

**$p$ -ADIC HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION RELATED WITH  
 $p$ -ADIC MULTIPLE POLYLOGARITHMS**

HIDEKAZU FURUSHO

ABSTRACT. This paper introduces a  $p$ -adic analogue of Gauss's hypergeometric function which is constructed along ideas different from Dwork's. Our construction is based on a relationship between the hypergeometric function and the KZ equation. We perform a residue-wise analytic prolongation of our  $p$ -adic hypergeometric function by investigating its relationship with  $p$ -adic multiple polylogarithms. By further exploring its local behavior around the point 1, we show that a  $p$ -adic analogue of Gauss hypergeometric theorem holds for the function.

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. Complex case	2
1.1. Multiple polylogarithms	2
1.2. Hypergeometric function	4
2. $p$ -adic case	6
2.1. Formal version of $p$ -adic hypergeometric function	6
2.2. Local behavior around $z = 0$	7
2.3. Local behavior on $] \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} [$	9
2.4. Local behavior around $z = \infty$	11
2.5. Local behavior around $z = 1$ and Gauss hypergeometric theorem	13
References	17

0. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  be a prime. This paper concerns a  $p$ -adic analogue of Gauss's hypergeometric function. By exploiting techniques of  $p$ -adic KZ equation, we introduce a formal version (a variant valued on formal power series) of  $p$ -adic hypergeometric function

$${}_2F_1^p \left( \begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| - \right) : \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p[[a, b, c - 1]]$$

in Definition 3. We investigate its convergence under the specialization  $(a, b, c) \mapsto (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^3$ . Our main result is summarized in quite short as follows:

---

*Date:* November 14, 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11S80, Secondary 12H25, 33C05.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1 \in p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} := p\mathbb{Z}_p \cap \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $\gamma \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ ,  $\alpha + \beta - \gamma, \alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \setminus \mathbb{N}_{\pm}$  and a branch of the  $p$ -adic logarithm  $\text{Log}$  is chosen as  $\text{Log}(p) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then the following hold:

(1). The specialization converges and gives a map

$${}_2F_1^p \left( \begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| - \right) : \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

(2). Its restriction to the open unit disk centered at  $z = 0$  agrees with the convergent series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n(\beta)_n}{(\gamma)_n n!} z^n$ .

(3). The  $p$ -adic Gauss hypergeometric theorem holds at  $z = 1$ , that is,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} {}_2F_1^p \left( \begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_p(1 + p^k \mu + p^k \alpha) \cdot \Gamma_p(1 + p^k \mu + p^k \beta)}{\Gamma_p(1 + p^k \mu) \cdot \Gamma_p(1 + p^k \nu)}$$

with  $\mu = 1 - \gamma$  and  $\nu = \alpha + \beta + 1 - \gamma$ . Here  $\Gamma_p$  is Morita's  $p$ -adic gamma function (cf. (2.21)).

Actually our results are more technically extended and proved in more general setting; conditions for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are relaxed and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  can be replaced with a bigger field. Our idea of the proof is to check its convergence residue-wise by exploiting its relationship with the  $p$ -adic multiple polylogarithms. The proof on the convergence and the coincidence with the usual power series on the residue disk  $]0[$  is given in §2.2. The proof on the convergence on the residue disk  $] \infty[$  is given in §2.4. The proof on the convergence on the residue disk  $]1[$  and that of  $p$ -adic Gauss hypergeometric theorem is given in §2.5. The convergence on the rest residue disks is given in §2.3.

We note that another  $p$ -adic analogue of hypergeometric function is intensively explored by Dwork [Dw], whose region of definition is smaller than that of ours. It is not clear how our function is related to his one.

*Acknowledgments.* The author has been supported by grants JSPS KAKENHI JP18H01110, JP20H00115, JP21H00969 and JP21H04430. He is grateful to Shinichi Kobayashi and Seidai Yasuda for valuable discussions.

## 1. COMPLEX CASE

This section recalls the relationship between KZ equation and Euler's hypergeometric differential equation, and explains Oi's formulas which connect the hypergeometric function with multiple polylogarithms.

**1.1. Multiple polylogarithms.** The (formal) KZ (Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov) equation is the differential equation over  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})$

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d}{dz} G(z) = \left\{ \frac{T_0}{z} + \frac{T_1}{z-1} \right\} \cdot G(z)$$

where  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$  and  $G(z)$  is analytic (that is, each coefficient is analytic) in complex variables with values in the noncommutative formal power series ring  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle T_0, T_1 \rangle\rangle$ . It has singularities at  $z = 0, 1$  and  $\infty$  that are regular and Fuchsian. In [Dr]§3, Drinfeld considers the fundamental solution  $G_{01}(T_0, T_1)(z)$  which is uniquely determined by the asymptotic property  $G_{01}(T_0, T_1)(z)z^{-T_0} \rightarrow 1$  when  $z \in \mathbb{R}_+$  approaches 0. Here  $z^{-T_0}$  stands for  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\log z)^i}{i!} T_0^i$ .

He further investigates 5 other solutions of the KZ equation with certain specific asymptotic properties, which are described as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1\bar{0}}(T_0, T_1)(z) &= G_{0\bar{1}}(T_1, T_0)(1 - z), \\ G_{1\bar{\infty}}(T_0, T_1)(z) &= G_{0\bar{1}}(T_1, T_\infty)(1 - \frac{1}{z}), \\ G_{\infty\bar{1}}(T_0, T_1)(z) &= G_{0\bar{1}}(T_\infty, T_1)(\frac{1}{z}), \\ G_{\infty\bar{0}}(T_0, T_1)(z) &= G_{0\bar{1}}(T_\infty, T_0)(\frac{1}{1 - z}), \\ G_{0\bar{\infty}}(T_0, T_1)(z) &= G_{0\bar{1}}(T_0, T_\infty)(\frac{z}{z - 1}) \end{aligned}$$

with  $T_\infty = -T_0 - T_1$ . The quotient

$$\Phi_{\text{KZ}}(T_0, T_1) = G_{1\bar{0}}(T_0, T_1)(z)^{-1} \cdot G_{0\bar{1}}(T_0, T_1)(z)$$

is independent of  $z$  and is called the *KZ associator*.

Let  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{C}\langle T_0, T_1 \rangle$  be the polynomial part of  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle T_0, T_1 \rangle\rangle$ . We call an element of  $\mathbb{A}$  which is a monomial with coefficient 1 by a *word*. But exceptionally we shall not call 1 a word. For each word  $W$ , its *weight*  $\text{wt}(W)$  (resp. its *depth*  $\text{dp}(W)$ ) is defined to be the sum of exponents of  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  (resp. of  $T_1$ ) in  $W$ . Put  $\mathbb{M}' = \mathbb{A} \cdot T_1 = \{F \cdot T_1 \mid F \in \mathbb{A}\}$  which is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear subspace of  $\mathbb{A}$ . Note that there is a natural linear surjection from  $\mathbb{A}$  to  $\mathbb{A} / \mathbb{A} \cdot T_0$ . By identifying the latter space with  $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + \mathbb{M}' (= \mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + \mathbb{A} \cdot T_1)$  we obtain the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $f' : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} / \mathbb{A} \cdot T_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + \mathbb{M}' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ . For each word  $W = T_1^{q_0} T_0^{p_1} T_1^{q_1} T_0^{p_2} T_1^{q_2} \cdots T_0^{p_k} T_1^{q_k}$  ( $k \geq 0$ ,  $q_0 \geq 0$ ,  $p_i, q_i \geq 1$  for  $i \geq 1$ ) in  $\mathbb{M}'$ , we define

$$\text{Li}_W(z) := \text{Li}_{\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{q_k-1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{q_{k-1}-1}, \dots, 1, p_1+1, \dots, 1, p_1+1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{q_0}}(z).$$

Here  $\text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z)$  for a tuple  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is the *multiple polylogarithm*, the complex function defined by the series

$$\text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) := \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_n} \frac{z^{m_n}}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_n^{k_n}} \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]].$$

By extending linearly, we get the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $\text{Li}(z) : \mathbb{M}' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[z]]$  which sends each word  $W$  in  $\mathbb{M}'$  to  $\text{Li}_W(z)$ .

It is shown that coefficients of  $G_{0\bar{1}}(T_0, T_1)(z)$  are given by polynomial combinations of multiple polylogarithms and the logarithm  $\log(z)$ .

**Proposition 2** ([F03, F04]). *Expand  $G_{0\bar{1}}(T_0, T_1)(z) = 1 + \sum_{W: \text{words}} J(W)(z) W$ .*

*Then each coefficient  $J(W)(z)$  is expressed as follows:*

- (a) *When  $W$  is in  $M'$ ,  $J(W)(z) = (-1)^{\text{dp}(W)} \text{Li}_W(z)$ .*
- (b) *When  $W$  is written as  $VT_0^r$  ( $r \geq 0, V \in M'$ ),*

$$J(W)(z) = \sum_{\substack{s+t=r \\ 0 \leq s, 0 \leq t}} (-1)^{\text{dp}(W)+s} \text{Li}_{f'(V \sqcup T_0^s)}(z) \frac{\{\log(z)\}^t}{t!}.$$

- (c) *When  $W$  is written as  $T_0^r$  ( $r \geq 0$ ),  $J(W)(z) = \frac{\{\log(z)\}^r}{r!}$ .*

Here  $\sqcup$  stands for the shuffle product arising from the product of Chen's path iterated integrals.

We note that a similar type of formula describing each coefficient of the KZ-associator as a linear combination of multiple zeta values

$$\zeta(\mathbf{k}) := \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_n} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_n^{k_n}}$$

which is a limit values of multiple polylogarithm to 1 for  $k_n > 1$ , is given in [F03].

**1.2. Hypergeometric function.** *Gauss's hypergeometric function* (consult [AAR] for example) is the complex analytic function  ${}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right)$  defined by the power series

$$(1.2) \quad {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n n!} z^n$$

which converges for  $|z| < 1$ . Here,  $a, b, c$  are complex numbers with  $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ , and  $(s)_0 = 1$ ,  $(s)_n = s(s+1) \dots (s+n-1)$  are the Pochhammer symbols.

The hypergeometric function has been studied for several centuries. Hypergeometric theorem (due to Gauss)

$$(1.3) \quad {}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1\right) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}, \quad \text{when } \Re(c) > \Re(a+b),$$

might be one of the most celebrated results among others.

The hypergeometric function is a solution of *Euler's hypergeometric differential equation*:

$$z(1-z)\frac{d^2w}{dz^2} + \{c - (a+b+1)z\}\frac{dw}{dz} - abw = 0,$$

which enables us to perform the analytic continuation of the function along a topological path starting from 0 to any  $z$  in  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Put  $X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Y_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & v \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$  with  $u = 1 - c$  and  $v = a + b + 1 - c$ . The differential equation can be reformulated as

$$\frac{d}{dz}\vec{g} = \left\{ \frac{1}{z}X_0 + \frac{1}{1-z}Y_0 \right\} \cdot \vec{g}$$

with  $\vec{g} = \vec{g}(w) = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ \frac{z}{b}\frac{dw}{dz} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$  when  $b \neq 0$ . Whence its substitution  $(X_0, -Y_0)$  for  $(T_0, T_1)$  to a solution  $G(T_0, T_1)(z)$  of the KZ-equation (1.1) yields two solutions  $w_1, w_2$  with  $(\vec{g}(w_1), \vec{g}(w_2)) = G(X_0, -Y_0)(z) \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$  of the above differential

equation when it converges as explained in [O]. By writing

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4) \quad \mathcal{V}_{0\bar{1}}(z) &:= G_{0\bar{1}}(X_0, -Y_0)(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{u}{b} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \mathcal{V}_{1\bar{0}}(z) &:= G_{1\bar{0}}(X_0, -Y_0)(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{a}{v} & \frac{v-1}{b} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \mathcal{V}_{1\bar{\infty}}(z) &:= G_{1\bar{\infty}}(X_0, -Y_0)(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{a}{v} & \frac{1-v}{b} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \mathcal{V}_{\infty\bar{1}}(z) &:= G_{\infty\bar{1}}(X_0, -Y_0)(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{a}{b} & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \mathcal{V}_{\infty\bar{0}}(z) &:= G_{\infty\bar{0}}(X_0, -Y_0)(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{a}{b} & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \mathcal{V}_{0\bar{\infty}}(z) &:= G_{0\bar{\infty}}(X_0, -Y_0)(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{u}{b} \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

we recover certain scalar multiples of half of the so-called Kummer's 24 solutions (cf. [F21])

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5) \quad (1, \quad 0) \cdot \mathcal{V}_{0\bar{1}}(z) &= \left( {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right), \quad z^{1-c} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} b+1-c, a+1-c \\ 2-c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right) \right), \\
 (1, \quad 0) \cdot \mathcal{V}_{1\bar{0}}(z) &= \left( {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ a+b+1-c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z \right), \quad (1-z)^{c-a-b} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} c-a, c-b \\ c-a-b+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z \right) \right), \\
 (1, \quad 0) \cdot \mathcal{V}_{1\bar{\infty}}(z) &= \left( z^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, a+1-c \\ a+b-c+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-\frac{1}{z} \right), \quad z^{b-c}(z-1)^{c-a-b} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1-b, c-b \\ 1-a-b+c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-\frac{1}{z} \right) \right), \\
 (1, \quad 0) \cdot \mathcal{V}_{\infty\bar{1}}(z) &= \left( z^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, a+1-c \\ a-b+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right), \quad z^{-b} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} b+1-c, b \\ b-a+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right) \right), \\
 (1, \quad 0) \cdot \mathcal{V}_{\infty\bar{0}}(z) &= \left( (1-z)^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, c-b \\ a-b+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \frac{1}{1-z} \right), \quad (1-z)^{-b} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} c-a, b \\ 1-a+b \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \frac{1}{1-z} \right) \right), \\
 (1, \quad 0) \cdot \mathcal{V}_{0\bar{\infty}}(z) &= \left( (1-z)^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, c-b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \frac{z}{z-1} \right), \quad (1-z)^{-a} \left(\frac{z}{z-1}\right)^{1-c} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1-b, a-c+1 \\ 2-c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \frac{z}{z-1} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where we consider the branch by the principal value under appropriate conditions for  $a, b, c$ . We note that the other half of the 24 solutions can be obtained by Euler's transformation formula  ${}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right) = (1-z)^{c-a-b} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} c-a, c-b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)$ .

Specifically, we have

$$(1.6) \quad {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right) = [G_{0\bar{1}}(X_0, -Y_0)(z)]_{11}$$

where the right lower suffix 11 means the  $(1, 1)$  entry.

The formulas in Proposition 2 together with (1.6) yields Oi's formula ([O, Theorem 3.1]) describing the hypergeometric function in terms of multiple polylogarithms:

$$(1.7) \quad {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right) = 1 + ab \sum_{\substack{k, n, s > 0 \\ k \geq n+s, \quad n \geq s}} g_0(k, n, s) u^{k-n-s} v^{n-s} (ab + uv)^{s-1}$$

with  $u = 1 - c$ ,  $v = a + b + 1 - c = a + b + u$  and

$$(1.8) \quad g_0(k, n, s) = \sum_{\substack{\text{wt}(\mathbf{k})=k, \text{ dp}(\mathbf{k})=n, \text{ ht}(\mathbf{k})=s \\ \mathbf{k}: \text{admissible index}}} \text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z).$$

Here an *admissible index* means a tuple  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) with  $k_n > 1$  and  $\text{wt}(\mathbf{k}) = k_1 + \dots + k_n$ ,  $\text{dp}(\mathbf{k}) = n$ ,  $\text{ht}(\mathbf{k}) = \#\{i \mid k_i > 1\}$ .

## 2. $p$ -ADIC CASE

Let  $p$  be a prime. We will introduce the  $p$ -adic hypergeometric function by a  $p$ -adic analogue of (1.6). By exploiting a  $p$ -adic analogue of (1.7), we perform its analytic prolongation residue-wise and show the  $p$ -adic analogue of Gauss hypergeometric theorem.

**2.1. Formal version of  $p$ -adic hypergeometric function.** We introduce a formal version of  $p$ -adic hypergeometric function by exploiting a fundamental solution of the  $p$ -adic KZ equation.

In [F04], a  $p$ -adic analogue of KZ equation (1.1) is introduced and its fundamental solution

$$G_{01}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) \in \mathbb{C}_p \langle \langle T_0, T_1 \rangle \rangle$$

with  $z \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}_p)$  is constructed. The  $p$ -adic version of multiple polylogarithm, denoted by  $\text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}^p(z)$ , is introduced in [F04]. It is defined by the same power series expansion (1.1) around  $z = 0$  and to be an element of the  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Col}}$  consisting of all Coleman's functions ([Co]),  $p$ -adic iterated integrals over  $\mathcal{X}$ . In [F04], it is shown that all coefficient of  $G_{01}^p(T_0, T_1)(z)$  is described by combinations of  $\text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}^p(z)$ 's and  $\text{Log}(z)$ , exactly in the same formula as Proposition 2. Here  $\text{Log}(z)$  stands for the  $p$ -adic logarithm which is a locally analytic group homomorphism  $\mathbb{C}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$  with a usual power series expansion  $-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-z)^i}{i!}$  around  $z = 1$  and which is uniquely determined once we fix an image  $\text{Log}(p)$  in  $\mathbb{C}_p$ , called a *branch*. Particularly we have  $G_{01}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Col}} \langle \langle T_0, T_1 \rangle \rangle$ . Similarly to [Dr], we have  $p$ -adic analogues of the other 5 fundamental solutions

$$\begin{aligned} G_{10}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) &:= G_{01}^p(T_1, T_0)(1-z), \\ G_{1\infty}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) &:= G_{01}^p(T_1, T_\infty)(1-\frac{1}{z}), \\ G_{\infty 1}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) &:= G_{01}^p(T_\infty, T_1)(\frac{1}{z}), \\ G_{\infty 0}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) &:= G_{01}^p(T_\infty, T_0)(\frac{1}{1-z}), \\ G_{0\infty}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) &:= G_{01}^p(T_0, T_\infty)(\frac{z}{z-1}) \end{aligned}$$

with  $T_\infty = -T_0 - T_1$ . In [F04] the  $p$ -adic KZ associator  $\Phi_{\text{KZ}}^p(T_0, T_1) \in \mathbb{Q}_p \langle \langle T_0, T_1 \rangle \rangle$  is defined by the quotient

$$\Phi_{\text{KZ}}^p(T_0, T_1) = G_{01}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) \cdot G_{10}^p(T_0, T_1)(z)^{-1}$$

and it is shown that its each coefficient is given by a linear combination of  $\zeta_p(\mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  whose exact formula is given in [F04, Theorem 3.30]. Here  $\zeta_p(\mathbf{k})$  is the  $p$ -adic multiple zeta value, which is defined to a certain limit of  $\text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z)$  to  $z = 1$ .

Let  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$  are formal variables and consider  $2 \times 2$ -matrices

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b} \\ 0 & \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\mathbf{u} = 1 - \mathbf{c}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + 1 - \mathbf{c}$ . The substitution of  $(X, -Y)$  for  $(T_0, T_1)$  in  $G_{01}^p(T_0, T_1)(z)$  converges in  $\text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Col}}[[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}-1]])$ , which is denoted by  $G_{01}^p(\mathbf{X}, -\mathbf{Y})(z)$ .

Our definition of  ${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right)$  is stimulated by the equation (1.6) in the complex case:

**Definition 3.** We define  ${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right)$  with  $z \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}_p)$  to be its  $(1, 1)$ -entry

$$(2.1) \quad {}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right) := [G_{01}^p(X, -Y)(z)]_{(11)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Col}}[[a, b, c-1]].$$

So it yields a map

$${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| -\right) : \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p[[a, b, c-1]]$$

sending  $z \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}_p) \mapsto {}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right)$ , which we call *the formal version of the  $p$ -adic hypergeometric function*.

Since all the coefficients of  $G_{01}^p(T_0, T_1)(z)$  are given by polynomial combinations of  $p$ -adic multiple polylogarithms and the  $p$ -adic logarithm in the same way as Proposition 2 in the complex case (see [F04, Theorem 3.15]), Oi's formula (1.7) also holds in the  $p$ -adic case:

$${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right) = 1 + ab \sum_{\substack{k, n, s > 0 \\ k \geq n+s, n \geq s}} g_0^p(k, n, s) u^{k-n-s} v^{n-s} (ab+uv)^{s-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Col}}[[a, b, c-1]]$$

with  $u = 1 - c$ ,  $v = a + b + 1 - c = a + b + u$  and

$$g_0^p(k, n, s) = \sum_{\substack{\text{wt}(\mathbf{k})=k, \text{dp}(\mathbf{k})=n, \text{ht}(\mathbf{k})=s \\ \mathbf{k}: \text{admissible index}}} \text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}^p(z) \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Col}}.$$

**2.2. Local behavior around  $z = 0$ .** We show that our  $p$ -adic hypergeometric function agrees with the usual power series expansion (2.2) in a neighborhood of  $z = 0$  and investigate its convergence in Theorem 6.

Since the restriction of  $\text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}^p(z)$  to  $]0[$  is given by (1.1) in  $\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ , the restriction of the above  ${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right)$  to  $]0[$  determines the series

$${}_2F_1^{p, ]0[}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right) \in \mathbb{Q}[[a, b, c-1, z]],$$

which we call the formal version of  ${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right)$  around  $z = 0$ .

**Proposition 4.** *The restriction  ${}_2F_1^{p, ]0[}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right)$  agrees with the usual power series expansion, that is, we have*

$${}_2F_1^{p, ]0[}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n n!} z^n \in \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c]_{(c-1)}[[z]],$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}[a, b, c]_{(c-1)}$  means the localization of  $\mathbb{Q}[a, b, c]$  at the prime ideal  $(c-1)$ .

*Proof.* The formulas (1.2) and (1.7) lift to equations in the rational structure  $\mathbb{Q}[[a, b, c-1, z]]$ . Whence we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n n!} z^n = 1 + ab \sum_{\substack{k, n, s > 0 \\ k \geq n+s, n \geq s}} g_0(k, n, s) u^{k-n-s} v^{n-s} (ab+uv)^{s-1},$$

which assures the validity of our claim.  $\square$

By  ${}_2F_1^{p,0}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right) \in \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c]_{(c-1)}[[z]]$ , its specialization  $(a, b, c) \mapsto (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^3$  is possible unless it is not located on poles (that is, when  $\gamma \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ ) and in that case it is given by

$$(2.2) \quad {}_2F_1^{p,0}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n (\beta)_n}{(\gamma)_n n!} z^n \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[z]].$$

To investigate its convergence, we prepare a fundamental (and maybe well-known) lemma below.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer whose  $p$ -adic expansion is given by  $n = a_0 + a_1p + \dots + a_rp^r$  ( $a_i \in [0, p-1]$ ). Put  $s_p(n) = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_r$ . Then we have*

$$\text{ord}_p(n!) = \frac{n - s_p(n)}{p-1}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is given by a direct computation.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** (1). *Put  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i^- p^{m_i}$  with  $0 \leq m_0 < m_1 < \dots$  and  $c_i^- \in [1, p-1]$  (we note that it says  $c_i^- \neq 0$  for all  $i$ ) be the  $p$ -adic expansion of  $-\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Assume that*

$$(2.3) \quad (S :=) \overline{\lim}_n \frac{m_n}{p^{m_{n-1}}} < \infty.$$

*Then the specialization (2.2) converges on  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}_p \mid |z|_p < p^{-\frac{S}{p-1}}\}$ .*

(2). *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} := \mathbb{Z}_p \cap \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\gamma \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ . Then the series (2.2) converges on  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}_p \mid |z|_p < 1\}$ .*

*Proof.* (1). Let  $R$  be the radius of convergence of  ${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[z]]$  and denote  $d_n$  to be the coefficient of  $z^n$  in  ${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right)$ ;

$$d_n = \frac{(\alpha)_n}{n!} \cdot \frac{(\beta)_n}{n!} \cdot \frac{n!}{(\gamma)_n}.$$

By  $\frac{(\alpha)_n}{n!}, \frac{(\beta)_n}{n!} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{R} = \overline{\lim}_n |d_n|_p^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \overline{\lim}_n \left| \frac{n!}{(\gamma)_n} \right|_p^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Therefore

$$-\log_p R \leq \overline{\lim}_n \frac{-1}{n} \text{ord}_p\left(\frac{n!}{(\gamma)_n}\right) = \overline{\lim}_n \frac{-1}{n} \left\{ \frac{n - s_p(n)}{p-1} - \text{ord}_p((\gamma)_n) \right\}.$$

For  $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  with the  $p$ -adic digit expansion  $\eta = e_0 + e_1p + e_2p^2 + \dots$  ( $e_i \in [0, p-1]$ ) and  $k \geq 0$ , we put

$$t(\eta; k) = e_0 + e_1p + \dots + e_kp^k$$

and

$$T(\eta; k) := \min\{t(\eta; h) \mid t(\eta; h) \neq t(\eta; k), h > k\},$$



which is well-defined for any  $k \geq 0$  unless  $\eta$  is a positive integer or 0. Put  $l_n = t(-\gamma; \lfloor \log_p n \rfloor)$ ,  $L_n = T(-\gamma; \lfloor \log_p n \rfloor)$  and  $m_n = \lfloor \log_p L_n \rfloor$ . Then we have  $n < L_n$ ,  $p^{m_n} \leq L_n < p^{m_n+1}$  and  $-\gamma \equiv L_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m_n+1}}$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p((\gamma)_n) &= \text{ord}_p(\gamma(\gamma+1)\cdots(\gamma+n-1)) = \text{ord}_p((- \gamma)(- \gamma - 1)\cdots(- \gamma - (n-1))) \\ &= \text{ord}_p\left(\frac{L_n!}{(L_n - n)!}\right) = \frac{1}{p-1}\{n - s_p(L_n) + s_p(L_n - n)\} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5. Therefore

$$-\log_p R \leq \overline{\lim}_n \frac{1}{n(p-1)} \{s_p(n) - s_p(L_n) + s_p(L_n - n)\}.$$

Since both  $s_p(n)$  and  $s_p(L_n)$  is bounded by the order of  $\log_p(n)$ , we have

$$-\log_p R \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \overline{\lim}_n \frac{s_p(L_n - n)}{n}.$$

Since by definition we have

$$l_{p^{m_{i-1}-1}} < l_{p^{m_{i-1}}} = l_{p^{m_{i-1}+1}} = \cdots = l_{p^{m_i-1}} < l_{p^{m_i}},$$

we have

$$L_{p^{m_{i-1}-1}} < L_{p^{m_{i-1}}} = L_{p^{m_{i-1}+1}} = \cdots = L_{p^{m_i-1}} = l_{p^{m_i}} < L_{p^{m_i}}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{p-1} \overline{\lim}_n \frac{s_p(L_n - n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \overline{\lim}_i \frac{(p-1)m_i}{p^{m_{i-1}}} = \frac{S}{p-1},$$

we have  $-\log_p R \leq \frac{S}{p-1}$ , which says  $R \geq p^{-\frac{S}{p-1}}$ .

(2). It is because  $S$  in (2.3) is 0 for such  $\gamma$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Local behavior on  $[\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}]$ .** We perform an analytic continuation of our  $p$ -adic hypergeometric function to  $[\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}]$  residuewise in Theorem 7.

Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}_p$  be any root of unity whose order is prime to  $p$  and assume  $\xi \neq 1$ . The tube  $[\bar{\xi}]$  is defined by  $\text{red}^{-1}(\bar{\xi})$  under the reduction map  $\text{red} : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ . Since the restrictions of all  $\text{Li}_k(z)$ 's to  $[\bar{\xi}]$  are in  $\mathbb{C}_p[[z - \xi]]$ , the restriction of  ${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Col}}[[a, b, c - 1]]$  to the tube  $[\bar{\xi}]$  corresponds to the series

$$(2.4) \quad {}_2F_1^{p, [\bar{\xi}]} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right) \in \mathbb{C}_p[[a, b, c - 1, z - \xi]],$$

which we call the formal version of  ${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right)$  around  $\xi$ .

**Theorem 7.** (1). Actually  ${}_2F_1^{p, [\bar{\xi}]} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)$  is in  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\xi)[[a, b, c - 1, z - \xi]]$ .

(2). Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}_p$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then the substitution of (2.4) sending  $(a, b, c, z)$  to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, z)$  converges when  $|z - \xi| < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ .

(3). Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}_p$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} := \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then the specialization of (2.4) at  $(a, b, c, z) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, z)$  converges when  $|z - \xi| < 1$ .

*Proof.* (1). For an integer  $k \geq 0$ , we consider "the PD-ideal"  $\mathfrak{p}^{[k]}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{p}^{[k]} := \left\langle \frac{p^m}{m!} \mid m \geq k \right\rangle_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}}.$$

We put  $\mathbf{p}^{[k]} = \mathbf{p}^{[0]}$  for an integer  $k < 0$ . By [Ch, Theorem 5.3], we have

$$\mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi) \in \mathbf{p}^{[\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{k})]}.$$

Then by the recursive differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dz} \mathrm{Li}_{k_1, \dots, k_m}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{z} \mathrm{Li}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}(z) & (k_m > 1), \\ \frac{1}{1-z} \mathrm{Li}_{k_1, \dots, k_{m-1}}(z) & (k_m = 1), \end{cases}$$

we see that

$$\mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(n)}(\xi) \in \mathbf{p}^{[\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{k})-n]}$$

because the order of  $\xi$  is prime to  $p$  and  $\xi \neq 1$ . Here  $\mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(n)}(z)$  means the  $n$ -th derivative of  $\mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z)$ .

From the Taylor expansion

$$\mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(n)}(\xi) \frac{(z-\xi)^n}{n!},$$

we learn that its specialization of  ${}_2F_1^{p, [\xi]} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)$  at  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  with  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  makes sense and is given by

$$(2.5) \quad {}_2F_1^{p, [\xi]} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{\xi, i} \frac{(z-\xi)^i}{i!} \in \mathbb{C}_p[[z-\xi]]$$

with

$$(2.6) \quad c_{\xi, i} := \delta_{i,0} + \alpha\beta \sum_{\substack{k, n, s > 0 \\ k \geq n+s, n \geq s}} g_{0, \xi}^{(i)}(k, n, s) \mu^{k-n-s} \nu^{n-s} (\alpha\beta + \mu\nu)^{s-1},$$

$$\mu = 1 - \gamma, \quad \nu = \alpha + \beta + 1 - \gamma = \alpha + \beta + \mu,$$

$$g_{0, \xi}^{(i)}(k, n, s) := \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{k})=k, \mathrm{dp}(\mathbf{k})=n, \mathrm{ht}(\mathbf{k})=s \\ \mathbf{k}: \text{admissible index}}} \mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(i)}(\xi) \in \mathbf{p}^{[k-i]}$$

because the right hand side of (2.6) converges on  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\xi)$ .

(2). Since we have  $c_{\xi, i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ , we see that the series (2.5) converges when  $|z-\xi|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ .

(3). By the above arguments, we see that  ${}_2F_1^{p, [\xi]} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)$  converges when  $|z-\xi| < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$  for any non-unit root  $\xi$  of unity whose order is prime to  $p$ .

The restrictions of all  $\mathrm{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z)$ 's to  $[\bar{\xi}]$  is in  $\mathbb{C}_p[[z-\xi]]$ . We have  ${}_2F_1^{p, [\xi]} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right) \in \mathbb{C}_p[[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}-1, z-\xi]]$ . Since  ${}_2F_1^{p, [\xi]} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)$  satisfies the following differential equation

$$z(1-z) \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + \{ \mathbf{c} - (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + 1)z \} \frac{dw}{dz} - \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} w = 0,$$

we see that the specialization  ${}_2F_1^{p, [\xi]} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right) \in \mathbb{C}_p[[z-\xi]]$  is a solution of the  $p$ -adic hypergeometric differential equation

$$(2.7) \quad z(1-z) \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + \{ \gamma - (\alpha + \beta + 1)z \} \frac{dw}{dz} - \alpha\beta w = 0.$$

When  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , a general series solution of the hypergeometric differential equation at  $z = z_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ , that is not congruent to 0 and 1, converges on  $|z-z_0| < 1$

by [K, Example 9.6.2]. Therefore  ${}_2F_1^{p,] \xi[} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)$  is a rigid-analytic function on  $] \bar{\xi}[$ .  $\square$

**2.4. Local behavior around  $z = \infty$ .** We investigate a convergence of our  $p$ -adic hypergeometric function around a neighborhood of  $\infty$  in Theorem 9.

The following is required to our convergence.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $K$  be a field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  with*

$$e_K < p$$

*where  $e_K$  means the absolute ramification index of  $K$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_p$  and  $\text{Log}(z)$  be the  $p$ -adic logarithm whose branch  $\text{Log}(p)$  is chosen to be*

$$|\lambda|_p < 1 \text{ and } |\lambda \text{Log}(p)|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

*Put  $z \in K$ . Then  $\langle z \rangle^\lambda := \exp\{\lambda \text{Log}(z)\}$  converges in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  unless  $z = 0$ .*

We note that  $\langle z \rangle^\lambda$  depends on the choice of the branch  $\text{Log}(p)$  of the  $p$ -adic logarithm when  $z$  is in  $]0[$  or  $] \infty[$ .

*Proof.* When  $z \neq 0 \in K$  is written as  $\epsilon p^r(1+m)$  with  $\epsilon \in \mu_\infty$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $m \in \mathfrak{m}_K$ , we have  $|\text{Log}(1+m)|_p = |m|_p$  because  $|m|_p \leq p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$  by  $z \in K$  (cf. [W, Lemma 5.5]). Since  $\text{Log}(z) = r \text{Log}(p) + \text{Log}(1+m)$  is in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ , by  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  and our assumption, we have

$$|\lambda \text{Log}(z)|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Therefore  $\langle z \rangle^\lambda = \exp\{\lambda \text{Log}(z)\}$  converges.  $\square$

Since the restriction of  $\text{Li}_k^p(z)$  to  $] \infty[$  is given by a series in  $\mathbb{Q}_p[[\frac{1}{z}]] [\text{Log}(\frac{1}{z})] \subset \mathbb{Q}_p[\text{Log}(\frac{1}{z})][[\frac{1}{z}]]$ , the restriction of  ${}_2F_1^p \left( \begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right) \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Col}}[[a, b, c-1]]$  to  $] \infty[$  determines the series

$$(2.8) \quad {}_2F_1^{p,] \infty[} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\mathbb{L}_\infty][[a, b, c-1, \frac{1}{z}]],$$

which we call the formal version of  ${}_2F_1^p \left( \begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)$  around  $\infty$ . Here  $\mathbb{L}_\infty$  corresponds to  $\text{Log}(\frac{1}{z})$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $K$  be a field extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  with  $e_K < p$ . Let  $z \in K$ . Assume that  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $\beta \neq 0$  and  $\alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \setminus \mathbb{N}_\pm$  and the branch  $\text{Log}(p)$  of  $p$ -adic logarithm is chosen to be*

$$|\alpha \text{Log}(p)|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\beta \text{Log}(p)|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

*Then the specialization  ${}_2F_1^{p,] \infty[} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)$  sending  $(a, b, c-1, \frac{1}{z}, \mathbb{L}_\infty)$  to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma-1, \frac{1}{z}, \text{Log}(\frac{1}{z}))$  makes sense and converges on  $K$  when  $|z|_p > 1$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Col}}[[a, b, c-1]]$ . Similarly to (1.4) in the complex case, we consider

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{V}_{01}^p(z) := G_{01}^p(X, -Y)(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{b} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{R}[\frac{1}{b}]),$$

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\infty 1}^p(z) := G_{\infty 1}^p(X, -Y)(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{a}{b} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{R}[\frac{1}{b}])$$

with  $u = 1 - c$ ,  $v = a + b + 1 - c = a + b + u$  and  $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & v \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q}[a, b, c - 1])$  as in §2.1.

By  $G_{01}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) = G_{\infty 1}^p(T_0, T_1)(z)\Phi_{\text{KZ}}^p(T_0, T_\infty)$  and  $G_{\infty 1}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) = G_{01}^p(T_\infty, T_1)(\frac{1}{z})$  with  $T_\infty = -T_0 - T_1$ , we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{V}_{01}^p(z) = \mathcal{V}_{\infty 1}^p(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{a}{b} & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \Phi_{\text{KZ}}^p(X, Y - X) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{u}{b} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\infty 1}^p(z) = G_{01}^p(Y - X, -Y)(\frac{1}{z}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{a}{b} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By computing the  $(1, 1)$ -entry of both sides of (2.11), we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad {}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = A \cdot [\mathcal{V}_{\infty 1}^p(z)]_{(1,1)} + B \cdot [\mathcal{V}_{\infty 1}^p(z)]_{(1,2)}$$

in  $\mathcal{R}[\frac{1}{b}]$  where  $A$  and  $B \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\frac{1}{b}][[a, b, c - 1]]$  are the  $(1, 1)$  and  $(1, 2)$ -entry of the product of the last three matrices in (2.11). Since the restrictions of all the coefficients of  $G_{01}^p(T_0, T_1)(z)$  to  $]0[$  belong to

$$(\mathbb{Q}[[z]])[\text{Log}(z)] \subset (\mathbb{Q}[\text{Log}(z)])[[z]],$$

$G_{\infty 1}^p(X, -Y)(z) \left( = G_{01}^p(Y - X, -Y)(\frac{1}{z}) \right)$  with  $z \in ]\infty[$  determines an element

$$G_{\infty 1}^{p, ]\infty[}(X, -Y)(z) \in \text{GL}_2\left(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{L}_\infty][[a, b, c - 1, \frac{1}{z}]]\right).$$

By (2.10), we have the associated matrix

$$\mathcal{V}_{\infty 1}^{p, ]\infty[}(z) = G_{\infty 1}^{p, ]\infty[}(X, -Y)(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{a}{b} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2\left(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{L}_\infty][[a, b, c - 1, \frac{1}{z}]][\frac{1}{b}]\right).$$

While in the complex case, the first row of the matrix

$$\mathcal{V}_{\infty 1}(z) = G_{01}(Y - X, -Y)(\frac{1}{z}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{a}{b} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is calculated to be

$$([\mathcal{V}_{\infty 1}(z)]_{(1,1)}, [\mathcal{V}_{\infty 1}(z)]_{(1,2)}) = \left( z^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, a+1-c \\ a-b+1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right), z^{-b} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} b, b+1-c \\ b-a+1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right) \right)$$

for  $(a, b, c) = (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C}^3$  satisfying suitable conditions by (1.4) and (1.5). By regarding  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c - 1$  and  $\frac{1}{z}$  as formal variables, we could lift the equality to the one in  $\text{Mat}_{1 \times 2}(\mathbb{C}[\log(\frac{1}{z})][[a, b, c - 1, \frac{1}{z}]])$ , which actually gives an equality in its  $\mathbb{Q}$ -structure. It permits us to regard the equality as the one in  $\text{Mat}_{1 \times 2}(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{L}_\infty][[a, b, c - 1, \frac{1}{z}]])$ , which ensures the validity of the following equality in the  $p$ -adic case

$$(2.14) \quad ([\mathcal{V}_{\infty 1}^{p, ]\infty[}(z)]_{(1,1)}, [\mathcal{V}_{\infty 1}^{p, ]\infty[}(z)]_{(1,2)}) = \left( z^{-a} {}_2F_1^{p, ]0[}\left(\begin{matrix} a, a+1-c \\ a-b+1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right), z^{-b} {}_2F_1^{p, ]0[}\left(\begin{matrix} b, b+1-c \\ b-a+1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right) \right).$$

Therefore (2.13) and (2.14) produce

$${}_2F_1^{p, ]\infty[}\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = A \cdot z^{-a} \cdot {}_2F_1^{p, ]0[}\left(\begin{matrix} a, a+1-c \\ a-b+1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right) + B \cdot z^{-b} \cdot {}_2F_1^{p, ]0[}\left(\begin{matrix} b, b+1-c \\ b-a+1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right)$$

in  $\mathbb{Q}_p[\mathbb{L}_\infty][[a, b, c - 1, \frac{1}{z}]]$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Put  $\mu = 1 - \gamma$ ,  $\nu = \alpha + \beta + 1 - \gamma$ ,

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & \nu \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

All the coefficients of  $\Phi_{\text{KZ}}^p(T_0, T_1)$  in the words with weight  $w$  is given by  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of  $p$ -adic multiple zeta values  $\zeta_p(\mathbf{k})$  with  $\text{wt}(\mathbf{k}) = w$  by the formula in [F04, Theorem 3.30]. By [Ch], we have  $\zeta_p(\mathbf{k}) \in \mathfrak{p}^{[\text{wt}(\mathbf{k})]}$ , from which we learn that the substitution  $\Phi_{\text{KZ}}^p(X_0, Y_0 - X_0)$  converges in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

Assume further  $\beta \neq 0$ . Then under our specialization  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{L}_\infty) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, z, \text{Log}(\frac{1}{z}))$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  converge to the  $(1, 1)$ -entry  $A_0$  and  $(1, 2)$ -entry  $B_0$  of the product

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \Phi_{\text{KZ}}^p(X_0, Y_0 - X_0) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{\mu}{\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively.

While  ${}_2F_1^{p,0}[\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \alpha+1-\gamma \\ \alpha-\beta+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right)]$  and  ${}_2F_1^{p,0}[\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \beta, \beta+1-\gamma \\ \beta-\alpha+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right)]$  converge when  $|z|_p > 1$  by Theorem 6 and our assumption  $\alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . Then our claim follows because  $\langle z \rangle^{-\alpha}$  and  $\langle z \rangle^{-\beta}$  converge by Lemma 8. Hence our claim follows.  $\square$

Thus we have an equality

$${}_2F_1^{p,\infty}[\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)] = A_0 \cdot \langle z \rangle^{-\alpha} \cdot {}_2F_1^{p,0}[\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \alpha+1-\gamma \\ \alpha-\beta+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right)] + B_0 \cdot \langle z \rangle^{-\beta} \cdot {}_2F_1^{p,0}[\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \beta, \beta+1-\gamma \\ \beta-\alpha+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \frac{1}{z} \right)]$$

when  $|z|_p > 1$ .

**2.5. Local behavior around  $z = 1$  and Gauss hypergeometric theorem.** We investigate a convergence of our  $p$ -adic hypergeometric function around a neighborhood of 1 in Theorem 11 and prove a  $p$ -adic analogue of Gauss hypergeometric theorem in Theorem 12.

Since the restrictions of all  $\text{Li}_{\mathbf{k}}(z)$ 's to  $]1[$  are in  $\mathbb{Q}_p[[1-z]][\text{Log}(1-z)]$ . The restriction of  ${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right) \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Col}}[[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} - 1]]$  to  $]1[$  determines the series

$$(2.15) \quad {}_2F_1^{p,1}[\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)] \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\mathbf{L}_1][[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} - 1, 1 - z]],$$

which we call the formal version of  ${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)$  around 1. Here  $\mathbf{L}_1$  corresponds to  $\text{Log}(1 - z)$ .

**Proposition 10.** *There is a decomposition*

$${}_2F_1^{p,1}[\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z \right)] = r_1 S_1 + r_2 S_2$$

in the quotient field of  $\mathbb{Q}_p[\mathbf{L}_1][[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} - 1, 1 - z]]$  such that  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} - 1]]$ ,

$$S_1 \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})[[1 - z]] \cap \frac{1}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbb{Q}[[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} - 1, 1 - z]],$$

$$S_2 \in (1 - z)^{-\mathbf{v}} \cdot (1 - z) \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})[[1 - z]] \cap \frac{1}{\mathbf{b}} \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{L}_1][[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} - 1, 1 - z]],$$

where  $(1 - z)^{-\mathbf{v}} := \exp\{-\mathbf{L}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}\} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{L}_1][[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} - 1, 1 - z]]$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + 1 - \mathbf{c}$ .

*Proof.* Our proof goes the same way as that of Theorem 9. Similarly to (1.4) in the complex case, we consider

$$\mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}^p(z) := G_{i\bar{0}}^p(X, -Y)(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{a}{v} & \frac{v-1}{b} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{R}[\frac{1}{vb}]).$$

By  $G_{0\bar{1}}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) = G_{i\bar{0}}^p(T_1, T_0)(z)\Phi_{KZ}^p(T_0, T_1)$  and  $G_{i\bar{0}}^p(T_0, T_1)(z) = G_{0\bar{1}}^p(T_1, T_0)(1-z)$ , we have

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{V}_{0\bar{1}}^p(z) = \mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}^p(z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{ab}{v(v-1)} & \frac{b}{v-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \Phi_{KZ}^p(X, -Y) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{u}{b} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(2.17) \quad \mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}^p(z) = G_{0\bar{1}}^p(-Y, X)(1-z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{a}{v} & \frac{v-1}{b} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By comparing the  $(1, 1)$ -entry of both sides of (2.16) and the calculations in [F21, Theorem 3.3], we obtain

$$(2.18) \quad {}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = \Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{matrix} -u, -v \\ -u-a, -u-b \end{matrix}\right)[\mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}^p(z)]_{(1,1)} + \Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{matrix} u, -v \\ -a, -b \end{matrix}\right)[\mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}^p(z)]_{(1,2)}$$

in  $\mathcal{R}[\frac{1}{vb}]$  because  $\Phi_{KZ}^p$  is an associator, where

$$\Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{matrix} s_1, s_2 \\ s_3, s_4 \end{matrix}\right) := \frac{\Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}(s_1)\Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}(s_2)}{\Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}(s_3)\Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}(s_4)} \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4]]$$

with  $\Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}(s) := \exp\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \zeta_p(n)}{n} s^n\right\} \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[s]]$ .

Since the restrictions of all the coefficients of  $G_{0\bar{1}}^p(e_0, e_1)(z)$  to  $z \in ]0[$  are in

$$(\mathbb{Q}[[z]])[\mathrm{Log}(z)] \subset (\mathbb{Q}[\mathrm{Log}(z)])[[z]],$$

$G_{i\bar{0}}^p(X, -Y)(z) = G_{0\bar{1}}^p(-Y, X)(1-z)$  for  $z \in ]1[$  determines an element

$$G_{i\bar{0}}^{p, ]1[}(X, -Y)(z) (= G_{0\bar{1}}^{p, ]0[}(-Y, X)(1-z)) \in \mathrm{GL}_2\left((\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{L}_1][[a, b, c-1, 1-z]])\right).$$

By (2.17), we have the associated matrix

$$\mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}^{p, ]1[}(z) := G_{0\bar{1}}^{p, ]0[}(-Y, X)(1-z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{a}{v} & \frac{v-1}{b} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2\left((\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{L}_1][[a, b, c-1, 1-z]])[\frac{1}{vb}]\right)$$

While in the complex case, the matrix

$$\mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}^{\mathbb{C}, ]1[}(z) \in \mathrm{GL}_2\left((\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{L}_1][[a, b, c-1, 1-z]])[\frac{1}{vb}]\right)$$

associated with

$$\mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}(z) = G_{0\bar{1}}(-Y_0, X_0)(1-z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{a}{v} & \frac{v-1}{b} \end{pmatrix}$$

agrees with the matrix  $\mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}^{p, ]1[}(z) \in \mathrm{GL}_2\left((\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{L}_1][[a, b, c-1, 1-z]])[\frac{1}{vb}]\right)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}^{\mathbb{C}, ]1[}(z) = \mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}^{p, ]1[}(z)$ , since  $G_{0\bar{1}}^p(T_0, T_1)(z)$  and  $G_{0\bar{1}}^p(T_0, T_1)(z)$  share the same presentation in terms of multiple polylogarithms and the logarithm. By (1.4) and (1.5) the first row is calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned} & ([\mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}(z)]_{(1,1)}, [\mathcal{V}_{i\bar{0}}(z)]_{(1,2)}) \\ &= \left( {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ a+b+1-c \end{matrix} \middle| 1-z\right), (1-z)^{c-a-b-1} \cdot (1-z) {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} c-a, c-b \\ c-a-b+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1-z\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $(a, b, c) = (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C}^3$  satisfying suitable conditions. By regarding  $a, b, c - 1$  and  $1 - z$  as formal variables, we see that

$$[\mathcal{V}_{10}^{C,1l}(z)]_{(1,1)} \in \mathbb{Q}(a, b, c)[[1 - z]] \text{ and } (1 - z)^{v-1} \cdot [\mathcal{V}_{10}^{C,1l}(z)]_{(1,2)} \in \mathbb{Q}(a, b, c)[[1 - z]].$$

This implies

$$[\mathcal{V}_{10}^{p,1l}(z)]_{(1,1)} \in \mathbb{Q}(a, b, c)[[1 - z]] \text{ and } (1 - z)^{v-1} \cdot [\mathcal{V}_{10}^{p,1l}(z)]_{(1,2)} \in \mathbb{Q}(a, b, c)[[1 - z]],$$

which are actually calculated to be equal to  ${}_2F_1^{p,0l}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ a+b+1-c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z\right)$  and  ${}_2F_1^{p,0l}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} c-a, c-b \\ c-a-b+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z\right)$  as elements of  $\mathbb{Q}(a, b, c)[[1 - z]]$ .

Thus by taking  $r_1 = \Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -u, -v \\ -u-a, -u-b \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ ,  $r_2 = \Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} u, -v \\ -a, -b \end{smallmatrix}\right)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p[[a, b, c - 1]]$ ,  $S_1 = [\mathcal{V}_{10}^{p,1l}(z)]_{(1,1)}$  and  $S_2 = [\mathcal{V}_{10}^{p,1l}(z)]_{(1,2)}$ , we obtain the claim.  $\square$

Therefore, by (2.18) we have the following:

$$(2.19) \quad {}_2F_1^{p,1l}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right) = \Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -u, -v \\ -u-a, -u-b \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot {}_2F_1^{p,0l}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ a+b+1-c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z\right) \\ + \Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} u, -v \\ -a, -b \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot (1-z)^{-v} \cdot (1-z) {}_2F_1^{p,0l}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} c-a, c-b \\ c-a-b+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z\right),$$

where  ${}_2F_1^{p,0l}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a, b \\ a+b+1-c \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z\right)$  and  ${}_2F_1^{p,0l}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} c-a, c-b \\ c-a-b+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z\right)$  are regarded to be elements in  $\mathbb{Q}(a, b, c)[[1 - z]] \cap \frac{1}{v}\mathbb{Q}[[a, b, c - 1, 1 - z]]$ .

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $z$  be in a field extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  with  $e_K < p - 1$  where  $e_K$  means the absolute ramification index of  $K$ . Assume that  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $|\alpha|_p, |\beta|_p, |\gamma - 1|_p < 1$  and  $\alpha + \beta - \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \setminus \mathbb{N}_{\pm}$  and that the branch of the  $p$ -adic logarithm is chosen to be  $\text{Log}(p) \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then the specialization  ${}_2F_1^{p,1l}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right)$  sending  $(a, b, c - 1, 1 - z, L_1)$  to  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1, 1 - z, \text{Log}(1 - z))$  makes sense and converges on  $K$  when  $0 < |z - 1|_p < 1$ .*

*Proof.* By our assumption on the  $p$ -adic logarithm and  $|\nu|_p < 1$  ( $\nu = \alpha + \beta + 1 - \gamma$ ),  $\langle 1 - z \rangle^{-\nu} := \exp\{-\nu \text{Log}(1 - z)\}$  converges by Lemma 8.

By the conditions  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\alpha + \beta - \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \setminus \mathbb{N}_{\pm}$ , we learn from Theorem 6 that both  $p$ -adic series  ${}_2F_1^{p,0l}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \alpha+\beta+1-\gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z\right)$  and  $\langle 1 - z \rangle^{-\nu} \cdot (1 - z) {}_2F_1^{p,0l}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \gamma-\alpha, \gamma-\beta \\ \gamma-\alpha-\beta+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z\right)$  converge when  $|z - 1|_p < 1$ .

Again by our condition  $|\alpha|_p, |\beta|_p, |\gamma - 1|_p < 1$  and  $\zeta_p(k) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  for all  $k$ , both  $\Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\mu, -\nu \\ -\mu-\alpha, -\mu-\beta \end{smallmatrix}\right)$  and  $\Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mu, -\nu \\ -\alpha, -\beta \end{smallmatrix}\right)$  converge on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Therefore we obtain the claim by (2.19).  $\square$

Actually by (2.19), we obtain

$$(2.20) \quad {}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| z\right) = \Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\mu, -\nu \\ -\mu-\alpha, -\mu-\beta \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \alpha+\beta+1-\gamma \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z\right) \\ + \Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mu, -\nu \\ -\alpha, -\beta \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot \langle 1 - z \rangle^{-\nu} \cdot (1 - z) {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \gamma-\alpha, \gamma-\beta \\ \gamma-\alpha-\beta+1 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| 1-z\right)$$

when  $|z - 1|_p < 1$ , which is a  $p$ -adic analogue of the connection formula

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) &= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ a+b-c+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1-z\right) \\ &\quad + (1-z)^{c-a-b} \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a+b-c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} c-a, c-b \\ c-a-b+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1-z\right) \end{aligned}$$

known in the complex case (cf. [AAR, 15.3.7]).

The following is a  $p$ -adic analogue of Gauss hypergeometric theorem (1.3).

**Theorem 12.** *Under the assumption of Theorem 11, we have*

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow 1 \\ z \in K}} {}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_p(1+p^k\mu+p^k\alpha) \cdot \Gamma_p(1+p^k\mu+p^k\beta)}{\Gamma_p(1+p^k\mu) \cdot \Gamma_p(1+p^k\nu)}$$

with  $\mu = 1 - \gamma$  and  $\nu = \alpha + \beta + 1 - \gamma$ , where  $\Gamma_p$  is Morita's  $p$ -adic gamma function ([M]) defined by

$$(2.21) \quad \Gamma_p(1-z) = \exp\left\{\gamma_p z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} L_p(n, \omega^{1-n}) \frac{z^n}{n!}\right\}$$

( $\gamma_p$ : a  $p$ -adic analogue of Euler constant) converging on  $|z|_p < 1$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow 1 \\ z \in K}} \langle 1-z \rangle^{-\nu} \cdot (1-z) &= \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow 1 \\ z \in K}} \exp\{-\nu r \operatorname{Log}(p) - \nu \operatorname{Log}(1+m)\} \cdot (1-z) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow 1 \\ z \in K}} \exp\{-\nu r \operatorname{Log}(p)\} \exp\{-\nu \operatorname{Log}(1+m)\} \cdot \epsilon p^r (1+m) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

when writing  $1-z = \epsilon \cdot p^r (1+m)$  with  $\epsilon \in \mu_{\infty}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Q}_+$  and  $m \in \mathfrak{m}_K$ . So

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow 1 \\ z \in K}} \langle 1-z \rangle^{-\nu} \cdot (1-z) \cdot {}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{matrix} \gamma-\alpha, \gamma-\beta \\ \gamma-\alpha-\beta+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1-z\right) = 0.$$

By (2.20), we have

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow 1 \\ z \in K}} {}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = \Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}\left(\begin{matrix} -\mu, -\nu \\ -\mu-\alpha, -\mu-\beta \end{matrix}\right).$$

By

$$\Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}(z) = \exp\left\{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \zeta_p(n) z^n\right\} = \exp\left\{\frac{p\gamma_p}{p-1} z\right\} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_p(1+p^k z)^{-1},$$

and  $\Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}(z) \Gamma_{\Phi_{KZ}^p}(-z) = 1$ , we obtain the claim.  $\square$

**Remark 13.** It is not clear how our  $p$ -adic hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1^p\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix} \middle| z\right)$  ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  with  $\gamma \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ ) is related to that of Dwork ([Dw]).



# REFERENCES

- [AAR] Andrews, G. E., Askey, R., and Roy, R., *Special functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **71**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [Ch] Chatzistamatiou, A.; *On integrality of  $p$ -adic iterated integrals*, J. Algebra 474 (2017), 240–270.
- [Co] Coleman, R.; *Dilogarithms, regulators and  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, Invent. Math. 69 (1982), no. 2, 171–208.
- [Dr] Drinfeld, V. G., *Quasi-Hopf algebras and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations*, Problems of modern quantum field theory (Alushta, 1989), 1–13, Res. Rep. Phys., Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [Dw] Dwork, B.,  *$p$ -adic cycles*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **37** (1969), 27–115.
- [F03] *The multiple zeta value algebra and the stable derivation algebra*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **39**, no. 4, (2003). 695–720.
- [F04] Furusho, H.,  *$p$ -adic multiple zeta values I –  $p$ -adic multiple polylogarithms and the  $p$ -adic KZ equation*, Invent. Math. **155** (2004), no. 2, 253–286.
- [F21] Furusho, H.; *The  $\ell$ -adic hypergeometric function and associators*, to appear in Tunisian. J. Math.
- [K] Kedlaya, K. S.;  *$p$ -adic differential equations*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 125. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [M] Morita, Y.; *A  $p$ -adic integral representation of the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 302 (1978), 71–95.
- [O] Oi, S., *Gauss hypergeometric functions, multiple polylogarithms, and multiple zeta values*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **45** (2009), no. 4, 981–1009.
- [W] Washington, L. C.; *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **83**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, CHIKUSA-KU, FURO-CHO, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN

Email address: furusho@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp