# THE GROUP DETERMINANTS FOR $\mathbb{Z}_n \times H$

#### BISHNU PAUDEL AND CHRISTOPHER PINNER

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  denote the cyclic group of order n. We show how the group determinant for  $G=\mathbb{Z}_n\times H$  can be simply written in terms of the group determinant for H. We use this to get a complete description of the integer group determinants for  $\mathbb{Z}_2\times D_8$  where  $D_8$  is the dihedral group of order 8, and  $\mathbb{Z}_2\times Q_8$  where  $Q_8$  is the quaternion group of order 8.

### 1. Introduction

At the meeting of the American Mathematical Society in Hayward, California, in April 1977, Olga Taussky-Todd [13] asked whether one could characterize the values of the group determinant when the entries are all integers. There was particular interest in the case of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , the cyclic group of order n, where the group determinant corresponds to the  $n \times n$  circulant determinant. For a prime p, a complete description was obtained for the cyclic groups  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{2p}$  in [6] and [11], and for  $D_{2p}$  and  $D_{4p}$  in [1]. Here  $D_{2n}$  denotes the dihedral group of order 2n. In general though this quickly becomes a hard problem, with only partial results known even for  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  once  $p \geq 7$  (see [7] and [5]). A complete description has though been obtained for all groups of order less than 16 (see [12] and [8]), and for 6 of the 14 groups of order 16,  $D_{16}$  and the five abelian groups  $\mathbb{Z}_{16}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_4^2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$  (see [1, 16, 17, 15, 18] and [19]). We write  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  for the set of integer group determinants for the group G.

Our goal here is to show how the group determinant for a group of the form  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n \times H$  can be straightforwardly related to the group determinants for the group H. We use this to give a complete description for two more non-abelian groups of order 16, namely  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$  where  $Q_8$  is the quaternion group.

Here we shall think of the group determinants as being defined on elements of the group ring  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ 

$$\mathcal{D}_G\left(\sum_{g\in G}a_gg\right)=\det\left(a_{gh^{-1}}
ight),$$

although our ultimate interest is of course in the integer group determinants  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ . We observe the multiplicative property

(1) 
$$\mathcal{D}_G(xy) = \mathcal{D}_G(x)\mathcal{D}_G(y),$$

Date: March 16, 2023.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11C20, 15B36; Secondary: 11C08, 43A40. Key words and phrases. group determinant, dihedral group, quaternion group.

using that

$$x = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g, \quad y = \sum_{g \in G} b_g g \Rightarrow xy = \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{hk=g} a_h b_k \right) g.$$

## 2. Products with $\mathbb{Z}_n$

We show that when  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n \times H$  we can write our integer group G-determinant as a product of n group H-determinants of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_n][H]$ , where  $\omega_n := e^{2\pi i/n}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n \times H$  then for any  $a_{ih}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ 

(2) 
$$\mathcal{D}_G\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{h\in H}a_{ih}(i,h)\right) = \prod_{y^n=1}\mathcal{D}_H\left(\sum_{h\in H}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}a_{ih}y^i\right)h\right).$$

Results of this flavour have been obtained before [14], but here we do not need to assume that H is abelian.

*Proof.* One way to see this is to use Frobenius' factorisation [3] of the group determinant in terms of the irreducible, non-isomorphic, representations  $\rho$  of G (see for example [2] or [4])

$$\mathcal{D}_G\left(\sum_{g\in G} a_g g\right) = \prod_{\rho} \det\left(\sum_{g\in G} a_g \rho(g)\right)^{\deg(\rho)}.$$

Observe that every representation  $\rho$  for H extends to n representations for G

$$\rho_{\mathbf{y}}(i,h) = \mathbf{y}^{i}\rho(h),$$

where y runs through the nth roots of unity.

More directly we can alternatively follow Newman's proof [6] of the factorization of the group determinant for  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$ . Newman observes that the group matrix M for  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} A_i i$ , that is the circulant matrix with first row  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ , takes the form

$$M = A_0 I_n + A_1 P + \dots + A_{n-1} P^{n-1}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now P has eigenvalues y,  $y^n = 1$ , so the matrix M will have the same eigenvectors as P but with eigenvalues

(3) 
$$A_0 + A_1 y + \dots + A_{n-1} y^{n-1}, \quad y = 1, \omega_n, \omega_n^2, \dots, \omega_n^{n-1}.$$

Hence the matrix of eigenvectors B will yield a diagonal matrix  $B^{-1}MB$  with the values (3) down the diagonal.

Now suppose that  $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_m\}$  and order the elements so that the first row of the  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n \times H$  group matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  for  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, h \in H} a_{ih}(i, h)$  consists of the

$$a_{0h_1},\ldots,a_{0h_m},a_{1h_1},\ldots,a_{1h_m},\ldots,a_{(n-1)h_1},\ldots,a_{(n-1)h_m}$$

Then it is not hard to see that first m rows of our G group matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  will consists of  $m \times m$  blocks  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ , where  $A_i$  is the group H matrix associated to  $\sum_{h \in H} a_{ih}h$ , and the subsequent rows the same blocks cyclically permuted.

Hence if we take the  $n \times n$  matrix B and replace each entry  $a_{ij}$  with the  $m \times m$  block  $a_{ij}I_m$  we obtain an  $nm \times nm$  matrix  $\mathcal{B}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}$  will now be a block matrix with entries the same linear combinations of the blocks  $A_i$  as occurred for the elements in  $B^{-1}MB$ ; that is blocks (3) down the diagonal and zeros elsewhere. The result is then plain.

Notice that if we start with an integer G group determinant, then we can assemble the n determinants in (2) into  $\tau(n)$  integers by combining the primitive dth roots of unity,  $d \mid n$ . If H is abelian, then these will be integer H group determinants

$$(4) \quad \prod_{\substack{y=\omega_d^j\\\gcd(j,d)=1}} \mathcal{D}_H \left( \sum_{h\in H} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{ih} y^i \right) h \right) = \mathcal{D}_H \left( \prod_{\substack{y=\omega_d^j\\\gcd(j,d)=1}} \sum_{h\in H} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{ih} y^i \right) h \right),$$

since the resulting coefficients will be symmetric expressions in the conjugates and hence in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, an integer  $G = \mathbb{Z}_n \times H$  group determinant is an integer group H determinant, though this can be seen more directly (if  $H = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$ , then the G group determinant reduces to a product of an integer polynomial  $F(y, x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  over the  $n, n_1, \ldots, n_k$ th roots of unity and  $\prod_{y^n=1} F(y, x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  is just an integer polynomial in one less variable; see also [15, Theorem 1.4]). If H is nonabelian, then the process (4) may leave elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_d][H]$ , and we are unable to say that an integer G group determinant must be an integer H group determinant, except for the case when n=2.

3. The group 
$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$$

Notice that when n=2 we can write an integer  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times H$  group determinant as a product of two integer H group determinants:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times H} \left( \sum_{h \in H} a_h(0, h) + \sum_{h \in H} b_h(1, h) \right) = \mathcal{D}_H \left( \sum_{h \in H} (a_h + b_h) h \right) \mathcal{D}_H \left( \sum_{h \in H} (a_h - b_b) h \right).$$

In the case of  $H=D_8=\langle F,R\mid F^2=1,R^4=1,RF=FR^3\rangle$  we take the coefficients of the group elements  $(0,R^j)$ ,  $(1,R^j)$ ,  $(0,FR^j)$  and  $(1,FR^j)$ , as the coefficients of  $x^j$  in four cubics,  $f_1,f_2,g_1$  and  $g_2$  respectively. The  $\mathbb{Z}_2\times D_8$  determinant, which we will denote  $\mathcal{D}(f_1,f_2,g_1,g_2)$ , is then the product of two  $D_8$  determinants, which from [1] can be written

(5) 
$$\mathcal{D}(f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2) = \mathcal{D}(1)\mathcal{D}(-1), \quad \mathcal{D}(z) = m_1(z)m_2(z)\ell(z)^2,$$

where

$$m_1(z) = (f_1(1) + zf_2(1))^2 - (g_1(1) + zg_2(1))^2,$$
  

$$m_2(z) = (f_1(-1) + zf_2(-1))^2 - (g_1(-1) + zg_2(-1))^2,$$

and

(6) 
$$\ell(z) = |f_1(i) + zf_2(i)|^2 - |g_1(i) + zg_2(i)|^2.$$

We obtain a complete description of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$  integer group determinants.

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$  the set of odd integer group determinants is

$$A := \{ m(m+16k) : m, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \text{ odd} \}.$$

The even determinants are the  $2^{16}m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Notice the set of achieved odd values A consists of all the integers 1 mod 16 and exactly those integers 9 mod 16 which contain a prime  $p \equiv \pm 3$  or  $\pm 5$  mod 16. These are the same as the odd values found in [15] for  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ . In fact  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8) \subsetneq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8)$ . Sets of this type occur for other 2-groups.

**Proposition 3.1.** The odd integer group determinants for  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$  are the

$$\{m(m+|G|k) : m, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \text{ odd }\}.$$

The odd integer group determinants for  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \times \mathbb{Z}_4$  are the  $m \equiv 1 \mod |G|$ .

Note, for any group the  $m \equiv 1 \mod |G|$  are in S(G), with 1 + k|G| obtained by taking  $a_g = 1 + k$  for the identity and  $a_g = k$  for the others.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Achieving the values. We write  $H(x) := (x+1)(x^2+1)$ . We achieve the values in A with  $m = \pm 1, \pm 9 \mod 16$  from

$$\mathcal{D}(1 + kH, kH, kH, kH) = 1 + 16k.$$

We get those with  $\pm m \equiv 5 \mod 16$  from (5+16t)(5+16k) achieved with

$$\mathcal{D}(1+x+x^2+(t+k)H,x-x^3+(t-k)H,1+x+(t+k)H,1-x^2+(t-k)H)$$

and those with  $\pm m \equiv 3 \mod 16$  from (3+16t)(3+16k) achieved with

$$\mathcal{D}(1+x+(t+k)H,1+x-x^2-x^3+(t-k)H,1+x-x^3+(t+k)H,x-x^3+(t-k)H).$$

We achieve the even values  $2^{18}m$  using

$$\mathcal{D}(1+x+x^2-mH,1-x^2-x^3-mH,1+x-x^3+mH,x+mH),$$

the  $2^{17}(2m+1)$  with

$$\mathcal{D}(1+x+x^2+x^3+mH,1+x+mH,x+mH,1+x^2-x^3+mH)$$

the  $2^{16}(1+4m)$  from

$$\mathcal{D}(1+x+x^2+x^3+mH,1+x-x^2-x^3+mH,1+x-x^2-x^3+mH,1-x+mH),$$
 and the  $2^{16}(-1+4m)$  from

$$\mathcal{D}(1+x+x^2-mH,1+x-x^3-mH,1-x^3-mH,x-x^2-mH).$$

The odd values. We show that any odd determinant must lie in A. We know that any  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$  determinant must be the product of two  $D_8$  determinants, which we can write

(7) 
$$\mathcal{D}_1 = m_1 m_2 \ell_1^2, \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = m_3 m_4 \ell_2^2$$

with

$$m_1 = f(1)^2 - g(1)^2$$
,  $m_2 = f(-1)^2 - g(-1)^2$ ,  $\ell_1 = |f(i)|^2 - |g(i)|^2$ ,

and

$$m_3 = (f(1) + 2h(1))^2 - (g(1) + 2k(1))^2,$$
  

$$m_4 = (f(-1) + 2h(-1))^2 - (g(-1) + 2k(-1))^2,$$
  

$$\ell_2 = |f(i) + 2h(i)|^2 - |g(i) + 2k(i)|^2.$$

Assume that  $\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2$  is odd. Switching f and g and replacing f by -f as necessary, we shall assume that  $f(1) \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and  $2 \mid g(1)$ . The result will follow once we show that

$$\mathcal{D}_1 \equiv \mathcal{D}_2 \pmod{16}$$
.

We write

$$h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_i (x-1)^i, \quad k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} b_i (x-1)^i, \quad f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} c_i (x-1)^i, \quad g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} d_i (x-1)^i,$$

where  $c_0 = 1 \mod 4$  and  $2 \mid d_0$ . Now

$$m_3 - m_1 = 4f(1)h(1) + 4h(1)^2 - 4k(1)g(1) - 4k(1)^2 \equiv 4a_0 + 4a_0^2 - 4b_0d_0 - 4b_0^2 \mod 16$$

$$m_4 - m_2 = 4f(-1)h(-1) + 4h(-1)^2 - 4k(-1)g(-1) - 4k(-1)^2$$

$$\equiv 4(a_0 - 2a_1 - 2a_0c_1) + 4a_0^2 - 4(b_0d_0 - 2b_0d_1) - 4b_0^2 \mod 16,$$

and

$$\ell_2 - \ell_1 = (2h(i)\overline{f(i)} + 2\overline{h(i)}f(i) + 4|h(i)|^2) - (2k(i)\overline{g(i)} + 2\overline{k(i)}g(i) + 4|k(i)|^2)$$

$$\equiv (4a_0 - 4a_0c_1 - 4a_1 + 4a_0^2) - (-4b_0d_1 + 4b_0^2) \mod 8$$

and

$$\ell_2^2 \equiv \ell_1^2 + 8(a_0 - a_0c_1 - a_1 + a_0^2 + b_0d_1 - b_0^2) \mod 16.$$

Since  $m_1, m_2, \ell_1^2 \equiv 1 \mod 4$  we get

$$\mathcal{D}_1 - \mathcal{D}_2 \equiv 4(a_0 + a_0^2 - b_0 d_0 - b_0^2) + 4(a_0 - 2a_1 - 2a_0 c_1 + a_0^2 - b_0 d_0 + 2b_0 d_1 - b_0^2) + 8(a_0 - a_0 c_1 - a_1 + a_0^2 + b_0 d_1 - b_0^2) \equiv 0 \mod 16.$$

The even values. We know from [1] that the even  $D_8$  determinants are divisible by  $2^8$ . So any even  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$  determinant  $\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2$  must be a multiple of  $2^{16}$ , and all these are achieved.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Suppose that H is an abelian 2-group and  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times H$ . Then by [10, Theorem 2.3] we can write the G-determinant as a product of two H-determinants  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2$  with  $\mathcal{D}_2 \equiv \mathcal{D}_1 \mod |G|$ , and

(8) 
$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times H) \subseteq \{ m(m+k|G|) : m \in \mathcal{S}(H) \}.$$

For  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_t$ ,  $t = 2^n$ , the determinants take the form  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \prod_{x^t = 1} F(x, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_2 = \prod_{x^t = 1} F(x, -1)$  for some F(x, y) = f(x) + yh(x), the coefficients of  $x^i$  in f and h corresponding to the  $a_g$  for g = (0, i) and (1, i) respectively. For an odd positive integer m, taking

$$F(x,y) = \prod_{p^{\alpha} \parallel m} \left(\frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1}\right)^{\alpha} + k(y + 1) \left(\frac{x^t - 1}{x - 1}\right)$$

achieves m(m+k|G|).

For  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  all the odd values must be 1 mod |G| by [9, Lemma 2.1]. For  $G_n = \mathbb{Z}_2^n \times \mathbb{Z}_4$  observe when n = 1 that  $m(m+8k) \equiv m^2 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ , and in general that if  $m \equiv 1 \mod |G_{n-1}|$  then  $m(m+|G_n|k) \equiv m^2 \equiv 1 \mod |G_n|$ .

Interestingly (8) also holds for the non-abelian groups  $H = D_8$  and  $Q_8$ .

4. The group 
$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$$

A  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$  determinant will be a product of two  $Q_8$  determinants, which by [12] can be written in a very similar way to (5);

(9) 
$$\mathcal{D}(f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2) = \mathcal{D}(1)\mathcal{D}(-1), \quad \mathcal{D}(z) = m_1(z)m_2(z)\ell(z)^2,$$

with

$$\begin{split} m_1(z) &= (f_1(1) + z f_2(1))^2 - (g_1(1) + z g_2(1))^2, \\ m_2(z) &= (f_1(-1) + z f_2(-1))^2 - (g_1(-1) + z g_2(-1))^2, \end{split}$$

but now

$$\ell(z) = |f_1(i) + zf_2(i)|^2 + |g_1(i) + zg_2(i)|^2.$$

Writing  $Q_8 = \langle A, B : A^4 = 1, B^2 = A^2, AB = BA^{-1} \rangle$ , the coefficient of  $x^i$  in the cubic  $f_1, f_2, g_1$  and  $g_2$ , corresponds to the  $a_g$  in the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$  group determinant for  $g = (0, A^i), (1, A^i), (0, BA^i)$  and  $(1, BA^i)$  respectively.

We obtain a complete description of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$  integer group determinants.

**Theorem 4.1.** When  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$  the odd integer group determinants are the integers 1 mod 16, plus the integers 9 mod 16 of the form

$$s_1 s_2 (\ell_1 \ell_2)^2$$
,  $s_1, s_2 \equiv -3 \mod 8$ ,  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \equiv 3 \mod 4$ ,

for some  $s_1, s_2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\ell_1, \ell_2$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , with

$$(10) s_1 \equiv s_2 \mod 16 \quad and \quad \ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \mod 8$$

or

(11) 
$$s_1 \equiv s_2 + 8 \mod 16 \quad and \quad \ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 + 4 \mod 8.$$

The even values are the  $2^{18}m$ , m in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the

$$2^{17}(2m+1)p^2, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p \equiv 3 \mod 4,$$

the  $2^{16}m$  with  $m \equiv 1, 3$  or 5 mod 8, and those with  $m \equiv 7 \mod 8$  of the form

(12) 
$$2^{16}(8t-1)\ell^2, \ t \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ \ell \equiv 1 \ mod \ 4, \ \ell \geq 5,$$

or

(13) 
$$(8t+3)(8k-3)2^{16}, t, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For the three non-abelian groups of order 16 whose S(G) is now known we have:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8) \subsetneq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8) \subsetneq \mathcal{S}(D_{16}) = \{4m+1 : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{2^{10}m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

*Proof.* Achieving the odd values. We write  $H(x) := (x+1)(x^2+1)$ .

We achieve the values 1 mod 16 from

$$\mathcal{D}(1 + kH, kH, kH, kH) = 1 + 16k.$$

To achieve the specified values 9 mod 16 we write the  $\ell_i$  as a sum of 4 squares. Notice that since they are 3 mod 4 we must have 3 of them,  $A_i, B_i, C_i$  say, odd and one,  $D_i$ , even, with  $2 \parallel D_i$  if  $\ell \equiv 7 \mod 8$  and  $4 \mid D_i$  if  $\ell \equiv 3 \mod 8$ . Hence with a choice of sign we can write

$$\ell_i = A_i^2 + B_i^2 + C_i^2 + D_i^2$$
,  $A_i, B_i, C_i$  odd,  $D_i$  even,

with

$$A_1 \equiv A_2 \mod 4$$
,  $B_1 \equiv B_2 \mod 4$ ,  $C_1 \equiv C_2 \mod 4$ ,

and

$$D_1 \equiv D_2 \mod 4$$
 if  $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \mod 8$ ,

and

$$D_1 \equiv D_2 + 2 \pmod{4}$$
 if  $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 + 4 \pmod{8}$ .

In the case  $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \mod 8$  we get  $(8m-3)(8k-3)(\ell_1\ell_2)^2$ ,  $m \equiv k \mod 2$ , from

$$\begin{split} f_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(D_1 + D_2) + \frac{1}{4}(B_1 + B_2 - 2)x - \frac{1}{4}(D_1 + D_2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(B_1 + B_2 + 2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m + k)H, \\ f_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(D_1 - D_2) + \frac{1}{4}(B_1 - B_2)x - \frac{1}{4}(D_1 - D_2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(B_1 - B_2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m - k)H, \\ g_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(C_1 + C_2 - 2) + \frac{1}{4}(A_1 + A_2 - 2)x - \frac{1}{4}(C_1 + C_2 + 2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(A_1 + A_2 + 2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m + k)H, \\ g_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(C_1 - C_2) + \frac{1}{4}(A_1 - A_2)x - \frac{1}{4}(C_1 - C_2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(A_1 - A_2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m - k)H. \end{split}$$

In the case  $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 + 4 \mod 8$  we get  $(8m-3)(5-8k)(\ell_1\ell_2)^2$ ,  $m \equiv k \mod 2$ , from

$$\begin{split} f_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(D_1 + D_2 - 2) + \frac{1}{4}(B_1 + B_2 - 2)x - \frac{1}{4}(D_1 + D_2 + 2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(B_1 + B_2 + 2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m + k)H, \\ f_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(D_1 - D_2 + 2) + \frac{1}{4}(B_1 - B_2)x - \frac{1}{4}(D_1 - D_2 - 2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(B_1 - B_2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m - k)H, \\ g_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(C_1 + C_2 - 2) + \frac{1}{4}(A_1 + A_2 - 2)x - \frac{1}{4}(C_1 + C_2 + 2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(A_1 + A_2 + 2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m + k)H, \\ g_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(C_1 - C_2) + \frac{1}{4}(A_1 - A_2)x - \frac{1}{4}(C_1 - C_2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(A_1 - A_2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m - k)H. \end{split}$$

Odd values must be of the stated form. We proceed as in the case of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$ , with (7) becoming

(14) 
$$\mathcal{D}_1 = m_1 m_2 \ell_1^2, \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = m_3 m_4 \ell_2^2,$$

where again

$$m_1 = f(1)^2 - g(1)^2$$
,  $m_2 = f(-1)^2 - g(-1)^2$ ,  
 $m_3 = (f(1) + 2h(1))^2 - (g(1) + 2k(1))^2$ ,  
 $m_4 = (f(-1) + 2h(-1))^2 - (g(-1) + 2k(-1))^2$ ,

but this time

$$\ell_1 = |f(i)|^2 + |g(i)|^2, \ \ell_2 = |f(i) + 2h(i)|^2 + |g(i) + 2k(i)|^2.$$

This does not change  $\ell_1 - \ell_2 \mod 8$ , so again we must have  $\mathcal{D}_1 \equiv \mathcal{D}_2 \mod 16$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2$  is 1 or 9 mod 16. The 1 mod 16 are all achievable, so assume  $\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2 \equiv 9 \mod 16$ . Since all the  $m_i \equiv m_1 \mod 4$ , plainly the  $\mathcal{D}_i \equiv m_1^2\ell_i^2 \equiv 1 \mod 4$ , so we can assume that  $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \equiv -3 \mod 8$ . Since the  $\ell_i^2 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ , we get  $m_1m_2, m_3m_4 \equiv -3 \mod 8$ . Since  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are 1 or  $-3 \mod 8$  we must have one of each, and  $2 \parallel g(1)$  and  $4 \mid g(-1)$  or vice versa. Hence  $d_1$  must be odd and

$$\ell_1 \equiv (c_0 + c_1)^2 + c_1^2 + (d_0 + d_1)^2 + d_1^2 \equiv 3 \mod 4.$$

From above we also know that  $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \mod 4$ . That is,  $\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 = s_1 s_2 (\ell_1 \ell_2)^2$  with  $s_1 \equiv s_2 \equiv -3 \mod 8$  and  $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \equiv 3 \mod 4$ . Plainly we have  $s_1 s_2 \equiv 9 \mod 16$  if  $s_1 \equiv s_2 \equiv -3$  or 5 mod 16 and  $s_1 s_2 \equiv 1 \mod 16$  if one is -3 and the other 5 mod 16, while  $\ell_1 \ell_2 \equiv 1 \mod 8$  and  $(\ell_1 \ell_2)^2 \equiv 1 \mod 16$  if  $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \equiv 3$  or 7 mod 8 and

 $\ell_1\ell_2 \equiv -3 \mod 8$  and  $(\ell_1\ell_2)^2 \equiv 9 \mod 16$  if one is 3 and the other 7 mod 8. Hence the restrictions (10) and (11) to get  $\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2 \equiv 9 \mod 16$ .

Achieving the even values. We obtain the  $2^{18}m$ , m odd, from

$$f_1 = 1 + x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(m+1)H$$
,  $f_2 = \frac{1}{2}(m-1)H$ ,  
 $g_1 = -(1+x) + \frac{1}{2}(m+1)H$ ,  $g_2 = \frac{1}{2}(m-1)H$ ,

and the  $2^{19}m$  from

$$f_1 = 1 + x + x^2 - mH$$
,  $f_2 = -x - x^3 - mH$ ,  
 $g_1 = x + x^3 + mH$ ,  $g_2 = -x^3 + mH$ .

We get the  $2^{16}(4m+1)$  from

$$f_1 = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + mH$$
,  $f_2 = mH$ ,  
 $g_1 = 1 - x + mH$ ,  $g_2 = mH$ .

We get  $2^{16}(8t+3)(4s+1)$  from

$$f_1 = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + (t+s)H$$
,  $f_2 = 1 + x^2 - x^3 + (t-s)H$ ,  $g_1 = (t-s)H$ ,  $g_2 = x^3 + (t+s)H$ ,

with s=0 giving us the  $2^{16}m$ ,  $m\equiv 3 \mod 8$ , and s=2k-1 the values (13). For  $\ell \geq 5$  with  $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$  we can write  $2\ell-4\equiv 6 \mod 8$  as a sum of three squares with two of them odd and the other  $2 \mod 4$ :

$$2\ell = (4a+1)^2 + 2^2 + (4c-1)^2 + (4d-2)^2$$

and we can get  $2^{16}(4m-1)\ell^2$ , and hence (12), from

$$f_1 = (1 - x + x^2) + a(1 - x^2) + mH,$$
  

$$f_2 = -x(1 + x) + a(1 - x^2) + mH,$$
  

$$g_1 = -x + (1 - x^2)(c + dx) + mH,$$
  

$$g_2 = -(1 + x) + (1 - x^2)(c + dx) + mH.$$

For  $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$  we write  $2p = A^2 + B^2 + C^2 + D^2$  where, since  $2p \equiv 6 \mod 8$ , two of A, B, C, D must be odd and two even, with one of them divisible by 4, the other 2 mod 4. Changing signs as necessary we assume that A = 1 + 4a, B = 4b, C = 1 + 4c, and D = 2 + 4d. We achieve  $2^{17}(2m + 1)p^2$  with

$$f_1 = (1+x)(x^2+1) + a(1-x^2) + bx(1-x^2) + mH,$$

$$f_2 = 1 + (x-1)(x^2+1) + a(1-x^2) + bx(1-x^2) + mH,$$

$$g_1 = 1 + x + c(1-x^2) + dx(1-x^2) + mH,$$

$$g_2 = x + c(1-x^2) + dx(1-x^2) + mH.$$

Even values must be of the stated form. We know if the  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$  determinant is even, then both  $Q_8$  determinants are even, and by [12] must each be multiples of  $2^8$ . Hence the even determinants must be multiples of  $2^{16}$ . Note, if the determinant is even we must have f(1) and g(1) the same parity, and all the terms  $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, \ell_1, \ell_2$  in (14) must be even.

The  $2^{17} \parallel \mathcal{D}$  are of the stated form. Suppose that we had a determinant  $2^{17}m$ , m odd, with m not divisible by the square of a prime 3 mod 4. Writing

(15)  $\ell_2 - \ell_1 \equiv 4(a_0c_0 - a_0c_1 - a_1c_0 + a_0^2) + 4(b_0d_0 - b_0d_1 - b_1d_0 + b_0^2) \mod 8$  we see that  $2 \parallel \ell_1, \ell_2$  or  $4 \mid \ell_1, \ell_2$ . If f(1), g(1) are both odd then  $2^3 \mid m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$  and we must have  $2 \parallel \ell_1, \ell_2$  (else  $2^{12+8} \mid \mathcal{D}$ ). Now if  $2^u \parallel f(1)$  and  $2^v \parallel g(1)$  with  $u, v \geq 1$  then  $2^{2\min\{u,v\}} \parallel m_1$  if  $u \neq v$ , while if u = v we have  $2^{2u+3} \mid m_1$ . Likewise for  $m_2, m_3, m_4$ . To obtain an odd power of two we must therefore have at least one of the  $m_i$  with u = v. We can not have two of them (else  $2^{5+5+4+4} \mid \mathcal{D}$ ). Again we can assume that  $2 \parallel \ell_1, \ell_2$  (otherwise  $2^{5+6+8} \mid \mathcal{D}$ ). Since  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  do not contain any primes 3 mod 4 we have  $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \equiv 2 \mod 8$  and (15) gives

(16) 
$$a_0c_0 - a_0c_1 - a_1c_0 + a_0^2 + b_0d_0 - b_0d_1 - b_1d_0 + b_0^2 \equiv 0 \mod 2.$$

Suppose first that f(1), g(1) are odd. Since  $c_0$  and  $d_0$  are odd, (16) becomes

$$(17) -a_0c_1 - b_0d_1 \equiv a_1 + b_1 \mod 2.$$

To get power 17, rearranging if necessary to make the highest power on  $m_1$ , we must have  $2^4 \parallel m_1$ ,  $2^3 \parallel m_2$ ,  $m_3$ ,  $m_4$ . That is,  $m_1 \equiv 0 \mod 16$ , and  $m_2 \equiv m_3 \equiv m_4 \equiv 8 \mod 16$ . From

$$m_1 = c_0^2 - d_0^2 \equiv 0 \mod 16$$
,  $m_3 = (c_0 + 2a_0)^2 - (d_0 + 2b_0)^2 \equiv 8 \mod 16$  we get

(18) 
$$a_0c_0 + a_0^2 - b_0^2 - b_0d_0 \equiv 2 \mod 4.$$

From

$$m_4 \equiv (c_0 - 2c_1 + 4c_2 + 2a_0 - 4a_1)^2 - (d_0 - 2d_1 + 4d_2 + 2b_0 - 4b_1)^2 \mod 16$$

$$\equiv m_2 + 4a_0^2 + 4(a_0c_0 - 2a_0c_1 - 2a_1c_0) - 4b_0^2 - 4(d_0b_0 - 2d_1b_0 - 2d_0b_1) \mod 16,$$
we get

$$a_0^2 + a_0c_0 - b_0^2 - b_0d_0 - 2(a_0c_1 + a_1 - d_1b_0 - b_1) \equiv 0 \mod 4.$$

Applying (17), this becomes  $a_0^2 + a_0c_0 - b_0^2 - b_0d_0 \equiv 0 \mod 4$ , contradicting (18). Now suppose that  $2^u \parallel f(1), g(1), u \geq 1$ . Since  $c_0$  and  $d_0$  are even, (16) becomes

$$(19) -a_0c_1 + a_0^2 - b_0d_1 + b_0^2 \equiv 0 \mod 2.$$

Notice we can't have  $c_1, d_1$  both odd or both even, else

$$\ell_1 = |c_0 - c_1 + ic_1 + 2\alpha|^2 + |d_0 - d_1 + id_1 + 2\beta|^2 \equiv 2c_1^2 + 2d_1^2 \mod 4$$
 would be divisible by 4.

We can't have  $a_0, b_0$  both odd, else (19) becomes  $c_1 + d_1 \equiv 0 \mod 2$ , contradicting  $c_1, d_1$  having opposite parity. If u = 1 we can rule out  $a_0, b_0$  both even, else  $2 \parallel f(1) + 2h(1) = c_0 + 2a_0$ ,  $g(1) + 2k(1) = d_0 + 2b_0$  (we ruled out  $m_1$  and  $m_3$  both having u = v). If  $u \geq 2$  we can't have  $a_0, b_0$  both even, else 4 divides both terms,  $2^4 \mid m_3, 2^7 \mid m_1$  and  $2^{7+2+4+2+4} \mid D$ . So  $a_0, b_0$  like  $c_1, d_1$  have opposite parity. From

$$f(-1)+2h(-1) \equiv c_0-2c_1+2a_0 \mod 4$$
,  $g(-1)+2k(-1)=d_0-2d_1+2b_0 \mod 4$  we can't have  $a_0 \equiv c_1 \mod 2$  and  $b_0 \equiv d_1 \mod 2$ , else if  $u=1$  we would have a single 2 dividing both (ruled out) and if  $u=2$  we would have 4 dividing both and  $2^4 \mid m_4, 2^7 \mid m_1$ . Hence we must have  $a_0 \equiv d_1, b_0 \equiv c_1 \mod 2$  and (19) becomes  $a_0^2 + b_0^2 \equiv 0 \mod 2$ , contradicting that  $a_0, b_0$  have opposite parity.

The  $2^{16} \parallel \mathcal{D}$  are of the stated form. Suppose now that we have  $\mathcal{D} = 2^{16} m$ , with  $m \equiv -1 \mod 8$ , that is not of the form (12) or (13). Note,  $\ell_1 \ell_2$  does not contain a prime  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$  or two primes  $p_1, p_2 \equiv 3 \mod 4$  (else it will be type (12) with  $\ell = p$  or  $p_1 p_2$ ), and  $\mathcal{D}$  has no factor  $\pm 3 \mod 8$  (else it will be type (13)).

If  $2^2 \mid \ell_1$  or  $\ell_2$  then  $2^2 \parallel \ell_1, \ell_2$  and  $2^2 \parallel m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$  and f(1), g(1) are even. Now  $m_1/4 = (f(1)/2)^2 - (g(1)/2)^2 \equiv \pm 1 \mod 8$  and likewise for  $m_2/4, m_3/4$  and  $m_4/4$ , with their product  $-1 \mod 8$ . Switching f and g as necessary and rearranging, we can assume  $m_1/4 \equiv -1 \mod 8$  and  $m_2/4, m_3/4, m_4/4 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ . That is  $4 \mid f(1)/2$  and f(1)/2 + h(1), f(-1)/2, f(-1)/2 + h(-1) are all odd. From the first two h(1) is odd, from the second two, h(-1) is even, but h(1) and h(-1) must have the same parity. Hence we can assume that  $2 \parallel \ell_1, \ell_2$ , moreover that  $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$ , or one is 2 and the other 2p for some prime  $p = 3 \mod 4$ .

If  $f(1) = c_0$  and  $g(1) = d_0$  are odd, then plainly  $2^3 \parallel m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$ . We rule out one of  $\ell_1, \ell_2$  being 2 mod 8 and the other 6 mod 8. In this case (15) becomes

$$1 \equiv -a_0 c_1 - a_1 - b_0 d_1 - b_1 \mod 2$$

But the difference of

$$m_4 \equiv (c_0 - 2c_1 + 4c_2 + 2a_0 - 4a_1)^2 - (d_0 - 2d_1 + 4d_2 + 2b_0 - 4b_1)^2 \equiv 8 \mod 16$$
  
and

$$m_2 \equiv (c_0 - 2c_1 + 4c_2)^2 - (d_0 - 2d_1 + 4d_2)^2 \equiv 8 \mod 16$$

gives

$$4(a_0^2 + a_0c_0 - b_0^2 - b_0d_0) - 8(a_1c_0 + a_0c_1 - b_1d_0 - b_0d_1) \equiv 0 \mod 16,$$

where

$$4(a_0^2 + a_0c_0 - b_0^2 - b_0d_0) = m_3 - m_1 \equiv 0 \mod 16,$$

and  $a_1 + a_0c_1 - b_1 - b_0d_1 \equiv 0 \mod 2$ , contradicting (20). This just leaves us with the case  $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$  considered in the lemma below.

Suppose  $f(1) = c_0 = 2c$ ,  $g(1) = d_0 = 2d$  are even. If c and d have opposite parity then  $2^2 \parallel m_1$ , if both are odd then  $2^5 \mid m_1$  and if c = 2c'', d = 2d'' then  $2^4 \parallel m_1$  if c'' and d'' have opposite parity and  $2^6 \mid m_1$  otherwise. Moreover if c and d have the same parity and  $2^2$  divides

$$m_1/4 = c^2 - d^2$$
,

then  $2^4$  must also divide at least one of the other  $m_i$ . To see this observe that if  $a_0$  and  $b_0$  have the same parity then  $2^2$  divides

$$(21) m_3/4 = (c+a_0)^2 - (d+b_0)^2,$$

if  $c_1$  and  $d_1$  have the same parity then  $2^2$  divides

(22) 
$$m_2/4 \equiv (c - c_1 + 2c_2)^2 - (d - d_1 + 2d_2)^2 \mod 8,$$

and if both  $a_0$  and  $b_0$ , and  $c_1$  and  $d_1$  have opposite parity, then  $a_0 - c_1$  and  $b_0 - d_1$  have the same parity and  $2^2$  divides

(23) 
$$m_4/4 \equiv (c - c_1 + 2c_2 + a_0 - 2a_1)^2 - (d - d_1 + 2d_2 + b_0 - 2b_1)^2 \mod 8.$$

Hence, rearranging as necessary, we can assume that  $2^4 \parallel m_1$  and one other  $m_i$ , and  $2^2 \parallel m_i$  for the other two  $m_i$ . In particular  $c_0 = 4c'$  and  $d_0 = 4d'$  with c', d' of opposite parity. Suppose now that one of  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$  is 2 mod 8 and the other 6 mod 8, so that (15) becomes

(24) 
$$1 \equiv -a_0c_1 + a_0^2 - b_0d_1 + b_0^2 \mod 2.$$

Notice that this rules out  $a_0, b_0$  both even or  $c_1, d_1$  both odd. We can rule out  $a_0, b_0$  both odd, else  $2^3 \mid m_3/4$  in (21), and  $c_1, d_1$  both even else

$$4 \mid \ell_1 = |4c' + c_1(i-1) + 2i\alpha|^2 + |4d' + d_1(i-1) + 2i\beta|^2.$$

Hence  $a_0 - c_1$  and  $b_0 - d_1$  have the same parity, but can't be odd, else  $2^3 \mid m_4/4$  in (23). Hence  $c_1 \equiv a_0 \mod 2$  and  $d_1 \equiv b_0 \mod 2$ , violating (24). This just leaves the case  $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$  dealt with in the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** All  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$  determinants  $2^{16}m$  with  $m \equiv 7 \mod 8$  and  $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$  in (14) must be of the form (13).

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}=2^{16}m$ , where  $\ell_1=\ell_2=2$ , and all the factors of m are  $\pm 1$  mod 8. We show that  $m\equiv 1$  mod 8. Hence any with  $m\equiv -1$  mod 8 must have a factor  $\pm 3$  mod 8 and be of the form (13).

Case 1: f(1) and g(1) are even. Since  $|f(i)|^2$  and  $|g(i)|^2$  are both even, we must have one of them 2 and the other 0. Switching f and g and replacing f(x) by  $\pm f(\pm x)$  as necessary, we can assume that f(i) = 1 + i and g(i) = 0. Hence we can write

$$f(x) = 1 + x + (x^2 + 1)v(x), \quad g(x) = (x^2 + 1)u(x).$$

Note  $2^2$  divides  $|g(i) + 2k(i)|^2$ , so this term must also be zero, while  $f(i) + 2h(i) = \varepsilon + \delta i$  with  $\delta, \varepsilon = \pm 1$ , and

$$f(x) + 2h(x) = \varepsilon + \delta x + (x^2 + 1)(v(x) + 2h_1(x)), \quad g(x) + 2k(x) = (x^2 + 1)(u(x) + 2k_1(x)).$$

Hence

$$m_1/4 = (1+v(1))^2 - u(1)^2, m_2/4 = v(-1)^2 - u(-1)^2,$$
  

$$m_3/4 = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon + \delta) + v(1) + 2h_1(1)\right)^2 - (u(1) + 2k_1(1))^2,$$
  

$$m_4/4 = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon - \delta) + v(-1) + 2h_1(-1)\right)^2 - (u(-1) + 2k_1(-1))^2.$$

Note, one of 1 + v(1) and v(-1) must be odd, and hence u(1), u(-1) must be even (else  $2^3 \mid m_1/4$  or  $m_2/4$ ).

(i) Suppose that v(1) is odd. Since  $m_2/4 \not\equiv -3 \mod 8$  we must have  $m_2/4 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ ,  $4 \mid u(-1)$ , and

$$\frac{m_1}{2^4} = \left(\frac{1+v(1)}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(1)}{2}\right)^2.$$

If  $\delta = -\varepsilon$  then  $m_3/4 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ ,  $4 \mid (u(1) + 2k_1(1))$ , and

$$\frac{m_4}{2^4} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon + v(-1)}{2} + h_1(-1)\right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(-1)}{2} + k_1(-1)\right)^2.$$

If  $2 \mid u(1)/2$  then  $2 \mid k_1(1), 2 \mid (u(-1)/2 + k_1(-1))$ , and  $m_1/2^4, m_4/2^4 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ . If  $2 \nmid u(1)/2$  then  $2 \nmid k_1(1), 2 \nmid (u(-1)/2 + k_1(-1))$ , and  $m_1/2^4, m_4/2^4 \equiv -1 \mod 8$ . In both cases  $m_1m_2m_3m_4/2^{12} \equiv 1 \mod 8$ .

If  $\delta = \varepsilon$  we have  $m_4/4 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ ,  $4 \mid (u(-1) + 2k_1(-1))$ ,  $2 \mid k_1(-1), k_1(1)$  and

$$\frac{m_3}{2^4} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon + v(1)}{2} + h_1(1)\right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(1)}{2} + k_1(1)\right)^2.$$

If  $2 \mid u(1)/2$  then  $m_1/2^4, m_3/2^4 \equiv 1 \mod 8$  and if  $2 \nmid u(1)/2$  both are  $-1 \mod 8$ . Again  $m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4/2^{12} \equiv 1 \mod 8$ .

(ii) Suppose that v(1) is even. In this case  $m_1/4 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ ,  $4 \mid u(1)$  and

$$\frac{m_2}{2^4} = \left(\frac{v(-1)}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(-1)}{2}\right)^2.$$

If  $\delta = -\varepsilon$  then  $m_4/4 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ ,  $4 \mid (u(-1) + 2k_1(-1))$ , and

$$\frac{m_3}{2^4} = \left(\frac{v(1)}{2} + h_1(1)\right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(1)}{2} + k_1(1)\right)^2.$$

If  $2 \mid u(-1)/2$  then  $m_2/2^4 \equiv 1 \mod 8$  and  $2 \mid k_1(-1), 2 \mid (u(1)/2 + k_1(1))$  and  $m_3/2^4 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ . If  $2 \nmid u(-1)/2$  then  $m_2/2^4 \equiv -1 \mod 8, 2 \nmid k_1(-1), 2 \nmid (u(1)/2 + k_1(1))$  and  $m_3/2^4 \equiv -1 \mod 8$ . Again,  $m_1m_2m_3m_4/2^{12} \equiv 1 \mod 8$ . If  $\delta = \varepsilon$  then  $m_3/4 \equiv 1 \mod 8, 4 \mid (u(1) + 2k_1(1)), 2 \mid k_1(1), k_1(-1)$  and

$$\frac{m_4}{2^4} = \left(\frac{v(-1)}{2} + h_1(-1)\right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(-1)}{2} + k_1(-1)\right)^2.$$

If  $2 \mid u(-1)/2$  then  $m_2/2^4$ ,  $m_4/2^4 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ . If  $2 \nmid u(-1)/2$  then  $m_2/2^4$ ,  $m_4/2^4 \equiv -1 \mod 8$ . In both cases  $m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4/2^{12} \equiv 1 \mod 8$ .

In conclusion, there are no cases where  $\mathcal{D}/2^{16} = m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4/2^{12} \equiv -1 \mod 8$ .

Case 2: f(1) and g(1) are odd. In this case we have  $2^3 \parallel m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$ . From  $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$  we must have  $f(i), g(i), f(i) + 2h(i), g(i) + 2k(i) = \pm 1$  or  $\pm i$ . Multiplying the f and h or the g and k through by  $\pm 1$  or  $\pm x$  we can assume that f(i) = 1 and g(i) = 1 and

$$f(x) = 1 + (x^2 + 1)v(x), g(x) = 1 + (x^2 + 1)u(x).$$

Clearly we must have  $f(i) + 2h(i), g(i) + 2k(i) = \pm 1$  and

$$f(x)+2h(x) = \varepsilon + (x^2+1)(v(x)+2h_1(x)), \quad g(x)+2k(x) = \delta + (x^2+1)(u(x)+2k_1(x))$$

for some  $\varepsilon, \delta = \pm 1$ . Hence

$$\frac{m_1}{4} = (1 + u(1) + v(1))(v(1) - u(1)),$$

$$\frac{m_3}{4} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon + \delta}{2} + v(1) + u(1) + 2h_1(1) + 2k_1(1)\right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon - \delta}{2} + v(1) - u(1) + 2h_1(1) - 2k_1(1)\right).$$

Similarly for  $m_2/4$  and  $m_4/4$  with  $u(-1), v(-1), h_1(-1), k_1(-1)$  in place of  $u(1), v(1), h_1(1), k_1(1)$ .

(i) Suppose that u(1) + v(1) is even. In this case  $m_1/8 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$  with

$$\alpha_1 = 1 + u(1) + v(1), \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}(v(1) - u(1))$$

When  $\delta = -\varepsilon$  we have  $m_3/8 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$ , with

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(v(1) + u(1)) + h_1(1) + k_1(1),$$
  
$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon + v(1) - u(1) + 2h_1(1) - 2k_1(1).$$

Recall that by assumption all these factors are  $\pm 1 \mod 8$ . Since

$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \varepsilon) v(1) + \frac{1}{2} (1 + \varepsilon) u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1),$$

we have 
$$2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)v(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1)$$
 and

$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon \alpha_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)v(1) - (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + 2h_1(1) - 2k_1(1) \equiv \varepsilon \alpha_1 \mod 4.$$

Hence 
$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon \alpha_1 \mod 8$$
 and  $4 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1)$ . So

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \equiv (\varepsilon \alpha_2 + (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + 2k_1(1))\varepsilon \alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + 2k_1(1) \mod 4,$$

and  $m_3/8 \equiv m_1/8 \mod 4$ , and  $m_1m_3/2^6 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ , iff  $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)u(1) + k_1(1)$ . Clearly  $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)u(1) + k_1(1)$  iff  $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)u(-1) + k_1(-1)$ , giving  $m_1m_3/2^6 \equiv m_2m_4/2^6 \mod 8$ , and  $m = m_1m_2m_3m_4/2^{12} \equiv 1 \mod 8$ .

Similarly, when  $\delta = \varepsilon$  we have

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(v(1) - u(1)) + h_1(1) - k_1(1),$$
  
$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon + v(1) + u(1) + 2h_1(1) + 2k_1(1).$$

Since

$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1),$$

we have  $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1)$ , and

$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon \alpha_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + (1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + 2h_1(1) + 2k_1(1) \equiv \varepsilon \alpha_1 \mod 4.$$

So 
$$\lambda_2 \equiv \varepsilon \alpha_1 \mod 8$$
,  $4 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)v(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1)$  and

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \equiv (\varepsilon \alpha_2 - (1 - \varepsilon)u(1) - 2k_1(1))\varepsilon \alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_1 \alpha_2 - (1 - \varepsilon)u(1) - 2k_1(1) \mod 4,$$
  
giving  $m_1 m_3 / 2^6 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ , iff  $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + k_1(1)$ . Again  $m \equiv 1 \mod 8$ .

(ii) Suppose that u(1) + v(1) is odd. In this case

$$\alpha_1 = v(1) - u(1), \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + u(1) + v(1)).$$

When  $\delta = -\varepsilon$  we have

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon + v(1) - u(1)) + h_1(1) - k_1(1),$$
  
$$\lambda_2 = v(1) + u(1) + 2h_1(1) + 2k_1(1).$$

So

$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1),$$

and 
$$2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1)$$
, giving

$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon \alpha_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + 2h_1(1) + 2k_1(1) \equiv \varepsilon \alpha_1 \mod 4.$$

Hence 
$$\lambda_2 \equiv \varepsilon \alpha_1 \mod 8$$
,  $4 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)v(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1)$  and

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \equiv (\varepsilon \alpha_2 - (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) - 2k_1(1))\varepsilon \alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_1 \alpha_2 - (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) - 2k_1(1) \mod 4.$$

Thus  $m_1 m_3 / 2^6 \equiv 1 \mod 8$  iff  $2 \mid \frac{1}{2} (1 + \varepsilon) u(1) + k_1(1)$ . Again  $m \equiv 1 \mod 8$ . When  $\delta = \varepsilon$  we have

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon + v(1) + u(1)) + h_1(1) + k_1(1),$$
  
$$\lambda_2 = v(1) - u(1) + 2h_1(1) - 2k_1(1).$$

Hence

$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1),$$

and 
$$2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)v(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1)$$
, giving  $\lambda_2 = \varepsilon \alpha_1 - (1-\varepsilon)u(1) + (1-\varepsilon)v(1) + 2h_1(1) - 2k_1(1) \equiv \varepsilon \alpha_1 \mod 4$ . So  $\lambda_2 \equiv \varepsilon \alpha_1 \mod 8$ ,  $4 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1)$ , and  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \equiv (\varepsilon \alpha_2 + (1-\varepsilon)u(1) + 2k_1(1))\varepsilon \alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + (1-\varepsilon)u(1) + 2k_1(1) \mod 4$ . Hence  $m_1 m_3 / 2^6 \equiv 1 \mod 8$  iff  $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)u(1) + k_1(1)$ . Again  $m \equiv 1 \mod 8$ .

### References

- T. Boerkoel & C. Pinner, Minimal group determinants and the Lind-Lehmer problem for dihedral groups, Acta Arith. 186 (2018), no. 4, 377-395. arXiv:1802.07336 [math.NT].
- K. Conrad, The origin of representation theory, Enseign. Math. (2) 44 (1998), no. 3-4, 361-392.
- [3] F. G. Frobenius, Über die Primefactoren der Gruppendeterminante, Gesammelte Ahhandlungen, Band III, Springer, New York, 1968, pp. 38–77. MR0235974
- [4] K. Johnson, Group Matrices Group Determinants and Representation Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2233, Springer 2019.
- [5] M. Mossinghoff and C. Pinner, *Prime power order circulant determinants*, (arxiv 2205.12439v2.)
- [6] M. Newman, On a problem suggested by Olga Taussky-Todd, Ill. J. Math. 24 (1980), 156-158.
- [7] M. Newman, Determinants of circulants of prime power order, Linear Multilinear Algebra 9 (1980), no. 3, 187–191. MR0601702.
- [8] B. Paudel and C. Pinner, Integer circulant determinants of order 15, Integers 22 (2022), Paper No. A4.
- [9] D. DeSilva and C. G. Pinner, The Lind-Lehmer constant for Z<sub>p</sub><sup>n</sup>. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (2014) 1935-1941.
- [10] D. DeSilva, M. Mossinghoff, V. Pigno and C. Pinner, The Lind-Lehmer constant for certain p-groups. Math. Comp. 88 (2019), no. 316, 949-972.
- [11] H. T. Laquer, Values of circulants with integer entries, in A Collection of Manuscripts Related to the Fibonacci Sequence, Fibonacci Assoc., Santa Clara, 1980, pp. 212–217. MR0624127.
- [12] C. Pinner and C. Smyth, Integer group determinants for small groups, Ramanujan J. 51 (2020), no. 2, 421-453.
- [13] O. Taussky Todd, Integral group matrices, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1977), no. 3, A-345. Abstract no. 746-A15, 746th Meeting, Hayward, CA, Apr. 22–23, 1977.
- [14] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, Remark on Laquer's theorem for circulant determinants, Int. J. Group Theory 2022, arXiv:2202.06952 [math.RT].
- [15] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, Generalized Dedekind's theorem and its application to integer group determinants, 2022. arXiv:2203.14420v2 [math.RT].
- [16] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, Integer circulant determinants of order 16, Ramanujan J., arXiv:2204.05014 [math.NT].
- [17] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, Integer group determinants for  $C_2^4$ , 2022. arXiv:2209.12446v2 [math.NT]
- [18] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, Integer group determinants for  $C_4^2$ , arXiv:2211.01597 [math.NT].
- [19] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, Integer group determinants for abelian groups of order 16, arXiv:2211.14761 [math.NT].

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66506, USA *Email address*: bpaudel@ksu.edu, pinner@math.ksu.edu