

# PROOFS OF MCINTOSH'S CONJECTURE ON FRANEL INTEGRALS AND TWO GENERALIZATIONS

BRUCE C. BERNDT, LIKUN XIE, ALEXANDRU ZAHARESCU

**ABSTRACT.** We provide a proof of a conjecture made by Richard McIntosh in 1996 on the values of the Franel integrals,

$$\int_0^1 ((ax))((bx))((cx))((ex)) dx,$$

where  $((x))$  is the first Bernoulli function defined in (1.1) below. Secondly, we extend our ideas to prove a similar theorem for

$$\int_0^1 ((a_1x))((a_2x)) \cdots ((a_nx)) dx.$$

Lastly, we prove a further generalization in which  $((x))$  is replaced by any particular Bernoulli function with odd index.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**Definition 1.1.** If  $h$  and  $k$  are relatively prime integers with  $k \geq 1$ , the classical Dedekind sum  $s(h, k)$  is defined by

$$s(h, k) := \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \left( \left( \frac{hn}{k} \right) \right) \left( \left( \frac{n}{k} \right) \right),$$

where the sawtooth function, or first Bernoulli function,  $((x))$  is defined by

$$((x)) = \begin{cases} x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x \text{ is not an integer,} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \text{ is an integer,} \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $[x]$  is the greatest integer  $\leq x$ .

Besides their appearance in the modular transformation formula for the Dedekind eta function [8, p. 2], they enjoy a rich theory [8]. Furthermore, there exist many generalizations and analogues of the Dedekind sum  $s(h, k)$ , many of which appear in the modular transformation formulas of other modular forms.

Dedekind sums satisfy a beautiful reciprocity theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $h$  and  $k$  denote coprime, positive integers. Then,

$$s(h, k) + s(k, h) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{h}{k} + \frac{1}{hk} + \frac{k}{h} \right).$$

There are several proofs of Theorem 1.1, but one of them [6] or [8, p. 25], [7, pp. 369–372] uses an integral evaluation due to J. Franel [1], namely,

$$\int_0^1 ((ax))((bx)) dx = \frac{(a, b)^2}{12ab}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $(a, b)$  denotes the greatest common divisor of the positive integers  $a$  and  $b$ .

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B68.

*Key words and phrases.* Franel integrals, Bernoulli functions.

Although (1.2) is not difficult to prove, it is natural to ask if

$$I(a, b, c, e) := \int_0^1 ((ax))((bx))((cx))((ex)) dx, \quad (1.3)$$

can be evaluated, where  $a, b, c$ , and  $e$  denote positive integers. An evaluation of (1.3) gives an evaluation of the reciprocal sum [P.193, [2]]

$$L(a, b, c, e) = \sum_{\substack{as+bt+cu+ev=0 \\ s,t,u,v \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}} \frac{1}{stuv} = 16\pi^4 I(a, b, c, e) \quad (1.4)$$

R. J. McIntosh [2] found further representations and other properties for  $I(a, b, c, e)$ , and ‘evaluated’ special cases in terms of certain generalized Dedekind sums. Although he was unable to evaluate (1.3), he made a fascinating conjecture [2, p. 194]. “Numerical calculations suggest that the function  $f(a, b, c, e)$  defined by

$$f(a, b, c, e) := \frac{240a^3b^3c^3e^3(a, b, c)(a, b, e)(a, c, e)(b, c, e)}{(a, b)^2(a, c)^2(a, e)^2(b, c)^2(b, e)^2(c, e)^2(a, b, c, e)^4} I(a, b, c, e) \quad (1.5)$$

is integer-valued, but a proof is out of reach.” Our first goal is to prove McIntosh’s remarkable conjecture (1.5).

In view of (1.2) and (1.3), it is next natural to ask if one can establish arithmetical properties and an evaluation for

$$I_n := I(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) := \int_0^1 ((a_1x))((a_2x)) \cdots ((a_nx)) dx, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are positive integers, and  $n = 2k$  is any even, positive integer. An evaluation of  $I_n$  gives an evaluation of the reciprocal sum by (4.3):

$$L(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{\substack{u_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i = 0}} \frac{1}{u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n} = (-1)^k (2\pi)^n I_n. \quad (1.7)$$

In Theorem 3.1 below, we offer and prove such a generalization of McIntosh’s conjecture. Note that if  $n$  is an odd positive integer in (1.6), by the integrand’s asymmetry about  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $I_n = 0$ .

The Bernoulli polynomials  $B_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , are defined by

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad |t| < 2\pi. \quad (1.8)$$

In particular,  $B_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}$ . The Bernoulli functions  $\tilde{B}_n(x)$  are consequently defined by

$$\tilde{B}_n(x) = B_n(x - [x]), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.9)$$

The function  $((x))$  in (1.1) is thus the first Bernoulli function  $B_1(x - [x])$ ,  $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . The  $n^{\text{th}}$  Bernoulli number  $B_n$  is defined by

$$B_n = B_n(0), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.10)$$

The next natural question to ask is: Do the theorems arising from McIntosh’s conjecture for (1.3) and the more general integral (1.6) have generalizations in which  $((x))$  is replaced by  $\tilde{B}_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ? Indeed, for Bernoulli functions of odd index, in Theorem 4.1 below, we prove such a theorem for

$$I_{2k+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}) := \int_0^1 \tilde{B}_{2k+1}(a_1x) \tilde{B}_{2k+1}(a_2x) \cdots \tilde{B}_{2k+1}(a_{2n}x) dx. \quad (1.11)$$

which is closely related to the reciprocal sum of  $(2k + 1)$ -th powers by (4.3):

$$L_{2k+1}(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{2n}) = \sum_{\substack{u_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{2n} a_i u_i = 0}} \frac{1}{(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{2n})^{2k+1}} = (-1)^n \left[ \frac{(2\pi)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \right]^{2n} I_{2k+1} \quad (1.12)$$

and the reciprocal sum defined by linear forms; see Corollary 4.1.

## 2. PROOF OF MCINTOSH'S CONJECTURE

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let*

$$I(a, b, c, e) := \int_0^1 ((ax))((bx))((cx))((ex)) dx.$$

*Then,*

$$f(a, b, c, e) := \frac{240a^3b^3c^3e^3(a, b, c)(a, b, e)(a, c, e)(b, c, e)}{(a, b)^2(a, c)^2(a, e)^2(b, c)^2(b, e)^2(c, e)^2(a, b, c, e)^4} I(a, b, c, e)$$

*is an integer for any positive integers  $a, b, c, e$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1 (iii) in [2], it suffices to prove Theorem 2.1 in the case  $a, b, c, e$  are triplet-wise relatively prime, that is,  $(a, b, c) = (a, b, e) = (a, c, e) = (b, c, e) = 1$ . Then the claim in the theorem reduces to showing that

$$f(a, b, c, e) = \frac{240a^3b^3c^3e^3}{(a, b)^2(a, c)^2(a, e)^2(b, c)^2(b, e)^2(c, e)^2} I(a, b, c, e)$$

is an integer. We first prove that

$$\text{lcm}(a, b, c, e) f(a, b, c, e) = \frac{240a^4b^4c^4e^4}{(a, b)^3(a, c)^3(a, e)^3(b, c)^3(b, e)^3(c, e)^3} I(a, b, c, e)$$

is an integer. (Throughout the sequel,  $\text{lcm}$  denotes the least common multiple.) Then we will show that this integer is divisible by each of  $a, b, c$  and  $e$  separately, and hence divisible by  $\text{lcm}(a, b, c, e)$ .

It will be convenient to use the notations

$$L := \text{lcm}(a, b, c, e)$$

and

$$M := \frac{240a^3b^3c^3e^3}{(a, b)^2(a, c)^2(a, e)^2(b, c)^2(b, e)^2(c, e)^2}.$$

To proceed, observe that the integrand has discontinuities at the points  $1/a, 2/a, \dots, 1/b, 2/b, \dots, 1/c, 2/c, \dots, 1/e, 2/e, \dots$ . Denote by  $X$  this finite set of points. Next, arrange the elements of  $X$  in increasing order and denote them by  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . These points break the interval  $[0, 1]$  into subintervals. Accordingly, the integral  $I(a, b, c, e)$  can be written as a sum of integrals  $I_j$  integrated over the intervals  $(x_j, x_{j+1})$ . Between any two consecutive points  $x_j$  and  $x_{j+1}$ , the integrand of  $I_j$  is given by a polynomial of degree 4, denoted by  $P_j(x)$ , which has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(x) &= (ax - n_{j1} - 1/2)(bx - n_{j2} - 1/2)(cx - n_{j3} - 1/2)(ex - n_{j4} - 1/2) \\ &= abce x^4 - [(abc)(n_{j4} + 1/2) + (abe)(n_{j3} + 1/2) + (ace)(n_{j2} + 1/2) + (bce)(n_{j1} + 1/2)] x^3 \\ &\quad + [(ab)(n_{j3} + 1/2)(n_{j4} + 1/2) + \dots] x^2 - [a(n_{j2} + 1/2)(n_{j3} + 1/2)(n_{j4} + 1/2) + \dots] x \\ &\quad + (n_{j1} + 1/2)(n_{j2} + 1/2)(n_{j3} + 1/2)(n_{j4} + 1/2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $n_{j1}, n_{j2}, n_{j3}, n_{j4}$  are positive integers. Next, integrate each  $P_j(x)$  over the corresponding subinterval. Denote by  $F_j(x)$  an antiderivative of  $P_j(x)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} F_j(x) = & \frac{1}{5}abce x^5 - \frac{1}{4}[(abc)(n_{j4} + 1/2) + (abe)(n_{j3} + 1/2) + (ace)(n_{j2} + 1/2) + (bce)(n_{j1} + 1/2)]x^4 \\ & - \frac{1}{3}[(ab)(n_{j3} + 1/2)(n_{j4} + 1/2) + \dots]x^3 + \frac{1}{2}[a(n_{j2} + 1/2)(n_{j3} + 1/2)(n_{j4} + 1/2) + \dots]x^2 \\ & + (n_{j1} + 1/2)(n_{j2} + 1/2)(n_{j3} + 1/2)(n_{j4} + 1/2)x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Next, we compute  $I_j$  by evaluating  $F_j(x)$  at the two endpoints  $x_j$  and  $x_{j+1}$ . Recall that  $I(a, b, c, e)$  is the sum of all the integrals  $I_j$ 's.

Moreover, the coefficient of  $x^k$  in 2.1 multiplied by  $k \cdot 2^{5-k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 5$ , is a polynomial in  $a, b, c, e$  with integer coefficients. Note that 240 is divisible by each of  $k \cdot 2^{5-k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 5$ . So after multiplying by 240, we have  $240F_j(s)$  is a sum of integer multiples of  $a^i b^j c^k e^l x^m$  for  $i + j + k + l = m - 1$ ,  $0 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 1$ .

Theorem 2.1 will then follow from the two lemmas below.

**Lemma 2.1.**  *$LM I(a, b, c, e) = L f(a, b, c, e)$  is an integer.*

*Proof.* We want to show that  $LM F_j(x)$  evaluated at  $x_j$  and  $x_{j+1}$  is an integer. Since each of the endpoints is an integer multiple of either  $\frac{1}{a}$ ,  $\frac{1}{b}$ ,  $\frac{1}{c}$  or  $\frac{1}{e}$ , by symmetry it suffices to show that  $LM F_j(x)$  evaluated at  $\frac{1}{a}$  is an integer. For each degree,  $1 \leq m \leq 5$ , in the representation of  $F_j(x)$ , view the coefficient of  $x^m$  as a polynomial in  $a, b, c, e$ . Consider a monomial of the form  $a^i b^j c^k e^l$  with degree  $m - 1$ , i.e.,  $i + j + k + l = m - 1$  in the coefficient of  $x^m$ , where  $0 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 1$ . Observe that  $\frac{a^i b^j c^k e^l}{a^m}$  is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{1}{a \left[ \frac{a}{(a,b)} \right]^j \left[ \frac{a}{(a,c)} \right]^k \left[ \frac{a}{(a,e)} \right]^l}$$

whose denominator divides  $LM$ . This is because

$$LM = 240 \operatorname{lcm}(a, b, c, e) \frac{a}{(a,b)} \frac{b}{(a,b)} \cdots \frac{c}{(c,e)} \frac{e}{(c,e)}$$

which is divisible by

$$a \frac{a}{(a,b)} \frac{a}{(a,c)} \frac{a}{(a,e)}.$$

Since  $LM F_j(\frac{1}{a})$  is a sum of terms of the form  $LM \frac{a^i b^j c^k e^l}{a^m}$  with  $i + j + k + l = m - 1$ ,  $0 \leq i, j, k \leq 1$  which we have shown to be integers, we conclude that  $LM I(a, b, c, e) = L f(a, b, c, e)$  is an integer.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.**  *$LM I(a, b, c, e) = L f(a, b, c, e)$  is divisible by each of  $a, b, c$  and  $e$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we show that  $LI(a, b, c, e)$  is divisible by  $a$ . It suffices to show that  $LM F_j(x)$  evaluated at  $\frac{1}{a}$ ,  $\frac{1}{b}$ ,  $\frac{1}{c}$  and  $\frac{1}{e}$  is divisible by  $a$ . We first show that  $LM F_j(x)$  evaluated at  $\frac{1}{b}$ ,  $\frac{1}{c}$  and  $\frac{1}{e}$  is divisible by  $a$ . Without loss of generality, it suffices to show that  $LM F_j(x)$  evaluated at  $\frac{1}{b}$  is divisible by  $a$ . As before, it suffices to consider a monomial of the form  $a^i b^j c^k e^l$  with degree  $m - 1$  in the coefficient of  $x^m$ ,  $0 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 1$ . Evaluated at  $\frac{1}{b}$ , we see that  $\frac{a^i b^j c^k e^l}{b^m}$  is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{1}{b \left[ \frac{b}{(b,a)} \right]^i \left[ \frac{b}{(b,c)} \right]^j \left[ \frac{b}{(b,e)} \right]^l}.$$

Multiplying this by  $LM$ , we find that  $LM \frac{a^i b^j c^k e^l}{b^m}$  is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{a}{(a, b)} \frac{a}{(a, c)}.$$

As  $((a, b), (a, c)) = 1$ ,  $\frac{a}{(a, b)} \frac{a}{(a, c)}$  is divisible by  $a$ . Hence,  $LM \frac{a^i b^j c^k e^l}{b^m}$  is divisible by  $a$ .

Next, we show that  $LM F_j(x)$  evaluated at  $\frac{1}{a}$  is divisible by  $a$ . Consider a monomial  $a^i b^j c^k e^l$  in the coefficient of  $x^m$  in  $F_j(x)$  with degree  $1 \leq m \leq 4$  and  $i + j + k + l = m - 1$ ,  $0 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 1$ . If  $i = 1$ , then

$$\frac{a^i b^j c^k e^l}{a^m} = \frac{b^j c^k e^l}{a^{m-1}}$$

is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{1}{a \left[ \frac{a}{(a, b)} \right]^j \left[ \frac{a}{(a, c)} \right]^k \left[ \frac{a}{(a, e)} \right]^l}.$$

Since  $j + k + l = m - 2 \leq 2$ , one of  $j, k, l$  is 0. Without loss of generality, assume  $l = 0$ . Then multiplying by  $LM$ , we see that  $LM \frac{a^i b^j c^k e^l}{a^m}$  is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{a}{(a, e)} \frac{e}{(e, b)},$$

which is divisible by  $a$  since  $(a, e)$  and  $(e, b)$  are coprime.

If  $m \leq 3$  and  $i = 0$ , then, since  $j + k + l = m - 1 \leq 2$ , one of  $j, k, l$  is 0. We may assume  $l = 0$ . Then  $\frac{b^j c^k}{a^m}$  is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{1}{a \left[ \frac{a}{(a, b)} \right]^j \left[ \frac{a}{(a, c)} \right]^k}.$$

Then multiplying by  $LM$ , we conclude that  $LM \frac{a^i b^j c^k e^l}{a^m}$  is also an integer multiple of

$$\frac{a}{(a, e)} \frac{e}{(e, b)},$$

which is divisible by  $a$  since  $(a, e)$  and  $(e, b)$  are coprime.

Therefore, viewing the coefficients of  $x^k$  in  $F_j(x)$  as polynomials in  $a, b, c$  and  $e$ , since adding or subtracting terms divisible by  $a$  does not change divisibility of  $a$ , the following terms can be removed from further consideration in  $F_j(x)$ : the terms involving the monomials  $ab^j c^k e^l$  with  $j + k + l = 2$  in the coefficient of  $x^4$ , and of the form  $a^i b^j c^k e^l$  with  $i + j + k + l = m - 1$  in the coefficient of  $x^m$  with  $m \leq 3$ . This implies that we can ignore  $n_{j2}, n_{j3}, n_{j4}$  in  $F_j$ , since adding or subtracting terms divisible by  $a$  does not change the divisibility of  $a$ . Furthermore, since  $2^4 \mid 240$ , we can remove  $1/2$  in  $F_j$  as well for the same reason. Now it remains to show that

$$LM \int_0^1 ((ax)) bxcxex \, dx$$

is divisible by  $a$ . Evaluating the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 ((ax)) bxcxex \, dx &= \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \int_{\frac{k}{a}}^{\frac{k+1}{a}} (ax - k - 1/2) bxcxex \, dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \int_{\frac{k}{a}}^{\frac{k+1}{a}} -bce k x^3 \, dx + \int_0^1 bce (ax - 1/2) x^3 \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

It suffices to consider the first term on the right-hand side of (2.2), since the second term multiplied by  $LM$  is divisible by  $a$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \int_{\frac{k}{a}}^{\frac{k+1}{a}} -bce k x^3 dx &= \frac{bce}{4} \left[ \frac{1^4 + 2^4 + \cdots + (a-1)^4}{a^4} - (a-1) \right] \\ &= \frac{bce}{4a^4} \cdot \frac{a(a-1) [6(a-1)^3 + 9(a-1)^2 + a - 2]}{30} - \frac{bce(a-1)}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

We can ignore the second term  $-\frac{bce(a-1)}{4}$  in (2.3) since  $4 \mid 240$ . The first term is an integer multiple of  $\frac{bce}{120a^3}$  and hence a multiple of

$$\frac{1}{120 \frac{a}{(a,b)} \frac{a}{(a,c)} \frac{a}{(a,e)}},$$

whose denominator divides  $M$ . Multiplying by  $LM$ , since  $a_1 \mid L$ , we conclude that

$$\frac{LM}{120 \frac{a}{(a,b)} \frac{a}{(a,c)} \frac{a}{(a,e)}}$$

is divisible by  $a$ . This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.2 and therefore also that of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

$\square$

Note that the constant in McIntosh's conjecture is sharp, as seen from the following example.

**Example 2.1.** *We have*

$$I(a, 1, 1, 1) = \frac{5a - 2}{240a^3},$$

and the constant in McIntosh's conjecture is  $240a^3$ . When  $a = 3k$ , where  $k$  an odd integer, the denominator of  $I(a, 1, 1, 1)$  is exactly  $240a^3$ .

### 3. GENERALIZATION OF MCINTOSH'S CONJECTURE

More generally, for  $n = 2k$ , define

$$I_n = I(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \int_0^1 ((a_1 x)) ((a_2 x)) \cdots ((a_n x)) dx.$$

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be a rational polynomial, the denominator of  $f$  is the smallest positive integer  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $Nf \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .*

Recall that the Bernoulli polynomials are defined in (1.8). See [9, Theorem 4] for an expression of the denominator of the Bernoulli polynomials.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $n = 2k$ , and let  $B$  be the denominator of the polynomial  $B_{n+1}(x)$  [Theorem 4, [9]]. Then*

$$f_n := \frac{\text{lcm}(2^{2k} \prod_{j=1}^k (2j+1), nB) X_1^{2k-1} X_3^{2k-3} \cdots X_{2k-1}}{X_2^2 X_4^4 \cdots X_{2k}^{2k}} I_{2k}$$

is an integer, where

$$X_m = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leq n=2k} (a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_m})$$

is the product of all greatest common divisors of  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_m}$ , with  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leq n = 2k$ .

**Example 3.1.** When  $k = 1$ , the theorem states that

$$12 \frac{ab}{(a, b)^2} I_2(a, b)$$

is an integer, in agreement with (1.2).

**Example 3.2.** When  $k = 2$ , the theorem reduces to McIntosh's conjecture, Theorem 2.1.

**Example 3.3.** When  $k = 3$ , the theorem states that

$$\frac{4032 X_1^5 X_3^3 X_5}{X_2^2 X_4^4 X_6^6} I_6$$

is an integer, where

$$X_m = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq 6} (a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_m})$$

is the product of all greatest common divisors of  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_m}$ , with  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq 6$ .

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= a_1 a_2 \cdots a_6, \\ X_2 &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 6} (a_i, a_j), \\ X_3 &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6} (a_i, a_j, a_k), \\ &\dots \\ X_6 &= (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* First, we reduce to the case that  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 1$  by Proposition 4.1. Let

$$L := \text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

and

$$M := \frac{\text{lcm}(2^{2k} \prod_{j=1}^k (2j+1), nB) X_1^{2k-1} X_3^{2k-3} \cdots X_{2k-1}}{X_2^2 X_4^4 \cdots X_{2k}^{2k}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Next, we show that  $L f_n$  is an integer. Lastly, we show that  $L f_n$  is divisible by each of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  separately, and thereby conclude that  $f_n$  is an integer.

The integrand of  $I_n$  has discontinuities at the points  $\frac{1}{a_k}, \frac{2}{a_k}, \dots, \frac{a_k-1}{a_k}$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Denote by  $S$  this finite set of points. Order the elements in  $S$  by  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ . These points break the interval  $[0, 1]$  into sub-intervals, and so the integral  $I_n$  is represented as a sum of integrals over these sub-intervals. Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be the index set. Between two consecutive points  $x_j$  and  $x_{j+1}$ , the integrand is given by the polynomial  $P_j(x)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(x) &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right) x^n - \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n a_i \right) \left( n_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] x^{n-1} \\ &\quad + \left[ \sum_{\substack{k \neq l \\ \{k, l\} \subset S}} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k, l}}^n a_i \left( n_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_{jl} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] x^{n-2} - \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^n \left( n_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the positive integers  $n_{jk}$  depend on the endpoints  $x_j$ . Let  $F_j(x)$  denote an antiderivative of  $P_j(x)$ . Then  $I_n$  is the sum of the antiderivatives  $F_j(x)$  taken at the end points  $x_{j+1}$  and  $x_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . More precisely,

$$F_j(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^n a_i x^{n+1} - \frac{1}{n} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n a_i \left( n_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] x^n \\ + \frac{1}{n-1} \left[ \sum_{\substack{k \neq l \\ \{k,l\} \subset S}} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k,l}}^n a_i \left( n_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_{jl} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] x^{n-1} - \cdots + \prod_{k=1}^n \left( n_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} \right) x.$$

**Lemma 3.1.**  $L f_n = LM I_n$  is an integer.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, it is sufficient to show that  $LM F_j(x)$  evaluated at  $\frac{1}{a_1}$  is an integer by symmetry (since every endpoint is an integer multiple of  $\frac{1}{a_i}$  for some  $i$ ). In  $F_j(x)$ , consider the coefficient of  $x^m$  as a polynomial in  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . The coefficients of this polynomial in  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  have denominators  $m2^{n+1-m}$ . Since  $m \leq 2^{m-1}$ , we see that

$$m2^{n+1-m} \mid 2^n \prod_{j=1}^k (2j+1).$$

Therefore, we only need to consider the monomials in  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Thus, it suffices to show that

$$LM \prod_{l=1}^{r-1} a_{i_l} / a_1^r \quad (3.2)$$

is an integer for  $1 \leq r \leq n+1$ , where the  $i_l$  are distinct,  $1 \leq l \leq r-1$ . The product above is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{LM}{a_1 \prod_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_{i_l})}}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{X_1^{2k-1}}{X_2^2} \Big| M \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\frac{X_1^{2k-1}}{X_2^2} = \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)} \frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Thus,

$$\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_{i_l})} \Big| \frac{X_1^{2k-1}}{X_2^2}.$$

Moreover,  $a_1 \mid L$ , and so we have finished the proof.  $\square$

Next, we want to show that  $L f_n$  is divisible by  $a_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Again, without loss of generality, it suffices to show that  $L f_n$  is divisible by  $a_1$ . We want to show that each  $LM F_j(x)$  evaluated at the endpoints  $x_j$  and  $x_{j+1}$  is divisible by  $a_1$ . It suffices to show that  $LM F_j(x)$ , evaluated at  $\frac{1}{a_i}$  is divisible by  $a_1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , since each endpoint is an integer multiple of  $\frac{1}{a_j}$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . We finish the proof of the theorem by using the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose  $m \neq 1$ . Then  $LM F_j(\frac{1}{a_m})$  is divisible by  $a_1$ .



*Proof.* Again, the denominator of the coefficients of the monomials in  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  in the coefficients of  $x^i$  all divide the constant factor in  $M$ . It remains to consider the term

$$LM \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} a_{i_l}}{a_m^r}$$

for each degree,  $1 \leq r \leq n+1$ . This is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{LM}{a_m \prod_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{a_m}{(a_m, a_{i_l})}}.$$

First, note that  $a_m | L$ . Second, observe that

$$\frac{M}{\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{a_m}{(a_m, a_{i_l})}}$$

is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_3)} \frac{(a_1, a_2, a_3)}{(a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)} \dots \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1})}{(a_2, \dots, a_{2k})}, \quad (3.5)$$

which is divisible by  $a_1$ . To see this, we can rewrite (3.5) as

$$\frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \frac{a_1}{X},$$

where

$$X = \frac{(a_1, a_3)}{(a_1, a_2, a_3)} \left[ \prod_{i=3}^k \frac{(a_2, \dots, a_{2i-1})}{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2i-1})} \right] (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{2k}).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( (a_1, a_2), \frac{(a_1, a_3)}{(a_1, a_2, a_3)} \right) &= 1, \\ \left( (a_1, a_2), \frac{(a_2, \dots, a_{2i-1})}{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2i-1})} \right) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$((a_1, a_2), (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{2k})) = 1.$$

Thus  $((a_1, a_2), X) = 1$ , and  $(a_1, a_2)$  divides  $\frac{a_1}{X}$ . Therefore,  $a_1$  divides

$$\frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \frac{a_1}{X},$$

which finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.**  $LM F_j(\frac{1}{a_1})$  is divisible by  $a_1$ .

*Proof.* For each degree  $r$  in the polynomial  $F_j(x)$ , it suffices to show that

$$\frac{LM}{\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} a_{i_l} / a_1^r}$$

is divisible by  $a_1$ . Let  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , and suppose that  $a_1$  appears in  $\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} a_{i_l}$ ; we may assume  $a_{i_1} = a_1$ . Then we can write

$$LM \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} a_{i_l}}{a_1^r} = LM \frac{\prod_{l=2}^{r-1} a_{i_l}}{a_1^{r-1}},$$

which is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{LM}{a_1 \prod_{l=2}^{r-1} \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_{i_l})}}.$$

Let  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be the index set. Since  $|\{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_{r-1}\}| = r - 2 \leq n - 2$ , there exists an integer  $m \in I \setminus \{1, i_2, i_3, \dots, i_{r-2}\}$ . By (3.4), we have

$$\frac{\frac{X_1^{2k-1}}{X_2^2}}{\prod_{l=2}^{r-1} \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_{i_l})}}$$

is an integer multiple of  $\frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_m)} \frac{a_m}{(a_m, a_p)}$  for  $p \neq 1, m$ . By permuting the index set  $I$ , we may assume that  $m = 2$ . Then observe that

$$\frac{M}{\prod_{l=2}^{r-1} \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_{i_l})}}$$

is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \frac{a_2}{(a_2, a_3)} \frac{(a_1, a_2, a_3)}{(a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)} \cdots \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1})}{(a_2, \dots, a_{2k})}. \quad (3.6)$$

Let

$$Y = \prod_{i=2}^k \frac{(a_2, \dots, a_{2i-1})}{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2i-1})} (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{2k}). \quad (3.7)$$

Then we rewrite (3.6) as

$$\frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \frac{a_2}{Y}.$$

Since

$$\left( (a_1, a_2), \frac{(a_2, \dots, a_{2i-1})}{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2i-1})} \right) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad ((a_1, a_2), (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{2k})) = 1,$$

we have

$$((a_1, a_2), Y) = 1.$$

Hence,

$$(a_1, a_2) \mid \frac{a_2}{Y} \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 \mid \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \frac{a_1}{Y}.$$

So,

$$LM \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} a_{i_l}}{a_1^r}$$

is divisible by  $a_1$ .

Suppose that  $r \leq n - 1$  and  $a_1$  does not appear in  $\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} a_{i_l}$ . Then

$$LM \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} a_{i_l}}{a_1^r}$$

is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{LM}{\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_{i_l})}}.$$

Since  $r - 1 \leq n - 2$ , there exists an integer  $m$  such that  $m \in I \setminus \{1, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{r-1}\}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $m = 2$ . Then

$$\frac{\frac{X_1^{2k-1}}{X_2^2}}{\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_{i_l})}}$$

is an integer multiple of  $\frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \frac{a_2}{(a_2, a_3)}$ , and

$$\frac{M}{\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_{i_l})}}$$

is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \frac{a_2}{Y},$$

for  $Y$  as in (3.7). In this case,

$$LM \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} a_{i_l}}{a_1^r}$$

is also divisibly by  $a_1$ .

Therefore,

$$LM \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} a_{i_l}}{a_1^r}$$

is divisible by  $a_1$  whenever  $r \leq n-1$ , or  $r = n$  and  $1 \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}\}$ . Hence, in  $F_j(x)$  we can ignore the monomials involving  $a_1$  in the coefficients of  $x^n$  and all other monomials in the coefficients of  $x^j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , since adding or subtracting a multiple of  $a_1$  does not change divisibility of  $a_1$ . Hence, after removing some terms that are divisible by  $a_1$ , it suffices to show that

$$a_1 \mid LM \int_0^1 ((a_1 x)) a_2 x \cdots a_n x \, dx.$$

Evaluating the integral, we have

$$\int_0^1 ((a_1 x)) a_2 x \cdots a_n x \, dx = \int_0^1 \left( a_1 x - \frac{1}{2} \right) a_2 x \cdots a_n x \, dx - a_2 \cdots a_n \sum_{j=1}^{a_1-1} \int_{\frac{j}{a_1}}^{\frac{j+1}{a_1}} j x^{n-1} dx,$$

where the first term on the right-hand side multiplied by  $LM$  is divisible by  $a_1$ . For the second term,

$$-a_2 \cdots a_n \sum_{j=1}^{a_1-1} \int_{\frac{j}{a_1}}^{\frac{j+1}{a_1}} j x^{n-1} dx = \frac{a_2 \cdots a_n}{n} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{a_1} \right)^n \sum_{k=1}^{a_1-1} k^n - (a_1 - 1) \right].$$

It suffices to consider the first term

$$\frac{a_2 \cdots a_n}{n a_1^n} \sum_{k=1}^{a_1-1} k^n.$$

We can write the sum of  $n^{th}$  powers in terms of Bernoulli polynomials and numbers as [5, p. 589, Section 24.4(iii), no. 24.4.7]

$$\sum_{k=1}^{a_1-1} k^n = \frac{B_{n+1}(a_1) - B_{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{B_{n+1}(a_1)}{n+1}, \quad (3.8)$$

which is a rational polynomial in  $a_1$  whose constant term is 0. Therefore, the denominator of  $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{a_1-1} k^n}{n}$  divides  $n(n+1)B$ . Recall that  $B$  is defined in the statement of Theorem 3.1. By [9],

$$B \mid \prod_{\substack{p \leq \frac{n+2}{2} \\ p \text{ prime}}} p.$$

Hence,

$$n(n+1)B \Big| \text{lcm}(2^{2k} \prod_{j=1}^k (2j+1), nB).$$

Thus, recalling the definition (3.1) of  $M$ , we find that

$$\frac{a_2 \cdots a_n}{na_1^n} \sum_{k=1}^{a_1-1} k^n \times \text{lcm}(2^{2k} \prod_{j=1}^k (2j+1), nB)$$

is an integer multiple of  $\frac{a_2 \cdots a_n}{a_1^{n-1}}$ . Moreover,  $\frac{a_2 \cdots a_n}{a_1^{n-1}}$  is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=2}^n \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_i)}},$$

whose denominator will be cancelled out by  $\frac{X_1^{2k+1}}{X_2^2}$  in  $M$  after multiplying by  $M$ . Therefore,

$$M \frac{a_2 \cdots a_n}{na_1^n} \sum_{k=1}^{a_1-1} k^n$$

is an integer. Lastly, since  $a_1 \mid L$ , we conclude that

$$LM \frac{a_2 \cdots a_n}{na_1^n} \sum_{k=1}^{a_1-1} k^n$$

is divisible by  $a_1$ . This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.1 as well.  $\square$

$\square$

**Example 3.4.** Four examples for  $I(a, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . For  $n = 4$ , see Example 2.1.

(a)  $n = 6$ .

$$I(a, 1, \dots, 1) = \frac{16 - 28a^2 + 21a^4}{4032a^5},$$

$$B = 6,$$

$$4032 = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7.$$

Theorem 3.1 asserts that  $I(a, 1, \dots, 1)$  multiplied by  $\text{lcm}(6 \cdot 6, 2^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 3)a^5 = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7a^5$  is an integer.

(b)  $n = 8$ .

$$I(a, 1, \dots, 1) = \frac{-48 + 80a^2 - 42a^4 + 15a^6}{11520a^7},$$

$$B = 10,$$

$$11520 = 2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5.$$

Theorem 3.1 implies that  $I(a, 1, \dots, 1)$  multiplied by  $\text{lcm}(10 \cdot 8, 2^8 \cdot 9 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 3)a^7 = 2^8 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7a^7$  is an integer.

(c)  $n = 10$ .

$$I(a, 1, \dots, 1) = \frac{1280 - 2112a^2 + 1056a^4 - 264a^6 + 55a^8}{168960a^9},$$

$$B = 6,$$

$$168960 = 2^{10} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11.$$

Theorem 3.1 asserts that  $I(a, 1, \dots, 1)$  multiplied by  $\text{lcm}(6 \cdot 10, 2^{10} \cdot 11 \cdot 9 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 3)a^7 = 2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$  is an integer.

(d)  $n = 12$ .

$$I(a, 1, \dots, 1) = \frac{-353792 + 582400a^2 - 288288a^4 + 68640a^6 - 10010a^8 + 1365a^{10}}{16773120a^{11}},$$

$$B = 210,$$

$$16773120 = 2^{12} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13.$$

*Theorem 3.1 implies that  $I(a, 1, \dots, 1)$  multiplied by  $\text{lcm}(210 \cdot 12, 2^{12} \cdot 13 \cdot 11 \cdot 9 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 3)a^7 = 2^{12} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$  is an integer.*

#### 4. GENERALIZATION TO HIGHER ORDER BERNOULLI POLYNOMIALS

Recall from (1.9) that the periodic Bernoulli functions  $\tilde{B}_n(x)$  are defined by  $\tilde{B}_n(x) = B_n(\{x\})$ , where  $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ . The Fourier series for the periodic Bernoulli functions of odd index are given by [5, p. 592, Equation 24.8.2]

$$\tilde{B}_{2n+1}(x) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{2(2n+1)!}{(2\pi)^{2n+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2m\pi x)}{m^{2n+1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.1)$$

Define the integral  $I_k(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})$  by

$$I_k(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}) := \int_0^1 \tilde{B}_k(a_1 x) \tilde{B}_k(a_2 x) \cdots \tilde{B}_k(a_{2n} x) dx. \quad (4.2)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2k+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}) &= \left[ \frac{2(2k+1)!}{(2\pi)^{2k+1}} \right]^{2n} \int_0^1 \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{2n} \leq l} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{\sin(2u_i \pi a_i x)}{u_i^{2k+1}} dx \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{2n}} \left[ \frac{2(2k+1)!}{(2\pi)^{2k+1}} \right]^{2n} \int_0^1 \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq |u_1|, |u_2|, \dots, |u_{2n}| \leq l} \frac{\cos \left[ 2\pi \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2n} u_i a_i \right) x \right]}{(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{2n})^{2k+1}} dx \\ &= (-1)^n \left[ \frac{(2k+1)!}{(2\pi)^{2k+1}} \right]^{2n} \sum_{\substack{u_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{2n} a_i u_i = 0}} \frac{1}{(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{2n})^{2k+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $r$  be a positive integer. Then,*

$$I_{2k+1}(ra_1, \dots, ra_{2n}) = I_{2k+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{2n}).$$

*Proof.* Denote the sum on the far right-hand side of (4.3) by  $L(a_1, \dots, a_{2n})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} L(ra_1, \dots, ra_{2n}) &= \sum_{\substack{u_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{2n} ra_i u_i = 0}} \frac{1}{(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{2n})^{2k+1}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{2n} a_i u_i = 0}} \frac{1}{(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{2n})^{2k+1}} = L(a_1, \dots, a_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 4.2.** *For any  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ , any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ , the sum*

$$\sum_{\substack{u_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{2n} a_i u_i = 0}} \frac{1}{(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{2n})^{2k+1}} \quad (4.4)$$

*is a rational multiple of  $\pi^{2n(2k+1)}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the integrand of  $I_{2k+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})$  is a product of polynomials, it follows that  $I_{2k+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})$  is a rational number. Therefore, Proposition 4.2 is a consequence of (4.3).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $L_i$  denote the linear forms defined by the rows of the matrix  $A$ , i.e.  $L_i = a_{i,1}u_1 + a_{i,2}u_2 + \dots + a_{i,n}u_n$ . Then for any  $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ , any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ , the sum*

$$\sum_{\substack{u_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{2n} c_i u_i = 0}} \frac{1}{(L_1 L_2 \dots L_{2n})^{2k+1}} \quad (4.5)$$

*is a rational multiple of  $\pi^{2n(2k+1)}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B = (b_{ij}) = A^{-1}$  and use the fact  $B \begin{pmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \\ \vdots \\ L_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$  to write the sum (4.5)

in the form of (4.4). Let  $b_i = c_i \sum_{k=1}^{2n} b_{ji}$ . Then the sum (4.5) is equal to  $I(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2n})$ , which is a rational multiple of  $\pi^{2n(2k+1)}$  by Proposition 4.2.  $\square$

Theorem 4.1 below gives an evaluation of the denominator of  $I_{2k+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})$  for  $k \geq 1$ , which takes a different form from Theorem 3.1 due to the presence of a constant term in the first Bernoulli polynomial  $B_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}$ . We refer to Theorem 9, [9] for the value of the denominator of the polynomial  $B_n(x) - B_n$  where  $B_n$  is the  $n$ -th Bernoulli polynomial.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ ,  $\beta$  be the least common multiple of the denominators of the Bernoulli polynomials  $B_{2k+1}(x)$  and  $B_{(2k+1)(2n-1)+\alpha+1}(x) - B_{(2k+1)(2n-1)+\alpha+1}$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2k+1$ , and*

$$B := \text{lcm} \left( \frac{[(2k+1)2n+1]!}{[(2k+1)(2n-1)+1]!} \beta^{2n}, (2n+1)\beta^{2n}, (2n+2)\beta^{2n}, \dots, [(2k+1)(2n-1)+1]\beta^{2n} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

*Then,*

$$f := B \left[ \frac{X_1^{2n-1} X_3^{2n-3} \dots X_{2n-1}}{X_2^2 X_4^4 \dots X_{2n}^{2n}} \right]^{2k+1} I_{2k+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})$$

*is an integer, where*

$$X_m = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq 2n} (a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_m})$$

*is the product of all greatest common divisors of  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_m}$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq 2n$ .*

*Proof.* The  $(2k+1)$ -th Bernoulli polynomial, defined by (1.8) above, can be represented by [5, p. 588, no. 24.2.5]

$$B_{2k+1}(x) = x^{2k+1} + \binom{2k+1}{2k} B_1 x^{2k} + \binom{2k+1}{2k-1} B_2 x^{2k-1} + \dots + \binom{2k+1}{3} B_{2k-2} x^3 + \binom{2k+1}{1} B_{2k} x. \quad (4.7)$$

The integrand of (4.2) has discontinuities at  $\frac{k}{a_i}, 1 \leq i \leq a_i - 1$ . Let  $S = \{\frac{k}{a_i}, 1 \leq i \leq a_i - 1\}$  and order the elements in  $S$  in increasing order so that  $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ . On the

subinterval  $(x_l, x_{l+1})$ , the integrand has the form

$$P_l(x) := \prod_{i=0}^{2n} B_{2k+1}(a_i x - n_{l_i}), \quad (4.8)$$

where, by (4.7),  $B_{2k+1}(a_i x - n_{l_i})$  is given by

$$B_{2k+1}(a_i x - n_{l_i}) = \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{j} B_{2k+1-j}(a_i x - n_{l_i})^j. \quad (4.9)$$

Write

$$B_{2k+1}(a_i x - n_{l_i}) = (a_i x)^{2k+1} + D_{2k}(a_i x)^{2k} + D_{2k-1}(a_i x)^{2k-1} + \cdots + D_1(a_i x), \quad (4.10)$$

where  $D_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2k$ , are rational numbers obtained by expanding (4.9), whose denominators divide  $\beta$ . Using (4.10), we can rewrite (4.8) as

$$\begin{aligned} P_l(x) = & (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n})^{2k+1} x^{(2k+1)2n} + D_{2k} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{2n} a_1^{2k+1} a_2^{2k+1} \cdots a_j^{2k} \cdots a_{2n}^{2k+1} \right] x^{(2k+1)2n-1} + \\ & \cdots + D_1^{2n} a_1 \cdots a_{2n} x^{2n}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Its anti-derivative is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_l(x) := & \frac{(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n})^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)2n+1} x^{(2k+1)2n+1} + \frac{D_{2k}}{(2k+1)2n} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{2n} a_1^{2k+1} \cdots a_j^{2k} \cdots a_{2n}^{2k+1} \right] x^{(2k+1)2n} \\ & + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n+1} D_1^{2n} a_1 \cdots a_{2n} x^{2n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Let

$$L := \text{lcm}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}),$$

and

$$M := B \left[ \frac{X_1^{2n-1} X_3^{2n-3} \cdots X_{2n-1}}{X_2^2 X_4^4 \cdots X_{2n}^{2n}} \right]^{2k+1},$$

where  $B$  is defined by (4.6). We want to show that  $LM I_{2k+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})$  is an integer, and that it is divisible by  $a_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ , separately. By Proposition 4.1, we may assume that  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}) = 1$ .

**Lemma 4.1.**  *$LM I_{2k+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})$  is an integer.*

*Proof.* Since  $I_{2k+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})$  is a sum of polynomials  $F_l(x)$  evaluated at the endpoints with denominators  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}$ , it suffices to show that  $LM F_l(\frac{1}{a_1})$  is an integer. View the coefficient of  $x^i$  in  $F_j(x)$  as a polynomial in  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . The denominators of the coefficients of this polynomial divide

$$\text{lcm}((2n+1)\beta^{2n}, (2n+2)\beta^{2n}, \dots, [(2k+1)(2n-1)+1]\beta^{2n}),$$

because the denominator of each  $D_i$  divides  $\beta$ . Hence, it remains to consider monomials in  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}$  appearing in the coefficients of  $F_l(x)$ . The monomial term in the coefficient of  $x^m$  is of the form  $a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_{2n}^{i_{2n}}$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{2n} i_j = m-1$  and  $1 \leq i_j \leq 2k+1$ . Then  $a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_{2n}^{i_{2n}} x^m$  evaluated at  $\frac{1}{a_1}$  has denominator

$$a_1 \left[ \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \right]^{i_2} \cdots \left[ \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_{2n})} \right]^{i_{2n}}.$$

Since  $i_j \leq 2k + 1$  and

$$\frac{X_1^{2n-1}}{X_2^2} = \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{x_i}{(x_i, x_j)} \frac{x_j}{(x_i, x_j)},$$

we see that

$$\left[ \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \right]^{i_2} \cdots \left[ \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_{2n})} \right]^{i_{2n}}$$

divides the factor

$$\left[ \frac{X_1^{2n-1}}{X_2^2} \right]^{2k+1}$$

in  $M$ . Moreover,  $a_1$  divides  $L$ , and thus

$$LM a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_{2n}^{i_{2n}} \left( \frac{1}{a_1} \right)^m$$

is an integer. Hence, we conclude that  $LM F_l(\frac{1}{a_1})$  is an integer.  $\square$

Next, to show that  $LM I_{2k+1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})$  is divisible by  $a_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2n$ , it suffices to show that it is divisible by  $a_1$ . As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, it suffices to show that  $LM F_l(x)$  evaluated at  $\frac{1}{a_i}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ , is divisible by  $a_1$ .

**Lemma 4.2.**  *$LM F_l(\frac{1}{a_i})$  is divisible by  $a_1$  for  $i \neq 1$ .*

*Proof.* By symmetry, it suffices to show that  $LM F_l(\frac{1}{a_2})$  is divisible by  $a_1$ . As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, the denominators of the coefficients of the monomials in  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}$  are cancelled out by  $B$ . It suffices to consider the monomials in the coefficients of  $x^m$  that are of the form  $a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_{2n}^{i_{2n}}$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{2n} i_j = m - 1$  and  $1 \leq i_j \leq 2k + 1$ . Then  $a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_{2n}^{i_{2n}} x^m$  evaluated at  $\frac{1}{a_2}$  has denominator

$$a_2 \left[ \frac{a_2}{(a_1, a_2)} \right]^{i_1} \cdots \left[ \frac{a_2}{(a_1, a_{2n})} \right]^{i_{2n}}.$$

Multiplying by  $LM$ , we have  $a_2 \mid L$ , and

$$\frac{LM a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_{2n}^{i_{2n}}}{a_2^{m-1}}$$

is an integer multiple of (3.5) which is divisible by  $a_1$  by the proof of Lemma 3.2. Therefore,  $a_1$  divides

$$\frac{LM a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_{2n}^{i_{2n}}}{a_2^m}.$$

$\square$

**Lemma 4.3.**  *$LM F_l(\frac{1}{a_1})$  is divisible by  $a_1$ .*

*Proof.* As before, it suffices to consider the monomials in the coefficients of  $x^m$  which are of the form  $a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_{2n}^{i_{2n}}$ , with  $\sum_{j=1}^{2n} i_j = m - 1$  and  $1 \leq i_j \leq 2k + 1$ . Then  $a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_{2n}^{i_{2n}} x^m$  evaluated at  $\frac{1}{a_1}$  has denominator

$$a_1 \left[ \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \right]^{i_2} \cdots \left[ \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_{2n})} \right]^{i_{2n}}.$$

If one of  $i_2, \dots, i_{2n} < 2k + 1$ , say  $i_2$ , then multiplying by  $LM$ , we see that

$$\frac{LM a_1^{i_1} a_2^{i_2} \cdots a_{2n}^{i_{2n}}}{a_1^m}$$



is an integer multiple of (3.6), i.e.,

$$\frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_2)} \frac{a_2}{(a_2, a_3)} \frac{(a_1, a_2, a_3)}{(a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)} \cdots \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1})}{(a_2, \dots, a_{2k})}.$$

From the proof of Lemma 3.2, this factor is divisible by  $a_1$ . Thus, it suffices to consider the term  $i_2 = i_3 = \cdots = i_{2n} = 2k + 1$ . Since subtracting terms divisible by  $a_1$  does not change the divisibility by  $a_1$ , one can ignore the coefficients  $D_{2k}, \dots, D_1$  in  $B_{2k+1}(a_i x - n_{l_i}), 2 \leq i \leq 2n$ , and it suffices to show that

$$LM \int_0^1 \tilde{B}_{2k+1}(a_1 x)(a_2 x)^{2k+1} \cdots (a_{2n} x)^{2k+1} dx$$

is divisible by  $a_1$ .

Since the denominators of the coefficients of  $B_{2k+1}$  divide  $\beta$ , and  $\beta^{2n} \mid B$ , it suffices to show that

$$LM \int_0^1 ((a_1 x))^\alpha (a_2 x)^{2k+1} \cdots (a_{2n} x)^{2k+1} dx \quad (4.13)$$

is divisible by  $a_1$ , for  $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2k + 1$ .

Letting  $P := (2k + 1)(2n - 1)$  and using an integration by parts, we write (4.13) as

$$\begin{aligned} & LM(a_2 \cdots a_{2n})^{2k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} \int_{\frac{j}{a_1}}^{\frac{j+1}{a_1}} (a_1 x - j)^\alpha d\left(\frac{x^{P+1}}{P+1}\right) \\ &= LM(a_2 \cdots a_{2n})^{2k+1} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} \frac{(j+1)^{P+1}}{(P+1)a_1^{P+1}} - \frac{a_1 \alpha}{P+1} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} \int_{\frac{j}{a_1}}^{\frac{j+1}{a_1}} (a_1 x - j)^{\alpha-1} x^{P+1} dx \right] \\ &= LM(a_2 \cdots a_{2n})^{2k+1} \left[ \frac{B_{P+2}(a_1) - B_{P+2}}{(P+1)(P+2)a_1^{P+1}} - \frac{a_1 \alpha}{P+1} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} \int_{\frac{j}{a_1}}^{\frac{j+1}{a_1}} (a_1 x - j)^{\alpha-1} x^{P+1} dx \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Continuing with integrations by parts, we note that at each step the integrated terms have denominators dividing  $\frac{[(2k+1)2n+1]!}{[(2k+1)(2n-1)+1]!} \beta$ , which divides  $B$ . Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{L} := LM(a_2 \cdots a_{2n})^{2k+1} \frac{a_1^{\alpha-1} \alpha!}{(P+1)(P+2) \cdots (P+\alpha-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} \int_{\frac{j}{a_1}}^{\frac{j+1}{a_1}} (a_1 x - j) x^{P+\alpha-1} dx \quad (4.15)$$

is divisible by  $a_1$  for each  $\alpha$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2k + 1$ .

Evaluating (4.15), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L} &= \frac{LM(a_2 \cdots a_{2n})^{2k+1} a_1^{\alpha-1} \alpha!}{(P+1)(P+2) \cdots (P+\alpha-1)} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{a_1}{P+\alpha+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{a_1-1} \frac{j}{P+\alpha} \left[ \left(\frac{j+1}{a_1}\right)^{P+\alpha} - \left(\frac{j}{a_1}\right)^{P+\alpha} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The first expression on the right-hand side above is divisible by  $a_1$ , since  $(P+1)(P+2)\cdots(P+\alpha-1)(P+\alpha+1)$  divides  $M$  and  $a_1$  divides  $L$ . The second expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{LM(a_2 \cdots a_{2n})^{2k+1} a_1^{\alpha-1} \alpha!}{(P+1)(P+2)\cdots(P+\alpha-1)(P+\alpha)a_1^{P+\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{a_1-1} j [(j+1)^{p+\alpha} - j^{p+\alpha}] \\ & = - \frac{LM(a_2 \cdots a_{2n})^{2k+1} a_1^{\alpha-1} \alpha!}{(P+1)(P+2)\cdots(P+\alpha-1)(P+\alpha)a_1^{P+\alpha}} \left[ a_1^{P+\alpha} (a_1 - 1) - \sum_{j=1}^{a_1-1} j^{p+\alpha} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (4.16) is equal to

$$- \frac{LM(a_2 \cdots a_{2n})^{2k+1} a_1^{\alpha-1} \alpha! (a_1 - 1)}{(P+1)(P+2)\cdots(P+\alpha)},$$

which is divisible by  $a_1$ , since  $(P+1)(P+2)\cdots(P+\alpha)$  divides  $M$  and  $a_1$  divides  $L$ . With the aid of (3.8), the second term on the right-hand side of (4.16) is equal to

$$\frac{LM(a_2 \cdots a_{2n})^{2k+1} a_1^{\alpha-1} \alpha!}{(P+1)(P+2)\cdots(P+\alpha)a_1^{P+\alpha}} \frac{B_{P+\alpha+1}(a_1) - B_{P+\alpha+1}}{(P+\alpha+1)}. \quad (4.17)$$

Again, we observe that  $B_{P+\alpha+1}(a_1) - B_{P+\alpha+1}$  is a rational polynomial in  $a_1$  without a constant term. Recalling that  $P = (2k+1)(2n-1)$ , we see that (4.17) is an integer multiple of

$$\frac{LM}{\beta(P+1)(P+2)\cdots(P+\alpha+1) \left[ \prod_{j=2}^{2n} \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_j)} \right]^{2k+1}}.$$

Now we see that  $\beta(P+1)(P+2)\cdots(P+\alpha+1)$  divides the constant  $B$  in  $M$ , and that  $\left[ \prod_{j=2}^{2n} \frac{a_1}{(a_1, a_j)} \right]^{2k+1}$  divides the factor  $\left[ \frac{X_1^{2n-1}}{X_2^2} \right]^{2k+1}$  in  $M$ . Since  $a_1 \mid L$ , therefore  $a_1$  divides (4.17).

Therefore, we have proved Lemma 4.3 and thereby also Theorem 4.1. □

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] Franel, J. *Les suites de Farey et le problème des nombres premiers*, Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften Göttingen (Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse) 1924, 198–201.
- [2] McIntosh, R. J. (1996). *Franel integrals of order four*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society 60.2: 192–203.
- [3] Knuth, D. E. (1993). *Johann Faulhaber and sums of powers*, Mathematics of Computation, 61(203), 277–294.
- [4] Chen, W. Y., Fu, A. M., & Zhang, I. F. (2009). *Faulhaber's theorem on power sums*, Discrete Mathematics, 309(10), 2974–2981.
- [5] Olver, F. W. J., Lozier, D. W., Boisvert, R. F., and Clark, C. W., eds., *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, National Institute of Science and Technology and Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [6] Rademacher, H. (1950). *Die Reziprozitätsformel für Dedekindsche Summen*, Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum (Szeged) 12 (B), 57–60.
- [7] Rademacher, H. *Collected Papers of Hans Rademacher*, Vol. II, E. Grosswald, ed., MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1974.
- [8] Rademacher, H. and Grosswald, E. *Dedekind Sums*, Carus Math. Monograph, Math. Assoc. Amer., 1972.
- [9] Kellner, B. C. and Sondow, J. (2017). Power-sum denominators. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 124(8), 695–709.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 WEST GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801, USA

*Email address:* `berndt@illinois.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 WEST GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801, USA

*Email address:* `likunx2@illinois.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 WEST GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801, USA; INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, P.O. BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST RO-70700, ROMANIA

*Email address:* `zaharesc@illinois.edu`