

On Tuza's conjecture in co-chain graphs

Luis Chahua^{a,1,*}, Juan Gutiérrez^{a,1,2}

^a*Departamento de Ciencia de la Computación, Universidad de Ingeniería y Tecnología (UTEC), Lima, Perú*

Abstract

In 1981, Tuza conjectured that the cardinality of a minimum set of edges that intersects every triangle of a graph is at most twice the cardinality of a maximum set of edge-disjoint triangles. This conjecture has been proved for several important graph classes, as planar graphs, tripartite graphs, among others. However, it remains open on other important classes of graphs, as chordal graphs. Furthermore, it remains open for main subclasses of chordal graphs, as split graphs and interval graphs. In this paper, we show that Tuza's conjecture is valid for co-chain graphs with even number of vertices in both sides of the partition, a known subclass of interval graphs.

Keywords: co-chain, chordal, triangle, packing, hitting-set, transversal.

1. Introduction

In this paper, all graphs considered are simple and the notation and terminology are standard. A *triangle hitting* of a graph G is a set of edges of G whose removal results in a triangle-free graph; and a *triangle packing* of G is a set of edge-disjoint triangles of G . We denote by $\tau(G)$ (resp. $\nu(G)$) the cardinality of a minimum triangle hitting (resp. maximum triangle packing) of G . Tuza posed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 ([11]). *For every graph G , we have $\tau(G) \leq 2\nu(G)$.*

This conjecture was verified for many classes of graphs [2, 5, 7, 8, 12]. However, Tuza's conjecture remains open for several important graph classes, as chordal graphs. Botler *et al.* showed that Tuza's conjecture is valid for K_8 -free chordal graphs [2, Corollary 3.6]. But the conjecture is still open for several other important subclasses of chordal graphs, as split graphs and interval graphs.

In this direction, Bonamy *et al.* [1] verified this conjecture for threshold graphs, that is, graphs that are both split graphs and cographs. Another important subclass of chordal graphs are interval graphs. Several algorithmic and structural problems have been studied in co-chain graphs [3, 9], a known subclass of unit-interval graphs [4, Theorem 9.1.2]. Bonamy *et al.* showed Conjecture 1 is valid for co-chain graphs with both sides of the same size divisible by 4. In this paper, we omit the restriction of equality between the sizes of the partition. Moreover, we show that Tuza's conjecture is valid for every co-chain graph when both sides are divisible by 2.

2. Preliminaries

Given a graph G and a vertex v in G , we denote by $N(v)$ the set of neighbors of v . If $X \subseteq V(G)$, then we denote by $G[X]$ the subgraph induced by X . A *co-bipartite* graph is a graph whose complement is

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: luis.chahua@utec.edu.pe (Luis Chahua), jgutierrez@utec.edu.pe (Juan Gutiérrez)

¹This research has been partially supported by Fondo Semilla UTEC, Problemas estructurales en Teoría de Grafos. 871075-2022.

²This research has been partially supported by Movilizaciones para Investigación AmSud, PLANarity and distance IN Graph theory. E070-2021-01-Nro.6997.

bipartite. That is, if G is a co-bipartite graph, then there is a partition $\{K_1, K_2\}$ of $V(G)$ such that $G[K_1]$ and $G[K_2]$ are cliques. We denote a co-bipartite graph G with such partition as $G[K_1, K_2]$. A *co-chain* graph is a co-bipartite graph in which the neighborhoods of the vertices in each side can be linearly ordered with respect to inclusion. That is, if $G[K_1, K_2]$ is a co-chain graph, we can rename the vertices of K_1 as $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{|K_1|}$ and the vertices of K_2 as $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{|K_2|}$ such that $N(c_{i+1}) \subseteq N(c_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, |K_1| - 1$ and $N(d_i) \subseteq N(d_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, |K_2| - 1$.

Given a co-chain graph $G[K_1, K_2]$, Bonamy *et al.* [1] showed that if $|K_1| = |K_2|$ and $|K_1|$ is divisible by four, then $\tau(G) \leq 2\nu(G)$ (they named this class of co-chain graphs, *even balanced co-chain graphs*). In this paper we show that in fact Tuza's conjecture is valid for any co-chain graph with both sizes multiple of two. Note that we also omit the restriction of equality between $|K_1|$ and $|K_2|$.

Theorem 1. *If $G[K_1, K_2]$ is a co-chain graph where $|K_1|$ and $|K_2|$ are even, then $\tau(G) \leq 2\nu(G)$.*

Given a graph G and two sets of vertices S and K , we let $p_G(S, K)$ be a maximum triangle packing in $G[K \cup S]$ among those that do not consider any edge in $G[S]$ and let $p_G(K)$ be a maximum triangle packing in $G[K]$. If the context is clear, we denote them by $p(S, K)$ and $p(K)$, respectively. We say that two set of vertices in a graph are *complete to each other* if they are disjoint and every vertex in one set is adjacent to any vertex of the other set.

For our proof, we will use two known results.

Proposition 1 (see [1, Corollary 5]). *Let K be a clique and S be an independent set such that they are complete to each other in a graph G . Then $|p(S, K)| \geq \frac{|K|-1}{2} \cdot \min\{|S|, |K|\}$. Moreover, if $|K|$ is even, then $|p(S, K)| \geq \frac{|K|}{2} \cdot \min\{|S|, |K| - 1\}$.*

Proposition 2 ([6, Theorem 2]). $|p(K_n)| = \frac{1}{3}(\binom{n}{2} - k)$ where

$$k = \begin{cases} 0 & : n \bmod 6 \in \{1, 3\} \\ 4 & : n \bmod 6 = 5 \\ \frac{n}{2} & : n \bmod 6 \in \{0, 2\} \\ \frac{n}{2} + 1 & : n \bmod 6 = 4. \end{cases}$$

Observe that, by Proposition 2, $|p(K_n)| \geq \frac{1}{3}(\binom{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{3}{2})$ for every $n \geq 1$, $|p(K_n)| \geq \frac{1}{3}(\binom{n}{2} - 4)$ for every odd n , and $|p(K_n)| \geq \frac{1}{3}(\binom{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2} - 1)$ for every $n \neq 5$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

For the rest of this section, we fix a co-chain graph $G[K_\ell, K_m]$. Also we define the vertices of K_ℓ as $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{|K_\ell|}$ and the vertices of K_m as $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{|K_m|}$ such that $N(c_{i+1}) \subseteq N(c_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, |K_\ell| - 1$ and $N(d_i) \subseteq N(d_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, |K_m| - 1$. Recall that K_ℓ and K_m have size divisible by 2. We abuse notation and let $\ell := |K_\ell|/2$, and $m := |K_m|/2$.

As in [1], we let $K_\ell^{\text{top}}, K_\ell^{\text{bot}}$ be the top and the bottom half of K_ℓ , respectively, and similarly K_m^{top} and K_m^{bot} be the top and the bottom half of K_m . Let $X_\ell \subseteq K_\ell, X_m \subseteq K_m$ be the sets defined as follows: $c \in X_\ell$ if $K_\ell^{\text{bot}} \subseteq N(c)$, and $d \in X_m$ if $K_m^{\text{top}} \subseteq N(d)$. We set $x_\ell = |X_\ell|$ and $x_m = |X_m|$ (Figure 1). As G is a co-chain graph, $x_\ell \geq \ell$ implies that the set K_ℓ^{top} is complete to K_m^{bot} . Consequently, $x_m \geq m$. Similarly, $x_m \geq m$ implies that $x_\ell \geq \ell$. Therefore, $x_\ell \geq \ell$ if and only if $x_m \geq m$. The rest of the proof is divided in two cases, whether $x_\ell \geq \ell$ or not.

3.1. The case $x_\ell \geq \ell$.

We define a triangle hitting T_1 by the union of all edges within $K_\ell^{\text{top}}, K_\ell^{\text{bot}}, K_m^{\text{top}}, K_m^{\text{bot}}$ as well as all edges between K_ℓ^{top} and K_m^{bot} , and between K_ℓ^{bot} and K_m^{top} . We have

$$|T_1| \leq \ell m + (x_\ell - \ell)(x_m - m) + 2\binom{m}{2} + 2\binom{\ell}{2}.$$




Figure 2: Packing $P_2 := p(K_m^{bot} \cup X_\ell) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top})$.

We define a triangle packing P_3 as follows (Figure 3).

$$P_3 := p(X_\ell, K_m^{bot}) \cup p(X_m \setminus K_m^{bot}, K_\ell^{top}) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}).$$




Figure 3: Packing $P_3 := p(X_\ell, K_m^{bot}) \cup p(X_m \setminus K_m^{bot}, K_\ell^{top}) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot})$.

Note that

$$2|P_3| - |T_1| \geq (m-1) \cdot \min\{x_\ell, m\} + (\ell-1) \cdot \min\{x_m - m, \ell\} - \ell m - (x_\ell - \ell)(x_m - m). \quad (1)$$

Case 1: $x_\ell \leq m$.

In this case, by (1),

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P_3| - |T_1| &\geq (m-1) \cdot x_\ell + (\ell-1) \cdot \min\{x_m - m, \ell\} - \ell m - (x_\ell - \ell)(x_m - m) \\ &\geq (x_\ell - \ell) \cdot (2m - x_m) + (\ell-1) \cdot \min\{x_m - m, \ell\} - x_\ell. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

First, suppose that $\min\{x_m - m, \ell\} = \ell$. If $x_m < 2m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P_3| - |T_1| &\geq (x_\ell - \ell) \cdot (2m - x_m) + (\ell-1) \cdot \ell - x_\ell \\ &\geq (x_\ell - \ell) + (\ell-1) \cdot \ell - x_\ell \\ &\geq (\ell-2) \cdot \ell \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, if $x_m = 2m$, then, by (2), $2|P_3| - |T_1| \geq (\ell-1) \cdot \ell - x_\ell \geq (\ell-3) \cdot \ell$, which is nonnegative when $\ell \geq 3$. Hence, we may assume that $\ell = 2$. Also, we may assume that $m \geq 3$ due to Theorem 1.2 of [10]. So, $2|P_3| - |T_1| \geq 2 - x_\ell$, which is nonnegative when $x_\ell = 2$. For $x_\ell \geq 3$, we define a packing P_4 as follows (Figure 4).

$$P_4 := p(K_\ell^{top} \cup X_m) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}).$$




Figure 4: Packing $P_4 := p(K_\ell^{top} \cup X_m) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot})$. Note that we use P_4 when $|X_m| = 2m$.

Note that, by Proposition 2, since $2m + 2 \neq 5$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P_4| - |T_1| &\geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\binom{2m+2}{2} - m - 2 \right) - m^2 - m(x_\ell - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (m^2 - 2 - m(3x_\ell - 7)). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

If $x_\ell = 3$, then, by (3), we have that $2|P_4| - |T_1| \geq \frac{1}{3}(m^2 - 2m - 2) \geq 1/3$. For $x_\ell = 4$, we have, by (3), that $2|P_4| - |T_1| \geq \frac{1}{3}(m^2 - 5m - 2)$, which is at least $-2/3$ for $m \geq 5$. Hence, we may assume that $m \leq 4$. If $m = 3$, then, we have that $2|P_2| - |T_1| \geq 0$. For $m = 4$, we will choose a packing P_5 as follows.

$$P_5 := p(K_\ell^{top} \cup X_m).$$

Then, $|P_5| = \frac{1}{3}(\binom{10}{2} - \frac{10}{2} - 1) = 13$. Note that there exist at least one edge in $K_\ell^{top} \cup X_m$ which is not selected in any triangle of P_5 . Without loss of generality, we may assume that c_1c_2 is such edge. Let us define a triangle packing P'_5 as follows.

$$P'_5 := P_5 \cup \{c_1c_2c_3, c_3c_4d_8\}.$$

Thus, $2|P'_5| - |T_1| \geq 0$.

Now suppose that $\min\{x_m - m, \ell\} = x_m - m$. In that case,

$$2|P_3| - |T_1| \geq (x_\ell - \ell) \cdot (2m - x_m) + (\ell - 1) \cdot (x_m - m) - x_\ell.$$

Note that, if $x_\ell - \ell \geq 1$, then, as $\ell \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P_3| - |T_1| &\geq 2m - x_m + (x_m - m) - x_\ell \\ &\geq m - x_\ell \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may assume that $x_\ell = \ell$.

First, suppose that $\ell + m = 5$. Since $m \geq \ell \geq 2$, then $m = 3$ and $\ell = 2$. So, we have that $|T_1| = 14$. For this case, we will choose a packing P_6 defined as follows.

$$P_6 := p(K_\ell) \cup p(K_m).$$

Note that there exists at least one edge in K_ℓ which is not selected in any triangle of P_6 . Without loss of generality, we may assume that c_1c_2 is such edge. Analogously, we may assume that d_5d_6 does not lie in any triangle of P_6 . Hence, $P_6 \cup \{c_1c_2d_4, c_1d_5d_6\}$ has size 7. Since $|T_1| = 14$, the statement follows.

Hence, we may assume that $\ell + m \neq 5$. We define a triangle packing P_7 as follows (Figure 5).

$$P_7 := p(X_\ell \cup K_m^{bot}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}).$$




Figure 5: Packing $P_7 := p(X_\ell \cup K_m^{bot}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top})$. Note that we use P_7 when $X_\ell = K_\ell^{top}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2|P_7| - |T_1| &\geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\binom{\ell+m}{2} - \frac{\ell+m}{2} - 1 \right) - \ell m \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} (\ell^2 + m^2 - \ell m - 2(\ell+m) - 2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} ((m-\ell)^2 + (m-2)(\ell-2) - 6).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

First, suppose that $m-\ell \geq 2$, then, by (4), we have that $2|P_7| - |T_1| \geq -\frac{2}{3}$. Suppose now that $m-\ell = 1$. Then, $m+\ell = 2\ell+1$, which is odd. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 2|P_7| - |T_1| &\geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\binom{2\ell+1}{2} - 4 \right) - \ell \cdot (\ell+1) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{3} (\ell^2 - \ell - 8).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $m+\ell \neq 5$, then $\ell \geq 3$. So $2|P_7| - |T_1| \geq -\frac{2}{3}$.

Finally, if $m-\ell = 0$, then, when $\ell = 2$, by Theorem 1.2 of [10], the statement follows. So, we may assume that $\ell \geq 3$. Note that, by (4), $2|P_7| - |T_1| \geq -\frac{2}{3}$ when $\ell \geq 4$. Hence, we may assume that $\ell = 3$. In that situation, we have that $\ell = m = x_\ell = 3$. Now, if $x_m = 3$, we have that $|P_7| = \binom{3}{2} + \binom{3}{2} + \frac{1}{3}(\binom{6}{2} - 3) = 10$. Now, in T_1 , note that we can delete the edge c_3d_4 because all triangles in which c_3d_4 lies have their third vertex in either K_ℓ^{top} or K_m^{bot} . Thus, $|T_1 \setminus \{c_3d_4\}| \leq 2\binom{3}{2} + 2\binom{3}{2} + 9 - 1 = 20$ and $2|P_7| - |T_1 \setminus \{c_3d_4\}| \geq 0$. Hence, we may assume that $x_m \geq 4$. We define a triangle packing P_8 as follows.

$$P_8 := p(X_\ell \cup K_m^{bot} \cup \{d_3\}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top} \setminus \{d_3\}).$$

Then, $|P_8| = \frac{1}{3}\binom{7}{2} + \binom{3}{2} + \binom{2}{2} = 11$, so $2|P_8| - |T_1| \geq 1$.

Case 2: $x_\ell > m$.

We divide this case in two subcases, depending on the relationship between $x_m - m$ and ℓ .

Case 2.1: $x_m \leq m + \ell$.

In this case, $\min\{x_m - m, \ell\} = x_m - m$, so, by (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 2|P_3| - |T_1| &\geq (m-1) \cdot m + (\ell-1) \cdot (x_m - m) - \ell m - (x_\ell - \ell)(x_m - m) \\
 &= m \cdot (m - \ell - 1) + (x_m - m) \cdot (2\ell - 1 - x_\ell).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Now, if $m-\ell \geq 2$, then, by (5), $2|P_3| - |T_1| \geq m + (x_m - m)(2\ell - 1 - x_\ell) \geq m + m - x_m \geq 0$. Hence, we may assume that $m-\ell \leq 1$.

If $m - \ell = 1$, then, by (5), $2|P_3| - |T_1| \geq (x_m - m) \cdot (2\ell - 1 - x_\ell)$, which is nonnegative if $x_\ell < 2\ell$. So, let us assume that $x_\ell = 2\ell$. Note that, since $3\ell + 1 \neq 5$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P_2| - |T_1| &\geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\binom{3\ell+1}{2} - \frac{3\ell+1}{2} - 1 \right) - \ell \cdot (\ell+1) - 2 \binom{\ell}{2} - (x_m - m) \cdot \ell \\ &= \ell^2 - 1 - \ell \cdot (x_m - m). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

If $x_m - m \leq \ell - 1$, then, by (6), we have that $2|P_2| - |T_1| \geq 0$. As $x_m - m \leq \ell$, we can assume that $x_m = m + \ell = 2m - 1$.

Note that if the total number of edges between $X_\ell \setminus K_\ell^{top}$ and $X_m \setminus K_m^{bot}$ is less than $(x_m - m) \cdot (x_\ell - \ell)$, then $|T_1| \leq \ell m + (x_\ell - \ell)(x_m - m) - 1 + 2 \binom{m}{2} + 2 \binom{\ell}{2}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P_2| - |T_1| &\geq \ell^2 - \ell \cdot (x_m - m) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may assume that $X_\ell \setminus K_\ell^{top}$ and $X_m \setminus K_m^{bot}$ are complete to each other. We define a triangle packing P_9 as follows.

$$P_9 := p(X_\ell \cup X_m).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P_9| - |T_1| &\geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\binom{4\ell+1}{2} - 4 \right) - 4\ell^2 - \ell \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (4\ell^2 + \ell - 8) \\ &\geq \frac{10}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $m - \ell = 0$, let us assume that ℓ is odd because the case when ℓ is even follows by Theorem 2 of [1]. Thus $\ell \geq 3$. Now, we define a triangle packing P_{10} as follows (Figure 6).

$$P_{10} := p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_\ell^{top}) \cup p(X_\ell \setminus K_\ell^{top}, K_m^{bot}).$$




Figure 6: Packing $P_{10} := p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_\ell^{top}) \cup p(X_\ell \setminus K_\ell^{top}, K_m^{bot})$.

Also, since ℓ is odd, there exists a perfect matching between K_m^{bot} and K_ℓ^{top} that was not used in any triangle of P_{10} [1, Lemma 4]. The same happens between K_m^{bot} and K_m^{top} . As the edges between K_ℓ^{top} and $X_m \setminus K_m^{bot}$ were not used, we can obtain $x_m - m = x_m - \ell$ more triangles for P_{10} . Let P'_{10} be the resulting packing. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P'_{10}| - |T_1| &\geq 2 \binom{\ell}{2} + (\ell - 1) \cdot \min\{x_\ell - \ell, \ell\} + 2(x_m - \ell) - \ell^2 - (x_\ell - \ell) \cdot (x_m - \ell) \\ &= (\ell - 1) \cdot (x_\ell - \ell) + 2x_m - 3\ell - (x_\ell - \ell)(x_m - \ell) \\ &= (x_\ell - \ell - 1) \cdot (2\ell - 1 - x_m) + x_m - \ell - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

If $x_\ell > \ell + 1$, then, by (7), $2|P'_{10}| - |T_1| \geq \ell - 2 \geq 0$. Since $x_\ell > m$, we may assume that $x_\ell = \ell + 1$. In that case, by (7), if $x_m > \ell$ then $2|P_{10}| - |T_1| \geq x_m - \ell - 1 \geq 0$. So, we can assume that $x_m = \ell$. We define a triangle packing P_{11} as follows (Figure 7).

$$P_{11} := p(X_\ell \cup K_m^{bot}) \cup p(X_\ell, K_\ell \setminus X_\ell) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}).$$




Figure 7: Packing $P_{11} := p(X_\ell \cup K_m^{bot}) \cup p(X_\ell, K_\ell \setminus X_\ell) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top})$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P_{11}| - |T_1| &\geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\binom{2\ell+1}{2} - 4 \right) + (\ell-1) \cdot (\ell-2) - \ell(\ell-1) - \ell^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{3}(\ell^2 - 4\ell - 2). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that, by (8), if $\ell \geq 5$, then $2|P_{11}| - |T_1| \geq 1$. Hence, we may assume that $\ell = 3$. Since $2\ell + 1 = 7$, we have $2|P_{11}| - |T_1| \geq \frac{2}{3} \binom{2\ell+1}{2} + (\ell-1)(\ell-2) - \ell(\ell-1) - \ell^2 = 1$, and the proof follows.

Case 2.2: $x_m > m + \ell$.

First, note that $m > \ell$ because, if $m = \ell$, then $x_m > 2m$, which is a contradiction. Now, let us define a triangle packing P_{12} as follows.

$$P_{12} := p(K_m^{bot}, X_\ell) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, X_m \setminus K_m^{bot}) \cup p(K_m^{top}, K_m^{bot}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P_{12}| - |T_1| &\geq (x_\ell - 1) \cdot \min\{m, x_\ell\} + (x_m - m - 1) \cdot \min\{\ell, x_m - m\} \\ &\quad - \ell m - (x_\ell - \ell)(x_m - m) - \ell(\ell - 1) \\ &= (x_\ell - 1) \cdot m + (x_m - m - 1) \cdot \ell - \ell m - (x_\ell - \ell)(x_m - m) - \ell(\ell - 1) \\ &= (x_m - m)(2\ell - x_\ell) - \ell^2 - \ell m - m + mx_\ell \\ &\geq (\ell + 1)(2\ell - x_\ell) - \ell^2 - \ell m - m + mx_\ell \\ &= \ell^2 + 2\ell - m - \ell m + x_\ell \cdot (m - \ell - 1) \\ &\geq \ell^2 + 2\ell - m - \ell m + (m + 1) \cdot (m - \ell - 1) \\ &= (m - \ell)^2 - (m - \ell) - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

If $m - \ell \geq 2$, then, by (9), $2|P_{12}| - |T_1| \geq 1$ and we are done. Hence, we may assume that $m - \ell = 1$. Since $x_m \geq m + \ell + 1$ and $x_m \leq 2m$, we have $x_m = 2m$.

Now, if $x_\ell = 2\ell$, then, since x_ℓ is even, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P_{12}| - |T_1| &\geq x_\ell \cdot \min\{m, x_\ell - 1\} + (x_m - m - 1) \cdot \min\{\ell, x_m - m\} \\ &\quad - \ell m - (x_\ell - \ell)(x_m - m) - \ell(\ell - 1) \\ &= 2\ell \cdot (\ell + 1) + \ell \cdot \ell - \ell(\ell + 1) - \ell(\ell + 1) - \ell(\ell - 1) \\ &= \ell \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may assume that $x_\ell \leq 2\ell - 1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2|P_4| - |T_1| &\geq \frac{2}{3} \left(\binom{3\ell+2}{2} - \frac{3\ell+2}{2} - 1 \right) - 2 \binom{m}{2} - \ell m - m \cdot (x_\ell - \ell) \\
&= \frac{3\ell^2 - 2}{3} - (\ell + 1)(x_\ell - \ell) \\
&\geq \frac{3\ell^2 - 2}{3} - (\ell + 1)(\ell - 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

With that, we finish the case $x_\ell \geq \ell$.

3.2. The case $x_\ell < \ell$.

Let $K_1 = K_\ell^{top} \cup X_m$ and $K_2 = K_m^{bot} \cup X_\ell$. Note that $|K_1| = \ell + x_m$ and $|K_2| = m + x_\ell$. So, without loss of generality, let us suppose that $|K_2| \geq |K_1|$.

Let

$$P_{13} := p(K_2) \cup p(X_\ell \cup X_m, K_\ell^{top} \setminus X_\ell) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}).$$

Then

$$|P_{13}| \geq \frac{1}{3} \left(\binom{m+x_\ell}{2} - \frac{(m+x_\ell)}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}(\ell - x_\ell - 1) \cdot \min\{x_m + x_\ell, \ell - x_\ell\} + \binom{m}{2} + \binom{\ell}{2}.$$

We choose a triangle hitting T_2 by taking all edges within K_ℓ^{top} , K_ℓ^{bot} , K_m^{top} , K_m^{bot} as well as all edges between X_ℓ and K_m^{bot} , and between X_m and K_ℓ^{top} . Note that

$$|T_2| = 2 \binom{m}{2} + 2 \binom{\ell}{2} + mx_\ell + \ell x_m - x_\ell x_m.$$

Hence,

$$6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| \geq (m+x_\ell)(m+x_\ell-2) - 3 + 3(\ell-x_\ell-1) \cdot \min\{x_m+x_\ell, \ell-x_\ell\} - 3mx_\ell - 3\ell x_m + 3x_\ell x_m. \quad (10)$$

We divide the rest of the proof in two cases.

3.2.1. The subcase $x_m + x_\ell < \ell - x_\ell$.

By (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| &\geq (m+x_\ell)(m+x_\ell-2) - 3 + 3(\ell-x_\ell-1) \cdot (x_m+x_\ell) - 3mx_\ell - 3\ell x_m + 3x_\ell x_m \\
&= m^2 - 2m - mx_\ell - 3 - (2x_\ell^2 + 5x_\ell + 3x_m - 3\ell x_\ell).
\end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Since $|K_2| \geq |K_1|$ and $x_m + x_\ell < \ell - x_\ell$, we have

$$\ell + x_m \leq m + x_\ell, \quad (12)$$

and

$$2x_\ell + x_m + 1 \leq \ell. \quad (13)$$

By adding 2·(12) to 1.5·(13), we have

$$2m - x_\ell \geq \frac{7}{2}x_m + \frac{\ell}{2} + \frac{3}{2}.$$

Since $\ell \geq 2$, we have

$$2m - x_\ell - 2 \geq \frac{7}{2}x_m. \quad (14)$$

Using (14) and (13) in (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| &\geq m^2 - 2m - mx_\ell - 3 - (2x_\ell^2 + 5x_\ell + 3x_m - 3\ell x_\ell) \\ &= \left(m - \frac{(2+x_\ell)}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{(2+x_\ell)^2}{4} - 3 - (2x_\ell^2 + 5x_\ell + 3x_m - 3\ell x_\ell) \\ &\geq \frac{(7x_m)^2}{16} - \frac{(2+x_\ell)^2}{4} - 3 - (2x_\ell^2 + 5x_\ell + 3x_m - 3\ell x_\ell) \\ &= \frac{49x_m^2}{16} - \frac{9x_\ell^2}{4} - 6x_\ell - 4 - 3x_m + 3\ell x_\ell \\ &\geq \frac{49x_m^2}{16} - \frac{9x_\ell^2}{4} - 6x_\ell - 4 - 3x_m + 3(2x_\ell + x_m + 1)x_\ell \\ &= \left(\frac{49x_m^2}{16} - 3x_m\right) + \left(\frac{15x_\ell^2}{4} - 3x_\ell\right) + 3x_mx_\ell - 4. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Note that, since $2|P_{13}| - |T_2|$ is integer, it suffices to prove that $6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| > -3$. First suppose that $x_m \geq 2$, then, by (16), $6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| \geq 4(\frac{49}{16}) - 6 - 4 = \frac{9}{4}$. Hence, we may assume that $x_m \leq 1$. So, if $x_m = 1$, then, by (16) we have that $6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| > -3$ for $x_\ell \geq 1$. For $x_\ell = 0$ we have, by (15), that $6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| \geq m^2 - 2m - 6$, which is nonnegative for $m \geq 4$. Hence, we may assume that $m \leq 3$. Note that, by (12), $m \geq \ell + 1$ and by (13), $\ell \geq 2$. Thus, we conclude that $m \geq 3$. Since $m \leq 3$, we also conclude that $m = 3$ and $\ell = 2$. So, for this case we have that $2|P_{13}| - |T_2| \geq 2$.

Finally, if $x_m = 0$, then, by (16), $6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| \geq 5$ for $x_\ell \geq 2$. Hence, we may assume that $x_\ell \leq 1$. If $x_\ell = 1$, then, by (15), $6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| \geq m^2 - 3m + 3\ell - 10$, which is nonnegative for $\ell \geq 4$ because $6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| \geq (m-2)(m-1) \geq 0$. Hence, we may assume that $\ell \leq 3$. Note that, by (13), $\ell \geq 3$, so $\ell = 3$; thus, by (15), $6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| \geq m^2 - 3m - 1$, which is greater than -3 for $m \geq 3$. For $m \leq 2$, by (12), we have that $m \geq 2$, so $m = 2$. Thus, for this case, $2|P_{13}| - |T_2| \geq 2$. If $x_\ell = 0$, then, by (12), we have that $m \geq \ell$, and, by (15), $6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| \geq m^2 - 2m - 3$, which is nonnegative for $m \geq 3$. So, let us assume that $m \leq 2$. Since $m \geq \ell$, then $\ell \leq 2$. So, by Theorem 1.2 of [10], the proof follows.

3.2.2. The subcase $x_m + x_\ell \geq \ell - x_\ell$.

By (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2| &\geq (m+x_\ell)(m+x_\ell-2) - 3 + 3(\ell-x_\ell)(\ell-x_\ell-1) - 3(mx_\ell + \ell x_m - x_\ell x_m) \\ &= m^2 - 2m - 3 + 3\ell^2 - 3\ell + 4x_\ell^2 + x_\ell(1-6\ell-m) - x_m(3\ell-3x_\ell) \\ &\geq m^2 - 2m - 3 + 3\ell^2 - 3\ell + 4x_\ell^2 + x_\ell(1-6\ell-m) - (m+x_\ell-\ell)(3\ell-3x_\ell) \\ &= m^2 - 2m - 3 + 6\ell^2 - 3\ell - 3\ell m + 7x_\ell^2 - x_\ell(12\ell-2m-1) \\ &\geq m^2 - 2m - 3 + 6\ell^2 - 3\ell - 3\ell m - \frac{(12\ell-2m-1)^2}{28} \\ &= \frac{1}{28}(24\ell^2 + 24m^2 - 60m - 60\ell - 36\ell m - 85). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Note that if either m or ℓ is at least 11, then, by (17), $6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2|$ is greater than -3 and the proof follows. Hence, we may assume that $m, \ell \leq 10$.

Let us define the following triangle packings.

$$P_{14} := p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top} \setminus X_\ell \cup K_m^{bot} \setminus X_m, X_\ell \cup X_m),$$

$$P_{15}^\ell := p(K_\ell^{top}) \cup p(X_\ell, K_m^{bot}) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{15}^m &:= p(K_m^{bot}) \cup p(X_m, K_\ell^{top}) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}), \\
P_{16}^\ell &:= p(K_\ell) \cup p(X_\ell, K_m^{bot}) \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}), \\
P_{16}^m &:= p(K_m) \cup p(X_m, K_\ell^{top}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}), \\
P_{17}^\ell &:= p(K_\ell) \cup p(X_\ell, K_m^{bot} \setminus d_{|K_m|}) \cup p(K_m^{bot} \setminus d_{|K_m|}, K_m^{top} \cup d_{|K_m|}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$P_{17}^m := p(K_m) \cup p(X_m, K_\ell^{top} \setminus c_1) \cup p(K_\ell^{top} \setminus c_1, K_\ell^{bot} \cup c_1).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) &= 6|P_{13}| - 3|T_2|, \\
f_2(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) &= 6|P_{14}| - 3|T_2|, \\
f_3(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) &= 6|P_{15}^\ell| - 3|T_2|, \\
f_4(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) &= 6|P_{15}^m| - 3|T_2|, \\
f_5(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) &= 6|P_{16}^\ell| - 3|T_2|, \\
f_6(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) &= 6|P_{16}^m| - 3|T_2|, \\
f_7(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) &= 6|P_{17}^\ell| - 3|T_2|,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$f_8(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) = 6|P_{17}^m| - 3|T_2|.$$

As $m, \ell \leq 10$; we have that $x_\ell < \ell$; $\ell + x_m \leq m + x_\ell$; $\ell - x_\ell \leq x_m + x_\ell$; and $x_m < m$. We can verify, by exhaustive search, that at least one of $\{f_1, \dots, f_8\}$ is greater than -3 , for every such (ℓ, m, x_ℓ, x_m) , except when $(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) \in \{(1, 2, 0, 1), (2, 1, 1, 0), (2, 2, 1, 1), (2, 3, 1, 2), (2, 5, 1, 4), (3, 2, 2, 1), (3, 3, 2, 1), (3, 4, 2, 3), (3, 6, 2, 5), (4, 3, 3, 2), (5, 2, 4, 1), (6, 3, 5, 2)\}$.

If $(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) \in \{(2, 5, 1, 4), (3, 4, 2, 3), (3, 6, 2, 5), (4, 3, 3, 2), (5, 2, 4, 1), (6, 3, 5, 2)\}$, then $\ell - x_\ell = 1$ and $m - x_m = 1$. So, $K_\ell^{top} \cup K_m^{bot}$ is a clique missing a single edge, and there exists a packing with $|p(K_\ell^{top} \cup K_m^{bot})| - 1$ triangles in this clique, say P'_{18} .

Let

$$P_{18} := P'_{18} \cup p(K_m^{bot}, K_m^{top}) \cup p(K_\ell^{top}, K_\ell^{bot}).$$

We have

$$2|P_{18}| - |T_2| \geq 2|P'_{18}| - (mx_\ell + \ell x_m - x_\ell x_m),$$

which is at least 1 for $(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) \in \{(2, 5, 1, 4), (3, 4, 2, 3), (3, 6, 2, 5), (4, 3, 3, 2), (5, 2, 4, 1), (6, 3, 5, 2)\}$. Also, note that if $(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) \in \{(1, 2, 0, 1), (2, 1, 1, 0), (2, 2, 1, 1)\}$, then these cases were solved by Theorem 1.2 of [10]. Hence, we may assume that $(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) \in \{(2, 3, 1, 2), (3, 2, 2, 1), (3, 3, 2, 1)\}$. Since the case $(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) = (3, 2, 2, 1)$ is symmetric to the case $(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) = (2, 3, 1, 2)$, then, without loss of generality, we can suppose that $(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) \in \{(2, 3, 1, 2), (3, 3, 2, 1)\}$. In this direction, we let $P'_{19} := p(K_\ell) \cup p(K_m)$. Note that there exists at least one edge in K_ℓ which is not selected in any triangle of P'_{19} . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $c_1 c_2$ is such edge. Analogously, we may assume that $d_4 d_5$ does not lie in any triangle of P'_{19} . Let us define a triangle packing P_{19} as follows

$$P_{19} := P'_{19} \cup \{c_1 c_2 d_6, c_1 d_4 d_5\}.$$

Then, $2|P_{19}| - |T_2| \geq 1$ for $(\ell, m, x_\ell, x_m) \in \{(2, 3, 1, 2), (3, 3, 2, 1)\}$, which concludes the proof of the theorem.

4. Concluding remarks

In this work, we have solved Tuza’s conjecture for any co-chain graph with both sizes multiple of two. This result was obtained by analyzing the parity of variables ℓ , m , x_ℓ , x_m defined at the beginning of Section 3 and finding adequate packing and hitting configurations that satisfy Tuza’s inequality in each case. We believe this result can also be extended to any co-chain graph and moreover, for mixed unit interval graphs, a known superclass of co-chain graphs.

Question 1. *Does Tuza’s conjecture holds for any co-chain graph?*

Question 2. *Does Tuza’s conjecture holds for any mixed unit interval graph?*

Question 1 seems tedious to tackle with the method presented here, as the cases when both sides of the partition are not even give birth to several new cases. It seems that in order to solve Question 2, we must first solve Question 1 first. So, we believe that a solution for Tuza’s conjecture is far from trivial in chordal graphs. However, we think the present techniques can be generalized to solve particular cases for other subclasses of chordal graphs, by introducing a parity parameter in the conjecture.

References

- [1] Marthe Bonamy, Łukasz Bożyk, Andrzej Grzesik, Meike Hatzel, Tomáš Masařík, Jana Novotná, and Karolina Okrasa. Tuza’s conjecture for threshold graphs. In *Extended Abstracts EuroComb 2021*, pages 765–771. Springer, 2021.
- [2] Fábio Botler, Cristina G. Fernandes, and Juan Gutiérrez. On tuza’s conjecture for triangulations and graphs with small treewidth. *Discrete Mathematics*, 344(4):112281, 2021.
- [3] Arman Boyacı, Tınaz Ekim, and Mordechai Shalom. The maximum cardinality cut problem in co-bipartite chain graphs. *J. Comb. Optim.*, 35(1):250–265, jan 2018.
- [4] Andreas Brandstädt, Van Bang Le, and Jeremy P Spinrad. *Graph classes: a survey*. SIAM, 1999.
- [5] Q. Cui and J. Wang. Maximum bipartite subgraphs of cubic triangle-free planar graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 309(5):1091–1111, 2009.
- [6] Tomás Feder and Carlos S Subi. Packing edge-disjoint triangles in given graphs. In *Electron. Colloquium Comput. Complex.*, volume 19, page 13, 2012.
- [7] P. E. Haxell. Packing and covering triangles in graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 195(1):251–254, 1999.
- [8] P. E. Haxell, A. Kostochka, and S. Thomassé. Packing and covering triangles in K_4 -free planar graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 28(5):653–662, 2012.
- [9] Shuji Kijima, Yota Otachi, Toshiki Saitoh, and Takeaki Uno. Subgraph isomorphism in graph classes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312(21):3164–3173, 2012.
- [10] G. J. Puleo. Tuza’s conjecture for graphs with maximum average degree less than 7. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 49:134–152, 2015.
- [11] Zsolt Tuza. Conjecture in: finite and infinite sets. In *Proc. Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai (Eger, Hungary, 1981)*, volume 37, page 888, 1981.
- [12] Zsolt Tuza. A conjecture on triangles of graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 6(4):373–380, 1990.