# Effective exponential bounds on the prime gaps

# Matt Visser<sup>®</sup>

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 600, Wellington 6140, New Zealand.

*E-mail:* matt.visser@sms.vuw.ac.nz

#### Abstract:

Over the last 50 years a large number of effective exponential bounds on the first Chebyshev function  $\vartheta(x)$  have been obtained. Specifically we shall be interested in effective exponential bounds of the form

$$|\vartheta(x) - x| < a \ x \ (\ln x)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln x}\right); \qquad (x \ge x_0).$$

Herein we shall convert these effective bounds on  $\vartheta(x)$  into effective exponential bounds on the prime gaps  $g_n = p_{n+1} - p_n$ . Specifically we shall establish a number of effective exponential bounds of the form

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < \frac{2a \left(\ln p_n\right)^b \exp\left(-c \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right)}{1 - a \left(\ln p_n\right)^b \exp\left(-c \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right)}; \qquad (x \ge x_*)$$

and

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < 3a \ (\ln p_n)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right); \qquad (x \ge x_*);$$

for some effective computable  $x_*$ . It is the explicit presence of the exponential factor, with known coefficients and known range of validity for the bound, that makes these bounds particularly interesting.

#### DATE: 12 November 2022; LATEX-ed November 15, 2022

KEYWORDS: Chebyshev  $\vartheta$  function; prime gaps; effective bounds.

# Contents

Introduction	2
Strategy	4
Effective bounds on the prime gaps	6
3.1 Widely applicable bounds	6
3.2 Some intermediate strength bounds	7
3.3 Asymptotically stringent bounds	7
Conclusions	8
	<ul> <li>Strategy</li> <li>Effective bounds on the prime gaps</li> <li>3.1 Widely applicable bounds</li> <li>3.2 Some intermediate strength bounds</li> <li>3.3 Asymptotically stringent bounds</li> </ul>

## 1 Introduction

The last 50 years have seen the development of a large number of fully effective exponential bounds on the first Chebyshev function  $\vartheta(x)$  — bounds of the form:

$$|\vartheta(x) - x| < a \ x \ (\ln x)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln x}\right); \qquad (x \ge x_0). \tag{1.1}$$

See references [1–5]. Here a > 0 always, while typically  $b \ge 0$ , and c > 0 always. The special case b = 0 corresponds to effective bounds of the de la Valle Poussin form [6, 7]. For some widely applicable effective bounds of this form see Table I. (An elementary computation is required for the numerical coefficients in the Schoenfeld [1] and Trudgian [2] bounds.)

a	b	С	$x_0$	Source
0.2196138920	1/4	0.3219796502	101	Schoenfeld [1]
1/4	1/4	1/4	31	relaxed version of Schoenfeld [1]
0.2428127763	1/4	0.3935970880	149	Trudgian [2]
1/4	1/4	1/3	43	relaxed version of Trudgian [2]
9.220226	3/2	0.8476836	2	Fiori–Kadiri–Swidinsky [4]
9.40	1.515	0.8274	2	Johnston–Yang [3]
0.3510691792	0	1/4	101	Visser [7]; based on Schoenfeld [1]
0.2748124978	0	1/4	149	Visser [7]; based on Trudgian [2]
0.4242102935	0	1/3	149	Visser [7]; based on Trudgian [2]
295	0	1/2	2	Visser [7]; based on FKS [4]
385	0	1/2	2	Visser [7]; based on JY [3]
1	0	1/4	2	Visser [7]
1	0	1/3	3	Visser [7]
1/2	0	1/4	29	Visser [7]
1/2	0	1/3	41	Visser [7]

**Table 1**. Some widely applicable effective bounds on the first Chebyshev function  $\vartheta(x)$ .

For some asymptotically more stringent effective bounds, but valid on more restricted regions see Table II, (based on reference [3]), and Table III, (based on reference [7]). See also the extensive tabulations in reference [5].

a	b	С	$x_0$
8.87	1.514	0.8288	$\exp(3000)$
8.16	1.512	0.8309	$\exp(4000)$
7.66	1.511	0.8324	$\exp(5000)$
7.23	1.510	0.8335	$\exp(6000)$
7.00	1.510	0.8345	$\exp(7000)$
6.79	1.509	0.8353	$\exp(8000)$
6.59	1.509	0.8359	$\exp(9000)$
6.73	1.509	0.8359	$\exp(10000)$
23.14	1.503	0.8659	$\exp(10^5)$
38.58	1.502	1.0318	$\exp(10^{6})$
42.91	1.501	1.0706	$\exp(10^{7})$
44.42	1.501	1.0839	$\exp(10^8)$
44.98	1.501	1.0886	$\exp(10^9)$
45.18	1.501	1.0903	$\exp(10^{10})$

**Table 2**. Asymptotically stringent bounds on the first Chebyshev function  $\vartheta(x)$  valid on restricted regions [3].

**Table 3**. More asymptotically stringent bounds on the first Chebyshev function  $\vartheta(x)$  of the de la Valle Poussin form valid on restricted regions [7]. (Based on reference [3].)

a	b	С	$x_0$
357	0	1/2	$\exp(3000)$
320	0	1/2	$\exp(4000)$
295	0	1/2	$\exp(5000)$
274	0	1/2	$\exp(6000)$
263	0	1/2	$\exp(7000)$
252	0	1/2	$\exp(8000)$
243	0	1/2	$\exp(9000)$
249	0	1/2	$\exp(10000)$
644	0	1/2	$\exp(10^5)$
348	0	1/2	$\exp(10^6)$
312	0	1/2	$\exp(10^7)$
301	0	1/2	$\exp(10^8)$
298	0	1/2	$\exp(10^9)$
297	0	1/2	$\exp(10^{10})$
1642333	0	1	$\exp(10^{6})$
165152	0	1	$\exp(10^7)$
101831	0	1	$\exp(10^8)$
87551	0	1	$\exp(10^9)$
83063	0	1	$\exp(10^{10})$

Herein we shall show how to convert these effective bounds on  $\vartheta(x)$  into effective bounds on the prime gaps  $g_n = p_{n+1} - p_n$ . Specifically we shall establish both

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < \frac{2a \left(\ln p_n\right)^b \exp\left(-c \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right)}{1 - a \left(\ln p_n\right)^b \exp\left(-c \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right)}; \qquad (x \ge x_*); \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < 3a \ (\ln p_n)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right); \qquad (x \ge x_*); \tag{1.3}$$

for some effective computable  $x_*$ . In all cases it is the presence of the exponential factor that is central to making these bounds interesting and relatively stringent.

#### 2 Strategy

Let us now develop some effective bounds on prime gaps  $g_n = p_{n+1} - p_n$ , starting from effective bounds on the first Chebyshev function of the form

$$|\vartheta(x) - x| < a \ x \ (\ln x)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln x}\right); \qquad (x \ge x_0).$$
(2.1)

For convenience rewrite our bound on the first Chebyshev function in the form

$$|\vartheta(x) - x| < x f(x); \qquad (x \ge x_0).$$
 (2.2)

Here  $f(x) = a(\ln x)^b \exp\left(-c\sqrt{\ln x}\right)$  is easily verified to be monotone decreasing for  $x > x_{peak} = \exp([2b/c]^2)$ , where it takes on the value  $f_{peak} = a(2b/c)^{2b} \exp(-2b)$ .

Define

$$x_* = \max\left\{x_0, \ \exp\left(\left[\frac{2b}{c}\right]^2\right)\right\}.$$
(2.3)

Then in the range  $x \ge x_*$  the inequality (2.1) is valid with  $f'(x) \le 0$ . This will be the primary range of interest for the following computations. Note that in the limit  $b \to 0$ , appropriate to effective bounds of the de la Valle Poussin form, one has

$$x_* \to \max\{x_0, 1\} = x_0.$$
 (2.4)

Let us now take any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and consider the inequality

$$\vartheta(p_{n+1}-\epsilon) - (p_{n+1}-\epsilon) > -(p_{n+1}-\epsilon) f(p_{n+1}-\epsilon); \qquad (2.5)$$

Thence

$$p_{n+1} < \vartheta(p_n) + \epsilon + (p_{n+1} - \epsilon) f(p_{n+1} - \epsilon).$$
(2.6)

But since this holds for all  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$  we can in particular consider the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$ 

and so deduce

$$p_{n+1} \le \vartheta(p_n) + p_{n+1} f(p_{n+1}).$$
 (2.7)

On the other hand from

$$\vartheta(p_n) - p_n < p_n f(p_n); \tag{2.8}$$

we deduce

$$p_n > \vartheta(p_n) - p_n f(p_n); \tag{2.9}$$

Thence we can bound the prime gaps as

$$g_n < p_{n+1} f(p_{n+1}) + p_n f(p_n).$$
(2.10)

We now have two options:

• If  $f_{peak} \leq 1$  then use  $p_{n+1} = p_n + g_n$ , and the fact that f(x) is monotone decreasing in the range of interest, to deduce

$$g_n < (2p_n + g_n) f(p_n),$$
 (2.11)

Rearranging, and using the fact that f(x) < 1 in the range of interest, we see

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < \frac{2 f(p_n)}{1 - f(p_n)}; \qquad (f_{peak} \le 1).$$
(2.12)

• If  $f_{peak} > 1$  it is more useful to use the standard Bertrand–Chebyshev theorem  $p_{n+1} < 2p_n$ , and the fact that f(x) is monotone decreasing in the range of interest, to deduce

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < 3 f(p_n);$$
 (*f*<sub>peak</sub> arbitrary). (2.13)

We can summarize this in a simple Lemma.

Lemma: If one has somehow established a bound of the form

$$|\vartheta(x) - x| < a \ x \ (\ln x)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln x}\right); \qquad (x \ge x_0); \tag{2.14}$$

as in Tables I, II, and III above, then defining

$$x_* = \max\left\{x_0, \, \exp\left(\left[\frac{2b}{c}\right]^2\right)\right\},$$
(2.15)

for the prime gap  $g_n = p_{n+1} - p_n$  one has the bounds

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < \frac{2a \ (\ln p_n)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right)}{1 - a \ (\ln p_n)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right)}; \qquad (x \ge x_*; \ f_{peak} \le 1); \tag{2.16}$$

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < 3a \ (\ln p_n)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right); \qquad (x \ge x_*; \ f_{peak} \ arbitrary); \tag{2.17}$$

These bounds certainly hold for  $x \ge x_*$ , but if  $x_*$  is sufficiently small one might be able to widen the range of applicability to some  $x \ge x_{**}$ , with  $x_{**} \le x_*$ , by explicit computation.

### 3 Effective bounds on the prime gaps

#### 3.1 Widely applicable bounds

For some widely applicable bounds of the form

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < \frac{2a \ (\ln p_n)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right)}{1 - a \ (\ln p_n)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right)}; \qquad (p_n \ge x_{**}; \ f_{peak} \le 1); \tag{3.1}$$

consider Table IV below. For any collection of coefficients  $\{a, b, c\}$  one first calculates  $x_{peak}$  and checks that  $f_{peak} < 1$ . From that and  $x_0$  one determines  $x_*$ . Finally, for  $x_*$  sufficiently small, one determines  $x_{**}$  by explicit computation.

**Table 4.** Some widely applicable effective bounds on the relative prime gap  $g_n/p_n$ . Compare with parts of Table I.

a	b	С	$x_0$	$x_{peak}$	$f_{peak}$	$x_*$	$x_{**}$
0.2196138920	1/4	0.3219796502	101	11.15042039	0.1659905476	101	11
1/4	1/4	1/4	31	54.59815003	0.2144409711	55	11
0.2428127763	1/4	0.3935970880	149	5.021606990	0.1659905476	149	11
1/4	1/4	1/3	43	9.487735836	0.1857113288	43	11
0.3510691792	0	1/4	101	1	0.3510691792	101	2
0.2748124978	0	1/4	149	1	0.2748124978	149	11
0.4242102935	0	1/3	149	1	0.4242102935	149	2
1	0	1/4	2	1	1	2	2
1	0	1/3	3	1	1	3	2
1/2	0	1/4	29	1	1/2	29	2
1/2	0	1/3	41	1	1/2	41	2

#### 3.2 Some intermediate strength bounds

Now consider some intermediate strength bounds, (now trading off the range of applicability versus tightness of the bound), based on the Fiori–Kadiri–Swidinsky [4] and Johnston–Yang [3] results. Consider the coefficients presented in Table V, applied to bounds of the form

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < 3a \ (\ln p_n)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right); \qquad (x \ge x_*; \ f_{peak} \ \text{arbitrary}); \tag{3.2}$$

For any collection of coefficients  $\{a, b, c\}$  one first calculates  $x_{peak}$ , (and also verifies  $f_{peak} > 1$ ). From that and  $x_0$  one determines  $x_*$ , which is sometimes distressingly large. Finally one determines  $x_{**}$  by direct computation. Unfortunately the resulting bounds, while widely applicable, are not particularly stringent.

**Table 5.** Some intermediate strength effective bounds on the relative prime gap  $g_n/p_n$ . Based on Fiori–Kadiri–Swidinsky [4] and Johnston–Yang [3]. Compare with parts of Table I.

a	b	С	$x_0$	$x_{peak}$	$f_{peak}$	$x_*$	$x_{**}$
9.220226	3/2	0.8476836	2	275108.1632	20.34794437	275109	2
9.40	1.515	0.8274	2	667160.3762	23.19042582	667161	2
295	0	1/2	2	1	295	2	2
385	0	1/2	2	1	385	2	2

#### 3.3 Asymptotically stringent bounds

Finally, based on Tables II and III, consider asymptotically stringent bounds of the form

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < 3a \ (\ln p_n)^b \ \exp\left(-c \ \sqrt{\ln p_n}\right); \qquad (x \ge x_*; \ f_{peak} \ \text{arbitrary}); \tag{3.3}$$

For any collection of coefficients  $\{a, b, c\}$  one first calculates  $x_{peak}$ . From that and  $x_0$  one determines  $x_*$ .

• For all entries in Table II it is easy to verify that  $x_{peak} = \exp([2b/c]^2) \ll x_0$ , (and for that matter,  $f_{peak} > 1$ ). Thence for all entries in Table II one has  $x_* = x_0$ . Since  $x_*$  is truly enormous direct computation of  $x_{**}$  is hopeless. In short, the effective bounds on  $\vartheta(x)$  given in terms of the parameters  $\{a, b, c, x_0\}$ of Table II directly imply effective bounds (3.3) on  $g_n/p_n$  in terms of the same parameters  $\{a, b, c, x_0\}$ . For all entries in Table III, since they are all of de la Valle Poussin form, (that is, b = 0), it is trivial to verify that x<sub>peak</sub> = exp([2b/c]<sup>2</sup>) = 1, (and for that matter, f<sub>peak</sub> = a > 1). Thence for all entries in Table III one trivially has x<sub>\*</sub> = x<sub>0</sub>. Since x<sub>\*</sub> is truly enormous direct computation of x<sub>\*\*</sub> is hopeless. In short, the effective bounds on ϑ(x) given in terms of the parameters {a, b, c, x<sub>0</sub>} of Table III directly imply effective bounds (3.3) on g<sub>n</sub>/p<sub>n</sub> in terms of the same parameters {a, b, c, x<sub>0</sub>}.

## 4 Conclusions

We have developed a number of effective bounds on the prime gaps  $g_n/p_n$ . Some of these effective bounds could in principle have been deduced almost 50 years ago. Others rely on recent numerical work from the previous decade. In the interests of clarity, let me quote a few explicit examples:

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < \frac{\frac{1}{2} (\ln p_n)^{1/4} \exp\left(-\sqrt{\ln p_n}/3\right)}{1 - \frac{1}{4} (\ln p_n)^{1/4} \exp\left(-\sqrt{\ln p_n}/3\right)}; \qquad (p_n \ge 2); \tag{4.1}$$

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < \frac{\exp(-\sqrt{\ln p_n/3})}{1 - \frac{1}{2}\exp(-\sqrt{\ln p_n/3})}; \qquad (p_n \ge 2);$$
(4.2)

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < 885 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\ln p_n}/2\right); \qquad (p_n \ge 2); \tag{4.3}$$

and the asymptotically tighter result

$$\frac{g_n}{p_n} < 4926999 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\ln p_n}\right); \qquad (p_n \ge \exp(10^6)).$$
 (4.4)

In all cases it is the presence of the exponential factor that is central to making these bounds interesting and relatively stringent.

# Acknowledgements

MV was directly supported by the Marsden Fund, *via* a grant administered by the Royal Society of New Zealand.

# References

[1] Lowell Schoenfeld,

"Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions  $\vartheta(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$ . II", Mathematics of Computation, **30** #134 (April 1976) 337–360. doi:10.1090/S0025-5718-1976-0457374-X

- Tim Trudgian, "Updating the error term in the prime number theorem", The Ramanujan Journal **39** (2016) 225–236, doi:10.1007/S11139-014-9656-6. arXiv: 1401.2689 [math.NT]
- [3] Daniel R. Johnston, Andrew Yang,"Some explicit estimates for the error term in the prime number theorem", arXiv: 2204.01980 [math.NT]
- [4] Andrew Fiori, Habiba Kadiri, Joshua Swindisky,
   "Sharper bounds for the error term in the Prime Number Theorem", arXiv: 2206.12557 [math.NT]
- [5] S. Broadbent, H. Kadiri, A. Lumley, N. Ng, and K. Wilk.
  "Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev function θ(x)".
  Math. Comp. 90.331 (2021), pp. 2281–2315. [arXiv: 2002.11068 [math.NT]]
- [6] Charles Jean de la Vallé Poussin,
  "Recherches analytiques sur la théorie des nombres premiers",
  Ann. Soc. Scient. Bruxelles, deuxiéme partie 20, (1896), pp. 183–256
- [7] Matt Visser,
   "Effective de la Vallé Poussin style bounds on the first Chebyshev function", arXiv: 2211.00840 [math.NT]