## Almost invertible operators

Zakariae Aznay, Abdelmalek Ouahab, Hassan Zariouh

#### Abstract

We prove that a bounded linear operator T is a direct sum of an invertible operator and an operator with at most countable spectrum iff  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}^{\omega_1} \sigma(T)$ , where  $\omega_1$  is the smallest uncountable ordinal and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\omega_1} \sigma(T)$  is the  $\omega_1$ -th Cantor-Bendixson derivative of  $\sigma(T)$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let L(X) be the set of all bounded linear operators acting on a complex Banach space X and denote, respectively, the spectrum, the Browder spectrum and the Drazin spectrum on L(X) by  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma_b$  and  $\sigma_d$ , see [2] for more details. Let  $T \in L(X)$ . A subset  $\tilde{\sigma} \subset \sigma(T)$  is called a spectral set (or isolated part) of T if it is clopen in  $\sigma(T)$ . A subspace M is called T-invariant if  $T(M) \subset M$ , in this case the restriction of T on M is denoted by  $T_M$ . Denote further by  $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$  when M, N are closed T-invariant subspaces and  $X = M \oplus N$ , and denote by:

$\overline{A}$	: the closure of a complex subset $A$
$A^C$	: the complementary of a complex subset $A$
$C(\lambda, \epsilon)$	: the circle ball of radius $\epsilon$ centered at $\lambda$
$B(\lambda,\epsilon)$	: the open ball of radius $\epsilon$ centered at $\lambda$
$D(\lambda,\epsilon)$	: the closed ball of radius $\epsilon$ centered at $\lambda$

For ordinal numbers  $\alpha$ , the  $\alpha$ -th Cantor-Bendixson derivative of  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  is defined by repeatedly applying the derived set operation using transfinite recursion as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} A = A\\ \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} A = \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} (\operatorname{acc} A) \text{ if } \alpha \text{ is a successor ordinal}\\ \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} A = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} A \text{ if } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal} \end{cases}$$

where acc A is the set of all acumulation points of A, and  $\alpha - 1$  denotes the predecessor of  $\alpha$  if it is a successor. The Cantor-Bendixson rank of the set A, denoted CBR(A), is the smallest ordinal  $\alpha$  satisfying  $\text{acc}^{\alpha} A = \text{acc}^{\alpha+1} A$ . Recall that a separable topological space X is called Polish if it is completely metrizable. Let  $\sigma_* \in \{\sigma, \sigma_b, \sigma_d\}$ . For every  $T \in L(X), \sigma_*(T)$  is Polish. This entails from [12, Theorem 4.9] that  $\text{CBR}(\sigma_*(T)) < \omega_1$ , where  $\omega_1$  is the second number class (i.e. the first

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>2020 AMS subject classification: Primary 03Exx; 47A10; 47Axx

Keywords: Cantor-Bendixson derivative,  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible, almost invertible, at most countable spectrum, accumulation points.

uncountable ordinal). It is clear that for  $\lambda := \operatorname{CBR}(\sigma_*(T)), (\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_*(T))_{\alpha \leq \lambda}$  is a strictly decreasing family of compact subsets. Moreover,

$$\operatorname{acc}^{\beta} \sigma_{*}(T) \setminus \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_{*}(T) = \bigsqcup_{\beta \leq \gamma < \alpha} \operatorname{iso} \left(\operatorname{acc}^{\gamma} \sigma_{*}(T)\right) \text{ for every ordinals } \alpha, \beta \text{ such that } \alpha > \beta,$$

where  $\bigsqcup$  denotes the mutually disjoint union. Therefore

$$\sigma_*(T) = \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_*(T) \bigsqcup_{\beta < \alpha} \operatorname{iso} \left(\operatorname{acc}^{\beta} \sigma_*(T)\right) \text{ for all ordinals } \alpha.$$

As  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha+1} \sigma(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_d(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_b(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma(T)$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ , then  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma(T) = \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_b(T) = \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_d(T)$  for all ordinals  $\alpha \geq \omega$ , where  $\omega$  is the first infinite ordinal. Thus  $\operatorname{CBR}(\sigma(T)) = \operatorname{CBR}(\sigma_b(T)) = \operatorname{CBR}(\sigma_d(T))$  if  $\operatorname{CBR}(\sigma_d(T)) \geq \omega$ , and if  $\operatorname{CBR}(\sigma_d(T)) < \omega$ , then

$$\operatorname{CBR}(\sigma(T)) - 1 \le \operatorname{CBR}(\sigma_d(T)) \le \operatorname{CBR}(\sigma_b(T)) \le \operatorname{CBR}(\sigma(T)) \le \operatorname{CBR}(\sigma_b(T)) + 1.$$

We deduce also that

$$\sigma_*(T) = \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma(T) \bigsqcup [\bigsqcup_{\beta < \alpha} \operatorname{iso} (\operatorname{acc}^{\beta} \sigma_*(T))] \text{ if } \alpha \ge \omega.$$

For  $T \in L(X)$ , it is proved in [1, 5, 13] that  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc} \sigma_*(T)$  iff  $T = D \oplus Q$ , D is an invertible operator and Q is an operator with  $\sigma_*(Q) \subset \{0\}$ . Furthermore, we showed in [2] that  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}^2 \sigma(T)$ iff  $T = D \oplus Q$ , D is invertible and  $\operatorname{acc} \sigma(Q) \subset \{0\}$ . In the present work, we generalize these results by proving that for each successor ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_*(T)$  iff  $T = D \oplus Q$ , D is invertible and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(Q) \subset \{0\}$ . This enables us to characterize the class of operators having a direct decomposition of an invertible operator and an operator with at most countable spectrum. More precisely, we prove that T is an almost invertible operator iff  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}^{\omega_1} \sigma(T)$ . Among other things, we show that if T has a topological uniform descent, then T is Drazin invertible iff it is an almost invertible operator.

# 2 $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible operators

Following [2], an operator  $T \in L(X)$  is called zeroloid if  $\operatorname{acc} \sigma(T) \subset \{0\}$ . The following proposition shows that the product of commuting zeroloid operators is also zeroloid.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $T, S \in L(X)$  such that TS = ST. The following assertions hold: (i) If both T and S are zeroloid, then TS is zeroloid. (ii) If  $0 \in acc \sigma(TS)$ , then  $0 \in acc \sigma(T) \cup acc \sigma(S)$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathcal{A} = \{T, S\}^{"}$  the second commutant of  $\{T, S\}$  (see [15, Definition I.1.23] for the definition). It is well known that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital commutative Banach algebra, and if  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)$  is the spectrum of T in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(T) = \sigma(T)$ .

(i) Assume that T, S are non-zero. As T and S are zeroloid then  $B(0,\epsilon)^C \cap \sigma(T)$  and  $B(0,\epsilon)^C \cap \sigma(S)$ 

are finite sets for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . We deduce, from [15, Theorem I.2.9], that there exist multiplicative functionals  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_m$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  (for the definition of a multiplicative functional, one can see [15, Definition I.2.2]) such that  $B(0, \mu)^C \cap [\sigma(T) \cup \sigma(S)] = \{\phi_1(T), \ldots, \phi_n(T), \psi_1(S), \ldots, \psi_m(S)\}$ , where  $\mu := \frac{\epsilon}{\max\{||T||, ||S||\}}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a multiplicative functional such that  $|\phi(TS)| \geq \epsilon$ . Then  $\min\{|\phi(T)|, |\phi(S)|\} \geq \mu$ , and thus there exist  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$  such that  $\phi(TS) = \phi_i(T)\psi_j(S)$ . Hence  $B(0, \epsilon)^C \cap \sigma(TS)$  is a finite set, and therefore TS is zeroloid.

(ii) If  $0 \in \operatorname{acc} \sigma(TS)$ , then  $B(0,\epsilon) \cap (\sigma(TS) \setminus \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . So there exists a multiplicative functional  $\phi_{\epsilon}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $0 < |\phi_{\epsilon}(TS)| < \epsilon^{2}$ . Hence  $|\phi_{\epsilon}(T)| < \epsilon$  or  $|\phi_{\epsilon}(S)| < \epsilon$ . This implies that  $B(0,\epsilon) \cap ([\sigma(T) \cup \sigma(S)] \setminus \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$ , and then  $0 \in \operatorname{acc} \sigma(T) \cup \operatorname{acc} \sigma(S)$ .

The next definition describes the classes of operators we are going to study.

#### **Definition 2.2.** Let $T \in L(X)$ and let $\alpha$ be an ordinal.

(1) If  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal, we say that T is  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible if there exists  $(M, N) \in \operatorname{Red}(T)$  such that  $T_M$  is invertible and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T_N) \subset \{0\}$ ; where  $\alpha - 1$  is the predecessor of  $\alpha$ .

(2) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, we say that T is  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible if there exists a successor ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$  such that T is  $g^{\beta}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible.

(3) T is called  $g^0_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible if  $0 \notin \sigma_*(T)$ .

The class of  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{G}^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}(X)$ .

**Remark 2.3.** (1) It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{G}^{\alpha}_{\sigma}(X) \subset \mathcal{G}^{\alpha}_{\sigma_b}(X) \subset \mathcal{G}^{\alpha}_{\sigma_d}(X) \subset \mathcal{G}^{\alpha+1}_{\sigma}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}(X) \subset \mathcal{G}^{\beta}_{\sigma_*}(X)$  for all ordinals  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

(2) Let  $\alpha$  be a limit ordinal. It is not difficult to see that T is  $g^{\sigma}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible iff there exists an ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$  such that T is  $g^{\beta}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible. Thus  $\mathcal{G}^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}(X) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{G}^{\beta}_{\sigma_*}(X)$ .

Recall [13] that an operator T is called generalized Drazin invertible if there exists  $(M, N) \in$ Red(T) such that  $T_M$  is invertible and  $\operatorname{acc} \sigma(T_N) \subset \{0\}$ . It was proved that T is generalized Drazin invertible iff  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc} \sigma(T)$ . The following theorem gives a characterization of  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible operators in terms of  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_*(T)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $T \in L(X)$  and let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. Then  $T - \mu I$  is  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible iff  $\mu \notin acc^{\alpha} \sigma_*(T)$ .

Proof. Let us firstly prove that the result is true for all successor ordinals  $\alpha$ . Let  $\mu \notin \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_{*}(T)$ . Since  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_{*}(T - zI) = \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_{*}(T) - z$  for every complex number z, we may assume that  $\mu = 0$ . The case of  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc} \sigma(T)$  is clear. Suppose now that  $0 \in \operatorname{acc} \sigma(T)$ . Then  $0 \in \operatorname{acc} (\prod_{\sigma_{*}}^{\alpha}(T))$ , where  $\prod_{\sigma_{*}}^{\alpha}(T) := \sigma(T) \setminus \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_{*}(T)$ . As  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_{*}(T)$  then  $D(0, \epsilon) \cap [\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_{*}(T) \setminus \{0\}] = \emptyset$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $0 < \mu < v \leq \epsilon$ . The set  $\prod_{\sigma_{*}}^{\alpha}(T)$  is countable, since  $\lambda := \operatorname{CBR}(\sigma(T)) < \omega_{1}$  and  $\prod_{\sigma_{*}}^{\alpha}(T) \subset \sigma(T) \setminus \operatorname{acc}^{\lambda} \sigma(T) = \bigsqcup_{\beta < \lambda} \operatorname{iso} (\operatorname{acc}^{\beta} \sigma(T))$ . Thus there exists  $\mu \leq \epsilon_{(\mu,v)} \leq v$  such that  $C(0, \epsilon_{(\mu,v)}) \cap \sigma(T) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\sigma_{(\mu,v)} := D(0, \epsilon_{(\mu,v)}) \cap \sigma(T) \subset \prod_{\sigma_{*}}^{\alpha}(T) \cup \{0\}$  is a countable spectral set of  $\sigma(T)$ . So there exists  $(M_{(\mu,v)}, N_{(\mu,v)}) \in \operatorname{Red}(T)$  such that  $\sigma(T_{M_{(\mu,v)}}) = \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{(\mu,v)}$  and  $\sigma(T_{N_{(\mu,v)}}) = \sigma_{(\mu,v)}$ . Further, we have  $\sigma_{(\mu,v)} \cap (\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_{*}(T) \setminus \{0\}) = \emptyset$ , and thus  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_{*}(T_{N_{(\mu,v)}}) \subset \mathcal{O}(T_{(\mu,v)}) \subset \mathcal{O}(T_{(\mu,v)}) \subset \mathcal{O}(T_{(\mu,v)}) \subset \mathcal{O}(T_{(\mu,v)}) \subset \mathcal{O}(T_{(\mu,v)}) \subset \mathcal{O}(T_{(\mu,v)})$ . {0}. This proves that T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible. Assume now that  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal. If  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_*(T)$ , then there exists a successor odinal  $\beta < \alpha$  such that  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}^{\beta} \sigma_*(T)$ , which implies by the first case that T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\beta}$ -invertible, and thus T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible.

Conversely, assume that T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible for some ordinal  $\alpha$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal, then there exists  $(M, N) \in \operatorname{Red}(T)$  such that  $T_M$  is invertible and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T_N) \subset \{0\}$ . So there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(0, \epsilon) \setminus \{0\} \subset (\sigma(T_M))^C \cap (\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T_N))^C \subset (\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T_M))^C \cap (\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T_N))^C = (\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T))^C$ . So  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \sigma_*(T)$ . The case of  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal is clear.

The following corollary is a consequence of the previous theorem:

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $T \in L(X)$  and let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. The following statements hold: (i) T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible iff its dual adjoint  $T^*$  is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible. (ii) If S is a bounded operator acts on a complex Banach space Y, then  $S \oplus T$  is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible iff both S and T are  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible.

(iii) T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible iff  $T^m$  is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible for some (equivalently for every) integer  $m \geq 1$ .

Since  $\operatorname{CBR}(\sigma(T)) < \omega_1$  and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\omega} \sigma(T) = \operatorname{acc}^{\omega} \sigma_b(T) = \operatorname{acc}^{\omega} \sigma_d(T)$  for all  $T \in L(X)$ , we deduce, from Theorem 2.4, the following corollary, which in particular shows that the  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertibility is important only for countable ordinals.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $T \in L(X)$ . The following assertions hold:

(i) If  $\alpha \geq \omega_1$  is an ordinal, then T is  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible iff T is  $g^{\beta}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible for some  $\beta < \omega_1$ . (ii) If  $\alpha \geq \omega$ , then T is  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible iff T is  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_{**}}$ -invertible; where  $\sigma_{**} \in \{\sigma, \sigma_b, \sigma_d\}$ .

**Remark 2.7.** Let  $T \in L(X)$  and let

 $\mathcal{C}(T) := \{ (T - zI)_M : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } M \text{ is a closed } T \text{-invariant subspace} \},\$ 

and denote the set of all subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ . One can see from the proof of Theorem 2.4 that if  $\tilde{\sigma} : \mathcal{C}(T) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$  is a mapping that satisfies:

(i)  $\tilde{\sigma}(T_M)$  is closed and  $\tilde{\sigma}(T_M) \subset \sigma(T_M)$  for all closed T-invariant subspace M;

(ii)  $\tilde{\sigma}(T) = \tilde{\sigma}(T_M) \cup \tilde{\sigma}(T_N)$  for all  $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$ ;

(iii)  $\tilde{\sigma}(T - zI) = \tilde{\sigma}(T) - z$  for all complex numbers z;

(iv)  $\operatorname{acc}^{\beta} \sigma(T) \subset \operatorname{acc}^{\gamma} \tilde{\sigma}(T)$  for some ordinals  $\beta, \gamma$ ;

then for all successor ordinal  $\alpha, z \notin \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} \tilde{\sigma}(T)$  iff there exists  $(M, N) \in \operatorname{Red}(T)$  such that  $(T - zI)_M$ is invertible and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \tilde{\sigma}((T - zI)_N) \subset \{0\}$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal and let  $T \in L(X)$  be a  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible. The least ordinal  $\beta$  such that  $0 \notin \operatorname{acc}^{\beta} \sigma_*(T)$  will be called the degree of  $g_{\sigma_*}$ -invertibility of T, denoted by  $\beta := d_{\sigma_*}(T)$ .

**Remark 2.9.** (1) If  $T \in L(X)$  is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible for some ordinal  $\alpha$  and  $0 \in \sigma_*(T)$ , then the degree of  $g_{\sigma_*}$ -invertibility of T is the ordinal  $\beta$  such that  $0 \in \text{iso acc}^{\beta} \sigma_*(T)$ . Moreover, it cannot be a limit ordinal.

(2) Let A be a compact complex subset. The Cantor-Bendixson rank of  $x \in A$  is defined by  $\operatorname{CBR}_A(x) := \max \{ \alpha : x \in \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha} A \}$ . So if  $T \in L(X)$  is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible for some ordinal  $\alpha$  and  $0 \in \sigma_*(T)$ , then  $\operatorname{CBR}_{\sigma_*(T)}(0) = \operatorname{d}_{\sigma_*}(T) - 1 < \omega_1$ .

(3) Clearly, if  $\alpha$  is an ordinal, then

$$d_{\sigma_d}(T) \le d_{\sigma_b}(T) \le d_{\sigma}(T) \le \min \{ \operatorname{CBR}(\sigma_d(T)), d_{\sigma_d}(T) + 1 \}$$

for every  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible operator T, and if  $\omega \leq d_{\sigma}(T)$ , then  $d_{\sigma}(T) = d_{\sigma_b}(T) = d_{\sigma_d}(T)$ .

Remark 2.10. It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.4 that if T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible for some successor ordinal  $\alpha > 0$ , then there exists  $(M, N) \in \operatorname{Red}(T)$  such that  $T_M$  is invertible,  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T_N) \subset \{0\}, \sigma(T_M) \cap \sigma(T_N) = \emptyset$  and  $\sigma(T_N) \setminus \{0\} \subset \Pi_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}(T)$ . So  $\tilde{\sigma} := \sigma(T_N)$  is at most countable spectral set,  $N = \mathcal{R}(P_{\tilde{\sigma}})$  and  $M = \mathcal{N}(P_{\tilde{\sigma}})$ , where  $P_{\tilde{\sigma}}$  is the spectral projection of T corresponding to  $\tilde{\sigma}$ . This entails that the operator  $T_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{D_{\alpha}} := (T_M)^{-1} \oplus 0_N \in \operatorname{comm}^2(T)$ . Clearly,  $T_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{D_{\alpha}}$  is Drazin invertible,  $T_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{D_{\alpha}} = T_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{D_{\alpha}}$  and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T - T^2 T_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{D_{\alpha}}) \subset \{0\}$ . Note also that  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(TP_{\tilde{\sigma}}) \subset \{0\}$  and  $T + P_{\tilde{\sigma}} = T_M \oplus (T + I)_N$  is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha-1}$ -invertible.

For a successor ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and a  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible operator  $T \in L(X)$ , denote by

 $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}\mathcal{D}(T) := \{(M, N) \in \operatorname{Red}(T) \text{ such that } T_M \text{ is invertible and } \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T_N) \subset \{0\}\}.$ 

So  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}(T) \subset g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha+1} \mathcal{D}(T)$ . The following proposition prove that if in addition T is not generalized Drazin invertible, then  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}(T)$  is at least countable.

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  be a successor ordinal. If  $T \in L(X)$  is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible and not generalized Drazin invertible, then there exists  $\{(M_m, N_m)\}_m \subset g_{\sigma_*}^n \mathcal{D}(T)$  such that  $(\sigma(T_{M_m}))_m$  is a strictly increasing sequence,  $(\sigma(T_{N_m}))_m$  is a strictly decreasing sequence and  $\sigma(T_{M_m}) \cap \sigma(T_{N_m}) = \emptyset$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. Since T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible and not generalized Drazin invertible, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $D(0,\epsilon) \cap [\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T) \setminus \{0\}] = \emptyset$ . Moreover, there exists a positive strictly decreasing sequence  $(\epsilon_m)_m \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$ ,  $C(0,\epsilon_m) \cap \sigma(T) = \emptyset$  and  $D(0,\epsilon_{m+1}) \cap \sigma(T) \subsetneq D(0,\epsilon_m) \cap \sigma(T)$ . Denote by  $\sigma_m := D(0,\epsilon_m) \cap \sigma(T)$ . It follows that  $(\sigma_m)_m$  is a strictly decreasing sequence of countable spectral sets of  $\sigma(T)$ . By taking  $(M_m, N_m) = (\mathcal{N}(P_{\sigma_m}), \mathcal{R}(P_{\sigma_m}))$ , where  $P_{\sigma_m}$  is the spectral projection of T corresponding to  $\sigma_m$ , we get the desired result.

Hereafter, denote by  $\operatorname{Red}^2(T) := \{(M, N) \in \operatorname{Red}(S) : S \in \operatorname{comm}(T)\}.$ 

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $\alpha \ge 1$  be a successor ordinal. The following statements are equivalent for  $T \in L(X)$ :

(i) T is  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_{\alpha}}$ -invertible;

- (ii)  $0 \notin acc^{\alpha} \sigma_*(T)$ ;
- (iii) There exists  $(M, N) \in Red^2(T) \cap g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*} \mathcal{D}(T);$
- (iv) There exists a spectral set  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of T such that  $0 \notin \sigma(T) \setminus \tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma} \setminus \{0\} \subset \prod_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}(T)$ ;

(v) There exists a bounded projection  $P \in comm(T)$  such that T + P is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha-1}$ -invertible and  $acc^{\alpha-1}\sigma_*(TP) \subset \{0\};$ 

(vi) There exists a bounded projection  $P \in comm^2(T)$  such that T + P is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha-1}$ -invertible and  $acc^{\alpha-1}\sigma_*(TP) \subset \{0\};$ 

(vii) There exists  $S \in comm(T)$  such that  $S^2T = S$  and  $acc^{\alpha-1}\sigma_*(T - T^2S) \subset \{0\}$ ;

(viii) There exists  $S \in comm^2(T)$  such that  $S^2T = S$  and  $acc^{\alpha-1}\sigma_*(T - T^2S) \subset \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence (i)  $\iff$  (ii) is proved in Theorem 2.4. The implications (i)  $\implies$  (iii), (i)  $\implies$  (iv) and (i)  $\implies$  (vi) are proved in Remark 2.10.

(iv)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i) Let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be a spectral set of T such that  $0 \notin \sigma(T) \setminus \tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma} \setminus \{0\} \subset \Pi^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}(T)$ . There exists  $(M, N) \in \operatorname{Red}(T)$  such that  $\sigma(T_M) = \sigma(T) \setminus \tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\sigma(T_N) = \tilde{\sigma}$ . It is easily seen that  $(M, N) \in g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}\mathcal{D}(T)$ , and then T is  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible.

(iii)  $\implies$  (viii) Let  $(M, N) \in \operatorname{Red}^2(T) \cap g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}(T)$ . The operator  $S = (T_M)^{-1} \oplus 0_N$  is Drazin invertible. Moreover,  $S \in \operatorname{comm}^2(T)$ ,  $TS = I_M \oplus 0_N$ ,  $S^2T = S$  and  $T - T^2S = 0_M \oplus T_N$ . Thus  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha - 1} \sigma_*(T - T^2S) \subset \{0\}.$ 

(vii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i) Suppose that there exists  $S \in \text{comm}(T)$  such that  $S^2T = S$  and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T-T^2S) \subset \{0\}$ . Then TS is a projection and  $(M, N) := (\mathcal{R}(TS), \mathcal{N}(TS)) \in \operatorname{Red}(T) \cap \operatorname{Red}(S)$ . It is not difficult to see that  $T_M$  is invertible and  $S = (T_M)^{-1} \oplus 0_N$ . Thus  $T - T^2S = 0 \oplus T_N$ . Consequently, T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible.

(v)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i) Suppose that there exists a bounded projection  $P \in \text{comm}(T)$  such that T + P is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha-1}$ invertible and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1}\sigma_*(TP) \subset \{0\}$ . Then  $(A, B) := (\mathcal{N}(P), \mathcal{R}(P)) \in \operatorname{Red}(T)$ . Therefore  $T_A$  is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha-1}$ -invertible and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1}\sigma_*(T_B) \subset \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1}\sigma_*(TP) \subset \{0\}$ . Hence there exists  $(C, D) \in \operatorname{Red}(T_A)$ such that  $T_C$  is invertible and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1}\sigma_*(T_D) \subset \{0\}$ . Thus  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1}\sigma_*(T_{D\oplus B}) \subset \{0\}$ , and then T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible. This completes the proof of the theorem.

For  $T \in L(X)$  and for a subspace M of X,  $T_{X/M}$  means the operator induced by T on the quotient space X/M. The next proposition is a consequence of Theorem 2.4 and the fact that  $\sigma_*(T) \subset \sigma_*(T_M) \cup \sigma_*(T_{X/M})$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. Let  $T \in L(X)$  and let M be a closed T-invariant subspace. If both  $T_M$  and  $T_{X/M}$  are  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible, then T is  $g^{\alpha}_{\sigma_*}$ -invertible.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  be a successor ordinal.  $T \in L(X)$  is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible iff there exists a pair of T-invariant subspaces (M, N) such that N is closed,  $X = M \oplus N$ ,  $T_M$  is invertible and  $acc^{\alpha-1}\sigma_*(T_N) \subset \{0\}.$ 

Proof. Let (M, N) be a pair of subspaces such that N is closed,  $X = M \oplus N$ ,  $T_M$  is invertible and  $\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T_N) \subset \{0\}$ . Let  $x \in X$  such that  $T_{X/N}(x+N) = N$ . Then  $Tx \in N$ , and as  $T_M$  is one-to-one then  $x \in N$ . If  $x \in X$ , then the fact that  $T_M$  is onto implies that  $T(y) = P_M(x)$  for some  $y \in M$ ; where  $P_M$  is the projection of X onto M. Thus  $T_{X/N}(y+N) = x+N$  for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore  $T_{X/N}$  is invertible. So there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B(0, \epsilon) \setminus \{0\} \subset (\sigma(T_{X/N}))^C \cap (\operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T_N))^C \subset \operatorname{acc}^{\alpha-1} \sigma_*(T)$ . This entails, by Theorem 2.4, that T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\alpha}$ -invertible. The converse is clear.

## **3** Almost invertible operators

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $T \in L(X)$ . We say that T is almost invertible if there exists  $(M, N) \in \text{Red}(T)$  such that  $T_M$  is invertible and  $\sigma(T_N)$  is at most countable. The set of almost invertible operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{G}(X)$ .

**Remark 3.2.** (i) Let  $T \in L(X)$ . Then  $\sigma(T)$  is at most countable iff  $\sigma_*(T)$  is at most countable. (ii) We can easily see from [15, Theorem I.2.11] that if  $T, S \in L(X)$  are commuting operators with  $\sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma(S)$  are at most countable, then  $\sigma(T+S)$  and  $\sigma(TS)$  are at most countable.

Denote in the sequel by  $\sigma_{al}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not almost invertible}\}$ . As a consequence of the previous remark and the fact that  $\operatorname{acc} \sigma(T) \subset \sigma_*(T)$ , we obtain the following result, which characterizes almost invertible operators in terms of  $\operatorname{acc}^{\omega_1} \sigma_*(T)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $T \in L(X)$  and let  $r_* := CBR(\sigma_*(T))$ . Then T is almost invertible iff T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\omega_1}$ -invertible iff T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{r_*}$ -invertible. Consequently,  $\mathcal{G}(X) = \mathcal{G}_{\sigma_*}^{\omega_1}(X) = \mathcal{G}_{\sigma_*}^{r_*}(X)$  and  $\sigma_{al}(T) = acc^{\omega_1} \sigma_*(T) = acc^{\omega_1} \sigma_*(T)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is a consequence of the fact that a compact complex subset A is at most countable iff  $\operatorname{acc}^r A = \emptyset$ ; where  $r := \operatorname{CBR}(A)$  is a successor ordinal in the case of A is not empty.

From this proposition, it follows that  $\sigma_{al}(T)$  is compact and

$$\sigma_*(T) \setminus \sigma_{al}(T) = \bigsqcup_{\beta < \omega_1} \operatorname{iso} (\operatorname{acc}^\beta \sigma_*(T)).$$

For an almost invertible operator  $T \in L(X)$ , denote in the sequel by:

 $\mathcal{D}_{al}(T) := \{(M, N) \in \operatorname{Red}(T) \text{ such that } T_M \text{ is invertible and } \sigma(T_N) \text{ is at most countable} \}.$ 

Using Theorems 2.12, 2.14, 3.3, we obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.4.** The following statements hold for every  $T \in L(X)$ :

(i) T is almost invertible;

(ii) T is  $g_{\sigma_*}^{\omega_1}$ -invertible;

(iii)  $0 \notin acc^{\omega_1} \sigma_*(T);$ 

(iv) There exists  $(M, N) \in Red^2(T) \cap \mathcal{D}_{al}(T)$ ;

(v) There exists a pair of T-invariant subspaces (M, N) such that N is closed,  $X = M \oplus N$ ,  $T_M$  is invertible and  $\sigma_*(T_N)$  is at most countable;

(vi) There exists an at most countable spectral set  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of T such that  $0 \notin \sigma(T) \setminus \tilde{\sigma}$ ;

(vii) There exists a spectral set  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of T such that  $0 \notin \sigma(T) \setminus \tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma} \setminus \{0\} \subset \bigsqcup_{\beta < \omega_1} iso(acc^{\beta} \sigma(T));$ 

(viii) There exists a bounded projection  $P \in comm(T)$  such that T + P is almost invertible and  $\sigma_*(TP)$  is at most countable;

(ix) There exists a bounded projection  $P \in comm^2(T)$  such that T + P is almost invertible and  $\sigma_*(TP)$  is at most countable;

- (x) There exists  $S \in comm(T)$  such that  $S^2T = S$  and  $\sigma_*(T T^2S)$  is at most countable;
- (xi) There exists  $S \in comm^2(T)$  such that  $S^2T = S$  and  $\sigma_*(T T^2S)$  is at most countable.

Recall that an operator  $R \in L(X)$  is called Riesz if  $\sigma_b(R) \subset \{0\}$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $T \in L(X)$ . The following statements hold:

(i) T is almost invertible iff  $T^*$  is almost invertible.

(ii) If S is a bounded operator acts on a complex Banach space Y, then  $T \oplus S$  is almost invertible iff both T and S are almost invertible.

(iii) T is almost invertible iff  $T^m$  is almost invertible for some (equivalently for every) integer  $m \ge 1$ .

(iv) Let M be a closed T-invariant subspace. If both  $T_M$  and  $T_{X/M}$  are almost invertible, then T is almost invertible.

(v) If  $R \in comm(T)$  is a Riesz operator, then  $\sigma_{al}(T+R) = \sigma_{al}(T)$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $T, R \in L(X)$  be almost invertible operators such that TR = RT = 0. Then T + R is almost invertible.

Proof. Let  $S \in \text{comm}^2(T)$  and  $S' \in \text{comm}^2(R)$  such that  $S^2T = S$ ,  $(S')^2R = S'$ ,  $\sigma_*(T - T^2S)$  and  $\sigma_*(R - R^2S')$  are at most countable. It is easy to see that  $(S + S') \in \text{comm}^2(T)$ ,  $(S + S')^2(T + R) = S^2T + (S')^2T = S + S'$  and  $(T + R) - (T + R)^2(S + S') = T - T^2S + R - R^2S'$ . We conclude from Remark 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 that T + R is almost countable.

According to [9], an operator T is said to have a topological uniform descent if  $\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^d)$ is closed for some integer d and  $\mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^n) = \mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{N}(T^n)$  for all  $n \ge d$ . For the definition of the SVEP, one can see [10].

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $T \in L(X)$ . The following assertions hold:

(i) If  $0 \notin acc^{\omega_1} \sigma(T)$ , then T and its dual adjoint  $T^*$  have the SVEP at 0.

(ii) If T has a topological uniform descent, then T is Drazin invertible iff T is almost invertible.

*Proof.* The point (i) is a consequence of Theorem 3.3, and the point (ii) is a consequence of [10, Theorems 3.2, 3.4].

In the next corollary, we denote, respectively, the essential spectrum and the B-Fredholm spectrum of  $T \in L(X)$  by  $\sigma_e(T)$  and  $\sigma_{bf}(T)$ . For the definition and the properties of the B-Fredholm spectrum, one can see [3, 4].

**Corollary 3.8.**  $\sigma_b(T) = \sigma_e(T) \cup acc^{\omega_1} \sigma_*(T)$  and  $\sigma_d(T) = \sigma_{bf}(T) \cup acc^{\omega_1} \sigma_*(T)$ , for all  $T \in L(X)$ .

## References

 O. Abad, H. Zguitti, A note on the generalized Drazin-Riesz invertible operators, Ann. Funct. Anal. (2017), 12–55.

- [2] Z. Aznay, A. Ouahab, H. Zariouh, On the g<sub>z</sub>-Kato decomposition and generalization of Koliha Drazin invertibility, to appear in Filomat (2022).
- [3] Z. Aznay, A. Ouahab, H. Zariouh, On the index of pseudo B-Fredholm operator, Monatsh. Math. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-022-01798-8.
- [4] M. Berkani, On a class of quasi-Fredholm operators, Integer. equ. oper. theory, 34 (1999), 244-249.
- [5] M. Berkani, On the p-invertibility in rings and Banach algebras, Aequat. Math. (2022).
- [6] A. Dajic, J. Koliha, The  $\sigma_g$ -Drazin inverse and the generalized Mbekhta decomposition, Integr. equ. oper. theory. **99** (2006), 1–26.
- [7] M. P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverse in associative rings and semigroups, Amer. Math. Monthly 65 (1958), 506–514.
- [8] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, M.A. Kaashoek, *Classes of linear operators*, I, Birkhäuser (1990).
- [9] S. Grabiner, Uniform ascent and descent of bounded operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 34 (1982), 317–337.
- [10] Q.F. Jiang, H.J. Zhong, Q.P. Zeng, Topological uniform descent and localized SVEP, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 390 (2012), 355–361.
- [11] M.A. Kaashoek, Ascent, Descent, Nullity and Defect, a Note on a Paper by A. E. Taylor, Math. Annalen, 172 (1967), 105–115.
- [12] A.S. Kechris, Classical Descriptive Set Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 156, Springer-Verlag, (1995).
- [13] J. Koliha, A generalized Drazin inverse, Glasgow Mathematical Journal. 38 (1996), 367–381.
- [14] J. P. Labrousse, Les opérateurs quasi-Fredholm: une généralisation des opérateurs semi Fredholm, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo, 29 (1980), 161–258.
- [15] V. Müller, Spectral Theory of Linear Operators and Spectral Systems in Banach Algebra, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2nd edn, (2007).
- [16] C. Schmoeger, On isolated points of the spectrum of a bounded linear operator, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (2003), 715–719.

### Almost invertible operators

Zakariae Aznay, Laboratory (L.A.N.O), Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mohammed I University, Oujda 60000 Morocco. aznay.zakariae@ump.ac.ma

Abdelmalek Ouahab, Laboratory (L.A.N.O), Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mohammed I University, Oujda 60000 Morocco. ouahab05@yahoo.fr

Hassan Zariouh, Department of Mathematics (CRMEFO), and laboratory (L.A.N.O), Faculty of Science, Mohammed I University, Oujda 60000 Morocco. h.zariouh@yahoo.fr