

Generative Adversarial Training Can Improve Neural Language Models

Sajad Movahedi
University of Tehran
s.movahedi@ut.ac.ir

Azadeh Shakery
University of Tehran
shakery@ut.ac.ir

Abstract

While deep learning in the form of recurrent neural networks (RNNs) has caused a significant improvement in neural language modeling, the fact that they are extremely prone to overfitting is still a mainly unresolved issue. In this paper we propose a regularization method based on generative adversarial networks (GANs) and adversarial training (AT), that can prevent overfitting in neural language models. Unlike common adversarial training methods such as the fast gradient sign method (FGSM) that require a second back-propagation through time, and therefore effectively require at least twice the amount of time for regular training, the overhead of our method does not exceed more than 20% of the training of the baselines.

1 Introduction

Language modeling is among the most fundamental tasks in natural language processing (NLP), with one of the major improvements in recent years in NLP coming from utilizing neural language models for inductive transfer learning [1, 15, 6]. With the introduction of long-short term memory networks (LSTMs), the task of language modeling has seen a significant improvement in performance [10], albeit at the cost of longer training time [9]. While extremely effective LSTMs suffer from severe over-fitting, which has been addressed mainly through dropout methods [2, 18], and yet there still exists a large gap between the training and test performance.

A word-level language model aims to estimate the probability of any given string of words $x_{1:T} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T]$. From the chain rule, we can write:

$$p(x_{1:T}) = p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T) = \prod_{t=1}^T p(x_t | x_{1:t-1})$$

Given the recurrent structure of recurrent neural networks (RNNs), we can estimate the conditional probability $p(x_t | x_{1:t-1})$ by using the hidden state of the network at the t^{th} time-step. Let $h_t \in R^{dim(h)}$ be the t^{th} output of the RNN. So we have $h_t = f(x_{t-1}, h_{t-1}; \theta)$, where x_{t-1} is a vector representation of the $t - 1^{th}$ word in the sequence, h_{t-1} is the $t - 1^{th}$ hidden representation of the RNN, and θ represents the parameters of the RNN. Using the softmax function, we can represent a probability distribution over the vocabulary:

$$p(x_t | x_{1:t-1}, \theta) = \text{Softmax}(h_t, \omega)$$

Where $\omega \in R^{dim(h) \times dim(vocab)}$ represents the weights of the final layer of the network.

One of the most effective methods for improving the robustness of deep learning models towards adversarial data is adversarial training [4], which involves adding perturbations with a controlled magnitude to the training data. Recently, it has been observed that adversarial training may have a positive effect over the performance of text classification [12], image classification [14], and sequence labeling [16], which is mainly attributed to preventing over-fitting and improving generalization [14].

The adversarial training framework aims to decrease the worst-case loss of adversarial data [4]. We can write this objective in the following min-max form:

$$\min_{\theta} \max_{\|r\|_2 \leq \epsilon} \mathcal{L}(f(x+r; \theta), y)$$

Where $\mathcal{L}$ is the loss function, which is usually defined as negative log likelihood, y is the observed output, and r is the adversarial perturbation added to the input, the norm of which is limited by ϵ . The most common way of estimating r is a method called the fast gradient method [12], which utilizes the gradient of the model with respect to input as a first-order approximation of r :

$$r = \epsilon \frac{\nabla_x f(x, \theta)}{\|\nabla_x f(x, \theta)\|_2} \quad (1)$$

Estimating r requires another back-propagation, and effectively doubles the training time in adversarial training, which has been the subject of some research recently [13, 17].

Here we propose a method to prevent over-fitting in neural language models through adversarial training. Instead of using the gradients of the model to estimate the adversarial data, we propose to use the generative adversarial network (GAN) framework to produce the perturbation while training the adversarial network simultaneously. Using our method, we were able to decrease the over-head of adversarial training to less than 20% of the training time of the baseline models, while also improving the performance of the baseline.

2 Method

We propose to estimate the adversarial perturbation corresponding to the t^{th} word r_t by using another RNN as a generator network. Let $g(\cdot)$ denote such network, with the set of weights η . Then we have $r_t = g(x_{t-1}, h'_{t-1}, \eta)$, where h'_{t-1} is the current hidden state of the adversarial RNN. In order to estimate η , we propose to train the neural language model and the adversarial model jointly, with θ updated using gradient descent (to minimize $\mathcal{L}(f(x+r, \theta), y)$ over θ) and η updated using gradient ascent (to maximize $\mathcal{L}(f(x+g(x, \eta), \theta), y)$ over η) in a single mini-batch. We can also control the magnitude of r_t , by adding the following term to the loss function:

$$\mathcal{L}_{reg} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \max(0, \|r_t\|_2 - \alpha \times \|x_t\|_2)$$

In this case, for the t^{th} word we have $\epsilon_t = \alpha \|x_t\|_2$.

In our preliminary experiments, we noticed that in order to prevent the adversarial network from overfitting, we need to use dropout, which will decrease the effectiveness of the perturbation vectors r . In this case, we can use the Monte Carlo method to find a better perturbation vector, using the output of $g(x_t, h'_{t-1}, \eta)$ with different dropout masks as random samples of the distribution of the optimal value of r_t . So we define our estimation as the following iterative method:

$$\hat{r}_t^k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} g(x_t + \hat{r}_t^i, (h'_{t-1})^i, \sigma_k \odot \eta)$$

Where σ_k is the k^{th} random dropout mask. Note that because of the iterative structure, this definition isn't exactly in line with the Monte Carlo method. The main reason behind this difference is that the iterative structure performed better than averaging $\hat{r}_t^k$ s in our preliminary experiments. Also note that this structure is very similar to the projected gradient descent method [4], which performs first order approximation using fast gradient method multiple times. Furthermore, in order to train the adversarial network simultaneously, we only need to back-propagate from the first iteration $\hat{r}_t^1$, and we can treat η in the rest of the iterations as constant, which will greatly improve the training speed. We also noticed that random starts [7] can help both the effectiveness of our adversarial method and the improvement in the task. So we set $\hat{r}_t^0 = \epsilon_0 \times \mathcal{N}(0, I)$, where $\mathcal{N}(0, I)$ is a random multi-variate standard normal distribution, and ϵ_0 is a scaling factor.

The overhead of our method is at most as much as the overhead of back-propagation over the weights of the adversarial model, which we can control by using simpler models. In our experiments, we found the best model adversarial to be a single layer LSTM. So the overall overhead was around 20% of training without adversarial perturbations.

3 Experiments

We performed experiments on the PTB corpus [8] and WikiText2 [11]. Our baseline was the AWD-LSTM method [10], which consists of three LSTM cells stacked on top of each other, and a plethora of regularization methods to decrease overfitting. Note that the training procedure of AWD-LSTM involves a training stage and a fine-tuning stage after that, but we only report the finetuning stage results due to lack of space. We also report the performance of some of the earlier works. The results of the PTB dataset and the WikiText2 dataset are presented in Table 1.

PTB			WikiText2		
Method	Valid	Test	Method	Valid	Test
Variational LSTM + weight tying [3]	71.1	68.5	Variational LSTM + weight tying [3]	91.5	87.0
NAS-RNN [19]	-	62.4	DARTS [5]	69.5	66.9
DARTS [5]	58.3	56.1			
AWD-LSTM [10]	60.00	57.30	AWD-LSTM [10]	68.60	65.80
AWD-LSTM + Adversarial Training	57.61	55.46	AWD-LSTM + Adversarial Training	65.39	62.65

Table 1: Perplexity of the validation set and the test set for the WikiText2 dataset. Our method is AWD-LSTM + Adversarial Training. Smaller perplexity means better performance.

References

- [1] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. BERT: pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Jill Burstein, Christy Doran, and Thamar Solorio, editors, *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, NAACL-HLT 2019, Minneapolis, MN, USA, June 2-7, 2019, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019.
- [2] Yarin Gal and Zoubin Ghahramani. A theoretically grounded application of dropout in recurrent neural networks. In Daniel D. Lee, Masashi Sugiyama, Ulrike von Luxburg, Isabelle Guyon, and Roman Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 29: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2016, December 5-10, 2016, Barcelona, Spain*, pages 1019–1027, 2016.
- [3] Hakan Inan, Khashayar Khosravi, and Richard Socher. Tying word vectors and word classifiers: A loss framework for language modeling. In *5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, Toulon, France, April 24-26, 2017, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2017.
- [4] Alexey Kurakin, Ian J. Goodfellow, and Samy Bengio. Adversarial machine learning at scale. In *5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, Toulon, France, April 24-26, 2017, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2017.
- [5] Hanxiao Liu, Karen Simonyan, and Yiming Yang. DARTS: differentiable architecture search. In *7th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2019, New Orleans, LA, USA, May 6-9, 2019*. OpenReview.net, 2019.
- [6] Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. Roberta: A robustly optimized BERT pretraining approach. *CoRR*, abs/1907.11692, 2019.
- [7] Aleksander Madry, Aleksandar Makelov, Ludwig Schmidt, Dimitris Tsipras, and Adrian Vladu. Towards deep learning models resistant to adversarial attacks. In *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada, April 30 - May 3, 2018, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2018.
- [8] Mitchell P. Marcus, Beatrice Santorini, and Mary Ann Marcinkiewicz. Building a large annotated corpus of english: The penn treebank. *Comput. Linguistics*, 19(2):313–330, 1993.
- [9] Stephen Merity, Nitish Shirish Keskar, and Richard Socher. An analysis of neural language modeling at multiple scales. *CoRR*, abs/1803.08240, 2018.
- [10] Stephen Merity, Nitish Shirish Keskar, and Richard Socher. Regularizing and optimizing LSTM language models. In *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada, April 30 - May 3, 2018, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2018.

- [11] Stephen Merity, Caiming Xiong, James Bradbury, and Richard Socher. Pointer sentinel mixture models. In *5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, Toulon, France, April 24-26, 2017, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2017.
- [12] Takeru Miyato, Andrew M. Dai, and Ian J. Goodfellow. Adversarial training methods for semi-supervised text classification. In *5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, Toulon, France, April 24-26, 2017, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2017.
- [13] Ali Shafahi, Mahyar Najibi, Amin Ghiasi, Zheng Xu, John P. Dickerson, Christoph Studer, Larry S. Davis, Gavin Taylor, and Tom Goldstein. Adversarial training for free! In Hanna M. Wallach, Hugo Larochelle, Alina Beygelzimer, Florence d’Alché-Buc, Emily B. Fox, and Roman Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, December 8-14, 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada*, pages 3353–3364, 2019.
- [14] Cihang Xie, Mingxing Tan, Boqing Gong, Jiang Wang, Alan L. Yuille, and Quoc V. Le. Adversarial examples improve image recognition. In *2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2020, Seattle, WA, USA, June 13-19, 2020*, pages 816–825. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE, 2020.
- [15] Zhilin Yang, Zihang Dai, Yiming Yang, Jaime G. Carbonell, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Quoc V. Le. Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding. In Hanna M. Wallach, Hugo Larochelle, Alina Beygelzimer, Florence d’Alché-Buc, Emily B. Fox, and Roman Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, December 8-14, 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada*, pages 5754–5764, 2019.
- [16] Michihiro Yasunaga, Jungo Kasai, and Dragomir R. Radev. Robust multilingual part-of-speech tagging via adversarial training. In Marilyn A. Walker, Heng Ji, and Amanda Stent, editors, *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, NAACL-HLT 2018, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, June 1-6, 2018, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 976–986. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2018.
- [17] Dinghuai Zhang, Tianyuan Zhang, Yiping Lu, Zhanxing Zhu, and Bin Dong. You only propagate once: Accelerating adversarial training via maximal principle. In Hanna M. Wallach, Hugo Larochelle, Alina Beygelzimer, Florence d’Alché-Buc, Emily B. Fox, and Roman Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, December 8-14, 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada*, pages 227–238, 2019.
- [18] Konrad Zolna, Devansh Arpit, Dendi Suhubdy, and Yoshua Bengio. Fraternal dropout. In *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada, April 30 - May 3, 2018, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2018.
- [19] Barret Zoph and Quoc V. Le. Neural architecture search with reinforcement learning. In *5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, Toulon, France, April 24-26, 2017, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2017.