

PARITY OF RANKS OF JACOBIANS OF CURVES

VLADIMIR DOKCHITSER, HOLLY GREEN, ALEXANDROS KONSTANTINOU, ADAM MORGAN

ABSTRACT. We investigate Selmer groups of Jacobians of curves that admit an action of a non-trivial group of automorphisms, and give applications to the study of the parity of Selmer ranks. Under the Shafarevich–Tate conjecture, we give an expression for the parity of the Mordell–Weil rank of an arbitrary Jacobian in terms of purely local invariants; the latter can be seen as an arithmetic analogue of local root numbers, which, under the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, similarly control parities of ranks of abelian varieties. The core of the paper is devoted to developing the theory of Brauer relations and regulator constants in the context of Galois covers of curves.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Pseudo Brauer relations and regulator constants	8
3. Pseudo Brauer relations and isogenies	12
4. G -action on Selmer groups	16
5. Differentials and deficiency	18
6. The local invariant $\Lambda_{\Theta}(X/\mathcal{K})$	20
7. Local formulae for Selmer rank parities	23
8. Parity of ranks of Jacobians	25
Appendix A. Étale algebras, curves and Jacobians	28
Appendix B. Induction theorem for permutation characters	35
References	39

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper concerns rational points and Selmer groups of Jacobians of curves that have a finite group of automorphisms acting on them. The main applications are to the study of the parity of the Mordell–Weil rank of Jacobians, and are motivated by the parity conjecture, a classical consequence of the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. Our approach is somewhat heretical, in that we make extensive use of ramified covers and end up using higher genus curves to understand ones of lower genus.

Convention. Throughout this paper, curves are assumed to be smooth and proper, but are not assumed to be connected (and neither are the connected components assumed to be geometrically connected). For lack of a reference we detail the relevant properties of these curves and their Jacobians in Appendix A. We have attempted to phrase our main results in a way that avoids this technical distinction.

1.1. An arithmetic analogue of root numbers. The conjectural framework of L -functions tells us that for an abelian variety over a number field A/K , the sign in the functional equation of its L -function is given by the product over all places of its local root numbers $\prod_v w(A/K_v)$. The latter are purely local invariants, defined in terms of the underlying local Galois representation. The sign in the functional equation controls the parity of the order of the zero of the L -function at the centre of the critical strip, so, in view of the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, one then expects the following prediction:

Conjecture (Parity Conjecture). *For an abelian variety A over a number field K ,*

$$(-1)^{\mathrm{rk} A} = \prod_{v \text{ place of } K} w(A/K_v).$$

We will define local invariants $w'(Y/K_v)$ and prove that they control the parity of ranks of Jacobians Jac_Y in an analogous fashion. Our result assumes the Shafarevich–Tate conjecture on the finiteness of III — however, it bypasses the conjectured analytic continuation of L -functions, their conjectured functional equation and the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture! Strictly speaking, our construction and the definition of $w'(Y/K_v)$ depend on a choice of K -rational map $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ for the underlying curve Y , which we have suppressed in the statement below.

Theorem 1.1 (=Theorem 8.16(i)). *If the Shafarevich–Tate conjecture holds for Jacobians of curves over a number field K then for all curves Y/K*

$$(-1)^{\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Jac}_Y} = \prod_{v \text{ place of } K} w'(Y/K_v).$$

We will not attempt to compare local root numbers $w(Y/K_v)$ to the invariants $w'(Y/K_v)$ that we define. The constructions are very different, although there is some similarity in that both are built up using “induction in degree 0” from basic groups (cyclic for w , dihedral for w'), even if in one case these are Galois groups of local fields and in the other automorphism groups of covers.

1.2. Arithmetic of Jacobians for Galois covers. Our typical setting will be that we will have a Galois cover of curves $X \rightarrow X/G$ with finite automorphism group G . We will be interested in the arithmetic of the Jacobians of the quotient curves X/H for subgroups $H \leq G$, and in the rational points and Selmer groups of Jac_X as G -representations.

Before delving into the study of parity of ranks, we establish some basic foundational results for this setting. Intuitively, we think of Jac_X with its G -action as an analogue of A/F with a $\mathrm{Gal}(F/K)$ -action for an abelian variety A/K . As the theorem below shows, many key properties of Selmer groups and rational points carry over.

Notation 1.2. We write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the usual inner product of complex characters of G -representations and we have chosen arbitrary embeddings $\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \mathbb{Q}_p \subset \mathbb{C}$ for the purpose of comparing characters.

We write $\mathcal{X}_p(A)$ for the dual p^∞ -Selmer group of an abelian variety A , and rk_p for its p^∞ -Selmer rank (see §1.8). Thus \mathcal{X}_p is a \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space of dimension $\mathrm{rk}_p A = \mathrm{rk} A + \delta_p$ where $\mathrm{III}(A)[p^\infty] \cong (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\delta_p} \times (\text{finite})$ as an abelian group, so that δ_p is conjecturally 0.

Theorem 1.3 (=Corollary 4.3). *Let X/K be a curve over a number field, let G be a finite group of K -automorphisms of X . Then rational points and p^∞ -Selmer groups satisfy “Galois descent”:*

- (1) $(\mathrm{Jac}_X(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^G \simeq \mathrm{Jac}_{X/G}(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$,
- (2) $\mathcal{X}_p(\mathrm{Jac}_X)^G \simeq \mathcal{X}_p(\mathrm{Jac}_{X/G})$.

Moreover, if a representation ρ does not appear in the ℓ -adic Tate module $V_\ell(\mathrm{Jac}_X) = T_\ell(\mathrm{Jac}_X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ then it does not appear in rational points or in p^∞ -Selmer groups:

$$\langle \rho, V_\ell(\mathrm{Jac}_X) \rangle = 0 \implies \langle \rho, \mathrm{Jac}_X(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rangle = \langle \rho, \mathcal{X}_p(\mathrm{Jac}_X) \rangle = 0.$$

Finally, $\mathcal{X}_p(\mathrm{Jac}_X)$ is self-dual as a G -representation.

Example 1.4. Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K given by $E : y^2 = f(x)$ for a separable cubic $f(x) \in K[x]$. We view E as a degree 3 cover of \mathbb{P}^1 (with parameter y). The Galois closure of $K(E)/K(y)$ is an S_3 -extension of $K(y)$ which has the following field diagram, where $D : \Delta^2 = g(y^2)$, $B : \{y^2 = f(x), \Delta^2 = g(y^2)\}$ and $g(y^2) \in K[y]$ is the discriminant of $f(x) - y^2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & K(B) = K(y, x, \Delta) & \\
 & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\
 K(E) = K(y, x) & & K(D) = K(y, \Delta) \\
 & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\
 & K(y) &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the decomposition $\text{Jac}_B(K) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{1}^{\oplus a} \oplus \epsilon^{\oplus b} \oplus \rho^{\oplus c}$ into irreducibles, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{1}, \epsilon, \rho$ denote the trivial, sign and the 2-dimensional irreducible representation of S_3 . Applying Theorem 1.3(1) with $G = S_3$ and comparing dimensions gives $a = \text{rk Jac}_{\mathbb{P}^1} = 0$. Repeating with $G = C_2$ and C_3 gives $\text{rk } E = c$ and $\text{rk Jac}_D = b$, respectively. Arguing similarly, but instead applying part (2), we see that $\mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_B) = \epsilon^{\oplus \text{rk}_p \text{Jac}_D} \oplus \rho^{\oplus \text{rk}_p E}$. In Example 1.12 we will use the latter statement when $p = 3$.

Remark 1.5. The reason we consider curves that can fail to be connected is that they naturally appear when considering Galois closures. In the above example, consider the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and E given by $y^2 = x^3 + b$. The discriminant curve becomes the normalisation of $D : \Delta^2 = -27(y^2 - b)^2$, which is not absolutely irreducible. Over \mathbb{Q}_7 , this is not even irreducible, and the corresponding function field $\mathbb{Q}_7(y, \Delta)$ should be viewed as the algebra $\mathbb{Q}_7(y)[\Delta]/(\Delta^2 + 27(y^2 - b)^2)$, rather than as the trivial extension of $\mathbb{Q}_7(y)$.

1.3. Brauer relations and isogenies. Recall that a Brauer relation in a finite group G is a formal linear combination of subgroups (up to conjugacy) $\sum_i H_i - \sum_j H'_j$, such that the associated permutation representations $\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[G/H_i]$ and $\bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}[G/H'_j]$ are isomorphic. Each Brauer relation gives rise to an isogeny between Jacobians:

Theorem 1.6 ([17] Theorem 3; see also Corollary 3.4). *Let X be a curve over a field K of characteristic 0 and let G be a finite group of K -automorphisms of X . For every Brauer relation $\Theta = \sum_i H_i - \sum_j H'_j$ for G , there is an isogeny*

$$\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j} \rightarrow \prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}.$$

Example 1.7. S_3 has the Brauer relation

$$\Theta = 2C_2 + C_3 - 2S_3 - \{1\}.$$

This is the unique S_3 -Brauer relation, up to multiplication by integers. Continuing Example 1.4, we can apply Theorem 1.6 to get a K -isogeny

$$\text{Jac}_B \rightarrow E \times E \times \text{Jac}_D.$$

Remark 1.8. We will generalise the notion of a Brauer relation to “pseudo Brauer relations” (see Definition 2.1). These also give rise to isogenies and can be used to obtain data on parities of ranks. For the purposes of the introduction, we will confine ourselves to Brauer relations, as these will suffice for our main applications.

Brauer relations appear to be a very rich source of isogenies. One can verify that isogenies in all of the following cases can be obtained by applying the above theorem to suitable (pseudo) Brauer relations [19]:

- (1) Isogenies between elliptic curves,
- (2) Isogenies $\text{Jac}_X \times \text{Jac}_{X_d} \rightarrow \text{Res}_{K(\sqrt{d})/K} \text{Jac}_X$, where X is a hyperelliptic curve, X_d is its quadratic twist by $d \in K^\times$, and $\text{Res}_{K(\sqrt{d})/K}$ denotes Weil restriction from $K(\sqrt{d})$ to K ,
- (3) Richelot isogenies between Jacobians of genus 2 curves,
- (4) Isogenies from Jacobians of genus 2 curves to products of elliptic curves,
- (5) Isogenies $\text{Jac}_X \rightarrow \text{Jac}_Y \times \text{Jac}_Z$, where X is a genus 5 curve that is an unramified cover of a genus 3 curve Y , and Jac_Z (for a genus 2 curve Z) is the Prym,
- (6) Isogenies between products of Weil restrictions, $\prod_i \text{Res}_{F^{H_i}/K} \text{Jac}_Y \rightarrow \prod_i \text{Res}_{F^{H'_j}/K} \text{Jac}_Y$, where Y is a curve over K , F/K is a Galois extension and $\sum H_i - \sum H'_j$ is a Brauer relation in its Galois group (see [12, Proof of Theorem 2.3]).

1.4. A machine for computing the parity of ranks. The theory of “regulator constants” associates to a Brauer relation Θ and a prime p a representation¹ $\tau_{\Theta,p}$ (Definition 2.9 or [10, §2]). The definition is quite involved, but for most applications in the present paper it will suffice to consider the following examples:

Example 1.9. In this table we write: C_2^a, C_2^b, C_2^c for the order 2 subgroups and $\epsilon_a, \epsilon_b, \epsilon_c$ for the non-trivial order 2 characters of $C_2 \times C_2$, ρ for the character of a (choice of) 2-dimensional irreducible representation of S_3 and D_{2p} and $\epsilon = \det \rho$.

G	Θ	p	$\tau_{\Theta,p}$
$C_2 \times C_2$	$C_2^a + C_2^b + C_2^c - 2C_2 \times C_2 - \{1\}$	2	$\mathbb{1} \oplus \epsilon_a \oplus \epsilon_b \oplus \epsilon_c$
S_3	$2C_2 + C_3 - 2S_3 - \{1\}$	3	$\mathbb{1} \oplus \epsilon \oplus \rho$
D_{2p}	$2C_2 + C_p - 2D_{2p} - \{1\}$	p	$\mathbb{1} \oplus \epsilon \oplus \rho$

The above Brauer relations are unique, up to multiplication by integers.

We are able to control the parity of the “multiplicity” of the representations $\tau_{\Theta,p}$ in the dual p^∞ -Selmer group $\mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X)$ in terms of purely local arithmetic invariants $\Lambda_\Theta(X/K_v)$ of X . For the precise definition see Definition 6.16. To give the reader a flavour, let us just mention that, when $p \neq 2$, for non-Archimedean places $v \nmid p$, its p -adic valuation can be expressed in terms of Tamagawa numbers of Jacobians of quotient curves. More precisely, for a Brauer relation $\Theta = \sum H_i - \sum H'_j$, and odd prime p and $v \nmid p\infty$, it is given by

$$\text{ord}_p \Lambda_\Theta(X/K_v) = \sum_i \text{ord}_p c_v(\text{Jac}_{X/H_i}) - \sum_j \text{ord}_p c_v(\text{Jac}_{X/H'_j}).$$

Theorem 1.10 (see Corollary 7.3). *Let X be a curve over a number field K , let G be a finite group of K -automorphisms of X , let Θ be a Brauer relation for G and p a prime. Suppose that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is self-dual as a G -representation. Then*

$$\langle \tau_{\Theta,p}, \mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X) \rangle \equiv \sum_{v \text{ place of } K} \text{ord}_p \Lambda_\Theta(X/K_v) \pmod{2}.$$

Remark 1.11. The self-duality assumption on $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is automatically satisfied if all representations of G are self-dual (e.g. if G is a symmetric or dihedral group) or if K has a real place (see Lemma 5.1).

Example 1.12. Combining Examples 1.4, 1.7, 1.9 and applying Theorem 1.10 with $p = 3$, we have

$$\text{rk}_3 E + \text{rk}_3 \text{Jac}_D \equiv \sum_{v \text{ place of } K} \text{ord}_3 \Lambda_\Theta(B/K_v) \pmod{2}.$$

Note that the terms $\Lambda_\Theta(B/K_v)$ depend only on the arithmetic of E , D and B over K_v .

¹Strictly speaking, there’s a whole collection of possible $\tau_{\Theta,p}$ s. For ease of reading we’ll suppress the choice of $\tau_{\Theta,p}$ as all our results apply to and are “trivially” equivalent for different choices.

Example 1.13. Let K be a number field. Consider the curve $X/K : w^2 = g(z^2)$ with the natural action of $C_2 \times C_2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & K(X) = K(w, z) & & \\
 & \swarrow & | & \searrow & \\
 K(X_1) = K(z^2, wz) & & K(z) & & K(X_2) = K(z^2, w) \\
 & \searrow & | & \swarrow & \\
 & & K(z^2) & &
 \end{array}$$

Arguing similarly to Example 1.12 and applying Theorem 1.10 with $p = 2$ and Ψ the Brauer relation identified in Example 1.9,

$$\text{rk}_2 \text{Jac}_X \equiv \sum_{v \text{ place of } K} \text{ord}_2 \Lambda_\Psi(X/K_v) \pmod{2}.$$

Note that $\Lambda_\Psi(X/K_v)$ depends only on the arithmetic of the hyperelliptic curves X , X_1 and X_2 over K_v (since the remaining fields correspond to \mathbb{P}^1 s).

Remark 1.14. Isogenies have been extensively used to derive formulae for various ranks in terms of local data. This includes all the ones listed in Remark 1.8: Cassels [4] for (1), Kramer [20] and Morgan [29] for (2), Dokchitser–Maistret [14] for (3), Green–Maistret [16] for (4), Docking [9] for (5), and Dokchitser–Dokchitser [12] and Mazur–Rubin [23] for (6). Theorem 1.10 provides the means for deriving local formulae for all of these rank expressions in a uniform way [19]. It would be interesting to push the result from Jacobians to more general abelian varieties, so as to also recover results such as the generalisation of Cassels’ formula by Coates–Fukaya–Kato–Sujatha [8].

1.5. Parity of ranks of Jacobians. It turns out that there are enough Brauer relations and representations of the form $\tau_{\Theta, p}$ to control the parity of the rank of an arbitrary Jacobian. Let us first consider the case of elliptic curves. Combining Examples 1.12 and 1.13 we immediately deduce the following theorem.

Theorem 1.15. *Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K given by $E : y^2 = f(x)$ for a separable cubic $f(x) \in K[x]$. Let $g(y^2) \in K[y]$ be the discriminant of $f(x) - y^2$, and define the curves $D : \Delta^2 = g(y^2)$ and $B : \{y^2 = f(x), \Delta^2 = g(y^2)\}$. If $\text{III}(E)$ and $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_D)$ are finite then*

$$\text{rk } E \equiv \sum_{v \text{ place of } K} (\text{ord}_3 \Lambda_\Theta(B/K_v) + \text{ord}_2 \Lambda_\Psi(D/K_v)) \pmod{2},$$

where Θ and Ψ are the Brauer relations from Example 1.9 for the groups S_3 and $C_2 \times C_2$ acting on B and D , respectively.

The case of general Jacobians is rather more involved. The key is the following representation-theoretic result:

Theorem 1.16 (see Theorem 8.2). *Let ρ be the irreducible $n-1$ dimensional character of S_n that is the natural permutation character on n points minus the trivial character. There are primes p_i and Brauer relations Θ_i in S_n such that*

$$\rho = n\mathbf{1} - \det \rho + 2\sigma + \sum_i \tau_{\Theta_i, p_i}$$

for some character σ .

The rough strategy for determining the parity of the rank of Jac_Y for a general curve Y is now as follows. Suppose for this discussion that III is always finite. Write Y as a cover of \mathbb{P}^1 with Galois group S_n , and let X correspond to its Galois closure, e.g. E and B in Examples 1.4 and 1.12. Taking the inner product of the above formula with $\text{Jac}_X(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and using Theorems 1.3 and 1.10 gives an expression of the form

$$\text{rk Jac}_Y + \text{rk Jac}_{X/A_n} \equiv (\text{local data}) \pmod{2}.$$

This reduces the problem to determining the parity of $\text{rk Jac}_{X/A_n}$. As A_n has index 2 in S_n , X/A_n is a hyperelliptic curve, say $y^2 = h(x)$ for h of degree m . Applying this construction again to X/A_n viewed as a degree m cover (!) of \mathbb{P}^1 reduces the problem to understanding the parity of the rank of the Jacobian of another hyperelliptic curve which, this time, is always of the form $y^2 = g(x^2)$ (like the curve D in Example 1.4). The latter curve has $C_2 \times C_2$ in its automorphism group, and the parity of the rank of its Jacobian can be determined using Example 1.13.

The proof of Theorem 1.16 relies on the following induction theorem for the Burnside ring of a finite group, which may be of independent interest. It is proved in Appendix B.

Theorem 1.17 (=Theorem B.2). *Let G be a finite group and \mathcal{P} a set of real-valued characters of G that satisfies:*

- (1) $\tau + \bar{\tau} \in \mathcal{P}$ for every character τ of degree 0,
- (2) $\text{Ind}_H^G(\tau - \mathbf{1}_H - \det \tau) \in \mathcal{P}$ for every subgroup H and character τ of H with $\deg \tau = 2$ that factors through a quotient of H isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$, D_8 or D_{2p} for an odd prime p ,
- (3) if $\tau_i \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $\sum_i n_i \tau_i \in \mathcal{P}$.

Then \mathcal{P} contains all permutation characters of G that have degree 0 and trivial determinant.

1.6. Relation to root numbers and the parity conjecture. Theorem 1.16 expresses the parity of the rank of a Jacobian in terms of local data, analogously to the parity conjecture. It is natural to ask how this can be used to prove the parity conjecture for Jacobians. Consider first the case of elliptic curves. Here one would aim to prove the compatibility of each term on the righthand-side of the formula in Theorem 1.15 with the root number for E/K_v . This can be split into two steps, by individually proving compatibility of Examples 1.12 and 1.13 (when g is a quadratic) with the relevant root numbers. The second of these steps is in fact known by [13, Corollary 5.8] (it is essentially the 2-parity conjecture for elliptic curves with a 2-torsion point). The first step is an open problem, and its proof would give the parity conjecture for elliptic curves over number fields K that assumes the finiteness of III for elliptic curves over K (the existing general result requires finiteness of $\text{III}(E/K(E[2]))$, see [13, Theorem 1.2]).

The case of general Jacobians is similar, except that there is a subtlety. For a general Brauer relation the expression $\langle \tau_{\Theta, p}, \mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X) \rangle$ in Theorem 1.10 is not just the p^∞ -Selmer rank of a Jacobian, and one needs to make sense of which root number it should match. We give a very brief description here, as it is not the appropriate place for a full (motivic) discussion.

Definition 1.18. Let X be a curve over a local field \mathcal{K} , let G be a finite group of \mathcal{K} -automorphisms of X such that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is self-dual as a G -representation, and let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ be a finite-dimensional self-dual representation of G . The group G acts on the associated ℓ -adic Galois representation $H_\ell^1(X) = H_{\text{ét}}^1((\text{Jac}_X)_{\overline{\mathcal{K}}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and this action commutes with that of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}/\mathcal{K})$. When \mathcal{K} is non-Archimedean we define the local root number $w(X^\rho/\mathcal{K})$ to be the local root number associated to the Galois representation $\text{Hom}_G(\rho \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, H_\ell^1(X))$ via the theory of ϵ -factors, for any ℓ not equal to the residue characteristic of \mathcal{K} . When \mathcal{K} is Archimedean we set $w(X^\rho/\mathcal{K}) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2} \dim \text{Hom}_G(\rho, H_\ell^1(X))}$. (As usual, this first requires fixing compatible embeddings $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \subset \mathbb{C}$ for all ℓ . We will not discuss here the independence of this construction of the choice of ℓ and of the choices of Haar measure and additive character for ϵ -factors, nor the Hodge structure underlying the definition in the Archimedean case. The self-duality assumption on Ω^1 ensures that in the Archimedean case the exponent is an integer.)

When X is defined over a number field K , we define its global root number by the formula $w(\text{Jac}_X/K) = \prod_v w(\text{Jac}_X/K_v)$, the product taken over all the places of K .

When X is defined over a number field, the representation $\text{Hom}_G(\rho, H_\ell^1(X))$ should represent the “ ρ -component” of Jac_X . As a generalisation of the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture one might then expect that the order of vanishing of the associated L -function should recover the multiplicity of ρ in the Mordell–Weil group of Jac_X . The corresponding parity conjecture for self-dual ρ would then be

$$w(X^\rho/K) = (-1)^{\langle \rho, \text{Jac}_X(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rangle}.$$

We can now phrase the comparison of local terms that one would need to prove to obtain the parity conjecture for Jacobians.

Conjecture 1.19. *Let X be a curve defined over a number field K , and G a finite group of K -automorphisms of X . Suppose that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is self-dual as a G -representation. Let Θ be a Brauer relation in G and let p be a prime. Then*

$$\prod_{v \text{ place of } K} w(X^{\tau_{\Theta,p}}/K_v) (-1)^{\text{ord}_p \Lambda_{\Theta}(X/K_v)} = 1.$$

Theorem 1.10 has the following immediate consequence.

Corollary 1.20. *Let X be a curve defined over a number field K , and G a finite group of K -automorphisms of X . Suppose that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is self-dual as a G -representation. Let Θ be a Brauer relation in G and let p be a prime. If Conjecture 1.19 holds, then the p -parity conjecture holds for the $\tau_{\Theta,p}$ -part of X , that is*

$$w(X^{\tau_{\Theta,p}}/K) = (-1)^{\langle \tau_{\Theta,p}, \mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X) \rangle}.$$

Our expression for the parity of the rank of a Jacobian in Theorem 1.1 is built up from Brauer relations. Thus we can also deduce:

Theorem 1.21 (=Corollary 8.17). *If the Shafarevich–Tate conjecture and Conjecture 1.19 hold for all Jacobians of curves over a fixed number field K , then so does the parity conjecture, that is*

$$(-1)^{\text{rk } \text{Jac}_X/K} = w(\text{Jac}_X/K)$$

for every curve X defined over K .

1.7. Further parity results. We end this introduction by recording a few results on the parity conjecture. These are obtained by combining known results on the parity conjecture for twists of abelian varieties by Artin representations of the form $\tau_{\Theta,p}$ and Theorems 1.16, 1.17 above, which establish an abundance of such representations.

Theorem 1.22 (see Corollaries B.13, B.14 & B.12). *Let A be a principally polarised abelian variety over a number field K . Let F/K be a finite Galois extension such that $\text{III}(A/F)$ is finite. Suppose that either*

- K is a totally real number field and A is an elliptic curve, or
- A is an elliptic curve that satisfies the parity conjecture over K , or
- A/K is semistable and the parity conjecture holds for A over K and over all the quadratic extensions of K in F .

Then the parity conjecture holds for A over all extensions of K in F .

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Alex Bartel for many helpful discussions and suggestions. The first author was partially supported by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship. The second author is supported by University College London and the EPSRC studentship grant EP/R513143/1. The final author is supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) grant EP/V006541/1 ‘Selmer groups, Arithmetic Statistics and Parity Conjectures’; parts of this work were additionally carried out when they were supported by the Leibniz fellowship programme at the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach.

1.8. Notation and conventions. Throughout this paper, we adhere to the following conventions.

Convention. By a *local field* we always mean a local field of characteristic 0, in other words either \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} or a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p for a prime p .

Convention. For each rational prime ℓ , we fix an embedding $\mathbb{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

We use the following notation. The subscript v is purely decorational when K is a local field.

X/K	a curve over a field K (which is smooth, proper, not necessarily connected)
A/K	an abelian variety over a field K
Jac_X	the Jacobian variety of X (as in §A.5, §A.6), it is an abelian variety over K equipped with a canonical principal polarisation
$\mathcal{X}_p(A)$	$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim \text{Sel}_{p^n}(A), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, the dual p^∞ -Selmer group of A
$\text{rk}_p A$	the p^∞ -Selmer rank of A
$V_\ell(A)$	the ℓ -adic Tate module for A
$\Omega^1(A)$	the K -vector space of regular differentials on A
$\omega(\mathcal{B})$	the wedge product of elements in \mathcal{B} , a basis for $\Omega^1(A)$
$ \cdot _K, \cdot _v$	the unique extension of the the normalised absolute value on a local field K (resp. K_v) to \overline{K} (resp. \overline{K}_v)
$c_v(A)$	the local Tamagawa number of A at a finite place v of K
$C_v(A, w)$	$c_v(A) \cdot \omega/\omega^0 _v$ when $v \nmid \infty$, where ω^0 is a Néron exterior form on A ; $\int_{A(K_v)} \omega $ when $K_v = \mathbb{R}$; $2^{\dim(A)} \int_{A(K_v)} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} $ when $K_v = \mathbb{C}$; in all cases ω is an exterior form for A at v
$\mu(X)$	encodes whether X is deficient, see Definition 5.5
X/H	quotient of the curve X by a group $H \leq \text{Aut}_K(X)$
$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$	the inner product on characters of G -representations
ρ^*, ρ^H	the dual, resp. H -invariant ($H \leq G$) vectors, of a G -representation ρ
Θ	a (pseudo) Brauer relation, see Definition 2.1
$\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mathcal{V})$	regulator constant for \mathcal{V} , see Definition 2.6
$\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}(\mathcal{V})$	$\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mathcal{V})$ computed with respect to the bases $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$, see Definition 2.6
$\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))$	see Definition 6.13
$\Lambda_\Theta(X/K)$	$\frac{C(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_1))}{C(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_2))} \cdot \frac{\prod_i \mu(X/H_i)}{\prod_j \mu(X/H'_j)} \cdot \left \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))}{\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))}} \right _{\mathcal{K}}$, where \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 are bases for $\Omega^1(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i})$ and $\Omega^1(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j})$, respectively; see Definition 6.16
D_{2p}	the dihedral group of order $2p$

We will usually write K for a number field and \mathcal{K} for a local field.

2. PSEUDO BRAUER RELATIONS AND REGULATOR CONSTANTS

In this section, we provide a slight generalisation of the notion of regulator constants presented in [10] which will be used in subsequent sections. These will still satisfy most of the fundamental properties which hold in the original setting.

Throughout this section, L is a field of characteristic 0 with a fixed embedding $L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, G is a finite group, and \mathcal{V} is a finite dimensional self-dual $L[G]$ -representation.

2.1. Pseudo Brauer relations.

Definition 2.1. Let H_1, \dots, H_n and H'_1, \dots, H'_m be (not necessarily distinct) subgroups of G . We say that $\Theta = \sum_{i=1}^n H_i - \sum_{j=1}^m H'_j$ is a *pseudo Brauer relation relative to \mathcal{V}* if there are $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 , satisfying $\langle \rho_1, \mathcal{V} \rangle = \langle \rho_2, \mathcal{V} \rangle = 0$, such that

$$(2.2) \quad \rho_1 \oplus \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[G/H_i] \cong \rho_2 \oplus \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}[G/H'_j].$$

Remark 2.3. When $\mathcal{V} = L[G]$ is the regular representation, Definition 2.1 coincides with the definition of a Brauer relation or a “relation between permutation representations” given in [12, §2.3] (note that when $\mathcal{V} = L[G]$, we necessarily have $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0$ in (2.2)).

2.2. Regulator constants.

Notation 2.4. Let $\Theta = \sum_i H_i - \sum_j H'_j$ be a pseudo Brauer relation relative to \mathcal{V} . Given a non-degenerate, G -invariant, L -bilinear pairing $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$ on \mathcal{V} , we denote by \langle, \rangle_1 the pairing

$$\langle, \rangle_1 = \bigoplus_i \frac{1}{|H_i|} \langle\langle, \rangle\rangle \quad \text{on the vector space} \quad \bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}^{H_i},$$

and define the pairing \langle, \rangle_2 on $\bigoplus_j \mathcal{V}^{H'_j}$ similarly. Given a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{v_i\}_i$ for $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}^{H_i}$, we denote by $\langle\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}\rangle_1$ the matrix with (i, j) th entry $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle_1$, and define $\langle\mathcal{B}', \mathcal{B}'\rangle_2$ for a basis \mathcal{B}' of $\bigoplus_j \mathcal{V}^{H'_j}$ similarly.

Remark 2.5. By [10, Lemma 2.15], for each $i = 1, 2$, the pairing \langle, \rangle_i is non-degenerate.

Definition 2.6. Let $\Theta = \sum_i H_i - \sum_j H'_j$ be a pseudo Brauer relation relative to \mathcal{V} , and let $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$ be a non-degenerate, G -invariant, L -bilinear pairing on \mathcal{V} taking values in some field extension L' of L . Given bases \mathcal{B} for $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}^{H_i}$ and \mathcal{B}' for $\bigoplus_j \mathcal{V}^{H'_j}$, we define

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}(\mathcal{V}) = \frac{\det \langle\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}\rangle_1}{\det \langle\mathcal{B}', \mathcal{B}'\rangle_2} \in L'^{\times}.$$

We then define the *regulator constant of \mathcal{V} relative to Θ* , denoted $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}(\mathcal{V})$, to be the class of $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}(\mathcal{V})$ in $L'^{\times}/L^{\times 2}$ for any choice of bases $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$ (the result being independent of this choice).

Many of the important properties of regulator constants associated to Brauer relations [10, §2.ii] continue to hold for the pseudo Brauer relations of Definition 2.6. Specifically, we have the following:

Theorem 2.7. *Let Θ be a pseudo Brauer relations relative to a self-dual $L[G]$ -representation \mathcal{V} . Then*

- (1) $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}(\mathcal{V})$ is independent of the choice of pairing $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$, and takes values in $L^{\times}/L^{\times 2}$,
- (2) given pseudo Brauer relations Θ_1 and Θ_2 relative to \mathcal{V} , we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Theta_1 + \Theta_2}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{C}_{\Theta_1}(\mathcal{V}) \mathcal{C}_{\Theta_2}(\mathcal{V}),$$

- (3) if \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 are self-dual $L[G]$ -representations, and Θ is a pseudo Brauer relation relative to both \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 , then

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}(\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2) = \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}(\mathcal{V}_1) \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}(\mathcal{V}_2).$$

Remark 2.8. We will see in Remark 2.20 below that the analogue of (1) holds for $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}(\mathcal{V})$, also: for any bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' , the quantity $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}(\mathcal{V})$ is an element of L^{\times} independent of the choice of pairing $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$.

We will prove Theorem 2.7 after introducing an alternative description of regulator constants in §2.4 below. Before doing this, we introduce some special sets of representations in the case $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$, which will be useful in subsequent sections.

2.3. The representations $\tau_{\Theta, p}$.

Definition 2.9 (cf. [10] Definition 2.50). Let \mathcal{V} be a self-dual $\mathbb{Q}_p[G]$ -representation, and let Θ be a pseudo Brauer relation relative to \mathcal{V} . Denote by \mathcal{R} the set of all self-dual $\mathbb{Q}_p[G]$ -representations ρ all of whose irreducible constituents are also those of \mathcal{V} , i.e. for each $\mathbb{Q}_p[G]$ -representation τ satisfying $\langle \tau, \mathcal{V} \rangle = 0$ we have $\langle \tau, \rho \rangle = 0$.

We then define $\mathbf{T}_{\Theta,p}$ to be the set of all self-dual $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -representations $\tau_{\Theta,p}$ satisfying

$$\langle \tau_{\Theta,p}, \rho \rangle \equiv \text{ord}_p \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}(\rho) \pmod{2},$$

for every self-dual $\mathbb{Q}_p[G]$ -representation ρ in \mathcal{R} . For the rest of the paper, $\tau_{\Theta,p}$ will denote an arbitrary choice of element in $\mathbf{T}_{\Theta,p}$. In all applications, this choice will be immaterial, and for this reason the set $\mathbf{T}_{\Theta,p}$ will make no further appearance.

Remark 2.10. If Θ is a Brauer relation (see Remark 2.3), then Definition 2.9 coincides with [10, Definition 2.50].

Remark 2.11. Given a Brauer relation Θ , we can sometimes take a specific choice for $\tau_{\Theta,p}$ (see Example 1.9). In particular, when all the irreducible representations of G are realisable over \mathbb{Q} (e.g. $G = S_n$ or $C_2 \times C_2$), we can take

$$\tau_{\Theta,p} = \sum_{\tau} (\text{ord}_p \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}(\tau)) \tau,$$

where the sum is over all irreducible characters of G , and $\text{ord}_p \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}(\tau)$ taken in $\{0, 1\}$.

2.4. Alternative description of regulator constants. The following reinterpretation of regulator constants is based on the exposition, in the case of Brauer relations, given in [1, Section 3]. We begin with some notation.

Notation 2.12. Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module (below we will take $M = \mathcal{V}$ to be a self-dual $L[G]$ -representation, but it will be useful in later sections to set up the relevant notation for arbitrary M). For each subgroup H of G we have an isomorphism

$$(2.13) \quad \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], M) \xrightarrow{\sim} M^H$$

given by evaluating homomorphisms at the trivial coset. Now suppose we have subgroups H_1, \dots, H_n and H'_1, \dots, H'_m of G , and define G -sets

$$S = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n G/H_i \quad \text{and} \quad S' = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m G/H'_j.$$

Taking $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[S']$ to be the corresponding permutation modules, (2.13) induces isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S], M) \cong \bigoplus_i M^{H_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S'], M) \cong \bigoplus_j M^{H'_j}.$$

Consequently, given ϕ in $\text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S], \mathbb{Z}[S'])$, the map from $\text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S'], M)$ to $\text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S], M)$ sending f to $f \circ \phi$ induces a homomorphism

$$(2.14) \quad \phi^* : \bigoplus_j M^{H'_j} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i M^{H_i}.$$

Moreover, the G -module $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}[S']$) is canonically self-dual, via the pairing making the elements of S (resp. S') an orthonormal basis; given ϕ in $\text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S], \mathbb{Z}[S'])$, we denote by ϕ^\vee the corresponding dual homomorphism $\phi^\vee : \mathbb{Z}[S'] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]$.

Definition 2.15. Let $\Theta = \sum_i H_i - \sum_j H'_j$ be a pseudo Brauer relation relative to a self dual $L[G]$ -representation \mathcal{V} . We say that a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module homomorphism $\Phi : \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}[G/H_i] \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathbb{Z}[G/H'_j]$ realises the pseudo Brauer relation Θ if the induced map

$$\Phi^* : \bigoplus_j \mathcal{V}^{H'_j} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}^{H_i}$$

of (2.14) is an isomorphism.

Lemma 2.16. Let Θ be a pseudo Brauer relation relative to a self dual $L[G]$ -representation \mathcal{V} . Then there exists a G -module homomorphism Φ realising Θ .

Proof. Write $\Theta = \sum_i H_i - \sum_j H'_j$, and let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -representations for which (2.2) holds. We can arrange this so that ρ_1 and ρ_2 have no common irreducible constituents, after which it follows from (2.2) that ρ_1 and ρ_2 are realisable over \mathbb{Q} . We can then find free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules V_1 and V_2 such that $V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cong \rho_1$ and $V_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cong \rho_2$, and a G -module homomorphism

$$\phi : V_1 \oplus \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}[G/H_i] \rightarrow V_2 \oplus \bigoplus_j \mathbb{Z}[G/H'_j]$$

with finite kernel and cokernel. Denoting by ι and π the inclusion/projection in and out of the permutation modules, one checks that $\Phi = \pi \circ \phi \circ \iota$ realises the pseudo Brauer relation Θ . \square

Proposition 2.18 below is a version of [11, Lemma 3.2]. For the statement we use the following notation.

Notation 2.17. Given L -vector spaces V and W , with L -bases $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{v_i\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{w_j\}$ respectively, and given an L -linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$, we write $[T]_{\mathcal{B}_1}^{\mathcal{B}_2}$ to denote the matrix of T relative to \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 . Similarly to Notation 2.4, given a pairing $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$ between V and W , we denote by $\langle\langle \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \rangle\rangle$ the matrix with (i, j) th entry $\langle\langle v_i, w_j \rangle\rangle$.

The following proposition (along with Corollary 2.19 below) gives the alternative description of regulator constants promised above. Analogues of this statement for Brauer relations (as opposed to pseudo Brauer relations) appear as [1, Theorem 3.2], [11, Lemma 3.2].

We remark that, while we have not yet shown that $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}(\mathcal{V})$ is independent of the choice of pairing $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$ made in its definition, the proof of the proposition will apply regardless of the choice made. As a by-product, this will prove the sought independence.

Proposition 2.18. *Let \mathcal{V} be a self-dual $L[G]$ -representation and let $\Theta = \sum_i H_i - \sum_j H'_j$ be a pseudo Brauer relation relative to \mathcal{V} . Further, let \mathcal{B} a basis for $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}^{H_i}$ and \mathcal{B}' be a basis for $\bigoplus_j \mathcal{V}^{H'_j}$. For any G -module homomorphism Φ realising Θ , we have*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}(\mathcal{V}) = \frac{\det [(\Phi^{\vee})^*]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}'}}{\det [\Phi^*]_{\mathcal{B}'}^{\mathcal{B}}}.$$

Proof. Fix a non-degenerate G -invariant pairing $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$ on \mathcal{V} . Following [11, Proof of Lemma 3.2], for a finite G -set T we define the pairing $(,)_T$ on $\text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[T], \mathcal{V})$ by setting

$$(f_1, f_2)_T = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{t \in T} \langle\langle f_1(t), f_2(t) \rangle\rangle.$$

Take $S = \bigsqcup_i G/H_i$ and $S' = \bigsqcup_j G/H'_j$. Arguing as in [11, Proof of Lemma 3.2] we find that, after identifying $\text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[S], \mathcal{V})$ with $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}^{H_i}$ as in Notation 2.12, the pairing $(,)_S$ identifies with the pairing \langle, \rangle_1 of Notation 2.4. Similarly, the pairing $(,)_{S'}$ identifies with the pairing \langle, \rangle_2 . Using this observation, an easy computation shows that Φ^* and $(\Phi^{\vee})^*$ are adjoint for the pairings \langle, \rangle_1 and \langle, \rangle_2 . Since we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}(\mathcal{V}) = \frac{\det \langle \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B} \rangle_1}{\det \langle \mathcal{B}', \mathcal{B}' \rangle_2} = \frac{\det \langle \mathcal{B}, \Phi^* \mathcal{B}' \rangle_1}{\det [\Phi^*]_{\mathcal{B}'}^{\mathcal{B}}} \cdot \frac{\det [(\Phi^{\vee})^*]_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}'}}{\det \langle (\Phi^{\vee})^* \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}' \rangle_2},$$

this implies the result. \square

Corollary 2.19. *Let \mathcal{V} , Θ and Φ be as in Proposition 2.18, and let \mathcal{B} be a basis for $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}^{H_i}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}, \Phi^{\vee} \mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{V}) = \frac{1}{\det (\Phi^{\vee} \Phi)^*}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}, \Phi^{\vee} \mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{V})$ is independent of \mathcal{B} .

Proof. Immediate from Proposition 2.18. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.7. Parts (2) and (3) follow readily from part (1). To prove (1), pick some Φ realising the pseduo-Brauer relation Θ , and pick a pairing $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$ as in the definition of $\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mathcal{V})$. Having made these choices, the proof of Proposition 2.18 shows that $\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mathcal{V})$, as defined relative to the pairing $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$, satisfies

$$\mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mathcal{V}) \equiv \det(\Phi^\vee \Phi)^* \pmod{L^{\times 2}}.$$

Since the right hand side is an element of L^\times which does not depend on the choice of pairing $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$, the result follows. \square

Remark 2.20. By the same argument, for any bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' as in Definition 2.6, the quantity $\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}(\mathcal{V})$ lies in L^\times , and is independent of the choice of pairing $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$ used to define it.

3. PSEUDO BRAUER RELATIONS AND ISOGENIES

Let k be a field of characteristic 0, let X be a curve over k , and let G be a finite group of k -automorphisms of X . In this section, we show that one can naturally associate homomorphisms between Jacobians of quotients of X to certain G -equivariant maps between permutation modules. This idea originates in work of Kani–Rosen [17], though we follow more closely subsequent work of Chen [7], and de Smit and Edixhoven [15]. See Remark 3.5 below for a comparison between our main result here, Theorem 3.2, and those works.

Notation 3.1. For a subgroup H of G , we denote by X/H the quotient of X by H , and denote by $\text{Jac}_{X/H}$ the canonically principally polarised Jacobian of X/H . Recall that our convention is that X is quasi-nice in the sense of Section A.6 and in particular is not assumed geometrically connected; we refer to Section A.6 for the a discussion of the properties of quotients of X and their Jacobians.

In the statement of Theorem 3.2 below, we refer to Notation 2.12 for the definition of the maps ϕ^\vee and ϕ^* associated to a G -module homomorphism ϕ .

Theorem 3.2. *Let H_1, \dots, H_n and H'_1, \dots, H'_m be subgroups of G , take*

$$S = \bigsqcup_i G/H_i \quad \text{and} \quad S' = \bigsqcup_j G/H'_j,$$

and let $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[S']$ denote the corresponding permutation modules.

Then for each G -module homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[S] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S']$, there is a k -homomorphism of abelian varieties

$$f_\phi : \prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j} \longrightarrow \prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}$$

such that:

(1) *denoting by $\phi^\vee : \mathbb{Z}[S'] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S]$ the dual homomorphism, we have*

$$f_{\phi^\vee} = f_\phi^\vee.$$

(Here f_ϕ^\vee is the dual of f_ϕ with respect to the product of the canonical principal polarisations.)

(2) *given also $\phi' : \mathbb{Z}[S'] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S']$, we have*

$$f_{\phi' \circ \phi} = f_\phi \circ f_{\phi'}.$$

(3) *(Isogeny criterion): suppose that the map*

$$\phi^* : \bigoplus_j V_\ell(\text{Jac}_X)^{H'_j} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i V_\ell(\text{Jac}_X)^{H_i}$$

on rational ℓ -adic Tate modules is an isomorphism. Then f_ϕ is an isogeny.

A consequence of Theorem 3.2 is that suitable pseudo Brauer relations induce isogenies between Jacobians.

Definition 3.3. Let X and G be as above. We say that Θ is a *pseudo Brauer relation* for G and X if Θ is a pseudo Brauer relation relative to $V_\ell(\text{Jac}_X)$ in the sense of Definition 2.15. For brevity, we will call these pseudo Brauer relations for X .

Corollary 3.4. Let $\Theta = \sum_i H_i - \sum_j H'_j$ be a pseudo Brauer relation for X , and suppose that Φ realises Θ in the sense of Definition 2.15. Then

$$f_\Phi : \prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j} \rightarrow \prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}$$

is a k -isogeny.

Proof. This is a consequence of the isogeny criterion in Theorem 3.2. \square

Remark 3.5. As mentioned above, a version of Theorem 3.2 appears in work of Chen [7] and de Smit and Edixhoven [17]. Aside from the extra generality afforded by our relaxed notion of a curve, Theorem 3.2 has some additional technical advantages over the maps constructed in those works. Specifically, in place of f_ϕ , Chen uses the map denoted $\alpha(\phi)$ in Construction 3.8. While adequate for his purposes, this map does not (quite) satisfy the duality and functoriality statements in parts (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.2. By contrast, the work of de Smit and Edixhoven uses the same map f_ϕ as we do, but constructs it only in the category of abelian varieties with isogenies inverted. We are able to improve on this as a consequence of Lemma 3.9 below.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 3.2, which will take the rest of the section.

Notation 3.6. For $g \in G$, we denote by g_* the corresponding automorphism of Jac_X (cf. Definition A.23). For a subgroup H of G , denote by $\pi_H : X \rightarrow X/H$ the quotient morphism. As in §A.6.2, we have associated mutually dual homomorphisms

$$\pi_H^* : \text{Jac}_{X/H} \longrightarrow \text{Jac}_X \quad \text{and} \quad (\pi_H)_* : \text{Jac}_X \longrightarrow \text{Jac}_{X/H}.$$

We also set $\text{Jac}_X^H = \cap_{h \in H} \ker(h_* - 1)$. This is a group subvariety of Jac_X and the connected component of the identity $(\text{Jac}_X^H)^0$ is an abelian subvariety of Jac_X .

Lemma 3.7. Let H be a subgroup of G .

(1) We have

$$(\pi_H)_* \circ (\pi_H)^* = |H| \quad \text{and} \quad (\pi_H)^* \circ (\pi_H)_* = \sum_{h \in H} h_*.$$

(2) The image of $(\pi_H)^*$ is contained in $(\text{Jac}_X^H)^0$.

Proof. (1): Follows from Proposition A.29. (2): Since $\text{Jac}_{X/H}$ is connected, it suffices to show that the image of $(\pi_H)^*$ is contained in Jac_X^H , for which we can assume $k = \bar{k}$. Working under this assumption, note that the map $(\pi_H)_* : \text{Div}^0(X) \rightarrow \text{Div}^0(X/H)$ of (A.25) is surjective. From this and Lemma A.26 we deduce that $(\pi_H)_* : \text{Jac}_X \rightarrow \text{Jac}_{X/H}$ is surjective, so that by (1) the image of π_H^* is contained in the image of $\sum_{h \in H} h_*$. Since this latter image is contained in $\cap_{h \in H} \ker(h_* - 1)$, the result follows. \square

We now turn to constructing the map f_ϕ of Theorem 3.2 in the case that $n = m = 1$.

Construction 3.8. Let H and H' be subgroups of G , and let $\phi \in \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], \mathbb{Z}[G/H'])$. Let $\sum_{g \in G} m_g g$ be any lift of $\phi(H)$ under the projection $\mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H']$. We have an associated endomorphism of Jac_X given by

$$\tilde{\phi} := \sum_{g \in G} m_g g_*.$$

Since H' acts trivially on $\text{Jac}_X^{H'}$, the restriction of $\tilde{\phi}$ to $\text{Jac}_X^{H'}$ is independent of the choice of lift of $\phi(H)$, and maps $\text{Jac}_X^{H'}$ to Jac_X^H . Consequently (cf. [7, Lemma 3.3]), we have a k -homomorphism of abelian varieties

$$\alpha(\phi) := (\pi_H)_* \circ \tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_{H'}^* : \text{Jac}_{X/H'} \longrightarrow \text{Jac}_{X/H},$$

which is again independent of choices.

The following lemma is key to obtaining an association $\phi \mapsto f_\phi$ satisfying parts (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 3.9. *For any $\phi \in \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], \mathbb{Z}[G/H'])$, there is a unique k -homomorphism of abelian varieties $f_\phi : \text{Jac}_{X/H'} \rightarrow \text{Jac}_{X/H}$ satisfying $|H|f_\phi = \alpha(\phi)$. Moreover, we have*

$$(3.10) \quad \pi_H^* \circ f_\phi = \tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_{H'}^*$$

for any choice of $\tilde{\phi}$ as above.

Proof. Uniqueness is standard. To prove existence it suffices to show that $\text{Jac}_{X/H'}[|H|] \subseteq \ker(\alpha(\phi))$ (cf. [30, Theorem 4]). For this we can assume that $k = \bar{k}$, which we henceforth do.

In what follows we use the terminology set out in Notation A.24 to talk about k -points of $\text{Jac}_{X/H}$ (resp. $\text{Jac}_{X/H'}$). Let $Z \in \text{Div}^0(X/H')$, and suppose that $|H|Z = \text{div}(\eta)$ for some unit $\eta \in k(X/H') = L^{H'}$. With $\sum_{g \in G} m_g g$ any lift of $\phi(H)$ to $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ as above, we see that the quantity

$$\zeta = \prod_{g \in G} g(\eta)^{m_g}$$

defines an invertible element of $L^H = k(X/H)$. Using the fact that both π_H^* and $\pi_{H'}^*$ commute with div (see Remark A.28), one computes

$$|H|\text{div}(\zeta) = (\pi_H)_* \pi_H^*(\text{div}(\zeta)) = (\pi_H)_* \tilde{\phi} \pi_{H'}^*(\text{div}(\eta)) = |H|(\pi_H)_* \tilde{\phi} \pi_{H'}^*(Z).$$

Thus $(\pi_H)_* \tilde{\phi} \pi_{H'}^*(Z) = \text{div}(\zeta)$, as desired.

Now let $f_\phi : \text{Jac}_{X/H'} \rightarrow \text{Jac}_{X/H}$ be as in the statement. It remains to show that

$$\pi_H^* \circ f_\phi = \tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_{H'}^*.$$

Since $(\pi_H)_* \pi_H^* = |H|$, we have $(\pi_H)_* \pi_H^* f_\phi = \alpha(\phi)$. Applying π_H^* to this equation gives

$$N_H(\pi_H^* f_\phi - \tilde{\phi} \pi_{H'}^*) = 0.$$

Now both $\tilde{\phi} \pi_{H'}^*$ and $\pi_H^* f_\phi$ map $\text{Jac}_{X/H'}$ into the abelian variety $(\text{Jac}_X^H)^0$. Since N_H acts as multiplication by $|H|$ on Jac_X^H , we see that $|H|(\pi_H^* f_\phi - \tilde{\phi} \pi_{H'}^*) = 0$, giving the result. \square

Definition 3.11. Given ϕ in $\text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], \mathbb{Z}[G/H'])$, we define $f_\phi : \text{Jac}_{X/H'} \rightarrow \text{Jac}_{X/H}$ to be the k -homomorphism afforded by Lemma 3.9.

Remark 3.12. From the uniqueness property of f_ϕ we see that the association $\phi \mapsto f_\phi$ is (contravariantly) functorial. More precisely, given subgroups H_1, H_2, H_3 of G , and G -module homomorphisms

$$\phi_1 : \mathbb{Z}[G/H_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H_2] \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2 : \mathbb{Z}[G/H_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H_3],$$

we have

$$f_{\phi_2 \circ \phi_1} = f_{\phi_1} \circ f_{\phi_2}.$$

As explained in Notation 2.12, associated to $\phi \in \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], \mathbb{Z}[G/H'])$ is the dual homomorphism $\phi^\vee : \mathbb{Z}[G/H'] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H]$. Similarly, associated to $f_\phi : \text{Jac}_{X/H'} \rightarrow \text{Jac}_{X/H}$ is the dual homomorphism $f_\phi^\vee : \text{Jac}_{X/H} \rightarrow \text{Jac}_{X/H'}$ defined with respect to the canonical principal polarisations on $\text{Jac}_{X/H}$ and $\text{Jac}_{X/H'}$.

Lemma 3.13. *We have $f_\phi^\vee = f_{\phi^\vee}$.*

Proof. It will be convenient to draw on an explicit description of $\text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], \mathbb{Z}[G/H'])$ in terms of double cosets. Specifically, we have an isomorphism

$$(3.14) \quad \mathbb{Z}[H \backslash G/H'] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G/H], \mathbb{Z}[G/H'])$$

which sends a double coset HgH' to the G -homomorphism $\phi_{HgH'}$ determined by

$$\phi_{HgH'}(H) = \sum_{u \in H/H \cap gH'g^{-1}} ugH'.$$

An elementary calculation shows that, for any $g \in G$, we have

$$(3.15) \quad \phi_{HgH'}^\vee = \phi_{H'g^{-1}H}.$$

Writing $N_H = \sum_{h \in H} h \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$, we note also that in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ we have the identity

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{u \in H/H \cap gH'g^{-1}} ugN_{H'} = \sum_{t \in HgH'} t = \sum_{w \in H'/H' \cap g^{-1}Hg} N_Hgw.$$

We now prove the result. First, by (3.14) it suffices to take $\phi = \phi_{HgH'}$ for some $g \in G$. From (3.16) we see that $\sum_{t \in HgH'} t$ is a lift of $|H'| \phi_{HgH'}(H)$ to $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. In particular, writing $\gamma = \sum_{t \in HgH'} t_*$, we have

$$|H||H'| f_{\phi_{HgH'}} = (\pi_H)_* \circ \gamma \circ \pi_{H'}^*.$$

Dualising gives

$$|H||H'| f_{\phi_{HgH'}}^\vee = (\pi_{H'}^*)_* \circ \gamma^\vee \circ \pi_H^*.$$

Further, Remark A.27 gives

$$\gamma^\vee = \sum_{t \in HgH'} (t^{-1})_* = \sum_{t \in H'g^{-1}H} t_*.$$

Again appealing to (3.16) we see that $\sum_{t \in H'g^{-1}H} t$ is a lift of $|H| \phi_{H'g^{-1}H}(H')$ to $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. The uniqueness property of f_ϕ thus gives

$$f_{|H| \phi_{H'g^{-1}H}} = |H| f_{\phi_{HgH'}}^\vee,$$

so that $f_{\phi_{H'g^{-1}H}} = f_{\phi_{HgH'}}^\vee$. We now conclude from (3.15). \square

We now define the homomorphism f_ϕ in the generality claimed in Theorem 3.2 by extending additively the association of Definition 3.11.

Definition 3.17. As in the statement of Theorem 3.2, let H_1, \dots, H_n and H'_1, \dots, H'_m be subgroups of G , and define G -sets

$$S = \bigsqcup_i G/H_i \quad \text{and} \quad S' = \bigsqcup_j G/H'_j.$$

Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[S] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S']$ be a G -module homomorphism. Since $\mathbb{Z}[S] = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}[G/H_i]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[S'] = \bigoplus_j \mathbb{Z}[G/H'_j]$, the homomorphism ϕ corresponds to a collection of G -module homomorphisms $\phi_{ij} : \mathbb{Z}[G/H_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H'_j]$. Via Definition 3.11 we associate to this data the collection of k -homomorphisms $(f_{\phi_{ij}})_{i,j}$. We then define f_ϕ to be the corresponding k -homomorphism

$$f_\phi : \prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j} \longrightarrow \prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2, parts (1) and (2). By additivity, the statements reduce formally to the case $n = m = 1$. After these reductions, part (1) is Remark 3.12, and part (2) is Lemma 3.13. \square

To prove Theorem 3.2, it remains to establish the isogeny criterion. For this we will make use of the following lemma. In the application, F will be the functor sending an abelian variety to its rational ℓ -adic Tate module for a prime ℓ . However, it will be useful later to consider the following general setup.

Lemma 3.18. *Let E be a field of characteristic coprime to $|G|$, and let F be an additive (covariant) functor from the category of abelian varieties over k to the category of finite dimensional E -vector spaces. Then, for each subgroup H of G , $F(\pi_H^*)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$F(\text{Jac}_{X/H}) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(\text{Jac}_X)^H.$$

Moreover, given S, S' and $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[S] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[S']$ as in the statement of Theorem 3.2, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_j F(\text{Jac}_{X/H'_j}) & \xrightarrow{F(f_\phi)} & \bigoplus_i F(\text{Jac}_{X/H_i}) \\ \bigoplus_j F(\pi_{H'_j}^*) \downarrow & & \downarrow \bigoplus_i F(\pi_{H_i}^*) \\ \bigoplus_j F(\text{Jac}_X)^{H'_j} & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & \bigoplus_i F(\text{Jac}_X)^{H_i} \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. For the first claim, for any subgroup H of G , it follows from Lemma 3.7 that the maps $F(\pi_H^*)$ and $F((\pi_H)_*)$ induce E -linear maps

$$F(\text{Jac}_{X/H}) \longleftrightarrow F(\text{Jac}_X)^H,$$

whose composition in each direction is multiplication by $|H|$. Since the characteristic of E is coprime to $|H|$ by assumption, each map is an isomorphism.

Next, since F is additive, commutativity of the diagram formally reduces to the case where $S = G/H$ and $S' = G/H'$ for subgroups H and H' of G . This latter statement follows from applying F to (3.10). \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2, part (3). Taking $F = V_\ell(-)$ in Lemma 3.18 we find that the map on rational Tate modules induced by f_ϕ is an isomorphism. Thus f_ϕ is an isogeny. \square

Remark 3.19. In the statement of Lemma 3.18, suppose instead that F is contravariant. Then for each subgroup H of G , $F((\pi_H)_*)$ induces an isomorphism

$$F(\text{Jac}_{X/H}) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(\text{Jac}_X)^H,$$

and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_i F(\text{Jac}_{X/H_i}) & \xrightarrow{F(f_\phi^\vee)} & \bigoplus_j F(\text{Jac}_{X/H'_j}) \\ \bigoplus_i F((\pi_{H_i})_*) \downarrow & & \downarrow \bigoplus_j F((\pi_{H'_j})_*) \\ \bigoplus_i F(\text{Jac}_X)^{H_i} & \xrightarrow{(\phi^\vee)^*} & \bigoplus_j F(\text{Jac}_X)^{H'_j} \end{array}$$

commutes. This can be proven similarly to the covariant case. Alternatively, one can deduce it by applying Lemma 3.18 to the covariant functor $F \circ \vee$.

4. G -ACTION ON SELMER GROUPS

In the following section, the pair (A, λ) will denote an abelian variety A with a principal polarisation λ both defined over a number field K . In addition, $\text{Aut}(A, \lambda)$ denotes the group containing automorphisms g of A for which $g^\vee = \lambda g^{-1} \lambda^{-1}$. For a prime p , we write $\mathcal{X}_p(A)$ for $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim_n \text{Sel}_{p^n}(A), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. The central result of this section is the following. Its proof, as presented here, is modelled on [11, Theorem 2.1].

Theorem 4.1. *Let (A, λ) be a principally polarised abelian variety, and let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A, \lambda)$. Then $\mathcal{X}_p(A)$ is self-dual as a $\mathbb{Q}_p[G]$ -representation.*

Proof. Following [12], given a K -isogeny of abelian varieties $f : A \rightarrow B$, we write

$$Q(f) = \#\text{coker}(f : A(K)/A(K)_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow B(K)/B(K)_{\text{tors}}) \times \#\text{ker}(f : \text{III}(A)_{\text{div}} \rightarrow \text{III}(B)_{\text{div}})$$

where III_{div} denotes the divisible part of III . We decompose $\mathcal{X}_p(A)$ into \mathbb{Q}_p -irreducible representations and the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_p -lattice $X_p(A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim_n \text{Sel}_{p^n}(A), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ into G -stable \mathbb{Z}_p -sublattices such that

$$\mathcal{X}_p(A) \cong \bigoplus_i \tau_i^{m_{\tau_i}}, \quad X_p(A) \cong \bigoplus_i \Lambda_{\tau_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{\tau_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \cong \tau_i^{m_{\tau_i}},$$

where the τ_i are distinct \mathbb{Q}_p -irreducible representations of G . We will construct a self-isogeny σ of A with a specified action on the sublattices Λ_{τ_i} of $X_p(A)$. This is done as follows. For a \mathbb{Q}_p -irreducible constituent τ of $\mathcal{X}_p(A/K)$, we define

$$z_\tau := |G| + (p-1) \sum_{g \in G} \text{Tr}(\tau(g))g^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G].$$

Elements in a neighbourhood U_τ of z_τ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ act via multiplication by $p|G|$ composed with some isomorphism on Λ_τ , and via multiplication by $|G|$ composed with some isomorphism on Λ_{τ_i} when $\tau_i \neq \tau$. Similarly, there's a corresponding neighbourhood U_{τ^*} of z_{τ^*} . Since the inversion map $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ is continuous in $\mathbb{Q}_p[G]$ and maps z_τ to z_{τ^*} , we can find $\sigma = \sum_{g \in G} x_g g \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \cap U_\tau$ such that $\sum_{g \in G} x_g g^{-1}$ lies in U_{τ^*} . Since G is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A, \lambda)$ by assumption, $\sum_{g \in G} x_g g^{-1}$ is the dual isogeny σ^\vee . By considering the actions of σ and σ^\vee on the Λ_{τ_i} , we deduce that

$$Q(\sigma) = |G|^{\text{rk}_p A} \cdot p^{m_\tau \dim(\tau)} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(\sigma^\vee) = |G|^{\text{rk}_p A} \cdot p^{m_{\tau^*} \dim(\tau^*)}.$$

By [12, Theorem 4.3], we have $Q(\sigma) = Q(\sigma^\vee)$ and therefore $m_\tau = m_{\tau^*}$. \square

We now present a result regarding the G -module structure of $\mathcal{X}_p(A/K)$. In the following proposition, we do not require that A is principally polarised.

Proposition 4.2. *Let ρ be a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -representation such that $\langle V_\ell(A), \rho \rangle = 0$. Then $\langle \mathcal{X}_p(A), \rho \rangle = 0$ and $\langle A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \rho \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. $V_\ell(A)$ has rational character by [28, Theorem 1, Chapter 25]. We write K_ρ to denote the field obtained by extending \mathbb{Q} by the values of the characters of ρ . Then, a positive power of the representation $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_\rho/\mathbb{Q})} \rho^\sigma$ is realisable over \mathbb{Q} and shares no common constituent with $V_\ell(A)$. It therefore suffices to consider the case where ρ is realisable over \mathbb{Q} . We write e_ρ to denote the idempotent in $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ which projects onto the ρ -isotypical part. Clearing denominators, there exists a positive integer n for which ne_ρ lies in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Viewed as an endomorphism of A , ne_ρ induces the zero map on $T_\ell(A)$. In view of [26, Proposition 12.2], ne_ρ is the zero endomorphism on A and therefore induces the zero map on $\mathcal{X}_p(A)$ and on $A(K)$. This completes the proof. \square

To conclude this section, we present some immediate corollaries regarding Jacobians of curves.

Corollary 4.3. *Let X be a curve over a number field K , and G a finite group of K -automorphisms of X . Then we have:*

- (1) $\mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X)$ is a self-dual $\mathbb{Q}_p[G]$ -representation,
- (2) $\mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X)^G \cong \mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_{X/G})$ and $(\text{Jac}_X(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^G \cong \text{Jac}_{X/G}(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$,
- (3) if $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_X)$ is finite, $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_{X/G})$ is also finite,
- (4) if ρ is a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -representation such that $\langle V_\ell(\text{Jac}_X), \rho \rangle = 0$, then

$$\langle \mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X), \rho \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \text{Jac}_X(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \rho \rangle = 0.$$

Proof. (1) follows by combining Theorem 4.1 with Remark A.27. For (2), the statement concerning $\mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X)$ follows from Remark 3.19, while the statement concerning $\text{Jac}_X(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ follows from Lemma 3.18. (3) follows from (2). (4) follows from Proposition 4.2. \square

5. DIFFERENTIALS AND DEFICIENCY

In this section we record some results which will be useful later. In §5.1 we collect some basic statements concerning the action of automorphisms on differentials. Then in §5.2 we give a slight generalisation of the notion of deficiency for curves over local fields, and show that an analogue of a theorem of Poonen–Stoll remains true in this setting.

5.1. Differentials. For this subsection we take K to be either a number field or local field, and take X to be a curve over K . We write Jac_X for the Jacobian of X , and write $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ for the K -vector space of regular differentials on Jac_X .

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a finite group of K -automorphisms of X .*

(1) *For any prime ℓ , the G -representations*

$$V_\ell(\text{Jac}_X) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X) \oplus \Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)^*$$

are isomorphic after extending scalars to \mathbb{C} .

(2) *If $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is self-dual as a G -representation, then $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)^{\oplus 2}$ is realisable over \mathbb{Q} .*

(3) *If $K = \mathbb{R}$, or K is a number field with a real place, then $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is realisable over \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. In what follows, for a field extension F/K , we will write $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X/F)$ for the F -vector space of regular differentials on $(\text{Jac}_X)_F$.

(1). Base-changing along a field embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, it suffices to prove the corresponding result for K replaced by \mathbb{C} and Jac_X by a complex torus. In this setting, the map that sends $\gamma \in H_1(\text{Jac}_X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ to the map $\omega \mapsto \int_\gamma \omega$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}[G]$ -modules

$$(5.2) \quad H_1(\text{Jac}_X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X/\mathbb{C}))^*.$$

Here $(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))^*$ is the dual of $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ as a complex representation, viewed as an $\mathbb{R}[G]$ -module by forgetting the \mathbb{C} -vector space structure. Note that for any finite dimensional complex G -representation V , we have $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong V \oplus V^*$. From this we see that, as $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -representations, we have

$$(5.3) \quad H_1(\text{Jac}_X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cong \Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X/\mathbb{C}) \oplus (\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X/\mathbb{C}))^*.$$

The result now follows since, for each prime ℓ , we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[G]$ -representations

$$V_\ell(\text{Jac}_X) \cong H_1(\text{Jac}_X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

(2). From (5.3) we see that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X) \oplus \Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)^*$ is realisable over \mathbb{Q} , giving the result.

(3). Under the assumption of the statement, we can choose the embedding σ appearing in the proof of (1) so that it factors through \mathbb{R} . Since Jac_X is the base change of an abelian variety over \mathbb{R} , each side of (5.2) then carries a natural action of complex conjugation, and the isomorphism of (5.2) is equivariant for this action. Denoting by $H_1(\text{Jac}_X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})^+$ the G -submodule of $H_1(\text{Jac}_X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ invariant under this action, we obtain from (5.2) an isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}[G]$ -modules

$$H_1(\text{Jac}_X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X/\mathbb{R}))^*.$$

Thus $(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X/\mathbb{R}))^*$ is realisable over \mathbb{Q} , from which the result follows. \square

An immediate consequence of Lemma 5.1 is the following, which shows that if Θ is a pseudo Brauer relation for X , then Θ is a pseudo Brauer relation relative to $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let G be a finite group of K -automorphisms of X , and let ρ be a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -representation such that $\langle V_\ell(\text{Jac}_X), \rho \rangle = 0$. Then $\langle \Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X), \rho \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 5.1. (Alternatively, argue as in the proof of Proposition 4.2.) \square

5.2. Deficiency and the Shafarevich–Tate group. Recall that our convention throughout is that curves are smooth and proper, but are not assumed to be connected. The aim of this subsection is to extend certain notions introduced by Poonen–Stoll [33] from geometrically connected curves to the curves considered in this paper.

Definition 5.5. Let \mathcal{K} be a local field. We define an invariant $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}(X) \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ for each curve X over \mathcal{K} as follows.

First, recall from [33, §8] that a geometrically connected curve X over \mathcal{K} , of genus g , is called *deficient* if it has no \mathcal{K} -rational divisor of degree $g - 1$. For such X , we define

$$\mu_{\mathcal{K}}(X) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } X \text{ is deficient,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next, suppose that X is connected (but not necessarily geometrically connected). Let $\mathcal{K}(X)$ denote the function field of X , let \mathcal{L} denote the algebraic closure of \mathcal{K} in $\mathcal{K}(X)$, and let Y denote the geometrically connected curve over \mathcal{L} corresponding to $\mathcal{K}(X)$ via Proposition A.8 (cf. also Remark A.9). We then define $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}(X) = \mu_{\mathcal{L}}(Y)$. Finally, writing $X = \bigsqcup_i X_i$ as a disjoint union of connected components, we define

$$\mu_{\mathcal{K}}(X) = \prod_i \mu_{\mathcal{K}}(X_i).$$

If the field \mathcal{K} is clear from context, we will often omit it from the notation. Further, when $K = K_v$ is the completion of a number field K at a place v , we write $\mu_v(X)$ in place of $\mu_{K_v}(X)$.

Remark 5.6. Let X be a connected curve over \mathcal{K} , and let X_0 be any geometric connected component of X . Letting $\mathcal{K}_0/\mathcal{K}$ be the smallest field extension of \mathcal{K} over which X_0 is defined, one checks straight from the definition that $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}(X) = \mu_{\mathcal{K}_0}(X_0)$.

The following is a generalisation of a result of Poonen–Stoll [33, Theorem 8, Corollary 12], who prove it for geometrically connected curves.

Proposition 5.7. *Let X be a curve defined over a number field K , and write $\text{III}_0(\text{Jac}_X)$ for the quotient of $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_X)$ by its maximal divisible subgroup. Then*

$$\#\text{III}_0(\text{Jac}_X)[2^{\infty}] \equiv \prod_{v \text{ place of } K} \mu_v(X) \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}}.$$

Proof. Writing $X = \bigsqcup X_i$ as a disjoint union of connected components, we have $\text{Jac}_X = \prod_i \text{Jac}_{X_i}$. Using this, we reduce to the case that X is connected. Let $K(X)$ denote the function field of X , let L/K denote the algebraic closure of K in $K(X)$, and let Y denote the geometrically connected curve over L corresponding to $K(X)$ via Proposition A.8. As in Remark A.9, X is isomorphic to the K -scheme obtained from Y by forgetting the L -structure. Observe also that, for each place v of K , we have $L \otimes_K K_v = \prod_{w|v} L_w$, where the product is taken over each place w of L dividing v . Using these two observations, we compute

$$X \times_K K_v = (Y \times_L L) \times_K K_v = \bigsqcup_{w|v} Y \times_L L_w.$$

Since each $Y \times_L L_w$ is a geometrically connected L_w -curve, we conclude that

$$(5.8) \quad \mu_v(X) = \prod_{w|v} \mu_{L_w}(Y).$$

On the other hand, Lemma A.22 gives $\text{Jac}_X = \text{Res}_{L/K} \text{Jac}_Y$, where $\text{Res}_{L/K}$ denotes Weil restriction from L to K . Shapiro’s lemma then gives $\text{III}_0(\text{Jac}_X)[2^{\infty}] \cong \text{III}_0(\text{Jac}_Y/L)[2^{\infty}]$ (cf. [24, proof of Theorem 1]). Combined with (5.8), this reduces the result to the corresponding one for the geometrically connected curve Y/L , which is [33, Theorem 8, Corollary 12]. \square

6. THE LOCAL INVARIANT $\Lambda_\Theta(X/\mathcal{K})$

This section is dedicated to providing the definition of a purely local arithmetic invariant $\Lambda_\Theta(X/\mathcal{K})$ attached to a pseudo Brauer relation for a curve X . In §7, we will provide a method for extracting certain rank parities in terms of these local invariants (see Theorem 7.1).

For this section, X is a curve over a local field \mathcal{K} , and G is a finite group of \mathcal{K} -automorphisms of X . We fix $\Theta = \sum_i H_i - \sum_j H'_j$ to be a pseudo Brauer relation for X (see Definition 3.3). Additionally, we fix bases \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 for $\Omega^1(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i})$ and $\Omega^1(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j})$, respectively and write $\omega(\mathcal{B}_1)$ to denote the exterior form on $\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}$ given by the wedge product of elements in \mathcal{B}_1 , and similarly for $\omega(\mathcal{B}_2)$.

Definition 6.1. With \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 as above,

$$\lambda_\Theta^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(X/\mathcal{K}) = \frac{C(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_1))}{C(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_2))} \cdot \frac{\prod_i \mu(X/H_i)}{\prod_j \mu(X/H'_j)}$$

where C and μ are as defined in §1.8 and Definition 5.5, respectively.

Remark 6.2. In the following remark, we use Notation 2.17. For bases $\mathcal{B}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ for $\Omega^1(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i})$ and $\mathcal{B}_2, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$ for $\Omega^1(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j})$, we have

$$\lambda_\Theta^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2}(X/\mathcal{K}) = \lambda_\Theta^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(X/\mathcal{K}) \cdot \frac{|\det([\text{Id}]_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1}^{\mathcal{B}_1})|_{\mathcal{K}}}{|\det([\text{Id}]_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2}^{\mathcal{B}_2})|_{\mathcal{K}}}.$$

Remark 6.3. Let \mathcal{B}_H is a basis for $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_{X/H})$. Write $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 = \bigsqcup_i \mathcal{B}_{H_i}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2 = \bigsqcup_j \mathcal{B}_{H'_j}$. Then,

$$\lambda_\Theta^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2}(X/\mathcal{K}) = \frac{\prod_i C(\text{Jac}_{X/H_i}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_{H_i})) \cdot \mu(X/H_i)}{\prod_j C(\text{Jac}_{X/H'_j}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_{H'_j})) \cdot \mu(X/H'_j)}.$$

Notation 6.4. For the rest of this section, we write Φ for a G -map realising Θ (see Definition 2.15) and Φ^\vee for the dual (see Notation 2.12). We write f_Φ for the isogeny afforded by Theorem 3.2(3) and $f_\Phi(\mathcal{K})$ for the induced map on \mathcal{K} -rational points. Given a basis \mathcal{B}_1 , we write $\Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_1$ for the basis for $\Omega^1(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j})$ obtained by applying $(\Phi^\vee)^*$ to the elements in \mathcal{B}_1 .

Lemma 6.5. With \mathcal{B}_1 and $\Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_1$ as above,

$$\lambda_\Theta^{\mathcal{B}_1, \Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_1}(X/\mathcal{K}) = \frac{\#\text{coker } f_\Phi(\mathcal{K})}{\#\text{ker } f_\Phi(\mathcal{K})} \cdot \frac{\prod_i \mu(X/H_i)}{\prod_j \mu(X/H'_j)}.$$

Proof. By applying Remark 3.19 with $F = \Omega^1(-)$, and taking exterior powers, we deduce that $f_\Phi^* \omega(\mathcal{B}_1) = \omega(\Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_1)$. As in the proof of [25, Theorem 7.3], we have

$$\frac{C(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_1))}{C(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j}, \omega(\Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_1))} = \frac{\#\text{coker } f_\Phi(\mathcal{K})}{\#\text{ker } f_\Phi(\mathcal{K})},$$

giving the required result. \square

Remark 6.2 indicates the main drawback of the local invariant $\lambda_\Theta^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}$; its dependence on the bases chosen for the space of regular differentials. Ultimately, it is desirable to construct a local arithmetic invariant which is free of such choices. In the case that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is self-dual as a $\mathcal{K}[G]$ -representation, we now explain how to modify Definition 6.1 to remove these choices, culminating in Definition 6.16 below. We begin with the following observation.

Lemma 6.6. *For any choice of bases $\mathcal{B}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ for $\Omega^1(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i})$ and $\mathcal{B}_2, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$ for $\Omega^1(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j})$, we have*

$$(6.7) \quad \frac{\lambda_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(X/\mathcal{K})}{\left| \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))} \right|_{\mathcal{K}}} = \frac{\lambda_{\Theta}^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2}(X/\mathcal{K})}{\left| \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))} \right|_{\mathcal{K}}},$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))$ is as in Definition 2.6.

Remark 6.8. Before proving this, we note that by Lemma 5.4, any pseudo Brauer relation for X is a pseudo Brauer relative to $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$. Thus, the quantities appearing on both sides of (6.7) are well defined.

Proof. We note that changing bases from $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$ respectively, we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) = \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \cdot \frac{\det([\text{Id}]_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1}^{\mathcal{B}_1})^2}{\det([\text{Id}]_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2}^{\mathcal{B}_2})^2}.$$

Comparing this with Remark 6.2 gives the required result. \square

The constant on the left-hand side of (6.7) presented in Lemma 6.6 is independent of the bases of the differentials. However, it doesn't serve as a practical choice for a local invariant as it need not be a rational number, making it difficult to work with in practice. We will now introduce a “correction term” whose sole purpose is to ensure that the constant presented in Definition 6.16 below lies in \mathbb{Q}^{\times} . For ease of exposition, we first consider the case where $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is realisable over \mathbb{Q} as a G -representation.

Definition 6.9. Suppose that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is a $\mathcal{K}[G]$ -representation which is realisable over \mathbb{Q} . Let Θ be a pseudo Brauer relation with respect to $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$. We define $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))$ to be the unique, square-free integer such that $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}}$.

Remark 6.10. The “sf” appearing in the superscript signifies that we are taking the square-free part of the regulator constant which appears in the denominator of (6.7). This is possible in this case, since we are assuming that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is realisable over \mathbb{Q} .

Remark 6.11. By Lemma 5.1, if $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or if we are considering the base-change of a curve defined over a number field with a real place, then $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is automatically realisable over \mathbb{Q} . The same trivially holds if all representations of G are realisable over \mathbb{Q} (e.g. if G is a symmetric group or $C_2 \times C_2$). Further, if G is the dihedral group D_{2p} for a prime p , then again $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is realisable over \mathbb{Q} . This follows from Lemma 5.1(2) and the fact that all representations of D_{2p} are self-dual, and are realisable over \mathbb{Q} as soon as they have rational character. Consequently, Definition 6.9 typically suffices for applications. In particular, it is sufficient for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The definition of the correction term in the case where $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is self-dual (but not necessarily rational) is rather convoluted. It relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 6.12. *Suppose that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is a self-dual $\mathcal{K}[G]$ -representation. For a basis \mathcal{B}_1 for $\Omega^1(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i})$, we write $\Phi^{\vee} \mathcal{B}_1$ for the basis for $\Omega^1(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j})$ obtained by applying $(\Phi^{\vee})^*$ to the basis vectors in \mathcal{B}_1 . Then, for any \mathcal{B}_1 ,*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \Phi^{\vee} \mathcal{B}_1}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \equiv \pm \deg(f_{\Phi}) \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \Phi^{\vee} \mathcal{B}_1}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))$ is a rational number.

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \Phi^{\vee} \mathcal{B}_1}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)))^{-1} &\stackrel{\text{Corollary 2.19}}{=} \det((\Phi^{\vee} \Phi)^* \mid \Omega^1(\prod \text{Jac}_{X/H_i})) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lemma 5.1}}{=} \sqrt{\det((\Phi^{\vee} \Phi)^* \mid V_{\ell}(\prod \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}))} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.18}}{=} \sqrt{\det(f_{\Phi^{\vee} \Phi} \mid V_{\ell}(\prod \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}))} \\
&\stackrel{[26, \text{Proposition 12.9}]}{=} \sqrt{\deg(f_{\Phi^{\vee} \Phi})} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Theorem 3.2}}{=} \sqrt{\deg(f_{\Phi}) \deg(f_{\Phi}^{\vee})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\deg(f_{\Phi}) = \deg(f_{\Phi}^{\vee})$, this gives the result. \square

Definition 6.13. Let Θ be a pseudo Brauer relation for X . Consider the subgroup S of $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}/\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ generated by the square-free integers equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \Phi^{\vee} \mathcal{B}_1}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \bmod \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ as Φ ranges over all G -module homomorphisms realising Θ .

We define $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))$ to be the integer of minimal (Archimedean) absolute value amongst those whose class in $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}/\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ lies in S .²

Lemma 6.14. Suppose that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is a self-dual $\mathcal{K}[G]$ -representation, and let Θ be a pseudo Brauer relation with respect to $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$. Then, $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \bmod \mathcal{K}^{\times 2}$.

Proof. Given any Φ realising Θ , we have $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \Phi^{\vee} \mathcal{B}_1}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \bmod \mathcal{K}^{\times 2}$. By definition, we also have $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \Phi^{\vee} \mathcal{B}_1}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \bmod \mathcal{K}^{\times 2}$ for any Φ . The result follows. \square

Remark 6.15. We note that if $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is realisable over \mathbb{Q} , then Definition 6.9 coincides with Definition 6.13.

Definition 6.16. Let X be a curve over a local field \mathcal{K} , and G a finite group of \mathcal{K} -automorphisms of X . Let Θ be a pseudo Brauer relation for X , and suppose that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is a self-dual $\mathcal{K}[G]$ -representation. For any choice of bases $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ for $\Omega^1(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i})$ and $\Omega^1(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j})$, we define

$$\Lambda_{\Theta}(X/\mathcal{K}) = \lambda_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(X/\mathcal{K}) \cdot \left| \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))}{\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))}} \right|_{\mathcal{K}},$$

where $\lambda_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}$ is as in Definition 6.1.

Recall that we write $f_{\Phi}(\mathcal{K})$ to denote the induced map on \mathcal{K} -rational points of an isogeny f_{Φ} .

Theorem 6.17. Let X be a curve over a local field \mathcal{K} , and G a finite group of \mathcal{K} -automorphisms of X . Let Θ be a pseudo Brauer relation for X and suppose that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is a self-dual $\mathcal{K}[G]$ -representation.

- (1) $\Lambda_{\Theta}(X/\mathcal{K})$ is a rational number independent of the bases $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$,
- (2) For any local field \mathcal{K} ,

$$\Lambda_{\Theta}(X/\mathcal{K}) = \frac{\#\text{coker } f_{\Phi}(\mathcal{K})}{\#\text{ker } f_{\Phi}(\mathcal{K})} \cdot \frac{\prod_i \mu(X/H_i)}{\prod_j \mu(X/H'_j)} \cdot \left| \sqrt{\pm \deg(f_{\Phi}) \cdot \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))} \right|_{\mathcal{K}},$$

- (3) In particular, when $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}$, $\Lambda_{\Theta}(X/\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))$.

²Strictly speaking, this need only determine $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))$ up to a sign which is of no importance later. For the sake of concreteness, our convention is that if the minimum is attained at both n and $-n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we take $\mathcal{C}_{\Theta}^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))$ to be positive.

Proof. Independence of bases \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 follows from Lemma 6.6. Therefore, it suffices to that prove (1), (2) and (3) hold with respect to a particular choice of bases. We write $\Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_1$ for the basis for $\Omega^1(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j})$ obtained by applying $(\Phi^\vee)^*$ to the elements in \mathcal{B}_1 .

By Lemma 6.5, we deduce that

$$(6.18) \quad \Lambda_\Theta(X/\mathcal{K}) = \frac{\#\text{coker } f_\Phi(\mathcal{K})}{\#\ker f_\Phi(\mathcal{K})} \cdot \frac{\prod_i \mu(X/H_i)}{\prod_j \mu(X/H'_j)} \cdot \left| \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))}{\mathcal{C}_{\Theta^{\mathcal{B}_1, \Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_1}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))}} \right|_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Therefore, (2) follows by combining (6.18) with Lemma 6.12. To prove (3), we note that $\text{coker } f_\Phi(\mathcal{K})$ is trivial (since \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed), $\#\ker f_\Phi(\mathbb{C}) = \deg(f_\Phi)$ and that $\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))$ is an integer. We utilise (2) and (3) to complete the proof of (1). In particular, when \mathcal{K} is non-Archimedean, Lemma 6.14 asserts that

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))}{\mathcal{C}_{\Theta^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))} \in \mathcal{K}^{\times 2},$$

and the result follows. When $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}$, $\Lambda_\Theta(X/\mathcal{K})$ is rational in view of (3). When $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is realisable over \mathbb{Q} by Lemma 5.1 and so $\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)) \equiv \pm \deg(f_\Phi) \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}}$. This completes the proof. \square

We end this section with a slight simplification of $\Lambda_\Theta(X/\mathcal{K})$ which is only possible when \mathcal{K} is non-Archimedean. Recall that for an abelian variety A over a non-Archimedean local field \mathcal{K} , we write $c(A)$ to denote its Tamagawa number.

Lemma 6.19. *Let \mathcal{K} be a non-Archimedean local field. Let \mathcal{J}_H be a Néron model of $\text{Jac}_{X/H}$ over $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K}$ and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J}_H)$ be a basis for the $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K}$ -module $\Omega^1(\mathcal{J}_H)$. Letting $\mathcal{N}_1 = \bigsqcup_i \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J}_{H_i})$ and $\mathcal{N}_2 = \bigsqcup_j \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J}_{H'_j})$,*

$$\Lambda_\Theta(X/\mathcal{K}) = \frac{\prod_i c(\text{Jac}_{X/H_i}) \cdot \mu(X/H_i)}{\prod_j c(\text{Jac}_{X/H'_j}) \cdot \mu(X/H'_j)} \cdot \left| \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))}{\mathcal{C}_{\Theta^{\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))}} \right|_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Proof. For a Néron basis $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J}_H)$, the exterior form $\omega(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J}_H))$ on $\text{Jac}_{X/H}$ coincides with the exterior form on the Néron-model of \mathcal{J}_H over $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K}$. \square

7. LOCAL FORMULAE FOR SELMER RANK PARITIES

In this section, we combine previous results to express the valuation of regulator constants of Selmer groups in terms of purely local data. The main result is the following. Recall that, for a prime p , we denote by $\mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X)$ the dual p^∞ -Selmer group of the Jacobian of a curve X .

Theorem 7.1. *Let X be a curve over a number field K , and G a finite group of K -automorphisms of X . Let Θ be a pseudo Brauer relation for X , and suppose that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is a self-dual $K[G]$ -representation. Then*

$$\text{ord}_p \mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X)) \equiv \sum_{v \text{ place of } K} \text{ord}_p \Lambda_\Theta(X/K_v) \pmod{2},$$

where $\Lambda_\Theta(X/K_v)$ is as in Definition 6.16.

Remark 7.2. By Corollary 4.3(4), any pseudo-Brauer relation for X is a pseudo Brauer relative to $\mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X)$. Thus, the quantity appearing on the left-hand side of Theorem 7.1 is well defined.

Theorem 7.1 can be rephrased using the language introduced in §2.3.

Corollary 7.3. *For any choice of $\tau_{\Theta, p}$ as in Definition 2.9,*

$$\langle \tau_{\Theta, p}, \mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X) \rangle \equiv \sum_{v \text{ place of } K} \text{ord}_p \Lambda_\Theta(X/K_v) \pmod{2}.$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 7.1. \square

In the following proof, we let Φ be a G -map realising Θ , and write Φ^\vee for its dual, and f_Φ for the induced isogeny. In addition, for each place v of K , we write \mathcal{B}_v to denote a basis for $\Omega^1(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}/K_v)$ and $\Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_v$ for the basis for $\Omega^1(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j}/K_v)$ obtained by applying $(\Phi^\vee)^*$ to the elements in \mathcal{B}_v .

Theorem 7.4. *Let X be a curve over a number field K , and G a finite group of K -automorphisms of X . Then,*

$$\text{ord}_p \mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X)) \equiv \sum_{v \text{ place of } K} \text{ord}_p \lambda_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_v, \Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_v}(X/K_v) \pmod{2},$$

where $\lambda_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_v, \Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_v}(X/K_v)$ is as in Definition 6.1.

Proof. For a K -isogeny $f : A \rightarrow B$, as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we write

$$Q(f) = \#\text{coker}(f : A(K)/A(K)_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow B(K)/B(K)_{\text{tors}}) \times \#\ker(f : \text{III}(A)_{\text{div}} \rightarrow \text{III}(B)_{\text{div}}).$$

We write $\omega(\mathcal{B}_v)$ to denote the exterior form on $\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}/K_v$ obtained by taking wedge product of the elements in \mathcal{B}_v , and similarly for $\omega(\Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_v)$. As in the proof of Lemma 6.5, $\omega(\Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_v) = f_\Phi^* \omega(\mathcal{B}_v)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Q(f_\Phi)}{Q(f_\Phi^\vee)} &\equiv \frac{\prod_v C_v(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_v))}{\prod_v C_v(\prod_j \text{Jac}_{X/H'_j}, f_\Phi^* \omega(\mathcal{B}_v))} \cdot \frac{\prod_i \#\text{III}_0(\text{Jac}_{X/H_i})[2^\infty]}{\prod_j \#\text{III}_0(\text{Jac}_{X/H'_j})[2^\infty]} \quad ([12, \text{Theorem 4.3}]) \\ &\equiv \prod_v \lambda_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_v, \Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_v}(X/K_v) \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}}. \quad (\text{Prop. 5.7}) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 3.9, we deduce that

$$\frac{Q(f_\Phi)}{Q(f_\Phi^\vee)} \equiv Q(f_\Phi)Q(f_\Phi^\vee) = Q(f_\Phi f_\Phi^\vee) = Q(f_\Phi f_{\Phi^\vee}) = Q(f_{\Phi^\vee \Phi}) \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}}.$$

Putting everything together,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p \prod_v \lambda_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_v, \Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_v}(X/K_v) &\equiv \text{ord}_p Q(f_{\Phi^\vee \Phi}) \\ &\equiv \text{ord}_p \det(f_{\Phi^\vee \Phi} \mid \bigoplus_i \mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_{X/H_i})) \\ &\equiv \text{ord}_p \det((\Phi^\vee \Phi)^* \mid \bigoplus_i \mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_{X/H_i})) \quad (\text{by Remark 3.19}) \\ &\equiv \text{ord}_p \mathcal{C}_\Theta(\mathcal{X}_p(\text{Jac}_X)) \pmod{2}. \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.18}) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.5, we deduce $\lambda_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_v, \Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_v}(X/K_v)$ is rational, and therefore the result follows. \square

Remark 7.5. The invariants appearing in the local formulae found in [4], [9], [14], [16], [20] coincide with $\lambda_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(X/K_v)$. Theorem 7.4 highlights the main advantage of $\Lambda_\Theta(X/K_v)$ over $\lambda_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(X/K_v)$. To be precise, the latter depends on Φ , and as a consequence the K -isogeny f_Φ afforded by Theorem 3.2(3), whereas Theorem 6.17(1) asserts that $\Lambda_\Theta(X/K_v)$ is independent of this choice. This independence is achieved by introducing a factor of $|\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))|_v^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (cf. Lemma 6.6), and that $\text{ord}_p \Lambda_\Theta(X/K)$ is an integer is achieved by introducing a factor of $|\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X))|_v^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (cf. Theorem 6.17(1)).

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Let \mathcal{B}_1 be global basis for $\Omega^1(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}/K)$. This determines bases for $\Omega^1(\prod_i \text{Jac}_{X/H_i}/K_v)$ for all v . With this choice, $\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\mathcal{B}_1, \Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_1}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X/K_v))$ lies in K^\times for all v . In addition, $\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X/K_v))$ is an integer. By the product formula,

$$\prod_v \left| \frac{\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\text{sf}}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X/K_v))}{\mathcal{C}_\Theta^{\mathcal{B}_1, \Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_1}(\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X/K_v))} \right|_v = 1.$$

This proves that

$$\text{ord}_p \prod_v \lambda_{\Theta}^{\mathcal{B}_1, \Phi^\vee \mathcal{B}_1}(X/K_v) = \text{ord}_p \prod_v \Lambda_{\Theta}(X/K_v) = \sum_v \text{ord}_p \Lambda_{\Theta}(X/K_v)$$

where the last equality holds as $\Lambda_{\Theta}(X/K_v)$ is rational by Theorem 6.17(1). The result follows by Theorem 7.4. \square

8. PARITY OF RANKS OF JACOBIANS

In §7 we explained how to compute the parity of the multiplicity of certain representations $\tau_{\Theta,p}$ inside Selmer groups of Jacobians using local data (see Definition 2.9 & Corollary 7.3). In this section, we describe how to apply this result iteratively in order to determine the parity of the rank of Jacobians of curves. This generalises the argument for elliptic curves given in Theorem 1.15. We also comment on how our construction relates to the parity conjecture.

8.1. Regulator constants in S_n .

Lemma 8.1. *Suppose $G = C_2 \times C_2$, D_8 (in which case set $p=2$) or D_{2p} for an odd prime p . For every character τ of G of degree 2, there is a Brauer relation Θ such that $\tau_{\Theta,p} = \tau - \mathbb{1} - \det \tau$.*

Proof. See [10, Examples 2.53, 2.54]. \square

Theorem 8.2. *Let $G = S_n$. Let ρ be the irreducible $n-1$ dimensional character of G that is the natural permutation character on n points minus the trivial character, and $\epsilon = \det \rho$ the sign character. There are primes $p_i \leq n$ and Brauer relations Θ_i in G such that*

$$-n\mathbb{1} + \epsilon + \rho = 2\sigma + \sum_i \tau_{\Theta_i, p_i}$$

for some character σ .

Proof. Note that $-n\mathbb{1} + \epsilon + \rho$ is a permutation character of degree zero and trivial determinant. By Theorem B.2, it can be written in the form

$$-n\mathbb{1} + \epsilon + \rho = \sigma + \bar{\sigma} + \sum_i k_i \text{Ind}_{G_i}^G (\tau_i - \mathbb{1}_{G_i} - \det \tau_i),$$

for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $G_i \leq G$ and characters τ_i of G_i of degree 2 that factor through a $C_2 \times C_2$ -, D_8 - or D_{2p_i} -quotient of G_i for an odd prime $p_i \leq n$; we set $p_i = 2$ in case of a $C_2 \times C_2$ - or D_8 -quotient.

As all characters of S_n are real-valued, $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$, so that $\sigma + \bar{\sigma} = 2\sigma$.

By Lemma 8.1 (and [10, Theorem 2.56(3)]), there is a G_i -Brauer relation $\Theta'_i = \sum_j m_{j,i} H_{j,i}$ (with $m_{j,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_{j,i} \leq G_i$) such that $\tau_{\Theta'_i, p_i} = \tau_i - \mathbb{1}_{G_i} - \det \tau_i$. Taking $n_{j,i} = k_i m_{j,i}$, by [10, Theorem 2.56(3)] the G -Brauer relation $\Theta_i = \sum_j n_{j,i} H_{j,i}$ has

$$\tau_{\Theta_i, p_i} = k_i \text{Ind}_{G_i}^G (\tau_i - \mathbb{1}_{G_i} - \det \tau_i).$$

The result follows. \square

8.2. Inductivity of root numbers. Before diving into the construction of the arithmetic analogue of local root numbers for Jacobians, we record a basic property of the root number $w(X^\rho)$ from Definition 1.18. These will only be used for comparing our construction to that of actual root numbers.

Proposition 8.3. *Let X be a curve over a number field K , and G a finite group of K -automorphisms of X . Suppose that $\Omega^1(\text{Jac}_X)$ is self-dual as a G -representation. If ρ, ρ' are two $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -representations and $H \leq G$ is a subgroup then*

$$w(X^{\rho \oplus \rho'}) = w(X^\rho)w(X^{\rho'}) \quad \text{and} \quad w(X^{\text{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{1}}) = w(X/H).$$

Proof. We use the notation introduced in Definition 1.18. It clearly suffices to prove the analogous formulae for local root numbers.

Suppose \mathcal{K} is non-Archimedean. The first property follows from the equality

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho \oplus \rho', H_\ell^1(X)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho, H_\ell^1(X)) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_G(\rho', H_\ell^1(X))$$

as Galois modules. The second property follows from the fact that induction is left adjoint to restriction, so that for any G -representation V there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \rho, V) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_H(\rho, V)$ that is functorial in V . Taking $V = H_\ell^1(X)$ and using Lemma 3.18 gives Galois module isomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \rho, H_\ell^1(X)) \simeq H_\ell^1(X)^H \simeq H_\ell^1(X/H)$, from which the claim follows.

The case when \mathcal{K} is Archimedean follows from the same isomorphisms by counting dimensions. \square

8.3. Parity of ranks of Jacobians.

Notation 8.4. For every $n \geq 1$, fix a list of primes $p_i = p_i^{(n)}$ and of Brauer relations $\Theta_i = \Theta_i^{(n)}$ in S_n as in Theorem 8.2.

Definition 8.5. For a cover $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree n over a local field \mathcal{K} define

$$\alpha(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1/\mathcal{K}) = \sum_i \mathrm{ord}_{p_i^{(n)}} \Lambda_{\Theta_i^{(n)}}(X_Y/\mathcal{K}),$$

where X_Y is the S_n -closure of $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ (see Definitions A.3, A.16).

Theorem 8.6. Let $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a cover of degree n over a number field K . Let $X_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be its S_n closure and $\Delta_Y = X_Y/A_n$ its associated discriminant curve.

(i) If the p -primary part of $\mathrm{III}(\mathrm{Jac}_{X_Y})$ is finite for all primes $p \leq n$, then

$$\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Jac}_Y + \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Jac}_{\Delta_Y} \equiv \sum_{v \text{ place of } K} \alpha(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1/K_v) \pmod{2}.$$

(ii) If Conjecture 1.19 holds, then

$$w(\mathrm{Jac}_Y)w(\mathrm{Jac}_{\Delta_Y}) = \prod_v (-1)^{\alpha(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1/K_v)}.$$

Proof. Write ρ for the irreducible $n-1$ dimensional character of G that is the natural permutation character on n points minus the trivial character.

(i) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathrm{Jac}_{X_Y}(K), \mathbb{1} \rangle &= \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Jac}_{\mathbb{P}^1} = 0, \\ \langle \mathrm{Jac}_{X_Y}(K), \rho \rangle &= \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Jac}_{X_Y/S_{n-1}} = \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Jac}_Y, \\ \langle \mathrm{Jac}_{X_Y}(K), \det \rho \rangle &= \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Jac}_{X_Y/A_n} = \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Jac}_{\Delta_Y}. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 7.3 and the assumed finiteness of the $p_i^{(n)}$ -primary part of III ,

$$\langle \mathrm{Jac}_{X_Y}(K), \tau_{\Theta_i^{(n)}, p_i^{(n)}} \rangle \equiv \sum_v \mathrm{ord}_{p_i^{(n)}} \Lambda_{\Theta_i^{(n)}}(Y/K_v) \pmod{2}.$$

Taking the sum over i , we get

$$\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Jac}_{\Delta_Y} + \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Jac}_Y = \langle \mathrm{Jac}_{X_Y}(K), -n\mathbb{1} + \rho + \det \rho \rangle \equiv \sum_v \alpha(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1/K_v) \pmod{2}.$$

(ii) The proof is analogous to (i). Using Proposition 8.3 we see that

$$w(X_Y^{\mathbb{1}}) = 1, \quad w(X_Y^{\rho}) = w(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad w(X_Y^{\det \rho}) = w(\Delta_Y).$$

By Conjecture 1.19, $w(X_Y^{\tau_{\Theta_i^{(n)}, p_i^{(n)}}}) = \prod_v (-1)^{\mathrm{ord}_{p_i^{(n)}} \Lambda_{\Theta_i^{(n)}}(Y/K_v)}$. Taking the product over i gives the result. \square

Notation 8.7. Write \mathbb{P}_x^1 for \mathbb{P}^1 together with a choice of an element x that generates its function field over K .

Definition 8.8. Let $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ be a cover. As in Definition 8.5, we work in its S_n -closure. The function field of $\Delta_Y = X_Y/A_n$ is a quadratic extension of $K(x)$, and so it can be written as $K(x)(\sqrt{ag(x)})$ for a unique monic squarefree $g(x) \in K[x]$ and an element $a \in K^\times$ that is well-defined up to multiplication by $K^{\times 2}$. (Strictly speaking, throughout this definition we work with étale algebras. That is by $K(x)(\sqrt{h(x)})$ we mean the algebra $K(x)[t]/(t^2 - h(x))$. It is of degree 2 over $K(x)$ even when $h(x)$ is a perfect square; see Lemma A.7.)

Define Δ_Y^1 to be the double cover of \mathbb{P}_x^1 with function field $K(x)(\sqrt{g(x)})$; it is the quadratic twist of the hyperelliptic curve Δ_Y by a . Writing $y = \sqrt{g(x)}$, Δ_Y^1 comes with a well-defined cover $\Delta_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_y^1$, which only depends on $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$.

Define Δ_Y^2 to be the $C_2 \times C_2$ -cover of \mathbb{P}_x^1 with function field $K(x)(\sqrt{g(x)}, \sqrt{a})$.

Lemma 8.9. Suppose that $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ is of the form $x^2 = f(z)$ and let a and $g(x)$ be as in Definition 8.8. Then, Δ_Y is given by $y^2 = aG(x^2)$ for a monic polynomial G and is a $C_2 \times C_2$ cover of $\mathbb{P}_{x^2}^1$.

Proof. By construction $g(x) = G(x^2)$ for a monic polynomial G since the discriminant is a function of x^2 . Moreover, $C_2 \times C_2$ act on Δ_Y via the automorphisms $x \mapsto \pm x$ and $y \mapsto \pm y$. \square

Definition 8.10. For a cover $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ over a local field \mathcal{K} define

$$\beta(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1/\mathcal{K}) = \text{ord}_2 \Lambda_\Theta(\Delta_Y^2/\mathcal{K}),$$

where $\Theta = 2C_2 \times C_2 - C_2^a - C_2^b - C_2^c + \{1\}$ is a $C_2 \times C_2$ -Brauer relation.

Lemma 8.11. Let $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ be a cover over a number field K .

(i) If $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_{\Delta_Y})$ and $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_{\Delta_Y^1})$ have finite 2-primary part, then

$$\text{rk Jac}_{\Delta_Y} + \text{rk Jac}_{\Delta_Y^1} \equiv \sum_{v \text{ place of } K} \beta(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1/K_v) \pmod{2}.$$

(ii) If Conjecture 1.19 holds, then

$$w(\text{Jac}_{\Delta_Y})w(\text{Jac}_{\Delta_Y^1}) = \prod_v (-1)^{\beta(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1/K_v)}.$$

Proof. (i) This is Theorem 7.1 applied to the $C_2 \times C_2$ -Brauer relation of $\Delta_Y^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ with $p = 2$.

(ii) This is Proposition 8.3 combined with Conjecture 1.19 for the same Brauer relation. \square

Definition 8.12. For a cover $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ of the form $x^2 = f(z)$ over a local field \mathcal{K} , define

$$\gamma(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1/\mathcal{K}) = \text{ord}_2 \Lambda_\Theta(\Delta_Y/\mathcal{K}),$$

where $\Theta = 2C_2 \times C_2 - C_2^a - C_2^b - C_2^c + \{1\}$ is a $C_2 \times C_2$ -Brauer relation of $\Delta_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{x^2}^1$.

Lemma 8.13. Let $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ be a cover of the form $x^2 = f(z)$ over a number field K .

(i) If $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_{\Delta_Y})$ has finite 2-primary part, then

$$\text{rk Jac}_{\Delta_Y} \equiv \sum_{v \text{ place of } K} \gamma(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1/K_v) \pmod{2}.$$

(ii) If Conjecture 1.19 holds, then

$$w(\text{Jac}_{\Delta_Y}) = \prod_v (-1)^{\gamma(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1/K_v)}.$$

Proof. (i) This is Theorem 7.1 applied to the $C_2 \times C_2$ -Brauer relation of $\Delta_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{x^2}^1$ with $p = 2$.

(ii) This is Proposition 8.3 combined with Conjecture 1.19 for the same Brauer relation. \square

Definition 8.14. Let $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ be a cover over a local field \mathcal{K} . We define the “arithmetic analogue of the local root number” (with respect to the cover $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$) $w'(Y/\mathcal{K}) \in \{\pm 1\}$ as follows. Let $\Delta_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_y^1$ be the associated cover $y^2 = g(x)$ (as in Definition 8.8). Define

$$w'(Y/\mathcal{K}) = (-1)^{\alpha(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1/\mathcal{K})} (-1)^{\beta(Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1/\mathcal{K})} (-1)^{\alpha(\Delta_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_y^1/\mathcal{K})} (-1)^{\gamma(\Delta_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_y^1/\mathcal{K})}.$$

Remark 8.15. The invariant $w'(Y/\mathcal{K})$ depends on the cover $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$, although we have suppressed it in the notation. It also depends on the choice of Brauer relations in symmetric groups used in Theorem 8.2. The latter is a purely group theoretic choice. It is applied to S_n and S_m where n and m are the degrees of $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ and $\Delta_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_y^1$, respectively.

Theorem 8.16. Let $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ be a degree n cover defined over a number field K , and let X_Y its S_n -closure. Let $\Delta_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_y^1$ be the associated cover $y^2 = g(x)$, let m be its degree and $X_{\Delta_Y^1}$ its S_m -closure.

(i) If $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_{X_Y})$ and $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_{X_{\Delta_Y^1}})$ have finite p -primary part for $p \leq n$ and $p \leq m$, respectively, then

$$(-1)^{\text{rk Jac}_Y} = \prod_v w'(Y/K_v).$$

(ii) If Conjecture 1.19 holds, then

$$w(\text{Jac}_Y) = \prod_v w'(Y/K_v).$$

Proof. Note that if the p -primary part of $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_{X_Y})$ (respectively of $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_{X_{\Delta_Y^1}})$) is finite, then so is that of $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_{\Delta_Y})$ (respectively $\text{III}(\text{Jac}_{\Delta_{\Delta_Y^1}})$) by Corollary 4.3.

Now combine Theorem 8.6 for $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ and for $\Delta_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_y^1$ together with Lemma 8.11 for $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_x^1$ and with Lemma 8.13 for $\Delta_Y^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_y^1$. \square

Corollary 8.17. If the Shafarevich–Tate conjecture and Conjecture 1.19 hold for all Jacobians of curves over a fixed number field K , then so does parity conjecture, that is

$$(-1)^{\text{rk Jac}_Y} = w(\text{Jac}_Y)$$

for every curve Y defined over K .

Corollary 8.18 (to the proof). If the Shafarevich–Tate conjecture and Conjecture 1.19 hold for all elliptic curves over a fixed number field K , then so does parity conjecture.

APPENDIX A. ÉTALE ALGEBRAS, CURVES AND JACOBIANS

In this section, we collect some results concerning étale algebras, curves and Jacobians which are used in the main body of the paper. We begin with a brief review of étale algebras, the notion of most importance being that of S_n -closure (see Section A.1.1). We then discuss curves and their Jacobians. While much of this material is presumably standard, we have often been unable to locate suitable references. Most notably, we need to work extensively with (Jacobians of) curves which are smooth and proper, but not assumed to be connected, and whose components are themselves not assumed geometrically connected. For completeness, we show how to deduce all relevant properties of these curves and their Jacobians from the corresponding, and more standard, results in the geometrically connected case.

A.1. Étale algebras. Let F be a field and let F^s be a fixed separable closure of F . Denote by $G_F = \text{Gal}(F^s/F)$ the absolute Galois group of F . Given a finite étale algebra L , we write

$$S(L) = \text{Hom}_F(L, F^s)$$

for the finite G_F -set of F -algebra homomorphisms $L \rightarrow F^s$. Here the action of $\sigma \in G_F$ on $\phi \in \text{Hom}_F(L, F^s)$ is given by

$$(\sigma \cdot \phi)(x) = \sigma\phi(x), \quad x \in L.$$

If L/F has degree n , then $S(L)$ has cardinality n .

Remark A.1. Suppose $L = F[x]/(f(x))$ where $f(x) \in F[x]$ is a separable polynomial. Then $S(L)$ is isomorphic to the G_F -set of roots of $f(x)$ in F^s .

Starting instead with a finite G_F -set S , we write

$$L(S) = \text{Map}_{G_F}(S, F^s)$$

for the F -algebra of G_F -equivariant maps $S \rightarrow F^s$.

Proposition A.2. [6, Chapter V.10.10] *The assignments $L \mapsto S(L)$ and $S \mapsto L(S)$ induce a contravariant equivalence of categories*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{finite étale } F\text{-algebras} \\ \text{and} \\ F\text{-algebra homomorphisms} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{finite discrete } G_F\text{-sets} \\ \text{and} \\ G_F\text{-equivariant maps} \end{array} \right\}.$$

A.1.1. S_n -closure. Let F , L and $S(L)$ be as above, let n be the degree of L over F , and write $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Denote by $\text{Bij}([n], S(L))$ the G_F -set of bijections from $[n]$ to $S(L)$. Here the action of G_F on $\phi \in \text{Bij}([n], S(L))$ is given by

$$(\sigma \cdot \phi)(m) = \sigma\phi(m), \quad m \in [n].$$

Definition A.3. The S_n -closure \tilde{L} of L/F is defined to be the étale F -algebra associated via Proposition A.2 to $\text{Bij}([n], S(L))$.

Remark A.4. See [3] for an alternative description of the S_n -closure which carries over to more general ring extensions.

Note that $\text{Bij}([n], S(L))$ carries a natural right action of the symmetric group S_n , given by precomposition. This commutes with the G_F -action, so by Proposition A.2 we obtain a left action of S_n on \tilde{L} by F -algebra automorphisms. Evaluation of bijections at $1 \in [n]$ defines a G_F -equivariant surjection

$$(A.5) \quad \text{Bij}([n], S(L)) \twoheadrightarrow S(L).$$

Via Proposition A.2 this induces an inclusion $L \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}$ of F -algebras, so \tilde{L} is naturally an extension of L .

Remark A.6. The map (A.5) realises $S(L)$ as the quotient of $\text{Bij}([n], S(L))$ by the action of $1 \times S_{n-1}$. From this we deduce that

$$L = \tilde{L}^{1 \times S_{n-1}},$$

recovering L as the algebra of fixed points for the action of $1 \times S_{n-1}$ on \tilde{L} .

Lemma A.7. *Suppose that the characteristic of F is different from 2. Further, suppose that $L = F[x]/(f(x))$ for some separable polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$. Write $\Delta \in F^\times$ for the polynomial discriminant of $f(x)$.*

Then the algebra \tilde{L}^{A_n} of fixed points for the action of the alternating group A_n on \tilde{L} is isomorphic, as an F -algebra, to

$$F[y]/(y^2 - \Delta).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be the G_F -set of roots of $f(x)$ in F^s . From Remark A.1 and the definition of \tilde{L} , we see that \tilde{L}^{A_n} corresponds under Proposition A.2 to the quotient of $\text{Bij}([n], \mathcal{R})$ by the action of A_n . On the other hand, from the definition of the discriminant, we see that $F[y]/(y^2 - \Delta)$ corresponds to the two element G_F -set

$$S = \left\{ \pm \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j) \right\}.$$

Since these two G_F -sets are isomorphic, the result follows from Proposition A.2. \square

A.2. Curves and function fields. In what follows, k is field of characteristic 0. By a k -variety we mean a separated finite-type k -scheme, and by a curve X/k we mean a k -variety which is pure of dimension 1 (i.e. all irreducible components have dimension 1). We say that a curve X/k is nice if X is smooth, proper and connected. These adjectives imply that X is integral, so we may consider the function field $k(X)$ of X . A nice curve X is automatically projective, and is geometrically connected if and only if $k(X) \cap \bar{k} = k$. When this is the case, the function field of $X_{\bar{k}}$ is equal to $k(X) \otimes_k \bar{k}$ [22, Corollary 3.2.14]. We call such a curve *very nice*.

Given a non-constant morphism of nice k -curves $X \rightarrow Y$, there is an induced k -algebra homomorphism $k(Y) \hookrightarrow k(X)$.

Proposition A.8. [37, Tag 0BY1] *The map sending a nice k -curve to its function field induces a contravariant equivalence of categories between:*

- (1) *nice k -curves and non-constant k -morphisms,*
- (2) *finitely generated field extensions F/k of transcendence degree 1 and k -algebra homomorphisms.*

Henceforth, we refer to a finitely generated field extension F/k of transcendence degree 1 simply as a ‘function field’.

Remark A.9. Suppose that F/k is a function field, and write k_F for the maximal algebraic extension of k contained in F . Then k_F is a finite extension, and F/k_F is a function field in which k_F is algebraically closed. Thus F corresponds via Proposition A.8 both to a very nice curve X over k_F , and a nice curve over k . The latter is the scheme X equipped with the structure map $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k_F \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$.

A.3. Quasi-nice curves. In what follows, we will call a curve X/k *quasi-nice* if each connected component of X is nice. That is, X is a finite disjoint union of nice k -curves. The advantage of quasi-nice curves over nice curves is that the base-change of a quasi-nice curve to an arbitrary field extension k'/k is again quasi-nice.

Notation A.10. Let X/k be a quasi-nice k -curve. We denote by \mathcal{K}_X the sheaf of total quotient rings on X in the sense of [37, Tag 02AR], and write $k(X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X)$ for the k -algebra of global sections of \mathcal{K}_X .

Note that writing $X = \bigsqcup_i X_i$ as a disjoint union of nice k -curves X_i , we have $k(X) = \prod_i k(X_i)$. In particular, we see that

$$(A.11) \quad C = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Spec } k(X)} X_x,$$

where, for $x \in \text{Spec } k(X)$, we denote by X_x the nice k -curve corresponding via Proposition A.8 to the residue field of x .

A.4. Covers of curves and étale algebras. Let Y/k be a very nice k -curve, and let $F = k(Y)$ be the function field of Y . We will be interested in the following notion of cover of Y .

Definition A.12. A cover $f : X \rightarrow Y$ consists of:

- a quasi-nice k -curve X and,
- a finite surjective k -morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, via which we view X as an Y -scheme.

A morphism of covers $X \rightarrow X'$ is a finite surjective Y -morphism from X to X' . We denote by \mathbf{Cov}_Y the category of covers of Y .

We will show that \mathbf{Cov}_Y is contravariantly equivalent to the category $\mathbf{\acute{E}t}_F^{\text{inj}}$ of étale F -algebras with morphisms given by injective F -algebra homomorphisms.

Notation A.13. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a cover, and let $k(X)$ be as in Notation A.10. The morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ induces an inclusion $F \hookrightarrow k(X)$, making $k(X)$ into an étale F -algebra. Similarly, given a morphism of covers $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$, pullback of functions defines an injective F -homomorphism $\phi^* : k(Y) \hookrightarrow k(X)$.

Proposition A.14. The map sending a cover $X \rightarrow Y$ to the F -algebra $k(X)$ induces a contravariant equivalence of categories between \mathbf{Cov}_Y and $\mathbf{\acute{E}t}_F^{\text{inj}}$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition A.8. For convenience, we describe how to construct an inverse functor.

Given an étale F -algebra L , the product of the natural reduction maps gives an isomorphism of F -algebras

$$L \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{x \in \text{Spec } L} L(x).$$

Each residue field $L(x)$ is a finite field extension of F , so corresponds via Proposition A.8 to a nice k -curve X_x equipped with a finite surjective k -morphism

$$f_x : X_x \rightarrow Y.$$

Define the cover X^L associated to L to be the disjoint union over $x \in \text{Spec } L$ of the curves X_x , equipped with the finite surjective k -morphism

$$\bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Spec } L} f_x : X^L \rightarrow Y.$$

Now suppose we have an injective homomorphism of étale F -algebras $\phi : L \rightarrow L'$, and write ϕ' for the induced map $\text{Spec } L' \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$. To ease notation, take $X = X^L$ and $X' = X^{L'}$ to be the corresponding curves. For each $x \in \text{Spec } L'$, the homomorphism $L(\phi'(x)) \rightarrow L'(x)$ induced by ϕ corresponds via Proposition A.8 to a finite surjective Y -morphism

$$\phi_x : X'_x \rightarrow X_{\phi'(x)}.$$

Together, the ϕ_x give a finite Y -morphism $X' \rightarrow X$. To show that this map is surjective we first note that, since ϕ is injective, ϕ' is surjective. Thus every connected component of X appears as $X_{\phi'(x)}$ for some $x \in \text{Spec } L'$. This and surjectivity of the individual ϕ_x gives the result. \square

Remark A.15. Let k'/k be a field extension. Given a cover $X \rightarrow Y$, the base-change $X \times_k k' \rightarrow Y \times_k k'$ is a cover of the very nice k' -curve $Y \times_k k'$. Since also $k'(X \times_k k') = k(X) \otimes_k k'$, we see that the correspondence of Proposition A.14 is compatible with base-change to arbitrary field extensions.

We can use the above to define the S_n -closure of any cover $X \rightarrow Y$.

Definition A.16. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a cover, and let $L = k(X)$ the corresponding étale F -algebra. We define the S_n -closure of $X \rightarrow Y$ to be the cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ corresponding via Proposition A.14 to the S_n -closure of L (cf. Definition A.3).

A.5. Jacobians of very nice curves. We will need to work with Jacobians of quasi-nice curves. For lack of a suitable reference, we explain below how to deduce the properties of these we need from the corresponding properties for Jacobians of very nice curves, some of which we now recall.

Let X be a very nice k -curve. We denote by Jac_X the Jacobian of X , and denote by λ_X the canonical principal polarisation on Jac_X . Given a non-constant morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of very nice k -curves, we have induced k -homomorphisms

$$f^* : \text{Jac}_Y \rightarrow \text{Jac}_X \quad \text{and} \quad f_* : \text{Jac}_X \rightarrow \text{Jac}_Y.$$

We have $\text{Jac}_X(\bar{k}) = \text{Pic}^0(X_{\bar{k}})$, which identifies with the group of degree 0 divisors on $X_{\bar{k}}$ modulo linear equivalence, and similarly for Y . Under this identification, f_* sends a prime divisor Q to $f(Q)$, whilst f^* is the homomorphism that sends a prime divisor Q to

$$\sum_{P \in f^{-1}(Q)} e(P)P,$$

where $e(P)$ is the ramification degree of f at P .

The following lemma is standard, but we have been unable to locate a suitable reference.

Lemma A.17. *We have $f^* = \lambda_X^{-1} \circ f_*^\vee \circ \lambda_Y$, where f_*^\vee is the dual of f_* .*

Proof. It suffices to check this identity after base-change to \bar{k} . Fix any point P in $X(\bar{k})$. Then P defines a morphism (the Abel–Jacobi map) $\phi^P : C \rightarrow \text{Jac}_X$ induced by the map on divisors $(Q) \mapsto (Q) - (P)$. Similarly, the point $f(P) \in Y(\bar{k})$ induces a morphism $\phi^{f(P)} : Y \rightarrow \text{Jac}_Y$. From the explicit description of f_* in terms of divisors, one checks that

$$\phi^{f(P)} \circ f = f_* \circ \phi^P.$$

After applying $\text{Pic}_{-/k}^0$ to this equality, the result follows from [27, Lemma 6.9]. \square

Remark A.18. Using the principal polarisations λ_X and λ_Y to identify the Jacobians of X and Y with their duals, we can express Lemma A.17 more succinctly as saying that $(f_*)^\vee = f^*$.

A.6. Jacobians of quasi-nice curves. Let X be a quasi-nice k -curve. By definition, we take the Jacobian Jac_X of X to be the identity component of the relative Picard functor of X/k . Let $k(X)$ be as in Definition A.10. In what follows, we write $\overline{X} = X_{\bar{k}}$ for the base-change of X to \bar{k} , and write $\bar{k}(X)$ as shorthand for $k(X) \otimes_k \bar{k} = \bar{k}(\overline{X})$.

Lemma A.19. *The Jacobian of X is a principally polarised abelian variety over k .*

Proof. Since X is proper, by [31, Theorem 2] and [18, Lemma 5.1] (cf. also [18, Corollary 4.18.3]), $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ is represented by a finite-type commutative group scheme over k . Now decompose \overline{X} as in (A.11), noting that, since the notions of nice and very nice agree over algebraically closed fields, each \overline{X}_x is a very nice curve. Then

$$(A.20) \quad (\text{Jac}_X)_{\bar{k}} \stackrel{[18, \text{Prop. 5.3}]}{=} \text{Pic}_{\overline{X}/\bar{k}}^0 = \prod_{x \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(X)} \text{Jac}_{\overline{X}_x}$$

is an abelian variety. Thus the same is true of Jac_X .

Next, with the curves \overline{X}_x as above, equip each $\text{Jac}_{\overline{X}_x}$ with its canonical principal polarisation, and equip $(\text{Jac}_X)_{\bar{k}}$ with the corresponding product polarisation, which we denote λ_X . We wish to show that λ_X is defined over k . To see this, let $P = (P_x)_{x \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(X)}$ be an element of $X(\bar{k})$, where $P_x \in \overline{X}_x(\bar{k})$ for each x . Let

$$f^{(P)} : \overline{X} \longrightarrow (\text{Jac}_X)_{\bar{k}} = \prod_{x \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(X)} \text{Jac}_{\overline{X}_x}$$

denote the product of the Abel–Jacobi maps associated to the P_x . Pulling back line bundles under $f^{(P)}$ then gives a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Jac}_X^\vee(\bar{k}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Jac}_X(\bar{k}).$$

From [27, Lemma 6.9] we see that this agrees with the map on \bar{k} -points induced by $-\lambda_X^{-1}$. In particular, this map is independent of the choice of P . By applying this observation to the corresponding maps constructed from the Galois conjugates of P , we conclude that $-\lambda_X^{-1}$ is defined over k . Thus also λ_X is defined over k . \square

A.6.1. Jacobians of quasi-nice curves as products of Weil restrictions. We record the following description of the Jacobian of a quasi-nice curve as a product of Weil restrictions of Jacobians of very nice curves. We remark that this gives an alternative approach to Lemma A.19.

Notation A.21. Let k'/k be a finite field extension, and let V be a quasi-projective k' -variety. We denote by $\mathrm{Res}_{k'/k} V$ the *Weil restriction* of V from k' to k . By [5, Section 7.6] it is a k variety which represents the functor from k -schemes to sets given by $T \mapsto V(T \times_k k')$. Similarly, for a functor F from k' -schemes to sets, we denote by $\mathrm{Res}_{k'/k} F$ the functor from k -schemes to sets given by $\mathrm{Res}_{k'/k} F(T) = F(T \times_k k')$.

As in (A.11), write

$$X = \bigsqcup_{x \in \mathrm{Spec} k(X)} X_x$$

as a disjoint union of nice k -curves. For $x \in \mathrm{Spec} k(X)$, write $k(X_x)$ for the function field of X_x , and write $k_x = \bar{k} \cap k(X_x)$. Per Remark A.9, $k(X_x)$ corresponds to a very nice k_x -curve X'_x , and X_x is obtained from X'_x by forgetting the k_x -structure.

Lemma A.22. *As abelian varieties over k , we have*

$$\mathrm{Jac}_X \cong \prod_{x \in \mathrm{Spec} k(X)} \mathrm{Res}_{k_x/k} \mathrm{Jac}_{X'_x}.$$

Proof. The decomposition (A.11) gives $\mathrm{Jac}_X \cong \prod_{x \in \mathrm{Spec} k(X)} \mathrm{Jac}_{X_x}$. Fixing $x \in \mathrm{Spec} k(X)$, we wish to show that $\mathrm{Jac}_{X_x} \cong \mathrm{Res}_{k_x/k} \mathrm{Jac}_{X'_x}$. Since $\mathrm{Res}_{k_x/k} \mathrm{Jac}_{X'_x}$ is connected, it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{Res}_{k_x/k} \mathrm{Pic}_{X'_x/k_x} \cong \mathrm{Pic}_{X_x/k}.$$

Denote by $g : \mathrm{Spec} k_x \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ the morphism corresponding to the inclusion of k into k_x , and denote by $f : X'_x \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k_x$ the structure morphism. Then as fppf sheaves we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_{k_x/k} \mathrm{Pic}_{X'_x/k_x} = g_* R^1 f_* \mathbb{G}_m \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Pic}_{X_x/k} = R^1 (g \circ f)_* \mathbb{G}_m,$$

where \mathbb{G}_m denotes the multiplicative group over X'_x . Since k_x/k is a finite separable extension, g_* is exact on fppf sheaves (exactness can be checked after pull-back to k_x , and $\mathrm{Spec} k_x \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathrm{Spec} k_x$ is a finite disjoint union of copies of $\mathrm{Spec} k_x$). Thus the functors $R^1(g_* f_*)$ and $g_* R^1(f_*)$ agree, giving the result. \square

A.6.2. Induced maps between Jacobians. It what follows, given a quasi-nice k -curve X , we will always equip Jac_X with the principal polarisation λ_X constructed in the proof of Lemma A.19.

Definition A.23. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of quasi-nice k -curves. We denote by $f^* : \mathrm{Jac}_Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Jac}_X$ the map induced by pull-back of line bundles. For the purposes of this paper, we then *define* $f_* : \mathrm{Jac}_X \rightarrow \mathrm{Jac}_Y$ to be the dual of f^* , i.e. we set

$$f_* = \lambda_Y^{-1} \circ (f^*)^\vee \circ \lambda_X.$$

By Lemma A.17 this agrees with the usual notion for very nice k -curves.

We will want to work explicitly with the maps induced on \bar{k} -points by f^* and f_* . For this we introduce the following notation.

Notation A.24. Let X be a quasi-nice k -curve, and decompose \overline{X} as in (A.11). We then set $\text{Div}(\overline{X}) = \bigoplus_{P \in X(\bar{k})} \mathbb{Z} \cdot P$, and denote by $\text{Div}^0(\overline{X})$ the subgroup of $\text{Div}(\overline{X})$ consisting of elements whose restriction to each connected component of \overline{X} has degree 0. Given $\eta \in \bar{k}(X)^\times$, we can view η as a tuple $(\eta_x)_{x \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(X)}$, where each η_x is in $\bar{k}(\overline{X}_x)^\times$. We then define

$$\text{div}(\eta) = \sum_{x \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(X)} \text{div}(\eta_x) \in \text{Div}^0(\overline{X}).^3$$

Writing $\text{Prin}(\overline{X})$ for the subgroup of $\text{Div}^0(\overline{X})$ of elements of the form $\text{div}(\eta)$ for $\eta \in \bar{k}(X)^\times$, we see from (A.20) that we have a canonical identification

$$\text{Jac}_X(\bar{k}) = \text{Div}^0(\overline{X}) / \text{Prin}(\overline{X}).$$

Given $Z \in \text{Div}^0(\overline{X})$, we write $[Z]$ for its class in $\text{Jac}_X(\bar{k})$.

Now let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be as in Definition A.23. Just as in the case of very nice curves, we have homomorphisms

$$(A.25) \quad f^* : \text{Div}(\overline{Y}) \longrightarrow \text{Div}(\overline{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad f_* : \text{Div}(\overline{X}) \longrightarrow \text{Div}(\overline{Y})$$

determined by setting $f_*(P) = f(P)$ for all $P \in X(\bar{k})$, and setting

$$f^*(Q) = \sum_{P \in f^{-1}(Q)} e(P)P$$

for all $Q \in Y(\bar{k})$. (Again, $e(P)$ denotes the ramification index of f at P , i.e. the ramification index of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}, f(P)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}, P}$.)

Lemma A.26. *For all $Z \in \text{Div}^0(\overline{X})$ and $Z' \in \text{Div}^0(\overline{Y})$, we have*

$$f^*[Z'] = [f^*Z'] \quad \text{and} \quad f_*[Z] = [f_*Z].$$

Proof. We can formally reduce to the case where X and Y are very nice curves as follows. Write \overline{X} and \overline{Y} as disjoint unions of very nice \bar{k} -curves as in (A.11). For $x \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(X)$, write f_x for the finite surjective morphism $f_x : \overline{X}_x \rightarrow \overline{Y}_{f(x)}$ induced by f . Now (the base-change to \bar{k} of) $f^* : \text{Jac}_Y \rightarrow \text{Jac}_X$ gives a homomorphism

$$\prod_{y \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(Y)} \text{Jac}_{\overline{Y}_y} \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(X)} \text{Jac}_{\overline{X}_x}.$$

From the definition of f^* we see that this corresponds to the matrix of homomorphisms $(\phi_{y,x})$, where for $y \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(Y)$ and $x \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(X)$, we have

$$\phi_{y,x} = \begin{cases} f_x^* & f(x) = y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using this, the claim for f^* reduces to the case of maps between very nice curves, as promised. Moreover, dualising with respect to the product polarisation and applying Lemma A.17, we see that $f_* : \text{Jac}_X \rightarrow \text{Jac}_Y$ corresponds to the matrix $(\phi_{x,y}^\vee)$ where, for $x \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(X)$ and $y \in \text{Spec } \bar{k}(Y)$, we have

$$\phi_{x,y}^\vee = \begin{cases} (f_x)_* & f(x) = y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The result for f_* now similarly reduces to the case of very nice curves. \square

Remark A.27. If g is a k -automorphism of X , then from the explicit description of g^* and g_* on \bar{k} -points afforded by Lemma A.26, we see that $g^* = g_*^{-1}$.

³Equivalently, viewing η as an element of $\Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{K}_{\overline{X}})$, $\text{div}(\eta)$ corresponds to the principal Cartier divisor associated to η .

Remark A.28. Given $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as above, denote by $f^* : \bar{k}(Y) \rightarrow \bar{k}(X)$ the induced map on global sections of sheaves of total quotient rings (over \bar{k}). Then given $\eta \in \bar{k}(Y)$, one has

$$f^*(\operatorname{div}(\eta)) = \operatorname{div}(f^*\eta).$$

To see this one can, for example, again reduce to the case of very nice curves by arguing as in the proof of Lemma A.26.

A.6.3. Quotients of quasi-nice curves by finite groups. Let X be a quasi-nice k -curve, and let G be a finite subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_k(X)$. Since X is projective, the quotient scheme X/G exists, and may be obtained by glueing the schemes $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_X(U)^G$ as U ranges over G -stable affine open subschemes of X .

Proposition A.29. *The quotient X/G is again a quasi-nice k -curve. Moreover, writing $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$ for the quotient morphism we have, both as maps between Jacobians and as maps between $\operatorname{Div}^0(\bar{X})$ and $\operatorname{Div}^0(\bar{X}/G)$,*

$$\pi_* \circ \pi^* = |G| \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^* \circ \pi_* = \sum_{g \in G} g_*.$$

Proof. When X is very nice this is standard. We formally reduce to this case as follows. First, base-changing to \bar{k} , it suffices to prove the result for X replaced by \bar{X} , equipped with the base-changed action of G . Moreover, splitting into orbits for the action of G on the connected components of \bar{X} , we reduce to the case where G acts transitively on $\operatorname{Spec} \bar{k}(X)$. After these reductions, fixing $x \in \operatorname{Spec} \bar{k}(X)$ and denoting by G_x the stabilizer of x in G , one finds that the quotient \bar{X}/G is isomorphic to $Y = \bar{X}_x/G_x$. To describe the quotient map $\pi : \bar{X} \rightarrow Y$, for $\sigma \in G$ denote by $\bar{\sigma}^{-1}$ the isomorphism $\bar{X}_{\sigma x}/G_{\sigma x} \cong Y$ induced by the action of σ^{-1} on \bar{X} . Then the restriction of π to $\bar{X}_{\sigma x}$ is the composition

$$\bar{X}_{\sigma x} \longrightarrow \bar{X}_{\sigma x}/G_{\sigma x} \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}^{-1}} Y,$$

the first morphism being the natural quotient map. This reduces the statement to the corresponding result for the actions of the groups $G_{\sigma x}$ on the very nice curves $\bar{X}_{\sigma x}$. \square

Remark A.30. Since X/G is formed by glueing the schemes $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_X(U)^G$ as U ranges over G -stable affine open subsets of X , we see that $k(X/G) = k(X)^G$.

Remark A.31. Let Y be a very nice k -curve, let $F = k(Y)$ be its function field, and let L/F be a finite étale F -algebra. Further, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the cover corresponding to L/F via Proposition A.14, and suppose that G is a finite subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_F(L)$. Via Proposition A.14 we view G as a finite subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_k(X)$. Let X/G denote the quotient curve, which is quasi-nice by Proposition A.29. From Remark A.30 we see that the morphism of covers of Y corresponding to the inclusion $L^G \hookrightarrow L$ is isomorphic to the quotient morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$.

APPENDIX B. INDUCTION THEOREM FOR PERMUTATION CHARACTERS

The first part of this appendix is devoted to the proof of the induction theorem, Theorem 1.17 (=B.2 below). The second half explains how to deduce from it the applications to the parity conjecture listed in Theorem 1.22 in the introduction.

B.1. Induction theorem.

Notation B.1. Let G be a finite group. By a *character* of G we mean a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of irreducible complex characters of G . A *permutation character* is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of characters of permutation representations.

Theorem B.2. *Let G be a finite group and \mathcal{P} a set of real-valued characters of G that satisfies:*

- (1) $\tau + \bar{\tau} \in \mathcal{P}$ for every character τ of degree 0,
- (2) $\text{Ind}_H^G(\tau - \mathbf{1}_H - \det \tau) \in \mathcal{P}$ for every subgroup H and character τ of H with $\deg \tau = 2$ that factors through a quotient of H isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2, D_8$ or D_{2p} for an odd prime p ,
- (3) if $\tau_i \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $\sum_i n_i \tau_i \in \mathcal{P}$.

Then \mathcal{P} contains all permutation characters of G that have degree 0 and trivial determinant.

Notation B.3. We write \mathcal{S}_G for the set of permutation characters of G that have degree 0 and trivial determinant.

We write \mathcal{P}_G for the smallest set of characters closed under (1), (2), (3) of Theorem B.2. Equivalently, $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_G$ if and only if $\rho = \sum_i n_i \rho_i$ with each ρ_i either of the form

- $\rho_i = \tau_i + \bar{\tau}_i$ for a character τ of degree 0, or
- $\rho_i = \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G(\tau_i - \mathbf{1}_{H_i} - \det \tau_i)$ for some subgroup H_i and character τ_i of H_i with $\deg \tau_i = 2$ that factors through a quotient of H_i isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2, D_8$ or D_{2p} for an odd prime p .

Lemma B.4. *Let G be a finite group.*

- (1) *If $H \leq G$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_H$ then $\text{Ind}_H^G \rho \in \mathcal{S}_G$.*
- (2) *If $H \leq G$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_H$ then $\text{Ind}_H^G \rho \in \mathcal{P}_G$.*
- (3) *If $N \triangleleft G$ then $\mathcal{S}_{G/N} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_G$ and $\mathcal{P}_{G/N} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_G$.*
- (4) *All $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_G$ have degree 0 and trivial determinant.*

Proof. (1) $\text{Ind}_H^G \rho$ is clearly a permutation character of degree 0.

It thus suffices to check that if ρ has degree 0 and trivial determinants, then $\text{Ind}_H^G \rho$ has trivial determinant. From the explicit construction of induced representations, it follows that for a representation τ of H

$$\det(\text{Ind}_H^G \tau)(g) = \epsilon^{\dim \tau} \prod_{i=1}^{[G:H]} \det \tau(h_i),$$

for some suitable $h_i \in H$ and $\epsilon \in \pm 1$ (the sign of the permutation of g on G/H). Thus if $\det \tau = 1$ and $\dim \tau$ is even, $\det \text{Ind} \tau$ is also trivial.

(2) Clear.

(3) Clear.

(4) The degree 0 claim is clear. The determinant claim follows as in the proof of (1). \square

Lemma B.5. *If $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_G$ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of linear characters, then $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_G$.*

Proof. The only real-valued linear characters are either trivial or have order 2, so we can write $\rho = (\tau + \bar{\tau}) + (\sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i) + m\mathbf{1}$ for some character τ , some linear characters ϵ_i of order 2, and $m = -2 \dim \tau - k$.

For any two linear order 2 characters ϵ, ϵ' , we have $\epsilon + \epsilon' - \epsilon \otimes \epsilon' - \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{P}_G$, as it is either 0 or in $\mathcal{P}_{C_2 \times C_2}$ for a $C_2 \times C_2$ -quotient of G . Hence $\sum \epsilon_i - \otimes_i \epsilon_i - (k-1)\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{P}_G$. Also, $\tau + \bar{\tau} - (2 \dim \tau)\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{P}_G$. We can therefore write $\rho = \rho' + \otimes_i \epsilon_i - n\mathbf{1}$ for some $\rho' \in \mathcal{P}_G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\det \rho = \det \rho' = 1$ and ρ and ρ' have degree 0 by Lemma B.4(4), we must have $n = 1$ and $\otimes_i \epsilon_i = \mathbf{1}$. Hence $\rho = \rho' \in \mathcal{P}_G$. \square

Lemma B.6. *If G has odd order then $\mathcal{S}_G \subseteq \mathcal{P}_G$.*

Proof. Here every real-valued character is of the form $\tau + \bar{\tau} + m\mathbf{1}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Lemma B.7. *Every $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_G$ can be written in the form $\rho = \sum_H \rho_H + (\text{a sum of linear characters})$ for some set of subgroups $H \leq G$ and*

$$\rho_H = \text{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1} - \det \text{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1} - ([G:H] - 1)\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{S}_G.$$

Proof. By construction, ρ_H is a permutation character of degree 0 and with trivial determinant, and is of the form $\text{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1}_H + (\text{a sum of linear characters})$. Permutation characters are linear combinations of $\text{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1}$, so subtracting the corresponding ρ_H 's from ρ leaves a sum of linear characters. \square

Proposition B.8. *If the p -Sylow subgroup N of G is normal and cyclic, and $\mathcal{S}_{G/N} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{G/N}$, then $\mathcal{S}_G \subseteq \mathcal{P}_G$.*

Proof. Case 1: $p=2$. By the Schur–Zassenhaus theorem, we can write $G = N \rtimes G_0$ with $|G_0|$ odd. Since N is cyclic of 2-power order, $\text{Aut } N$ is a 2-group, and hence $G = N \rtimes G_0$. The only real-valued irreducible characters of this group are $\mathbf{1}$ and ϵ , the order 2 linear character that factors through $G/G_0 \simeq N$. Thus $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_G$ is of the form $\rho = \tau + \bar{\tau} + a\epsilon + b\mathbf{1}$. The determinant and degree conditions ensure that a and b are even, so $\rho = (\tau + \frac{a}{2}\epsilon + \frac{b}{2}\mathbf{1}) + (\bar{\tau} + \frac{a}{2}\epsilon + \frac{b}{2}\mathbf{1}) \in \mathcal{P}_G$.

Case 2: p is odd. We proceed by induction on $|G|$.

By Lemmata B.5 and B.7 it suffices to show that $\rho_H \in \mathcal{P}_G$ for all $H \leq G$, where $\rho_H \in \mathcal{S}_G$ is given by

$$\rho_H = \text{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1}_H - \det \text{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1}_H - ([G:H] - 1)\mathbf{1}_G.$$

If N is trivial then there is nothing to prove. So suppose that N is non-trivial and let $V = C_p \leq N$. As V is characteristic in N and N is normal in G , V is normal in G .

Suppose $H \supseteq V$. Then, by induction, $\rho_H \in \mathcal{P}_{G/V} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_G$.

Suppose $H \not\supseteq V$ and $VH \neq G$. Working in VH , by induction,

$$\rho_0 = \text{Ind}_H^{VH} \mathbf{1}_H - \det \text{Ind}_H^{VH} \mathbf{1}_H - ([VH:H] - 1)\mathbf{1}_{VH} \in \mathcal{P}_{VH}.$$

As $VH = V \rtimes H$ and V has odd order, $\det \text{Ind}_H^{VH} \mathbf{1}$ is either trivial or can be written as $\text{Ind}_{VH'}^{VH} \mathbf{1}_{VH'} - \mathbf{1}_{VH}$ for some index 2 subgroup H' of H . Thus

$$\text{Ind}_{VH}^G \rho_0 = \text{Ind}_H^G \mathbf{1}_H + a \text{Ind}_{VH'}^G \mathbf{1}_{VH'} + b \text{Ind}_{VH}^G \mathbf{1}_{VH}$$

for some suitable $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can therefore write

$$\rho_H = \text{Ind}_{VH}^G \rho_0 + a\rho_{VH'} + b\rho_{VH} + (\text{sum of linear characters}).$$

By Lemma B.4, $\text{Ind}_{VH}^G \rho_0 \in \mathcal{P}_G$. Moreover $\rho_{VH'}, \rho_{VH} \in \mathcal{P}_G$ by the “ $H \subseteq V$ ” case. Finally, $\rho_H, \rho_{VH}, \rho_{VH'} \in \mathcal{S}_G$ by construction and $\text{Ind}_{VH}^G \rho_0 \in \mathcal{S}_G$ by Lemma B.4, so Lemma B.5 shows that $\rho_H \in \mathcal{P}_G$.

Suppose that $H \not\supseteq V$ and $VH = G$ and $W = \ker(H \rightarrow \text{Aut } V)$ is non-trivial. In this setting $G = V \rtimes H$ and $W \triangleleft G$. So, by induction and Lemma B.4, $\rho_H \in \mathcal{P}_{G/W} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_G$.

Finally, suppose that $H \not\supseteq V$, $VH = G$ and H acts faithfully on V by conjugation. In other words, $G = \mathbb{F}_p \rtimes H$ with $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. If H has odd order, the result follows from Lemma B.6. Otherwise $C_2 \leq H$ and $\mathbb{F}_p \rtimes C_2 = D_{2p} \triangleleft G$. The irreducible characters of this group are either linear ones that factor through G/\mathbb{F}_p , or those of the form $\text{Ind}_{D_{2p}}^G \tau$ for a 2-dimensional irreducible character τ of D_{2p} . Noting that $\text{Ind}_{D_{2p}}^G \tau$ and $\text{Ind}_{D_{2p}}^G \mathbf{1}$ are sums of linear characters of G , we obtain

$$\rho_H = \sum_i \text{Ind}_{D_{2p}}^G (\tau_i - \det \tau_i - \mathbf{1}_{D_{2p}}) + (\text{sum of linear characters})$$

for some 2-dimensional irreducible characters τ_i of D_{2p} . As $\rho_H \in \mathcal{S}_G$ and $\sum_i \text{Ind}_{D_{2p}}^G (\tau_i - \det \tau_i - \mathbf{1}_{D_{2p}}) \in \mathcal{P}_G$, they both have degree 0, trivial determinant and real character. Hence so does the final sum of linear characters of the cyclic group $G/\mathbb{F}_p \simeq H$, which must therefore be of the form $\sigma + \bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{P}_G$. Hence $\rho_H \in \mathcal{P}_G$ as well. \square

Lemma B.9. *For all $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_G$, there are hyperflementary $H_i \leq G$, $\rho_i \in \mathcal{S}_{H_i}$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$\rho = \sum_i n_i \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \rho_i$$

Proof. By Solomon's Induction theorem ([38] Thm. 1), there are hyperelementary $H_i \leq G$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbb{1}_G = \sum_i \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbb{1}_{H_i}$. Thus

$$\rho = \sum_i n_i (\rho \otimes \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \mathbb{1}_{H_i}) = \sum_i n_i \text{Ind}_{H_i}^G \text{Res}_{H_i}^G \rho.$$

The result follows as $\rho_i = \text{Res}_{H_i}^G \rho \in \mathcal{S}_{H_i}$. \square

Theorem B.10. *For every finite group $\mathcal{S}_G \subseteq \mathcal{P}_G$.*

Proof. Case 1: G has odd order. Lemma B.6.

Case 2: G is a 2-group. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_G$.

By Lemma B.7 we may assume that

$$\rho = \text{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{1} + (\text{a sum of linear characters}),$$

for some $H \leq G$.

We proceed by induction on $[G:H]$. When $[G:H] \leq 2$ the result follows from Lemma B.5. If $[G:H] \geq 4$, pick subgroups $H \leq U \leq V \leq G$ with $[U:H] = [V:U] = 2$, which exist as G is a 2-group. If $H \triangleleft V$ and $V/H \simeq C_4$, then $\text{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{1} = \text{Ind}_U^G \mathbb{1} + (\tau + \bar{\tau})$ for some τ , and the result follows by induction. If $H \triangleleft V$ and $V/H \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ and U, U', U'' are the three intermediate subgroups, then $\text{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{1} = \text{Ind}_U^G \mathbb{1} + \text{Ind}_{U'}^G \mathbb{1} + \text{Ind}_{U''}^G \mathbb{1} - 2\text{Ind}_V^G \mathbb{1}$, and the result follows by induction. Finally, if H is not normal in V then there is an index 2 subgroup $H_0 \leq H$ with $H_0 \triangleleft V$ with $V/H_0 \simeq D_8$; in this case, writing σ for the 2-dimensional irreducible representation of D_8 ,

$$\text{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{1} = \text{Ind}_{H_0}^G (\sigma + \mathbb{1} + \det \sigma) = \text{Ind}_{H_0}^G (\sigma - \mathbb{1} - \det \sigma) + (\tau + \bar{\tau}) + 4[G:H_0]\mathbb{1}_G$$

for $\tau = \text{Ind}_{H_0}^G (\mathbb{1} + \det \sigma) - 2[G:H_0]\mathbb{1}_G$, so that $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_G$ by Lemma B.5.

Case 3: G is hyperelementary. Write $G = C_n \rtimes P$, where P is a p -group and n is coprime to p . By (1,2), all $\mathcal{S}_{G/C_n} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{G/C_n}$. The result follows by a repeated application of Proposition B.8.

Case 4: G arbitrary. Combine Lemma B.9, Lemma B.4 and Case 3. \square

B.2. Applications to the parity conjecture. Our main application of Theorem B.2 is to the theory of regulator constants for Galois covers of curves. However, it can also be applied in the original setting of Galois extensions of number fields instead. In the latter case the expressions for parities of Selmer ranks are moreover known to be compatible with root numbers, as described in the theorem below. We thus obtain numerous applications to the parity and p -parity conjectures. (For root numbers $w(A/K, \rho)$ see e.g. [10, 35, 36].)

Theorem B.11. *Let A be a principally polarised abelian variety over a number field K . If either A/K is semistable or it is an elliptic curve, then the p -parity conjecture for the twist of A/K by $\tau - \mathbb{1} - \det \tau$,*

$$(-1)^{\langle \mathcal{X}_p(A/F), \tau - \mathbb{1} - \det \tau \rangle} = w(A/K, \tau - \mathbb{1} - \det \tau),$$

holds whenever $\deg \tau = 2$ and τ factors through $\text{Gal}(F/K) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ or D_8 with $p = 2$, or through $\text{Gal}(F/K) \simeq D_{2p}$ and p an odd prime.

Proof. For semistable A/K this is [10] Thm 4.2 (D_{2p} quotient) and [2] Thm 1.3.2 (D_8 and $C_2 \times C_2$ quotient). For elliptic curves this is [13] Thm 6.7 (for $C_2 \times C_2, D_8$ and D_6 quotient) and [34] Thm 2.1 (D_{2p} quotient with $p \geq 5$). \square

Corollary B.12. *Let A be a principally polarised abelian variety over a number field K , and suppose that either A/K is semistable or that it is an elliptic curve. Let F/K be a finite Galois extension such that $\text{III}(A/F)$ is finite. If the parity conjecture holds for A over K and over all the quadratic extensions of K in F , then it holds over all extensions of K in F .*

Proof. Write $A(F)_{\mathbb{C}} = A(F) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ and $w(A, \rho)$ for the root number of the twist of A by ρ .

If $\rho = \tau + \bar{\tau}$ then $(-1)^{\langle A(F)_{\mathbb{C}}, \rho \rangle} = 1 = w(A, \rho)$ (see [10] Proposition A.2 for the second equality).

If $\rho = \text{Ind}_H^G(\tau - \mathbb{1}_H - \det \tau)$ for a character τ of H with $\deg \tau = 2$ that factors through a quotient of H isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$, D_8 or D_{2p} for an odd prime p , then

$$(-1)^{\langle A(F)_{\mathbb{C}}, \rho \rangle} = (-1)^{\langle A(F)_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau - \mathbb{1}_H - \det \tau \rangle_H} = w(A/F^H, \tau - \mathbb{1}_H - \det \tau) = w(A/K, \rho)$$

by Theorem B.11.

By Theorem B.2, $(-1)^{\langle A(F)_{\mathbb{C}}, \rho \rangle} = w(A, \rho)$ for every permutation character ρ of degree 0 and with trivial determinant.

The parity conjecture also holds for twists of A by the trivial character and by all quadratic characters by hypothesis. It follows that it holds for twists by all permutation characters.

Hence, by Frobenius reciprocity, for any subgroup $H \leq G$

$$(-1)^{\text{rk } A/F^H} = (-1)^{\langle A(F)_{\mathbb{C}}, \text{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{1} \rangle} = w(A, \text{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{1}) = w(A/F^H).$$

□

Corollary B.13. *Let E/K be an elliptic curve over a totally real field, and let F/K be a finite field extension. If the Shafarevich–Tate group of E is finite over the Galois closure of F/K , then the parity conjecture holds for E/F .*

Proof. The parity conjecture holds for E/K and all of its quadratic twists by [32, Theorem E]. □

Corollary B.14. *Let E/K be an elliptic curve over a number field satisfying the parity conjecture, and F/K a finite extension. If the Shafarevich–Tate group of E is finite over the Galois closure of F/K , then the parity conjecture holds for E/F .*

Proof. The parity conjecture holds for E over every quadratic extension of K by the Kramer–Tunnell theorem ([21] and [13, Corollary 1.6]). □

Corollary B.15. *Let K be a number field and let J/K be the Jacobian of a semistable hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ satisfying the parity conjecture over K . Further, suppose that for every prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$ of K , J has good ordinary reduction at \mathfrak{p} and $K_{\mathfrak{p}}(J[2])/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has odd degree. If the Shafarevich–Tate group of J is finite over the Galois closure of an extension F/K , then the parity conjecture holds for J/F .*

Proof. The parity conjecture holds for J over every quadratic extension of K by [29, Theorem 1.1]. □

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bartel. *On Brauer–Kuroda type relations of S -class numbers in dihedral extensions*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 668 (2012), 211–244.
- [2] A. Betts, V. Dokchitser. *Variation of Tamagawa numbers of semistable abelian varieties in field extensions*. Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. 166, no. 3, (2019), 487–521.
- [3] M. Bhargava, M. Satriano. *On a notion of “Galois closure” for extensions of rings*. Journal of the European Math. Soc. 16, no. 9, (2014), 1881–1913.
- [4] B. J. Birch. *Conjectures concerning elliptic curves*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. VIII, Amer. Math. Soc., (1965), 106–112.
- [5] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud. *Néron models*. Erg. Math., vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990).
- [6] N. Bourbaki. *Algebra. II. Chapters 4–7*. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990), vii+461.
- [7] I. Chen. *On relations between Jacobians of certain modular curves*. Journal of algebra 231, no. 1 (2000), 414–448.
- [8] J. Coates, T. Fukaya, K. Kato, and R. Sujatha. *Root numbers, Selmer groups, and non-commutative Iwasawa theory*. J. Algebraic Geom. 19 (2010), 19–97.
- [9] J. Dooking. *2^∞ -Selmer rank parities via the Prym construction*. Preprint, arXiv:2108.09564, (2021).
- [10] T. Dokchitser, V. Dokchitser. *Regulator constants and the parity conjecture*. Invent. Math. 178, no. 1 (2009), 23–71.

- [11] T. Dokchitser, V. Dokchitser. *Self-duality of Selmer groups*. Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. 146, no. 2, (2009), 257–267.
- [12] T. Dokchitser, V. Dokchitser. *On the Birch–Swinnerton–Dyer quotients modulo squares*. Annals of Math. 172, no. 1 (2010), 567–596.
- [13] T. Dokchitser, V. Dokchitser. *Root numbers and parity of ranks of elliptic curves*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 658 (2011), 39–64.
- [14] V. Dokchitser, C. Maistret. *Parity conjecture for abelian surfaces*. Preprint, arXiv:1911.04626, (2019).
- [15] B. Edixhoven, B. de Smit. *Sur un résultat d’Imin Chen*. Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000), 147–153.
- [16] H. Green, C. Maistret. *The 2-parity conjecture for elliptic curves with isomorphic 2-torsion*. Proc. of the Royal Soc. A 478, no. 2265 (2022).
- [17] E. Kani, M. Rosen. *Idempotent relations and factors of Jacobians*. Math. Ann. 284, no. 2 (1989), 307–327.
- [18] S. L. Kleiman. *The Picard scheme*. Preprint, arXiv:math/0504020, (2005).
- [19] A. Konstantinou. *Paper in preparation*.
- [20] K. Kramer. *Arithmetic of elliptic curves upon quadratic extension*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 264, no. 1 (1981), 121–135.
- [21] K. Kramer, J. Tunnell. *Elliptic curves and local ϵ -factors*. Compos. Math. 46, (1982), 307–352.
- [22] Q. Liu. *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*. Vol. 6. Oxford University Press on Demand, (2002).
- [23] B. Mazur, K. Rubin. *Finding large Selmer rank via an arithmetic theory of local constants*. Ann. of Math. 166 (2007), 579–612.
- [24] J. S. Milne. *On the arithmetic of abelian varieties*. Invent. Math. 17, (1972), 177–190.
- [25] J. S. Milne. *Arithmetic duality theorems*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, Mass., (1986).
- [26] J. S. Milne. *Abelian varieties*. In Arithmetic geometry, 103–150. Springer, New York, NY, (1986).
- [27] J. S. Milne. *Jacobian varieties*. In Arithmetic geometry, 167–212. Springer, New York, NY, (1986).
- [28] J. S. Milne. *Lectures on Etale cohomology (v2.21)*. Available at www.jmilne.org/math, (2013).
- [29] A. Morgan. *2-Selmer parity for hyperelliptic curves in quadratic extensions*. Preprint, arXiv:1504.01960v2, (2022).
- [30] D. Mumford. *Abelian varieties*. Volume 5 of Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay by Oxford University Press, London, (1970).
- [31] J. P. Murre. *On contravariant functors from the category of pre-schemes over a field into the category of abelian groups (with an application to the Picard functor)*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., no. 23, (1964), 5–43.
- [32] J. Nekovář. *Compatibility of arithmetic and algebraic local constants, II: the tame abelian potentially Barsotti–Tate case*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 116, no. 2, (2018), 378–427.
- [33] B. Poonen, M. Stoll. *The Cassels–Tate pairing on polarized abelian varieties*. Annals of Mathematics, (1999), 1109–1149.
- [34] T. de la Rochefoucauld. *Invariance of the parity conjecture for p -Selmer groups of elliptic curves in a D_{2p^n} -extension*. Bulletin de la Société mathématique de France 139, (2010).
- [35] D. Rohrlich. *Galois Theory, elliptic curves, and root numbers*. Compos. Math. 100 (1996), 311–349.
- [36] M. Sabitova. *Root numbers of abelian varieties*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), no. 9, 4259–4284.
- [37] The stacks project authors. *Stacks project*. Available at <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, (2018).
- [38] L. Solomon. *The representation of finite groups in algebraic number fields*. J. Math. Soc. Japan 13, (1961), 144–164.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON, LONDON WC1H 0AY, UK

Email address: v.dokchitser@ucl.ac.uk

Email address: h.green.19@ucl.ac.uk

Email address: alexandros.konstantinou.16@ucl.ac.uk

UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW, GLASGOW, G12 8QQ.

Email address: adam.morgan@glasgow.ac.uk