

# Polygons inscribed in Jordan curves with prescribed edge ratios

YAPING XU

School of Mathematics, Hunan University,  
Changsha 410082, China;  
email: xuyaping@hnu.edu.cn

ZE ZHOU

College of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University,  
Shenzhen 518060, China;  
email: zhouze@szu.edu.cn

## Abstract

*Let  $J$  be a simple closed curve in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) that is differentiable with non-zero derivative at a point  $A_0 \in J$ . For a tuple of positive reals  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), each of which is less than the sum of the others, we show that there exists a polygon  $Q_n$  inscribed in  $J$  with sides of lengths proportional to  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . As a consequence, we prove the existence of triangle inscribed in  $J$  similar to any given triangle.*

**Mathematics Subject Classifications (2020):** 53A04, 51M04, 30C35.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A polygon is an oriented piecewise linear closed curve in some Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ). The polygonal peg problem asks whether every simple closed curve admits an inscribed polygon of a given shape. An especially interesting type of such question is the longstanding square peg problem posed by Toeplitz [36]: *Does every continuous simple closed curve in the plane contain four points that are the vertices of a square?* Toeplitz's problem has been solved affirmatively for curves under various regularity conditions by Emch [4, 5, 6], Schnirelman [32], Jerrard [13], Stromquist [34], Tao [35], Matschke [23] and others. Yet the problem has remained open for general continuous curves. We refer the readers to Matschke's paper [21] for a survey together with an extensive list of further references on this problem.

Meanwhile, there was plenty of research on other types of quadrilaterals inscribed in given Jordan curves. Vaughan proved a celebrated result that every simple closed curve in the plane has an inscribed rectangle [25]. Subsequently, additional notable progress with respect to edge ratios of rectangles inscribed in smooth Jordan curves appeared in the works of Matschke [21], Hugelmeyer [12] and others. The latest development is that Greene-Lobb [7] proved rectangles with arbitrary edge ratios can be inscribed in any smooth simple closed curve in the plane. In addition, Makeev [18], Akopyan-Avvakumov [1] and Matschke [22] studied the more general problem of finding inscribed cyclic quadrilaterals for some classes of simple closed curves, which was further extended by Greene-Lobb [8] to including all smooth Jordan curves. For an account of further results, see the recent article of Schwartz [33].

On the other hand, many interesting results were established on triangles inscribed in given Jordan curves. This is a bit easier to deal with than the cases of quadrilaterals, since the number of points to grapple with is only three. In fact, Meyerson [24] and Kronheimer-Kronheimer [16] proved the existence of triangles inscribed in any simple closed curve and similar to any given triangle. Moreover, Nielsen [28] extended this result considerably by showing that the set of vertices of such triangles is dense in the given curve.

The above research was devoted to finding desired triangles and quadrilaterals up to similarities. For polygons with more than four edges, analogous results may not hold because the solution system is over-determined. For instance, it is easy to show an ellipse does not inscribe any cyclic  $n$ -gon with  $n \geq 5$ .

A natural problem is to relax the requirement of polygon's angles. Precisely, one asks the following question: *Does every simple closed curve have an inscribed polygon with any prescribed edge ratio?* When it is a circle, the problem was solved by the following theorem due to Penner [29]. Mention that relevant results also appeared in works of Pinelis [30], Schlenker [31] and Kouřimská-Skuppín-Springborn [15]. Let  $|A_i A_j|$  be the Euclidean length of the line segment that connects  $A_i$  and  $A_j$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Penner). *Given  $n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) positive reals  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , each of which is less than the sum of the others, then there exists a convex polygon  $Q_n = A_0 \cdots A_{n-1}$  inscribed in the unit circle such that the vector of distances*

$$|A_0 A_1|, |A_1 A_2|, \dots, |A_{n-2} A_{n-1}|, |A_{n-1} A_0|$$

*is proportional to  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Moreover,  $Q_n$  is unique up to isometries.*

For other simple closed curves, to the best of our knowledge, few results have been obtained except that Milgram [26], Meyerson [24], Wu [38] and Makeev [19] investigated the existence of edge-regular polygons inscribed in certain smooth curves.

In this paper we establish the following result which extends the existence part of Penner's theorem.


**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $J$  be an oriented simple closed curve in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) that is differentiable with non-zero derivative at  $A_0 \in J$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be positive reals, each of which is less than the sum of the others. Then there exists a tuple of ordered points  $A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}$  on  $J$  after  $A_0$  such that the vector of distances*

$$|A_0A_1|, |A_1A_2|, \dots, |A_{n-2}A_{n-1}|, |A_{n-1}A_0|$$

*is proportional to  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .*

Remark that this theorem includes the case that  $J$  is a knotted curve. Meanwhile, taking  $n = 3$ , we have the following consequence closely related to the works of Meyerson [24], Kronheimer-Kronheimer [16], Nielsen [28] and Gupta-Salzedo [10].

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $J$  be an oriented simple closed curve in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) that is differentiable with non-zero derivative at a point  $A_0 \in J$ . For any triangle  $T$ , there exist  $A_1, A_2 \in J$  such that  $T' = A_0A_1A_2$  forms a triangle similar to  $T$ .*



**Figure 1:** *Inscribed polygons may have collinear vertices or cross points*

As in Figure 1, an inscribed polygon  $Q_n = A_0A_1 \dots A_{n-1}$  possibly presents complicated patterns. Fortunately, when  $J$  is a strictly convex curve on the Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the polygon will be convex. Specifically, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.4.** *The polygon  $Q_n = A_0A_1 \dots A_{n-1}$  in Theorem 1.2 is convex provided  $J$  is a strictly convex curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .*

We hope to count the number of all ordered inscribed polygons starting at  $A_0$  with a given edge ratio. Let

$$\Delta^{n-1} = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid a_1 + \dots + a_n = 1\}$$

and let  $W$  consist of vectors  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Delta^{n-1}$  satisfying

$$a_j < \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^n a_i$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Apparently,  $W$  is a non-empty open subset of  $\Delta^{n-1}$ . The following result provides a partial answer to this question.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $J$  be an oriented simple closed  $C^1$  curve in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ). For any  $A_0 \in J$  and for almost every  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in W$ , there are at most finitely many ordered polygons inscribed in  $J$  starting at  $A_0$  with the vector of side-lengths proportional to  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .*

The paper is organized as follows: In next section we prove a lemma concerning polygonal curve inscribed in simple continuous arcs. We use the simplex  $\Delta^{n-1}$  to parameterize all candidate inscribed polygonal curves and reduce the proof to determining the topological degree of the associated test map. In Section 3 we give proofs of the mains theorems. The key ingredient of this part is showing Theorem 1.2 by exhausting the given Jordan curve via a sequence of simple continuous arcs. Under the assumption that  $J$  has a non-vanishing derivative at  $A_0$ , we assert that the resulting inscribed polygonal curve converges to a desired polygon. In Section 4, we pose some questions for further developments.

Throughout this paper, we use  $o(\cdot)$  to indicate that the decay rate of a certain function or sequence is faster than that of another function or sequence.

## 2. POLYGONAL CURVES INSCRIBED IN SIMPLE ARCS

We begin with a lemma regarding polygonal curves inscribed in simple arcs via the "configuration space/test map" scheme. Remark that similar methods have played significant roles in many problems in discrete geometry. See, for example, the works of Schnirelman [32], Vrećica-Živaljević [37], Matschke [20], Akopyan-Karasev [2], Liu-Zhou [17], Cantarella-Denne-McCleary [3] and many others.


**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\gamma : [p, q] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) be a simple continuous curve with  $\gamma(p) \neq \gamma(q)$ . Let  $(l_1, \dots, l_n)$  be a vector of positive reals. Then there exist*

$$p = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_{n-1} < s_n = q$$

*such that the vector of distances*

$$|A_0A_1|, |A_1A_2|, \dots, |A_{n-1}A_n|$$

*is proportional to  $(l_1, \dots, l_n)$ , where  $A_i = \gamma(s_i)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .*



**Figure 2:** Polygonal curve inscribed in a simple arc

*Proof.* Recall the simplex

$$\Delta^{n-1} = \{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \mid \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n > 0, \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n = 1\}.$$

For  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \Delta^{n-1}$ , let

$$s_0 = p, s_1 = p + \sigma_1(q - p), \dots, s_i = p + \sigma_i(q - p), \dots, s_n = q,$$

where

$$\sigma_i = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_i$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Setting  $A_i = \gamma(s_i)$ , we then define a test map  $f_\gamma : \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta^{n-1}$  as follows:

$$f_\gamma(\mu) = \frac{(|A_0A_1|, \dots, |A_{n-1}A_n|)}{\sum_{i=1}^n |A_{i-1}A_i|}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |A_{i-1}A_i| \geq |A_0A_n| = |\gamma(p) - \gamma(q)| > 0.$$

Hence  $f_\gamma$  is well-defined. Meanwhile, the following Proposition 2.2 implies  $f_\gamma$  is surjective. In particular, the vector

$$\frac{(l_1, \dots, l_n)}{l_1 + \dots + l_n} \in \Delta^{n-1}$$

is in the image of  $f_\gamma$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.**  $f_\gamma$  is a surjective map.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\mu^m\} \subset \Delta^{n-1}$  be a sequence approaching the faces. It is easy to see the image sequence  $\{f_\gamma(\mu^m)\}$  also approaches the faces of  $\Delta^{n-1}$ . That means  $f_\gamma$  is a proper map. Hence the topological degree of  $f_\gamma$  is well-defined. For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we define

$$H(t, \mu) = t\mu + (1 - t)f_\gamma(\mu).$$

Note that  $H$  forms a homotopy from  $f_\gamma$  to the identity map. Moreover, for each fixed  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H(t, \cdot)$  takes faces of  $\Delta^{n-1}$  to faces, which implies the homotopy

is proper. Due to the homotopy invariance property (see, e.g., [9, 11, 27]), one obtains

$$\deg(f_\gamma) = \deg(\text{id}) = 1.$$

As a result,  $f_\gamma$  is surjective.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** *An alternative approach to the above proposition is using the Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz lemma [14]. For this goal, one needs to continuously extend  $f_\gamma$  to map from  $\overline{\Delta}_{n-1}$  to  $\overline{\Delta}_{n-1}$  and construct a suitable KKM covering of  $\overline{\Delta}_{n-1}$ .*

### 3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

We hope to deduce Theorem 1.2 from Lemma 2.1. To this end, we will take a sequence of simple arcs exhausting the given simple closed curve  $J$  and then show the resulting sequence of inscribed polygonal curves converges to a non-degenerating polygon.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  be a parametric representation of  $J$  such that  $A_0 = \gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$  and  $\gamma'(0) = \gamma'(1) \neq \vec{0}$ . Now we apply Lemma 2.1 to the restriction of  $\gamma$  to the segment  $[p_m, q_m] \subset (0, 1)$ , where  $p_m \rightarrow 0$  and  $q_m \rightarrow 1$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . We obtain

$$p_m = s_0^m < s_1^m < \cdots < s_{n-1}^m < s_n^m = q_m$$

such that the vector of distances

$$|A_0^m A_1^m|, |A_1^m A_2^m|, \dots, |A_{n-1}^m A_n^m|$$

is proportional to  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , where  $A_i^m = \gamma(s_i^m)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . After passing to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$(s_0^m, s_1^m, \dots, s_n^m) \rightarrow (s_0^*, s_1^*, \dots, s_n^*).$$

Let  $A_i^* = \gamma(s_i^*)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . We claim  $A_0^* A_1^* \cdots A_{n-1}^*$  is the desired polygon. Specifically, we need to check that  $s_{i-1}^* < s_i^*$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Otherwise, suppose there exists  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $s_{i_0-1}^* = s_{i_0}^*$ . Then

$$|A_{i_0-1}^m A_{i_0}^m| \rightarrow 0.$$

Recall that the vector of distances

$$|A_0^m A_1^m|, |A_1^m A_2^m|, \dots, |A_{n-1}^m A_n^m|$$

is proportional to  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . One obtains

$$|A_{i-1}^m A_i^m| \rightarrow 0$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Namely, the inscribed polygon  $A_0^* A_1^* \dots A_{n-1}^*$  degenerates to the point  $A_0 = \gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$ .

Without loss of generality, we now assume  $s_i^* = 0$  for  $i < l_0$  and  $s_i^* = 1$  for  $i \geq l_0$ . By Taylor's formula, we get

$$A_i^m = \gamma(s_i^m) = \gamma(0) + \gamma'(0)s_i^m + o(|s_i^m|)$$

for  $i = 0, \dots, l_0 - 1$ . Hence

$$|A_0 A_0^m| = |\gamma'(0)|s_0^m + o(|s_0^m|).$$

Moreover, for  $i = 1, \dots, l_0 - 1$ , we are ready to see

$$|A_{i-1}^m A_i^m| = |\gamma'(0)|(s_i^m - s_{i-1}^m) + o(|s_i^m|).$$

As a result,

$$|A_0 A_0^m| + \sum_{i=1}^{l_0-1} |A_{i-1}^m A_i^m| = |\gamma'(0)|s_{l_0-1}^m + o(|s_{l_0-1}^m|).$$

Similarly, one obtains

$$\sum_{i=l_0+1}^n |A_{i-1}^m A_i^m| + |A_n^m A_0| = |\gamma'(1)|(1 - s_{l_0}^m) + o(|1 - s_{l_0}^m|).$$

In addition,

$$|A_{l_0-1}^m A_{l_0}^m| = |\gamma'(0)s_{l_0-1}^m + \gamma'(1)(1 - s_{l_0}^m)| + o(|s_{l_0-1}^m| + |1 - s_{l_0}^m|),$$

Because  $\gamma'(0) = \gamma'(1) \neq \vec{0}$ , combining the above relations gives

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{i=1, i \neq l_0}^n |A_{i-1}^m A_i^m| + |A_0 A_0^m| + |A_n^m A_0| \right) / |A_{l_0-1}^m A_{l_0}^m| = 1.$$

Note that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1, i \neq l_0}^n |A_{i-1}^m A_i^m| \right) / |A_{l_0-1}^m A_{l_0}^m| = \left( \sum_{i=1, i \neq l_0}^n a_i \right) / a_{l_0} > 1.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A_0 A_0^m| + |A_n^m A_0|}{|A_{l_0-1}^m A_{l_0}^m|} < 0,$$

which leads to a contradiction. We thus finish the proof. □

In some respects, the inscribed polygon plays an analogous role to the weak solutions in PDE theory. Fortunately, under certain circumstances we are able to regularize the weak solutions to classical ones.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** For simplicity, we identify the Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and use  $\text{Arg}(\cdot)$  to denote the argument of a non-zero complex number.


Let  $Q_n = A_0A_1 \cdots A_{n-1}$  be an ordered polygon inscribed in  $J$ . Without loss of generality, we assume the vertices  $A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}$  are arranged on  $J$  in counter-clockwise order. It suffices to check  $Q_n$  is a convex polygon. Notice that  $A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}$  are distinct complex numbers. Let us choose the branch of the argument function  $\text{Arg}(\cdot)$  that ranges in  $[0, 2\pi)$ . One needs to show

$$\text{Arg}\left(\frac{A_{i-1} - A_i}{A_{i+1} - A_i}\right) < \pi$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Indeed, when  $J$  is strictly convex and  $A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}$  are orderly arranged on  $J$ , it is easy to see

$$\text{Arg}\left(\frac{A_{i-1} - A_i}{A_{i+1} - A_i}\right) = \alpha_i < \pi,$$

where  $\alpha_i$  is the inner angle of triangle  $A_{i-1}A_iA_{i+1}$  at  $A_i$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$



**Figure 3:** Polygon inscribed in a strictly convex curve in the Euclidean plane

We now establish the finiteness property through the Regular Value Theorem and Sard's Theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Without loss of generality, we assume  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is a  $C^1$  parametric representation of  $J$  such that  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = A_0$ . As before, for each  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \Delta^{n-1}$ , we set

$$\sigma_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \mu_j, \quad A_i = \gamma(\sigma_i)$$



for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and define a test map  $g_\gamma : \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow W \subset \Delta^{n-1}$  as follows:

$$g_\gamma(\mu) = \frac{(|A_0A_1|, \dots, |A_{n-1}A_n|)}{\sum_{i=1}^n |A_{i-1}A_i|}.$$

Since  $\gamma$  is a  $C^1$  map, it is easy to see  $g_\gamma$  is continuous differentiable. Let  $M_0$  denote the set of critical points of  $g_\gamma$ . By Sard's Theorem (see, e.g., [9, 11, 27]), the set  $W_0 := g_\gamma(M_0)$  has measure zero. Therefore,  $W \setminus W_0$  is a subset of  $W$  with full measure. Recall that

$$\dim(\Delta^{n-1}) = \dim(W) = n - 1.$$

For  $a \in W \setminus W_0$ , due to the Regular Value Theorem,  $g_\gamma^{-1}(a)$  is a discrete set. Meanwhile, a similar argument to Theorem 1.2 implies  $g_\gamma$  is a proper map. That means  $g_\gamma^{-1}(a) \subset \Delta^{n-1}$  is compact. Consequently,  $g_\gamma^{-1}(a)$  is a finite point set, which completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. SOME QUESTIONS

The paper leaves the following problems for further investigation.

1. Does there exist a polygon inscribed in any simple closed curve with any prescribed edge ratio? When  $J$  is a plane curve and  $n = 3$ , the problem was affirmatively solved by Meyerson [24], Kronheimer-Kronheimer [16] and Nielsen [28]. For  $n > 3$ , one might attempt to approach the simple closed curve  $J$  with a sequence of smooth curves  $\{J^{(m)}\}$ . Let  $Q^{(m)}$  be a polygon inscribed in  $J^{(m)}$  realizing the prescribed edge ratio. The limit of a convergent subsequence of  $\{Q^{(m)}\}$  is then polygon  $Q$  inscribed in  $J$  with sides of lengths  $\mu a_1, \dots, \mu a_n$  for some  $\mu \geq 0$ . The difficulty is that  $Q$  might degenerate in the sense that all of its vertices are at the same point of  $J$ .
2. Under what conditions is the required polygon in Theorem 1.2 unique? Penner's theorem (Theorem 1.2) asserts the uniqueness in case that  $J$  is a circle. Moreover, for  $C^1$  curves Theorem 1.5 indicates that generically the solution space is a finite point set. On the other hand, if  $J$  includes the union of a circular arc and its center, then  $J$  has infinite inscribed triangles similar to some given shape. Apart from circles, we are looking for more simple closed curves so that the uniqueness still holds.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research of Y. Xu was supported by NSFC grant (No. 11631010) and the Postgraduate Scientific Research Innovation Project of Hunan Province (No. CX20210397). Research of Z. Zhou was supported by NSFC grant (No. 11631010).

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Akopyan and S. Avvakumov. Any cyclic quadrilateral can be inscribed in any closed convex smooth curve. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 6:Paper No. e7, 9, 2018.
- [2] A. Akopyan and R. Karasev. Inscribing a regular octahedron into polytopes. *Discrete Math.*, 313(1):122–128, 2013.
- [3] J. Cantarella, E. Denne, and J. McCleary. Configuration spaces, multijet transversality, and the square-peg problem. *Illinois J. Math.*, 66(3):385–420, 2022.
- [4] A. Emch. Some properties of closed convex curves in a plane. *Amer. J. Math.*, 35(4):407–412, 1913.
- [5] A. Emch. On the medians of a closed convex polygon. *Amer. J. Math.*, 37(1):19–28, 1915.
- [6] A. Emch. On some properties of the medians of closed continuous curves formed by analytic arcs. *Amer. J. Math.*, 38(1):6–18, 1916.
- [7] J. E Greene and A. Lobb. The rectangular peg problem. *Ann. of Math.*, 194(2):509–517, 2021.
- [8] J. E Greene and A. Lobb.Greene. Cyclic quadrilaterals and smooth Jordan curves. *Invent. Math.*, 1-5, 2023.
- [9] V. Guillemin and A. Pollack. Differential Topology. AMS Chelsea, Providence, 2010.
- [10] A. Gupta, S. Rubinstein-Salzedo. Inscribed triangles of Jordan curves in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Preprint, 2021, arXiv:2102.03953.
- [11] M. W. Hirsch. Differential topology. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 33. Springer, New York, 1976.
- [12] C. Hugelmeyer. Inscribed rectangles in a smooth Jordan curve attain at least one third of all aspect ratios. *Ann. of Math.*, 194(2):497–508, 2021.
- [13] R. P. Jerrard. Inscribed squares in plane curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 98(2):234–241, 1961.
- [14] B. Knaster, K. Kuratowski, S. Mazurkiewicz. Ein Beweis des Fixpunktsatzes für  $n$ -dimensionale Simplexe. *Fund. Math.*, 14(1), 132-137, 1929.

- [15] H. Kouřimská, L. Skuppin, and B. Springborn. A variational principle for cyclic polygons with prescribed edge lengths. In *Advances in discrete differential geometry*, 177–195. Springer, Berlin, 2016.
- [16] E. H. Kronheimer and P. B. Kronheimer. The tripos problem. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 2(1):182–192, 1981.
- [17] J. Liu and Z. Zhou. How many cages midscribe an egg. *Invent. Math.*, 203(2):655–673, 2016.
- [18] V. V. Makeev. On quadrangles inscribed in a closed curve. *Math. Notes*, 57(1):91–93, 1995.
- [19] V. V. Makeev. Some geometric properties of closed space curves and convex bodies. *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, 16(5):815–820, 2005.
- [20] B. Matschke. Equivariant topology methods in discrete geometry. PhD thesis, Freie Universität Berlin, 2011.
- [21] B. Matschke. A survey on the square peg problem. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 61(4):346–352, 2014.
- [22] B. Matschke. Quadrilaterals inscribed in convex curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 374(08):5719–5738, 2021.
- [23] B. Matschke. On the square peg problem and its relatives. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 375(09):6255–6280, 2022.
- [24] M. D. Meyerson. Equilateral triangles and continuous curves. *Fund. Math.*, 1(110):1–9, 1980.
- [25] M. D. Meyerson. Balancing acts. *Topology Proc.*, 6:59–75, 1981.
- [26] A. N. Milgram. Some topologically invariant metric properties. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 29(6):193–195, 1943.
- [27] J. Milnor. Topology from the differentiable viewpoint. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1997.
- [28] M. J. Nielsen. Triangles inscribed in simple closed curves. *Geom. Dedicata*, 43(3):291–297, 1992.
- [29] R. C. Penner. The decorated Teichmüller space of punctured surfaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 113(2):299–339, 1987.

- [30] I. Pinelis. Cyclic polygons with given edge lengths: existence and uniqueness. *J. Geom.*, 82(1):156–171, 2005.
- [31] J.-M. Schlenker. Small deformations of polygons and polyhedra. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(5):2155–2189, 2007.
- [32] L. G. Schnirelman. On certain geometrical properties of closed curves. *Usp. Mat. Nauk.*, 10:34–44, 1944.
- [33] R. E. Schwartz. Rectangles, curves, and Klein bottles. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 59(1):1–17, 2022.
- [34] W. Stromquist. Inscribed squares and square-like quadrilaterals in closed curves. *Mathematika*, 36(2):187–197, 1989.
- [35] T. Tao. An integration approach to the Toeplitz square peg problem. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 5:Paper No. e30, 63, 2017.
- [36] O. Toeplitz. Ueber einige Aufgaben der Analysis situs. *Verhandlungen der Schweizerischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Solothurn*, 4(197):29–30, 1911.
- [37] S. T. Vrećica and R. T. Živaljević. Fulton-MacPherson compactification, cyclohedra, and the polygonal pegs problem. *Israel J. Math.*, 184(1):221–249, 2011.
- [38] Y.-Q. Wu. Inscribing smooth knots with regular polygons. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 36(2):176–180, 2004.