Unconstrained $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin gauge superfields and their hypermultiplet couplings

Ioseph Buchbinder [†,*], **Evgeny Ivanov** [*,⋆], **Nikita Zaigraev** [*,⋆]

[†] *Center of Theoretical Physics, Tomsk State Pedagogical University, 634061, Tomsk, Russia*

[*] *Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia*

[⋆] *Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 141700 Dolgoprudny, Moscow region, Russia*

joseph@tspu.edu.ru, eivanov@theor.jinr.ru, nikita.zaigraev@phystech.edu

Talk given by N. Zaigraev at the International Conference on Quantum Field Theory, High-Energy Physics, and Cosmology, Dubna, July 18 - 21, 2022

arXiv:2211.09501v2 [hep-th] 21 Nov 2022

Abstract

In recent papers [1, 2], we constructed free off-shell $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric higher spin gauge theories in harmonic superspace and their cubic couplings to hypermultiplet. The present report is a brief review of the results obtained.

1 Preamble: supersymmetry and higher spins

Supersymmetric higher-spin theories are under intensive development for last decades. One of the basic origins of interest in them is that they could provide a bridge between superstring theory and low-energy (super)gauge theories.

Free massless bosonic and fermionic higher spin field theories have been pioneered in [3, 4]. The natural tools to deal with supersymmetric theories are off-shell superfield methods. In the superfield approach the supersymmetry is closed on the off-shell supermultiplets with the correct sets of the auxiliary fields and so is manifest. Unconstrained superfield formulations are most preferable. The component approach to the description of $4D, \mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric free massless higher spin models was initiated in [5, 6]. The complete off-shell Lagrangian formulation of $4D$ free higher spin $\mathcal{N} = 1$ models (including those on the AdS background) has been given in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfields in a series of works by S. Kuzenko with collaborators [7, 8, 9].

Until present, an off-shell superfield Lagrangian formulation for higher-spin **extended** supersymmetric theories, with all supersymmetries manifest, was unknown even for free theories. This gap was recently filled in [1]. An off-shell manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric unconstrained formulation of $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$ superextension of the Fronsdal theory for integer spins was constructed for the first time, based on the harmonic superspace approach [10, 11]. Manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric off-shell cubic couplings of $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$ to the hypermultiplets were given in [2]. Our papers open a new area of applications of the harmonic superspace formalism, that time in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin theories.

2 Harmonic superspace

At present, in four-dimensions, the self-consistent off-shell superfield formalism for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 3$ theories is the harmonic superspace approach [10, 11]. Harmonic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace is obtained by adding $SU(2)$ harmonics to ordinary superspace:

$$Z = (x^m\,,\ \theta^\alpha_i\,,\ \bar\theta^{\dot\alpha\, j}, u^{\pm i}), \quad u^{\pm i} \in SU(2)/U(1), \quad u^{+i}u^-_i = 1. \tag{1}$$

Analytic harmonic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace is an invariant subspace of (1):

$$\zeta_A = (x^m_A, \theta^{+\alpha}, \bar\theta^{+\dot\alpha}, u^{\pm i}), \quad \theta^{+\alpha,\dot\alpha} := \theta^{\alpha,\dot\alpha i}u^+_i, \quad x^m_A := x^m - 2i\theta^{(i}\sigma^m\bar\theta^{j)}u^+_i u^-_j\,. \tag{2}$$

All basic $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfields are analytic:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{matter hypermultiplets}}: &\quad q^+(\zeta_A)\,,\ \tilde{q}^+(\zeta_A)\,,\\ \underline{\text{SYM}}: &\quad V^{++}(\zeta_A)\,,\\ \underline{\text{supergravity}}: &\quad h^{++m}(\zeta_A)\,,\ h^{++\alpha+}(\zeta_A)\,,\ h^{++\dot\alpha+}(\zeta_A)\,,\ h^{+++5}(\zeta_A)\,. \end{aligned}$$

3 $\mathcal{N}=2$ spin 1 multiplet

An instructive example is supplied by Abelian $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theory,

$$V^{++}(\zeta_A)\,,\quad \delta_\lambda V^{++}=D^{++}\lambda(\zeta_A)\,,\qquad D^{++}=\partial^{++}-2i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar\theta^{+\dot\alpha}\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}+\theta^{+\hat\rho}\partial^+_{\hat\rho}\,. \tag{3}$$

In the Wess-Zumino gauge the analytic gauge superfield $V^{++}(\zeta_A)$ has the expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} &V^{++}(\zeta_A)=(\theta^+)^2\phi+(\bar\theta^+)^2\bar\phi+2i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar\theta^{+\dot\alpha}A_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\\ &+(\bar\theta^+)^2\theta^{+\alpha}\psi^i_\alpha u^-_i+(\theta^+)^2\bar\theta^+_{\dot\alpha}\bar\psi^{\dot\alpha i}u^-_i+(\theta^+)^2(\bar\theta^+)^2D^{(ik)}u^-_iu^-_k\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$4D$ fields ϕ, $\bar\phi$, $A_{\alpha\dot\alpha}$, ψ^i_α, $\bar\psi^i_{\dot\alpha}$, $D^{(ik)}$ constitute an Abelian gauge $\mathcal{N}=2$ off-shell multiplet (8 + 8 off-shell degrees of freedom).

Gauge-invariant action is written as

$$S_{(s=1)}\sim\int d^{12}Z\,\left(V^{++}V^{--}\right), \tag{5}$$

where V^{--} is a solution of zero curvature equation:

$$D^{++}V^{--}-D^{--}V^{++}=0\,,\qquad \delta_\lambda V^{--}=D^{--}\lambda\,.$$

$$D^{--}=\partial^{--}-2i\theta^{-\alpha}\bar\theta^{-\dot\alpha}\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}+\theta^{-\hat\rho}\partial^-_{\hat\rho}\,.$$

4 $\mathcal{N}=2$ spin 2 multiplet: linearized $\mathcal{N}=2$ Einstein supergravity

In the linearized $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity, the analogs of gauge prepotential $V^{++}(\zeta_A)$ are the following set of analytic gauge prepotentials:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(h^{++m}(\zeta_A)\,,\ h^{+++5}(\zeta_A)\,,\ h^{++\hat\mu+}(\zeta_A)\Big),\quad \hat\mu=(\mu\,,\dot\mu)\,,\\ &\delta_\lambda h^{++m}=D^{++}\lambda^m+2i\big(\lambda^{+\alpha}\sigma^m_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\bar\theta^{+\dot\alpha}+\theta^{+\alpha}\sigma^m_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\bar\lambda^{+\dot\alpha}\big)\,,\\ &\delta_\lambda h^{+++5}=D^{++}\lambda^5-2i\big(\lambda^{+\alpha}\theta^+_\alpha-\bar\theta^+_{\dot\alpha}\bar\lambda^{+\dot\alpha}\big)\,,\\ &\delta_\lambda h^{++\hat\mu+}=D^{++}\lambda^{+\hat\mu}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The off-shell content of this theory is revealed in the Wess-Zumino gauge::

$$\begin{aligned} &h^{++m}=-2i\theta^+\sigma^a\bar\theta^+\Phi^m_a+\left[(\bar\theta^+)^2\theta^+\psi^{m\,i}u^-_i+c.c.\right]+\dots\\ &h^{+++5}=-2i\theta^+\sigma^a\bar\theta^+C_a+\dots\,,\quad h^{++\mu+}=\dots \end{aligned}$$

The residual gauge freedom is given by:

$$\lambda^m\ \Rightarrow\ a^m(x)\,,\qquad \lambda^5\ \Rightarrow\ b(x)\,,\qquad \lambda^{\mu+}\ \Rightarrow\ \epsilon^{\mu i}(x)u^+_i+\theta^{+\nu}l_{(\nu}{}^{\mu)}(x)\,.$$

The physical fields are $\Phi^m_a,\psi^{m\,i}_\mu,C_a$ $\big(\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplet $(2,3/2,3/2,1)$ on shell$\big)$. The spin 1 part of Φ^m_a can be gauged away by the local "Lorentz" parameters $l_{(\nu\mu)}(x)$, $l_{(\dot\nu\dot\mu)}(x)$:

$$\Phi^m_a\sim\Phi_{\beta\dot\beta\alpha\dot\alpha}\Rightarrow\Phi_{(\beta\alpha)(\dot\beta\dot\alpha)}+\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\Phi\,.$$

The invariant action is constructed as [12]:

$$S_{(s=2)}\sim\int d^4xd^8\theta du\Big(G^{++\alpha\dot\alpha}G^{--}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}+G^{+++5}G^{---5}\Big)\,. \tag{7}$$

Here G superfields are composite non-analytic objects:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G^{++\mu\dot\mu} := h^{++\mu\dot\mu} + 2i\big(h^{++\mu+}\bar\theta^{-\dot\mu} + \theta^{-\mu}h^{++\dot\mu+}\big)\,,\\
&G^{++5} := h^{++5} - 2i\big(h^{++\mu+}\theta^-_\mu - \bar\theta^-_{\dot\mu}h^{++\dot\mu+}\big)\,,\\
&D^{++}G^{--\mu\dot\mu} = D^{--}G^{++\mu\dot\mu}\,,\\
&D^{++}G^{--5} = D^{--}G^{++5}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

After passing to components, the spin 2 part of the Lagrangian reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G^{++\alpha\dot\alpha}_{(\Phi)}G^{--\alpha\dot\alpha}_{(\Phi)} + G^{++5}_{(\Phi)}G^{--5}_{(\Phi)} \quad \Rightarrow\\
&\mathcal{L}_{(\Phi)} = -\frac{1}{4}\Big[\Phi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)}\Box\Phi_{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} - \Phi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)}\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial^{\rho\dot\rho}\Phi_{(\rho\beta)(\dot\rho\dot\beta)}\\
&\qquad +2\,\Phi\partial^{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial^{\beta\dot\beta}\Phi_{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} - 6\Phi\Box\Phi\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

It is invariant under the residual gauge freedom $\delta\Phi_{\beta\dot\beta\alpha\dot\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}a_{\beta\dot\beta} + \partial_{\beta\dot\beta}a_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\right)$, $\delta\Phi = \frac{1}{4}\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}a^{\alpha\dot\alpha}$.

5 $\mathcal{N}=2$ spin 3

A generalization to higher integer spin $\mathcal{N}=2$ supermultiplets goes rather straightforwardly. The spin 3 example is significative.

The spin 3 triad of analytic gauge superfields is introduced as:

$$
h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)}(\zeta)\,,\quad h^{++\alpha\dot\alpha}(\zeta),\quad h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot\alpha+}(\zeta),\quad h^{++(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)\alpha+}(\zeta)\,,
$$

and has the following transformation laws, with the analytic gauge parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\delta h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} = D^{++}\lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} + 2i\big[\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha}\bar\theta^{+\dot\beta)} + \theta^{+(\alpha}\bar\lambda^{+\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)}\big],\\
&\delta h^{++\alpha\dot\alpha} = D^{++}\lambda^{\alpha\dot\alpha} - 2i\big[\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot\alpha}\theta^+_\beta + \bar\lambda^{+(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)\alpha}\bar\theta^+_{\dot\beta}\big],\\
&\delta h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot\alpha+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot\alpha}\,,\qquad \delta h^{++(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)\alpha+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)\alpha}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

The bosonic physical fields in the Wess-Zumino gauge are collected in

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} &= -2i\theta^{+\rho}\bar\theta^{+\dot\rho}\Phi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)}_{\rho\dot\rho} + \Big[(\bar\theta^+)^2\theta^+\psi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)i}u^-_i + c.c.\Big] + \dots\\
h^{++\alpha\dot\alpha} &= -2i\theta^{+\rho}\bar\theta^{+\dot\rho}C^{\alpha\dot\alpha}_{\rho\dot\rho} + \dots
\end{aligned}
$$

The physical gauge fields are $\Phi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)}_{\rho\dot\rho}$ (spin 3 gauge field), $C^{\alpha\dot\alpha}_{\rho\dot\rho}$ (spin 2 gauge field) and $\psi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)i}_\gamma$ (spin 5/2 gauge field). The rest of fields are auxiliary. On shell, we end up with the $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplet $(3, 5/2, 5/2, 2)$.

Some residual gauge freedom can be used to put the physical bosonic gauge fields into the irreducible form

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_{\gamma\dot\gamma(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} = \Phi_{(\alpha\beta\gamma)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta\dot\gamma)} + \varepsilon_{\dot\gamma(\dot\alpha}\varepsilon_{\gamma(\beta}\Phi_{\alpha)\dot\beta)}\,,\\
&C_{\gamma\dot\gamma\alpha\dot\alpha} = C_{(\gamma\alpha)(\dot\gamma\dot\alpha)} + \varepsilon_{\gamma\alpha}\varepsilon_{\dot\gamma\dot\alpha}C\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

with the following gauge transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\delta\Phi_{(\alpha\gamma\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\gamma\dot\beta)} = \partial_{(\beta(\dot\beta}a_{\alpha\gamma)\dot\alpha\dot\gamma)}\,,\quad \delta\Phi_{\alpha\dot\beta} = \frac{4}{9}\partial^{\gamma\dot\gamma}a_{(\alpha\gamma)(\dot\beta\dot\gamma)}\,,\\
&\delta C_{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} = \partial_{(\beta(\dot\beta}b_{\alpha)\dot\alpha)},\quad \delta C = \frac{1}{4}\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}b^{\alpha\dot\alpha}.
\end{aligned}
$$

These are just the correct gauge transformations for the Fronsdal spin 3 fields $(\Phi_{(\alpha\beta\gamma)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta\dot\gamma)}, \Phi_{\alpha\dot\beta})$ and spin 2 fields $(C_{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)}, C)$.

The invariant superfield action is constructed literally on the pattern of the spin 2 case

$$S_{(s=3)} = \int d^4x d^8\theta du \Big\{ G^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} G^{--}_{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} + G^{++\alpha\dot\beta} G^{--}_{\alpha\dot\beta} \Big\},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&G^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} = h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} + 2i\big[h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha+}\bar\theta^{-\dot\beta)} - h^{++(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)(\alpha+}\theta^{-\beta)}\big]\,,\\
&G^{++\alpha\dot\beta} = h^{++\alpha\dot\beta} - 2i\big[h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot\beta+}\theta^-_\beta - \bar\theta^-_{\dot\alpha} h^{++(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)\alpha+}\big],\\
&D^{++}G^{--(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} - D^{--}G^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} = 0\,,\\
&D^{++}G^{--\alpha\dot\beta} - D^{--}G^{++\alpha\dot\beta} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The component spin 3 bosonic action reads

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{(s=3)} = \int d^4x \Big\{ &\Phi^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)(\dot\alpha_1\dot\alpha_2\dot\alpha_3)} \Box \Phi_{(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)(\dot\alpha_1\dot\alpha_2\dot\alpha_3)}\\
&- \frac{3}{2}\Phi^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)(\dot\alpha_1\dot\alpha_2\dot\alpha_3)} \partial_{\alpha_1\dot\alpha_1}\partial^{\rho\dot\rho}\Phi_{(\rho\alpha_2\alpha_3)(\dot\rho\dot\alpha_2\dot\alpha_3)}\\
&+ 3\Phi^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)(\dot\alpha_1\dot\alpha_2\dot\alpha_3)} \partial_{\alpha_1\dot\alpha_1}\partial_{\alpha_2\dot\alpha_2}\Phi_{\alpha_3\dot\alpha_3} - \frac{15}{4}\Phi^{\alpha\dot\alpha}\Box\Phi_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\\
&+ \frac{3}{8}\partial_{\alpha_1\dot\alpha_1}\Phi^{\alpha_1\dot\alpha_1}\partial_{\alpha_2\dot\alpha_2}\Phi^{\alpha_2\dot\alpha_2}\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

6 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin s

The general case with the maximal spin s is spanned by the following analytic gauge potentials

$$h^{++\alpha(s-1)\dot\alpha(s-1)}(\zeta), \quad h^{++\alpha(s-2)\dot\alpha(s-2)}(\zeta), \quad h^{++\alpha(s-1)\dot\alpha(s-2)+}(\zeta), \quad h^{++\dot\alpha(s-1)\alpha(s-2)+}(\zeta), \tag{8}$$

where $\alpha(s) := (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s), \dot\alpha(s) := (\dot\alpha_1 \dots \dot\alpha_s)$.

The relevant gauge transformations can also be defined and shown to leave, in the WZ-like gauge, the physical field multiplet $(s, s-1/2, s-1/2, s-1)$.

The invariant action has the universal form for any **s**

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{(s)} = (-1)^{s+1}\int d^4x d^8\theta du \Big\{ &G^{++\alpha(s-1)\dot\alpha(s-1)} G^{--}_{\alpha(s-1)\dot\alpha(s-1)}\\
&+ G^{++\alpha(s-2)\dot\alpha(s-2)} G^{--}_{\alpha(s-2)\dot\alpha(s-2)}\Big\},
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
G^{\pm\pm\alpha(s-1)\dot\alpha(s-1)} &= h^{\pm\pm\alpha(s-1)\dot\alpha(s-1)} + 2i\big[h^{\pm\pm\alpha(s-1)(\dot\alpha(s-2)+}\bar\theta^{-\dot\alpha_{s-1})}\\
&\qquad - h^{\pm\pm\dot\alpha(s-1)(\alpha(s-2)+}\theta^{-\alpha_{s-1})}\big],\\
G^{\pm\pm\alpha(s-2)\dot\alpha(s-2)} &= h^{\pm\pm\alpha(s-2)\dot\alpha(s-2)} - 2i\big[h^{\pm\pm(\alpha(s-2)\alpha_{s-1})\dot\alpha(s-2)+}\theta^-_{\alpha_{s-1}}\\
&\qquad + h^{\pm\pm\alpha(s-2)(\dot\alpha(s-2)\dot\alpha_{(s-1)})+}\bar\theta^-_{\alpha_{s-1}}\big],
\end{aligned}$$

and the negatively charged potentials are related to the basic ones by the appropriate harmonic zero-curvature conditions.

7 Hypermultiplet couplings

The construction of interactions in the theory of higher spins is a very important task [13, 14]. The simplest higher spin interaction is described by a cubic vertex, e.g., bilinear in the matter fields and of the first order in gauge fields. At present, there is an extensive literature related to the construction of cubic higher spin interactions (e.g., [15, 16, 17, 18] and many others).

Supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 1$ generalizations of the purely bosonic cubic vertices with matter and the corresponding supercurrents were explored in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfields in the papers [19, 20].

In [2] we have constructed, for the first time, the off-shell manifestly $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric cubic couplings $\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}}-\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{s}$ of an arbitrary higher integer superspin $\mathbf{s}$ gauge $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplet to the hypermultiplet matter in $4D,\mathcal{N}=2$ harmonic superspace.

Our starting point is the $\mathcal{N}=2$ hypermultiplet off-shell free action:

$$S=\int d\zeta^{(-4)}\,\mathcal{L}^{+4}_{free}=-\int d\zeta^{(-4)}\,\frac{1}{2}q^{+a}\mathcal{D}^{++}q^{+}_{a}\,,\qquad a=1,2.$$

Here there is addition central charge term in harmonic derivative:

$$\mathcal{D}^{++}=D^{++}+\left((\theta^{+})^{2}-(\bar{\theta}^{+})^{2}\right)\partial_{5}\,.$$

This term is used for description of massive hypermultiplet.

7.1 Spin 1 coupling

We reproduce the gauge higher-spin $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfields from gauging the appropriate higher-derivative rigid (super)symmetries of this free hypermultiplet action. The simplest symmetry is of zero order in derivatives, it is $U(1)$ transformation of q^{+a},

$$\delta q^{+a}=-\lambda_{0}Jq^{+a},\quad Jq^{+a}=i(\tau_{3})^{a}_{\ b}q^{+b}\,.$$

Gauging of this symmetry is just replacing λ_0 by analytic superparameter, $\lambda_0\to\lambda(\zeta)$, and in order to make the q^{+a} action gauge-invariant, we perform the change

$$\mathcal{D}^{++}\ \Rightarrow\ \mathfrak{D}^{++}_{(1)}=\mathcal{D}^{++}+h^{++}J\,,\qquad \delta_{\lambda}h^{++}=\mathcal{D}^{++}\lambda\,.$$

So the q^{+} gauge invariant action is:

$$\mathcal{L}^{+4}_{free}\ \ \to\ \ \mathcal{L}^{+4(s=1)}_{gauge}=-\frac{1}{2}q^{+a}\left(\mathcal{D}^{++}+h^{++}J\right)q^{+}_{a}\,. \tag{10}$$

There is no direct relation between J and ∂_5: one can choose $\partial_5 q^{+a}=0$ which corresponds to massless q^{+a} or $\partial_5 q^{+a}\sim mJq^{+a}$, which corresponds to massive q^{+a}.

7.2 Spin 2 coupling

The global symmetry to be gauged in the spin 2 case looks somewhat more complicated, it is of first order in derivatives

$$\delta_{rig}q^{+a}=-\hat{\Lambda}_{rig}q^{+a},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Lambda}_{rig}\ \ &=\ \ \left(\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}-2i\lambda^{-\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}-2i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar{\lambda}^{-\dot{\alpha}}\right)\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}+\lambda^{+\alpha}\partial^{-}_{\alpha}+\bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\partial^{-}_{\dot{\alpha}}\\&\quad+\left(\lambda^{5}+2i\lambda^{\hat{\alpha}-}\theta^{+}_{\hat{\alpha}}\right)\partial_{5}:=\Lambda^{M}\partial_{M}\,,\quad[\mathcal{D}^{++},\hat{\Lambda}_{rig}]=0\,.\end{aligned}$$

It involves five constant bosonic parameters $\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, λ^5, four constant spinor parameters $\lambda^{\pm\hat{\alpha}}=\lambda^{\hat{\alpha}i}u^{\pm}_{i}$ and can be treated as a copy of the rigid $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry transformations in their active form. We gauge just these transformations, leaving $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry realized on the coordinates rigid.

In this case there are two possibilities for gauge transformations of the hypermultiplet:

$$\delta_{1}q^{+a}=-\hat{\Lambda}_{(2)}q^{+a},\quad \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)}:=\lambda^{M}\partial_{M}=\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}+\lambda^{+\alpha}\partial^{-}_{\alpha}+\bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\partial^{-}_{\dot{\alpha}}+\lambda^{5}\partial_{5}\,,$$

$$\delta_{2}q^{+a}=-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{(2)}q^{+a},\quad \Omega_{(2)}:=(-1)^{P(M)}\partial_{M}\lambda^{M}=\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}-\partial^{-}_{\alpha}\lambda^{+\alpha}-\partial^{-}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}.$$

$$(\delta_{1}+\delta_{2})\,\mathcal{L}^{+4}_{free}=\frac{1}{2}q^{+a}[\mathcal{D}^{++},\hat{\Lambda}_{(2)}]q^{+}_{a}\,.$$

Next, we introduce the $\mathcal{N}=2$ invariant differential operator

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}_{(2)}=h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}+h^{++\hat{\mu}+}\partial^{-}_{\hat{\mu}}+h^{++5}\partial_{5}\,,$$

with the gauge transformation law

$$\delta\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}_{(2)} = [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)}].$$

Then the linear in gauge superfields part of the gauge-invariant action reads

$$\mathcal{L}^{+4}_{free} \;\to\; \mathcal{L}^{+4(s=2)}_{gauge} = -\frac{1}{2} q^{+a} \left(\mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}_{(2)}\right) q^{+}_{a} \,. \tag{11}$$

In fact $\mathcal{L}^{+4(s=2)}_{gauge}$ can be made fully gauge invariant (and not at the linearized level) by deforming the gauge transformation law of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}_{(2)}$ as

$$\delta_{full}\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}_{(2)} = [\mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}_{(2)}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)}] \,.$$

A complete nonlinear harmonic superfield action of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity including the pure supergravity part was constructed in [21].

7.3 Spin 3 coupling

A surprising peculiarity of the superspin 3 case is that the relevant rigid two-derivative transformations to be gauged and the resulting couplings of the relevant gauge superfields to the hypermultiplet can be consistently defined only at cost of breaking rigid $SU(2)_{PG}$ symmetry down to $U(1)$ generator which is manifestly present in all formulas. This peculiarity extends to all odd $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spins.

Though in this case from the beginning one can define 4 independent transformations of q^{+a}, only two their fixed combinations can be compensated by the appropriate transformations of gauge superfields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\lambda q^{+a} \;&=\; -\left[\lambda^{\alpha\dot\alpha M}\partial_M\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\lambda^{\alpha\dot\alpha M})\partial_M + \frac{1}{2}\Omega^{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{(3)}\right](\tau_3)^a_{\ b} q^{+b} \,, \\
&\delta_\xi q^{+a} = -\xi\,\Omega_{(3)}\, J q^{+a} = -i\xi\,\Omega_{(3)}\,(\tau_3)^a_{\ b} q^{+b} \,,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^{\alpha\dot\alpha M}\partial_M = \lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)}\partial_{\beta\dot\beta} + \lambda^{(\alpha\beta)\dot\alpha +}\partial^-_\beta + \bar\lambda^{(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)\alpha +}\partial^-_{\dot\beta} + \lambda^{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_5 \,, \\
&\Omega_{(3)} = (\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\Omega^{\alpha\dot\alpha}_{(3)}) \,, \quad \Omega^{\alpha\dot\alpha} = (-1)^{P(M)}(\partial_M\lambda^{\alpha\dot\alpha M}) \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++\alpha\dot\alpha} = h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)}\partial_{\beta\dot\beta} + h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot\alpha +}\partial^-_\beta + \bar h^{++(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)\alpha +}\partial^-_{\dot\beta} + h^{++\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_5 \,, \\
&\Gamma^{++\alpha\dot\alpha} = \partial_{\beta\dot\beta}h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)} - \partial^-_\beta h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot\alpha +} - \partial^-_{\dot\beta} h^{++\alpha(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)+} , \\
&\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}_{(3)} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}, \qquad \Gamma^{++}_{(3)} = \partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\Gamma^{++\alpha\dot\alpha} \\
&\delta\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++} = [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(3)}] \,, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{(3)} = \lambda^{\alpha\dot\alpha M}\partial_M\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha} \,, \quad \delta\Gamma^{++}_{(3)} = \mathcal{D}^{++}\Omega_{(3)} \,,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain a gauge invariant extension of the q^+ action as

$$\mathcal{L}^{+4}_{free} \;\to\; \mathcal{L}^{+4(s=3)}_{gauge} = -\frac{1}{2} q^{+a} \left(\mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}_{(3)} J + \xi\Gamma^{++}_{(3)} J\right) q^{+}_{a} \,. \tag{12}$$

The presence of constant ξ in the gauged Lagrangian shows that off shell there are 2 types of possible interactions of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin **3** with the hypermultiplet. The coefficient ξ is a dimensionless coupling constant that measures the relative strength of these interactions. Actually, we have checked that on shell the ξ term does not contribute to cubic vertex (at least in the bosonic sector), it survives only off-shell and perhaps can play some role in the quantum theory.

7.4 Spin 4 coupling

In the superspin **4** case the transformations of q^{+a} do not require internal symmetry generators, so they preserve $SU(2)_{PG}$ invariance. The rigid symmetry transformations are of third order in derivatives and well defined. Their localization in the analytic subspace admit 6 independent variations, but only 3 of them turn out to finally matter

$$\delta_1 q^{+a} = -\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_{\beta\dot\beta}\hat\Lambda^{\alpha\beta\dot\alpha\dot\beta}q^{+a}\,, \quad \delta_2 q^{+a} = -\hat\Lambda^{\alpha\beta\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_{\beta\dot\beta}q^{+a}\,, \quad \delta_3 q^{+a} = -\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_{\beta\dot\beta}\Omega^{\alpha\beta\dot\alpha\dot\beta}q^{+a}\,,$$

where

$$\hat\Lambda^{\alpha\beta\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = \lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)M}\partial_M\,, \quad \Omega^{\alpha\beta\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = (-1)^{P(M)}(\partial_M\lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)M})$$

and derivatives freely act to the right. One can calculate

$$(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_3)\,\mathcal{L}^{+4}_{free} = \frac{1}{2}q^{+a}[\mathcal{D}^{++},\hat\Lambda_{(4)}]q^+_a.$$

Then the modified q^{+a} action reads

$$\mathcal{L}^{+4}_{free} \;\to\; \mathcal{L}^{+4(s=4)}_{gauge} = -\frac{1}{2}\,q^{+a}\left(\mathcal{D}^{++}+\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}_{(4)}\right)q^+_a\,, \tag{13}$$

with

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}_{(4)} = h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)M}\partial_M\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_{\beta\dot\beta}\,, \quad \delta\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}_{(4)} = [\mathcal{D}^{++},\hat\Lambda_{(4)}]\,, \quad \hat\Lambda_{(4)} = \lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot\alpha\dot\beta)M}\partial_M\partial_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\partial_{\beta\dot\beta}\,.$$

7.5 Generaliations

The hypermultiplet couplings of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge multiplets of higher superspins **s** can be constructed quite analogously and have the uniform structure: gauge superfields and gauge parameters are the appropriate differential operators of the rank $\mathbf{s-1}$.

Everything can be easily extended to a system of several hypermultiplets. The free Lagrangian of n hypermultiplets can be written in the manifestly USp($2n$) invariant form as

$$\mathcal{L}^{+4}_{free,n} = \frac{1}{2}q^{+A}\mathcal{D}^{++}q^+_A\,, \qquad \widetilde{q^+_A} = \Omega^{AB}q^+_B\,, \qquad A=1,2,\ldots,2n\,,$$

where $\Omega^{AB}=-\Omega^{BA}$ is USp($2n$) invariant constant $2n\times 2n$ symplectic metric. It can be rewritten in an equivalent complex form as

$$\mathcal{L}^{+4}_{free,n} \sim \tilde q^{+a}\mathcal{D}^{++}q^+_a - \mathcal{D}^{++}\tilde q^{+a}q^+_a\,, \quad a=1,2,\ldots,n\,,\;\; q^+_A=(q^+_a,-\tilde q^{+a})$$

so that the manifest symmetry is $\mathrm{U}(n)=\mathrm{SU}(n)\times\mathrm{U}(1)\subset\mathrm{USp}(2n)$, with respect to which q^+_a and $\tilde q^{+a}$ transform in the fundamental and co-fundamental representations. Then one can identify the $U(1)$ generator needed for description of odd spins as

$$Jq^+_a = iq^+_a\,, \quad J\tilde q^{+a} = -i\tilde q^{+a}\,,$$

so that USp($2n$) gets broken to U(n). Some other options with SU(n) also broken, are as well admissible.

8 Summary and outlook

The theory of $\mathcal{N}=2$ higher spins $s\geq 3$ opens a new promising direction of applications of the harmonic superspace approach which earlier proved to be indispensable for description of more conventional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories with the maximal spins $s\leq 2$. Once again, the basic property underlying these new higher-spin theories is the harmonic Grassmann analyticity (all basic gauge potentials are unconstrained analytic superfields involving an infinite number of degrees of freedom off shell before fixing WZ-type gauges).

Some further lines of development::

- The natural next steps are the construction and investigation of $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin supercurrents of the hypermultiplet and more detailed study of the component structure;
- Construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric half-integer spin theories;
- An extension to AdS background;
- From the linearized theory to its full nonlinear version? At present, the latter is known only for $s \leq 2$ ($\mathcal{N} = 2$ super Yang - Mills and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravities). This problem will seemingly require accounting for all higher $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspins simultaneously. New supergeometries?

Acknowledgments

Work of I.B. and E.I. was supported in part by the Ministry of Education of the Russian Federation, project FEWF-2020-0003. Work of N.Z. was partially supported by by the grant 22-1-1-42-2 from the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics "BASIS".

References

[1] I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov and N. Zaigraev, *Unconstrained off-shell superfield formulation of 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric higher spins*, JHEP **12** (2021), 016 [arXiv:2109.07639 [hep-th]].

[2] I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov and N. Zaigraev, *Off-shell cubic hypermultiplet couplings to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher spin gauge superfields*, JHEP **05** (2022), 104 [arXiv:2202.08196 [hep-th]].

[3] C. Fronsdal, *Massless Fields with Integer Spin*, Phys. Rev. D **18** (1978) 3624.

[4] J. Fang, C. Fronsdal, *Massless Fields with Half Integral Spin*, Phys. Rev. D **18** (1978) 3630.

[5] T. Courtright, *Massless Field Supermultiplets With Arbitrary Spins*, Phys. Lett. B **85** (1979) 2019.

[6] M. A. Vasiliev, *Gauge form of description of massless fields with arbitrary spin*, Yad. Fiz. **32** (1980) 855-861 (in Russian) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **32** (1980) 439].

[7] S. Kuzenko, A. Sibiryakov, V. Postnikov, *Massless gauge superfields of higher half integer superspins*, JETP Lett. **57** (1993) 534.

[8] S. Kuzenko, A. Sibiryakov, *Massless gauge superfields of higher integer superspins*, JETP Lett. **57** (1993) 539.

[9] S. Kuzenko, A. Sibiryakov, *Free massless higher spuperspin superfields in the anti-de Sitter superspace*, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **57** (1994) 1257, [arXiv:1112.4612 [hep-th]].

[10] A. S. Galperin, E. A. Ivanov, V. I. Ogievetsky, E. S. Sokatchev, *Harmonic superspace*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, 2001, 306 p.

[11] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky, E. Sokatchev, *Harmonic superspace: key to $N = 2$ supersymmetric theories*, Pis'ma ZhETF **40** (1984) 155 [JETP Lett. **40** (1984) 912]; A. S. Galperin, E. A. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, V. I. Ogievetsky, E. S. Sokatchev, *Unconstrained $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Matter, Yang-Mills and Supergravity Theories in Harmonic Superspace*, Class. Quant. Grav. **1** (1984) 469-498 [Erratum: Class. Quant. Grav. **2** (1985) 127].

[12] B. M. Zupnik, *Background harmonic superfields in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity,* Theor. Math. Phys. **116** (1998) 964-977, [arXiv:hep-th/9803202].

[13] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla, M. A. Vasiliev, *Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions*, [arXiv:hep-th/0503128 [hep-th]].

[14] V. E. Didenko, E. D. Skvortsov, *Elements of Vasiliev theory*, [arXiv:1401.2975 [hep-th]].

[15] A. K. H. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson, L. Brink, *Cubic Interaction Terms for Arbitrary Spin*, Nucl. Phys. B **227** (1983) 31-40.

[16] R. R. Metsaev, *Cubic interaction vertices for fermionic and bosonic arbitrary spin fields*, Nucl. Phys. B **859** (2012) 13-69, [arXiv:0712.3526 [hep-th]].

[17] R. Manvelyan, K. Mkrtchyan, W. Ruhl, *Off-shell construction of some trilinear higher spin gauge field interactions*, Nucl. Phys. B **826** (2010) 1-17, [arXiv:0903.0243 [hep-th]].

[18] R. Manvelyan, K. Mkrtchyan, W. Ruehl, *Direct Construction of A Cubic Selfinteraction for Higher Spin gauge Fields*, Nucl. Phys. B **844** (2011) 348-364, [arXiv:1002.1358 [hep-th]].

[19] I. L. Buchbinder, S. J. Gates, K. Koutrolikos, *Higher Spin Superfield interactions with the Chiral Supermultiplet: Conserved Supercurrents and Cubic Vertices*, Universe **4** (2018) no.1, 6, [arXiv:1708.06262 [hep-th]].

[20] I. L. Buchbinder, S. J. Gates, K. Koutrolikos, *Conserved higher spin supercurrents for arbitrary spin massless supermultiplets and higher spin superfield cubic interactions*, JHEP **08** (2018) 055, [arXiv:1805.04413 [hep-th]].

[21] A. S. Galperin, N. A. Ky, E. Sokatchev, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *Supergravity in Superspace: Solution to the Constraints,* Class. Quant. Grav. **4** (1987) 1235.