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#### Abstract

Mkrtchyan and Steffen [J. Graph Theory, 70 (4), 473-482, 2012] showd that every class II simple graph can be decomposed into a maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable subgraph and a matching. They further conjectured that every graph $G$ with chromatic index $\Delta(G)+k$ $(k \geq 1)$ can be decomposed into a maximum $\Delta(G)$-edge-colorable subgraph (not necessarily class I) and a $k$-edge-colorable subgraph. In this paper, we first generalize their result to multigraphs and show that every multigraph $G$ with multiplicity $\mu$ can be decomposed into a maximum $\Delta(G)$-edge-colorable subgraph and a subgraph with maximum degree at most $\mu$. Then we prove that every graph $G$ with chromatic index $\Delta(G)+k$ can be decomposed into two class I subgraphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $\Delta\left(H_{1}\right)=\Delta(G)$ and $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=k$, which is a variation of their conjecture.
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## 1 Introduction

Graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected and may contain multiple edges, but no loops. Let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the sets of vertices and edges of a graph $G$, respectively. For a vertex $x \in V$, let $N(x)=\{v \mid x v \in E(G)\}$ and $E(x)=\{e \mid e$ is incident with $x\}$. The degree of $x \in V(G)$ is denoted by $d(x)=|E(x)|$. The minimum and maximum degrees of vertices in $G$ are denoted by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively. A graph $G$ is regular if $\delta(G)=\Delta(G)$. For two vertices $x$ and $y$, let $E(x, y)$ denote the set of edges between $x$ and $y$ and let $\mu_{G}(x, y)=|E(x, y)|$. $\mu(G)=\max \left\{\mu_{G}(x, y) \mid x, y \in V(G)\right\}$ is called the multiplicity of $G$. If there is no confusion from the context, we denote $\delta(G), \Delta(G), \mu(G), \mu_{G}(x, y)$ by $\delta, \Delta, \mu$, and $\mu(x, y)$ respectively.

[^0]An edge coloring of a graph is a function assigning values (colors) to the edges of the graph in such a way that any two adjacent edges receive different colors. A graph is $k$-edge-colorable if there is an edge coloring of the graph with colors from $C=\{1, \ldots, k\}$. The smallest integer $k$ such that $G$ is $k$-edge-colorable is called the chromatic index of $G$, and is denoted by $\chi^{\prime}(G)$. Clearly $\chi^{\prime}(G) \geq \Delta(G)$.

The classical theorems of Shannon and Vizing present upper bounds on $\chi^{\prime}(G)$ as follows.
Theorem 1.1 (Shannon [6]) For any graph $G, \Delta(G) \leq \chi^{\prime}(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{3 \Delta(G)}{2}\right\rfloor$.
Theorem 1.2 (Vizing [8]) For any graph $G, \Delta(G) \leq \chi^{\prime}(G) \leq \Delta(G)+\mu(G)$.
Let $G$ be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta$. It is said to be class $I$ if $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta$, otherwise it is class II. There are many hard problems related to edge coloring of graphs (see [5]). A subgraph $H$ of $G$ is maximum $\Delta$-colorable if it is $\Delta$-edge-colorable and contains as many edges as possible. The maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable subgraphs have been extensively studied (see [1, 2, [3, 4]). A decomposition of a graph $G$ is a set $D=\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k}\right\}$ of pairwise edge-disjoint subgraphs of $G$ that cover the set of edges of $G$. Since the decision problem of classifying graphs as class I or class II is NP-complete, and every class II graph contains a subgraph of class I, it is natural to consider how one could decompose a class II graph into subgraphs with certain properties, for example, into subgraphs of class I with degree conditions.

Mkrtchyan and Steffen [4] proved the following result.
Theorem 1.3 (Mkrtchyan and Steffen [4]) Let $G$ be a simple graph with $\Delta(G)=\Delta$ and $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+1$. Then $G$ can be decomposed into a maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable subgraph $H_{1}$ and a subgraph $H_{2}$ with $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)=\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=1$.

They conjectured that Theorem 1.3 can be generalized to graphs with multiple edges.
Conjecture 1.4 (Mkrtchyan and Steffen [4]) Let $G$ be a graph with $\Delta(G)=\Delta$ and $\chi^{\prime}(G)=$ $\Delta+k$ where $k \geq 1$. Then $G$ can be decomposed into a maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable subgraph $H_{1}$ and a subgraph $H_{2}$ such that $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)=k$.

In this paper, we first generalize Theorem 1.3 as follows.
Theorem 1.5 Let $G$ be a class II graph with multiplicity $\mu$ and maximum degree $\Delta$. Then $G$ can be decomposed into two subgraphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $H_{1}$ is maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable and $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right) \leq \mu$. Moreover, if $\mu \leq 2$ and $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+\mu$, then $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)=\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=\mu$.

In Theorem 1.5, if $G$ is simple and class II, then $\mu=1$ and $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=1=\mu$. Thus it implies Theorem 1.3 ,

Note that a graph has chromatic index one if and only if its maximum degree is one, and for simple graphs, it is easy to see that a maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable subgraph is class I by Vizing's adjacency lemma. However, Vizing's adjacency lemma only works for simple graphs
and consequently, as shown in [4], maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable subgraphs could be class II for multigraphs. Thus in Conjecture 1.4 $H_{1}$ or $H_{2}$ could be Class II.

Our second main result decomposes an edge colored graph into two Class I subgraphs, which is a variation of Conjecture 1.4 .

Theorem 1.6 Let $G$ be a graph with $\Delta(G)=\Delta$ and $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+k$ where $k \geq 1$. Then $G$ can be decomposed into two Class I subgraphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{1}\right)=\Delta\left(H_{1}\right)=\Delta$ and $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)=\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=k$.

The proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 will be presented in Section 3.

## 2 Preliminaries and Lemmas

In this section, we introduce additional notations and lemmas needed in the proofs of the theorems. Let $G$ be a graph. A $k$-vertex, $k^{+}$-vertex, or $k^{-}$-vertex is a vertex of degree $k$, at least $k$, or at most $k$, respectively. We denote the set of all $k$-vertices, $k^{+}$-vertices, or $k^{-}$-vertices in $V(G)$ by $V_{k}(G), V_{\geq k}(G)$, or $V_{\leq k}(G)$, respectively. For integers $r, s, t>0$, let $T=T(r, s, t)$ be the graph consisting of three vertices $x, y, z$ such that $\mu(x, y)=r, \mu(y, z)=s$, and $\mu(x, z)=t$. The graph $S_{d}=T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor\frac{d+1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$ is called a Shannon graph of degree $d$. Vizing [7] proved the following structural result for graphs achieving the upper bound in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.1 (Vizing [7]) Let $G$ be a graph with $\Delta(G)=\Delta \geq 4$. If $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\left\lfloor\frac{3}{2} \Delta\right\rfloor$, then $G$ contains a Shannon graph $S_{\Delta}$ as a subgraph.

We denote $\mathcal{C}^{k}(G)$ to be the set of all $k$-edge colorings of a graph $G$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{k}(G)$ and $C=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ be the color set. For a vertex $v \in V$, denote by $\varphi(v)=\{\varphi(e) \mid e \in E(v)\}$ the set of colors present at $v$ and $\bar{\varphi}(v)=C \backslash \varphi(v)$ the set of colors not assigned to any edge incident with $v$. A color $\gamma$ is said to be missing at $v$ if $\gamma \in \bar{\varphi}(v)$. For a color $\alpha$, let $E_{\alpha}=\{e \in E: \varphi(e)=\alpha\}$. For an edge set $E_{0} \subseteq E(G)$, let $\varphi\left(E_{0}\right)=\left\{c \in C \mid \varphi(e)=c\right.$ for some $\left.e \in E_{0}\right\}$. Let us start with the following observation.

Lemma 2.2 Let $G$ be a graph with $\Delta(G)=\Delta$ and $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+k$ where $k \geq 1$. Let $x$ be a vertex in $G$. If there is a $(\Delta+k)$-edge coloring $\varphi$ of $G$ and a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $\bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(v)=\emptyset$, then $G$ can be decomposed into two Class I subgraphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $\Delta\left(H_{1}\right)=d_{G}(x)$ and $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=\Delta+k-d_{G}(x)$. In particular, if $d_{G}(x)=\Delta$, then $\Delta\left(H_{1}\right)=\Delta$ and $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=k$.

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that $\varphi(x)=\left\{1,2, \ldots, d_{G}(x)\right\}$. Let

$$
H_{1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{d_{G}(x)} E_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad H_{2}=\bigcup_{i=d_{G}(x)+1}^{\Delta+k} E_{i} .
$$

Clearly $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{1}\right) \leq d_{G}(x)$ and $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right) \leq \Delta+k-d_{G}(x)$. Note $d_{H_{1}}(x)=d_{G}(x)$ and $\Delta+k \leq$ $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{1}\right)+\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)$. Thus $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{1}\right)=\Delta\left(H_{1}\right)=d_{H_{1}}(x)=d_{G}(x)$. Since $\bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(v)=\emptyset$, we have $d_{H_{2}}(v)=\Delta+k-d_{G}(x)$. Therefore $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)=\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=d_{H_{2}}(v)=\Delta+k-d_{G}(x)$, as desired.

Let $G$ be a graph with an edge $e \in E(x, y)$, and let $\varphi$ be an edge coloring of $G-e$. A sequence $F=\left(e_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}, y_{p}\right)$ consisting of vertices and distinct edges is called a multi-fan at $x$ with respect to $e$ and $\varphi$ if $y_{1}=y, e_{1}=e$, and for each $2 \leq i \leq p$, we have $e_{i} \in E\left(x, y_{i}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(e_{i}\right) \in \bar{\varphi}\left(y_{j}\right)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq i-1$. A linear sequence from $y_{1}$ to $y_{s}$, denoted by $L=\left(e_{1}, y_{1}, e_{2}, y_{2}, \ldots, e_{s}, y_{s}\right)$, is a sequence consisting of distinct vertices and distinct edges such that $e_{i} \in E\left(x, y_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\varphi\left(e_{i}\right) \in \bar{\varphi}\left(y_{i-1}\right)$ for each $2 \leq i \leq p$. Clearly for any $y_{i} \in V(F)$, the multi-fan $F$ contains a linear sequence from $y$ to $y_{i}$, as we could just pick the shortest multi-fan contained in $F$ ending with $y_{i}$.

A shifting from $y_{1}$ to $y_{s}$ in a linear sequence $L=\left(e_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, e_{s}, y_{s}\right)$ is an operation that obtains a new edge coloring of $G-e_{s}$ from $\varphi$ by recoloring the edge $e_{t}$ with the color of $e_{t+1}$ under $\varphi$ sequentially for each $1 \leq t \leq s-1$ and uncoloring the edge $e_{s}$.

An edge $e \in E(x, y)$ is called a critical edge of $G$ if $\chi^{\prime}(G-e)<\chi^{\prime}(G)$. Clearly if $e$ is critical, then $\chi^{\prime}(G-e)=\chi^{\prime}(G)-1$. We first have the following result regarding multi-fans.

Lemma 2.3 Let $G$ be a graph with $\Delta(G)=\Delta$ and multiplicity $\mu$. Suppose that $\chi^{\prime}(G) \geq \Delta+1$ and $e \in E(x, y)$ is a critical edge of $G$. Let $F=\left(e_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}, y_{p}\right)$ be a maximal multi-fan at $x$ with respect to $e$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(G)-1(G-e)$. Then we have the following:
(a) (Stiebitz et al. [5]) $|V(F)| \geq 2$ and $\sum_{z \in V(F)}\left(d_{G}(z)+\mu_{F}(x, z)-\left(\chi^{\prime}(G)-1\right)\right)=2$, where $\mu_{F}(x, z)$ is the number of edges between $x$ and $z$ in $F$.
(b) If $d_{G}(y) \leq \chi^{\prime}(G)-\mu$, then $F$ contains a vertex $z \neq y$ with $d_{G}(z) \geq \chi^{\prime}(G)-\mu$.

Proof. (a) is Theorem 2.1 in book [5] due to Stiebitz et al.
(b) Since $\mu \geq \mu_{F}(x, z)$, by (a) we have

$$
2=\sum_{z \in V(F)}\left(d_{G}(z)+\mu_{F}(x, z)-\left(\chi^{\prime}(G)-1\right)\right) \leq \sum_{z \in V(F)}\left(d_{G}(z)+\mu-\left(\chi^{\prime}(G)-1\right)\right) .
$$

Thus $F$ contains either a vertex $z$ with $d_{G}(z)+\mu-\left(\chi^{\prime}(G)-1\right)>1$, or two vertices $z_{i}$ with $i=1,2$ such that $d_{G}\left(z_{i}\right)+\mu-\left(\chi^{\prime}(G)-1\right)=1$. In the former case we have $d_{G}(z)>$ $\left(\chi^{\prime}(G)-1\right)-\mu+1=\chi^{\prime}(G)-\mu$, so $z \neq y$ (because $d_{G}(y) \leq \chi^{\prime}(G)-\mu$ ). In the latter case we have $d_{G}\left(z_{i}\right) \geq\left(\chi^{\prime}(G)-1\right)-\mu+1=\chi^{\prime}(G)-\mu$ and one of $z_{1}$ or $z_{2}$ is not $y$, as desired.

## 3 Decomposition of class II graphs

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.5 and 1.6. We first would like to point out that the proof would be much easier if there is no restriction on the maximum degrees of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$.

Proposition 3.1 Every class II graph can be decomposed into two class I graphs.

Proof. Let $G$ be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta$ and $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+k$ where $k \geq 1$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta+k}(G)$ such that $\left|E_{1}\right|$ is minimum, where $E_{1}$ is the set of edges in $G$ colored by the color 1. Let $e \in E(x, y)$ and $e \in E_{1}$.

By the minimality of $\left|E_{1}\right|$, we have $\bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(y)=\emptyset$. Otherwise one may recolor the edge $e$ with a color $i \in \bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(y)$, which contradicts the minimality of $\left|E_{1}\right|$. By Lemma 2.2, $G$ can be decomposed into two class I subgraphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $\Delta\left(H_{1}\right)=d_{G}(x)$ and $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=\Delta+k-d_{G}(x)$.

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.5.
Theorem 1.5 Let $G$ be a class II graph with multiplicity $\mu$ and maximum degree $\Delta$. Then $G$ can be decomposed into two subgraphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $H_{1}$ is maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable and $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right) \leq \mu$. Moreover, if $\mu \leq 2$ and $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+\mu$, then $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)=\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=\mu$.
Proof. We first prove the general case. Take a maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable subgraph $H_{1}$ of $G$ minimizing

$$
t\left(H_{2}\right)=\sum_{d_{H_{2}}(v)>\mu} d_{H_{2}}(v),
$$

where $H_{2}=G-H_{1}$. It is sufficient to show $t\left(H_{2}\right)=0$. Suppose to the contrary that $t\left(H_{2}\right) \geq 1$. Let $y$ be a vertex with $d_{H_{2}}(y)>\mu$ and $e \in E(x, y)$ be an edge in $H_{2}$.

Since $H_{1}$ is maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable, the edge $e$ is critical in $G^{\prime}=H_{1}+e$ and $\chi^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=$ $\Delta+1$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta}\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $F$ be a maximal multi-fan at $x$ with respect to $e$ and $\varphi$. Since $d_{H_{2}}(y)>\mu$ and $d_{G}(y) \leq \Delta$, we have

$$
d_{G^{\prime}}(y)=d_{H_{1}}(y)+1=d_{G}(y)-d_{H_{2}}(y)+1 \leq \Delta-(\mu+1)+1<\Delta-\mu+1 \leq \chi^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\mu\left(G^{\prime}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 2.3 (b) with $\chi^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Delta+1 \geq \Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)+1$, there is a vertex $z \in V(F) \backslash\{y\}$ such that $d_{H_{1}}(z) \geq \chi^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\mu\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq \Delta+1-\mu$. This implies $d_{H_{2}}(z) \leq \mu-1$.

By the definition of a multi-fan, $F$ has a linear sequence $L$ from $y$ to $z$ with the last edge $f \in E(x, z)$. By shifting colors along $L$, we see that $H_{1}^{\prime}=G^{\prime}-f=H_{1}+e-f$ is $\Delta$-edge-colorable and has the same number of edges as $H_{1}$. Thus $H_{1}^{\prime}$ is also maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable. Let $H_{2}^{\prime}=G-H_{1}^{\prime}$. Then $d_{H_{2}}(w)=d_{H_{2}^{\prime}}(w)$ for each vertex $w \neq y, z, d_{H_{2}^{\prime}}(y)=d_{H_{2}}(y)-1 \geq \mu$ and $d_{H_{2}^{\prime}}(z)=d_{H_{2}}(z)+1$. Since $d_{H_{2}}(z) \leq \mu-1$, we have $d_{H_{2}^{\prime}}(z) \leq \mu$. Therefore

$$
t\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}t\left(H_{2}\right)-1 & \text { if } d_{H_{2}}(y)>\mu+1 \\ t\left(H_{2}\right)-d_{H_{2}}(y) & \text { if } d_{H_{2}}(y)=\mu+1\end{cases}
$$

In either case we have $t\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right)<t\left(H_{2}\right)$, which contradicts the choice of $H_{1}$. This proves the general case.

Now we prove the "moreover" part. Assume that $\mu \leq 2$ and $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+\mu$. By the first part, let $H_{1}$ be a maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable subgraph, such that $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=\Delta\left(G-H_{1}\right) \leq \mu$ where $H_{2}=G-H_{1}$, and the number of odd cycles in $H_{2}$ is smallest. We will show $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)=$ $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=\mu$. Note $1 \leq \Delta\left(H_{2}\right) \leq \mu \leq 2$.

If $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=1$, then $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)=1$ and thus $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+1$, so $\mu=1$. Therefore $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)=$ $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=\mu$.

Now assume $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=2$. Then $\mu=2$ and $H_{2}$ consists of vertex disjoint cycles and paths. To show $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)=2$, it is sufficient to show that $H_{2}$ contains no odd cycles. Suppose by contradiction that $H_{2}$ contains an odd cycle and $e \in E(x, y)$ is an edge in $E\left(H_{2}\right)$ lying in an odd cycle of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.

Similar to the argument in the first part, $e$ is critical in $G^{\prime}=H_{1}+e$ and $\chi^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Delta+1$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta}\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $F$ be a maximal multi-fan at $x$ with respect to $e$ and $\varphi$. Since $d_{H_{2}}(y)=2$ and $d_{G}(y) \leq \Delta$, we have $d_{G^{\prime}}(y) \leq \Delta-1=\Delta-\mu+1 \leq \chi^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\mu\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Then by Lemma 2.3 with $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+1 \geq \Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)+1, F$ has a vertex $z \neq y$ such that $d_{H_{1}}(z) \geq \chi^{\prime}(G)-\mu\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq \Delta-1$. So $d_{H_{2}}(z) \leq 1$. This implies that $z$ is an endvertex of a path or a 0 -vertex in $H_{2}$. Again $F$ has a linear sequence $L$ from $y$ to $z$ with last edge $f \in E(x, z)$, and by shifting colors along $L$, we see that $H_{1}^{\prime}=G^{\prime}-f=H_{1}+e-f$ is maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable. We have that $\Delta\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right)=2$, where $H_{2}^{\prime}=G-H_{1}^{\prime}=H_{2}-e+f$, and the number of odd cycles in $H_{2}^{\prime}$ is one less than in $H_{2}$. This contradicts the choice of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ and thus proves the "moreover" part.

For the proof of Theorem 1.6, we introduce additional notations. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{k}(G)$. For any two distinct colors $\alpha$ and $\beta$, let $G_{\varphi}(\alpha, \beta)$ be the subgraph of $G$ induced by $E_{\alpha} \cup E_{\beta}$. The components of $G_{\varphi}(\alpha, \beta)$ are called $(\alpha, \beta)$-chains. Clearly, each $(\alpha, \beta)$-chain is either a path or a cycle of edges alternately colored with $\alpha$ and $\beta$. For each $(\alpha, \beta)$-chain $P$, let $\varphi / P$ denote the $k$-edge-coloring of $G$ obtained from $\varphi$ by exchanging colors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on $P$.

For any $v \in V\left(G_{\varphi}(\alpha, \beta)\right)$, let $P_{v}(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$ denote the unique $(\alpha, \beta)$-chain containing $v$. Note that, for any two vertices $u, v \in V\left(G_{\varphi}(\alpha, \beta)\right)$, either $P_{u}(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)=P_{v}(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$ or $P_{u}(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$ is vertex-disjoint from $P_{v}(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$. This fact will be used very often without mentioning. We are ready to prove Theorem 1.6 ,

Theorem 1.6 Let $G$ be a graph with $\Delta(G)=\Delta$ and $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+k$ where $k \geq 1$. Then $G$ can be decomposed into two Class I subgraphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{1}\right)=\Delta\left(H_{1}\right)=\Delta$ and $\chi^{\prime}\left(H_{2}\right)=\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=k$.
Proof. We prove the theorem by contradiction. Let $G$ be a counterexample minimizing $\Delta=\Delta(G)$. Clearly, $\Delta \geq 3$.

Let $x \in V(G)$ be a $\Delta$-vertex. We have the following claim.
Claim 1 For any $y \in V_{\geq \Delta-1}(G) \backslash\{x\}$, we have $|E(x, y)| \geq d(y)-k+1$. Consequently $V_{\geq \Delta-1}(G) \subseteq N(x) \cup\{x\}$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $|E(x, y)|<d(y)-k+1$. Let $\varphi \in C^{\Delta+k}(G)$ be a coloring such that $|\bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(y)|$ is minimum.

Define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(y)=\overline{\varphi(x) \cup \varphi(y)}, \\
& C_{2}=\bar{\varphi}(x) \backslash \bar{\varphi}(y)=\varphi(y) \backslash \varphi(x), \\
& C_{3}=\bar{\varphi}(y) \backslash \bar{\varphi}(x)=\varphi(x) \backslash \varphi(y),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { and } C_{4}=\varphi(x) \cap \varphi(y)
$$

It is easy to see that $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, C_{4}$ are pairwise disjoint and form a partition of the color set $C=\{1,2, \ldots, \Delta+k\}$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $C_{1}=\bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(y) \neq \emptyset$.

Subclaim 1.1 $P_{x}(\alpha, \eta, \varphi)=P_{y}(\alpha, \eta, \varphi)$ for any two colors $\alpha \in C_{1}$ and $\eta \in C_{4}$.
Proof. Otherwise let $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi / P_{y}(\alpha, \eta, \varphi)$. Then $\bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(y)=(\bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(y)) \backslash\{\alpha\}$, a contradiction to the minimality of $|\bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(y)|$.

Subclaim 1.2 $\varphi(E(x) \backslash E(x, y)) \cap C_{4} \neq \emptyset$.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary $\varphi(E(x) \backslash E(x, y)) \cap C_{4}=\emptyset$. Since $\varphi(E(x, y)) \subseteq C_{4}$, we have $C_{4}=\varphi(E(x, y))$. This implies that $C_{2}=\varphi(E(y) \backslash E(x, y))$ and $C_{3}=\varphi(E(x) \backslash E(x, y))$. Thus $\left|C_{4}\right|=|E(x, y)|,\left|C_{2}\right|=d(y)-|E(x, y)|$, and $\left|C_{3}\right|=d(x)-|E(x, y)|=\Delta-|E(x, y)|$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta+k=|C| & =\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{2}\right|+\left|C_{3}\right|+\left|C_{4}\right| \\
& =\left|C_{1}\right|+\Delta+d(y)-|E(x, y)| \\
& >1+\Delta+d(y)-(d(y)-k+1) \\
& =\Delta+k .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction proves the subclaim.
By Subclaim 1.2, let $z_{1} \in N(x) \backslash\{y\}$ and $e_{1} \in E\left(x, z_{1}\right)$ such that $\varphi\left(e_{1}\right)=\eta \in C_{4}$.
Subclaim 1.3 $\bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right) \cap\left(C_{1} \cup C_{4}\right)=\emptyset$. Therefore $\bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right) \subseteq C_{2} \cup C_{3}$.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a color $\alpha \in \bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right) \cap\left(C_{1} \cup C_{4}\right)$.
If $\alpha \in C_{1}$, then $P_{x}(\alpha, \eta, \varphi)=P_{z_{1}}(\alpha, \eta, \varphi)=x z_{1}$, a contradiction to Subclaim 1.1.
Assume $\alpha \in C_{4}$. Let $\beta \in C_{1}$. Then $P_{z_{1}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$ does not contain $x, y$ by Subclaim 1.1, Let $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi / P_{z_{1}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$. Then $\beta \in \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $\beta \in \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(y)$. Note $\bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(y)=\bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(y)$. Thus we are back to the previous case.

By Subclaim 1.3, $\bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right) \subseteq C_{2} \cup C_{3}$. Since $\left|\bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right)\right|=\Delta+k-d\left(z_{1}\right) \geq k$ and $\left|C_{2}\right|=$ $|\bar{\varphi}(x)|-\left|C_{1}\right|=k-\left|C_{1}\right|<k$, we have $\bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right) \cap C_{3} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\gamma_{1}$ be a color in $\bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right) \cap C_{3}$. Then there are $z_{2} \in N(x)$ and $e_{2} \in E\left(x, z_{2}\right)$ such that $\varphi\left(x z_{2}\right)=\gamma_{1}$. Since $\gamma_{1} \in \bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right) \cap \bar{\varphi}(y)$, we have $z_{2} \neq z_{1}$ and $z_{2} \neq y$.

Subclaim $1.4 \bar{\varphi}\left(z_{2}\right) \subseteq C_{2} \cup C_{3}=(\bar{\varphi}(x) \cup \bar{\varphi}(y)) \backslash(\bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(y))$.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a color $\alpha \in \bar{\varphi}\left(z_{2}\right)$ with $\alpha \notin C_{2} \cup C_{3}$.
If $\alpha \in C_{1}$, then $P_{x}\left(\alpha, \gamma_{1}, \varphi\right)=P_{z_{2}}\left(\alpha, \gamma_{1}, \varphi\right)=x z_{2}$. Thus $P_{z_{1}}\left(\alpha, \gamma_{1}, \varphi\right)$ does not contain $x$. Note that both $\alpha$ and $\gamma_{1}$ are missing at $y$. Let $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi / P_{z_{1}}\left(\alpha, \gamma_{1}, \varphi\right)$. Then $\alpha \in \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $\alpha \in \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(y)$, a contradiction to Subclaim 1.3,

Now assume $\alpha \in C_{4}$. Let $\beta$ be a color in $C_{1}$. Then $P_{z_{2}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$ does not contain $x$ or $y$ by Subclaim 1.1. Let $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi / P_{z_{2}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$. Then $\beta \in \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)$ and $\beta \in \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(y)=C_{1}$, where we have reached the case above with $\beta$ replacing $\alpha$.

Note that $\left|\bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right)\right|+\left|\bar{\varphi}\left(z_{2}\right)\right|=2(\Delta+k)-d\left(z_{1}\right)-d\left(z_{2}\right) \geq 2 k$ and $\left|C_{2} \cup C_{3}\right|=|\bar{\varphi}(x)|+|\bar{\varphi}(y)|-$ $2\left|C_{1}\right| \leq k+(k+1)-2=2 k-1$. By Subclaims 1.3 and 1.4, $\bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right) \cup \bar{\varphi}\left(z_{2}\right) \subseteq C_{2} \cup C_{3}$. Thus $\bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right) \cap \bar{\varphi}\left(z_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\beta$ be a color in $\bar{\varphi}\left(z_{1}\right) \cap \bar{\varphi}\left(z_{2}\right)$. Then $\beta \in C_{2} \cup C_{3}$. Let $\alpha$ be a color in $C_{1}$.

If $\beta \in C_{2}$, then $\beta, \alpha \in \bar{\varphi}(x)$ and at least one of $P_{z_{1}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi), P_{z_{2}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$ does not contain $y$.
If $\beta \in C_{3}$, then $\beta, \alpha \in \bar{\varphi}(y)$ and at least one of $P_{z_{1}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$ and $P_{z_{2}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$ does not contain $x$.

In either case, at least one of $P_{z_{1}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi), P_{z_{2}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$ does not contain $x$ or $y$.
If $P_{z_{1}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$ does not contain $x$ or $y$, let $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi / P_{z_{1}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$. Then $\alpha \in \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $\alpha \in \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(y)$, a contradiction to Subclaim 1.3,

If $P_{z_{2}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$ does not contain $x$ or $y$, let $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi / P_{z_{2}}(\beta, \alpha, \varphi)$. Then $\alpha \in \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)$ and $\alpha \in \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}^{\prime}(y)$, a contradiction to Subclaim 1.4. This completes the proof of Claim 1 .

By Claim 1 , every $(\Delta-1)^{+}$-vertex is either $x$ or is adjacent to $x$. The next claim shows that there are at most two $(\Delta-1)^{+}$-vertices in $G$.

Claim 2 There is at most one $(\Delta-1)^{+}$-vertex in $N(x)$.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exist two $(\Delta-1)^{+}$-vertices $y, z \in N(x)$. By Claim $\mathbb{1}$,

$$
\Delta=d(x) \geq|E(x, y)|+|E(x, z)| \geq d(y)-k+1+d(z)-k+1 \geq 2 \Delta-2 k
$$

Thus $k \geq \frac{\Delta}{2}$. On the other hand, we know $k \leq \frac{\Delta}{2}$ by Theorem 1.1. This implies that $k=\frac{\Delta}{2}, \Delta$ is even, $d(y)=d(z)=\Delta-1$, and $N(x)=\{y, z\}$. Thus by Claim 亿 we have $V_{\geq \Delta-1}(G)=\{x, y, z\}$. However, Theorem 2.1 implies that $G$ must contain a Shannon graph of degree $\Delta$ as a subgraph which contains at least three $\Delta$-vertices, a contradiction.

Let $y \in N(x)$ such that $d_{G}(y)=\max \left\{d_{G}(z) \mid z \in N(x)\right\}$. Define $e \in E(x, y)$. Then, each vertex in $V(G)-\{x, y\}$ has degree at most $\Delta-2$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\Delta+k}(G)$ and $\alpha=\varphi(e)$. Let $G^{\prime}=G-E_{\alpha}$. Then $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Delta-1$ and $\chi^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Delta+k-1$. Thus by the minimality of $\Delta, G^{\prime}=G-E_{\alpha}$ can be decomposed into two class I subgraphs $H_{1}^{\prime}$ and $H_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $\Delta\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\Delta-1$ and $\Delta\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right)=k$. Let $H_{1}=H_{1}^{\prime}+E_{\alpha}$ and $H_{2}=H_{2}^{\prime}$. Since $V_{\geq \Delta-1}(G) \subseteq\{x, y\}$, we have $\Delta\left(H_{1}\right)=\Delta\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right)+1=\Delta$. Thus $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ form a desired decomposition. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6,

## 4 Concluding remarks

Theorem 1.6 states that the maximum degree of the two subgraphs are $\Delta$ and $k$ respectively. We believe that it can be generalized as follows.

Conjecture 4.1 Let $G$ be a graph with $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+k$ and $p$, $q$ be two positive integers such that $p+q=\Delta+k$ with $p, q \leq \Delta$. Then $G$ can be decomposed into two class I subgraphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $\Delta\left(H_{1}\right)=p$ and $\Delta\left(H_{2}\right)=q$.

Regarding maximum $\Delta$-edge-colorable subgraphs, Mkrtchyan and Steffen (4) proved the following.

Theorem 4.2 (Mkrtchyan and Steffen [4]) Let $G$ be a bridgeless cubic graph and $M$ be a perfect matching of $G$. Then there is a maximum 3-edge-colorable subgraph $H$ such that $M \cup E(H)=E(G)$.

We believe that Theorem 4.2 can be generalized as follows:
Conjecture 4.3 Let $G$ be a simple graph. Then for each maximum matching $M$ of $G$, there is a maximum $\Delta$-colorable subgraph $H$ of $G$ with $M \cup E(H)=E(G)$.

Conjecture 4.4 Let $G$ be an r-regular graph with $\chi^{\prime}(G)=\Delta+1$. Then for each maximum matching $M$ of $G$, there is a maximum $\Delta$-colorable subgraph $H$ of $G$ with $M \cup E(H)=E(G)$.
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