arXiv:2211.09444v3 [math.QA] 10 Oct 2023

NOTES ON KASHIWARA-VERGNE AND DOUBLE SHUFFLE LIE ALGEBRAS

HIDEKAZU FURUSHO AND NAO KOMIYAMA

In memory of Toshie Takata.

Abstract. We explain the current situation of the relationship between the Kashiwara-Vergne Lie algebra $\mathfrak{krv}$ and the double shuffle Lie algebra $\mathfrak{dmr}$. We also show the validity of Ecalle's senary relation for small depths.

Contents

0. Introduction 1
1. Mould theory 3
2. Kashiwara-Vergne and double shuffle Lie algebra 7
3. The senary relation and $\mathfrak{sder}$ 10
4. The senary relation for small r 12
References 17

0. Introduction

The *double shuffle Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{dmr}$ (cf. Definition 20) is the graded Lie algebra constructed by Racinet [R02]. The symbol $\mathfrak{dmr}$ stands for 'double mélange et régularisation' in French, the regularized double shuffle relations among multiple zeta values, which serve as defining equations of the Lie algebra.

The *Kashiwara-Vergne Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{krv}$ (cf. Definition 17) is the graded Lie algebra introduced by Alekseev, Torossian and Enriquez [AT, AET]. It is constructed from the set of solutions of the Kashiwara-Vergne problem.

It is expected that those two Lie algebras are isomorphic (cf. [F14]). So far, we know that there exists an inclusion from $\mathfrak{dmr}$ to $\mathfrak{krv}$ under a

Date: October 10, 2023.
2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B05 (Primary), 11M32, 16S30, 17B40 (Secondary).

certain assumption on Ecalle's mould theory [Ec11] by Schneps's results ([Sch12] Theorem 1.1):

Theorem 1 ([Sch12])**.** *Assume that the following senary relation (cf.* [Ec11, (3.64)]*)*

$$\mathrm{teru}(M)^r = \mathrm{push} \circ \mathrm{mantar} \circ \mathrm{teru} \circ \mathrm{mantar}(M)^r \tag{0.1}$$

holds for any $r \geqslant 1$ *and mould* $M = \mathrm{ma}_{\tilde{f}}$ *for* $\tilde{f} \in \mathfrak{dmr}$*. Then the map*

$$\nu : \mathbb{L} \to \mathfrak{der}; \quad \tilde{f} \mapsto d_F \tag{0.2}$$

gives a Lie algebra embedding from $\mathfrak{dmr}$ *into* $\mathfrak{krv}$*.*

As for moulds, teru, push, mantar and ma, see §1. For $\mathbb{L}$, $\mathfrak{der}$, $\tilde{f}$ and d_F, see §2 (particularly for the last two, see (2.2) and (2.4) respectively). Although the assumption (0.1) is stated as a theorem of Ecalle in [Sch12, Theorem 3.1 and A.1], no proof seems to be provided in any references as far as the authors know. Regarding the assumption (0.1), it should be mentioned that the appendix of [Sch12] says the following:

Proposition 2 ([Sch12])**.** *Let* $\tilde{f}$ *be a Lie element in* $\mathbb{L}$ *with degree* > 2*. Put* $M = \mathrm{ma}_{\tilde{f}}$*. Then giving the senary relation* (0.1) *for all* $r \geqslant 1$ *is equivalent to giving that* d_F *belongs to the Lie subalgebra* $\mathfrak{sder}$ *of* $\mathfrak{der}$ *(cf. Definition 16).*

In §3, we extract a direct proof of this statement from the arguments of [Sch12]. As a corollary of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2, the following inclusion is immediately obtained:

Corollary 3 ([Sch12])**.** *The map* (0.2) *gives an inclusion*

$$\mathfrak{dmr} \cap \nu^{-1}(\mathfrak{sder}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{krv}. \tag{0.3}$$

In this paper we check the validity of the assumption (0.1) in small r:

Theorem 4. *The senary relation* (0.1) *holds for any mould* $M = \mathrm{ma}_{\tilde{f}}$ *with* $\tilde{f} \in \mathfrak{dmr}$ *when* $r = 1, 2$ *and* 3*.*

The proof is given by mould theoretical arguments.

Remark 5. Since Drinfeld's Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra $\mathfrak{grt}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{sder}$ by [D, Proposition 5.7] and the inclusion from $\mathfrak{grt}$ to $\mathfrak{dmr}$ is constructed in [F11, EF], we have a sequence of inclusions

$$\mathfrak{grt} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{dmr} \cap \nu^{-1}(\mathfrak{sder}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{krv}. \tag{0.4}$$

Remark 6. The inclusion

$$\mathrm{Fil}^2_{\mathcal{D}}\mathbb{D}(\Gamma)_{\bullet\bullet} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{krv}(\Gamma)_{\bullet\bullet},$$

which is regarded to be a bigraded variant of (0.3) in the case of $\Gamma = \{e\}$, where Γ is an abelian group, is constructed in [FK].

The remainder of this paper proceeds as follows: §1 is a quick review on the notions of mould theory which are required in the paper. We recall the definitions of two Lie algebras in §2. We give a stream-lined proof of Proposition 2 in §3. Theorem 4 is proved in §4.

Acknowledgements. H.F. has been supported by grants JSPS KAKENHI JP18H01110, JP20H00115, JP21H00969 and JP21H04430. The authors would like to express their gratitude to L. Schneps and B. Enriquez for valuable comments and suggestions

1. Mould theory

We briefly review several notions of Ecalle's mould theory by borrowing the notations in our previous joint paper [FK, §1] where moulds indexed by a finite abelian group Γ is introduced. We put $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{m\geqslant 1}\mathbb{Q}[x_1,\dots,x_m]$.

Definition 7 ([Ec81] I, pp.12-13 and [Sch15])**.** A *mould* on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ with values in $\mathcal{F}$ means a sequence

$$M = (M^m(x_1,\dots,x_m))_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}} = \big(M^0(\emptyset), M^1(x_1), M^2(x_1,x_2),\dots\big),$$

with $M^0(\emptyset)\in\mathbb{Q}$ and $M^m(x_1,\dots,x_m)\in\mathbb{Q}[x_1,\dots,x_m]$ for $m\geqslant 1$. The set of all moulds with values in $\mathcal{F}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$. The set $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ forms a non-commutative, associative, unital $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra by

$$\begin{aligned} M+N &:= (M^m(x_1,\dots,x_m)+N^m(x_1,\dots,x_m))_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}},\\ cM &:= (cM^m(x_1,\dots,x_m))_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}},\\ M\times N &:= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} M^i(x_1,\dots,x_i)N^{m-i}(x_{i+1},\dots,x_m)\right)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}} \end{aligned}$$

for $M,N\in\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ and $c\in\mathbb{Q}$. Its unit $I\in\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ is given by $I := (1,0,0,\dots)$.

A mould $C = (C^m(x_1,\dots,x_m))_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}}\in\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ is called *constant* when we have $C^m(x_1,\dots,x_m)\in\mathbb{Q}$ for all $m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$'s. The unit I is a constant mould. For our simplicity, we denote each component $C^m(x_1,\dots,x_m)$ of a constant mould C by C_m.

We equip $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ with the depth filtration $\{\mathrm{Fil}^m_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})\}_{m\geqslant 0}$ where $\mathrm{Fil}^m_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ consists of moulds with $M^r(x_1,\dots,x_r)=0$ for $r<m$. The algebraic structure of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ is compatible with the depth filtration and the subspace

$$\mathrm{ARI} := \{M\in\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) \mid M^0(\emptyset)=0\}.$$

forms a filtered (non-unital) subalgebra.

We call a mould $M\in\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ *finite* when $M^m(x_1,\dots,x_m)=0$ except for finitely many m's. We put the upper letters "fin" as $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{fin}}$, $\mathrm{ARI}^{\mathrm{fin}}$, etc. to indicate the finite part.

For our later use, we prepare several operations on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$:

Definition 8 ([Ec11])**.** We consider the following $\mathbb{Q}$-linear operators defined by, for any mould $M=(M^m(u_1,\dots,u_m))_m$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$,

$$\mathrm{swap}(M)^m(v_1,\dots,v_m) = M^m(v_m, v_{m-1}-v_m,\dots,v_1-v_2),$$
$$\mathrm{pus}(M)^m(u_1,\dots,u_m) = M^m(u_m,u_1,\dots,u_{m-1}),$$
$$\mathrm{teru}(M)^m(u_1,\dots,u_m) = M^m(u_1,\dots,u_m)$$
$$+\frac{1}{u_m}\left\{M^{m-1}(u_1,\dots,u_{m-2},u_{m-1}+u_m)-M^{m-1}(u_1,\dots,u_{m-2},u_{m-1})\right\}.$$

We remark that $\mathrm{swap}\circ\mathrm{swap}=\mathrm{id}$.

Definition 9. (1). A mould $M\in\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ is called *pus-neutral* ([Ec11, (2.73)]) if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathrm{pus}^i(M)^m(u_1,\dots,u_m)\left(=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}M^m(u_{1+i},\dots,u_{m+i})\right)=0$$

holds for all $m\geqslant 1$.

(2). The $\mathbb{Q}$-linear space $\mathrm{ARI}_{\mathrm{sena/pusnu}}$ is the subset of ARI consisting of all moulds M satisfying Ecalle's senary relation (0.1) for all $r\geqslant 1$ and the pus-neutrality for $\mathrm{swap}(M)$.

To explain the notion of the alternality of moulds, we prepare some algebraic formulation: Set $X:=\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. We denote by $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module spanned by all elements of X. Let $X^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the non-commutative free monoid generated by all elements of $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the empty word $\emptyset$ as the unit. We sometimes write each element $\omega=u_1\cdots u_m\in X^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $u_1,\dots,u_m\in X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by $\omega=(u_1,\dots,u_m)$ as a sequence. We put $l(\omega):=m$ and call this the *length* of $\omega=u_1\cdots u_m$.

For our simplicity, we occasionally denote

$$M=(M^m(\mathbf{x}_m))_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}}\quad\text{or}\quad M=(M(\mathbf{x}_m))_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}},$$

with $\mathbf{x}_0 := \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{x}_m := (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ for $m \geqslant 1$. Under the notations, the product of $M, N \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ is presented as

$$M \times N := \left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}_m = \alpha\beta} M^{l(\alpha)}(\alpha) N^{l(\beta)}(\beta) \right)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}}$$

where α and β run over $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

We set $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{Q}\langle X_{\mathbb{Z}} \rangle$, the non-commutative polynomial algebra generated by $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$. So $\mathcal{A}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear space generated by $X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}$. [1] We equip $\mathcal{A}$ with a product $\sqcup\!\sqcup : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ which is the linear map defined by $\emptyset \sqcup\!\sqcup \omega := \omega \sqcup\!\sqcup \emptyset := w$ and

$$u\omega \sqcup\!\sqcup v\eta := u(\omega \sqcup\!\sqcup v\eta) + v(u\omega \sqcup\!\sqcup \eta), \tag{1.1}$$

for $u, v \in X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\omega, \eta \in X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}$. Then the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \sqcup\!\sqcup)$ is a commutative, associative, unital $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra.

We consider the family $\left\{ \mathrm{Sh}\binom{\omega;\eta}{\alpha} \right\}_{\omega,\eta,\alpha \in X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\omega \sqcup\!\sqcup \eta = \sum_{\alpha \in X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}} \mathrm{Sh}\binom{\omega;\eta}{\alpha} \alpha.$$

In particular, for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_1, \ldots, u_{p+q} \in X_{\mathbb{Z}}$, the shuffle product is rewritten as

$$(u_1, \ldots, u_p) \sqcup\!\sqcup (u_{p+1}, \ldots, u_{p+q}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Ш}_{p,q}} (u_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, u_{\sigma(p)}, u_{\sigma(p+1)}, \ldots, u_{\sigma(p+q)}),$$

where the set $\text{Ш}_{p,q}$ stands for

$$\{\sigma \in S_{p+q} \mid \sigma^{-1}(1) < \cdots < \sigma^{-1}(p),\ \sigma^{-1}(p+1) < \cdots < \sigma^{-1}(p+q)\}, \tag{1.2}$$

and S_{p+q} means the symmetry group with degree $p+q$.

Let us assume that $u_1, \ldots, u_m \in X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathcal{F}$. For $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$, $M^m(u_1, \ldots, u_m)$ stands for the image of $M^m(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ under the embedding $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_m] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ which sends $x_i \mapsto u_i$.

Definition 10 ([Ec81] I–p.118)**.** A mould M is *alternal* when $M(\emptyset) = 0$ and

$$\sum_{\alpha \in X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}} \mathrm{Sh}\binom{(x_1, \ldots, x_p); (x_{p+1}, \ldots, x_{p+q})}{\alpha} M^{p+q}(\alpha) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

holds for all $p, q \geqslant 1$.

[1] We should beware of the inequality $1 \cdot (-x_1) \neq -(1 \cdot x_1)$.

Definition 11 ([Ec03, Ec11])**.** The $\mathbb{Q}$-linear space $\mathrm{ARI}_{\mathrm{al}}$ is defined to be the subset of all alternal moulds in ARI.

It forms a filtered $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra under the filtrations induced from the depth filtration of ARI.

Remark 12. The *ari-bracket* is introduced in [Ec11, §2.2] (cf. [Sch15, §2.2]) and it is known that ARI and $\mathrm{ARI}_{\mathrm{al}}$ form Lie algebras under the bracket (see [FK] for a full detailed proof).

To present the notion of the alternility of moulds, we prepare some algebraic formulation: Set $Y := \{y_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\mathcal{Y} := \mathbb{Q}(Y)$ be the commutative field generated by all elements of Y over $\mathbb{Q}$. We denote $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}} := \mathcal{Y}\langle X_{\mathbb{Z}}\rangle$ to be the non-commutative polynomial algebra generated by all elements of $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$. So $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is the same as the tensor product $\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{Y}$ with $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Q}\langle X_{\mathbb{Z}}\rangle$. It is equipped with a product $\sqcup\!\sqcup_* : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\otimes 2} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ which is the $\mathcal{Y}$-linear map defined by $\emptyset \ \sqcup\!\sqcup_*\ \omega := \omega \ \sqcup\!\sqcup_*\ \emptyset := \omega$ and

(1.4)

$$u\omega \sqcup\!\sqcup_* v\eta := u(\omega \sqcup\!\sqcup_* v\eta)+v(u\omega \sqcup\!\sqcup_* \eta)+f(u-v)\{u(\omega\sqcup\!\sqcup_*\eta)-v(\omega\sqcup\!\sqcup_*\eta)\},$$

for $u, v \in X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\omega, \eta \in X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}$. Here, we define the above map $f : X_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{Y}$ by $f(0) := 0$ and

$$f(n_1x_{i_1} + \cdots + n_kx_{i_k}) := \frac{1}{n_1y_{i_1} + \cdots + n_ky_{i_k}},$$

for $k \geqslant 1$ and for $i_1, \ldots, i_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n_j \neq 0$ for a certain $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$. Then the pair $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}, \sqcup\!\sqcup_*)$ is a commutative, *non*-associative, unital $\mathcal{Y}$-algebra.

We consider the family $\left\{\mathrm{Sh}_*\binom{\omega;\eta}{\alpha}\right\}_{\omega,\eta,\alpha\in X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}}$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ defined by

$$\omega \ \sqcup\!\sqcup_*\ \eta = \sum_{\alpha\in X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}} \mathrm{Sh}_*\binom{\omega;\eta}{\alpha}\alpha.$$

Definition 13 ([Ec03, Ec11, SaSch])**.** (1). A mould M is *alternil* when $M(\emptyset) = 0$ and

$$\sum_{\alpha\in X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}} \mathrm{Sh}_*\left(\begin{matrix}(x_1,\ldots,x_p);(x_{p+1},\ldots,x_{p+q})\\ \alpha\end{matrix}\right)\Bigg|_{y_i=x_i} M^{l(\alpha)}(\alpha) = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

for all $p, q \geqslant 1$.

(2). The subset $\mathrm{ARI}_{\underline{\mathrm{al}}*\underline{\mathrm{il}}}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear space spanned by all alternal moulds M in ARI such that $\mathrm{swap}(M)$ is alternil up to a constant-valued mould, (that is, there exist a constant mould $C \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ so that $\mathrm{swap}(M) + C$ is alternil).

Remark 14. Under the ari-bracket (cf. Remark 12), the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear space $\mathrm{ARI}_{\underline{\mathrm{al}}*\underline{\mathrm{il}}}$ forms a filtered Lie algebra (cf. [K, Sch15, SaSch]). The Lie algebra isomorphism between $\mathfrak{dmr}$ and $\mathrm{ARI}_{\underline{\mathrm{al}}*\underline{\mathrm{il}}}$ is realized by the map sending $\tilde{f} \mapsto \mathrm{ma}_{\tilde{f}}$ (cf. [SaSch, Sch15]).

Let $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Q}\langle x, y\rangle$ be the non-commutative polynomial algebra with two variables x and y. This $\mathbb{A}$ forms a bigraded algebra; $\mathbb{A} = \oplus_{w,d}\mathbb{A}_{w,d}$, where $\mathbb{A}_{w,d}$ means the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear space generated by all monomials with *weight* (the total degree) w and *depth* (the degree with respect to y) d. Put $\mathbb{A}_w = \oplus_d \mathbb{A}_{w,d}$ and $\mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathbb{A}_w := \oplus_{N\geqslant d}\mathbb{A}_{w,N}$ for $d > 0$. Then $\mathbb{A}$ is a filtered graded algebra by the depth filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathbb{A} = \oplus_w \mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathbb{A}_w$.

Definition 15 ([Sch12, Appendix A])**.** Let $h \in \mathbb{A}_w$ be a degree w homogeneous polynomial with

$$h = \sum_{r=0}^{w} h^r \qquad \text{and} \qquad h^r = \sum_{\mathbf{e}=(e_0,\dots,e_r)\in\mathcal{E}^r_w} a(h)_{e_0,\dots,e_r} x^{e_0} y \cdots y x^{e_r} \in \mathbb{A}_{w,r}$$

where $\mathcal{E}^r_w = \{\mathbf{e} = (e_0, \dots, e_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{r+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^r e_i = w - r\}$. With such $h \in \mathbb{A}$, the associated mould

$$\mathrm{ma}_h = (\mathrm{ma}^0_h, \mathrm{ma}^1_h, \mathrm{ma}^2_h, \dots, \mathrm{ma}^w_h, 0, 0, \dots) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$$

is defined by $\mathrm{ma}^0_h = 0$ and

$$\mathrm{ma}^r_h = \mathrm{ma}^r_h(u_1, \dots, u_r) = \mathrm{vimo}^r_h(0, u_1, u_1 + u_2, \dots, u_1 + \cdots + u_r),$$
$$\mathrm{vimo}^r_h(z_0, \dots, z_r) = \sum_{(e_0,\dots,e_r)\in\mathcal{E}^r_w} a(h)_{e_0,\dots,e_r} z_0^{e_0} z_1^{e_1} z_2^{e_2} \cdots z_r^{e_r}.$$

The map $h \mapsto \mathrm{ma}_h$ yields a filtered algebra homomorphism

$$\mathrm{ma} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{fin}}.$$

Various properties of the map are investigated in [FHK].

2. Kashiwara-Vergne and double shuffle Lie algebra

We recall the definition of Kashiwara-Vergne Lie algebra $\mathfrak{krv}$ introduced in [AET] and [AT] and also that of the double shuffle Lie algebra $\mathfrak{dmr}$ introduced in [R02]. Then we explain their mould theoretic interpretations.

Let $\mathbb{L} = \oplus_{w\geqslant 1}\mathbb{L}_w = \oplus_{w,d}\mathbb{L}_{w,d}$ be the subspace of $\mathbb{A}$ consisting of all Lie polynomials. It is a free graded Lie $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra with two variables x and y with $\deg x = \deg y = 1$. We equip $\mathbb{L}$ with the depth filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathbb{L} = \oplus_w \mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathbb{L}_w$ by $\mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathbb{L}_w := \oplus_{N\geqslant d}\mathbb{L}_{w,N}$ for $d > 0$.

Definition 16. (1). We denote by $\mathfrak{der}$ the set of derivations of $\mathbb{L}$ and by $\mathfrak{tder}$ its subset of *tangential derivations* which are the derivations $D_{F,G}$ of $\mathbb{L}$ such that $D_{F,G}(x) = [x, G]$ and $D_{F,G}(y) = [y, F]$ for some $F, G \in \mathbb{L}$. It forms a Lie algebra under the bracket given by

$$[D_{F_1,G_1}, D_{F_2,G_2}] = D_{F_1,G_1} \circ D_{F_2,G_2} - D_{F_2,G_2} \circ D_{F_1,G_1}.$$

(2). We define by $\mathfrak{sder}$ the set of *special derivations*, which are tangential derivations such that

$$D(z) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad z := -x - y. \tag{2.1}$$

The subspace $\mathfrak{sder}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{tder}$ and there is a sequence of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{sder} \subset \mathfrak{tder} \subset \mathfrak{der}.$$

Definition 17 ([AT, AET])**.** The *Kashiwara-Vergne Lie algebra* is the graded $\mathbb{Q}$-linear space $\mathfrak{krv} = \oplus_{w>1}\mathfrak{krv}_w$. [2] Here its degree w-part $\mathfrak{krv}_w$ consists of Lie elements $F \in \mathbb{L}_w$ satisfying

$$[x, G] + [y, F] = 0 \tag{KV1}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}(G_x x + F_y y) = \alpha \cdot \mathrm{tr}\left((x+y)^w - x^w - y^w\right) \tag{KV2}$$

with some $G = G(F) \in \mathbb{L}_w$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, when we write $F = F_x x + F_y y$ and $G = G_x x + G_y y$ in $\mathbb{A}$. Here tr is the trace map, the natural projection from $\mathbb{A}$ to the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear space $\mathrm{Cyc}(\mathbb{A})$ of cyclic words (cf. [AT]).

We have $\mathfrak{krv}_2 = \{0\}$. We note that such $G = G(F)$ is unique if it exists when $w > 1$ (for the calculations, see [Sch12, Theorem 1.1]). The condition (KV1) is equivalent to $D_{F,G(F)}(x+y) = 0$, i.e. $D_{F,G(F)} \in \mathfrak{sder}$. The Lie algebra structure of $\mathfrak{krv}$ is defined to make the embedding

$$\mathfrak{krv} \subset \mathfrak{sder}$$

sending $F \mapsto D_{F,G(F)}$ a Lie algebra homomorphism.

For $d \geqslant 1$, we denote by $\mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{krv}_w$ the subspace of $\mathfrak{krv}_w$ consisting of $F \in \mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathbb{L}_w$ and put $\mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{krv} = \oplus_w \mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{krv}_w$. Then $\mathfrak{krv}$ forms a filtered Lie algebra with the filtration $\{\mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{krv}\}_d$.

The following is a mould theoretical interpretation of $\mathfrak{krv}$:

Theorem 18 ([FK, RS])**.** *The map $F \in \mathbb{A} \mapsto \mathrm{ma}_{\tilde{f}} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ gives an isomorphism of filtered $\mathbb{Q}$-linear spaces*

$$\mathrm{Fil}^2_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{krv} \simeq \mathrm{ARI}_{\mathrm{sena/pusnu}} \cap \mathrm{ARI}^{\mathrm{fin}}_{\mathrm{al}},$$

[2] For our convenience we neglect the degree 1 part, although it is taken in account in [AT].

where we put

$$f(x,y) = F(z,y) \quad \textit{and} \quad \tilde{f}(x,y) = f(x,-y) \tag{2.2}$$

for $F \in \mathbb{L}$.

For $\mathrm{ARI}_{\mathrm{sena/pusnu}}$ and $\mathrm{ARI}_{\mathrm{al}}$, see Definition 9 and 11 respectively.

Remark 19. The above theorem is extended to $\mathfrak{krv}(\Gamma)$ with an arbitrary abelian group Γ and a mould theoretic interpretation of its bigraded variant $\mathfrak{lkrv}(\Gamma)$ is provided in [FK].

Let $\mathbb{A}_Y := \mathbb{Q}\langle y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots \rangle$ be the free associative non-commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra generated by the variables y_m's ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) with unit. It is equipped with a structure of Hopf algebra with the coproduct Δ_* given by $\Delta_*(y_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} y_i \otimes y_{n-i}$ ($y_0 := 1$). Let $\pi_Y : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}_Y$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear projection that sends all the words ending in x to zero and the word $x^{n_m-1}y \cdots x^{n_1-1}y$ ($n_1, \dots, n_m \in \mathbb{N}$) to $(-1)^m y_{n_m} \cdots y_{n_1}$. For $\varphi = \sum_{W:\mathrm{word}} c_W(\varphi) W \in \mathbb{A}$, define

$$\varphi_* = \varphi_{\mathrm{corr}} + \pi_Y(\varphi) \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi_{\mathrm{corr}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} c_{x^{n-1}y}(\varphi) y_1^n.$$

Definition 20 ([R02])**.** Set-theoretically the *double shuffle Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{dmr} = \oplus_{w>1} \mathfrak{dmr}_w$ is defined to be the set of $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{L}$ satisfying $c_{xy}(\tilde{f}) = 0$ and

$$\Delta_*(\tilde{f}_*) = 1 \otimes \tilde{f}_* + \tilde{f}_* \otimes 1. \tag{2.3}$$

For $\varphi \in \mathbb{L}$, let $d_\varphi \in \mathfrak{der}$ be the derivation determined by

$$d_\varphi(y) = [y, \varphi(x,y)] \quad \text{and} \quad d_\varphi(x+y) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Then [R02, Proposition 4.A.i] claims that the inclusion

$$\mathfrak{dmr} \subset \mathfrak{der}$$

sending $\tilde{f} \mapsto d_F$ equips $\mathfrak{dmr}$ with a structure of Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{der}$. For $d \geqslant 1$, we denote by $\mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{dmr}_w$ the subspace of $\mathfrak{dmr}_w$ consisting of $\tilde{f} \in \mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathbb{L}_w$ and we put $\mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{dmr} = \oplus_w \mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{dmr}_w$. Then $\mathfrak{dmr}$ forms a filtered Lie algebra with the filtration $\{\mathrm{Fil}^d_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{dmr}\}_d$.

A mould theoretical interpretation of $\mathfrak{dmr}$ is given as follows:

Theorem 21 ([Sch15, Theorem 3.4.4])**.** *The map* $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{A} \mapsto \mathrm{ma}_{\tilde{f}} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ *gives an isomorphism of filtered Lie algebras*

$$\mathrm{Fil}^2_{\mathcal{D}}\mathfrak{dmr} \simeq \mathrm{Fil}^2_{\mathcal{D}}\mathrm{ARI}^{\mathrm{fin}}_{\underline{\mathrm{al}}*\underline{\mathrm{il}}}.$$

For $\mathrm{ARI}_{\underline{\mathrm{al}}*\underline{\mathrm{il}}}$, see Definition 13.

Remark 22. A mould theoretic interpretation of Goncharov's dihedral Lie algebra $\mathbb{D}(\Gamma)$ (cf. [G]), which is regarded to be a bigraded variant of $\mathfrak{dmr}$ in the case of $\Gamma = \{e\}$, is given in [FK].

3. The senary relation and $\mathfrak{sder}$

For the reader's convenience, we give a streamlined proof of Proposition 2 by extracting the arguments in [Sch12] and also reproducing those of [FK, §2] in the case of $\Gamma = \{e\}$.

An element $g \in \mathbb{A}_w$ is called *anti-palindromic* when $g = (-1)^w \mathrm{anti}(g)$ where anti is the palindrome (backwards-writing) operator (explained in [Sch12, Definition 1.3]).

Lemma 23 ([Sch12, Theorem 2.1])**.** *Let $F \in \mathbb{L}_w$ with $w > 2$. Then* (KV1) *for F is equivalent to the anti-palindrome for $\tilde{f}_y + \tilde{f}_x$ when we write $\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}_x x + \tilde{f}_y y$.*

The claim is nothing but the equivalence between (i) and (v) of [Sch12, Theorem 2.1], which is deduced from their equivalence with the intermediate conditions (ii), (iii), (iv) in loc. cit. We present a shorter proof below.

Proof. Let us write $F = F_x x + F_y y = x F^x + y F^y$. By [Sch12, Proposition 2.6], we have

$$f_y - f_x = F_y(-x - y, y).$$

Therefore the anti-palindrome for $\tilde{f}_y + \tilde{f}_x$, so for $f_y - f_x$, is equivalent to the anti-palindrome for F_y. Since we have $F^y = (-1)^{w-1}\mathrm{anti}(F_y)$ for $F \in \mathbb{L}$, it is equivalent to

$$F_y = F^y.$$

Therefore our claim is reduced to prove an equivalence between (KV1) and $F_y = F^y$.

Assume (KV1) for F. Set $H = [y, F]$. We have

$$H = yF_y y + yF_x x - yF^y y - xF^x y.$$

We have $H = Gx - xG$ by $[y, F] + [x, G] = 0$. So H has no words starting and ending in y. Thus we must have $F_y = F^y$.

Conversely assume $F_y = F^y$. Then H has no words starting and ending in y. By [Sch12, Proposition 2.2], there is a $G \in \mathbb{L}_w$ such that $H = [G, x]$, which is calculated to be $G(F) = s'(F_x)$. We get (KV1).

Whence we obtain the claim. □

The following is indebted of the arguments in [Sch12, Appendix A].

Lemma 24. *Let $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{L}_w$ with $w > 2$. Then anti-palindrome for $\tilde{f}_y + \tilde{f}_x$ is equivalent to the senary relation* (0.1) *for $1 \leqslant r \leqslant w$ with $M = \mathrm{ma}_{\tilde{f}}$.*

Proof. In this proof, we quote the same equation numbers in [Sch12, Appendix A].

The first two paragraphs of the proof of [Sch12, Proposition A.3] says that the anti-palindrome for $\tilde{f}_y + \tilde{f}_x$ is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{vimo}^r_{\tilde{f}^r_y+\tilde{f}^r_x}(z_0,\dots,z_r) = (-1)^{w-1}\mathrm{vimo}^r_{\mathrm{anti}(\tilde{f}^r_y+\tilde{f}^r_x)}(z_0,\dots,z_r)$$

for $0 \leqslant r \leqslant w-1$. In the proof of [Sch12, Proposition A.3], it is calculated that its left hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{A19}) \qquad &\mathrm{vimo}^{r+1}_{\tilde{f}^{r+1}}(z_0,\dots,z_r,0)\\ &+\frac{1}{z_r}\left\{\mathrm{vimo}^m_{\tilde{f}^r}(z_0,\dots,z_{r-1},z_r) - \mathrm{vimo}^r_{\tilde{f}^r}(z_0,\dots,z_{r-1},0)\right\}\end{aligned}$$

and while its right hand side is calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{A20}) \quad (-1)^{w-1}\big[&\mathrm{vimo}^{r+1}_{\tilde{f}^{r+1}}(z_r,\dots,z_0,0)\\ &+\frac{1}{z_0}\left\{\mathrm{vimo}^r_{\tilde{f}^r}(z_r,\dots,z_1,z_0) - \mathrm{vimo}^r_{\tilde{f}^r}(z_r,\dots,z_1,0)\right\}\big].\end{aligned}$$

It is noted that the first term corresponds to $\tilde{f}^r_y$ and the second one corresponds to $\tilde{f}^r_x$ in both equations. Whence (KV1) is equivalent to

$$(\mathrm{A19}) = (\mathrm{A20})$$

for $1 \leqslant r \leqslant w-1$.

On the other hand, the senary relation (0.1) is equivalent to

$$(\mathrm{A8}) \quad \mathrm{swap} \circ \mathrm{teru}(M)^r = \mathrm{swap} \circ \mathrm{push} \circ \mathrm{mantar} \circ \mathrm{teru} \circ \mathrm{mantar}(M)^r.$$

By definition, its left hand side is calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{A10}) \qquad &\mathrm{vimo}^r_{\tilde{f}^r}(0,v_r,\dots,v_1)\\ &+\frac{1}{v_1-v_2}\{\mathrm{vimo}^{r-1}_{\tilde{f}^{r-1}}(0,v_r,\dots,v_3,v_1) - \mathrm{vimo}^{r-1}_{\tilde{f}^{r-1}}(0,v_r,\dots,v_3,v_2)\}.\end{aligned}$$

While by $\mathrm{vimo}^r_{\tilde{f}^r}(z_0,\dots,z_r) = (-1)^{w-r}\mathrm{vimo}^r_{\tilde{f}^r}(-z_0,\dots,-z_r)$ and

$$(\mathrm{A13}) \qquad \mathrm{vimo}^r_{\tilde{f}^r}(z_0,\dots,z_r) = \mathrm{vimo}^r_{\tilde{f}^r}(0,z_1-z_0,\dots,z_r-z_0)$$

for $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{L}$, its right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{A14}) \quad (-1)^{w-1}\Big[&\mathrm{vimo}^r_{\tilde{f}^r}(v_2,v_3,\dots,v_r,0,v_1)\\ &+\frac{1}{v_1}\left\{\mathrm{vimo}^{r-1}_{\tilde{f}^{r-1}}(v_2,v_3,\dots,v_r,v_1) - \mathrm{vimo}^{r-1}_{\tilde{f}^{r-1}}(v_2,v_3,\dots,v_r,0)\right\}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the senary relation (0.1) is equivalent to

$$(\mathrm{A10}) = (\mathrm{A14})$$

for $1 \leqslant r \leqslant w$.

By (A13) and the change of variables $z_0 = -v_1, z_1 = v_r - v_1, \ldots, z_{r-1} = v_2 - v_1$, the equation (A10) is calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{vimo}^{r}_{\tilde{f}^r}(z_0, \ldots, z_{r-1}, 0)\\ &+ \frac{1}{z_{r-1}} \left\{ \mathrm{vimo}^{r-1}_{\tilde{f}^{r-1}}(z_0, \ldots, z_{r-2}, z_{r-1}) - \mathrm{vimo}^{r-1}_{\tilde{f}^{r-1}}(z_0, \ldots, z_{r-2}, 0) \right\},\end{aligned}$$

which is (A19) with r replaced with $r-1$. Again by (A13) and the above change of variables, the equation (A14) is calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{w-1} \Big[&\mathrm{vimo}^{r}_{\tilde{f}^r}(z_{r-1}, \ldots, z_1, z_0, 0)\\ &+ \frac{1}{z_0} \left\{ \mathrm{vimo}^{r-1}_{\tilde{f}^{r-1}}(z_{r-1}, \ldots, z_1, z_0) - \mathrm{vimo}^{r-1}_{\tilde{f}^{r-1}}(z_{r-1}, \ldots, z_1, 0) \right\} \Big],\end{aligned}$$

which is (A20) with r replaced with $r-1$. Whence we obtain the equivalence between (KV1) and (0.1). □

Let F (so whence $\tilde{f}$) be in $\mathbb{L}_w$ with $w > 2$. By definition, saying $d_F \in \mathfrak{sder}$ is equivalent to (KV1) for F. While by Lemmas 23 and 24, it is equivalent to the senary relation (0.1) for $M = \mathrm{ma}_{\tilde{f}}$. Therefore Proposition 2 follows.

4. The senary relation for small r

We check the validity of the assumption (0.1) for any mould $M \in \mathrm{Fil}^2_{\mathcal{D}}\mathrm{ARI}_{\underline{\mathrm{al}}*\underline{\mathrm{il}}}$ in small depth $r = 1, 2, 3$.

We define the parallel translation map $\underset{\sim}{t} : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ by

$$\underset{\sim}{t}(M)^m(\mathbf{x}_m) := \begin{cases} M^m(\mathbf{x}_m) & (m = 0, 1),\\ M^{m-1}(x_2 - x_1, \ldots, x_m - x_1) & (m \geqslant 2), \end{cases}$$

for $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$, and for our simplicity, we put

$$\underset{\sim}{u} = \underset{\sim}{t} \circ \mathrm{swap}.$$

For $m \geqslant 2$ and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$, we consider the collision map

$$\mathrm{coll}^m_{i,i+1} : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$$

which is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly defined by the collision map $\mathrm{coll}^m_{i,i+1}(M)^j(\mathbf{x}_j) := (M)^j(\mathbf{x}_j)$ when $j \neq m$ and

$$\mathrm{coll}^m_{i,i+1}(M)^m(\mathbf{x}_m) := \frac{1}{x_i - x_{i+1}} \big\{ M^{m-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_m) - M^{m-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_m) \big\}$$

for $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$.

Lemma 25. *Let $r \geqslant 1$. Ecalle's senary relation* (0.1) *for $M \in$ ARI is equivalent to*

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1)\quad & \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{r+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}) + \mathrm{coll}^{r+1}_{2,3} \circ \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{r+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}) \\ & = \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{r+1}(x_2, \dots, x_{r+1}, x_1) + \mathrm{coll}^{r+1}_{1,2} \circ \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{r+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We expand both sides of (4.1). By the definition of two maps $\mathrm{coll}^{r+1}_{2,3}$ and u, the left hand side of (4.1) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{r+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}) + \mathrm{coll}^{r+1}_{2,3} \circ \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{r+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}) \\ & \quad = M^r(x_{r+1} - x_1, x_r - x_{r+1}, \dots, x_2 - x_3) \\ & \qquad + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \big\{ M^{r-1}(x_{r+1} - x_1, x_r - x_{r+1}, \dots, x_4 - x_5, x_2 - x_4) \\ & \qquad\qquad - M^{r-1}(x_{r+1} - x_1, x_r - x_{r+1}, \dots, x_4 - x_5, x_3 - x_4) \big\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the right hand side of (4.1) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{r+1}(x_2, \dots, x_{r+1}, x_1) + \mathrm{coll}^{r+1}_{1,2} \circ \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{r+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}) \\ & \quad = M^r(x_1 - x_2, x_{r+1} - x_1, x_r - x_{r+1}, \dots, x_3 - x_4) \\ & \qquad + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_1} \big\{ M^{r-1}(x_{r+1} - x_2, x_r - x_{r+1}, \dots, x_4 - x_5, x_3 - x_4) \\ & \qquad\qquad - M^{r-1}(x_{r+1} - x_1, x_r - x_{r+1}, \dots, x_4 - x_5, x_3 - x_4) \big\}. \end{aligned}$$

So by putting $y_1 := x_{r+1} - x_1$ and $y_i := x_{r+2-i} - x_{r+3-i}$ $(2 \leqslant i \leqslant r)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & M^r(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r) + \frac{1}{y_r} \big\{ M^{r-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{r-2}, y_{r-1} + y_r) - M^{r-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \big\} \\ & = M^r(-y_1 - \cdots - y_r, y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \\ & + \frac{1}{y_1 + \cdots + y_r} \big\{ M^{r-1}(-y_2 - \cdots - y_r, y_2, \dots, y_{r-1}) - M^{r-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \big\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is just equal to

$$\mathrm{teru}(M)^r(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r) = \mathrm{push} \circ \mathrm{mantar} \circ \mathrm{teru} \circ \mathrm{mantar}(M)^r(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r).$$

Therefore, we obtain the claim. □

Proposition 26. *Suppose that* $M \in \mathrm{Fil}^2_{\mathcal{D}}\mathrm{ARI}_{\underline{\mathrm{al}}*\underline{\mathrm{il}}}$. *Then the mould* M *satisfies Ecalle's senary relation for* $r = 1,\ 2$ *and* 3.

Proof. Since we have $M^r(\mathbf{x}_r) = 0$ for $r = 0, 1$ by $M \in \mathrm{Fil}^2_{\mathcal{D}}\mathrm{ARI}$, it is clear that M satisfies the senary relation for $r = 1$. By Lemma 25, it is enough to prove (4.1) for $r = 2, 3$.

In the case when $r = 2$, by $M \in \mathrm{ARI}_{\underline{\mathrm{al}}*\underline{\mathrm{il}}}$, there exists a constant mould $C = (C_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha\in X^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathrm{Sh}_*\begin{pmatrix}(x_2);(x_3)\\ \alpha\end{pmatrix}\left(\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{l(\alpha)+1}(x_1,\alpha) + \underset{\sim}{u}(C)^{l(\alpha)+1}(x_1,\alpha)\right) = 0,$$

that is, we have

$$\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(x_1,x_2,x_3) = -\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(x_1,x_3,x_2) - 2C_2. \tag{4.2}$$

Here we use $\underset{\sim}{u}(C)^3(x_1,x_2,x_3) = \underset{\sim}{u}(C)^3(x_1,x_3,x_2) = C_2$. So by using [Sch15, Lemma 2.5.3] (see also [FK, Lemma 3.7]) and (4.2), we have

(4.3)
$$\begin{aligned}\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(x_1,x_2,x_3) &= \mathrm{swap}(M)^2(x_2-x_1,x_3-x_1) = M^2(x_3-x_1,x_2-x_3)\\ &= -M^2(x_2-x_3,x_3-x_1) = -\mathrm{swap}(M)^2(x_2-x_1,x_2-x_3)\\ &= -\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(-x_2,-x_1,-x_3) = \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(-x_2,-x_3,-x_1) + 2C_2.\end{aligned}$$

By using (4.3) twice, we get

$$\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(x_1,x_2,x_3) = \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(x_3,x_1,x_2) + 4C_2.$$

By using this three times, we obtain

$$\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(x_1,x_2,x_3) = \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(x_1,x_2,x_3) + 12C_2,$$

that is, we have $C_2 = 0$. So we get

$$\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(x_1,x_2,x_3) = \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(x_2,x_3,x_1). \tag{4.4}$$

Because $M^1(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0$, we have $\mathrm{coll}^3_{2,3} \circ \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(x_1,x_2,x_3) = \mathrm{coll}^3_{1,2} \circ \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^3(x_1,x_2,x_3) = 0$. Hence, we obtain (4.1) for $r = 2$. We note that, by (4.3), we have

(4.5)
$$\mathrm{coll}^4_{3,4} \circ \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_3,x_2,x_4) = -\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3} \circ \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_4,-x_1),$$

(4.6)
$$\mathrm{coll}^4_{1,2} \circ \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = -\mathrm{coll}^4_{3,4} \circ \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_1,-x_2).$$

In the case when $r=3$, by $M \in \mathrm{ARI}_{\underline{\mathrm{al}}*\underline{\mathrm{il}}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{\alpha\in X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}} \mathrm{Sh}_*\begin{pmatrix}(-x_2);(-x_4,-x_3)\\ \alpha\end{pmatrix}\left(\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{l(\alpha)+1}(-x_1,\alpha)+\underset{\sim}{u}(C)^{l(\alpha)+1}(-x_1,\alpha)\right)\\
&\quad-\sum_{\alpha\in X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}} \mathrm{Sh}_*\begin{pmatrix}(-x_2,-x_3);(-x_4)\\ \alpha\end{pmatrix}\left(\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{l(\alpha)+1}(-x_1,\alpha)+\underset{\sim}{u}(C)^{l(\alpha)+1}(-x_1,\alpha)\right)\\
&= \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_4,-x_3,-x_2)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{3,4}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_4,-x_2,-x_3)\\
&\quad-\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_2,-x_3,-x_4)-\mathrm{coll}^4_{3,4}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_2,-x_4,-x_3)\\
&= \underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_4,-x_3,-x_2)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_4,-x_3,-x_2)\\
&\quad-\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_2,-x_3,-x_4)-\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_2,-x_3,-x_4).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last equality, we use (4.2).

By using this and [Sch15, Lemma 2.5.3] (see also [FK, Lemma 3.7]) in a similar way to (4.3), we obtain

(4.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_4,x_1,x_2,x_3)=\mathrm{swap}(M)^3(x_1-x_4,x_2-x_4,x_3-x_4)\\
&=M^3(x_3-x_4,x_2-x_3,x_1-x_2)=M^3(x_1-x_2,x_2-x_3,x_3-x_4)\\
&=\mathrm{swap}(M)^3(x_1-x_4,x_1-x_3,x_1-x_2)=\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_4,-x_3,-x_2)\\
&=\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_2,-x_3,-x_4)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_2,-x_3,-x_4)\\
&\quad-\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_1,-x_4,-x_3,-x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, by the alternility of $\mathrm{swap}(M)+C$, the right hand side of (4.1) is calculated to be

(4.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_2,x_3,x_4,x_1)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{1,2}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)\\
=&\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_2,x_3,x_4,x_1)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{1,2}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)\\
&+\sum_{\alpha\in X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}} \mathrm{Sh}_*\begin{pmatrix}(x_2);(x_3,x_4)\\ \alpha\end{pmatrix}\left(\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^{l(\alpha)+1}(x_1,\alpha)+\underset{\sim}{u}(C)^{l(\alpha)+1}(x_1,\alpha)\right)\\
=&\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)+3C_3\\
&+\{\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_3,x_2,x_4)+\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_3,x_4,x_2)+\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_2,x_3,x_4,x_1)\\
&\qquad+\mathrm{coll}^4_{3,4}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_3,x_2,x_4)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{1,2}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We calculate the last five terms in the above equation. By applying (4.7) to the first three terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_3,x_2,x_4)+\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_3,x_4,x_2)+\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_2,x_3,x_4,x_1)\\
&\qquad+\mathrm{coll}^4_{3,4}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_3,x_2,x_4)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{1,2}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)\\
&=\{\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_4,-x_1)\\
&\quad+\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_4,-x_1)-\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_1,-x_2)\}\\
&\quad+\{\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_2,-x_1)\\
&\quad+\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_2,-x_1)-\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_1,-x_4)\}\\
&\quad+\{\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_1,-x_2)\\
&\quad+\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_1,-x_2)-\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_1,-x_4)\}\\
&\quad+\mathrm{coll}^4_{3,4}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_3,x_2,x_4)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{1,2}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4).
\end{aligned}$$

By rearrangements, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&=\{\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_4,-x_1)+\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_2,-x_1)\\
&\quad+\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_1,-x_2)\\
&\quad+\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_4,-x_1)-\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_1,-x_4)\}\\
&\quad+\{\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_2,-x_1)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{3,4}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_3,x_2,x_4)\}\\
&\quad+\{-\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_1,-x_4)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{1,2}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying (4.2) to the fifth term, (4.5) to the seventh term and (4.6) to the ninth term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&=\{\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_4,-x_1)+\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_2,-x_1)\\
&\quad+\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_1,-x_2)\\
&\quad+\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_4,-x_1)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{3,4}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_2,-x_1)\}\\
&\quad+\{\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_2,-x_1)-\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_4,-x_1)\}\\
&\quad-\{\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_1,-x_4)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{3,4}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_4,-x_1,-x_2)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the sum of the first five terms is equal to $-3C_3$ by the alternility of $\mathrm{swap}(M)+C$, the application of (4.2) to the last term gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&=-3C_3\\
&\quad-\{\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_2,-x_1,-x_4)-\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(-x_3,-x_1,-x_2,-x_4)\}\\
&=-3C_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by applying this equation to (4.8), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_2,x_3,x_4,x_1)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{1,2}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)\\
&=\{\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)+3C_3\}-3C_3\\
&=\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)+\mathrm{coll}^4_{2,3}\circ\underset{\sim}{u}(M)^4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain (4.1) for $r=3$. □

We expect that our above calculations would help us to construct a still conjectural inclusion $\mathrm{Fil}^2_{\mathcal{D}}\mathrm{ARI}_{\underline{\mathrm{al}}*\underline{\mathrm{il}}}\hookrightarrow\mathrm{ARI}_{\mathrm{sena/pusnu}}$.

Now the proof of Theorem 4 is immediate. Remark 14 says $\mathrm{ma}_{\tilde{f}}\in\mathrm{Fil}^2_{\mathcal{D}}\mathrm{ARI}_{\underline{\mathrm{al}}*\underline{\mathrm{il}}}$ for $\tilde{f}\in\mathfrak{dmr}$. By Proposition 26, we see that $M=\mathrm{ma}_{\tilde{f}}$ satisfies Ecalle's senary relation (0.1) for $r=1$, 2 and 3. Whence our claim is shown. □

References

[AET] A. Alekseev, B. Enriquez, and C. Torossian, *Drinfeld associators, braid groups and explicit solutions of the Kashiwara-Vergne equations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. **112** (2010), 143–189.

[AT] A. Alekseev, and C. Torossian, *The Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture and Drinfeld's associators*, Ann. of Math. (2) **175** (2012), no. 2, 415–463.

[D] V. G. Drinfeld, *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991), no. 4, 829–860.

[Ec81] J. Ecalle, *Les fonctions résurgentes. Tome I et II*, Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay **81**, 6. Université de Paris-Sud, Département de Mathématique, Orsay, 1981.

[Ec03] J. Ecalle, *ARI/GARI, la dimorphie et l'arithmétique des multizêtas: un premier bilan*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **15** (2003), no. 2, 411–478.

[Ec11] J. Ecalle, *The flexion structure and dimorphy: flexion units, singulators, generators, and the enumeration of multizeta irreducibles*, With computational assistance from S. Carr. CRM Series, **12**, Asymptotics in dynamics, geometry and PDEs; generalized Borel summation. Vol. II, 27–211, Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2011.

[EF] B. Enriquez and H. Furusho, *The Betti side of the double shuffle theory. II. Double shuffle relations for associators*, to appear in Selecta. Math.

[F10] H. Furusho, *Pentagon and hexagon equations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171**, no. 1, 545–556, (2010)

[F11] H. Furusho, *Double shuffle relation for associators*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174**, no. 1, 341–360, (2011)

[F14] H. Furusho, *Around associators*, Automorphic forms and Galois representations. Vol. 2, 105–117, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **415**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014.

[FHK] H. Furusho, M. Hirose, and N. Komiyama, *Associators and the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group in mould theory*, in preparation.

[FK] H. Furusho, and N. Komiyama, *Kashiwara-Vergne and dihedral bigraded Lie algebras in mould theory*, to appear in Ann. Fac. Sc. Toulouse.

[G] A. B. Goncharov, *The dihedral Lie algebras and Galois symmetries of* $\pi_1^{(l)}(\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N))$, Duke Math. J. **110** (2001), no. 3, 397–487.

[K] N. Komiyama, *On properties of* adari(pal) and ganit(pic), preprint, arXiv:2110.04834.

[R02] G. Racinet, *Doubles mélanges des polylogarithmes multiples aux racines de l'unité*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **95** (2002), 185–231.

[RS] E. Raphael, and L. Schneps, *On linearised and elliptic versions of the Kashiwara-Vergne Lie algebra*, `arXiv:1706.08299v1`, preprint.

[SaSch] A. Salerno, A. and L. Schnepps, *Mould theory and the double shuffle Lie algebra structure*, Periods in Quantum Field Theory and Arithmetic, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol **314** (2020), Springer, 399–430.

[Sch12] L. Schneps, *Double shuffle and Kashiwara-Vergne Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **367** (2012), 54–74.

[Sch15] L. Schneps, *ARI, GARI, ZIG and ZAG: An introduction to Ecalle's theory of multiple zeta values*, `arXiv:1507.01534`, preprint.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan

Email address: `furusho@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

Email address: `komiyama.nao.aww@osaka-u.ac.jp`