \in \{(2, 0), (0, 1)\}$ , the map  $Ev_{k+1,l}^{\beta_0}$  is a diffeomorphism. It follows from the construction of  $\tilde{I}_{k,l}^{\beta_0}$  that,

$$\tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{\beta_0} = \varphi_{Ev_{k+1,l}^{\beta_0}}.$$

**Lemma 5.21.** Let  $k \geq 0, I \subset [l]$  be such that  $k + 2|I| \geq 2$ . Let  $\hat{I} = I \dot{\cup} \{l+1\}$ . Consider the following diagrams.

(5.11)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{k+2,I}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta} & \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta) & \mathcal{M}_{k+1,\hat{I}}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{Fi_{k+1,I}^\beta} & \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta) \\ \downarrow Ev_{k+2,I} & & \downarrow Ev_{k+1,I} & \downarrow Ev_{k+1,\hat{I}} & & \downarrow Ev_{k+1,I} \\ L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_{k+2,I} & \xrightarrow{Id \times Fb_{k+1,I}} & L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} & L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_{k+1,\hat{I}} & \xrightarrow{Id \times Fi_{k+1,I}} & L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} \end{array}$$

The fact that diagrams (5.2) are essential pullbacks implies that diagrams (5.11) are essential pullbacks as well. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( Fi_{k+1,I}^\beta / (Id \times Fi_{k+1,I}) \right)^\diamond \tilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta &= \tilde{J}_{k+1,\hat{I}}^\beta, \\ \left( Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta / (Id \times Fb_{k+1,I}) \right)^\diamond \tilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta &= \tilde{J}_{k+2,I}^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, setting  $\widetilde{Ev}_{k+1,I} = (evb_1^\beta, U_{k+1,I}^\beta)$ , the following diagram is a pullback diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{f^\beta} & \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta) \\ \downarrow \widetilde{Ev}_{k+1,I} & & \downarrow Ev_{k+1,I} \\ L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} & \xrightarrow{Id_L \times f} & L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} \end{array}$$

and

$$(f^\beta / (Id \times f))^\diamond \widetilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta = \widetilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta \bullet c_{01}^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)}.$$

*Proof.* We prove for the case  $Fb$ . The proof for  $Fi$  is the same. Consider the following diagram of pullback squares.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{k+2,I}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{Fb^\beta} & \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta) \\ \downarrow Ev_{k+2,I} & & \downarrow Ev_{k+1,I} \\ L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_{k+2,I} & \xrightarrow{Id \times Fb} & L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} \\ \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{W}} & & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{W}} \\ \mathcal{W}_{k+2,I} & \xrightarrow{Fb} & \mathcal{W}_{k+1,I} \end{array}$$

We have

$$(Fb^\beta / Fb)^\diamond \widetilde{I}_{k+1,I}^\beta \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.24}}{=} (Id \times Fb / Fb)^\diamond \mathcal{W}_{k+1} e \bullet (Fb^\beta / Id \times Fb)^\diamond \widetilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta.$$

It is immediate that

$$(Id \times Fb / Fb)^\diamond \mathcal{W}_{k+1} e = \mathcal{W}_{k+2} e.$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{W}_{k+2} e \bullet (Fb^\beta / Id \times Fb)^\diamond \widetilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta = (Fb^\beta / Fb)^\diamond \widetilde{I}_{k+1,I}^\beta \stackrel{\text{Rmk. 5.16}}{=} \widetilde{I}_{k+2,I}^\beta = \mathcal{W}_{k+2} e \bullet \widetilde{J}_{k+2,I}^\beta.$$

By Lemma 3.13 we get the result for  $Fb$ . The case of  $f$  is a similar argument based on Lemmas 3.24 and 3.13.  $\square$

Use  $\mathcal{W}$  to denote  $\mathcal{W}_{k+1,I}$ , and consider the following direct product diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{W}}^{L \times \mathcal{W}}} & \mathcal{W} \\ \pi_L^{L \times \mathcal{W}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{W}} \\ L & \xrightarrow{\pi^L} & \Omega \end{array}$$

Recall Definition 3.30, and consider the orientor

$$\varphi_{k+1,I}^L := (\pi_{\mathcal{W}}^{L \times \mathcal{W}} / \pi^L)^\diamond \varphi_{k+1,I}.$$

$\widetilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta$  and  $\varphi_{k+1,I}^L$  are orientors that can be composed. Note that

$$evb_0^\beta = \pi_L^{L \times \mathcal{W}} \circ Ev_0^\beta.$$

**Definition 5.22.** For  $k \geq 0$ , denote by  $J_{k+1,I}^\beta$  the  $evb_0^\beta$ -orientor of degree  $2 - k - 2|I|$

$$J_{k+1,I}^\beta : (evb_0^\beta)^* \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{evb_0^\beta},$$

given as follows. For  $k + 2|I| \geq 2$ , it is given by the equation

$$J_{k+1,I}^\beta := \varphi_{k+1,I}^L \bullet \widetilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta.$$

For  $k + 2|I| < 2$ , it is defined by the equation

$$\left(Fi_{k+1,I}^\beta\right)^\diamond J_{k+1,I}^\beta = J_{k+1,\hat{I}}^\beta.$$

Using Lemma 3.14 and the fact that the fibers of  $Fi_{k+1,I}^\beta$  are connected, such  $J_{k+1,I}^\beta$  exists and is unique.

Denote by  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta)} : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,I}(\beta) \rightarrow \Omega$  the projection. For  $k = -1, \beta \in \Pi^{ad}$ , denote by  $J_{0,I}^\beta$  the  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta)}$ -orientor of degree  $4 - n - 2|I|$

$$J_{0,I}^\beta : \left(\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta)}\right)^* \mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta)}},$$

given as follows. For  $|I| \geq 2$ , it is given by the equation

$$J_{0,I}^\beta := \varphi_{0,I} \bullet \tilde{J}_{0,I}^\beta.$$

For  $|I| < 2$ , it is defined by the equation

$$\left(Fi_{0,I}^\beta\right)^\diamond J_{0,I}^\beta = J_{0,\hat{I}}^\beta.$$

*Remark 5.23.* It follows from Lemma 5.14 and Remark 5.20 that for  $(k, l) \in \{(2, 0), (0, 1)\}$  we have

$$J_{k+1,l}^{\beta_0} = \left(\varphi_{\mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}}\right)^L \bullet \varphi_{Ev_0} \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.34}}{=} \varphi_{\text{Id}_L \times \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}} \bullet \varphi_{Ev_0} \stackrel{\text{Rmk. 3.10}}{=} \varphi_{evb_0}.$$

**Lemma 5.24.** *The above definitions are compatible, in the sense that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(Fi_{k+1,I}^\beta\right)^\diamond J_{k+1,I}^\beta &= J_{k+1,\hat{I}}^\beta \\ \left(Fb_{k+1,I}^\beta\right)^\diamond J_{k+1,I}^\beta &= J_{k+2,I}^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

For all  $k, I$ . Moreover, for  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$f^{\beta \diamond} J_{k+1,I}^\beta = (-1)^k J_{k=1,I}^\beta \bullet c_{0i}^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)}.$$

*Proof.* We prove for  $Fb$ . The case  $Fi$  is the same, including the case  $k = -1$ . We have,

$$\left(Fb^\beta, \mathcal{O}^{Fb^\beta}\right)^\diamond \left(\varphi_{k+1,I}^L \bullet \tilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta\right) = (\text{Id} \times Fb, 1 \times \mathcal{O}^{Fb})^\diamond \left(\varphi_{k+1,I}^L\right) \bullet (Fb^\beta / \text{Id} \times Fb)^\diamond \tilde{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta.$$

However,

$$(\text{Id} \times Fb, 1 \times \mathcal{O}^{Fb})^\diamond \left(\varphi_{k+1,I}^L\right) \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.35}}{=} ((Fb)^\diamond \varphi_{k+1,I}^L) \stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.14}}{=} (\varphi_{k+2,I}^L)^L.$$

Combining the above results with Lemma 5.21, we obtain the claim for  $Fb$ . The proof for  $f$  is left to the reader. One might want to use Lemma 3.19 and recall Lemma 5.14.  $\square$

**5.4. Gluing map signs.** Denote by

$$\delta := \begin{cases} 0, & \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a } Pin^+ \text{ structure,} \\ 1, & \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a } Pin^- \text{ structure.} \end{cases}$$

For  $k \geq 0$ , consider the following fiber-product diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{k_1+1,I}(\beta_1) \times_L \mathcal{M}_{k_2+1,J}(\beta_2) & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathcal{M}_{k_2+1,J}(\beta_2) \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow evb_0^{\beta_2} \\ \mathcal{M}_{k_1+1,I}(\beta_1) & \xrightarrow{evb_i^{\beta_1}} & L \end{array}$$

Recall the boundary of orientors from Definition 3.44. The main results of this section are the following two theorems.

**Theorem 5.25.** Let  $k \geq 0$  and let  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$ ,  $k_1 + k_2 = k + 1$ ,  $I \dot{\cup} J = [l]$ . The following equation of  $(\text{ev}b_0^\beta \circ \iota^\beta \circ \vartheta^\beta)$ -orientors of  $(\text{ev}b_0^\beta \circ \iota^\beta \circ \vartheta^\beta)^* (\mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_2} \otimes \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1})$  to  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  holds,

$$(\vartheta^\beta)^\diamond \partial J_{k+1,l}^\beta \bullet p_1^* \left( \text{ev}b_0^{\beta_1} \right)^* m_2 = (-1)^s J_{k_1+1,I}^{\beta_1} \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev}b_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J_{k_2+1,J}^{\beta_2} \right)^{(\text{ev}b_0^{\beta_1})^* \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1}} \bullet (p_1^* c_{i0})^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1}}$$

with

$$s = i + ik_2 + k + \delta\mu(\beta_1)\mu(\beta_2).$$

The proof is given in Section 5.6.

For  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  an exponent for  $L$ , denote by  $i_b : \pi_L^* \mathcal{X}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_L^b$  the canonical map, which is an isomorphism since  $b$  is an exponent for  $L$  and the fiber of  $\pi^L$  is connected.

**Theorem 5.26.** Let  $\beta \in \Pi^{ad}$ . Let  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$ , and  $I \dot{\cup} J = [l]$ . As  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}_1 \times L \mathcal{M}_2}$ -orientors of  $\underline{\mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1}}$  to  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ , it holds that

$$(\vartheta^\beta)^\diamond \partial J_{0,l}^\beta = -e \bullet \left( J_{1,I}^{\beta_1} \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev}b_0^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J_{1,J}^{\beta_2} \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L} \bullet \left( \text{ev}b_0^{\beta_1} \circ p_1 \right)^* i_{\mu(\beta)+1}.$$

The proof is given in Section 5.7.

**5.5. Conventions and notations for boundary components.** The following notations will be used in Sections 5.6 and 5.7 below. Let  $k \geq -1, l \geq 0, \beta \in \Pi$ . Fix partitions  $k_1 + k_2 = k + 1, \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$  and  $I \dot{\cup} J = [l]$ , such that  $k_1 > 0$  if  $k \geq 0$ . In all lemmas leading to the proofs of Theorems 5.25 and 5.26, but not in the proofs themselves, we assume

$$(5.12) \quad k_1 + 2|I| \geq 2, \quad k_2 + 2|J| \geq 2.$$

When  $k \geq 0$ , let  $0 < i \leq k_1$ . When  $k = -1$  let  $i = 0$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W} &= \mathcal{W}_{k+1,[l]}, & \mathcal{W}_1 &= \mathcal{W}_{k_1+1,I}, & \mathcal{W}_2 &= \mathcal{W}_{k_2+1,J} \\ \varphi &= \varphi_{k+1,l}, & \varphi_1 &= \varphi_{k_1+1,I}, & \varphi_2 &= \varphi_{k_2+1,J} \\ \mathcal{M} &= \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta), & \mathcal{M}_1 &= \mathcal{M}_{k_1+1,I}(\beta_1), & \mathcal{M}_2 &= \mathcal{M}_{k_2+1,J}(\beta_2), \\ \tilde{J} &= \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^\beta, & \tilde{J}^1 &= \tilde{J}_{k_1+1,I}^{\beta_1}, & \tilde{J}^2 &= \tilde{J}_{k_2+1,J}^{\beta_2}, \\ J &= J_{k+1,l}^\beta, & J^1 &:= J_{k_1+1,I}^{\beta_1}, & J^2 &:= J_{k_2+1,J}^{\beta_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{W}$  possibly carry a superscript  $(r)$ , for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Moreover, set

$$\begin{aligned} B &= B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}(X = pt), & B(\beta) &= B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}(\beta_1, \beta_2), \\ B^{(1)} &= B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}^{(1)}, & B^{(1)}(\beta) &= B_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}^{(1)}(\beta_1, \beta_2), \\ \vartheta &= \vartheta_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}^{X=L=pt}, & \vartheta^\beta &= \vartheta_{i,k_1,k_2,\beta_1,\beta_2,I,J}, \\ \iota &= \iota_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}^{X=L=pt}, & \iota^\beta &= \iota_{i,k_1,k_2,I,J}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}, \end{aligned}$$

Denote by

$$q_j : \mathcal{W}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_j,$$

the projections to the  $j$ th coordinates, where  $j = 1, 2$ .

*Remark 5.27* (Boundary marked points on  $B(\beta)$ ). The following equations hold.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}b_j^\beta \circ \iota^\beta \circ \vartheta^\beta &= \begin{cases} \text{ev}b_j^{\beta_1} \circ p_1 & , \quad 0 \leq j \leq i-1, \\ \text{ev}b_{j-i+1}^{\beta_2} \circ p_2 & , \quad i \leq j \leq i+k_2-1, \\ \text{ev}b_{j-k_2+1}^{\beta_1} \circ p_1 & , \quad i+k_2 \leq j \leq k. \end{cases} \\ \text{ev}b_i^{\beta_1} \circ p_1 &= \text{ev}b_0^{\beta_2} \circ p_2 \end{aligned}$$

Abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned} U &= U_{k+1,[l]}, & Ev &= Ev_{k+1,[l]}^\beta, \\ U_1 &= U_{k_1+1,I}, & Ev_1 &= Ev_{k_1+1,I}^{\beta_1}, \\ U_2 &= U_{k_2+1,J}, & Ev_2 &= Ev_{k_2+1,J}^{\beta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Write  $\iota : B \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  for the inclusion of the boundary. The maps  $U, Ev^\beta$  preserve boundaries, up to codimension 2, in the sense of the following lemma.

Recall the Definition 2.18 of essential boundary factorization.

**Lemma 5.28.** *For  $k \geq -1$  the map  $U$  essentially factorizes through the boundary of  $\pi^\mathcal{W}$ . In particular, for  $k \geq 0$  the map  $Ev^\beta$  essentially factorizes through the boundary of  $\pi_L^{L \times \mathcal{W}}$ . Namely, there exist maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^* U &: B(\beta) \rightarrow B, \\ \iota^* Ev^\beta &: B(\beta) \rightarrow L \times_\Omega B, \end{aligned}$$

such that the following diagrams are fiber-products.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^{(1)}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{\iota^\beta} & \mathcal{M}^{(1)} \\ \downarrow \iota^* U & & \downarrow U \\ B^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{W}^{(1)} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B^{(1)}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{\iota^\beta} & \mathcal{M}^{(1)} \\ \downarrow \iota^* Ev^\beta & & \downarrow Ev^\beta \\ L \times_\Omega B^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times \iota} & L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}^{(1)} \end{array}$$

Moreover,

$$(5.13) \quad \iota^* Ev^\beta = (evb_0^\beta \circ \iota^\beta, \iota^* U).$$

*Remark 5.29.* The maps  $\text{Id} \times \iota$  and  $Ev^\beta$  are transversal.

*Proof.* By assumption (5.12), there are enough marked points on each component of  $\Sigma$  at points of  $B^{(1)}(\beta)$  that forgetting the holomorphic map never results in unstable components. Thus, the domain of the holomorphic map does not change.  $\square$

**5.6. Proof for  $k \geq 0$ .** In this section, we prove Theorem 5.25. We assume  $k \geq 0$ , unless stated otherwise.

Recall the boundary map from Definition 3.41. The following lemma holds for  $k = -1$  as well.

**Lemma 5.30** (Orientation of gluing map of disks). *The following equation of  $\pi^{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2}$ -orientor holds.*

$$(5.14) \quad \vartheta^\circ(\partial\varphi) = (-1)^{i+ik_2+k} \varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2)^{\mathcal{W}_1},$$

where  $\partial\varphi$  is the boundary of  $\varphi$  from Definition 3.44

*Proof.* First, we reduce to the case  $\Omega$  is a point. Write  $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\vartheta}$  for  $\varphi, \vartheta$  in the case  $\Omega$  is a point. Then

$$\varphi = \tilde{\varphi}^\Omega, \quad \vartheta = \text{Id}_\Omega \times \tilde{\vartheta}.$$

Assuming we proved the lemma for that case, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta^\circ \partial\varphi &= \left( \text{Id}_\Omega \times \tilde{\vartheta} \right)^\diamond \partial\tilde{\varphi}^\Omega \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.35}}{\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.49}}{=}} \left( \tilde{\vartheta}^\circ \partial\tilde{\varphi} \right)^\Omega \stackrel{\text{rdct.}}{=} (-1)^{i+ik_2+k} \left( \tilde{\varphi}_1 \bullet (\tilde{\varphi}_2)^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_1} \right)^\Omega \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lem 3.32}}{=} (-1)^{i+ik_2+k} \varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2^{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we continue with the assumption  $\Omega$  is a point. Let  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{W}_i}$ , possibly with no subscript, denote the orientation of  $\mathcal{W}_i$  defining  $\varphi_i$ . Our orientation convention of the

absolute boundary agrees with that of [40]. See Remark 2.30. Rewriting [40, Proposition 2.8] in the case  $X, L$ , are both points in the notation of the present work, we obtain

$$(5.15) \quad \mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{W}} \circ \mathcal{O}^{\iota} \circ \mathcal{O}_c^{\vartheta} = (-1)^{i+ik_2+k_1k_2} \mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{W}_1} \times \mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{W}_2}.$$

See also [7, Proposition 8.3.3] in the case  $i = 1$ .

The bundle-map  $(-1)^k \partial$  is given by composition with  $\mathcal{O}^{\iota}$  on the right, so equation (5.15) is equivalent to the commutativity of the following diagram up to the sign  $(-1)^{i+ik_2+k_1k_2}$ .

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta^* \iota^* \varphi} & \vartheta^* \iota^* \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{W}} & \xrightarrow{(-1)^k \vartheta^* \partial} & \vartheta^* \mathbb{K}_B \\ \parallel & & & & \downarrow \vartheta^* \\ \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2} \otimes \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2} & \xrightarrow{q_2^* \varphi_2 \otimes q_1^* \varphi_1} & q_2^* \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{W}_2} \otimes q_1^* \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{W}_1} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2} \end{array}$$

Equation (5.14) follows from diagram (5.16), using the Kozsul signs 2.4 and Example 3.46 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2)^{\mathcal{W}_1} &= (\text{Id} \otimes q_1^* \varphi_1) \circ q_2^* \varphi_2 = (-1)^{k_1 k_2} q_2^* \varphi_2 \otimes q_1^* \varphi_1 \\ &= (-1)^{i+ik_2+k} \vartheta^* \circ \vartheta^* \partial \circ \vartheta^* \iota^* \varphi = (-1)^{i+ik_2+k} \vartheta^{\diamond} (\partial \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

□

Denote by  $c_{0l}, c_{0l}^1$  and  $c_{0l}^2$  the boundary transport maps on  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$ , respectively. Recall Remark 5.27.

**Lemma 5.31** (Rotation around  $\beta_2$ ). *The boundary transport map  $c_{0l}$  may be reconstructed on the boundary component  $B(\beta)$  as follows.*

$$(\vartheta^{\beta})^* c_{0j} = \begin{cases} p_1^* c_{0j}^1, & 0 \leq j \leq i-1, \\ p_1^* c_{0i}^1 \circ p_2^* c_{0,j-i+1}^2, & i \leq j \leq i+k_2-1, \\ (-1)^{w_1(\partial\beta_2)} \cdot p_1^* c_{0,j-k_2+1}^1, & i+k_2 \leq j \leq k. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The lemma follows from Definition 5.3 and Remark 5.5. □

Set  $E := Ev_1 \times U_2$ . That is,

$$E = (Ev_1 \times \text{Id}) \circ (\text{Id} \times U_2).$$

Consider the following commutative diagram, in which the square is a fiber-product.

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathcal{M}_2 \\ \text{Id} \times U_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow Ev_2 \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \times_{\Omega} \mathcal{W}_2 & \xrightarrow{ev_i^{\beta_1} \times \text{Id}} & L \times_{\Omega} \mathcal{W}_2 \\ Ev_1 \times \text{Id} \downarrow & & \\ L \times_{\Omega} \mathcal{W}_1 \times_{\Omega} \mathcal{W}_2 & & \end{array}$$

Keeping in mind Lemma 5.28, all squares in the following diagram are fiber products.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{M}_1^{(0)} \times_L \mathcal{M}_2^{(0)} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta^{\beta}} & B^1(\beta) & \xrightarrow{\iota^{\beta}} & \mathcal{M}^{(1)} \\ E \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota^* Ev & & \downarrow Ev \\ L \times_{\Omega} \mathcal{W}_1^{(0)} \times_{\Omega} \mathcal{W}_2^{(0)} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times \vartheta} & L \times_{\Omega} B^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times \iota} & L \times_{\Omega} \mathcal{W} \end{array}$$

In particular, all three squares in the following diagram are essential fiber products.

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{\vartheta^\beta} & B(\beta) & \xrightarrow{\iota^\beta} & \mathcal{M} \\ E \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota^* Ev & & \downarrow Ev \\ L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times \vartheta} & L \times_\Omega B & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times \iota} & L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W} \end{array}$$

The proof of the following lemma is similar to proofs that appear in [7]. It relies on the fact that  $e_1 e_1 = (-1)^\delta$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Lemma 5.32.** *The following diagram is commutative, up to the sign  $(-1)^{\delta\mu(\beta_1)\mu(\beta_2)}$ ,*

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \vartheta^{\beta*} \iota^* (evb_0^\beta)^* \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta^{\beta*} \iota^* \tilde{J}} & \vartheta^{\beta*} \mathbb{K}_{Ev} \\ p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} m_2 \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} \left( \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_2} \otimes \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1} \right) & & ((\iota^\beta \circ \vartheta^\beta) / ((Id \times \iota) \circ (Id \times \vartheta)))^* \\ p_1^* c_{i0} \otimes Id \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p_2^* evb_0^{\beta_2*} \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_2} \otimes p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1} & \xrightarrow{\left( (p_2 / (evb_i^{\beta_1} \times Id))^\diamond \tilde{J}^2 \right)^{evb_0^{\beta_1*} \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1}}} \mathbb{K}_{Id \times U_2} \otimes p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1} & \xrightarrow{w_2 \tilde{J}^1} \mathbb{K}_E \\ p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1} & & \end{array}$$

where  $m_2$  is the canonical multiplication  $m_2 : \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_2} \otimes \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta}$ . That is, we have an equality of  $E$ -orientors of  $\vartheta^{\beta*} \iota^* (evb_0^\beta)^* \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1 + \beta_2}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ , as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{\delta\mu(\beta_1)\mu(\beta_2)} \cdot ((\iota^\beta \circ \vartheta^\beta) / ((Id \times \iota) \circ (Id \times \vartheta)))^\diamond \tilde{J} \bullet p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} m_2 \\ & = w_2 \tilde{J}^1 \bullet \left( (p_2 / (evb_i^{\beta_1} \times Id))^\diamond \tilde{J}^2 \right)^{evb_0^{\beta_1*} \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1}} \bullet (p_1^* c_{i0})^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1}} \end{aligned}$$

The pullback of  $\tilde{J}$  on the left hand side is based on diagram (5.18) and the pullbacks of  $\tilde{J}^2, \tilde{J}^1$  are based on diagram (5.17).

**Lemma 5.33.** *It holds that*

$$(5.20) \quad \partial J_{k+1,l}^\beta = \partial \varphi_{k+1,l}^L \bullet (\iota^\beta / (Id \times \iota))^\diamond \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^\beta.$$

*Proof.* By Definition 5.22,

$$J_{k+1,l}^\beta = \varphi_{k+1,l}^L \bullet \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^\beta.$$

By Lemma 5.28 we may apply Lemma 3.47 to get equation (5.20).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.34.** *The following equation holds.*

$$\left( \varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2)^{w_1} \right)^L \bullet w_2 \tilde{J}^1 \bullet \left( (p_2 / (evb_i^{\beta_1} \times Id))^\diamond \tilde{J}^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} = J^1 \bullet \left( (p_2 / evb_i^{\beta_1})^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}}$$

*Proof.* First, note that

$$(5.21) \quad \left( \varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2)^{w_1} \right)^L \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.32}}{=} (\varphi_1)^L \bullet \left( (\varphi_2)^{w_1} \right)^L \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.31}}{=} (\varphi_1)^L \bullet (\varphi_2)^{L \times w_1}.$$

Consider the following diagram in which all three squares are Cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{M}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 & \xrightarrow{Ev_1 \times \text{Id}} & L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{W}_2}} & \mathcal{W}_2 \\ \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{M}_1} & & \downarrow \pi_{L \times \mathcal{W}_1} & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{Ev_1} & L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_1 & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.23 implies

$$(\varphi_2)^{\mathcal{M}_1} = (Ev_1 \times \text{Id}/Ev_1)^\diamond \left( (\varphi_2)^{L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_1} \right).$$

Applying Lemma 3.22 to the left Cartesian square gives

$$(5.22) \quad (\varphi_2)^{L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_1} \bullet_{\mathcal{W}_2} \tilde{J}^1 = \tilde{J}^1 \bullet \left( (\varphi_2)^{\mathcal{M}_1} \right)^{evb_1^{\beta_1*} \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1}}.$$

Moreover, Lemmas 3.23 and 3.24 applied to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathcal{M}_2 & & \\ \text{Id} \times U_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow Ev_2 & & \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 & \xrightarrow{evb_i^{\beta_1} \times \text{Id}} & L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{W}_2}} & \mathcal{W}_2 \\ \pi_{\mathcal{M}_1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_L & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{evb_i^{\beta_1}} & L & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

give

$$(5.23) \quad (\varphi_2)^{\mathcal{M}_1} \bullet \left( p_2 / \left( evb_i^{\beta_1} \times \text{Id} \right) \right)^\diamond \tilde{J}^2 = \left( p_2 / evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \left( \varphi_2^L \bullet \tilde{J}^2 \right) = \left( p_2 / evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2)^{\mathcal{W}_1} \right)^L \bullet_{\mathcal{W}_2} \tilde{J}^1 \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / (evb_i^{\beta_1} \times \text{Id}) \right)^\diamond \tilde{J}^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} = \\ & \stackrel{\text{eq. (5.21)}}{=} (\varphi_1)^L \bullet (\varphi_2)^{L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_1} \bullet_{\mathcal{W}_2} \tilde{J}^1 \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / (evb_i^{\beta_1} \times \text{Id}) \right)^\diamond \tilde{J}^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \\ & \stackrel{\text{eq. (5.22)+(5.23)}}{=} (\varphi_1)^L \bullet \tilde{J}^1 \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \\ & \stackrel{\text{Def. 5.22}}{=} J^1 \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 5.25.* First, we show that it is enough to show the lemma for  $|I|, |J|$  large enough. We show the reduction for  $|I|$ . The reduction for  $|J|$  is similar. For this part only, for ease of notation, we denote spaces, maps, and orientors by their respective interior points index-set, for example,  $\vartheta_l^\beta, \mathcal{M}_I, \mathcal{M}_J$ , and  $\vartheta_{l+1}^\beta, \mathcal{M}_{\hat{I}}, \mathcal{M}_J$ . Moreover, denote  $F i_{k+1,l}^\beta$  by  $F_l$  and  $F i_{k+1,I}^{\beta_1}$  by  $F_I$ . Consider the following diagram of essential fiber products.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{M}_{\hat{I}} \times_L \mathcal{M}_J & \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{l+1}} & B_{l+1} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{l+1}^\beta} & \mathcal{M}_{l+1} \\ \downarrow F_I \times \text{Id} & & \downarrow \iota^* F_I & & \downarrow F_l \\ \mathcal{M}_I \times_L \mathcal{M}_J & \xrightarrow{\vartheta_l} & B_l & \xrightarrow{\iota_l^\beta} & \mathcal{M}_l \end{array}$$

Since the fiber of  $F_l$  is two-dimensional, it is easy to see that

$$(5.24) \quad \left( \left( \vartheta_{l+1}^\beta \circ \iota_{l+1}^\beta \right) / \left( \vartheta_l^\beta \circ \iota_l^\beta \right) \right)^* (\mathcal{O}^{F_l}) = \mathcal{O}^{F_I} \times 1.$$



Observe,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.25) \quad \vartheta_{l+1} \diamond \partial J_{l+1} &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.24}}{=} \vartheta_{l+1} \diamond \partial \left( (F_l, \mathcal{O}^{F_l}) \diamond J_l \right) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Def. 3.11}}{=} \vartheta_{l+1} \diamond \partial \left( J_l \bullet \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^{F_l}} \right) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.47}}{=} \vartheta_{l+1} \diamond \left( \partial J_l \bullet \left( \iota_{l+1}^\beta / \iota_l^\beta \right) \diamond \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^{F_l}} \right) \right) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.19}}{=} \vartheta_l \diamond \partial J_l \bullet \left( \vartheta_{l+1}^\beta / \vartheta_l^\beta \right) \diamond \left( \iota_{l+1}^\beta / \iota_l^\beta \right) \diamond \left( \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^{F_l}} \right) \\
&\stackrel{\text{eq. (5.24)}}{=} \vartheta_l \diamond \partial J_l \bullet \varphi^{\mathcal{O}^{F_l} \times 1} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Def. 3.11}}{=} (F_l \times \text{Id}, \mathcal{O}^{F_l} \times 1) \diamond \left( \vartheta_l^\beta \right) \diamond \partial J_l.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, consider the following essential fiber product diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{M}_{\hat{I}} \times_L \mathcal{M}_J & \xrightarrow{F_I \times \text{Id}} & \mathcal{M}_I \times_L \mathcal{M}_J & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathcal{M}_J \\
\downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow \text{ev} b_0^{\beta_2} \\
\mathcal{M}_{\hat{I}} & \xrightarrow{F_I} & \mathcal{M}_I & \xrightarrow{\text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1}} & L
\end{array}$$

Applying Lemma 3.19 to the above diagram, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(F_I \times \text{Id}, \mathcal{O}^{F_I} \times 1) \diamond \left( J_I \bullet \left( (p_2 / \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1}) \diamond J_J \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \bullet c_{i0}^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \right) &= \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.19}}{=} ((F_I, \mathcal{O}^{F_I}) \diamond J_I) \bullet \left( (F_I \times \text{Id} / F_I) \diamond (p_2 / \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1}) \diamond J_J \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \bullet c_{i0}^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.24}}{=} J_{\hat{I}} \bullet \left( (p_2 / \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1}) \diamond J_J \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \bullet c_{i0}^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the preceding calculation with equation (5.25), the theorem for  $l+1$  implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(F_I \times \text{Id}, \mathcal{O}^{F_I} \times 1) \diamond \vartheta_l \diamond \partial J_l &= \\
&= (-1)^s (F_I \times \text{Id}, \mathcal{O}^{F_I} \times 1) \diamond \left( J_I \bullet \left( (p_2 / \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1}) \diamond J_J \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \bullet c_{i0}^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_I$  is surjective, we obtain the desired reduction.

We proceed to prove the theorem in the case  $k_1 + 2|I| \geq 2$  and  $k_2 + 2|J| \geq 2$  with the standard abbreviations. Observe that

$$(5.26) \quad (\text{Id} \times \vartheta) \diamond (\partial \varphi^L) \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.49}}{=} (\text{Id} \times \vartheta) \diamond \left( (\partial \varphi)^L \right)$$

$$(5.27) \quad \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.36}}{=} (\vartheta \diamond (\partial \varphi))^L$$

$$(5.28) \quad \stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.30}}{=} (-1)^{s_1} \left( \varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2)^{\mathcal{W}_1} \right)^L,$$

where  $s_1 = i + ik_2 + k$ .

The following calculation suffices. Due to lack of horizontal space, we write  $\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}$  for  $evb_0^{\beta_1*} \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1}$  in the exponential notation, and  $m_2$  for  $p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} m_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\vartheta^\beta)^\diamond \partial J \bullet m_2 \stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.33}}{=} (\vartheta^\beta)^\diamond \left( \partial \varphi^L \bullet (\iota^\beta / (\text{Id} \times \iota))^\diamond \tilde{J} \right) \bullet m_2 \\
& \stackrel{\text{diag. (5.18)}}{\stackrel{\text{Rmk. 3.20}}{=}} (\text{Id} \times \vartheta)^\diamond (\partial \varphi^L) \bullet \left( (\vartheta^\beta / (\text{Id} \times \vartheta))^\diamond (\iota^\beta / (\text{Id} \times \iota))^\diamond \tilde{J} \right) \bullet m_2 \\
& \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.23}}{=} (\text{Id} \times \vartheta)^\diamond (\partial \varphi^L) \bullet \left( ((\vartheta^\beta \circ \iota^\beta) / (\text{Id} \times (\vartheta \circ \iota)))^\diamond \tilde{J} \right) \bullet m_2 \\
& \stackrel{\text{eq. (5.28)}}{\stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.32}}{=}} (-1)^s \left( \varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2)^{\mathcal{W}_1} \right)^L \bullet \mathcal{W}_2 \tilde{J}^1 \bullet \\
& \quad \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / (evb_i^{\beta_1} \times \text{Id}) \right)^\diamond \tilde{J}^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \bullet (p_1^* c_{i0})^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \\
& \stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.34}}{=} (-1)^s J^1 \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \bullet (p_1^* c_{i0})^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**5.7. Proof for  $k = -1$ .** In this section, we prove Theorem 5.26. We assume  $k = -1$  and  $\beta \in \Pi^{ad}$ . In particular, it follows that  $k_1 = i = k_2 = 0$ . As stated in the previous section, Lemma 5.30 holds in this case as well, and equation (5.14) reads

$$(5.29) \quad \vartheta^\diamond(\partial \varphi) = -\varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2)^{\mathcal{W}_1}.$$

Let  $U_0 := U_{0,l}^\beta$ . Set  $E := U_1 \times U_2$ . That is,

$$E = \pi_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2}^{L \times \mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2} \circ (Ev_1 \times \text{Id}_{\mathcal{W}_2}) \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_1} \times U_2).$$

In particular,

$$(5.30) \quad \mathbb{K}_E \simeq \mathbb{K}_{Ev_1 \times U_2} \otimes (Ev_1 \times U_2)^* \mathbb{K}_{\pi_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2}^{L \times \mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2}} \simeq \mathbb{K}_{Ev_1 \times U_2} \otimes p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} \mathbb{K}_L.$$

Consider the following diagram, in which the square is a fiber-product.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathcal{M}_2 \\
\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_1} \times U_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow Ev_2 \\
\mathcal{M}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 & \xrightarrow{evb_0^{\beta_1} \times \text{Id}} & L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 \\
Ev_1 \times \text{Id}_{\mathcal{W}_2} \downarrow & & \\
L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 & & \\
\pi_{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2}^{L \times \mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2} \downarrow & & \\
\mathcal{W}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 & & 
\end{array}$$

$E$  (curved arrow from  $\mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2$  to  $\mathcal{W}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2$ )

Keeping in mind Lemma 5.28, all squares in the following diagram are fiber-products.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{M}_1^{(0)} \times_L \mathcal{M}_2^{(0)} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta^\beta} & B^{(1)}(\beta) & \xrightarrow{\iota^\beta} & \mathcal{M}^{(1)} \\
E \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota^* U_0 & & \downarrow U_0 \\
\mathcal{W}_1^{(0)} \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2^{(0)} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & B^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{W}
\end{array}$$

In particular, all three squares in the following diagram are essential fiber products.

$$(5.31) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{\vartheta^\beta} & B(\beta) & \xrightarrow{\iota^\beta} & \mathcal{M} \\ E \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota^* U_0 & & \downarrow U_0 \\ \mathcal{W}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 & \xrightarrow[\vartheta]{} & B & \xrightarrow[\iota]{} & \mathcal{W} \end{array}$$

**Lemma 5.35.** *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$(5.32) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\pi^{\mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2})^* \mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta^{\beta*} \iota^{\beta*} \tilde{J}_0} & \vartheta^{\beta*} \iota^{\beta*} \mathbb{K}_{U_{0,l}^\beta} \\ \downarrow (ev_0^{\beta_1} \circ p_1) i_{\mu(\beta)+1} & & \downarrow \\ p_2^* ev_0^{\beta_2*} \left( \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_2} \otimes \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1} \otimes \mathbb{L}_L \right) & & \\ \downarrow \left( (p_2 / (ev_0^{\beta_1} \times Id))^\diamond \tilde{J}^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1+1}} & & \left( (\vartheta^\beta \circ \iota^\beta) / (\vartheta \circ \iota) \right)^\diamond \\ \mathbb{K}_{Id \times U_2} \otimes p_1^* ev_0^{\beta_1*} \left( \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1} \otimes \mathbb{L}_L \right) & & \\ \downarrow Id \otimes p_1^* (\omega_2 \tilde{J}^1) \otimes Id & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{K}_{Ev_1 \times U_2} \otimes p_1^* ev_0^{\beta_1*} \mathbb{L}_L & \xrightarrow[Id \otimes]{p_1^* ev_0^{\beta_1*} (\omega_1 \times_\Omega \omega_2(e))} \mathbb{K}_{Ev_1 \times U_2} \otimes p_1^* ev_0^{\beta_1*} \mathbb{K}_L & \xrightarrow[eq. (5.30)]{} \mathbb{K}_E \end{array}$$

That is, we have an equality of  $E$ -orientors of  $(\pi^{\mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2})^* \mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1}$  to  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ , as follows.

$$\left( (\iota^\beta \circ \vartheta^\beta) / (\iota \circ \vartheta) \right)^\diamond \tilde{J}_0 = \omega_1 \times_\Omega \omega_2(e) \bullet \left( \omega_2 \tilde{J}^1 \bullet \left( (p_2 / ev_0^{\beta_1} \times Id)^\diamond \tilde{J}^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1}} \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L} \bullet i_{\mu(\beta)+1}.$$

*Remark 5.36.* The above lemma lacks the sign  $S = (-1)^{\delta\mu(\beta_1)\mu(\beta_2)}$  in the commutativity of the diagram in comparison with Lemma 5.32. The reason for this is that since  $\beta \in \Pi^{ad}$ , by assumption, either  $\mu(\beta) =_2 1$  or  $L$  is vertically orientable. In both cases, one of  $\mu(\beta_1)$  and  $\mu(\beta_2)$  is even.

**Lemma 5.37.** *It holds that*

$$(5.33) \quad \partial J_{0,l}^\beta = \partial \varphi_{0,l} \bullet (\iota^\beta / \iota)^\diamond \tilde{J}_0.$$

*Proof.* By Definition 5.22,

$$J_{0,l}^\beta = \varphi_{0,l} \bullet \tilde{J}_0.$$

By Lemma 5.28 we may apply Lemma 3.47 to get equation (5.33).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.26.* As in the proof of Theorem 5.25, the proof can be reduced to the case  $|I|, |J|$  large enough. Therefore, we assume  $|I|, |J| \geq 2$ . Consider the following Cartesian square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{W}_1 \times_\Omega \mathcal{W}_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L & \longrightarrow & \Omega \end{array}$$

Applying Lemma 3.22, keeping in mind that  $\deg \varphi_1 = \deg \varphi_2 = 0$ , we learn that

$$(5.34) \quad (\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2^{\mathcal{W}_1}) \bullet {}^{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \Omega \mathcal{W}_2}(e) = e \bullet \left( (\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2^{\mathcal{W}_1})^L \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L}.$$

Continuing as in the proof of Theorem 5.25, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (\vartheta^\beta)^\diamond \partial J_{0,l}^\beta &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.37}}{=} (\vartheta^\beta)^\diamond \left( \partial \varphi \bullet (\iota^\beta / \iota)^\diamond \tilde{J}_0 \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{diag. (5.31) Rmk. 3.20}}{=} \vartheta^\diamond (\partial \varphi) \bullet \left( (\vartheta^\beta / \vartheta)^\diamond (\iota^\beta / \iota)^\diamond \tilde{J}_0 \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.23}}{=} \vartheta^\diamond (\partial \varphi) \bullet \left( (\vartheta^\beta \circ \iota^\beta / \vartheta \circ \iota)^\diamond \tilde{J}_0 \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{eq. (5.29) Lem. 5.35}}{=} -\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2^{\mathcal{W}_1} \bullet {}^{\mathcal{W}_1 \times \Omega \mathcal{W}_2}(e) \bullet \\ &\quad \bullet \left( \mathcal{W}_2 \tilde{J}^1 \bullet \left( (p_2 / \text{ev} b_0^{\beta_1} \times \text{Id})^\diamond \tilde{J}^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1}} \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L} \bullet i_{\mu(\beta)+1} \\ &\stackrel{\text{eq. (5.34)}}{=} -e \bullet \left( (\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2^{\mathcal{W}_1})^L \bullet \mathcal{W}_2 \tilde{J}^1 \bullet \left( (p_2 / \text{ev} b_0^{\beta_1} \times \text{Id})^\diamond \tilde{J}^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes \beta_1}} \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L} \bullet i_{\mu(\beta)+1} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.34}}{=} -e \bullet \left( J^1 \bullet \left( (p_2 / \text{ev} b_0^{\beta_1})^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L^{\beta_1}} \right)^{\mathbb{L}_L} \bullet i_{\mu(\beta)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**5.8. Base change.** Let  $\xi : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$  be a smooth map of manifolds with corners. Recall diagram 4.1. For a map of orbifolds  $f : M \rightarrow N$  over  $\Omega$  and an  $f$ -orientor  $F$  we write

$$\xi^\diamond F := (\xi^M / \xi^N)^\diamond F.$$

Let  $\mathcal{T} = (\Omega, X, \omega, L, \pi^X, \mathfrak{p}, \underline{\mathfrak{I}}, J)$  be a target over  $\Omega$  as in Section 4.2. Denote by  $\xi_{\mathbb{L}}^*$  the  $\text{Id}_{\xi^* L}$ -orientor given by

$$\xi_{\mathbb{L}}^* := (\xi^L / \xi)^* : \xi^{L^*} \mathbb{L}_L \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\xi^* L}.$$

Let  $k \geq -1, l \geq 0, I \subset [l]$  and  $\beta \in \Pi(\mathcal{T})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Fi_{k+1,I}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} &= \xi^* Fi_{k+1,I}^{(\mathcal{T}; \beta)}, & \xi^*(\mathcal{O}^{Fi^{\mathcal{D}}}) &= \mathcal{O}^{Fi^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}}}, \\ Fb_{k+1,I}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} &= \xi^* Fb_{k+1,I}^{(\mathcal{T}; \beta)}, & \xi^*(\mathcal{O}^{Fb^{\mathcal{D}}}) &= \mathcal{O}^{Fb^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}}}, \\ f^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} &= \xi^* f^{(\mathcal{T}; \beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proofs of the following lemmas are immediate from the definitions.

**Lemma 5.38.** *Let  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\beta \in \Pi(\mathcal{T})$ . The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left( \text{ev} b_j^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \right)^* \xi^{L^*} \mathbb{L}_L & \xrightarrow{\xi^{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}; \beta)^*} c_{ij}^{\mathcal{T}}} & \left( \text{ev} b_i^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \right)^* \xi^{L^*} \mathbb{L}_L \\ \left( \text{ev} b_j^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \right)^* (\xi_{\mathbb{L}}^*) \downarrow & & \downarrow \left( \text{ev} b_i^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \right)^* (\xi_{\mathbb{L}}^*) \\ \left( \text{ev} b_j^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \right)^* \mathbb{L}_{\xi^* L} & \xrightarrow{c_{ij}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T})}} & \left( \text{ev} b_i^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \right)^* \mathbb{L}_{\xi^* L} \end{array}$$

That is, the following equation of  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)}$ -orientors holds.

$$\left( \text{ev} b_i^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \right)^* (\xi_{\mathbb{L}}^*) \bullet \xi^{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}; \beta)^*} c_{ij}^{\mathcal{T}} = c_{ij}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T})} \bullet \left( \text{ev} b_j^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \right)^* (\xi_{\mathbb{L}}^*)$$

**Lemma 5.39.** *Let  $k \geq -1, l \geq 0$ . Then the following equation of orientors holds.*

$$\xi^\diamond \varphi_{k+1,l}^\mathcal{T} = \varphi_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T})}.$$

**Lemma 5.40.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a target. Let  $k \geq 0, l \geq 0$  and  $\beta \in \Pi(\mathcal{T})$ . The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\mathcal{T};\beta))^* (evb_0^\mathcal{T})^* \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)} & \xrightarrow{\xi^\diamond \tilde{I}_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T},\beta)}} & \mathbb{K}_{U_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta)}} \\ (evb_0)^*(\xi_L^*)^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)} \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{I}_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T},\xi^*\beta)} & \\ (evb_0^{\xi^*\mathcal{T}})^* \mathbb{L}_{\xi^*L}^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)} & & \end{array}$$

*That is, the following equation of orientors holds.*

$$\xi^\diamond \tilde{I}_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T};\beta)} = \tilde{I}_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T},\xi^*\beta)} \bullet (evb_0)^*(\xi_L^*)^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)}$$

*Similarly, for  $\beta \in \Pi^{ad}(\mathcal{T})$ , the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\mathcal{T};\beta))^* \pi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\mathcal{T};\beta))^* \mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1} & \xrightarrow{\xi^\diamond \tilde{I}_{0,l}^{(\mathcal{T},\beta)}} & \mathbb{K}_{U_{0,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\mathcal{T})}} \\ \left( \pi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta))^* \right) \pi_*^{\xi^*L} (\xi_L^*)^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)} \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{I}_{0,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T},\xi^*\beta)} & \\ \left( \pi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta))^* \right) \mathcal{X}_{\xi^*L}^{\mu(\beta)+1} & & \end{array}$$

*That is, the following equation of orientors holds.*

$$\xi^\diamond \tilde{I}_{0,l}^{(\mathcal{T};\beta)} = \tilde{I}_{0,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T},\xi^*\beta)} \left( \pi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta))^* \right) \pi_*^{\xi^*L} (\xi_L^*)^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)}$$

**Lemma 5.41.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a target. Let  $k \geq 0, l \geq 0$  and  $\beta \in \Pi(\mathcal{T})$ . The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\mathcal{T};\beta))^* (evb_0^\mathcal{T})^* \mathbb{L}_L^{\otimes\mu(\beta)} & \xrightarrow{\xi^\diamond J_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T},\beta)}} & \mathbb{K}_{evb_0^{\xi^*\mathcal{T}}} \\ (evb_0)^*(\xi_L^*)^{\otimes\mu(\beta)} \downarrow & \nearrow J_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T},\xi^*\beta)} & \\ (evb_0^{\xi^*\mathcal{T}})^* \mathbb{L}_{\xi^*L}^{\otimes\mu(\beta)} & & \end{array}$$

*That is, the following equation of orientors holds.*

$$\xi^\diamond J_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T};\beta)} = J_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T},\xi^*\beta)} \bullet (evb_0)^*(\xi_L^*)^{\otimes\mu(\beta)}$$

*Similarly, for  $\beta \in \Pi^{ad}(\mathcal{T})$ , the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\mathcal{T};\beta))^* \pi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\mathcal{T};\beta))^* \mathcal{X}_L^{\mu(\beta)+1} & \xrightarrow{\xi^\diamond J_{0,l}^{(\mathcal{T},\beta)}} & \mathbb{K}_{\pi\mathcal{M}_{0,l}(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\mathcal{T})} \\ \left( \pi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta))^* \right) \pi_*^{\xi^*L} (\xi_L^*)^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)} \downarrow & \nearrow J_{0,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T},\xi^*\beta)} & \\ \left( \pi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta))^* \right) \mathcal{X}_{\xi^*L}^{\mu(\beta)+1} & & \end{array}$$

*That is, the following equation of orientors holds.*

$$\xi^\diamond J_{0,l}^{(\mathcal{T};\beta)} = J_{0,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T},\xi^*\beta)} \bullet \left( \pi(\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta))^* \right) \pi_*^{\xi^*L} (\xi_L^*)^{\otimes(\mu(\beta)+1)}$$

*Proof.* We prove for  $k \geq 0$ . The proof for  $k = -1$  is similar and requires fewer steps. By definition of  $\xi^\diamond$ , it is clear that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi^* \mathbb{L}_L & \xrightarrow{\xi^\diamond e_L} & \mathbb{K}_{\xi^* L} \\ \xi_\mathbb{L}^* \downarrow & \nearrow e_{\xi^* L} & \\ \mathbb{L}_{\xi^* L} & & \end{array}$$

That is,

$$(5.35) \quad \xi^\diamond e_L = e_{\xi^* L} \bullet \xi_\mathbb{L}^*.$$

Consider the following diagram.

$$(5.36) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta) & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}}} & \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta) \\ \downarrow Ev_{k+1,l}^\beta & & \downarrow Ev_{k+1,l}^\beta \\ L \times \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{L \times \mathcal{W}}} & L \times \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}} \\ \downarrow \pi_L & & \downarrow \pi_L \\ L & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_L} & L \end{array}$$

We calculate

$$(5.37) \quad \left( Ev_{k+1,l}^\beta / Ev_{k+1,l}^\beta \right)^\diamond \left( \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}} (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*) \right) \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.24}}{=} \left( evb_0^\beta / evb_0^\beta \right)^\diamond (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*) \stackrel{\text{Exp. 3.18}}{=} (evb_0^\beta)^* (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*).$$

Applying Lemma 3.22 to the upper pullback square of diagram (5.36) with  $F = \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}} (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*)$  and  $G = \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)}$ , keeping in mind equation (5.37), one gets

$$(5.38) \quad \mathbb{L}_{\xi^* L} \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \bullet evb_0^* (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*) \stackrel{\mathbb{L}_{\xi^* L}^{\otimes \mu(\beta)}}{=} \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}} (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*) \bullet \xi^* \mathbb{L}_L \left( \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \right).$$

On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^\diamond \tilde{I}_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T}; \beta)} &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.40}}{=} \tilde{I}_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \bullet evb_0^* (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*)^{\otimes (\mu(\beta)+1)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 5.19}}{=} \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}} (e_{\xi^* L}) \bullet \mathbb{L}_{\xi^* L} \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \bullet evb_0^* (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*)^{\otimes (\mu(\beta)+1)} \\ &= \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}} (e_{\xi^* L}) \bullet \mathbb{L}_{\xi^* L} \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \bullet evb_0^* (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*)^{\mathbb{L}_{\xi^* L}^{\otimes \mu(\beta)}} \bullet evb_0^* \left( \xi^* \mathbb{L}_L \left( (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*)^{\otimes \mu(\beta)} \right) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{eq. (5.38)}}{\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.32}}{=}} \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}} (e_{\xi^* L} \bullet \xi_\mathbb{L}^*) \bullet \xi^* \mathbb{L}_L \left( \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \bullet (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*)^{\otimes \mu(\beta)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^\diamond \tilde{I}_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T}; \beta)} &\stackrel{\text{Def. 5.19}}{=} \xi^\diamond \left( \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}^{\mathcal{T}} e_L \bullet \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T}; \beta)} \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.24}}{\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.37}}{=}} \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}} (\xi^\diamond e_L) \bullet \xi^\diamond \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T}; \beta)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{eq. 5.35}}{\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.32}}{=}} \mathcal{W}_{k+1,l}^{\xi^* \mathcal{T}} (e_{\xi^* L} \bullet \xi_\mathbb{L}^*) \bullet \xi^\diamond \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T}; \beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the uniqueness part of Lemma 3.13 implies

$$(5.39) \quad \xi^\diamond \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T}; \beta)} = \tilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta)} \bullet evb_0^* (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*)^{\otimes \mu(\beta)}$$

We calculate,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^\diamond J_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T};\beta)} &\stackrel{\text{Def.5.22}}{=} \xi^\diamond \left( \varphi_{k+1,l}^L \bullet \widetilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T};\beta)} \right) \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.24}}{=} \xi^\diamond \varphi_{k+1,l}^L \bullet \xi^\diamond \widetilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T};\beta)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.39}}{\stackrel{\text{eq. (5.39)}}{=}} \varphi_{k+1,l}^{\xi^*L} \bullet \widetilde{J}_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta)} \bullet \text{ev}_0^* (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*)^{\otimes \mu(\beta)} \stackrel{\text{Def.5.22}}{=} J_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta)} \bullet \text{ev}_0^* (\xi_\mathbb{L}^*)^{\otimes \mu(\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired result.  $\square$

## 6. ORIENTORS OF LOCAL SYSTEMS OF ALGEBRAS

### 6.1. On the Lagrangian.

**Notation 6.1** (The algebra of relative orientations). Recall the following notations from Section 1.4. For a Lagrangian  $L \subset X$  set

$$\mathcal{R}_L = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_L^{\otimes k},$$

with multiplication operator

$$m : \mathcal{R}_L^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_L$$

defined naturally by the tensor product.

Let  $\mathbb{E}_L = \pi_*^L \mathcal{R}_L$  be the pushforward of sheaves along  $\pi^L : L \rightarrow \Omega$ . The multiplication  $m$  of  $\mathcal{R}_L$  descends to  $\mathbb{E}_L$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_L = (\pi^L)^* \mathbb{E}_L$ . The adjunction of  $\pi_*^L$  and  $(\pi^L)^*$  provides a canonical morphism  $i : \mathcal{E}_L \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_L$ . Moreover, there exists a morphism

$$w : \mathcal{R}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_L$$

which assigns to a point  $(t, x) \in \mathcal{L}_L^{\otimes j}$  the locally-constant section over  $t \in \Omega$  that passes through  $x$ , if it exists, and otherwise 0. It holds that  $w \circ i = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}_L}$ . The maps  $i, w$  commute with the multiplication. The map  $i$  is an isomorphism exactly when  $L$  is vertically orientable. Otherwise,  $\mathcal{R}_L$  is 2-dimensional over  $\mathcal{E}_L$  with respect to the algebra structure induced by  $i$ .

In this section we abbreviate  $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}_L$ ,  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_L$ ,  $\mathbb{E} := \mathbb{E}_L$ ,  $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}_L$  and for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  we abbreviate  $\mathcal{L}^a := \mathcal{L}^{\otimes a}$ . Unless otherwise specified, we use  $\mathcal{O}$  for a local section of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

*Remark 6.2.* There exists a global section denoted  $\chi^2$  of  $\mathbb{E}$  given locally by  $\mathcal{O}^2$  for any local section of  $\mathcal{L}$ .  $\chi^2$  plays the same role as the variable  $e$  in the Novikov ring in [7, Section 1.2].

*Remark 6.3* (non-commutativity). Note that on local sections  $x, y$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ ,

$$m(x, y) = m(y, x),$$

i.e.

$$(6.1) \quad m \circ \tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}}(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{xy} m(x \otimes y).$$

In particular, restricted to odd degrees,

$$m \circ \tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}} = -m.$$

**Definition 6.4.** The **twistor**  $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  is the  $\text{Id}_L$ -endo-orientor of  $\mathcal{R}$  given locally by

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_L^r) = (-1)^r \mathcal{O}_L^r.$$

For  $\beta \in \Pi$  we abbreviate  $\mathcal{S}^\beta := \mathcal{S}^{\mu(\beta)} = \mathcal{S}^{w_1(\partial\beta)}$ .

**Lemma 6.5.** As  $\text{Id}_L$ -orientors of  $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{R}$ , the following equation holds.

$$\mathcal{S} \bullet m = m \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a local section of  $\mathcal{L}$ , and let  $r, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$(\mathcal{S} \bullet m)(\mathcal{O}^r \otimes \mathcal{O}^t) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}^{r+t}) = (-1)^{r+t} \mathcal{O}^{r+t} = (-1)^{r+t} m(\mathcal{O}^r \otimes \mathcal{O}^t) = m(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}^r \otimes \mathcal{S}\mathcal{O}^t).$$

□

**Definition 6.6.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The  $a$ -splitor

$$\Psi_a : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes a} \otimes \mathcal{R}$$

is the  $\text{Id}_L$ -orientor given locally by

$$\Psi_a(\mathcal{O}_L^r) = \mathcal{O}_L^a \otimes \mathcal{O}_L^{r-a}.$$

If  $a$  is an exponent for  $L$ , then  $\Psi_a$  descends to a map

$$\Psi_a^{\mathbb{E}} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^b \otimes \mathbb{E}.$$

*Remark 6.7.* Let  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The following equation holds.

$$i_b^{\mathcal{E}} \bullet (\pi^L)^* \Psi_b^{\mathbb{E}} = \mathcal{L}^b w \bullet \Psi_b.$$

**Notation 6.8.** We write  $m$  for the restrictions of  $m$  to sub-local systems of  $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}$  in the source and sub-local systems of  $\mathcal{R}$  in the image, when the restriction makes sense. For example, we write

$$m : \mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{L}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{a+b}.$$

**Lemma 6.9.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The following equation of  $\text{Id}_L$ -orientor of  $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{L}^a \otimes \mathcal{R}$  holds.

$$\mathcal{L}^a m \bullet (\tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^a})^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{R} \Psi_a = \Psi_a \bullet m \bullet (\mathcal{S}^a)^{\mathcal{R}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a local section of  $\mathcal{L}$  and let  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^a m \bullet (\tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^a})^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{R} \Psi_a (\mathcal{O}^{r_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{r_2}) &= \mathcal{L}^a m \bullet (\tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^a})^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{R} (\mathcal{O}^{r_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}^a \otimes \mathcal{O}^{r_2-a}) \\ &= (-1)^{ar_1} \mathcal{L}^a m \bullet (\mathcal{O}^a \otimes \mathcal{O}^{r_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{r_2-a}) \\ &= (-1)^{ar_1} \mathcal{O}^a \otimes \mathcal{O}^{r_1+r_2-a} \\ &= \Psi_a \bullet m \bullet (\mathcal{S}^a)^{\mathcal{R}} (\mathcal{O}^{r_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{r_2}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 6.10.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The following equation of  $\text{Id}_L$ -orientor of  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{L}^{a+b} \otimes \mathcal{R}$  holds.

$$\Psi_{a+b} = m^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^a \Psi_b \bullet \Psi_a.$$

**Corollary 6.11.** Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\Psi_{a+b+c} = m_3^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{a \otimes \mathcal{L}^b} \Psi_c \bullet \mathcal{L}^a \Psi_b \bullet \Psi_a.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a local section of  $\mathcal{L}$  and let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} m^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^a \Psi_b \bullet \Psi_a (\mathcal{O}^r) &= m^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^a \Psi_b (\mathcal{O}^a \otimes \mathcal{O}^{r-a}) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} m^{\mathcal{R}} (\mathcal{O}^a \otimes \mathcal{O}^b \otimes \mathcal{O}^{r-a-b}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}^{a+b} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{r-a-b} \\ &= \Psi_{a+b}(\mathcal{O}^r), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(*)$  follows since  $\deg \Psi_b = 0$ .

□



**Notation 6.12.** For  $k \geq 2$ , define  $m_k : \mathcal{R}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  to be the (associative)  $k$ -fold multiplication

$$m_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = m(x_1, m(x_2, \dots, m(x_{k-1}, x_k))).$$

Locally it is given by

$$m_k(\mathcal{O}_L^{r_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}_L^{r_k}) = \mathcal{O}_L^{r_1 + \dots + r_k}.$$

Let  $m_1 := \text{Id}_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $m_0 : \underline{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  be the embedding of  $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$  as the 0th degree of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Recall the  $\pi^L$ -orientor  $e$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathbb{A}$  from Definition 5.18 and the map  $w$  from Notation 6.1.

**Definition 6.13.** Denote by  $O = O^L$  the  $\pi^L$ -orientor of  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathbb{E}$  of degree  $1 - n$  given by the composition

$$O := O^L := e^{\mathbb{E}} \bullet^{\mathcal{L}} w \bullet \Psi_1.$$

Moreover, denote by  $O_{\text{odd}}$  the  $\pi^L$ -orientor which agrees with  $O$  on inputs of odd degree and vanishes on inputs of even degree.

**6.2. On disk moduli spaces.** Recall the boundary transport orientors  $c_{ij}$  from Definition 5.3. We extend  $c_{ij}$  to  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -orientors of  $\text{ev}b_j^* \mathcal{R}$  to  $\text{ev}b_i^* \mathcal{R}$  by  $c_{ij}^{\otimes a}$  on  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes a}$ .

Consider the local system

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{R} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{R}}_{k \text{ times}} \rightarrow L^{\times \Omega^k}.$$

Let

$$\text{ev} : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \rightarrow L^{\times \Omega^k}$$

be given by

$$(t, \Sigma, u, \vec{z}, \vec{w}) \mapsto ((t, u(z_1)), \dots, (t, u(z_k))).$$

**Definition 6.14** (The operator  $\mathcal{I}_k$ ). Let

$$\mathcal{I}_k : \text{ev}^*(\mathcal{R} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow \text{ev}b_0^*(\mathcal{R}^{\otimes k})$$

be the  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)}$ -orientor given by

$$\text{ev}^*(\mathcal{R}^{\boxtimes k}) = \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \text{ev}b_j^* \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\bigotimes_{j=1}^k c_{0j}} \text{ev}b_0^* \mathcal{R}^{\otimes k}.$$

Recall the  $\text{ev}b_0^\beta$ -orientor of  $\mathcal{L}^{\mu(\beta)}$  to  $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$  of degree  $2 - k - 2|I|$ ,

$$J_{k+1,I}^\beta : \text{ev}b_0^*(\mathcal{L})^{\otimes \mu(\beta)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}_{\text{ev}b_0},$$

and, for  $\beta \in \Pi^{ad}$ , the  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta)}$ -orientor of  $(\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta)})^* \mathcal{X}^{\mu(\beta)+1}$  to  $\mathbb{A}$  of degree  $4 - n - 2|I|$ ,

$$J_{0,I}^\beta : (\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta)})^* \mathcal{X}^{\mu(\beta)+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,I}(\beta)}}$$

from Definition 5.22.

**Definition 6.15** (The operator  $\mathcal{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta$ ). For  $k \geq 0$ , let

$$\mathcal{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta : \text{ev}b_0^* \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\text{ev}b_0} \otimes \text{ev}b_0^* \mathcal{R}$$

be the  $\mathcal{R}$ -endo-orientor of degree  $2 - k - 2|I|$ , given by

$$\mathcal{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta := \left( J_{k+1,I}^\beta \right)^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \text{ev}b_0^* \Psi_\beta.$$

**Definition 6.16.** For  $k \geq 0$ , let  $Q_{k,I}^\beta$  be the  $evb_0^\beta$ -orientor of  $ev^*(\mathcal{R} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{R})$  to  $\mathcal{R}$  of degree  $2 - k - 2|I|$ , given by

$$Q_{k,I}^\beta := \mathcal{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta \bullet evb_0^*(\mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_k) \bullet \mathcal{I}_k.$$

In particular,  $Q_{0,I}^\beta$  is an  $evb_0^\beta$ -orientor of  $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$  to  $\mathcal{R}$ .

For  $k = -1$ , let  $Q_{-1,I}^\beta$  be the  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{0,l}(\beta)}$ -orientor of  $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$  to  $\mathbb{E}$ , of degree  $4 - n - 2|I|$ , given by

$$Q_{-1,I}^\beta := \left(J_{0,I}^\beta\right)^\mathbb{E} \bullet (\pi^\mathcal{M})^* (\Psi_{\mu(\beta)+1}^\mathbb{E} \bullet m_0)$$

if  $\beta \in \Pi^{ad}$  and  $Q_{-1,I}^\beta = 0$  otherwise.

**Lemma 6.17.** For  $(k, l, \beta) \in \{(2, 0, \beta_0), (0, 1, \beta_0)\}$  we have

$$Q_{k,l}^{\beta_0} = evb_0^\diamond m_k.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\mathcal{S}^\beta = \text{Id}, \quad \mathcal{I}_k = \text{Id}.$$

The proof is immediate by Remark 5.23, followed by the calculation

$$Q_{k,l}^{\beta_0} = (\varphi_{evb_0})^\mathcal{R} \bullet evb_0^* m_k \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.22}}{=} evb_0^\diamond m_k.$$

□

**Notation 6.18** (Abuse of notation). When there is no ambiguity, we write  $\Psi_\beta, \mathcal{S}^\beta, m_k$  and  $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}$  for  $evb^* \Psi_\beta, evb^* \mathcal{S}^\beta, evb^* m_k$  and  $evb^* \mathcal{R}, evb^* \mathcal{L}$ . If  $G$  is one of  $m_k, \Psi_\beta, \mathcal{S}^\beta, \mathcal{I}_k, \mathcal{J}_k^\beta$  or  $Q_{k,l}^\beta$ , we also let  $G$  denote the extension of scalars by  $\tilde{\Lambda}[[t_1, \dots, t_N]]$  on the right of  $G$ .

*Remark 6.19.* Theorem 2 follows immediately from Lemma 5.24 and the following equation.

$$\mathcal{I}_{k+1} = (Fb)^* \mathcal{I}_k \otimes c_{0,k+1} = ((Fb)^* \mathcal{I}_k)^{evb_0^* \mathcal{R}} \bullet (Fb)^* E_k c_{0,k+1}.$$

**6.3. Proof for  $k \geq 0$ .** This section contains the proof of Theorem 1. Let  $P$  be an ordered 3-partition of  $(1, \dots, k)$ , i.e.

$$(6.2) \quad P = (1, \dots, i-1) \circ (i, \dots, i+k_2-1) \circ (i+k_2, \dots, k) = (1:3) \circ (2:3) \circ (3:3),$$

and  $I \dot{\cup} J$  be a partition of  $[l]$ . Recall the following notation from Section 1.4

$$E := E^k := E_L^k := \boxtimes_{j=1}^k \left( evb_j^\beta \right)^* \mathcal{R},$$

and

$$E_1^k := \boxtimes_{j=1}^{i-1} \left( evb_j^{\beta_1} \right)^* \mathcal{R}, \quad E_2^k := \boxtimes_{j=i}^{k_2+i-1} \left( evb_{j+i-k_2}^{\beta_2} \right)^* \mathcal{R}, \quad E_3^k := \boxtimes_{j=k_2+i}^{k_1} \left( evb_{j-k_2+1}^{\beta_1} \right)^* \mathcal{R}.$$

When this creates no ambiguity we write  $E_i$  for  $E_i^k$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Recall from Section 5 the gluing map  $\vartheta^\beta$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}_{k_1+1,I}(\beta_1) \times_L \mathcal{M}_{k_2+1,J}(\beta_2) \xrightarrow{\vartheta^\beta} B(\beta),$$

where  $B(\beta)$  is a vertical boundary component of  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)}$  from Section 4.1. For simplicity, we abbreviate  $\vartheta = \vartheta^\beta$  and denote the inclusion  $B(\beta) \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)$  by  $\iota$ . Moreover, we abbreviate  $B := B(\beta)$ . This notation replaces the notation from Section 5 since the latter is irrelevant in the current section. In order to prove Theorem 1. We need to consider how  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  behave under partitions. Thus, we fix the following.

Let  $P \in S_3[k]$ ,  $I \dot{\cup} J = [l]$  be partitions, and  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Pi$  such that  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$ . Let  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_k \in C^{\otimes k}$  and  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_l \in D^{\otimes l}$ . Let  $k_1 = |(1 : 3)| + |(3 : 3)| + 1$ ,  $k_2 = (2 : 3)$  and  $i = |(1 : 3)| + 1$ .

Throughout this section, we abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= \mathcal{I}_k, & \mathcal{J} &= \mathcal{J}_{k+1,l}^\beta, & Q &= Q_{k,l}^\beta, \\ \mathcal{I}_1 &= \mathcal{I}_{k_1}, & \mathcal{J}^1 &= \mathcal{J}_{k_1+1,I}^{\beta_1}, & Q_1 &= Q_{k_1,I}^{\beta_1}, \\ \mathcal{I}_2 &= \mathcal{I}_{k_2}, & \mathcal{J}^2 &= \mathcal{J}_{k_2+1,J}^{\beta_2}, & Q_2 &= Q_{k_2,J}^{\beta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 6.20* (Rotation around  $\beta_2$ ). Recall Remark 5.27 and Lemma 5.31:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{evb}_j^\beta|_B \circ \vartheta &= \begin{cases} \text{evb}_j^{\beta_1} \circ p_1, & 0 \leq j \leq i-1, \\ \text{evb}_{j-i+1}^{\beta_2} \circ p_2, & i \leq j \leq i+k_2-1, \\ \text{evb}_{j-k_2+1}^{\beta_1} \circ p_1, & i+k_2 \leq j \leq k. \end{cases} \\ \text{evb}_i^{\beta_1} \circ p_1 &= \text{evb}_0^{\beta_2} \circ p_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\vartheta^* c_{0j} = \begin{cases} p_1^* c_{0j}^1, & 0 \leq j \leq i-1, \\ p_1^* c_{0i}^1 \circ p_2^* c_{0,j-i+1}^2, & i \leq j \leq i+k_2-1, \\ \mathcal{S}^{\beta_2} \circ p_1^* c_{0,j-k_2+1}^1, & i+k_2 \leq j \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Note that we replaced  $(-1)^{w_1(\partial\beta_2)}$  by  $\mathcal{S}^{\beta_2}$  to account for the degrees in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Lemma 6.21** (Boundary of  $\mathcal{I}_k$ ). *Let  $P \in S_k[3]$ ,  $I \dot{\cup} J = [l]$ ,  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Pi$  be as in the previous notation. Then, as  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2}$ -orientors of  $\vartheta^* \iota^* \text{evb}^{\beta^*} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \mathcal{R} \right)$  to  $p_1^* \text{evb}_0^{\beta_1} (\mathcal{R}^{\otimes 3})$ , the following equation holds.*

$$(m_{i-1} \otimes m_{k_2} \otimes m_{k_1-i}) \bullet \vartheta^* \iota^* \mathcal{I}_k = (m_{i-1} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{R}} \otimes (\mathcal{S}^{\beta_2} \bullet m_{k_1-i})) \bullet p_1^* \mathcal{I}_{k_1} \bullet p_1^{*E_1}(m_{k_2} \bullet p_2^* \mathcal{I}_{k_2})^{p_1^{*E_3}}$$

That is, the following diagram of local systems is commutative without a sign.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_1^* E_1 \otimes p_2^* E_2 \otimes p_1^* E_3 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes (m_{k_2} \circ p_2^* \mathcal{I}_{k_2}) \otimes \text{Id}} & p_1^* E_1 \otimes p_2^* \text{evb}_0^{\beta_2^*} \mathcal{R} \otimes p_1^* E_3 \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \vartheta^* \iota^* \text{evb}^{\beta^*} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \mathcal{R} \right) & & p_1^* \text{evb}^{\beta_1^*} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^{k_1} \mathcal{R} \right) \\ \downarrow \vartheta^* \iota^* \mathcal{I}_k & & \downarrow p_1^* \mathcal{I}_{k_1} \\ \vartheta^* \iota^* \bigotimes_{j=1}^k \text{evb}_0^{\beta^*} \mathcal{R} & & p_1^* \bigotimes_{j=1}^{k_1} \text{evb}_0^{\beta_1^*} \mathcal{R} \\ \searrow m_{i-1} \otimes m_{k_2} \otimes m_{k_1-i} & & \swarrow m_{i-1} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{R}} \otimes (\mathcal{S}^{\beta_2} \bullet m_{k_1-i}) \\ & p_1^* \text{evb}_0^{\beta_1^*} (\mathcal{R}^{\otimes 3}) & \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The lemma follows from Remark 5.31. □

**Lemma 6.22.** *Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ -orientors of  $\text{evb}_0^{\beta_1^*} \mathcal{R}$  to  $\text{evb}_0^{\beta_1^*} (\mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \otimes \mathcal{R})$ , the following equation holds.*

$$(6.3) \quad (c_{0i}^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^a c_{0i}) \bullet \text{evb}_i^{\beta_1^*} \Psi_a \bullet c_{i0} = \text{evb}_0^{\beta_1^*} \Psi_a$$

*Proof.* This follows from an algebraic calculation on local sections  $\mathcal{O}^r$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ . □

**Corollary 6.23.** *The following equation of  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ -orientors from  $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \otimes \mathcal{R}$  holds.*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{0i} \bullet \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet c_{i0} \bullet m = \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} m \bullet (\tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}})^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{R} \left( \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{0i} \bullet \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet c_{i0} \right) \bullet (S^{\beta_2})^{\mathcal{R}}$$

*Proof.* We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (6.4) \quad c_{0i}^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{0i} \bullet \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet c_{i0} \bullet m &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 6.22}}{=} \text{ev} b_0^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet m \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 6.9}}{=} \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} m \bullet (\tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}})^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{R} \text{ev} b_0^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet (S^a)^{\mathcal{R}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 6.22}}{=} \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} m \bullet (\tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}})^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \\ &\quad \bullet \mathcal{R} \left( \left( c_{0i}^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{0i} \right) \bullet \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet c_{i0} \right) \bullet (S^{\beta_2})^{\mathcal{R}}. \end{aligned}$$

However, by Lemma 3.51 applied to the map  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ , we see that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}} \bullet \mathcal{R} c_{0i} = c_{0i}^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}},$$

and therefore,

$$(6.5) \quad (\tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}})^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{R} c_{0i}^{\mathcal{R}} = c_{0i}^{\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}} \bullet (\tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}})^{\mathcal{R}}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.22 applied to the diagram all arrows of which are the identity on  $\mathcal{M}_1$ , we see that

$$(6.6) \quad \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} m \bullet c_{0i}^{\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}} = c_{0i}^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} m.$$

Combining equations (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6), we obtain

$$c_{0i}^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{0i} \bullet \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet c_{i0} \bullet m = c_{0i}^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} m \bullet \tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}} \bullet \mathcal{R} \left( \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{0i} \bullet \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet c_{i0} \right) \bullet (S^{\beta_2})^{\mathcal{R}}.$$

Since  $c_{0i}$  is invertible, we conclude the corollary.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.24.** *Let  $Q, K$  be local systems over  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $F, G$  be  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -orientors of  $Q \otimes \mathcal{R}$  to  $K \otimes \mathcal{R}$ , such that*

$${}^K m_2 \bullet F^{\mathcal{R}} = G \bullet {}^Q m_2.$$

*Then*

$${}^K m_3 \bullet F^{\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}} = G \bullet {}^Q m_3.$$

*Proof.* By definition  $m_3 := m_2 \bullet {}^{\mathcal{R}} m_2$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3.22 applied to the square diagram all arrows of which are  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}}$ , it holds that

$${}^{K \otimes \mathcal{R}} m_2 \bullet F^{\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}} = F^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet {}^{Q \otimes \mathcal{R}} m_2.$$

Therefore,

$${}^K m_3 \bullet F^{\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}} = {}^K m_2 \bullet {}^{K \otimes \mathcal{R}} m_2 \bullet F^{\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}} = {}^K m_2 \bullet F^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet {}^{Q \otimes \mathcal{R}} m_2 = G \bullet {}^Q m_2 \bullet {}^{Q \otimes \mathcal{R}} m_2 = G \bullet {}^Q m_3. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 6.25.** *The following equation of  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ -orientors from  $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}$  holds.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{0i} \bullet \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet c_{i0} \bullet m_3 \\ = \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} m_3 \bullet \left( (\tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}})^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{R} \left( \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{0i} \bullet \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet c_{i0} \right) \bullet (S^{\beta_2})^{\mathcal{R}} \right)^{\mathcal{R}} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Lemma 6.24 applied to Corollary 6.23.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.26** (Boundary of  $\mathcal{J}_{k+1,l}^\beta$ ). *Let  $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{R}_3$  be copies of  $\mathcal{R}$ . As  $evb_0^\beta \circ \iota \circ \vartheta$ -orientors*

$$\vartheta^* \iota^* \left( evb_0^\beta \right)^* (\mathcal{R}_1 \otimes \mathcal{R}_2 \otimes \mathcal{R}_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{evb_0^\beta \circ \iota \circ \vartheta} \otimes \vartheta^* \iota^* \left( evb_0^\beta \right)^* \mathcal{R},$$

the following equations hold.

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta^\diamond(\partial \mathcal{J}) \bullet m_3 &= (-1)^s \mathcal{J}^1 \bullet m_3 \bullet \mathcal{R}_1 \left( c_{0i} \bullet \left( p_2 / evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}^2 \bullet c_{i0} \right)^{\mathcal{R}_3} \bullet (\mathcal{S}^{\beta_2})^{\mathcal{R}_2 \otimes \mathcal{R}_3}, \\ \vartheta^\diamond(\partial \mathcal{J} \bullet \mathcal{S}^\beta) \bullet m_3 &= (-1)^s \mathcal{J}^1 \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\beta_1} \bullet m_3 \bullet \\ &\bullet \mathcal{R}_1 \left( c_{0i} \bullet \left( p_2 / evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}^2 \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\beta_2} \bullet c_{i0} \right)^{\mathcal{R}_3} \bullet \mathcal{R}_1 \otimes \mathcal{R}_2 (\mathcal{S}^{\beta_2}), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$s = i + ik_2 + k + \delta\mu(\beta_1)\mu(\beta_2).$$

*Proof of Lemma 6.26.* Consider the first equation. It follows from Lemma 3.47 and Example 3.18 applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{M} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ B & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{evb_0^\beta} L \end{array}$$

that

$$\partial \mathcal{J} = (\partial J)^\mathcal{R} \bullet \iota^* evb_0^{\beta*} \Psi_\beta.$$

Similarly, it follows from Remark 3.20 and Example 3.18 applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & B \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & B \xrightarrow{evb_0^\beta \circ \iota} L \end{array}$$

that

$$(6.7) \quad \vartheta^\diamond(\partial \mathcal{J}) = (\vartheta^\diamond(\partial J))^\mathcal{R} \bullet \vartheta^* \iota^* evb_0^{\beta*} \Psi_\beta.$$

We investigate  $\vartheta^* \iota^* evb_0^{\beta*} \Psi_\beta$ . By Lemma 6.10,

$$\Psi_\beta = m_2^\mathcal{R} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \Psi_{\beta_1} \bullet \Psi_{\beta_2}.$$

Keeping in mind Example 3.18, Lemma 3.22 applied to the pullback diagram all arrows of which are the identity on  $\mathcal{M}_1$  gives

$$(6.8) \quad (c_{0i})^{\mathcal{L}^{\beta_1} \otimes \mathcal{R}} \bullet evb_0^{\beta_1*} \left( \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \Psi_{\beta_1} \right) = evb_0^{\beta_1*} \left( \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \Psi_{\beta_1} \right) \bullet (c_{0i})^\mathcal{R}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta^* \iota^* evb_0^{\beta*} \Psi_\beta &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 6.10}}{=} \vartheta^* \iota^* evb_0^{\beta*} \left( m_2^\mathcal{R} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \Psi_{\beta_1} \bullet \Psi_{\beta_2} \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Rmk. 6.20}}{=} p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} (m_2^\mathcal{R}) \bullet p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} \left( \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \Psi_{\beta_1} \right) \bullet p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 6.22}}{=} p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} m_2^\mathcal{R} \bullet p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} \left( \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \Psi_{\beta_1} \right) \bullet p_1^* \left( c_{0i}^\mathcal{R} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{i0} \right) \bullet \\ &\quad \bullet p_2^* evb_0^{\beta_2*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet p_1^* c_{i0} \\ &\stackrel{\text{eq. (6.8)}}{=} p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} m_2^\mathcal{R} \bullet (p_1^* c_{0i})^{\mathcal{L}^{\beta_1} \otimes \mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} p_1^* \left( evb_0^{\beta_1*} (\Psi_{\beta_1}) \bullet c_{0i} \right) \bullet \\ &\quad \bullet p_2^* evb_0^{\beta_2*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet p_1^* c_{i0}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with Theorem 5.25 and equation (6.7), the above equation implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta^\diamond(\partial\mathcal{J}) = & (-1)^s \left( J^1 \bullet \left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathcal{L}^{\beta_1}} \right)^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \\ & \bullet p_1^* \left( \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \left( evb_0^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_1} \bullet c_{0i} \right) \right) \bullet p_2^* evb_0^{\beta_2*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet p_1^* c_{i0}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $s = i + ik_2 + k + \delta\mu(\beta_1)\mu(\beta_2)$ . Keeping in mind Example 3.18, Lemma 3.22 applied to the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_1 \\ \mathcal{M}_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{M}_1 \end{array}$$

gives

$$(6.9) \quad \left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathcal{L}^{\beta_1} \otimes \mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \left( p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_1} \right) = evb_0^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_1} \bullet \left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathcal{R}},$$

and thus

$$\vartheta^\diamond(\partial\mathcal{J}) = (-1)^s \mathcal{J}^1 \bullet \left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet p_1^* \left( \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{0i} \right) \bullet p_2^* evb_0^{\beta_2*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet p_1^* (c_{i0}).$$

By Remark 6.20,  $evb_i^{\beta_1} \circ p_1 = evb_0^{\beta_2} \circ p_2$ . Therefore, Corollary 6.25 implies

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \vartheta^\diamond(\partial\mathcal{J}) \bullet m_3 = & (-1)^s \mathcal{J}^1 \bullet \left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \\ & \bullet \mathcal{L}^a m_3 \bullet p_1^* \left( (\tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}})^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{R} \left( \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{0i} \bullet evb_i^{\beta_1*} \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet c_{i0} \right) \bullet (\mathcal{S}^{\beta_2})^{\mathcal{R}} \right)^{\mathcal{R}}. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed by commuting  $\left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathcal{R}}$  with orientors on the right hand side of equation (6.10) until it arrives at  $\Psi_{\beta_2}$ , with which it combines to create  $\mathcal{J}^2$ . By Lemma 3.22 applied to the following diagram,

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_1 \\ \mathcal{M}_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{M}_1 \end{array}$$

we obtain an equality of  $p_1$ -orientors of  $p_2^* evb_0^{\beta_2*} (\mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R})$  to  $evb_i^{\beta_1*} \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$\left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} m_2 = m_2 \bullet \left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}}.$$

By Lemma 6.24, we obtain an equality of  $p_1$ -orientors of  $p_2^* evb_0^{\beta_2*} (\mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R})$  to  $evb_i^{\beta_1*} \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$(6.12) \quad \left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} m_3 = m_3 \bullet \left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.51 with  $B = \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}$  and  $C = \underline{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ , we obtain an equality of  $p_1$ -orientors of  $p_1^* evb_i^* (\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2})$  to  $evb_i^* (\mathcal{R})$ ,

$$(6.13) \quad \left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet p_1^* \tau_{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2}} = \mathcal{R} \left( \left( p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right).$$

By Lemma 3.22 applied to diagram (6.11) we obtain the following equation of  $p_1$ -orientors of  $p_2^* \text{ev} b_0^{\beta_2*} (\mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \otimes \mathcal{R})$  to  $\text{ev} b_0^{\beta_1*} \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$(6.14) \quad \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^\mathcal{R} \bullet p_1^* (\mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} c_{0i}) = p_1^* c_{0i} \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J^2 \right)^\mathcal{R}.$$

Combining equations (6.10) and (6.12)-(6.14), we conclude that

$$\vartheta^\diamond(\partial \mathcal{J}) \bullet m_3 = (-1)^s \mathcal{J}^1 \bullet m_3 \bullet \mathcal{R} \left( p_1^* c_{0i} \bullet \left( p_2 / \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}^2 \bullet p_1^* c_{i0} \right)^\mathcal{R} \bullet (\mathcal{S}^{\beta_2})^{\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}}.$$

This proves the first equation.

The second equation follows by Lemma 6.5 and the commutativity of  $\mathcal{S}$  with  $c_{0i}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The associativity of  $m$  implies

$$(6.15) \quad m_k = m_3 \bullet (m_{i-1} \otimes m_{k_2} \otimes m_{k_1-i}),$$

$$(6.16) \quad m_{k_1} = m_3 \bullet (m_{i-1} \otimes \text{Id}_\mathcal{R} \otimes m_{k_1-i}).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.47 and Example 3.18 applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{M} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ B & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\text{ev} b_0^\beta} L \end{array}$$

that

$$\partial Q = (\partial \mathcal{J}) \bullet \iota^* \left( \text{ev} b_0^{\beta*} (\mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_k) \bullet \mathcal{I}_k \right).$$

Similarly, it follows from Remark 3.20 and Example 3.18 applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & B \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & B \xrightarrow{\text{ev} b_0^{\beta \circ \iota}} L \end{array}$$

that

$$\vartheta^\diamond(\partial Q) = \vartheta^\diamond(\partial \mathcal{J}) \bullet \vartheta^* \iota^* \text{ev} b_0^{\beta*} (\mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_k) \bullet \vartheta^* \iota^* \mathcal{I}_k$$

Keeping in mind Notation 6.18 and using equation (6.15), we see that

$$\vartheta^\diamond(\partial Q) = \vartheta^\diamond(\partial \mathcal{J}) \bullet \mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_3 \bullet (m_{i-1} \otimes m_{k_2} \otimes m_{k_1-i}) \bullet \vartheta^* \iota^* \mathcal{I}_k.$$

Apply Lemmas 6.21, 6.26, noting that  $\mathcal{R}^{\otimes \mathcal{R}} (\mathcal{S}^{\beta_2})$  cancels, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta^\diamond(\partial Q) &= (-1)^s \mathcal{J}^1 \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\beta_1} \bullet m_3 \bullet \mathcal{R}_1 \left( p_1^* c_{0i} \bullet \left( p_2 / \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}^2 \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\beta_2} \bullet p_1^* c_{i0} \right)^\mathcal{R} \bullet \\ &\quad \bullet (m_{i-1} \otimes \text{Id}_{E_2} \otimes m_{k_1-i}) \bullet p_1^* \mathcal{I}_{k_1} \bullet p_1^{*E_1} (m_{k_2} \bullet p_2^* \mathcal{I}_{k_2})^{p_1^{*E_3}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.22}}{=} (-1)^s \mathcal{J}^1 \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\beta_1} \bullet m_3 \bullet (m_{i-1} \otimes \text{Id}_\mathcal{R} \otimes m_{k_1-i}) \bullet \\ &\quad \bullet \mathcal{R}^{\otimes(i-1)} \left( p_1^* c_{0i} \bullet \left( p_2 / \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}^2 \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\beta_2} \bullet p_1^* c_{i0} \right)^\mathcal{R} \bullet \mathcal{R}^{\otimes(k_1-i)} \bullet \\ &\quad \bullet p_1^* \mathcal{I}_{k_1} \bullet p_1^{*E_1} (m_{k_2} \bullet p_2^* \mathcal{I}_{k_2})^{p_1^{*E_3}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{eq. (6.16)}}{=} (-1)^s \mathcal{J}^1 \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\beta_1} \bullet m_{k_1} \bullet \\ &\quad \bullet \mathcal{R}^{\otimes(i-1)} \left( p_1^* c_{0i} \bullet \left( p_2 / \text{ev} b_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}^2 \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\beta_2} \bullet p_1^* c_{i0} \right)^\mathcal{R} \bullet \mathcal{R}^{\otimes(k_1-i)} \bullet \\ &\quad \bullet p_1^* \mathcal{I}_{k_1} \bullet p_1^{*E_1} (m_{k_2} \bullet p_2^* \mathcal{I}_{k_2})^{p_1^{*E_3}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $\mathcal{I}_{k_1}$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{\otimes(i-1)} \left( p_1^* c_{0i} \bullet \left( p_2 / \text{ev}_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}^2 \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\beta_2} \bullet p_1^* c_{i0} \right) \mathcal{R}^{\otimes(k_1-i)} \bullet p_1^* \mathcal{I}_{k_1} \\ = \mathcal{I}_{k_1} \bullet^{E_1} \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev}_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}^2 \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\beta_2} \right)^{E_3}. \end{aligned}$$

By Example 3.18, Lemma 3.24 and Lemma 3.50, we see that

$$^{E_1} \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev}_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond Q_2 \right)^{E_3} = ^{E_1} \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev}_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}^2 \bullet \mathcal{S}^{\beta_2} \right)^{E_3} \bullet ^{p_1^* E_1} (m_{k_2} \bullet p_2^* \mathcal{I}_{k_2})^{p_1^* E_3}.$$

We conclude that

$$\vartheta^\diamond(\partial Q) = (-1)^s Q_1 \bullet^{E_1} \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev}_i^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond Q_2 \right)^{E_3}.$$

□

**6.4. Proof for  $k = -1$ .** This section consists of the proof of Theorem 4. We keep the notations from Section 6.3, when applicable.

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Recall Definition 6.16. It follows from Lemma 3.47 and Example 3.18 applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{M} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ B & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\mathcal{M}}} \Omega \end{array}$$

that

$$\partial Q_{-1,l}^\beta = \left( \partial J_{0,l}^\beta \right)^\mathbb{E} \bullet (\pi^{\partial \mathcal{M}})^* (\Psi_{\mu(\beta)+1}^\mathbb{E} \bullet m_0).$$

Similarly, it follows from Remark 3.20 and Example 3.18 applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & B \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2 & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & B \xrightarrow{\pi^B} \Omega \end{array}$$

that

(6.17)

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta^\diamond \partial Q_{-1,l}^\beta &\stackrel{\text{Rmk. 3.20}}{=} \left( \vartheta^\diamond \left( \partial J_{0,l}^\beta \right) \right)^\mathbb{E} \bullet (\pi^{\partial \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2})^* (\Psi_{\mu(\beta)+1}^\mathbb{E} \bullet m_0) \\ (6.18) \quad &\stackrel{\text{Thm. 5.26}}{=} e^\mathbb{E} \bullet \left( \left( J_{1,I}^{\beta_1} \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev}_0^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J_{1,J}^{\beta_2} \right)^{\mathcal{L}^{\beta_1}} \right)^{\mathcal{L}} \bullet \left( \text{ev}_0^{\beta_1} \circ p_1 \right)^* i_{\mu(\beta)+1} \right)^\mathcal{E} \\ &\bullet (\pi^{\partial \mathcal{M}_1 \times_L \mathcal{M}_2})^* (\Psi_{\mu(\beta)+1}^\mathbb{E} \bullet m_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{\text{Rmk. 6.7}}{=} e^\mathbb{E} \bullet \left( J_{1,I}^{\beta_1} \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev}_0^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J_{1,J}^{\beta_2} \right)^{\mathcal{L}^{\beta_1}} \right)^{\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{E}} \bullet \\ (6.19) \quad &\bullet \left( \text{ev}_0^{\beta_1} \circ p_1 \right)^* \left( \mathcal{L}^{\mu(\beta)+1} w \bullet \Psi_{\mu(\beta)+1} \bullet m_0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$(6.20) \quad = -e^\mathbb{E} \bullet \left( J_{1,I}^{\beta_1} \bullet \left( \left( p_2 / \text{ev}_0^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond J_{1,J}^{\beta_2} \right)^{\mathcal{L}^{\beta_1}} \right)^{\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{E}} \bullet$$

$$(6.21) \quad \bullet \left( \text{ev}_0^{\beta_1} \circ p_1 \right)^* \left( \mathcal{L}^{\mu(\beta)+1} w \bullet \mathcal{L}^\beta \Psi_1 \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \Psi_{\beta_1} \bullet \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet m_0 \right)$$



Using Lemma 3.22, we get

(6.22)

$$\vartheta^\diamond \partial Q_{-1,l}^\beta = -e^\mathbb{E} \bullet \mathcal{L}_w \bullet \Psi_1 \bullet \left( J_{1,I}^{\beta_1} \bullet \left( (p_2/evb_0^{\beta_1})^\diamond J_{1,J}^{\beta_2} \right)^{\mathcal{L}^{\beta_1}} \right)^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} \Psi_{\beta_1} \bullet \Psi_{\beta_2} \bullet m_0.$$

Equation (6.9) reads

$$\left( (p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1})^\diamond J_{1,J}^{\beta_2} \right)^{\mathcal{L}^{\beta_1} \otimes \mathcal{R}} \bullet \mathcal{L}^{\beta_2} (p_1^* evb_0^{\beta_1} \Psi_{\beta_1}) = evb_0^{\beta_1} \Psi_{\beta_1} \bullet \left( (p_2/evb_i^{\beta_1})^\diamond J_{1,J}^{\beta_2} \right)^{\mathcal{R}}.$$

Combining the preceding with equation (6.22), and recalling Definition 6.13 and Definition 6.15, we get

$$\vartheta^\diamond \left( \partial Q_{-1,l}^\beta \right) = -O \bullet \mathcal{J}_{1,I}^{\beta_1} \bullet \left( p_2/evb_0^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}_{1,J}^{\beta_2} \bullet m_0.$$

However, observe that  $\mathcal{S} \bullet m_0 = m_0$ , since  $\mathbb{A}$  is of null-degree. Moreover,  $\mathcal{I}_0 = \text{Id}_\mathbb{A}$ . Therefore, for all  $\beta \in \Pi, I \subset [l]$ ,

$$Q_{0,I}^\beta = \mathcal{J}_{1,I}^\beta \bullet m_0.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{1,I}^{\beta_1} \bullet \left( p_2/evb_0^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}_{1,J}^{\beta_2} \bullet m_0 &= m_2 \bullet \left( \mathcal{J}_{1,I}^{\beta_1} \bullet m_0 \right)^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \left( p_2/evb_0^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond \mathcal{J}_{1,J}^{\beta_2} \bullet m_0 \\ &= m_2 \bullet \left( Q_{0,I}^{\beta_1} \right)^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet \left( p_2/evb_0^{\beta_1} \right)^\diamond Q_{0,J}^{\beta_2}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the result.  $\square$

**6.5. Cyclic symmetry.** Recall the cyclic isomorphism

$$f^\beta : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta),$$

that is the map given by

$$f^\beta(\Sigma, u, (z_0, \dots, z_k), \vec{w}) = (\Sigma, u, (z_1, \dots, z_k, z_0), \vec{w}).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} ev^{cyc} &:= (evb_1, \dots, evb_k, evb_0) : \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta) \rightarrow L^{\times_{\Omega^{k+1}}}, \\ E^{cyc} &:= (ev^{cyc})^* \mathcal{R}_L^{\boxtimes k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : \mathcal{R}_L^{\boxtimes k+1} &\rightarrow \mathcal{R}_L^{\boxtimes k+1}, \\ a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k &\mapsto (-1)^{|a_0| \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k |a_j|} a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \otimes a_0 \end{aligned}$$

denote the graded symmetry isomorphism. Recall the following definition from Section 1.4.

**Definition 6.27.** Let  $T$  be the  $f^\beta$ -orientor of  $E^{cyc}$  to  $E^{cyc}$  given by

$$T := \varphi_{f^\beta}^{E^{cyc}} \bullet (ev^{cyc})^* \tau.$$

We now turn to prove Theorem 3. For simplicity, we write  $f := f^\beta$  as this creates no ambiguity. The proof of Theorem 3 depends on the following lemmas and is given below their statements. Denote by  $\mathcal{R}', \mathcal{R}''$  the sub-local systems of  $\bigboxtimes_{j=1}^{k+1} \mathcal{R}_L$  of total degree with parity  $\mu(\beta) + 1, \mu(\beta)$ , respectively. In particular,

$$\bigboxtimes_{j=1}^{k+1} \mathcal{R}_L = \mathcal{R}' \oplus \mathcal{R}''.$$

**Lemma 6.28.** *The following equation of  $Id_{\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)}$ -orientors of  $ev^{cyc*}\mathcal{R}'$  to  $ev_1^*\mathcal{R}_L$  holds.*

$$f^*(m_2 \bullet ((\mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_k \bullet \mathcal{I}) \otimes Id)) \bullet (ev^{cyc})^* \tau = c_{10} \bullet m_2 \bullet ((\mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_k \bullet \mathcal{I}) \otimes Id)$$

**Lemma 6.29.** *The following equation of  $\pi^{\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\beta)}$ -orientors of  $\mathcal{R}_L$  to  $\mathbb{E}$  holds.*

$$f^\diamond \mathcal{J}_{k+1,l}^\beta = (-1)^k \mathcal{J}_{k+1,l}^\beta \bullet c_{01}$$

The proof of Lemmas 6.28 and 6.29 is given below, after the proof of Theorem 3.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Both sides vanish on  $\mathcal{R}''$  by Definition 6.13. Therefore, we may assume that our input is in  $\mathcal{R}'$ . In this case, Lemma 6.28 applies. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} f^\diamond \left( O_{odd} \bullet m_2 \bullet \left( Q_{k,l}^\beta \otimes Id \right) \right) &= \\ \stackrel{\text{Def. 6.16}}{=} f^\diamond \left( O_{odd} \bullet m_2 \bullet \left( \left( \mathcal{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta \bullet ev_0^* (\mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_k) \bullet \mathcal{I}_k \right) \otimes Id \right) \right) &= \\ \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.22}}{=} f^\diamond \left( O_{odd} \bullet \mathcal{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta \bullet m_2 \bullet \left( (ev_0^* (\mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_k) \bullet \mathcal{I}_k) \otimes Id \right) \right) &= \\ \stackrel{\text{Rmk. 3.20}}{\stackrel{\text{Ex. 3.18}}{\stackrel{\text{Ex. 3.21}}{=}}} O_{odd} \bullet f^\diamond \mathcal{J}_{k+1,I}^\beta \bullet f^* \left( m_2 \bullet \left( (ev_0^* (\mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_k) \bullet \mathcal{I}_k) \otimes Id \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} f^\diamond \left( O_{odd} \bullet m_2 \bullet \left( Q_{k,l}^\beta \otimes Id \right) \right) \bullet (ev^{cyc})^* \tau &= \\ \stackrel{\text{Lem. 6.28}}{\stackrel{\text{Lem. 6.29}}{=}} (-1)^k O_{odd} \bullet \mathcal{J}_{k+1,l}^\beta \bullet m_2 \bullet ((\mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_k \bullet \mathcal{I}) \otimes Id) &= \\ \stackrel{\text{Lem. 3.22}}{=} (-1)^k O_{odd} \bullet m_2 \bullet \left( Q_{k,l}^\beta \otimes Id \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} f^\diamond \left( O_{odd} \bullet m_2 \bullet \left( Q_{k,l}^\beta \otimes Id \right) \right) \bullet (ev^{cyc})^* \tau &= \\ \stackrel{\text{Def 2.8}}{=} O_{odd} \bullet m_2 \bullet \left( Q_{k,l}^\beta \otimes Id \right) \bullet \varphi_f^{E^{cyc}} \bullet (ev^{cyc})^* \tau &= \\ = O_{odd} \bullet m_2 \bullet \left( Q_{k,l}^\beta \otimes Id \right) \bullet T. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Lemma 6.28.* We check this equation on a local section

$$X = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{k+1} ev_j^* \mathcal{O}^{r_j},$$

where  $\mathcal{O}$  is a local section of  $\mathcal{L}$ , and  $r_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  are such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} r_j =_2 \mu(\beta) + 1$ . Observe that

$$(m_{k+1} \bullet \tau^{-1})(X) = (-1)^{r_{k+1}(\sum_{j=1}^k r_j)} m_{k+1}(X).$$

However,

$$r_{k+1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \right) =_2 r_{k+1} (r_{k+1} + \mu(\beta) + 1) =_2 r_{k+1} \mu(\beta).$$

Therefore, restricted to  $\mathcal{R}'$

$$(6.23) \quad m_{k+1} \bullet \tau^{-1} = m_{k+1} \bullet (Id^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{S}^\beta).$$

Recall that

$$(6.24) \quad c_{10} \circ \mathcal{S}^\beta = c_{1,k+1}.$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& f^* (m_2 \bullet ((\mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_k \bullet \mathcal{I}) \otimes \text{Id})) \\
&= \mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_{k+1} \bullet (f^* \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{S}^\beta) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Koszul 2.4}}{=} \mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_{k+1} \bullet (\text{Id}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{S}^\beta) \bullet (f^* \mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Id}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{eq. (6.23)}}{=} \mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_{k+1} \bullet \tau^{-1} \bullet (f^* \mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Id}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Koszul 2.4}}{=} \mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_{k+1} \bullet (\text{Id} \otimes f^* \mathcal{I}) \bullet (ev^{cyc})^* \tau^{-1} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Def. 6.14}}{=} \mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_{k+1} \bullet (c_{11} \otimes c_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{1,k+1}) \bullet (ev^{cyc})^* \tau^{-1} \\
&\stackrel{\text{eq. (6.24)}}{=} \mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_{k+1} \bullet c_{10}^{\otimes k+1} \bullet (c_{01} \otimes c_{02} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{0k} \otimes \mathcal{S}^\beta) \bullet (ev^{cyc})^* \tau^{-1} \\
&= c_{10} \bullet \mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_{k+1} \bullet (\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{S}^\beta) \bullet (ev^{cyc})^* \tau^{-1} \\
&= c_{10} \bullet m_2 \bullet ((\mathcal{S}^\beta \bullet m_k \bullet \mathcal{I}) \otimes \text{Id}) \bullet (ev^{cyc})^* \tau^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Lemma 6.29.* Recalling Definition 6.15, the lemma follows immediately from Lemma 5.24. □

**6.6. Base change.** Let  $\xi : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$  be a map. Let  $\mathcal{T} = (\Omega, X, \omega, L, \pi^X, \mathfrak{p}, \underline{\gamma}, J)$  be a target over  $\Omega$ . Recall Section 4.2 regarding families and Section 5.8 regarding naturality. Let

$$\xi_{\mathcal{R}}^* : (\xi^L)^* \mathcal{R}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\xi^* L}$$

be the map given by  $(\xi^L/\xi)^* : \xi^{L*} \mathcal{L}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\xi^* L}$  extended multiplicatively to  $\xi^{L*} \mathcal{R}_L$ . Set

$$\xi_{\mathbb{E}}^* := \pi_*^L \xi_{\mathcal{R}}^* : \xi^* \mathbb{E}_L \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\xi^* L}.$$

We think of  $\xi_{\mathcal{R}}^*$  and  $\xi_{\mathbb{E}}^*$  as  $\text{Id}_{\xi^* L}$  and  $\text{Id}_{\Omega'}$ -orientors, respectively.

*Remark 6.30.*  $\xi_{\mathcal{R}}^*, \xi_{\mathbb{E}}^*$  are algebra homomorphisms with respect to the corresponding tensor multiplication maps  $m_L, m_{\xi^* L}$ . Similarly, they commute with  $\Psi_b$  for  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proposition 6.31.** *With the above notations, the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\xi^* \mathcal{R}_L & \xrightarrow{\xi_{\mathcal{R}}^*} & \mathcal{R}_{\xi^* L} \\
\xi^\diamond O^L \downarrow & & \downarrow O^{\xi^* L} \\
\mathcal{K}_{\pi^{\xi^* L}} \otimes \xi^* \mathcal{E}_L & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes (\pi^L)^* \xi_{\mathbb{E}}^*} & \mathcal{K}_{\xi^* L} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\xi^* L}
\end{array}$$

That is, the following equation of  $\pi^{\xi^* L}$ -orientors holds.

$$\xi_{\mathbb{E}}^* \bullet \xi^\diamond O^L = O^{\xi^* L} \bullet \xi_{\mathcal{R}}^*.$$

*Proof.* This is immediate by the definitions and equation (5.35). □

Let  $k \geq 0, l \geq 0$  and  $\beta \in \Pi(\mathcal{T})$ . Abbreviate

$$\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\mathcal{T}; \beta), \quad \mathcal{M}' := \mathcal{M}_{0,l}(\xi^* \mathcal{T}; \xi^* \beta).$$

Let

$$\xi_E^* := \bigotimes_{j=1}^k (ev_{b_j}^\beta)^* \xi_{\mathcal{R}}^* : \xi^{\mathcal{M}^*} E_L^k \rightarrow E_{\xi^* L}^k.$$

We think of  $\xi_E^*$  as an  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}_{k+1,l}(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta)}$ -orientor.

**Theorem 6.32.** *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi^{\mathcal{M}^*} E_L^k & \xrightarrow{\xi_E^*} & E_{\xi^*L}^k \\ \xi^\diamond Q_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T};\beta)} \downarrow & & \downarrow Q_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta)} \\ \mathcal{K}_{\text{evb}_0^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T})}} \otimes \xi^{\mathcal{M}^*} (\text{evb}_0^{\mathcal{T}})^* \mathcal{R}_L & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{evb}_0^*(\xi_{\mathcal{R}}^*)} & \mathcal{K}_{\text{evb}_0^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T})}} \otimes (\text{evb}_0^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T})})^* \mathcal{R}_{\xi^*L} \end{array}$$

That is, the following equation of orientors holds.

$$\xi_{\mathcal{R}}^* \bullet \xi^\diamond Q_{k+1,l}^{(\mathcal{T};\beta)} = Q_{k+1,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta)} \bullet \xi_E^*.$$

Similarly, for  $\beta \in \Pi^{ad}(\mathcal{T})$ , the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbb{A}} & \searrow Q_{-1}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta)} & \\ \xi^\diamond Q_{-1,l}^{(\mathcal{T};\beta)} \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{K}_{\pi^{\mathcal{M}'}} \otimes \pi^{\mathcal{M}'^*} \xi^* \mathbb{E}_L & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \pi^{\mathcal{M}'^*} \xi_{\mathbb{E}}^*} & \mathcal{K}_{\pi^{\mathcal{M}'}} \otimes \pi^{\mathcal{M}'^*} \mathbb{E}_{\xi^*L} \end{array}$$

That is, the following equation of orientors holds.

$$\xi_{\mathbb{E}}^* \bullet \xi^\diamond Q_{-1,l}^{(\mathcal{T};\beta)} = Q_{-1,l}^{(\xi^*\mathcal{T};\xi^*\beta)}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.38 it follows that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\xi^{\mathcal{M}})^* \text{ev}^{\mathcal{T}*} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_L & \xrightarrow{\xi^{\mathcal{M}^*} \mathcal{I}_{k+1,l}^{\mathcal{T}}} & \xi^{\mathcal{M}^*} \text{evb}_0^* \mathcal{R}_L^{\otimes k} \\ (\text{ev}^{\xi^*\mathcal{T}})^* \boxtimes \xi_{\mathcal{R}}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{evb}_0^* \otimes \xi_{\mathcal{R}}^* \\ (\text{ev}^{\xi^*\mathcal{T}})^* \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{\xi^*L} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}^{\xi^*\mathcal{T}}} & \text{evb}_0^* \mathcal{R}_{\xi^*L} \end{array}$$

The theorem follows immediately by Lemma 5.41 and remark 6.30, keeping in mind that  $\mu(\beta) = \mu(\xi^*\beta)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnol'd, Characteristic class entering in quantization conditions, Functional Analysis and its applications **1** (1967), no. 1, 1–13.
- [2] M. Audin, Fibrés normaux d'immersions en dimension double, points doubles d'immersions lagrangiennes et plongements totalement réels, Comment. Math. Helv. **63** (1988), no. 4, 593–623, doi:10.1007/BF02566781.
- [3] B. Dai, C.-I. Ho, and T.-J. Li, Nonorientable Lagrangian surfaces in rational 4-manifolds, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **19** (2019), no. 6, 2837–2854, doi:10.2140/agt.2019.19.2837.
- [4] J. D. Evans, A Lagrangian Klein bottle you can't squeeze, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **24** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 47, 10, doi:10.1007/s11784-022-00945-w.
- [5] K. Fukaya, Cyclic symmetry and adic convergence in Lagrangian Floer theory, Kyoto J. Math. **50** (2010), no. 3, 521–590, arXiv:0907.4219.
- [6] K. Fukaya, Counting pseudo-holomorphic discs in Calabi-Yau 3-folds, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **63** (2011), no. 4, 697–727, doi:10.2748/tmj/1325886287.
- [7] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta, and K. Ono, Lagrangian intersection Floer theory: anomaly and obstruction. Parts I,II, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 46, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.
- [8] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta, and K. Ono, Lagrangian Floer theory over integers: spherically positive symplectic manifolds, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **9** (2013), no. 2, 189–289, doi:10.4310/PAMQ.2013.v9.n2.a1.

- [9] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta, and K. Ono, Spectral invariants with bulk, quasi-morphisms and Lagrangian Floer theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **260** (2019), no. 1254, x+266, doi:10.1090/memo/1254.
- [10] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta, and K. Ono, Kuranishi structures and virtual fundamental chains, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Singapore, [2020] ©2020, doi:10.1007/978-981-15-5562-6.
- [11] A. B. Givental', Lagrangian imbeddings of surfaces and the open Whitney umbrella, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **20** (1986), no. 3, 35–41, 96.
- [12] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder, Integration theory on the zero sets of polyfold Fredholm sections, Math. Ann. **346** (2010), no. 1, 139–198, doi:10.1007/s00208-009-0393-x.
- [13] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder, Polyfold and Fredholm theory, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 72, Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-78007-4.
- [14] A. Horev and J. P. Solomon, The open Gromov-Witten-Welschinger theory of blowups of the projective plane, 2012, doi:10.48550/ARXIV.1210.4034.
- [15] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin, New cases of logarithmic equivalence of Welschinger and Gromov-Witten invariants, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **258** (2007), no. Anal. i Osob. Ch. 1, 70–78, doi:10.1134/S0081543807030078.
- [16] I. V. Itenberg, V. M. Kharlamov, and E. I. Shustin, Logarithmic equivalence of the Welschinger and the Gromov-Witten invariants, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **59** (2004), no. 6(360), 85–110, doi:10.1070/RM2004v059n06ABEH000797.
- [17] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin, Welschinger invariant and enumeration of real rational curves, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2003), no. 49, 2639–2653, doi:10.1155/S1073792803131352.
- [18] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin, Logarithmic asymptotics of the genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants of the blown up plane, Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 483–491, doi:10.2140/gt.2005.9.483.
- [19] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin, A Caporaso-Harris type formula for Welschinger invariants of real toric del Pezzo surfaces, Comment. Math. Helv. **84** (2009), no. 1, 87–126, doi:10.4171/CMH/153.
- [20] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin, Welschinger invariants of real del Pezzo surfaces of degree  $\geq 3$ , Math. Ann. **355** (2013), no. 3, 849–878, doi:10.1007/s00208-012-0801-5.
- [21] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin, Welschinger invariants of small non-toric Del Pezzo surfaces, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **15** (2013), no. 2, 539–594, doi:10.4171/JEMS/367.
- [22] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin, Welschinger invariants of real del Pezzo surfaces of degree  $\geq 2$ , Internat. J. Math. **26** (2015), no. 8, 1550060, 63, doi:10.1142/S0129167X15500603.
- [23] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin, Erratum: Welschinger invariants of real del Pezzo surfaces of degree  $\geq 2$  [3372187], Internat. J. Math. **28** (2017), no. 7, 1792001, 1, doi:10.1142/S0129167X1792001X.
- [24] D. Joyce, On manifolds with corners, Advances in geometric analysis, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 21, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012, pp. 225–258.
- [25] D. Joyce, A generalization of manifolds with corners, Adv. Math. **299** (2016), 760–862, doi:10.1016/j.aim.2016.06.004.
- [26] O. Kedar and J. P. Solomon, The Fukaya  $A_\infty$  algebra of a non-orientable Lagrangian, preprint.
- [27] R. C. Kirby and L. R. Taylor, Pin structures on low-dimensional manifolds, Geometry of low-dimensional manifolds, 2 (Durham, 1989), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 151, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990, pp. 177–242.
- [28] E. Kosloff and J. P. Solomon, Non-commutative point-like bounding chains, preprint.
- [29] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology, second ed., American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 52, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [30] S. Y. Nemirovskii, The homology class of a Lagrangian Klein bottle, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **73** (2009), no. 4, 37–48, doi:10.1070/IM2009v073n04ABEH002462.
- [31] S. Y. Nemirovskii, Lagrangian Klein bottles in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , Geom. Funct. Anal. **19** (2009), no. 3, 902–909, doi:10.1007/s00039-009-0014-6.
- [32] R. Pandharipande, J. P. Solomon, and R. J. Tessler, Intersection theory on moduli of disks, open KdV and Virasoro, 2014, doi:10.48550/ARXIV.1409.2191.

- [33] L. Polterovich, The surgery of Lagrange submanifolds, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **1** (1991), no. 2, 198–210, doi:10.1007/BF01896378.
- [34] S. K. Rezhikov, Floer Homology via Twisted Loop Spaces, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2021, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Columbia University.
- [35] P. Seidel, Fukaya categories and Picard-Lefschetz theory, Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008, doi:10.4171/063.
- [36] V. Shevchishin, Lagrangian embeddings of the Klein bottle and the combinatorial properties of mapping class groups, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **73** (2009), no. 4, 153–224, doi:10.1070/IM2009v073n04ABEH002465.
- [37] V. Shevchishin and G. Smirnov, Symplectic triangle inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **148** (2020), no. 4, 1389–1397, doi:10.1090/proc/14842.
- [38] J. P. Solomon, Intersection theory on the moduli space of holomorphic curves with Lagrangian boundary conditions, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2006, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- [39] J. P. Solomon, Involutions, obstructions and mirror symmetry, *Adv. Math.* **367** (2020), 107107, 52, doi:10.1016/j.aim.2020.107107.
- [40] J. P. Solomon and S. B. Tukachinsky, Differential forms, Fukaya  $A_\infty$  algebras, and Gromov-Witten axioms, to appear in *J. Symplectic Geom.*, arXiv:1608.01304.
- [41] J. P. Solomon and S. B. Tukachinsky, Differential forms on orbifolds with corners, to appear in *J. Topol. Anal.*, arXiv:2011.10030.
- [42] J. P. Solomon and S. B. Tukachinsky, Relative quantum cohomology, to appear in *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:1906.04795.
- [43] J. P. Solomon and S. B. Tukachinsky, Point-like bounding chains in open Gromov-Witten theory, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **31** (2021), no. 5, 1245–1320, doi:10.1007/s00039-021-00583-3.
- [44] J.-Y. Welschinger, Invariants of real symplectic 4-manifolds and lower bounds in real enumerative geometry, *Invent. Math.* **162** (2005), no. 1, 195–234, doi:10.1007/s00222-005-0445-0.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY, GIVAT RAM, JERUSALEM, 91904, ISRAEL  
*Email address:* or.kedar@mail.huji.ac.il

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY, GIVAT RAM, JERUSALEM, 91904, ISRAEL  
*Email address:* jake@math.huji.ac.il