

Accelerating Nonequilibrium Green functions simulations with embedding selfenergies

Niclas Schlünzen

Center for Advanced Systems Understanding (CASUS), Görlitz, D-02826, Germany

Karsten Balzer

Rechenzentrum, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, D-24098 Kiel, Germany

Hannes Ohldag, Jan-Philip Joost, and Michael Bonitz

*Institut für Theoretische Physik und Astrophysik,**Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, D-24098 Kiel, Germany**and Kiel Nano, Surface and Interface Science KiNSIS, Kiel University, Germany*

(Dated: November 18, 2022)

Real-time nonequilibrium Green functions (NEGF) have been very successful to simulate the dynamics of correlated many-particle systems far from equilibrium. However, NEGF simulations are computationally expensive since the effort scales cubically with the simulation duration. Recently we have introduced the G1–G2 scheme that allows for a dramatic reduction to time-linear scaling [Schlünzen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 076601 (2020); Joost *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 245101 (2020)]. Here we tackle another problem: the rapid growth of the computational effort with the system size. In many situations where the system of interest is coupled to a bath, to electric contacts or similar macroscopic systems for which a microscopic resolution of the electronic properties is not necessary, efficient simplifications are possible. This is achieved by the introduction of an embedding selfenergy – a concept that has been successful in standard NEGF simulations. Here, we demonstrate how the embedding concept can be introduced into the G1–G2 scheme, allowing us to drastically accelerate NEGF embedding simulations. The approach is compatible with all advanced selfenergies that can be represented by the G1–G2 scheme [as described in Joost *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **105**, 165155 (2022)] and retains the memory-less structure of the equations and their time linear scaling.

I. INTRODUCTION

The nonequilibrium properties of correlated many-particle systems following a rapid excitation have recently attracted high interest. This applies to many fields such as atoms in optical lattices [1, 2], correlated electrons in solids [3], femtosecond laser pulse excited atoms and molecules [4, 5], or dense plasmas [6]. Understanding the nonequilibrium behavior is the basis for potential applications such as ultrafast light-driven electronics [7] or novel material diagnostics using highly charged ions [8].

A theoretical description of correlated fermions far away from equilibrium is very challenging. Among the tools available are wave-function based methods, e.g. [9], time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT), density matrix normalization group (DMRG) simulations, reduced density matrix theory, and nonequilibrium Green functions (NEGF) theory. Here, we focus on NEGF simulation because they have undergone a dramatic development during recent years, for an overview, see e.g. the monographs [10, 11] and the recent reviews by Schlünzen *et al.* and Ridley *et al.* [12, 13]. At the same time, NEGF are not plagued by many restrictions of other methods and do not exhibit the exponential scaling with the system size known from wave function-based approaches.

However, NEGF simulations exhibit a very unfavorable cubic scaling of the computation time with the number of time steps N_t , which restricts the simulations to very short times. With the restriction to the time diagonal,

which is achieved with the generalized Kadanoff–Baym ansatz (GKBA) [14], the scaling improves to $\mathcal{O}(N_t^2)$, but only for the low-order selfenergies, such as the second-order Born approximation. For more accurate approximations (T matrix, GW etc.) the cubic scaling remains. The situation radically changed with the introduction of the G1–G2 scheme by Schlünzen *et al.* [15] which exactly reformulates the GKBA into coupled time-local equations for the one-particle and two-particle Green functions, $G^<(t)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2(t)$. This scheme eliminates all memory integrals and, therefore, scales linearly with N_t . Interestingly, this favorable scaling is achieved already after a small number of time steps and for all common selfenergies, including the second-order Born approximation, the T -matrix approximation and GW , as was demonstrated by Joost *et al.* [16]. The G1–G2-scheme was recently applied to the photoionization of organic molecules [17] and ultrafast electron–boson dynamics [18]. In particular, G1–G2 simulations with the GW selfenergy were reported for the simulation of ultrafast carrier and exciton dynamics in 2D materials by Perfetto *et al.* [19]. Despite the importance of GW simulations, they apply only to weakly and moderately coupled many-particle systems. At the same time, recently, many moderately or strongly correlated materials came into the focus of research, including transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) and twisted bilayers of graphene or TMDCs, e.g. [20–23]. Such systems can be treated more accurately via a self-consistent combination of GW and T -matrix diagrams which leads to the dynamically screened ladder approxi-

mation (DSL) that has recently been realized within the G1–G2 scheme by Joost *et al.* [24].

In G1-G2 simulations, now the memory consumption is the main bottleneck due to the need to store the two-particle Green function \mathcal{G}_2 . Recently a novel quantum fluctuations approach was presented that eliminates the need to store \mathcal{G}_2 and allows to drastically reduce the memory requirements of nonequilibrium *GW* simulations [25]. An alternative approach to reduce the basis dimension is to restrict the simulations to a small number of “active” orbitals or degrees of freedom, as done also in time-dependent restricted active-space methods in atomic and molecular physics, e.g. [9, 26] and references therein.

An alternative idea to reduce the dimensionality of the problem is an “embedding” approach: here the (sub-)system of interest is treated with full microscopic detail, whereas its environment, the dynamics of which are of minor importance, is computed in a suitably simplified fashion. Such schemes have been developed in many fields, including quantum chemistry, e.g. the QM/MM approach [27], condensed matter, e.g. within dynamical mean field theory [28], the statistical theory of open systems [29], and plasma-surface interaction [30, 31]. In NEGF simulations, the embedding concept has been successfully applied as well and allows, in particular, for an efficient treatment of nonequilibrium problems and ultrafast electron dynamics. This includes quantum transport in nanoscale junctions coupled to macroscopic leads [32, 33], the excitation dynamic of excitonic insulators [34], the photoionization of atoms in strong laser fields [4], or the Auger decay in molecules [35], for a text book overview see Ref. [10].

In this paper we extend the NEGF embedding concept to the G1–G2 scheme. We derive explicit general expressions for the embedding selfenergy and the embedding collision integral, allowing for interaction effects in the environment and the system–environment coupling on the mean-field (Hartree–Fock) level. Compared to the standard G1–G2 scheme, the embedding selfenergy gives rise to an additional equation for the system–environment coupling Green function, $G^{\text{es},<}$ which is time-local as well. Thus, the resulting equations of motion retain the time-local structure of the equations, for any correlation selfenergy, and thus the favorable time-linear scaling.

II. NONEQUILIBRIUM GREEN FUNCTIONS THEORY

A. Keldysh–Kadanoff–Baym equations (KBE)

Nonequilibrium Green functions theory is formulated in second quantization (for textbook or review discussions, see Refs. 10, 36, and 37). For an arbitrary single-particle basis with orbital $|i\rangle$ and spin projection σ one defines creation and annihilation operators, $c_{i\sigma}^\dagger$ and $c_{i\sigma}$, that obey the known anti-commutation rules. These operators are time-dependent via the Heisenberg representa-

tion of quantum mechanics. The central quantity of the theory is the one-particle NEGF (we use $\hbar = 1$),

$$G_{ij\sigma}(t, t') = -i\langle T_{\mathcal{C}} c_{i\sigma}(t) c_{j\sigma}^\dagger(t') \rangle,$$

where the expectation value is computed with the equilibrium density operator of the system. Further, times are running along the Keldysh contour \mathcal{C} and $T_{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes ordering of operators on \mathcal{C} , for details see Ref. [11]. For example, the time-dependent electron density in orbital i follows from G via $n_i(t) = -iG_{ii\sigma}(t, t^+)$, where $t^+ \equiv t + \epsilon$, with $\epsilon > 0$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. If the orbital indices differ, $i \neq j$, the Green function describes time-dependent transitions of electrons between two orbitals. In similar manner one computes the density matrix, currents, mean energies, optical absorption or electrical conductivity from G .

The NEGF obeys the two-time Keldysh–Kadanoff–Baym equations (KBE) [36]

$$\sum_k [i\partial_t \delta_{ik} - h_{ik}^{\text{HF}}(t)] G_{kj\sigma}(t, t') = \delta_{\mathcal{C}}(t - t') \delta_{ij} + \sum_k \int_{\mathcal{C}} ds \Sigma_{ik\sigma}(t, s) G_{kj\sigma}(s, t'), \quad (1)$$

where h^{HF} contains kinetic, potential and mean-field (Hartree–Fock) energy contributions, whereas correlation effects are included in the selfenergy Σ [here we do not consider spin changes and we omit the second equation which is the adjoint of Eq. (1)].

Without the right hand side, Eq. (1) would be equivalent to a Vlasov equation or its quantum generalization (time-dependent Hartree–Fock, TDHF). The r.h.s. contains correlation effects that are responsible for relaxation and dissipation and include scattering of electrons with electrons, ions or lattice vibrations (phonons). Notice the time integral on the r.h.s. which incorporates memory effects that are important to correctly treat correlations. The standard Boltzmann equation is recovered by evaluating this time integral approximately via a retardation expansion (Markov limit) [38, 39].

The NEGF formalism is formally exact if the selfenergy would be known exactly. The approach is internally consistent, obeys conservation laws and is applicable to arbitrary length and time scales. Its accuracy is determined by the proper choice for a single function – the selfenergy. For an overview on the treatment of weak and strong correlations in solids and optical lattices, see Refs. [12, 37].

B. Embedding selfenergy approach

Here, we briefly summarize the nonequilibrium embedding selfenergy approach of NEGF theory following the presentation of Ref. [30], generalizing the methods described in Sec. II A to open systems. We start from the second-quantized many-body Hamiltonian for the electrons in the entire many-body system and separate it

into a ‘‘central’’ system (s) and its ‘‘environment’’ (e) [we denote $\Omega = \{e, s\}$ and do not write the spin index explicitly],

$$H_{\text{total}} = \sum_{\alpha\beta\in\Omega} \sum_{ij} h_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(t) c_i^{\alpha\dagger} c_j^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\in\Omega} \sum_{ijkl} w_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} c_i^{\alpha\dagger} c_j^{\beta\dagger} c_k^\gamma c_l^\delta. \quad (2)$$

Here, the operator $c_i^{\alpha\dagger}$ (c_i^α) creates (annihilates) an electron in the state $|i\rangle$ of part α . The one-particle Hamiltonian, $h(t) = T + V(t)$, contains the electron’s kinetic and the (in general, time-dependent) potential energy, whereas w accounts for all possible electron–electron Coulomb interactions within and between the two parts. We underline that ‘‘environment’’ is only a notation for a part of the total system that is going to be treated approximately. In most cases of practical interest, the environment is much larger than the system, but it does not necessarily completely enclose the system as a heat bath. Aside from a ‘‘bath’’, this part can also describe leads in quantum transport, atomic or molecular energy levels that are not participating in a certain excitation (such as continuum states) or the gas or plasma phase surrounding atoms or a solid. At the same time this part of the system can be very complex and heterogeneous, consisting of many sub-parts, so the index ‘‘e’’ can be a multi-index describing many baths [30, 40]. In this paper we focus on short-time phenomena. For long-time effects such as thermalization and emergence of irreversibility in the NEGF formalism, see e.g. Refs. [41, 42].

We describe the total system (2) by a one-particle nonequilibrium Green function (NEGF) $G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(t, t')$, as introduced in Sec. II A, but here with an additional 2×2 matrix structure ($\alpha, \beta = \{e, s\}$),

$$G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(t, t') = -i \langle T_C c_i^\alpha(t) c_j^{\beta\dagger}(t') \rangle, \quad \rho_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(t) = -i G_{ji}^{\beta\alpha}(t, t^+), \quad (3)$$

e.g., Refs. [10 and 11], and the time-diagonal elements provide the density matrix (3), as discussed in Sec. II A. The diagonal elements, ρ_{ij}^{ss} [ρ_{ij}^{ee}], refer to the system part [to the environment part]. Moreover, the density matrix component ρ_{ij}^{es} is related to charge and energy transfer processes between system and environment and will be of special interest in the following.

The equations of motion for the NEGF are the generalization of Eq. (1) to the total system (we use Einstein’s convention and suppress the summation over repeating orbital indices k)

$$i\partial_t G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(t, t') - \sum_{\delta=e,s} h_{ik}^{\text{HF},\alpha\delta}(t) G_{kj}^{\delta\beta}(t, t') = \delta_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \delta_C(t, t') + \sum_{\delta=e,s} \int_C d\bar{t} \Sigma_{ik}^{\alpha\delta}(t, \bar{t}) G_{kj}^{\delta\beta}(\bar{t}, t'). \quad (4)$$

In many cases, a full quantum-mechanical treatment of the entire system, including the many degrees of freedom of the environment is neither possible nor necessary. In the following we show how it is possible to derive approximate equations for the dynamics of the electrons in the system that still incorporate the leading order influences of the environment. While this ‘‘embedding’’ approach is based on a formal decoupling of the system and the environment parts of the KBE, it retains one-electron charge and energy transfer in the single-particle Hamiltonian $h^{\text{HF},\text{se}}$, cf. Eq. (10) below. Let us start with the key approximation that correlations in the environment part are negligible, i.e., we will assume $\Sigma^{\text{ee}} = 0$. We still retain interaction effects on the mean field level. Further, for a macroscopic environment it is reasonable to expect that the coupling to the system is irrelevant for the dynamics of the environment, which allows us to neglect the Hamiltonian $h_{ik}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}$ in the equation for G^{ee} and to set $\Sigma^{\text{se}} = \Sigma^{\text{es}} = 0$. Then, the KBE (4) for the system, the environment and the cross parts simplify to (we denote $G^{\alpha\alpha} \rightarrow G^\alpha$, $h^{\text{HF},\alpha\alpha} \rightarrow h^{\text{HF},\alpha}$ and $\Sigma^{\alpha\alpha} \rightarrow \Sigma^\alpha$)

$$\left\{ i\partial_t \delta_{ik} - h_{ik}^{\text{HF},s}(t) \right\} G_{kj}^s(t, t') = h_{ik}^{\text{HF},\text{se}}(t) G_{kj}^{\text{es}}(t, t') + \delta_{ij} \delta_C(t, t') + \int_C d\bar{t} \Sigma_{ik}^s(t, \bar{t}) G_{kj}^s(\bar{t}, t'). \quad (5)$$

$$\left\{ i\partial_t \delta_{\underline{ik}} - h_{\underline{ik}}^{\text{HF},e}(t) \right\} G_{\underline{kj}}^{\text{es}}(t, t') = h_{\underline{ik}}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}(t) G_{\underline{kj}}^s(t, t'), \quad (6)$$

$$\left\{ i\partial_t \delta_{\underline{ik}} - h_{\underline{ik}}^{\text{HF},e}(t) \right\} G_{\underline{kj}}^e(t, t') = \delta_{\underline{ij}} \delta_C(t, t'). \quad (7)$$

Here and in the following we will use underlined indices for the orbitals in the environment, for a better distinction.

Thus, G^e fulfills a simple isolated Hartree–Fock dynamics, whereas G^{es} , in addition, is affected by the coupling to the environment. We immediately recognize that the equations for G^e and G^{es} contain the same term on the left hand side (parantheses) which is nothing but the inverse Green function $G_{\underline{ik}}^e{}^{-1}$. Thus, multiplying Eq. (6) by $G_{\underline{ik}}^e(t, t')$ and integrating over the time contour, we obtain an explicit solution for G^{es} :

$$G_{\underline{lj}}^{\text{es}}(t, t') = \int_C d\bar{t} G_{\underline{lk}}^e(t, \bar{t}) h_{\underline{ik}}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}(\bar{t}) G_{kj}^s(\bar{t}, t'). \quad (8)$$

This allows us to eliminate G^{es} from the equation for G^s and to rewrite this term in the form of an additional selfenergy, Σ^{emb} ,

$$\left\{ i\partial_t \delta_{ik} - h_{ik}^{\text{HF},s}(t) \right\} G_{kj}^s(t, t') = \delta_{ij} \delta_C(t, t') + \int_C d\bar{t} \left\{ \Sigma_{ik}^{\text{emb}}(t, \bar{t}) + \Sigma_{ik}^s(t, \bar{t}) \right\} G_{kj}^s(\bar{t}, t'), \quad (9)$$

the embedding selfenergy that involves the system–environment coupling Hamiltonian h^{se} , that is renormalized by the Hartree–Fock mean field. This selfenergy is

given by,

$$\Sigma_{ij}^{\text{emb}}(t, t') = \sum_{\underline{kl}} h_{\underline{k}}^{\text{HF,se}}(t) G_{\underline{k}\underline{l}}^e(t, t') h_{\underline{l}j}^{\text{HF,es}}(t'), \quad (10)$$

$$h_{\underline{l}j}^{\text{se}}(t) = \int d^3r \phi_i^{s*}(\vec{r})(T + V)\chi_j^e(\vec{r}; t).$$

Equation (9) shows how the many-body description of an isolated (but correlated) system is altered in an open system, i.e. by the presence of the environment: the electronic states of the environment give rise to an additional selfenergy, $\Sigma^{\text{emb}}(t, t')$, that renormalizes the energy spectrum of the system. While, for $\Sigma^{\text{emb}} = 0$, the KBE (9) conserves the particle number [assuming a conserving approximation, Σ^{ss} , such as Hartree–Fock, second-order Born or GW], the inclusion of the embedding selfenergy, in general, explicitly gives rise to time-dependent changes of the particle number and energy in the system.

For the practical solution of Eq. (9), the coupling Hamiltonian $h^{\text{HF,se}}(t)$ has to be computed by selecting the relevant electronic transitions between system and environment and computing the matrix elements of the kinetic and potential energy operators, T and V , with the electronic single-particle wave functions ϕ^s (χ^e) in the system (environment).

C. Energy and particle transfer between system and environment

While the equation of motion for G^s approximately includes the overall influence of the environment via the embedding selfenergy, there is no information visible how different orbitals of the environment contribute. This information is contained in the two-time structure of the embedding selfenergy, Eq. (10). However, it enters the equation for G^s in such a way that all orbitals of the environment are traced out. Nevertheless, our approach allows for reconstructing orbital-resolved properties by analyzing the Green function G^{es} , Eq. (8). From this equation we compute the correlation function

$$G_{\underline{l}j}^{\text{es}<}(t, t') = \int d\bar{t} \left\{ G_{\underline{l}\bar{k}}^{\text{e,R}}(t, \bar{t}) h_{\bar{k}}^{\text{HF,es}}(\bar{t}) G_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{s}<}(\bar{t}, t') - G_{\underline{l}\bar{k}}^{\text{e}<}(t, \bar{t}) h_{\bar{k}}^{\text{HF,es}}(\bar{t}) G_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{s,A}}(\bar{t}, t') \right\}.$$

From this we can compute orbital resolved expectation values of a single-particle observable that couples system and environment, $\hat{A}^{\text{es}} = \sum_{\underline{l}j} A_{\underline{l}j}^{\text{es}} c_{\underline{l}}^{\text{e}\dagger} c_j^{\text{s}}$, by tracing over the system states

$$\langle A \rangle_{\underline{l}}(t) = \pm i \sum_j A_{\underline{l}j}^{\text{es}} G_{\underline{l}j}^{\text{es}<}(t, t).$$

III. TIME-LOCAL HF-GKBA EQUATIONS (G1–G2 SCHEME)

A. General equations

To derive the form of the embedding selfenergy in the HF-GKBA of Green functions theory, we start from the two-time equation of the small system, (9), and take the “less” component which involves the retarded and less component of the two selfenergy contributions, [10, 11], and we also skip the superscripts “s”, in the following,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{i\partial_t \delta_{ik} - h_{ik}^{\text{HF}}(t)\} G_{kj}^<(t, t') = \\ & \int d\bar{t} \left\{ \Sigma_{ik}^{\text{R}}(t, \bar{t}) G_{kj}^<(\bar{t}, t') - \Sigma_{ik}^<(t, \bar{t}) G_{kj}^{\text{A}}(\bar{t}, t') \right\} + \\ & \int d\bar{t} \left\{ \Sigma_{ik}^{\text{emb,R}}(t, \bar{t}) G_{kj}^<(\bar{t}, t') - \Sigma_{ik}^{\text{emb,<}}(t, \bar{t}) G_{kj}^{\text{A}}(\bar{t}, t') \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Computing the difference of this equation and its adjoint, the equation of motion for the single-particle Green function, $G^<(t) = G^{<,s}(t, t)$, on the time diagonal (first equation of the G1–G2 scheme) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} & i\partial_t G_{ij}^<(t) - \left\{ h_{ik}^{\text{HF}} G_{kj}^< - G_{ik}^< h_{kj}^{\text{HF}} \right\}_t = (I(t) + I^\dagger(t))_{ij} \quad (11) \\ & I_{ij}(t) = I_{ij}^{\text{cor}}(t) + I_{ij}^{\text{emb}}(t), \\ & I_{ij}^{\text{cor}}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t d\bar{t} \left\{ \Sigma_{ik}^>(t, \bar{t}) G_{kj}^<(\bar{t}, t) - \Sigma_{ik}^<(t, \bar{t}) G_{kj}^>(\bar{t}, t) \right\}, \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

$$I_{ij}^{\text{emb}}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t d\bar{t} \left\{ \Sigma_{ik}^{\text{emb,>}}(t, \bar{t}) G_{kj}^<(\bar{t}, t) - \Sigma_{ik}^{\text{emb,<}}(t, \bar{t}) G_{kj}^>(\bar{t}, t) \right\}.$$

Equation (11) is not closed for $G^<(t)$, but still involves two-time functions under the integral. This problem will be solved via the generalized Kadanoff–Baym ansatz (GKBA) in the next section.

B. HF-GKBA approach to the embedding selfenergy

Applying the Hartree–Fock GKBA [14, 43] allows us to eliminate the two-time functions in Eq. (12) away from the time-diagonal, according to

$$G_{ij}^{\geq}(t, t') = i\hbar \sum_k \left[G_{ik}^{\text{R}}(t, t') G_{kj}^{\geq}(t') - G_{ik}^{\geq}(t) G_{kj}^{\text{A}}(t, t') \right],$$

where the retarded and advanced Green functions are approximated by Hartree–Fock Green functions. With this the correlation part of the selfenergy, $\Sigma^{\text{ss},\geq}$ can be eliminated, giving rise to an equation of the time-local

correlation part of the two-particle Green function $\mathcal{G}(t)$ [15, 16]

$$i\partial_t \mathcal{G}_{ijkl}(t) - \left[h^{(2),\text{HF}}(t), \mathcal{G}(t) \right]_{ijkl} = \Psi_{ijkl}^\pm(t), \quad (13)$$

$$h_{ijkl}^{(2),\text{HF}}(t) = h_{ik}^{\text{HF}}(t)\delta_{jl} + h_{jl}^{\text{HF}}(t)\delta_{ik},$$

$$\Psi_{ijkl}^\pm(t) = (i\hbar)^2 \sum_{pqrs} w_{pqrs}^\pm(t) \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{ijpq}^{\text{H},>} \mathcal{G}_{rskl}^{\text{H},<} - (>\leftrightarrow<) \right\}_t,$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{ijkl}^{\text{H},\gtrless}(t) := G_{ik}^{\gtrless}(t,t)G_{jl}^{\gtrless}(t,t),$$

where we introduced the (anti-)symmetrized interaction, $w_{pqrs}^\pm = w_{pqrs} \pm w_{pqsr}$ [the time dependence arises from the preparation of the correlated initial state via "adiabatic switching"]. Further, Eq. (13) is given for the case of the second order Born selfenergy. The extension to more advanced selfenergies has been presented in Ref. [24]. This equation is not affected by the embedding selfenergy which appears as an additional contribution to the collision integral, on the r.h.s. of the equation for $G^<$, Eq. (11). Thus, our results for the embedding selfenergy are compatible with any correlation selfenergy.

We now demonstrate that, as the correlation selfenergy, the embedding selfenergy can be transformed into a time local equation of motion as well. We transform the embedding collision integral, using the definition of the embedding selfenergy (10),

$$I_{ij}^{\text{emb}}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t d\bar{t} \left\{ h_{i\bar{l}}^{\text{HF},\text{se}}(t) G_{\bar{l}\bar{m}}^{\text{e},>}(t,\bar{t}) h_{\bar{m}\bar{k}}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}(\bar{t}) G_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{e},<}(\bar{t},t) - h_{i\bar{l}}^{\text{HF},\text{se}}(t) G_{\bar{l}\bar{m}}^{\text{e},<}(t,\bar{t}) h_{\bar{m}\bar{k}}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}(\bar{t}) G_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{e},>}(\bar{t},t) \right\}. \quad (14)$$

In Eq. (14), $G^{\text{e},\gtrless}$ are Hartree–Fock Green functions of the environment that are explicitly known from Eq. (7).

We now demonstrate that, as the collision integral I^{cor} , also the non-Markovian collision integral I^{emb} can be transformed into a time-local expression. First we separate the Hartree–Fock Hamiltonian that is not under the time integral,

$$I_{ij}^{\text{emb}}(t) = h_{i\bar{k}}^{\text{HF},\text{se}}(t) G_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{e},<}(t), \quad (15)$$

$$G_{ij}^{\text{e},<}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t d\bar{t} h_{\bar{k}l}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}(\bar{t}) \left[G_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{\text{e},>}(t,\bar{t}) G_{l_j}^{\text{e},<}(\bar{t},t) - G_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{\text{e},<}(t,\bar{t}) G_{l_j}^{\text{e},>}(\bar{t},t) \right]. \quad (16)$$

Differentiating $G^{\text{e},<}$ with respect to time results in two terms. The first is due to differentiation of the upper integration boundary,

$$i \left[\frac{d}{dt} G_{ij}^{\text{e},<}(t) \right]_f = ih_{\bar{k}l}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}(t) \left[G_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{\text{e},>}(t,t) G_{l_j}^{\text{e},<}(t,t) - G_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{\text{e},<}(t,t) G_{l_j}^{\text{e},>}(t,t) \right] \\ = h_{\bar{k}l}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}(t) G_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{e},<}(t) - G_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{\text{e},<}(t) h_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}(t),$$

whereas the second arises from the time dependence of the integrand in Eq. (16),

$$i \left[\frac{d}{dt} G_{ij}^{\text{e},<}(t) \right]_t = \int_{t_0}^t d\bar{t} h_{\bar{k}l}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}(\bar{t}) \left[h_{i\bar{m}}^{\text{HF},\text{e}}(t) G_{\bar{m}\bar{k}}^{\text{e},>}(t,\bar{t}) G_{l_j}^{\text{e},<}(\bar{t},t) - G_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{\text{e},>}(t,\bar{t}) G_{l_m}^{\text{e},<}(\bar{t},t) h_{m_j}^{\text{HF}}(t) - h_{i\bar{m}}^{\text{HF},\text{e}}(t) G_{\bar{m}\bar{k}}^{\text{e},<}(t,\bar{t}) G_{l_j}^{\text{e},>}(\bar{t},t) + G_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{\text{e},<}(t,\bar{t}) G_{l_m}^{\text{e},>}(\bar{t},t) h_{m_j}^{\text{HF}}(t) \right] \\ = h_{i\bar{k}}^{\text{HF},\text{e}}(t) G_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{e},<}(t) - G_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{\text{e},<}(t) h_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{HF}}(t),$$

where, in the differentiation of $G^<$, the HF-GKBA was used. Collecting the two terms together, we finally obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} G_{ij}^{\text{e},<}(t) - \left\{ h_{i\bar{k}}^{\text{HF},\text{e}}(t) G_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{e},<}(t) - G_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{\text{e},<}(t) h_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{HF}}(t) \right\} \\ = h_{i\bar{k}}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}(t) G_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{e},<}(t) - G_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{\text{e},<}(t) h_{\bar{k}j}^{\text{HF},\text{es}}(t). \quad (17)$$

Here, the l.h.s. contains the single-particle (Hartree–Fock) dynamics of G^{es} , whereas the r.h.s. can be understood as inhomogeneity, which is a consequence of the coupling of G^{es} to the Green functions of the system and the environment, respectively.

With this we have succeeded in deriving a time-local equation of motion for new Green function that couples our system to the environment. Inserting the solution of this equation into Eq. (15), the embedding collision integral can be computed and inserted into the equation of motion for $G^<$, Eq. (11), which closes the G1–G2 scheme for the case of an embedding selfenergy.

IV. DISCUSSION

In this paper we have extended the NEGF embedding concept to the time-local HF-GKBA model – the G1–G2 scheme. Any two-time embedding result that was reported previously, can now be translated into a time-local version. This has the benefit of time linear scaling and the possibility of long simulation times. Moreover, also higher-order correlation selfenergies, such as the T matrix, GW approximation or the dynamically screened ladder approximation [24] that were too costly or not accessible in two-time calculations or earlier GKBA simulations, can now be used for embedding simulations. Our results can be straightforwardly applied to a broad variety of embedding problems, including electronic transport in nanoscale systems, where macroscopic leads are treated as an “environment”, e.g. [32, 33], to photoionization of atoms and molecules where the continuum states are regarded as “environment” [4], to the dynamics of excitonic insulators [34], or to the charge transfer during the impact of a projectile onto a solid [40].

Let us summarize the resulting time-local equations of the NEGF embedding scheme. The equation of motion of

the time local one-particle Green function is now coupled to the equations for two auxiliary quantities – one for the correlated part of the two-particle Green function, $\mathcal{G}(t)$, and one for the environment–system coupling single-particle Green function, $G^{\text{es},<}(t)$, Eq. (17):

$$\begin{aligned} & i\frac{d}{dt}G_{ij}^<(t) - \sum_k \left\{ h_{ik}^{\text{HF}}(t)G_{kj}^<(t) - G_{ik}^<(t)h_{kj}^{\text{HF}}(t) \right\} = \\ & \sum_{\underline{\alpha}} h_{i\underline{\alpha}}^{\text{HF,se}}(t)G_{\underline{\alpha}j}^{\text{es},<}(t) \pm i\hbar \sum_{mnp} w_{imnp}(t)\mathcal{G}_{npjm}(t) + \text{h.c.}, \\ & i\frac{d}{dt}G_{\underline{\alpha}j}^{\text{es},<}(t) - \left\{ \sum_{\underline{\beta}} h_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}^{\text{HF,e}}(t)G_{\underline{\beta}j}^{\text{es},<}(t) - \sum_k G_{\underline{\alpha}k}^{\text{es},<}(t)h_{kj}^{\text{HF}}(t) \right\} \\ & = \sum_k h_{\underline{\alpha}k}^{\text{HF,es}}(t)G_{kj}^<(t) - \sum_{\underline{\beta}} G_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}^{\text{e},<}(t)h_{\underline{\beta}j}^{\text{HF,es}}(t), \\ & i\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{G}_{ijkl}(t) - \left[h^{(2),\text{HF}}(t), \mathcal{G}(t) \right]_{ijkl} = \Psi_{ijkl}^{\pm}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where the indices i, j, k, l, m, n, p refer to the system orbitals ϕ^s , whereas the underlined indices $\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}$ correspond to the environment functions χ^e .

It is characteristic for the G1–G2 scheme that the place of the two-time selfenergies is taken over by two time-local functions: Σ^{cor} gives rise to \mathcal{G} , whereas Σ^{emb} determines $G^{\text{es},<}$. Note that the apparent asymmetry between the correlation and embedding selfenergies which are associated to a two-particle correlation function and single-particle Green function, respectively, is due the special embedding approximation imposed during the derivation. Going back to the Keldysh–Kadanoff–Baym equations (4), the interaction terms (selfenergies) originally appear in a fully symmetric way with respect to parts (s,e) of the total system. If we were to treat the environment part on the same level of accuracy as the system part, the component Eqs. (6) and (7) would have the same structure as the system equation (5), containing full correlation selfenergies. Such a symmetric treatment of all system parts has been successfully applied to multiband or multilevel systems, e.g. [38] and it has been extensively used in semiconductor optics in the frame of the semiconductor Bloch equations [44], for a two-time NEGF version

of these equations, see e.g. Refs. [45]. In the context of a two-band system (containing e.g. one valence and one conduction band, “v” and “c”, respectively), the present coupling function, G^{es} corresponds to the interband polarization function G_{cv} .

In contrast, the present embedding approach aims at a simplified treatment of the environment and the system–environment coupling, on the Hartree–Fock level. The resulting equation for the coupling Green function is easily recovered from the full multi-band equations. Obviously, the neglect of the correlation selfenergy for the environment part leads to a single-particle (Hartree–Fock) equation for G^{es} . It follows straightforwardly by considering the time-dependent Hartree–Fock equation for the operator Green function (we suppress the orbital indices), $G = G^{\alpha\beta}$, Eq. (3),

$$i\partial_t G - [h^{\text{HF}}, G] = 0,$$

and by computing the “matrix element”, $G^{\text{es}} = \langle e|G|s \rangle$ of this equation [38]:

$$i\partial_t G^{\text{es}} - \sum_{\beta=e,s} \{ h^{\text{HF,e}\beta} G^{\beta s} - G^{\text{e}\beta} h^{\text{HF},\beta s} \} = 0,$$

One readily verifies that this is exactly the operator version of Eq. (17).

In this paper we have focused on short-time phenomena in correlated quantum systems. The equations under consideration are time-reversible. It is an interesting question for future research to connect this approach to the long-time asymptotics that are governed by irreversible equations and to the thermodynamics and stationary transport of open systems, e.g. [13, 41, 42, 46] and references therein.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft via grant BO1366/16. This work was partly funded by the Center for Advanced Systems Understanding (CASUS) which is financed by Germany’s Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and by the Saxon Ministry for Science, Culture and Tourism (SMWK) with tax funds on the basis of the budget approved by the Saxon State Parliament.

-
- [1] L. Xia, L. A. Zundel, J. Carrasquilla, A. Reinhard, J. M. Wilson, M. Rigol, and D. S. Weiss, Quantum distillation and confinement of vacancies in a doublon sea, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 316 (2015).
 [2] N. Schlünzen, S. Hermanns, M. Bonitz, and C. Verdozzi, Dynamics of strongly correlated fermions: *Ab initio* results for two and three dimensions, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 035107 (2016).

- [3] S. A. Jensen, R. Ulbricht, A. Narita, X. Feng, K. Müllen, T. Hertel, D. Turchinovich, and M. Bonn, Ultrafast Photoconductivity of Graphene Nanoribbons and Carbon Nanotubes, *Nano Lett.* **13**, 5925 (2013).
 [4] E. Perfetto, A.-M. Uimonen, R. van Leeuwen, and G. Stefanucci, First-principles nonequilibrium green’s-function approach to transient photoabsorption: Application to atoms, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 033419 (2015).

- [5] F. Lackner, I. Březinová, T. Sato, K. L. Ishikawa, and J. Burgdörfer, High-harmonic spectra from time-dependent two-particle reduced-density-matrix theory, *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 033414 (2017).
- [6] F. Graziani, Z. Moldabekov, B. Olson, and M. Bonitz, Shock physics in warm dense matter—a quantum hydrodynamics perspective, *Contrib. Plasma Phys.* **62**, e202100170 (2022).
- [7] T. Boolakee, C. Heide, A. Garzón-Ramírez, H. B. Weber, I. Franco, and P. Hommelhoff, Light-field control of real and virtual charge carriers, *Nature* **605**, 251 (2022).
- [8] A. Niggas, J. Schweska, K. Balzer, D. Weichselbaum, N. Schlünzen, R. Heller, S. Creutzburg, H. Inani, M. Tripathi, C. Speckmann, N. McEvoy, T. Susi, J. Kotakoski, Z. Gan, A. George, A. Turchanin, M. Bonitz, F. Aumayr, and R. A. Wilhelm, Ion-Induced Surface Charge Dynamics in Freestanding Monolayers of Graphene and MoS₂ Probed by the Emission of Electrons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 086802 (2022).
- [9] D. Hochstuhl, C. Hinz, and M. Bonitz, Time-dependent multiconfiguration methods for the numerical simulation of photoionization processes of many-electron atoms, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **223**, 177 (2014).
- [10] G. Stefanucci and R. van Leeuwen, *Nonequilibrium Many-Body Theory of Quantum Systems: A Modern Introduction* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013).
- [11] K. Balzer and M. Bonitz, *Nonequilibrium Green's Functions Approach to Inhomogeneous Systems* (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2013).
- [12] N. Schlünzen, S. Hermanns, M. Scharnke, and M. Bonitz, Ultrafast dynamics of strongly correlated fermions – Nonequilibrium Green functions and selfenergy approximations, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **32**, 103001 (2020).
- [13] M. Ridley, N. W. Talarico, D. Karlsson, N. L. Gullo, and R. Tuovinen, A many-body approach to transport in quantum systems: from the transient regime to the stationary state, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **55**, 273001 (2022).
- [14] P. Lipavský, V. Špička, and B. Velický, Generalized Kadanoff-Baym ansatz for deriving quantum transport equations, *Phys. Rev. B* **34**, 6933 (1986).
- [15] N. Schlünzen, J.-P. Joost, and M. Bonitz, Achieving the Scaling Limit for Nonequilibrium Green Functions Simulations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 076601 (2020).
- [16] J.-P. Joost, N. Schlünzen, and M. Bonitz, G1-G2 scheme: Dramatic acceleration of nonequilibrium Green functions simulations within the Hartree-Fock generalized Kadanoff-Baym ansatz, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 245101 (2020).
- [17] Y. Pavlyukh, E. Perfetto, and G. Stefanucci, Photoinduced dynamics of organic molecules using nonequilibrium Green's functions with second-Born, *GW*, *T*-matrix, and three-particle correlations, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 035124 (2021).
- [18] D. Karlsson, R. van Leeuwen, Y. Pavlyukh, E. Perfetto, and G. Stefanucci, Fast Green's Function Method for Ultrafast Electron-Boson Dynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 036402 (2021).
- [19] E. Perfetto, Y. Pavlyukh, and G. Stefanucci, Real-Time *GW*: Toward an Ab Initio Description of the Ultrafast Carrier and Exciton Dynamics in Two-Dimensional Materials, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 016801 (2022).
- [20] F. Wu, T. Lovorn, E. Tutuc, and A. H. MacDonald, Hubbard model physics in transition metal dichalcogenide moiré bands, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 026402 (2018).
- [21] H. Li, S. Li, E. C. Regan, D. Wang, W. Zhao, S. Kahn, K. Yumigeta, M. Blei, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, S. Tongay, A. Zettl, M. F. Crommie, and F. Wang, Imaging two-dimensional generalized Wigner crystals, *Nature* **597**, 650 (2021).
- [22] T. Smoleński, P. E. Dolgirev, C. Kuhlenkamp, A. Popert, Y. Shimazaki, P. Back, X. Lu, M. Kroner, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, I. Esterlis, E. Demler, and A. Imamoglu, Signatures of Wigner crystal of electrons in a monolayer semiconductor, *Nature* **595**, 53 (2021).
- [23] M. Bonitz and J.-P. Joost, Wigner crystal in two-dimensional solids? (in german), *Physik Journal* **20**, 11 (2021).
- [24] J.-P. Joost, N. Schünzen, H. Ohldag, M. Bonitz, F. Lackner, and I. Brezinova, The dynamically screened ladder approximation: Simultaneous treatment of strong electronic correlations and dynamical screening out of equilibrium, *Physical Review B* **105**, 165155 (2022).
- [25] E. Schroedter, J.-P. Joost, and M. Bonitz, Quantum Fluctuations Approach to the Nonequilibrium *GW*-Approximation, *Cond. Matt. Phys.* **25**, 23401 (2022).
- [26] D. Hochstuhl and M. Bonitz, Time-dependent restricted-active-space configuration-interaction method for the photoionization of many-electron atoms, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 053424 (2012).
- [27] A. Warshel and M. Levitt, Theoretical studies of enzymic reactions: Dielectric, electrostatic and steric stabilization of the carbonium ion in the reaction of lysozyme, *Journal of Molecular Biology* **103**, 227 (1976).
- [28] Chibani, Wael, *Self-Consistent Green's Function Embedding for Advanced Electronic Structure Calculations based on a Dynamical Mean-Field Concept*, Ph.D. thesis, Technical University Berlin, Berlin (2016).
- [29] H. Ness, Nonequilibrium density matrix in quantum open systems: Generalization for simultaneous heat and charge steady-state transport, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 062119 (2014).
- [30] M. Bonitz, A. Filinov, J.-W. Abraham, K. Balzer, H. Köhlert, E. Pehlke, F. X. Bronold, M. Pamperin, M. Becker, D. Loffhagen, and H. Fehske, Towards an integrated modeling of the plasma-solid interface, *Frontiers of Chemical Science and Engineering* **13**, 201 (2019).
- [31] F. X. Bronold and H. Fehske, Invariant embedding approach to secondary electron emission from metals, *Journal of Applied Physics* **131**, 113302 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0082468>.
- [32] E. Khosravi, A.-M. Uimonen, A. Stan, G. Stefanucci, S. Kurth, R. van Leeuwen, and E. K. U. Gross, Correlation effects in bistability at the nanoscale: Steady state and beyond, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 075103 (2012).
- [33] T. J. Levy and E. Rabani, Steady state conductance in a double quantum dot array: The nonequilibrium equation-of-motion green function approach, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **138**, 164125 (2013), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4802752>.
- [34] R. Tuovinen, D. Golež, M. Eckstein, and M. A. Sentef, Comparing the generalized kadanoff-baym ansatz with the full kadanoff-baym equations for an excitonic insulator out of equilibrium, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 115157 (2020).
- [35] F. Covito, E. Perfetto, A. Rubio, and G. Stefanucci, Real-time dynamics of auger wave packets and decays in ultra-

- fast charge migration processes, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 061401 (2018).
- [36] L. Kadanoff and G. Baym, *Quantum Statistical Mechanics* (Benjamin, New York, 1962).
- [37] N. Schlünzen and M. Bonitz, Nonequilibrium Green Functions Approach to Strongly Correlated Fermions in Lattice Systems, *Contrib. Plasma Phys.* **56**, 5 (2016).
- [38] M. Bonitz, *Quantum Kinetic Theory*, 2nd ed., Teubner-Texte zur Physik (Springer, Cham, 2016).
- [39] M. Bonitz, M. Scharnke, and N. Schlünzen, Time-reversal invariance of quantum kinetic equations II: Density operator formalism, *Contrib. Plasma Phys.* **58**, 1036 (2018).
- [40] K. Balzer and M. Bonitz, Neutralization dynamics of slow highly charged ions passing through graphene nanoflakes - an embedding self-energy approach, *Contrib. Plasma Phys.* **61**, e202100040 (2021).
- [41] H. Haug and A.-P. Jauho, *Quantum Kinetics in Transport and Optics of Semiconductors* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008).
- [42] N. Bermann and M. Galperin, A Green's function perspective on the nonequilibrium thermodynamics of open quantum systems strongly coupled to baths, *Europ. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **230**, 859 (2021).
- [43] S. Hermanns, N. Schlünzen, and M. Bonitz, Hubbard nanoclusters far from equilibrium, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 125111 (2014).
- [44] M. Lindberg and S. W. Koch, Effective Bloch equations for semiconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **38**, 3342 (1988).
- [45] N. H. Kwong, M. Bonitz, R. Binder, and H. S. Köhler, Semiconductor Kadanoff-Baym Equation Results for Optically Excited Electron-Hole Plasmas in Quantum Wells, *Phys. Status Solidi B* **206**, 197 (1998).
- [46] V. Špička, B. Velický, and A. Kalvová, Long and short time quantum dynamics: I. Between Green's functions and transport equations, *Physica E* **29**, 154 (2005).