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#### Abstract

Standard finite element methods employ an element-wise assembly strategy. The element's contribution to the system matrix is formed by a loop over quadrature points. This concept is also used in fictitious domain methods, which perform simulations on a simple tensor-product background mesh cut by a boundary representation that defines the domain of interest.

Considering such $d$-dimensional background meshes based on splines of degree $p$ with maximal smoothness, $C^{p-1}$, the cost of setting up the system matrix is $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{3 d}\right)$ per degree of freedom. Alternative assembly and formation techniques can significantly reduce this cost. In particular, the combination of (1) sum factorization, (2) weighted quadrature, and (3) row-based assembly yields a cost of $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{d+1}\right)$ for non-cut background meshes. However, applying this fast approach to cut background meshes is an open challenge since they do not have a tensor-product structure.

This work presents techniques that allow the treatment of cut background meshes and thus the application of fast formation and assembly to fictitious domain methods. First, a discontinuous version of weighted quadrature is presented, which introduces a discontinuity into a cut test function's support. The cut region can be treated separately from the noncut counterpart; the latter can be assembled by the fast concepts. A three-dimensional example investigates the accuracy and efficiency of the proposed concept and demonstrates its speed-up compared to conventional formation and assembly.


## 1 Introduction

Fictitious domain methods introduce a regular background mesh for performing numerical simulations. B-splines can represent such meshes effectively, allowing a straightforward definition of high-order parametrizations with complete control over the regularity between the mesh elements. During the simulation, an element-wise assembly procedure is state-of-the-art at the core of standard finite element codes. Yet, the corresponding cost for setting up the system matrix for a $C^{p-1}$-continuous $d$-dimensional tensor product B -spline basis is $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{3 d}\right)$ per degree of freedom [3]. Thus, high-order analysis becomes computationally expensive using conventional matrix formation and assembly. The fast formation and assembly concept reduces the computational cost to $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{d+1}\right)$ by using the following essential ingredients:

- Sum factorization [1, 12, 2]: The concept exploits the tensor product structure of Bsplines by rearranging computations of $d$-dimensional integrals such that only 1-dimensional integrals occur.


Figure 1: Weighted quadrature points for two 2 d background meshes defined by B-splines with maximal smoothness and the same number of elements but different polynomial degree: a bidegree $p=2$ and $\mathbf{b}$ bi-degree $p=6$.

- Weighted quadrature [3, 6, 5]: A quadrature rule is set up for each test function by incorporating the test function into the quadrature weights. By exploiting the smoothness of B-splines, the resulting number of quadrature points is independent of the degree $p$ for splines with maximal smoothness, i.e., $C^{p-1}$, except at the boundary where B-splines (with open knot vectors) are discontinuous, i.e., $C^{-1}$. For multi-variate B-splines, quadrature rules are computed for each parametric direction; the tensor product of these points determines the overall layout of quadrature points, as shown in Fig. 1.
- Row assembly: The assembly employs a loop over the test functions, i.e., the rows of the system matrix, instead of an iteration over elements.

The effect of combining these ingredients is summarized in Tables 1 and 2 in terms of the required floating point operations (FLOPS) for setting up a 3D mass matrix. For details the interested reader is referred to [6]. The tables' columns distinguish the element formation strategy (loop over quadrature points or sum factorization), and the rows denote the assembly scheme (loop over elements or rows). The tables themselves refer to different quadrature rules; Table 1 considers quadrature rules that require $p^{d}$ points per element (e.g., Gauss quadrature), whereas Table 2 assesses weighted quadrature. In other words, Table 1 (row $1 /$ column 1) represents the standard finite element procedure, while Table 2 (row $2 /$ column 2) describes the proposed scheme. Note that the combination of sum factorization, weighted quadrature, and row assembly is vital for the resulting significant drop in the computational cost.

| Assembly $\backslash$ Formation | Quadrature loop | Sum factorization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Element loop | $c \cdot p^{9}$ | $c_{1} \cdot p^{5}+c_{2} \cdot p^{6}+c_{3} \cdot p^{7}$ |
| Row loop | $c \cdot p^{9}$ | $c_{1} \cdot p^{7}+c_{2} \cdot p^{6}+c_{3} \cdot p^{5}$ |

Table 1: FLOPS for setting up a 3D mass matrix with a quadrature rule using $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{3}\right)$ points per element such as Gauss quadrature [6].

| Assembly $\backslash$ Formation | Quadrature loop | Sum factorization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Element loop | $c \cdot p^{6}$ | $c_{1} \cdot p^{2}+c_{2} \cdot p^{4}+c_{3} \cdot p^{6}$ |
| Row loop | $c \cdot p^{6}$ | $c_{1} \cdot p^{4}+c_{2} \cdot p^{4}+c_{3} \cdot p^{4}$ |

Table 2: FLOPS for setting up a 3D mass matrix with a quadrature rule using $\mathcal{O}(1)$ points per element such as weighted quadrature [6].

In fictitious domain methods, an interface $\Gamma$ embedded in the background mesh specifies the domain of interest. Unfortunately, the intersection with $\Gamma$ destroys properties required for sum factorization and weighted quadrature. A discontinuous version of weighted quadrature has been proposed in [8] to address this issue for two-dimensional domains. In this work, this approach is extended to the three-dimensional setting and further improved by reducing the number of quadrature point evaluations needed.

## 2 Fast assembly and formation for fictitious domains

Without a loss of generality, it is assumed that the identity map provides the transformation from the parameter space to the background mesh. This assumption simplifies the following descriptions since the background mesh and the parameter space coincide, and we do not have to distinguish between the interface $\Gamma$ in the parametric and physics domain.

### 2.1 Function types of cut background meshes

The presence of $\Gamma$ splits the background mesh into an interior and exterior domain, where the former specifies the valid domain of interest $\Omega^{\mathrm{v}}$. Furthermore, it divides the basis functions into three different types. That is, each B-spline $B_{i}$ of the basis belongs to one of the following categories based on the overlap of its support with $\Omega^{\mathrm{v}}$, i.e., $\mathcal{S}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\mathrm{v}}:=\operatorname{supp}\left\{B_{i}\right\} \cap \overline{\Omega^{\mathrm{v}}}$ :

- Exterior if $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\mathrm{V}}=\emptyset$,
- Interior if $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\mathrm{v}}=\operatorname{supp}\left\{B_{i}\right\}$,
- Cut if $0<\left|\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\mathrm{V}}\right|<\left|\operatorname{supp}\left\{B_{i}\right\}\right|$,
where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Fig. 2 shows examples of these different types. Exterior B-splines can be neglected from the system of equations, and interior ones can be treated directly by the fast formation and assembly concept. The integration of cut functions, however, needs special considerations detailed in the next section.


Figure 2: Cubic bi-variate basis with the interface $\Gamma$ specifying the valid domain $\Omega^{v}$ (gray). The resulting B-splines types are interior (green), cut (red), or exterior (yellow) based on the overlap of the support, $\operatorname{supp}\left\{B_{i_{1}, i_{2}}\right\}$, with $\Omega^{\mathrm{v}}$.

### 2.2 Discontinuous weighted quadrature

First, the domain $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\mathrm{V}}$ is split into a regular part $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\mathrm{r}}$, which follows the tensor product structure (at least on the element-level), and a cut part $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{c}$, which consists of all elements cut by the


Figure 3: All quadrature points of a cut B-spline of bi-degree 6: above the artificial discontinuity $\xi_{2}^{\text {disc }}$ discontinuous weighted quadrature (DWQ) is employed, while Gauss points are used below. The white dots mark the nested quadrature points added during the construction.
interface. The integral over a cut basis function can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathcal{S}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\mathrm{V}}} B_{i}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) d \boldsymbol{\xi}=\int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\mathrm{r}}} B_{i}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) d \boldsymbol{\xi}+\int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\mathrm{c}}} B_{i}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) d \boldsymbol{\xi} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numerical integration of $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{c}$ employs a standard element-wise procedure detailed in 4]. The following focuses on treating the remaining regular part $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\mathrm{r}}$ using discontinuities weighted quadrature (DWQ). The basic steps are (see [8] for details on each step):

1. For each parametric direction $d$, set up the standard weighted quadrature rules by defining the location of the weighted quadrature points and computing their weights.
2. Find the knot value $\xi_{d}^{\text {disc }}$ for each cut B -spline $B_{i}$ that maximizes the number of interior elements arranged in a tensor product structure. Fig. 3illustrates the situation for a single $B_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ in a two-dimensional domain, where $\xi_{2}^{\text {disc }}$ becomes a parametric line splitting $\operatorname{supp}\left\{B_{i}\right\}$ into two parts. The upper can be integrated by DWQ rules constructed in the subsequent steps.
3. For each $\xi_{d}^{\text {disc }}$, an artificial $C^{-1}$ discontinuity at $\xi_{d}^{\text {disc }}$ is introduced by performing knot insertion into the corresponding knot vector, and the related subdivision matrix $\mathbf{S}$ is stored.
4. Due to the introduced discontinuity, the minimal number of weighted quadrature points increases. Hence, we need to add new nested quadrature points. In Fig. 3, these are the white dots above $\xi_{2}^{\text {disc }}$.
5. Compute the weighted quadrature weights $\tilde{w}$ for the refined univariate basis functions.
6. Multiple these weights $\tilde{w}$ by $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}$ to obtain the weights $w$ for the initial univariate basis functions. These are the weights of the DWQ rule corresponding to $\xi_{d}^{\text {disc }}$.

In contrast to standard weighted quadrature rules, the DWQ-weight-values can be set to zero on one side of the artificial discontinuity $\xi_{d}^{\text {disc }}$ without affecting the numerical integration result on the other side. Each cut B-spline has one associated DWQ rule and the other parametric directions are integrated with the standard weighted quadrature rules. When the DWQ procedure is applied to three-dimensional domains, each $\xi_{d}^{\text {disc }}$ introduces a plane that splits the cut basis function's support. Hence, the detection of $\xi_{d}^{\text {disc }}$ is more involved. However, the remaining steps stay the same.

Note that the user can define the layout of the weighted quadrature points. This work proposes using the location of the standard element-wise Gauss quadrature points as a superset for choosing the initial and nested weighted quadrature points. This arrangement allows the reuse of evaluations at the quadrature points independent of the rule applied. In addition, the computation of DWQ rules can be omitted if the number of nested and initial quadrature points equals the number of Gauss points; in such cases, the Gauss weights can be used directly.

## 3 Numerical investigations

### 3.1 Test setting

The numerical experiments focus on the formation of the mass matrix for the three-dimensional fictitious domains defined in Fig. 4 and the subsequent $L^{2}$-projection onto the target function $f=\sin (2 x z) \cos (3 y z)$.

Different background meshes are constructed by varying the degree $p=\{2, \ldots, 6\}$ and the number of elements per dimension $h=\{4,8,16,32,64\}$. The relative $L^{2}$-error norm $\left\|\epsilon_{\text {rel }}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ of the resulting approximation to the target function quantifies the accuracy. In addition, the timings for setting up the corresponding mass matrices assess the efficiency. All routines have been implemented in an in-house MATLAB® code, which does not utilize parallelization capabilities, and timings have been measured with MATLAB's tic-toc command. The mass matrix's conditioning affects the results' quality and may suffer due to the presents of cut elements [9]. Therefore, the extended B-spline concept is employed for all simulations to guarantee wellconditioned mass matrices. The interested reader is referred to [7, 10, 11] for details on this approach.

In order to assess performance, the following assembly and formation concepts are compared:

- Standard Gauss (Ref): Gauss rules and quadrature point loops are used for all interior elements. This scheme serves as reference to the conventional simulation paradigm.
- Hybrid Gauss: Weighted quadrature evaluates interior test functions, while Gauss quadrature with sum factorization is used for the regular support $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{r}}$ of cut test functions.
- Discontinuous weighted quadrature (DWQ): Weighted quadrature evaluates interior test functions, while DWQ is used for the regular support $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{r}}$ of cut test functions.

In all cases, the numerical integration of cut elements utilizes the approach detailed in [4] which decomposes cut elements into sub-elements that then employ standard Gauss quadrature rules.


Figure 4: Geometric description of the numerical example: The background mesh $\Omega=[-1,1]^{3}$ is parametrized by the degree $p$ and the number of elements $h$ per direction $(h=4$ in the example shown). The point $(0.1,0.2,0.3)^{T}$ and normal vector $(0.5,-0.2,0.9)^{T}$ define the interface $\Gamma$ illustrated in blue, and the part below of this inclined plane determines the domain of interest $\Omega^{\mathrm{v}}$.

### 3.2 Results

First, the accuracy of the $L^{2}$-projection is addressed. Fig. 5 summarizes $\left\|\epsilon_{r e l}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ for all meshes. In the case of $p=\{5,6\}$, the last refinement step (i.e., $h=64$ ) is missing due to a lack of memory. In all cases, optimal convergence rates and excellent agreement with the reference solutions can be observed for the Hybrid Gauss and the DWQ approach.

Hybrid Gauss
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Figure 5: Comparison of the relative approximation error related of the reference solution (solid lines) with approaches using fast assembly and formation (markers): Hybrid Gauss (left figure) and discontinuous weighted quadrature (right figure).

Next, Fig. 6 provides insights into the efficiency of the different approaches by reporting the total timings for setting up the mass matrix for all meshes with $h=32$. Looking at the total time, the speed-up of the fast assembly and formation approaches is moderate, i.e., approximately a factor of $2-3$. However, when reporting the integration of cut elements separately (cf., Fig. 6 on the right), the efficiency improvement of the fast routines becomes more apparent. It is


Figure 6: Total timings for setting up the mass matrix using the standard Gauss routines (Ref) and the fast formation and assembly approaches (Hybrid Gauss and DWQ). The figure on the right-hand side reports the time for integrating cut elements (Cut elements) separately since all schemes use the same routines for this task.


Figure 7: The various components of the total formation and assembly time for the Hybrid Gauss and DWQ approaches. Left: Preparation time for setting up the weighted quadrature rules (Q) and computing the input for sum factorization (I). Center: time for treating the regular support $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{r}}$ of all cut B-splines. Right: fast formation and assembly for all interior B-splines (Interior) and all cut elements (Cut elements). Note that the timings are provided on a logarithmic scale.
worth noting that the employed integration of cut elements focuses on high-order accuracy, not efficiency. Comparing the graphs related to the Hybrid Gauss and the DWQ approaches, the better scaling w.r.t. the degree of the latter breaks through for $\mathrm{p}>4$.

For a more detailed observation, Fig. 7 lists the different components of the total timing distinguishing between (left) the preparation for weighted quadrature rules and sum factorization, (center) the assembly routine that differs between the Hybrid Gauss and DWQ, and (right) the ones they share. The left figure indicates the time-critical tasks of DWQ: first, the computation of the DWQ rules, and second, the pre-computation of the quantities at the quadrature points. The former increases with the number of artificial discontinuities, and the latter growths with the number of nested quadrature points added. The figure in the center reveals the advantage of DWQ: the improved efficiency when integrating the regular support $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{r}}$ of all cut B-splines with weighted quadrature rules. For the cases where Hybrid Gauss and DWQ show the same performance (i.e., $p=\{2,3\}$ ), $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{r}}$ was, in fact, so small that hardly any weighted quadrature was applied. In other words, DWQ reduced to the Hybrid Gauss approach. The right figure serves primarily as a reference to compare other contributions to the overall assembly time.

## 4 Conclusion

A fast formation and assembly approach for fictitious domain methods that use tensor product B-splines as background meshes has been presented. To be precise, the discontinuous weighted quadrature concept presented in [8] has been improved and extended to the three-dimensional setting. The improvement addresses the layout for the weighted quadrature points. Choosing these points as a subset of the Gauss quadrature points allows the reuse of point evaluations and can save the computation of superfluous discontinuous weighted quadrature rules. Consequently, the discontinuous weighted quadrature performs as well as the Hybrid Gauss approach for moderate degrees and better for higher degrees (in contrast to the results reported in [8]). Still, the computation of multiple weighted quadrature rules is a potential drawback regarding efficiency, which may be addressed simply by parallelization.
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