Massive flows in AdS_6/CFT_5

Mohammad Akhond[a], Andrea Legramandi[b,c], Carlos Nunez[c], Leonardo Santilli[d] and Lucas Schepers[c]

[a] Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan
[b] Pitaevskii BEC Center, CNR-INO and Dipartimento di Fisica, Universitá di Trento, Trento, 38123, Italy
[c] Department of Physics, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom
[d] Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China

arXiv:2211.09824v3 [hep-th] 8 Sep 2023

ABSTRACT

We study five-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Superconformal Field Theories of the linear quiver type. These are deformed by a relevant operator, corresponding to a homogeneous mass term for certain matter fields. The free energy is calculated at arbitrary values of the mass parameter. After a careful regularisation procedure, the result can be put in correspondence with a calculation in the supergravity dual background. The F-theorem is verified for these flows, both in field theory and in supergravity. This letter presents some of the results in the companion paper [1].

1. Introduction

After Nahm's famous classification of superalgebras containing the conformal symmetry [2], the pursuit and study of superconformal field theories (SCFTs) in higher dimensions has completely reshaped the quantum field theory (QFT) landscape. Five-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs [3] are the main characters of this work. They do not admit a weakly coupled description [4, 5], thus remaining out of the reach of the textbook Lagrangian formalism. Developing new methods to study them, based on string [6] or M-theory [7], turned out to be an invaluable source of lessons, exportable to QFT in general.

Supersymmetric localisation [8] provides a handle on the problem of computing physical observables in strongly coupled SCFTs. The basic idea is to work with quantities that are protected by supersymmetry, such as the sphere partition function. These quantities are amenable to be analysed away from the strongly coupled superconformal point. Deforming the SCFT into one of its gauge theory phases and applying the localisation machinery, the path integral reduces to an ordinary integral. Taking the strong coupling limit only at the end of the computation sheds light on the properties of the SCFT itself.

Combining the vast progress in five-dimensional SCFTs with the AdS/CFT correspondence [9] opens up a plethora of avenues to explore. The computational strategy just outlined has been fruitfully employed in [10–13] to perform refined checks of the duality between certain 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs and warped $AdS_6 \times \mathbb{S}^4$ geometries [14, 15] and orbifolds thereof [16] in massive Type IIA supergravity. More recently, warped $AdS_6 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \times \Sigma$ backgrounds have been constructed in Type IIB string theory [17–20], and proposed to be dual to SCFTs described by balanced linear quivers. Also in this case, field-theoretical computations based on localisation provided support for the duality [21–25].

The aim of this letter is to delve further into the subject. We consider $\mathcal{N} = 1$ linear quivers and their dual supergravity backgrounds. We analyse the effect of turning on relevant deformations in the SCFT. These are mass deformations that trigger a Renormalization Group (RG) flow. We study the flows between SCFTs in the same class both at the beginning and at the end of the flow. Our goal is to probe the AdS_6/CFT_5 correspondence both at and away from the SCFT points. The main achievement is to find holographic agreement at every point of the RG flow, for the class of models and relevant deformations that we consider. In particular, we present strong evidence for the existence of an F-theorem in these flows.


The details of the RG flows are spelled out in Section 2, and schematically presented in Fig. 1. Then, we compute the sphere free energy for arbitrary values of the mass parameter and elaborate on a 5d F-theorem. Along the way, we encounter an anomaly first discussed in [26]. A careful treatment of it is essential to ensure the validity of the AdS/CFT dictionary.

In Section 3 we present the solutions for the supergravity background and their relation to an electrostatic problem. This relation was thoroughly examined in [27], and here we extend the analogy by including a natural deformation of the setup. We then identify this deformation as the holographic dual to the relevant operator added to the dual SCFT, and find that the supergravity background and the large N sphere free energy are described by the same electrostatic picture. Furthermore, we compute the holographic central charge (i.e. the quantity dual to the 5d free energy), again showing perfect agreement with the field theory result. For more details on the derivations, as well as extensions in several directions, including the analogous AdS_4/CFT_3 setting, we refer to the companion work [1].

akhond@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp (M. Akhond); andrea.legramandi@unitn.it (A. Legramandi); c.nunez@swansea.ac.uk (C. Nunez); santilli@tsinghua.edu.cn (L. Santilli); 988532@Swansea.ac.uk (L. Schepers)

2. Mass deformations of 5d long quivers

We consider 5d SCFTs that admit a gauge theory phase with gauge group $\prod_{j=1}^{P-1} SU(N_j)$ and matter fields in the bifundamental of $SU(N_j) \times SU(N_{j+1})$, plus additional F_j flavours in the fundamental of $SU(N_j)$. The data of each such theory are encoded in a linear quiver:



(1)

We restrict our attention to balanced quivers, subject to

$$F_j = 2N_j - N_{j-1} - N_{j+1}. \tag{2}$$

Supergravity backgrounds for this class of theories have been constructed in [17–20, 27].

Balanced linear quivers are characterised by a rank function $\mathcal{R}(\eta)$. It is a piecewise-linear function of the continuous variable $0 \leq \eta \leq P$ with $\mathcal{R}(j) = N_j$. The number of flavours F_j is related to the discontinuity of $\partial_\eta \mathcal{R}$ at $\eta = j$ via (2).

The theories (1) have rich moduli spaces of vacua. The Coulomb branch (CB) is fibered over the parameter space of real masses for the matter fields. When all flavours are massless, the CB is a cone, and the SCFT is located at its tip. However, giving mass to a subset of the flavours, the conical singularity is smoothed into a less severe one.

In this letter we explore the effect of turning on mass deformations for balanced linear quivers. We select a homogeneous deformation, in which many flavours at each node acquire equal mass m, so that there is only one mass scale in the problem.[1] The choice of relevant deformation of the SCFT is specified by selecting the number $F_{2,j}$ of flavours that are given a mass, at each j, while the remaining $F_{1,j} = F_j - F_{2,j}$ stay massless. Condition (2) then selects a splitting $N_j = N_{1,j} + N_{2,j}$. In other words, a relevant deformation of the SCFT which is controlled by a unique parameter m and such that (2) holds at the end of the RG flow induced by the deformation, is specified by a splitting of the rank function $\mathcal{R}(\eta)$ into

$$\mathcal{R}_1(\eta) + \mathcal{R}_2(\eta) = \mathcal{R}(\eta). \tag{3}$$

For later reference, we write the Fourier expansion,

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha(\eta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_{\alpha,k} \sin\left(\frac{k\pi\eta}{P}\right), \qquad \alpha = 1, 2 \tag{4}$$

with $R_{1,k} + R_{2,k}$ subject to (3).

Turning on $m > 0$ activates an RG flow away from the SCFT characterised by $\mathcal{R}$. We show that at the end of the flow we find two factorised balanced SCFTs, with rank functions $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$. In addition, there is a collection of fields that have acquired a large mass along the flow and have eventually decoupled. The situation is represented in Fig. 1. Concretely, these massive fields account for Higgsed W-bosons and matter modes that are present in the original SCFT but are missing in the pair of SCFTs at the end of the flow. Indeed, the breaking $SU(N_j) \to SU(N_{1,j}) \times SU(N_{2,j})$ gives mass to some of the W-bosons. Besides, out of the $F_j N_j$ flavour zero-modes, only $F_{1,j}N_{1,j} + F_{2,j}N_{2,j}$ remain, the other ones having decoupled, and likewise for the bifundamental fields.




Figure 1: RG flow activated by the relevant deformation which gives equal mass to a large number of flavours across the quiver. The UV SCFT with rank function $\mathcal{R}$ flows to two SCFTs with rank functions $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$, plus a collection of heavy fields that decouple at the very end of the flow.

As we have mentioned, the original UV SCFT sits at the gapless vacuum, on the singularity at the origin of the CB. A finite mass splits the singularity in two, separated by a finite distance m. In the limit $m \to \infty$, the CB will eventually break in two disconnected components, each with its own single gapless vacuum yielding a 5d SCFT. From the IR perspective, each such vacuum is blind to the other CB, and the full RG flow is uniquely specified by the choice of which CB conical singularity is retained and which is sent to infinity. The sphere free energy, however, is computed in the UV and, as we will show, it retains the information about the factorisation of the CB. In particular, it is independent of the choice of vacuum, the various choices being related by a change of variables in the matrix model (5). With this caveat in mind, we loosely refer to the situation in Fig. 1 as the RG flow.

Massive deformations of this type have a neat interpretation in Type IIB string theory. Given a five-brane web engineering the SCFT (1), we separate the D5 branes in two stacks and pull them apart a distance m. Modes arising from strings stretched between branes in the same stack remain massless, while strings stretched between the two stacks are heavy.

In order to quantitatively probe the AdS/CFT correspondence under such deformations, we now move on to discuss the large N limit of the free energy on $\mathbb{S}^5$.

[1] The generalisation to an arbitrary number of masses is reported in [1].

2.1. Long quiver free energies

The partition function of the linear quiver on $\mathbb{S}^5$ is computed by a matrix model. It takes the form [28, 29]

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{S}^5} = \frac{1}{N!}\int \mathrm{d}\vec{\phi}\, e^{-S_{\text{eff}}(\vec{\phi},m)} Z_{\text{non-pert}}. \tag{5}$$

where $\vec{\phi}$ collectively denotes the CB parameters, the integration domain is $\mathbb{R}^{\sum_j N_j}$ and the measure $\mathrm{d}\vec{\phi}$ includes the traceless constraint at each gauge node. The matrix model effective action S_{eff} contains both the classical action as well as the one-loop contributions from W-bosons and fundamental and bifundamental matter fields. We refer to [28] for the explicit expressions. The dependence on the mass m appears through a shift $\phi_j \mapsto \phi_j + m$ in the argument of the one-loop determinant of the $F_{2,j}$ flavours at each j. Finally, $Z_{\text{non-pert}} \to 1$ in the large N limit, hence we neglect it from now. In addition, one may enrich (5) with contributions from the background fields, considered in [26, 30].

The holographic regime corresponds to choose an integer N and take $N \gg 1$ with N_j/N fixed. Moreover, we take a large N limit in which also the length P of the quiver grows. This long quiver limit was first addressed in [24] and we extend it to the mass deformed setup. This will allow us to evaluate the free energy at every point of the flow.

As usual, the effective action is large when $N \gg 1$, thus the leading contribution to (5) comes from the saddle points of S_{eff}. The interplay with $P \gg 1$ introduces novel features compared to the large N limit familiar from, for example, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang–Mills in 4d. Expanding on [24], we are led to make the scaling ansatz

$$\phi, m \propto P^{\chi} \tag{6}$$

for some $\chi > 0$. That is, in the holographic regime (P very large), the CB parameters as well as the mass grow with P. With this assumption, the functions appearing in S_{eff} have large argument, and the physically realised value of χ is determined by the existence of an equilibrium configuration. Self-consistency of the scaling limit tells us that $\chi = 1$.

The procedure to study the large N limit is detailed in [1], building on [24]. With the long quiver scaling (6), we introduce the eigenvalue density $\rho(\eta,\phi)$ [24]. At every fixed $\eta = j$ we have the usual eigenvalue densities, and this function $\rho(\eta,\phi)$ collects them together. In the regime $N, P \gg 1$ we have [1]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{\pi}{6}N^2 \int_0^P \mathrm{d}\eta \int \mathrm{d}\phi \rho(\eta,\phi) \\ \Big[-\frac{1}{N}\left(\partial_\eta^2 \mathcal{R}_1(\eta)|\phi|^3 + \partial_\eta^2\mathcal{R}_2(\eta)|\phi-m|^3\right) \\ + \int \mathrm{d}\tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{27}{4}\rho(\eta,\tilde{\phi})|\phi-\tilde{\phi}| + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\eta^2\rho(\eta,\tilde{\phi})|\phi-\tilde{\phi}|^3\right)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{R}_{1,2}$ is linear in N, thus the $1/N$ in the first line guarantees that all terms are of the same order and contribute to the saddle point. Extremising (7) and acting with ∂_ϕ^3, we arrive at the saddle point equation [1]

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{9}{4}\partial_\phi^2\rho(\eta,\phi) + \partial_\eta^2\rho(\eta,\phi) \\ &-\frac{1}{N}\partial_\eta^2\left[\mathcal{R}_1(\eta)\delta(\phi) + \mathcal{R}_2(\eta)\delta(\phi-m)\right] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

This is a Poisson equation for ρ, with sources at $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = m$. It describes an electrostatic problem, which we will encounter again in the study of the supergravity dual. The dictionary between QFT and supergravity is spelled out in (23) below.

Solving (8), we use $\rho(\eta,\phi)$ to compute the free energy $\mathcal{F} = \ln \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{S}^5}$. The result is [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} = \frac{27}{32}P^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k}\left[R_{1,k}^2 + R_{2,k}^2 + 2R_{1,k}R_{2,k}e^{-\frac{2\pi k m}{3P}}\right] \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k}R_{1,k}R_{2,k}\left[\frac{9\pi}{8}Pkm + \frac{\pi^3}{12P}k^3m^3\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We recognise in the first two pieces the free energies of two SCFTs with rank functions $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$, respectively. The m-dependent terms describe an interaction between the two quivers. All the terms are $O(P^2)$, according to (6).

It is instructive to analyse the cubic part in m in the second line of (9), which we denote $\delta\mathcal{F}$. Using the relation between $\partial_\eta^2\mathcal{R}_2|_{\eta=j}$ and $F_{2,j}$, it can be conveniently recast in the form [1]

$$\delta\mathcal{F} = \sum_{j=1}^{P-1}\frac{\pi}{3}k_{f,j}^{\text{eff}}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(M_j^3\right), \qquad k_{f,j}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{\mathcal{R}_1(j)}{2}, \tag{10}$$

where M_j is the mass matrix whose eigenvalues are 0 with multiplicity $F_{1,j}$ and m with multiplicity $F_{2,j}$. Now recall that, upon localization, a supersymmetric Chern–Simons (CS) term in 5d is

$$S_{\text{CS}} = \frac{\pi k}{3}\mathrm{Tr}\left(M^3\right) \tag{11}$$

where M is the scalar superpartner of the gauge field. We take it to be a background field for the flavour symmetry, rather than a dynamical field. As usual, gauge invariance of (11) requires $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As it only involves background fields, we are free to add the counterterm (11) to our action. We observe that $\delta\mathcal{F}$ can be cancelled by a sum of such local, supersymmetric counterterms, with *fractional* CS couplings $-k_{f,j}^{\text{eff}} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. The non-integrality of the CS couplings spoils invariance under background large gauge transformations and signals the presence of an anomaly (see [26] for in-depth discussion). We include such counterterm and denote $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ the improved free energy. Let us mention that a similar dilemma is faced in matching the rank-N E_n theories with their holographic dual in Romans $F(4)$ supergravity [13].

2.2. F-theorem

We now introduce the quantity

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}} - \mathcal{F}_{\text{dec.}} \tag{12}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the free energy improved with the background CS counterterm. From this free energy of the mass-deformed quiver with rank function $\mathcal{R}$, we subtract the contribution $\mathcal{F}_{\text{dec.}}$ from the fields that become heavy and eventually decouple. We claim that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}$ is the proper quantity to explore the RG flow between the original SCFT and the two decoupled SCFTs. The underlying motivation to consider (12) is that usually one wants to make statements that are intrinsic to the interacting SCFT, regardless of free massive fields that may have originated. In fact, both holography [9] and the F-theorem [31] are claims of this sort.

Concretely, the following statements hold:

- $\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}$ satisfies the 5d F-theorem;
- $\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}$ matches with the holographic central charge computed in supergravity.

We leave the technical evaluation of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}$ to the companion work [1]. It is based on analysing which flavours and W-bosons become massive along the flow and computing their free energy, denoted $\mathcal{F}_{\text{dec.}}$, based on an Effective Field Theory (EFT) approach. More explicitly, we count the total number of such heavy modes that become decoupled at the end of the RG flow, and evaluate their free energy. Crucially, the EFT approach consists in cutting off energy scales larger than m.[2] Doing this exercise for the heavy W-bosons and matter fields and adding all together, we obtain $\mathcal{F}_{\text{dec.}}$. We then subtract this quantity from (9). The result is,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}[\mathcal{R}_1,\mathcal{R}_2] = \frac{27}{32}P^2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k}\Big[R_{1,k}^2+R_{2,k}^2 \\ +2R_{1,k}R_{2,k}e^{-\frac{2\pi km}{3P}}\left(1+\frac{2\pi km}{3P}\right)\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Denoting $\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{R}]$ the free energy of a conformal (massless) quiver with rank function $\mathcal{R}$, we have that (13) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{m/P\to 0}\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}\left[\mathcal{R}_1,\mathcal{R}_2\right] = \mathcal{F}\left[\mathcal{R}_1+\mathcal{R}_2\right],\\ &\lim_{m/P\to\infty}\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}\left[\mathcal{R}_1,\mathcal{R}_2\right] = \mathcal{F}\left[\mathcal{R}_1\right]+\mathcal{F}\left[\mathcal{R}_2\right]\\ &\partial_m\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}\le 0,\quad \lim_{m/P\to 0}\partial_m\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}} = 0 = \lim_{m/P\to\infty}\partial_m\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

In words: $\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}$ is monotonically decreasing, stationary at the SCFT points, and interpolates between the free energies of the UV SCFT, with rank function $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 + \mathcal{R}_2$, and of the IR SCFT, comprised of the two quivers with ranks functions $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$. These are precisely the requirements of the strong version of the F-theorem [31] (see also [32] for further support for the conjectural 5d F-theorem).

As we will show in the next section, (13) matches with the holographic central charge (26), obtained from a supergravity computation.

3. Type IIB backgrounds for 5d long quiver SCFTs

In this section we discuss the holographic dual to five-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs and their relevant deformations.

[2] The background Chern–Simons term is regularised in the same vein.

A Poisson equation describing this dynamics is written and put in correspondence with (8). We give the explicit dictionary between the field theory discussion of the previous section and the holographic description below. We then propose the correspondence between $\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}$ in (12) and the holographic central charge, and also discuss the supergravity version of the F-theorem in (14).

3.1. Supergravity as an electrostatic problem

We present an infinite family of supergravity backgrounds in Type IIB string theory of the form $AdS_6\times\mathbb{S}^2\times\Sigma$. The isometries of AdS_6 correspond to the five-dimensional conformal algebra, whereas the additional $SU(2)$ isometry guaranteed by the presence of the sphere becomes the R-symmetry of the dual field theory. The 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs introduced in (1) live on the asymptotic boundary of AdS_6, with the specifics of the quiver encoded in the dependence of the metric and additional fields on the coordinates (σ,η), that define the auxiliary two-dimensional geometry Σ.

The full string theory configuration consists of a metric, dilaton Φ, B_2 field in the Neveu–Schwarz sector and C_2 and C_0 fields in the Ramond sector. The type IIB background in string frame is [27] (see also [33])

$$\begin{aligned}ds_{10}^2 &= f_1\left[ds_{\text{AdS}_6}^2+f_2ds_{\mathbb{S}^2}^2+f_3(d\sigma^2+d\eta^2)\right],\\ e^{-2\Phi} &= f_6,\quad B_2 = f_4\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^2),\\ C_2 &= f_5\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^2),\qquad C_0 = f_7.\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The warp factors $f_i = f_i(\sigma,\eta)$ have been obtained in [27] and we report them in the appendix. They depend only on the coordinates (σ,η) on Σ, and carry functional dependence on a single potential function $V_5(\sigma,\eta)$. The configuration is fixed by demanding that V_5 solves the linear partial differential equation (PDE)

$$\partial_\sigma\left(\sigma^2\partial_\sigma V_5\right)+\sigma^2\partial_\eta^2V_5 = 0. \tag{16}$$

This equation is equivalent to the BPS equations of the system.

The infinite family of backgrounds (15)-(16) has been proven to be in exact correspondence with the solutions discussed in [17–20]. Moreover, the paper [27] presents the study of the PDE (16), with suitable boundary conditions leading to a proper interpretation of the solutions, with quantised Page charges and avoiding badly-singular behaviours. Following [27], we make the redefinition

$$V_5(\sigma,\eta) = \frac{\hat{W}(\sigma,\eta)}{\sigma}. \tag{17}$$

The PDE (16) then reads like a Laplace equation in flat space,

$$\partial_\sigma^2\hat{W}+\partial_\eta^2\hat{W} = 0. \tag{18}$$

We stress that (18) implies that the Einstein, Maxwell and dilaton equations are satisfied. Away from the sources, the Bianchi identities are also satisfied. In the presence




Figure 2: The electrostatic problem for $\hat{W}$ in the two rank functions setup. A charge distribution equal to $\mathcal{R}_1(\eta)$ is placed at $\sigma = 0$ and a second charge distribution $\mathcal{R}_2(\eta)$ is at $\sigma = \sigma_0$.

of sources (that play the role of flavour branes, realising the flavour groups of the dual field theory), the differential equation gets an inhomogeneous term. In that case, (18) is modified to

$$\partial_\eta^2 \hat{W} + \partial_\sigma^2 \hat{W} + \mathcal{R}(\eta)\delta(\sigma) = 0, \tag{19}$$

on which we impose boundary conditions

$$\hat{W}(\sigma \to \pm\infty) = \hat{W}(\eta = 0) = \hat{W}(\eta = P) = 0\,. \tag{20}$$

This is a Poisson equation for a classical electrostatic potential in the presence of the charge distribution encoded by $\mathcal{R}(\eta)$. The latter can be put in correspondence with a linear quiver describing the low energy dynamics of the five dimensional SCFT deformed by a relevant operator. Intuitively, the η-direction is a *field theory* direction, moving along the quiver. The charge distribution $\mathcal{R}(\eta)$ is then identified with the rank function of Section 2. See [27] for the details.

In this letter we are interested in exploring the physical significance of the σ-direction. To do this, we generalise the electrostatic problem and consider the situation in which two charge densities $\mathcal{R}_1(\eta)$ and $\mathcal{R}_2(\eta)$ are separated in σ by a distance σ_0. In this setup, the Poisson equation (19) encoding the dynamics of the system reads

$$\partial_\eta^2 \hat{W}(\sigma,\eta) + \partial_\sigma^2 \hat{W}(\sigma,\eta) + \mathcal{R}_1(\eta)\delta(\sigma) + \mathcal{R}_2(\eta)\delta(\sigma-\sigma_0) = 0, \tag{21}$$

with boundary conditions (20). The associated electrostatic problem is depicted in Fig. 2. The solution is [1]

$$\hat{W} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{P}{2k\pi} \sin\left(\frac{k\pi\eta}{P}\right) \left[R_{1,k} e^{-\frac{k\pi}{P}|\sigma|} + R_{2,k} e^{-\frac{k\pi}{P}|\sigma-\sigma_0|}\right], \tag{22}$$

with $R_{1,k}$ and $R_{2,k}$ the Fourier coefficients of the two rank functions, as in (4).

The electrostatic problem is directly translated in the saddle point problem of Section 2. Indeed, dividing (21) by $-3N/2$ and acting with ∂_η^2, we reproduce (8) upon identification

$$\phi = \frac{3}{2}\sigma, \quad m = \frac{3}{2}\sigma_0, \quad -\partial_\eta^2 \hat{W} = \frac{3N}{2}\rho, \tag{23}$$

while η is mapped trivially.

3.2. Holographic central charge

An interesting observable that informs us about the interpretation of the parameter σ_0 is the holographic central charge c_{hol}. See [34, 35] for a general definition and [27] for the calculation in the context of AdS_6 backgrounds. c_{hol} is proportional to the free energy calculated in the previous section. More precisely, at the SCFT point $\sigma_0 \to 0$, $\frac{27\pi^6}{4} c_{hol}$ equals the limit $m \to 0$ of (9). We now proceed to compute the holographic central charge at arbitrary σ_0 and show that

$$\frac{27\pi^6}{4} c_{hol} = \mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}. \tag{24}$$

Intuitively, the holographic central charge of the theory is computed as the weighted-volume of the internal manifold $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \Sigma$. After a lengthy calculation, this works out to be [27]

$$c_{hol} = \frac{2}{3\pi^5} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma \int_0^P d\eta\, \partial_\eta^2 \hat{W} \left(\sigma \partial_\sigma \hat{W} - \hat{W}\right). \tag{25}$$

Here $\hat{W}$ is given by (22) and the residual integration is over the surface Σ. After the integrals are performed, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} c_{hol}[\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2] = \frac{P^2}{8\pi^6} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \Big[& R_{1,k}^2 + R_{2,k}^2 \\ & + 2R_{1,k}R_{2,k} e^{-\frac{k\pi\sigma_0}{P}} \left(1 + \frac{k\pi\sigma_0}{P}\right)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

With the identification $\frac{\sigma_0}{2} = \frac{m}{3}$ already found in (23), this is proportional to (13), as claimed.

In the spirit of a holographic version of the F-theorem, we state here that c_{hol} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\sigma_0/P \to 0} c_{hol}[\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2] &= c_{hol}[\mathcal{R}_1 + \mathcal{R}_2], \\ \lim_{\sigma_0/P \to \infty} c_{hol}[\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2] &= c_{hol}\left[\mathcal{R}_1\right] + c_{hol}\left[\mathcal{R}_2\right] \\ \lim_{\sigma_0/P \to 0} c_{hol} &> \lim_{\sigma_0/P \to \infty} c_{hol} \\ \partial_{\sigma_0} c_{hol} &\leq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

This mirrors the statement (14). It is shown rigorously in [1] that the F-theorem is valid for this kind of relevant deformations. The proof goes in two parts. On the field theory side, it shows that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{EFT}}$ in (13) satisfies the conditions in (14). On the other hand, it is shown independently that the holographic central charge (26) satisfies (27).

While c_{hol} (as well as probe branes [1]) clearly see the factorisation, only one metric (15) remains as $\sigma_0 \to \infty$. However, depending on how the limit is taken, either the metric given by $\mathcal{R}_1$ or the one given by $\mathcal{R}_2$ is retained. Namely, sending $\sigma_0 \to \infty$ directly, one is left with the AdS_6 metric fixed by the rank function $\mathcal{R}_1$, as in [27]. However, first shifting $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma - \sigma_0$ and then sending $\sigma_0 \to \infty$, the AdS_6 metric that remains is determined by the rank function $\mathcal{R}_2$, located at $\tilde{\sigma} = 0$. Therefore, even if only one metric survives at the end of the RG flow, the geometry still detects the existence of two decoupled SCFTs. The choice of how to take the limit, and thus of which metric retain in the IR, is precisely dual to the choice of conformal vacuum in the RG flow of the dual field theory.

3.3. Study of singularities

Let us turn our attention to the analysis of the singularities in the backgrounds (15), once V_5 in (17)-(22) is used. Already for $\sigma_0 = 0$, the backgrounds (15) do present singularities that, in the regime of very large N, P, are sharply localised at the location of the source branes in the Type IIB setup. The result is reliable everywhere away from the sources, although very close to them one should supplement the analysis with the open string sector.

Nevertheless, for finite σ_0, new singularities appear, which cannot be interpreted in terms of localised sources. The supergravity backgrounds are not a faithful dual description of the field theory dynamics, because the parameter σ_0 introduces a finite scale and is incompatible with conformal invariance of the field theory, and thus with the AdS_6 factor in the family of metrics. The supergravity solution we would need to construct deforms away from AdS_6 into a $\mathbb{R}^{1,4}$ and a radial coordinate, which should be a combination of the AdS radial coordinate and the σ-direction. For $|\sigma_0| \to \infty$ the AdS_6 factor is eventually recovered, with a factorised form of the warp factors.

The behaviour of these more elaborated geometries should then be in correspondence with the SCFT deformed by a relevant operator, with parameter proportional to σ_0, that flows into two decoupled SCFTs as indicated in Fig. 1. A background fluctuation localised close to $\sigma = 0$ should have fast decay for $|\sigma| \to \infty$. We leave these explicit checks for future investigation.

It is natural to wonder about the validity of c_{hol} in (26), as it is obtained using the background (15) with potential (22). Carefully going over the supergravity calculation shows that dilaton and the metric components that present a singular behaviour, do in fact cancel, yielding the final result (25)-(26). The same cancellations occur in other observables [1], and are in line with closely related early observations in [36]. Even when the singular behaviour of our backgrounds are different, this type of cancellations are reminiscent of the so-called *good singularities* [37, 38]. It must be emphasised that other observables will display a singular behaviour for finite σ_0, invalidating the AdS_6 ansatz (15) as a description of the mass-deformed SCFT.

4. Conclusions

We have studied RG flows associated to relevant deformations of long quivers. Insisting on the class of mass deformations that yield balanced linear quiver SCFTs both at the beginning and end of the RG flow, we have explored the mass deformations that break the SCFT in two (or more) linear quivers. After understanding these RG flows from the perspective of the Coulomb branch, we have taken the large N limit and computed the free energy at arbitrary points of the RG flow. We have also discussed the holographic dual of such deformations, and given a neat interpretation in terms on an electrostatic problem.

The main findings reported in this letter are:

- On the QFT side, we introduced the quantity $\mathcal{F}_{\rm EFT}$ in (12), tailored to probe the RG flows between interacting SCFTs. It depends on the mass parameter, but not on the choice of vacuum. We have evaluated it in (13) and shown that it satisfies the F-theorem.
- On the AdS_6 side, we have mapped the supergravity problem to an electrostatic one. We have then identified the holographic dual of the mass deformation in this electrostatic formalism. We have used the resulting solutions to compute c_{hol}, finding agreement with $\mathcal{F}_{\rm EFT}$.

We have thus provided a window on the enhancement of the AdS/CFT framework to entire RG flows connecting 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs. Having identified the appropriate quantity for such scope, we have also used it to support the 5d F-theorem.

The electrostatic formalism points toward several generalisations. Understanding their physical significance in supergravity on the one hand, and identifying and studying the dual deformations of 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs on the other, constitute a long term project to enrich the holographic dictionary.

Acknowledgments

The contents and presentation of this work much benefited from extensive discussion with various colleagues. We would like to specially thank: Lorenzo Coccia, Diego Correa, Stefano Cremonesi, Michele Del Zotto, Diego Rodriguez-Gomez, Mauricio Romo, Masazumi Honda, Yolanda Lozano, Ali Mollabashi, Matteo Sacchi, Guillermo Silva, Daniel Thompson, Miguel Tierz, Alessandro Tomasiello, Christoph Uhlemann, Yifan Wang. AL and CN are supported by STFC grant ST/T000813/1. LS is supported by The Royal Society through grant RGF\R1\180087 Generalised Dualities, Resurgence and Integrability. AL has also received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 804305). For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising.

Appendix

The warp factors $f_1, \ldots, f_7$ appearing in the supergravity background (15) are:

$$f_1 = \frac{3\pi}{2}\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \frac{3\sigma\partial_\sigma V_5}{\partial_\eta^2 V_5}},$$
$$f_2 = \frac{\partial_\sigma V_5 \partial_\eta^2 V_5}{3\Lambda},$$
$$f_3 = \frac{\partial_\eta^2 V_5}{3\sigma\partial_\sigma V_5},$$

$$f_4 = \frac{\pi}{2}\left[\eta - \frac{\sigma\partial_\sigma V_5 \partial_\sigma\partial_\eta V_5}{\Lambda}\right],$$
$$f_5 = \frac{\pi}{2}\left[V_5 - \frac{\sigma\partial_\sigma V_5}{\Lambda}\left(\partial_\eta V_5\partial_\sigma\partial_\eta V_5 - 3\partial_\eta^2 V_5\partial_\sigma V_5\right)\right],$$
$$f_6 = \frac{12\Lambda\left(\sigma^2\partial_\sigma V_5\partial_\eta^2 V_5\right)}{\left(3\partial_\sigma V_5 + \sigma\partial_\eta^2 V_5\right)^2},$$
$$f_7 = 2\left[\partial_\eta V_5 + \frac{3\sigma\partial_\sigma V_5\partial_\sigma\partial_\eta V_5}{3\partial_\sigma V_5 + \sigma\partial_\eta^2 V_5}\right],$$

and the function Λ is defined as

$$\Lambda = \sigma\left(\partial_\sigma\partial_\eta V_5\right)^2 + \left(\partial_\sigma V_5 - \sigma\partial_\sigma^2 V_5\right)\partial_\eta^2 V_5.$$

The map from [17–20] to the present setup requires fixing the origin in the (σ,η)-plane [27]. These expressions are written assuming a rank function located at $\sigma = 0$, and possibly adding other rank functions. In the case of a single rank function located at $\sigma = \sigma_0$, one should first shift $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma - \sigma_0$ and then replace σ with $\tilde{\sigma}$ in the expressions.

References

[1] M. Akhond, A. Legramandi, C. Nunez, L. Santilli and L. Schepers, "Matrix Models and Holography: Mass Deformations of Long Quiver Theories in 5d and 3d."*SciPost Phys.* **15** (2023) 086 [2211.13240].

[2] W. Nahm, "Supersymmetries and their Representations."*Nucl. Phys. B* **135** (1978) 149.

[3] N. Seiberg, "Five-dimensional SUSY field theories, nontrivial fixed points and string dynamics."*Phys. Lett. B* **388** (1996) 753 [hep-th/9608111].

[4] C. Cordova, T. T. Dumitrescu and K. Intriligator, "Deformations of Superconformal Theories."*JHEP* **11** (2016) 135 [1602.01217].

[5] C.-M. Chang, "5d and 6d SCFTs Have No Weak Coupling Limit."*JHEP* **09** (2019) 016 [1810.04169].

[6] O. Aharony, A. Hanany and B. Kol, "Webs of (p,q) five-branes, five-dimensional field theories and grid diagrams."*JHEP* **01** (1998) 002 [hep-th/9710116].

[7] K. A. Intriligator, D. R. Morrison and N. Seiberg, "Five-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories and degenerations of Calabi-Yau spaces."*Nucl. Phys. B* **497** (1997) 56 [hep-th/9702198].

[8] V. Pestun, "Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops."*Commun. Math. Phys.* **313** (2012) 71 [0712.2824].

[9] J. M. Maldacena, "The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity."*Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231 [hep-th/9711200].

[10] D. L. Jafferis and S. S. Pufu, "Exact results for five-dimensional superconformal field theories with gravity duals."*JHEP* **05** (2014) 032 [1207.4359].

[11] L. F. Alday, M. Fluder, P. Richmond and J. Sparks, "Gravity Dual of Supersymmetric Gauge Theories on a Squashed Five-Sphere."*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 141601 [1404.1925].

[12] L. F. Alday, M. Fluder, C. M. Gregory, P. Richmond and J. Sparks, "Supersymmetric gauge theories on squashed five-spheres and their gravity duals."*JHEP* **09** (2014) 067 [1405.7194].

[13] C.-M. Chang, M. Fluder, Y.-H. Lin and Y. Wang, "Romans Supergravity from Five-Dimensional Holograms."*JHEP* **05** (2018) 039 [1712.10313].

[14] L. J. Romans, "The F(4) Gauged Supergravity in Six-dimensions."*Nucl. Phys. B* **269** (1986) 691.

[15] M. Cvetic, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, "Gauged six-dimensional supergravity from massive type IIA."*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 5226 [hep-th/9906221].

[16] O. Bergman and D. Rodriguez-Gomez, "5d quivers and their AdS(6) duals."*JHEP* **07** (2012) 171 [1206.3503].

[17] E. D'Hoker, M. Gutperle, A. Karch and C. F. Uhlemann, "Warped $AdS_6 \times S^2$ in Type IIB supergravity I: Local solutions."*JHEP* **08** (2016) 046 [1606.01254].

[18] E. D'Hoker, M. Gutperle and C. F. Uhlemann, "Holographic duals for five-dimensional superconformal quantum field theories."*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** (2017) 101601 [1611.09411].

[19] E. D'Hoker, M. Gutperle and C. F. Uhlemann, "Warped $AdS_6 \times S^2$ in Type IIB supergravity II: Global solutions and five-brane webs."*JHEP* **05** (2017) 131 [1703.08186].

[20] E. D'Hoker, M. Gutperle and C. F. Uhlemann, "Warped $AdS_6 \times S^2$ in Type IIB supergravity III: Global solutions with seven-branes."*JHEP* **11** (2017) 200 [1706.00433].

[21] M. Gutperle, J. Kaidi and H. Raj, "Mass deformations of 5d SCFTs via holography."*JHEP* **02** (2018) 165 [1801.00730].

[22] M. Gutperle, A. Trivella and C. F. Uhlemann, "Type IIB 7-branes in warped AdS_6: partition functions, brane webs and probe limit."*JHEP* **04** (2018) 135 [1802.07274].

[23] M. Fluder and C. F. Uhlemann, "Precision Test of AdS_6/CFT_5 in Type IIB String Theory."*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 171603 [1806.08374].

[24] C. F. Uhlemann, "Exact results for 5d SCFTs of long quiver type."*JHEP* **11** (2019) 072 [1909.01369].

[25] C. F. Uhlemann, "Wilson loops in 5d long quiver gauge theories."*JHEP* **09** (2020) 145 [2006.01142].

[26] C.-M. Chang, M. Fluder, Y.-H. Lin and Y. Wang, "Spheres, Charges, Instantons, and Bootstrap: A Five-Dimensional Odyssey."*JHEP* **03** (2018) 123 [1710.08418].

[27] A. Legramandi and C. Nunez, "Electrostatic description of five-dimensional SCFTs."*Nucl. Phys. B* **974** (2022) 115630 [2104.11240].

[28] J. Källén, J. Qiu and M. Zabzine, "The perturbative partition function of supersymmetric 5D Yang-Mills theory with matter on the five-sphere."*JHEP* **08** (2012) 157 [1206.6008].

[29] G. Lockhart and C. Vafa, "Superconformal Partition Functions and Non-perturbative Topological Strings."*JHEP* **10** (2018) 051 [1210.5909].

[30] C.-M. Chang, M. Fluder, Y.-H. Lin and Y. Wang, "Proving the 6d Cardy Formula and Matching Global Gravitational Anomalies."*SciPost Phys.* **11** (2021) 036 [1910.10151].

[31] I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu and B. R. Safdi, "F-Theorem without Supersymmetry."*JHEP* **10** (2011) 038 [1105.4598].

[32] M. Fluder and C. F. Uhlemann, "Evidence for a 5d F-theorem."*JHEP* **02** (2021) 192 [2011.00006].

[33] F. Apruzzi, J. C. Geipel, A. Legramandi, N. T. Macpherson and M. Zagermann, "Minkowski$_4 \times S^2$ solutions of IIB supergravity."*Fortsch. Phys.* **66** (2018) 1800006 [1801.00800].

[34] N. T. Macpherson, C. Núñez, L. A. Pando Zayas, V. G. J. Rodgers and C. A. Whiting, "Type IIB supergravity solutions with AdS_5 from Abelian and non-Abelian T dualities."*JHEP* **02** (2015) 040 [1410.2650].

[35] Y. Bea, J. D. Edelstein, G. Itsios, K. S. Kooner, C. Nunez, D. Schofield et al., "Compactifications of the Klebanov-Witten CFT and new AdS_3 backgrounds."*JHEP* **05** (2015) 062 [1503.07527].

[36] M. Gutperle, C. Marasinou, A. Trivella and C. F. Uhlemann, "Entanglement entropy vs. free energy in IIB supergravity duals for 5d SCFTs."*JHEP* **09** (2017) 125 [1705.01561].

[37] S. S. Gubser, "Curvature singularities: The Good, the bad, and the naked."*Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **4** (2000) 679 [hep-th/0002160].

[38] J. M. Maldacena and C. Nunez, "Supergravity description of field theories on curved manifolds and a no go theorem."*Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **16** (2001) 822 [hep-th/0007018].